Professorship of Mobility Policy TUM School of Social Sciences and Technology Technical University of Munich



Willingness to Pay for Residential Parking in the Munich Area in 2024

Lea Jessenberger, Friederike Beck, Allister Loder

TUM Mobility Policy Travel Survey Metadata Series No. 1 January 22, 2025



Tur Uhrenturm

Willingness to Pay for Residential Parking in the Munich Area in 2024

Lea Jessenberger, Friederike Beck, Allister Loder Professorship of Mobility Policy Technical University of Munich DE-80333 Munich

January 2025

Abstract

The willingness to pay (WTP) for a guaranteed private parking space at the place of residence can be used as an indicator of the reduction potential regarding car parking spaces in residential areas. This study provides data on the WTP for people living in Munich and in the surrounding area of Munich. The WTP is assessed in different scenarios/under different circumstances. Therefore, conclusions can be drawn about the reduction potential. Socio-demographic as well as mobility-related data is also collected.

The main goal is to provide data on the WTP in order to enable demand-oriented estimation of parking space requirements. The socio-demographic data also makes it possible to analyse the WTP for different household types, for example. This provides a data base for estimating the parking space requirements for residential areas.

Keywords

Codebook, list of variables, stated choice data, stated choice data, willingness to pay, residential parking, estimating reduction potential

Contents

Study description	. 4
Title	. 4
Creator	. 4
Subject \ldots	. 4
Description	. 4
Publisher	. 5
Contributor	. 5
Date	. 5
Туре	. 5
Format	. 5
Source	. 5
Language	. 5
Relation	. 6
Coverage	. 6
Rights	. 6
Other identifications, funding and acknowledgements	. 6
Unit of analysis	. 6
Document responsibility	. 6
1 0	
Study on willingness to pay for residential parking (2024): File description	. 7
Willingness to new few vesidential newlying study (2004). Veviables	0
	. 8
income: Income groups (in)	. 8
hn_gewichtet: Weighted household size	. 9
age: Age groups	. 9
führerschein: Driver's license	. 10
muc: Place of residence	. 10
plz: Post code	. 10
ac_1: Mode access	. 10
ac_2: Mode access	. 11
ac_3: Mode access	. 11
ac_4: Mode access	. 11
ac_5: Mode access	. 12
ac_6: Mode access	. 12
öpnv.qual: Public transport quality	. 12
gender: Gender of respondent	. 13

hh_size: Household size	13
hh_type: Household type	13
hh_type_5_TEXT: Specification of 'Other'	14
kids14: Children under 14 in household	14
anzkind14: Number of children under 14	14
arbeit: Employment status	14
arbeit_8_TEXT: Specification of 'Other'	14
cs_mitglied_hh: Carsharing member in household	15
cs_mitglied_pers: Respondent carsharing member	15
anz_pkw: Number of cars	15
pp_typ_2_1: Parking space (car 1)	15
pp_typ_2_2: Parking space (car 2)	16
pp_typ_2_3: Parking space (car 3)	16
pp_kosten_1: Costs parking space (car 1)	17
pp_kosten_2: Costs parking space (car 2)	18
pp_kosten_3: Costs parking space (car 3)	18
pp_wichtig: Importance of guaranteed parking space	19
autonutzung: Frequency of car use	19
cs_nutzung: Frequency of carsharing use	19
auto_arbeit: Car on the way to work	20
gründe_1: Reasons for not owning a car (multiple answers possible)	20
gründe_2: Reasons for not owning a car	21
gründe_3: Reasons for not owning a car	21
gründe_4: Reasons for not owning a car	22
gründe_5: Reasons for not owning a car	22
gründe_6: Reasons for not owning a car	23
autokauf_veränd: Buying a car if living situation changes	23
S1.TG.Auto.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 1 - car owner - Munich	24
S2.TG.Auto.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 2 - car owner - Munich	25
S3.TG.Auto.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 3 - car owner - Munich	26
S4.TG.Auto.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 4 - car owner - Munich	27
Um_Auto_S1_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 1 - car owner - Surrounding	28
Um_Auto_Miete_S2_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 2 - car owner - Surrounding	29
Um_Auto_S3_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 3 - car owner - Surrounding	30
Um_Auto_S4_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 4 - car owner - Surrounding	31
kontrollfrage_auto: Reason higher WTP in S2/S3/S4 than S1 (car owners)	31
S1.TG.kein.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 1 - no car owner - Munich	32
S2.TG.kein.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 2 - no car owner - Munich	33

S3.TG.kein.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 3 - no car owner - Munich	34
S4.TG.kein.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 4 - no car owner - Munich	35
Um_kein_S1_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 1 - no car owner - Surrounding	36
Um_kein_S2_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 2 - no car owner - Surrounding	37
Um_kein_S3_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 3 - no car owner - Surrounding	38
Um_kein_S4_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 4 - no car owner - Surrounding	39
vergleich_tg_freien_1: Comparison WTP outside - underground garage	40
kontrollfrage_kein: Reason higher WTP in S2/S3/S4 than S1 (no car)	40
MUC_Auto_Ek: WTP (income-related) - car owner - Munich	41
Um_Auto_Ek: WTP (income-related) - car owner - Surrounding	41
MUC_Einkommen_kein: WTP (income-related) - no car owner - Munich	41
Um_Einkommen_kein: WTP (income-related) - no car owner - Surrounding	41
WTA_Auto_1: WTA - car owner	42
WTA_keinAuto_1: WTA - no car owner	43
<pre>punkte_moko_1: Bike sharing</pre>	44
punkte_moko_2: Cargobike sharing	45
<pre>punkte_moko_3: Car sharing</pre>	46
<pre>punkte_moko_4: User-friendly bike parking</pre>	47
punkte_moko_5: Bicycle service station	48
punkte_moko_6: Discounted 'Deutschlandticket'	49
<pre>punkte_moko_7: Very good local supply</pre>	50
<pre>punkte_moko_8: Very good public transport connections</pre>	51
value_1: Control question - answer 1 (multiple answers possible)	51
value_2: Control question - answer 2	52
value_3: Control question - answer 3	52
value_4: Control question - answer 4	52
WTP: WTP scenario 1	53
WTP_2: WTP scenario 2	54
WTP_3: WTP scenario 3	55
WTP_4: WTP scenario 4	56
SES_numeric: Socioeconomic status (numeric)	56
autonutzung_numeric: Frequency of car use (scaled)	57
cs_numeric: Frequency of carsharing use (scaled)	57
<pre>importance_numeric: Importance of a guaranteed parking space (scaled)</pre>	58
<pre>pt_qual_numeric: Public transport quality</pre>	58
carowner: Owns a car	58
WTA: Willingness to Accept	59

Study description

Title

Willingness to Pay for Residential Parking in the Munich Area in 2024

Creator

L. Jessenberger, F. Beck, A. Loder

Subject

Codebook, list of variables, stated choice data, willingness to pay, residential parking, estimating reduction potential

Description

The willingness to pay (WTP) for a guaranteed private parking space at the place of residence can be used as an indicator of the reduction potential regarding car parking spaces in residential areas. This study provides data on the WTP for people living in Munich and in the surrounding area of Munich. The WTP is assessed in different scenarios/under different circumstances. Therefore, conclusions can be drawn about the reduction potential. Socio-demographic as well as mobility-related data is also collected.

The main goal is to provide data on the WTP in order to enable demand-oriented estimation of parking space requirements. The socio-demographic data also makes it possible to analyse the WTP for different household types, for example. This provides a data base for estimating the parking space requirements for residential areas.

This data collection was part of Lea Jessenberger's master's thesis supervised by Allister Loder.

Publisher

Professorship of Mobility Policy, TUM

Contributor

L. Jessenberger, F. Beck, A. Loder

Date

2025-01-21

Туре

Codebook, Survey Metadata

Format

Portable document format (pdf), R data (.Rda), comma-separated (.csv)

Source

Language

English

Relation

Coverage

Munich and the surrounding area

Rights

Professorship of Mobility Policy, TUM

Other identifications, funding and acknowledgements

The data collection was funded by the DFG within the scope of the project "READAPT: REsilient, ADAPtive, and emission-minimal Transportation systems".

Unit of analysis

Respondents, holding a driver's license and at least 18 years old, living in Munich and the surrounding area

Document responsibility

Allister Loder

Study on willingness to pay for residential parking (2024): File description

Title: survey_WTP_FINAL_enriched.Rda, survey_WTP_FINAL_enriched.csv

Contents: sociodemopgraphic characteristics, mobility behavior, WTP for guaranteed private parking space at home (different scenarios)

Data collection: Online survey, respondents recruited by survey institute

Unit of analysis: Individual (panel) data. Note: Not each individual exhibit the same number of observations as some questions were only asked a specific subset, depending on answers in previous questions

File Structure: Hierarchical

Number of cases: 226. Variables per record: 115.

226 individuals

Details: A sample of people living in Munich and the surrounding area who are at least 18 years old and hold a driver's license, received a link through a survey institute to the Qualtrics online survey tool with a personalized ID to complete the study. This includes questions on their sociodemographic characteristics, place of residence, access to public transport and how they rate the quality of the connections available, their mobility behavior and different scenarios for assessing the willingness to pay for a guaranteed private parking space at the place of residence. It also includes questions on the willingness to accept, and mobility concepts.

The pre-test has been completed by 10 respondents. The main survey has been successfully completed by 226 respondents.

File format: R data format (.Rda), comma-separated (.csv)

Willingness to pay for residential parking study (2024): Variables

income: Income groups (in)

Format = labelled, numeric.

	Less than 500	500 to less than 900	900 to les	s than 1.50
Count	1	4		1
	1.500 to	less than 2.000	2.000 to less the	nan 2.600
Count		17		24
	2.600 to	less than 3.000	3.000 to less the	nan 3.600
Count		27		32
	3.600 to	less than 4.000	4.000 to less the	nan 4.600
Count		19		17
	4.600 to	less than 5.000	5.000 to less the	nan 5.600
Count		16		17
	5.600 to	less than 6.000	6.000 to less the	nan 6.600
Count		5		6
	6.600 to	less than 7.000 M	ore than 7.000	NA's
Count		11	12	2

hh_gewichtet: Weighted household size

Format = labelled, numeric.



Histogram of hh_gewichtet

hh_gewichtet

age: Age groups

Labels: 2 = 18-24, 3 = 25-29, 4 = 30-34, 5 = 35-39, 6 = 40-44, 7 = 45-49, 8 = 50-54, 9 = 55-59, 10 = 60-64, 11 = 65-69, 12 = 70-74, 13 = 74 and older.

	18-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59
Coun	t 13	18	22	25	24	25	22	22
-		60-64	65-69	70-74	74	and older	NA's	
-	Count	22	16	9		0	8	

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = No, 1 = Yes.

_

	No	Yes
Count	0	226

muc: Place of residence

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = Munich, 2 = Surrounding area.

	Munich	Surrounding area
Count	180	46

plz: Post code

Format = labelled, character.

ac_1: Mode access

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = None, 1 = Tramway, 2 = Bus, 3 = U-Bahn, 4 = S-Bahn, 5 = Micromobility, 6 = Carsharing, 7 = Don't know.

	None	Tramway	Bus	U-Bahn	S-Bahn	Micromobility
Count	7	83	127	6	2	0
			Carsha	aring	Don't know	
	C	ount		0	1	

ac_2: Mode access

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = None, 1 = Tramway, 2 = Bus, 3 = U-Bahn, 4 = S-Bahn, 5 = Micromobility, 6 = Carsharing, 7 = Don't know.

	None	Tramway	Bus	U-Bahn	S-Ba	hn	Micromobility
Count	0	0	72	51		26	15
		Ca	rsharing	Don't	know	NA's	-
	Count		6		0	56	- ;

ac_3: Mode access

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = None, 1 = Tramway, 2 = Bus, 3 = U-Bahn, 4 = S-Bahn, 5 = Micromobility, 6 = Carsharing, 7 = Don't know.

	None	Tramway	Bus	U-Bahn	S-B	ahn	Micromobility
Count	0	0	0	46		13	36
		Ca	rsharing	Don't	know	NA's	-
	Count	;	14		0	117	7

ac_4: Mode access

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = None, 1 = Tramway, 2 = Bus, 3 = U-Bahn, 4 = S-Bahn, 5 = Micromobility, 6 = Carsharing, 7 = Don't know.

	None	Tramway	Bus	U-Bahn	S-Ba	ahn I	Micromobility
Count	0	0	0	0		20	18
	_	Car	rsharing	Don't	know	NA's	
	Count	t	19		0	169	

ac_5: Mode access

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = None, 1 = Tramway, 2 = Bus, 3 = U-Bahn, 4 = S-Bahn, 5 = Micromobility, 6 = Carsharing, 7 = Don't know.

	None	Tramway	Bus	U-Bahn	S-Ba	ahn 1	Micromobility
Count	0	0	0	0		0	11
		Ca	rsharing	Don't	know	NA's	
	Count	;	14		0	201	

ac_6: Mode access

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = None, 1 = Tramway, 2 = Bus, 3 = U-Bahn, 4 = S-Bahn, 5 = Micromobility, 6 = Carsharing, 7 = Don't know.

	None	Tramway	Bus	U-Bahn	S-Ba	ahn	Micromobility
Count	0	0	0	0		0	0
		Car		Dar: 24	1	NTA 2	-
		Ca	rsnaring	Don t	KNOW	NAS	-
	Count	;	9		0	217	,

öpnv.qual: Public transport quality

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = Inadequate, 2 = Sufficient, 3 = Satisfactory, 4 = Good, 5 = Very good.

	Inadequate	Sufficient	Satisfactory	Good	Very good
Count	5	10	22	84	105

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = Male, 2 = Female.

	Male	Female
Count	94	132

hh_size: Household size

Format = labelled, numeric.



hh_type: Household type

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = With partner/spouse, 2 = Shared flat, 3 = Alone, 4 = With parents, 5 = Other.

	With			With		
	partner/spouse	Shared flat	Alone	parents	Other	NA's
Count	130	9	4	7	6	70

Histogram of hh_size

hh_type_5_TEXT: Specification of 'Other'

Format = labelled, character.

kids14: Children under 14 in household

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = No, 1 = Yes.

	No	Yes
Count	178	48

anzkind14: Number of children under 14

Format = labelled, numeric. Labels: 1 = 1, 2 = 2, 3 = 3, 4 = 4, 5 = >4.

	1	2	3	4	>4	NA's
Count	28	15	5	0	0	178

arbeit: Employment status

Format = labelled, numeric. Labels: 1 = Full-time, 2 = Part-time, 3 = Apprentice, 4 = University student, 5 = Homemaker, 6 = Pensioner, 7 = Currently unemployed, 8 = Other.

	Full-time	Part-time	Apprentice	Universi	ty student
Count	122	43	9		3
	Homemaker	Pensioner	Currently une	mployed	Other
Count	45	4		0	0

arbeit_8_TEXT: Specification of 'Other'

Format = labelled, character.

cs_mitglied_hh: Carsharing member in household

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = No, 1 = Yes, with one, 2 = Yes, with multiple.

	No	Yes, with one	Yes, with multiple	NA's
Count	106	42	8	70

cs_mitglied_pers: Respondent carsharing member

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = No, 1 = Yes, with one, 2 = Yes, with multiple.

	No	Yes, with one	Yes, with multiple	NA's
Count	61	47	12	106

anz_pkw: Number of cars

Format = labelled, numeric. Labels: 0 = 0, 1 = 1, 2 = 2, 3 = >2.

	0	1	2	>2
Count	38	153	33	2

pp_typ_2_1: Parking space (car 1)

Format = labelled, numeric. Labels: 1 = On-street with resident permit, 2 = On-street without resident permit, 3 = Underground garage, 4 = Garage/carport, 5 = Other.

	On-street with	out resident		
		permit		
Count		25		40
	Underground garage	Garage/carport	Other	NA's
Count	61	60	2	38

pp_typ_2_2: Parking space (car 2)

Format = labelled, numeric. Labels: 1 = On-street with resident permit, 2 = On-street without resident permit, 3 = Underground garage, 4 = Garage/carport, 5 = Other.

		0	n-street with	out residen	t
	On-street with resident permit			permi	t
Count		2		(6
	Underground garage	Garage/carport	Other	NA's	
Count	9	18	0	191	

pp_typ_2_3: Parking space (car 3)

Format = labelled, numeric. Labels: 1 = On-street with resident permit, 2 = On-street without resident permit, 3 = Underground garage, 4 = Garage/carport, 5 = Other.

		0:	n-street with	out resident
	On-street with resider	nt permit		permit
Count		0		(
	Underground garage	Garage/carport	Other	NA's
Count	1	1	0	224

pp_kosten_1: Costs parking space (car 1)

T	1 1 11 1	•
Format	= labelled	numeric
I OI III a U	iasonoa,	manner 10.

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
0	0	34.5	39.99	60	200	96

Histogram of pp_kosten_1



pp_kosten_2: Costs parking space (car 2)

|--|

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
0	0	20	34.74	60	120	207

Histogram of pp_kosten_2



pp_kosten_3: Costs parking space (car 3)

Format = labelled, numeric.

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
0	0	0	0	0	0	225

pp_wichtig: Importance of guaranteed parking space

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = Not important, 2 = Rather less important, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Rather important, 5 = Very important.

	Not importan	t Rath	er less impo	ortant	Neutral	Rather important
Count	ζ.	1		10	16	50
			Very im	portant	NA's	
	Cou	\mathbf{nt}		108	38	

autonutzung: Frequency of car use

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = (almost) never, 2 = less than monthly, <math>3 = 1-3 days per month, 4 = 1-3 days per week, 5 = (almost) daily.

	(almost) never	less that	n monthly	1 - 3 c	lays per r	nonth
Count	5		3			26
	1-3 days per	week	(almost) da	aily	NA's	
Count	t	72		82	38	

cs_nutzung: Frequency of carsharing use

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = (almost) never, 2 = less than monthly, <math>3 = 1-3 days per month, 4 = 1-3 days per week, 5 = (almost) daily.

	(almost) neve	r less than r	nonthly 1-	-3 days per month
Count	15	8	34	14
-		1-3 days per week	(almost)	daily
-	Count	9		11

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = No, 1 = Yes.

	No	Yes	NA's
Count	75	89	62

gründe_1: Reasons for not owning a car (multiple answers possible)

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = No car needed, 2 = Conscious renunciation/don't want to afford, <math>3 = Can't afford, 4 = Health/age reasons, 5 = No guaranteed parking space at home, 6 = Use of car sharing.

		Conscious renunciation/dor				
	No car needed	want t	o afford	Can't afford		
Count	23		9	5		
	Health/age reas	sons No guaranteed	parking spa	ace at home		
Count		1		0		
		Use of car sharing	NA's			
	Count	0	188			

gründe_2: Reasons for not owning a car

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = No car needed, 2 = Conscious renunciation/don't want to afford, <math>3 = Can't afford, 4 = Health/age reasons, 5 = No guaranteed parking space at home, 6 = Use of car sharing.

	С	Conscious renunciation/don				
	No car needed	want t	to afford	Can't afford		
Count	0		10	3		
	Health/age reaso	ons No guaranteed	parking sp	ace at home		
Count		0		0		
		Use of car sharing	NA's			
	Count	1	212			

gründe_3: Reasons for not owning a car

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = No car needed, 2 = Conscious renunciation/don't want to afford, <math>3 = Can't afford, 4 = Health/age reasons, 5 = No guaranteed parking space at home, 6 = Use of car sharing.

		Conscious renunciation/don't				
	No car needed	want t	o afford	Can't afford		
Count	0		0	4		
	Health/age re	easons No guaranteed	parking spa	ace at home		
Count		0		1		
		Use of car sharing	NA's			
	Count	2	219			

gründe_4: Reasons for not owning a car

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = No car needed, 2 = Conscious renunciation/don't want to afford, <math>3 = Can't afford, 4 = Health/age reasons, 5 = No guaranteed parking space at home, 6 = Use of car sharing.

	С				
	No car needed want to afford				
Count	0		0	0	
	Health/age reaso	ons No guaranteed	parking sp	ace at home	
Count		0		1	
	1	Use of car sharing	NA's		
	Count	0	225		

gründe_5: Reasons for not owning a car

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = No car needed, 2 = Conscious renunciation/don't want to afford, <math>3 = Can't afford, 4 = Health/age reasons, 5 = No guaranteed parking space at home, 6 = Use of car sharing.

	С	n/don't		
	No car needed	want t	o afford	Can't afford
Count	0		0	0
	Health/age reaso	ons No guaranteed	parking spa	ace at home
Count		0		0
		Use of car sharing	NA's	
	Count	0	226	

gründe_6: Reasons for not owning a car

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = No car needed, 2 = Conscious renunciation/don't want to afford, <math>3 = Can't afford, 4 = Health/age reasons, 5 = No guaranteed parking space at home, 6 = Use of car sharing.

	Co	nscious renunciatio	on/don't	
	No car needed	to afford	Can't afford	
Count	0		0	0
	Health/age reason	s No guaranteed	parking sp	ace at home
Count		0		0
	U	se of car sharing	NA's	
	Count	0	226	

autokauf_veränd: Buying a car if living situation changes

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = No, 1 = Yes.

	No	Yes	NA's
Count	16	22	188

S1.TG.Auto.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 1 - car owner - Munich

Format = la	belled,	numeric.
-------------	---------	----------

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
0	46	60	70.54	100	200	79

Histogram of S1.TG.Auto.Miete_1



S1.TG.Auto.Miete_1

	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
-	0	37	59	63.61	94	200	79

Format = labelled, numeric.

Histogram of S2.TG.Auto.Miete_1



S3.TG.Auto.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 3 - car owner - Munich

Format = labelle	d, numeric.
------------------	-------------

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
0	38	60	62.74	90	200	79

Histogram of S3.TG.Auto.Miete_1



January 2025

S4.TG.Auto.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 4 - car owner - Munich

-	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
-	0	40	60	65.16	90.5	198	79

Format = labelled, numeric.



Histogram of S4.TG.Auto.Miete_1

Um_Auto_S1_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 1 - car owner - Surrounding

-	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
_	0	50	60	67.76	85	183	185

Format = labelled, numeric.

Histogram of Um_Auto_S1_TG_1



Um_Auto_Miete_S2_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 2 - car owner - Surrounding

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
0	40	52	61.78	89	149	185

Format = labelled, numeric.

Histogram of Um_Auto_Miete_S2_TG_1



29

Um_Auto_S3_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 3 - car owner - Surrounding

-	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
-	0	50	60	65.76	95	200	185

Format = labelled, numeric.

Histogram of Um_Auto_S3_TG_1



-	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
-	0	50	60	64.49	87	151	185

Format = labelled, numeric.

Histogram of Um_Auto_S4_TG_1



kontrollfrage_auto: Reason higher WTP in S2/S3/S4 than S1 (car owners)

Format = labelled, character.

Length	Class1	Class2	Mode
226	labelled	character	character

S1.TG.kein.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 1 - no car owner - Munich

_	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
	0	21	50	44.85	60	102	193

Format = labelled, numeric.

Histogram of S1.TG.kein.Miete_1



S2.TG.kein.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 2 - no car owner - Munich

_	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
	0	15	48	39.27	59	100	193

Format = labelled, numeric.

Histogram of S2.TG.kein.Miete_1



S3.TG.kein.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 3 - no car owner - Munich

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
0	10	40	40.15	60	106	193

Histogram of S3.TG.kein.Miete_1



S4.TG.kein.Miete_1: WTP - Scenario 4 - no car owner - Munich

Format =	labelled,	numeric.
----------	-----------	----------

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
0	16	47	43.55	63	106	193

Histogram of S4.TG.kein.Miete_1



Format = labelled, numeric.

Um_kein_S1_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 1 - no car owner - Surrounding

-	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
	13	25	31	33.8	50	50	221



Histogram of Um_kein_S1_TG_1

Um_kein_S1_TG_1

Um_kein_S2_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 2 - no car owner - Surrounding

-	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
-	0	10	19	19.8	20	50	221

Format = labelled, numeric.

Histogram of Um_kein_S2_TG_1



Um_kein_S2_TG_1

Um_kein_S3_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 3 - no car owner - Surrounding

	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
-	0	10	13	16.4	19	40	221

Format = labelled, numeric.

Histogram of Um_kein_S3_TG_1



Um_kein_S3_TG_1

Um_kein_S4_TG_1: WTP - Scenario 4 - no car owner - Surrounding



Format = labelled, numeric.

Um_kein_S4_TG_1

vergleich_tg_freien_1: Comparison WTP outside - underground garage

Format = labelled, numeric.

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
-200	-70.75	-20	-33.54	15.25	150



Histogram of vergleich_tg_freien_1

kontrollfrage_kein: Reason higher WTP in S2/S3/S4 than S1 (no car)

Format = labelled, character.

Length	Class1	Class2	Mode
 226	labelled	character	character

MUC_Auto_Ek: WTP (income-related) - car owner - Munich

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = 0%, 1 = up to 5%, 2 = up to 10%, 3 = up to 15%, 4 = up to 20%, 5 = more than 20%.

			up to	up to		more than	
	0%	up to 5%	10%	15%	up to $20\$$	20%	NA's
Count	24	86	22	11	3	1	79

Um_Auto_Ek: WTP (income-related) - car owner - Surrounding

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = 0%, 1 = up to 5%, 2 = up to 10%, 3 = up to 15%, 4 = up to 20%, 5 = more than 20%.

			up to	up to		more than	
	0%	up to 5%	10%	15%	up to 20 \$	20%	NA's
Count	3	33	4	1	0	0	185

MUC_Einkommen_kein: WTP (income-related) - no car owner - Munich

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = 0%, 1 = up to 5%, 2 = up to 10%, 3 = up to 15%, 4 = up to 20\$, 5 = more than 20%.

			up to	up to		more than	
	0%	up to 5%	10%	15%	up to 20 \$	20%	NA's
Count	10	19	3	1	0	0	193

Um_Einkommen_kein: WTP (income-related) - no car owner -Surrounding

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 0 = 0%, 1 = up to 5%, 2 = up to 10%, 3 = up to 15%, 4 = up to 20%, 5 = more than 20%.

			up to	up to		more than	
	0%	up to 5%	10%	15%	up to 20 \$	20%	NA's
Count	2	2	1	0	0	0	221

WTA_Auto_1: WTA - car owner

Format = labelled, numeric.

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
0	50	96	99.72	150.2	200	38

Histogram of WTA_Auto_1



WTA_keinAuto_1: WTA - no car owner

-	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.	NA's
-	0	30.25	63.5	72.92	100	200	188

Format = labelled, numeric.



43

punkte_moko_1: Bike sharing

Format = labelled, numeric.

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0	0	0	4.221	5	100



punkte_moko_2: Cargobike sharing

|--|

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0	0	0	2.478	0	67



punkte_moko_3: Car sharing

Format = labelled, numeric.

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0	0	0	11.42	13.75	100



punkte_moko_4: User-friendly bike parking

Format = labelled, numeric.

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0	0	0	5.535	10	90



punkte_moko_5: Bicycle service station

Format =	labelled,	numeric.
----------	-----------	----------

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0	0	0	3.558	5	50

Histogram of punkte_moko_5



punkte_moko_5

punkte_moko_6: Discounted 'Deutschlandticket'

Format = labelled, numeric.

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0	0	20	21.78	30	100



punkte_moko_7: Very good local supply

Format =	labelled,	numeric.
----------	-----------	----------

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0	0	15	19.05	28	100

0.04 0.03 Density 0.02 0.00 0.01 Γ Т Т ٦ 0 20 40 60 80 100 punkte_moko_7

punkte_moko_8: Very good public transport connections

Format $=$ labelled,	numeric.
----------------------	----------

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0	11.25	30	31.96	50	100

Histogram of punkte_moko_8

0.020 Density 0.010 0.000 Γ Τ Т Т ٦ 0 20 40 60 80 100 punkte_moko_8

value_1: Control question - answer 1 (multiple answers possible)

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = Car sharing, 2 = Private car, 3 = Bicycle service station, <math>4 = Mobility management.

	Car sharing	Private car	Bicycle service station
Count	136	66	10
			;
		Mobility man	agement
	Count		14

value_2: Control question - answer 2

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = Car sharing, 2 = Private car, 3 = Bicycle service station, 4 = Mobility management.

	Car sharing	Private car	Bicycle service station
Count	0	66	56
		Mobility management	NA's
	Count	32	72

value_3: Control question - answer 3

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = Car sharing, 2 = Private car, 3 = Bicycle service station, <math>4 = Mobility management.

	Car sharing	Private car	Bicycle service station
Count	0	0	25
		Mobility management	NA's
	Count	47	154

value_4: Control question - answer 4

Format = labelled, character. Labels: 1 = Car sharing, 2 = Private car, 3 = Bicycle service station, <math>4 = Mobility management.

	Car sharing	Private car	Bicycle servic	e station
Count	0	0		0
		Mobility management	NA's	
	Count	22	204	

WTP: WTP scenario 1

Format = labelled, numeric.

-	Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
-	0	40	60	65.47	90.75	200



Histogram of WTP

WTP_2: WTP scenario 2

Format = labelled, numeric.

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0	31.25	52	58.76	81	200



Histogram of WTP_2

WTP_3: WTP scenario 3

Format = labelled, numeric.

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0	30	58	58.96	84.75	200



Histogram of WTP_3

WTP_4: WTP scenario 4

Format = labelled, numeric.

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0	35.5	58.5	60.72	86.25	198



SES_numeric: Socioeconomic status (numeric)

Format = labelled, numeric. Labels: 1 = Very low, 2 = Low, 3 = Medium, 4 = High, 5 = Very high.

	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high	NA's
Count	13	21	67	85	38	2

Histogram of WTP_4

autonutzung_numeric: Frequency of car use (scaled)

	(Almost) never	Less tha	n monthly	1-3 days p	er month
Count	5		3		26
	1-3 days j	per week	(Almost) dai	ly NA'	s
Cour	nt	72	8	32 3	8

cs_numeric: Frequency of carsharing use (scaled)

	(Almost)	never	Less than n	nonthly	1-3 days per	month
Count		158		34		14
		1-3 (days per week	(Almo	ost) daily	
	Count		9		11	

importance_numeric: Importance of a guaranteed parking space (scaled)

Format = labelled, numeric. Labels: 0 = Not important, 0.25 = Rather less important, 0.5 = Neutral, 0.75 = Rather important, 1 = Very important.

	Not important	Rather less important	Neutral	Rather important
Count	4	10	16	50
		Very important	NA's	
	Count	t 108	38	

pt_qual_numeric: Public transport quality

Format = labelled, numeric. Labels: 0 = Inadequate, 0.25 = Sufficient, 0.5 = Satisfactory, 0.75 = Good, 1 = Very good.

	Inadequate	Sufficient	Satisfactory	Good	Very good
Count	5	10	22	84	105

carowner: Owns a car

Format = labelled, numeric. Labels: 0 = No, 1 = Yes.

	No	Yes
Count	38	188

WTA: Willingness to Accept

Format = labelled, numeric.

Min.	1st Qu.	Median	Mean	3rd Qu.	Max.
0	49.25	88	95.22	148	200



Histogram of WTA