Originally published as:

Seitz M., Steigenberger P., Artz T. (2012) ***Consistent Realization of ITRS and ICRS.*** *IVS 2012 General Meeting Proceedings edited by D. Behrend and K. D. Baver, NASA/CP-2012-xxxxxx*

Submitted after review process.

Consistent Realization of ITRS and ICRS

Manuela Seitz [1], *Peter Steigenberger* [2], *Thomas Artz* [3]

[1)] *Deutsches Geodätisches Forschungsinstitut*

[2)] *Institut für Astronomische und Physikalische Geodäsie, TU Munich*

[3)] *Institut für Geodäsie und Geoinformation, Universität Bonn*

Contact author: Manuela Seitz, e-mail: seitz@dgfi.badw.de

Abstract

The paper deals with the consistent realization of the International Terrestrial (ITRS) and the International Celestial Reference System (ICRS). DGFI computes such a common realization for the first time by combining normal equations of the space geodetic techniques Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI), Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR) and Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS). The results for the Celestial Reference Frame (CRF) are compared to a classical VLBI-only CRF solution. It turns out, that the combination of the EOP of the different space geodetic techniques impacts the CRF, in particular the VCS (VLBA Calibrator Survey) sources.

1. Introduction

The International Terrestrial Reference System (ITRS) is realized by the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF), the International Celestial Reference System (ICRS) by the International Celestial Reference Frame (ICRF), respectively. The two realizations are computed independently today by different institutions. While the ICRF is based on the observations of the Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) only, the ITRF is computed combining observations from VLBI, the Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR), the Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) and the Doppler Orbitography and Radiopositioning Integrated by Satellite (DORIS). Due to the independent computation and the fact, that different observation data are used, the two reference frames are not consistent to a full extent. Figure 1 shows the current situation for ITRF and ICRF computation.

In order to reach consistency to a certain extent, (i) in ITRF computation the source coordinates are fixed (session-wise) to ICRF2 [1] and (ii) the VLBI-only terrestrial reference frame (VTRF) – computed together with the ICRF – is aligned to ITRF w.r.t. origin and orientation. However, there are consistencies between the two solutions w.r.t.:

- the scale: The scale of the VTRF is realized from VLBI observations only, while the scale of the ITRF is realized as a weighted mean of the SLR and the VLBI scale ([2], [3])
- the network geometry of the VLBI subnetwork: The geometry of the VLBI network is slightly changed in the combination due to discrepancies between the local ties and the coordinates derived from the space geodetic techniques [3].
- the EOP: The EOP estimated consistently to the ICRF are derived from VLBI-observations only, while the EOP, i.e. the pole coordinates and UT1-UTC, estimated consistently to the ITRF are derived from the contributions of all space techniques. UT1-UTC can, in an absolute sense, only be derived from VLBI. The satellite techniques contribute to the UT1-UTC series with LOD.



Figure 1. Situtation for ITRF and ICRF computation today.

Consistent realizations of ITRS and ICRS can be reached, if both are computed together in one adjustment to which all the different observation techniques contribute (Fig. 2). The geodetic datum of the solution shall be realized according to the IERS Conventions [4].



Figure 2. Consistent realization of ITRS and ICRS.

What can we expect from a common adjustment of the Terrestrial (TRF) and the Celestial Reference Frame (CRF)? We can expect

- Consistency between all parameters
- An improvement of the accuracy of the EOP time series w.r.t. the single-technique series (as it is motivated e.g. by the IERS C04 series[1])
- Effects on the CRF (source coordinates and their standard deviations) caused by (i) on the one hand side, the combination of the EOP and (ii) on the other hand, the combination of the station networks.

[1] http://hpiers.obspm.fr/iers/eop/eopc04/C04.guide.pdf

2. Consistent realization of TRF and CRF

We performed a consistent computation of TRF and CRF based on the combination of VLBI, GPS and SLR normal equations (Tab. 1), which result from a homogenized analysis of the observation data. The parameters included in the solution are given in Tab. 2. The also listed datum parameters origin and the scale are implicit parameters. Altogether about 45,000 parameters are solved.

	time span	resolution	institution
VLBI	1984-2007	session-wise (24 h)	combined: IGG+DGFI
GPS	1994-2007	daily	GFZ
SLR	1993-2007	weekly	DGFI

Table 1. Input data for the consistent realization of ITRS and ICRS.

	Station coord.	Source coord.	Terr. pole	Celest. pole	UT1-UTC	Origin	Scale
VLBI	x	x	x	x	x		x
GPS	x		x	(x)	(x)		
SLR	x		x		(x)	x	x

Table 2. Parameters considered in the consistent realization of ITRS and ICRS.

Figure 3 shows the difference in the standard deviation of the declination angles (DE) between the combined TRF-CRF solution and a VLBI-only solution. It was found, that the combination lead to a general decrease of the standard deviations of the source positions (the results for the right ascension (RA) are very similar). It can be seen from Fig. 3 that for the VCS sources, which are observed by VCS (VLBA Calibrator Survey) sessions only, the decrease of the standard deviation is larger than for the non-VCS sources. This must be expected, because the VLBA network is a regional network and the standard deviations of the positions of VCS sources are in general larger than for the non-VCS sources.

The effect of the combination on the source positions themselves is given in Fig. 4. The VCS sources show larger differences w.r.t. the VLBI-only solution than the non-VCS sources. Remarkable is the systematic effect in RA, which was found to affect some of the VCS sources with a declination between $-40°$ and $+30°$ of DE. A detailed analysis of this effect provides, that about 100 sources show a difference of $|RA \cdot \cos(DE)| > 0.1$ mas w.r.t. the VLBI-only solution. Almost all of these sources are observed by VCS sessions only. However, w.r.t. the standard deviation of one single VCS source of $\sigma \geq 0.4$ mas the systematic effect is not significant.

In order to investigate, in which way the combination of the EOP contributes to this effect in RA, three different solutions are computed: (1) combining only the pole coordinates, (2) combining the pole coordinates and LOD and (3) combining all EOP (pole coordinates, LOD and nutation rates). In Fig. 5 the results of the three solutions are compared. It shows, that the systematic effect



Figure 3. Change of standard deviation of declination angles due to the combination (combined minus VLBI-only).



Figure 4. Differences in source positions between the combined TRF-CRF solution and a VLBI-only solution: declination (upper plot), right ascension (lower plot).

found in RA can be contributed to the combination of LOD. While the WRMS of the solution (1) is 5.5 μas, the additional combination of LOD leads to a WRMS of 9.0 μas (solution (2)). The high impact of LOD combination on RA can be explained by the high mathematical correlation between the two parameters. The fact, that almost exclusively VCS sources are affected might be attributed to differences between EOP derived from a regional network and from global networks. More detailed analysis are necessary in order to understand better the effect on the individual sources.

3. Conclusions

The paper shows, that a consistent realization of ITRS and ICRS from the observations of VLBI, SLR and GPS is possible. We investigated the impact of such a common computation on



Figure 5. Differences in source positions between three TRF-CRF solutions (obtained from combining different sets of EOP) and a VLBI-only solution: declination (upper plot), right ascension (lower plot).

the CRF. In particular, the combination of the EOP of the different techniques can affect the CRF. We found a maximum systematic effect in right ascension of about 0.5 mas for some of the VCS sources. This effect can be related to the combination of LOD. Even, if it is not significant w.r.t. the standard deviation of the position of one single source, it might become significant for the frame as a whole. Further investigations are necessary, in order to understand better the impact of the combination on single sources and groups of sources and their importance for the CRF.

References

[1] IERS. The second realization of the International Celestial Reference Frame by Very Long Baseline Interferometry. In *IERS Tech. Note 35*. A. Fey, D. Gordon, and C. Jacobs (eds.), presented on behalf of the IERS/IVS Working Group, 2009. Verlag des Bundesamtes für Geodäsie und Kartographie, Frankfurt am Main.

[2] Z. Altamimi, X. Collilieux, and L. Métivier. ITRF2008: an improved solution of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame. *J Geod*, 85(8):457–473, 2011.

[3] M. Seitz, D. Angermann, M. Bloßfeld, H. Drewes, and M. Gerstl. The 2008 DGFI realization of ITRS: DTRF2008. (accepted by J Geod), 2012.

[4] IERS. IERS Conventions 2010. In *IERS Tech. Note 36*. G. Petit and B. Luzum (eds.), 2010. Verlag des Bundesamtes für Geodäsie und Kartographie, Frankfurt am Main.