Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09242716)

ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/isprsjprs

Large-scale building height retrieval from single SAR imagery based on bounding box regression networks

Yao Sun^a, Lichao Mou^{a,b}, Yuanyuan Wang^{a,b}, Sina Montazeri^b, Xiao Xiang Zhu^{a,b,*}

^a *Department of Aerospace and Geodesy, Data Science in Earth Observation, Technical University of Munich, Arcisstraße 21, 80333 Munich, Germany* ^b *Remote Sensing Technology Institute, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Münchener Straße 20, 82234 Weßling, Germany*

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Building height Bounding box regression Deep convolutional neural network (CNN) Geographic information system (GIS) Large-scale urban areas Synthetic aperture radar (SAR)

ABSTRACT

Building height retrieval from synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imagery is of great importance for urban applications, yet highly challenging due to the complexity of SAR data. This paper addresses the issue of building height retrieval in large-scale urban areas from a single TerraSAR-X spotlight or stripmap image. Based on the radar viewing geometry, we propose that this problem be formulated as a bounding box regression problem and therefore allows for integrating height data from multiple data sources in generating ground truth on a larger scale. We introduce building footprints from geographic information system (GIS) data as complementary information and propose a bounding box regression network that exploits the location relationship between a building's footprint and its bounding box, enabling fast computation. The method is validated on four urban data sets using TerraSAR-X images in both high-resolution spotlight and stripmap modes. Experimental results show that the proposed network can reduce the computation cost significantly while keeping the height accuracy of individual buildings compared to a Faster R-CNN based method. Moreover, we investigate the impact of inaccurate GIS data on our proposed network, and this study shows that the bounding box regression network is robust against positioning errors in GIS data. The proposed method has great potential to be applied to regional or even global scales. Our code will be made publicly available at *github.com/ya0-sun/bbox-SAR-building*.

1. Introduction

Three-dimensional (3-D) building models are widely used in public and commercial sectors for environmental researches and locationbased services. For the past three decades, 3-D building reconstruction has been a hot topic in remote sensing ([Rottensteiner et al., 2012](#page-16-0)); however, there is limited information on the third dimension, i.e., building height, on a regional or global scale. Studies on building height retrieval primarily employ high-resolution optical images and airborne LiDAR data [\(Brenner, 2005\)](#page-15-0). Optical data acquisition requires the weather to be cloud-free, and airborne or terrestrial data are too expensive to collect globally.

Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) imagery, on the other hand, is capable of providing data regardless of time or weather conditions. Such data are of great interest to applications of disaster responses [\(Brunner](#page-15-0) [et al., 2010; Wang and Jin, 2012\)](#page-15-0) and to studies concerning regions frequently covered by clouds [\(Huang et al., 2015\)](#page-15-0). Since the launch of TerraSAR-X in 2007, modern SAR satellites, e.g., TerraSAR-X, TanDEM-

X, and CosmoSky-Med, have been providing meter or even sub-meter resolution images, making it possible to extract and reconstruct manmade objects from spaceborne SAR data. In addition, complete global coverages of TanDEM-X stripmap mode data have been acquired since 2012, providing great potential as a data source for global building reconstruction ([Zhu et al., 2018](#page-16-0)).

The study of building analysis from SAR imagery dates back to 1969, that Laprade and Leonardo derive the elevation of a few buildings from shadows and layovers using simulated radar images [\(Laprade and Leo](#page-16-0)[nardo, 1969\)](#page-16-0). Since then, various studies have been conducted on this topic [\(Franceschetti et al., 2002; Tupin and Roux, 2003; Guida et al.,](#page-15-0) [2010; Brunner et al., 2010; Sportouche et al., 2011; Wen et al., 2013](#page-15-0)). However, building interpretation from SAR data is highly challenging. Due to the side-looking geometry and one-band radar sensors, urban structures are clearly visible in SAR images but are difficult to distinguish from each other. Several works [\(Guida et al., 2010; Sportouche](#page-15-0) [et al., 2011; Brunner et al., 2010](#page-15-0)) develop tailored algorithms for building analyses in complex urban environments, but these methods

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2021.11.024>

Received 15 July 2021; Received in revised form 29 November 2021; Accepted 30 November 2021

Available online 28 December 2021

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Aerospace and Geodesy, Data Science in Earth Observation, Technical University of Munich, Arcisstraße 21, 80333 Munich, Germany; Remote Sensing Technology Institute, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Münchener Straße 20, 82234 Weßling, Germany.

E-mail addresses: yao.sun@dlr.de (Y. Sun), lichao.mou@dlr.de (L. Mou), yuanyuan.wang@dlr.de (Y. Wang), xiaoxiang.zhu@dlr.de (X.X. Zhu).

^{0924-2716/© 2021} The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, Inc. (ISPRS). This is an openaccess article under the CC BY license (http://creative

Fig. 1. Illustration of the input and output of our method. (a) and (b) are the input data: a SAR image and building footprints in the SAR image. (c) shows the predicted bounding boxes of these buildings. Building heights are then computed from the bounding boxes and building footprints, and levels-of-detail (LoD) 1

models are reconstructed, as shown in (d). rg and az denote the slant range direction and azimuth direction, respectively.

Fig. 2. Illustration of the amplitude profile (ampl) of two flat-roof buildings in a slantrange SAR image. θ is the incidence angle. *h* is the building height. *lw*, *lr*, and *lf* denote the areas of wall, roof, and footprint in the slant-range SAR image, respectively. The gray shades and heights of regions *a-f* indicate the expected magnitude values of intensity on the SAR image. The blue arrow marks the bottom of the sensor-facing wall and the red arrow points at the double bounce line on the SAR image. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

are not suitable to being applied for large-scale areas. Recently, deep neural networks have been applied for individual building segmentation in SAR images ([Sun et al., 2021\)](#page-16-0). Building heights are subsequently computed based on the radar viewing geometry. However, for annotating building areas, this method requires an accurate digital elevation model (DEM), which is unavailable in most areas and thus restricts this method from being generalized to other regions.

In this work, we are interested in the height estimation of individual buildings on a large scale, using single SAR images. We develop a method that takes SAR images and building footprints as input and retrieves building heights by predicting bounding boxes of buildings (cf. Fig. 1). Next, we briefly explain the challenges involved in this task and review related work.

1.1. Challenges

Because of the side-looking imaging geometry and complex backscattering mechanism, SAR image interpretation is a challenging task in general. For interpreting individual buildings in urban SAR images, the challenges are mainly twofold:

For *an isolated building* in SAR images, the main challenge is to recognize its components, i.e., the roof, walls, and footprint. Fig. 2 illustrates the amplitude profile of two flat-roof buildings in a slant-range SAR image. As can be seen, the wall area *lw* and the roof area *lr* in SAR images are always mixed and difficult to differentiate: *lw* covers *lr* when the building height *h* is large (cf. Fig. 2 (a)), and it is covered by *lr* when *h* is small (cf. Fig. 2 (b)). In addition, for low-rise buildings, the roof area *lr* partially overlaps the footprint area *lf* (cf. Fig. 2 (b)), and therefore the near-range side of *lf* might be ambiguous. Moreover, the far-range side of the footprint area *lf* is unknown, as it connects the shadow area that also appears dark in SAR images.

For *multiple adjacent or nearby buildings*, a more crucial issue is to identify them correctly. Since the intensity values in SAR images are closely related to material types and structural shapes of objects, consecutive buildings in the physical world are difficult to separate in a SAR image unless obvious material or structure changes exist at building boundaries. In addition, even if buildings in the real world are not neighboring, they may overlap each other in SAR images, which significantly increases the difficulty of image interpretation. For example, Fig. 1 (a) shows a typical urban region in a TerraSAR-X spotlight image containing several buildings whose footprints and bounding boxes are plotted in $Fig. 1$ (b) and (c), respectively. By only looking at the SAR image, it is unlikely to tell the numbers of buildings or distinguish connected buildings, such as the purple and green buildings. Besides, it is noticeable that the green building overlaps the yellow and the blue ones in the SAR image, although their footprints are not connected. In such complex cases concerning individual buildings on SAR images, building footprints are often highly beneficial.

1.2. Related work

In literature, researchers have investigated building height retrieval

Fig. 3. Illustration of bounding boxes of two buildings in a slant-range SAR image. On the left, two buildings (b1 and b2) in the UTM coordinate system are imaged in a SAR image plane. On the right, bounding boxes of b1 and b2 are shown in the SAR image coordinate system.

from a single SAR image [\(Laprade and Leonardo, 1969; Quartulli and](#page-16-0) [Datcu, 2004; Brunner et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2013\)](#page-16-0), InSAR data ([Thiele](#page-16-0) [et al., 2013; Dubois et al., 2016](#page-16-0)), and multi-aspect SAR or InSAR data ([Leberl, 1989; Soergel et al., 2009; Xu and Jin, 2007\)](#page-16-0) or even circular SAR ([Oriot and Cantalloube, 2008; Palm et al., 2012](#page-16-0)) to overcome the drawback of occlusions originated from the side-looking geometry. In addition to SAR data, auxiliary data, e.g., building outlines extracted from optical images [\(Wegner et al., 2009; Sportouche et al., 2011](#page-16-0)) and building footprints obtained from GIS data ([Thiele et al., 2010; Wen](#page-16-0) [et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2017](#page-16-0)), are introduced for providing exact locations and geometric shapes of buildings in the real world.

To retrieve building heights from SAR data, most researchers employ data-driven approaches that first extract salient features such as double bounce lines, layovers, shadows, and InSAR phases and then deduce building parameters. Some researchers first detect bright-line segments and regularly spaced point-like features and subsequently group them into building regions [\(Tupin and Roux, 2003; Xu and Jin, 2007;](#page-16-0) [Michaelsen et al., 2006; Soergel et al., 2009; Ferro et al., 2013\)](#page-16-0). Alternatively, several studies directly extract building regions of layovers and shadows using segmentation algorithms, such as the mean shift algorithm and conditional random field (CRF) [\(He et al., 2008\)](#page-15-0), marker controlled watershed algorithm ([Zhao et al., 2013\)](#page-16-0), thresholding and morphological operations ([Cao et al., 2014](#page-15-0)). Besides data-driven approaches, model-based methods are conducted. Detailed modeling of the geometrical and radiometric properties of isolated buildings is performed in ([Quartulli and Datcu, 2004; Guida et al., 2008; Guida et al.,](#page-16-0) [2010\)](#page-16-0), from which building shapes in SAR data are estimated. Such techniques require extensive prior knowledge about objects, such as materials, roughness, humidity, and orientation with respect to the SAR sensor, which is generally unknown. Another model-based approach is developed in a simulating and matching fashion [\(Jahangir et al., 2007;](#page-15-0) [Sportouche et al., 2009; Brunner et al., 2010; Thiele et al., 2012; Wang](#page-15-0) [et al., 2015](#page-15-0)). This approach comprises a simulation step in which a SAR image or InSAR phase is simulated using a building hypothesis and a matching step in which the simulated data are matched with real data. The process is conducted iteratively until the optimal building parameters are achieved.

Although a considerable amount of research has been carried out on building height retrieval using SAR data, few studies have investigated this problem on a large scale. Most methods target buildings with specific shapes, e.g., rectangular- [\(Simonetto et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2008;](#page-16-0) [Liu et al., 2017](#page-16-0)) or L-shaped footprints (Zhang et al., 2011; Zhao et al., [2013\)](#page-16-0), flat [\(Wegner et al., 2014\)](#page-16-0) or gable roofs ([Thiele et al., 2010; Chen](#page-16-0) [et al., 2017\)](#page-16-0), and different heights ([Chen et al., 2017; Guo and Zhu,](#page-15-0)

[2014; Liu et al., 2015; Tang et al., 2016](#page-15-0)). The majority of studies investigate simple scenarios where a minimal distance between buildings is required to ensure the scattering effects of different buildings do not interfere with each other ([Guida et al., 2010; Brunner et al., 2010;](#page-15-0) [Sportouche et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2015\)](#page-15-0). Moreover, the performance of the presented methods is typically presented for a small set of test data, usually comprising only one or a few buildings. The generalisability of much published research on this issue is therefore problematic.

In recent years, deep neural networks have been becoming increasingly popular and triggered breakthroughs in many fields, including a wide range of remote sensing applications ([Zhu et al., 2021; Zhang et al.,](#page-16-0) [2016; Mou et al., 2019; Kussul et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019; Cheng et al.,](#page-16-0) [2018; Mou and Zhu, 2018; Audebert et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2021\)](#page-16-0). In contrast to classical approaches that require expert domain knowledge and hand-crafted features, deep networks rely on a large amount of raw data to learn effective feature representations in an end-to-end fashion. But the major problem preventing applying deep networks to urban SAR analysis tasks is the lack of annotation data. To address this issue, [Shahzad et al. \(2019\)](#page-16-0) introduce a SAR tomography (TomoSAR) point cloud to acquire building areas in a SAR image and take them as ground truth annotations to train a segmentation network to extract building areas. In [Sun et al. \(2019\),](#page-16-0) Sun et al. generate building areas in a SAR image using a DEM instead of a TomoSAR point cloud, as the latter is rare. However, both two works do not annotate individual buildings. [Shermeyer et al. \(2020\)](#page-16-0) present a multi-sensor all weather mapping (MSAW) dataset containing airborne SAR images, high-resolution optical images, and building footprint annotations. However, building footprints, instead of building heights, are the learning target in this work. In [Sun et al. \(2021\),](#page-16-0) Sun et al. annotate individual buildings in a TerraSAR-X spotlight image employing a highly accurate DEM and propose a segmentation network for predicting building areas in the SAR image. The segmentation results are then applied to reconstruct building heights. This work has segmented individual buildings from a single SAR image on a large scale for the first time. However, pixel-wise labels are expensive. The data set generation approach requires accurate DEMs, unavailable for most areas, thus restricting this method from being generalized to a larger scale.

1.3. Contributions

This work aims to retrieve building heights using a single SAR image on a large scale. We propose to generate annotations using building heights that can be acquired from multiple sources. The task of building

Fig. 4. General workflow of the proposed method. After data set generation, our network concatenates a SAR image and a building footprint mask from the training set as input and predicts a correction for the footprint bounding box with respect to the building bounding box. Then the trained model is evaluated using the testing set. Building heights are computed from the predicted bounding boxes and building footprints, and subsequently, LoD1 building models are reconstructed..

height estimation is formulated as a bounding box regression problem, i. e., a task to regress the center coordinate and the size of the bounding box for each building.

The main contributions of this paper are threefold:

- a. We propose a workflow for building height retrieval in single SAR images with GIS data. To our best knowledge, this is the first time that deep networks are employed in the problem of building height retrieval in large areas from TerraSAR-X images in both highresolution spotlight and stripmap modes.
- b. We formulate the problem of building height retrieval as a bounding box regression problem and propose a bounding box regression network that is very efficient due to the tailored use of building footprints. The fast computation speed is significant for large-scale applications.
- c. We propose a ground truth generation approach to produce building bounding boxes. This approach can integrate multiple sources of building heights, thus providing large potential in analyzing complex urban regions.

The remainder of this paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 formulates the problem and delineates the proposed method. Section [3](#page-6-0) is concerned with the dataset generation approach to tackle the problem of dataset scarcity. The experiments and results are presented and analyzed in Section [4](#page-7-0). In Section [5](#page-12-0), we discuss several practical problems related to applying our method to large-scale building height retrieval. Finally, Section [6](#page-15-0) concludes this paper.

2. Methodology

2.1. Problem formulation

We consider LoD1 building models, i.e., prismatic models with flat roof structures ([Kolbe et al., 2005](#page-16-0)). Due to the radar viewing geometry, scatterers on a vertical line in the geographic coordinate system always have the same azimuth coordinate in a SAR image, i.e., this vertical line in the SAR image parallels the range direction. Therefore each vertical building wall in a SAR image has one pair of opposite sides paralleling the range direction. This can be observed in [Fig. 1.](#page-1-0) Hence, the extent of a building in a SAR image is bounded by two vertical lines from building walls in the azimuth direction and the region of the layover and footprint in the range direction. In this work, we exploit this geometric relationship to retrieve building heights.

[Fig. 3](#page-2-0) illustrates this geometric relationship by two buildings in the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) and the SAR image coordinate systems. On the left of the figure, b1 and b2 are two buildings in the UTM coordinate system and are imaged on a SAR image plane. As can be seen,

sensor-visible walls (yellow and blue) are projected into the SAR image as parallelogram shapes, and vertical sides of the walls parallel the slantrange direction. The building height *h* is directly related to the layover length *L*:

$$
h = L/cos\theta,\tag{1}
$$

where θ is the incidence angle.

On the right of [Fig. 3,](#page-2-0) b1 and b2 and their bounding boxes (green) are shown in the SAR image coordinate system. As can be seen, for both b1 and b2, the layover length *L* is the width difference between the building bounding box and the footprint bounding box:

$$
L = L_{building} - L_{footprint}.
$$
\n(2)

Therefore, for a building in a SAR image, its height can be obtained once its footprint is known andits bounding box is detected. Based on the geometry relationships, we formulate the problem of building height retrieval from SAR images as a bounding box regression problem. I.e., given a SAR image and a building's footprint, find the bounding box of the building, and then derive the building height from it.

2.2. Footprint-guided bounding box regression

We propose a footprint-guided bounding box regression network for building height retrieval that exploits the location relationship between a building's footprint and its bounding box. Fig. 4 provides an overview of the proposed workflow. Specifically, in the network structure, we concatenate a SAR image and a building footprint mask as the input of the network. ResNet-101 ([He et al., 2016](#page-15-0)) is employed as the backbone. ResNet-101 has in total 101 weighted layers, including 5 blocks of convolutional layers, i.e., *conv1*, *conv2*, *conv3*, *conv4*, *conv5*, and each contains a multi-layer deep subnetwork. First, *conv1* to *conv4* in ResNet are utilized to extract feature maps. We extract the footprint bounding box from the building footprint mask and map it to the feature maps as the region of interest (RoI) of the building, i.e., the initial bounding box to be corrected. For each RoI, local features are pooled by RoI-Align [\(Ren](#page-16-0) [et al., 2015](#page-16-0)). Then, *conv5* of ResNet takes the pooled features, and a global average pooling layer and a fully connected layer proceed to predict corrections for the RoI with respect to the ground truth bounding box. The corrections are then added to the RoI of each building to produce its bounding box. Finally, building heights are derived from the predicted bounding boxes and are used to extrude LoD1 building models from the building footprint polygons.

For the parameterizations of bounding boxes, we adopt the (x, y, w, h) coordinates used by R-CNN [\(Girshick et al., 2014](#page-15-0)). Let $\mathbf{B} = [x_B, y_B, w_B,$ h_B $\in \mathcal{R}^4$ be the bounding box representation as a 4-dimensional vector, where *x,y,w*, and *h* denote the box's center coordinates and its width and

ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing 184 (2022) 79–95

Fig. 5. The workflow for dataset generation in three steps. First, building footprints and height data are collected in the UTM coordinate system; then, they are projected to the SAR image coordinate system to generate building footprint masks; third, building bounding boxes are generated using footprint masks and building heights.

Fig. 6. Examples of three different building height sources in the same area in the city of Berlin, Germany. (For interpretation of the references to colours in this [figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this paper.\)](#page-15-0)

(b) Spotlight SAR image in the yellow rectangle in (a).

(a) Study area (blue region) in Berlin: the intersection of the spotlight SAR image (black rectangle)) and the DEM area (red rectangle).

(c) Stripmap SAR image in the yellow rectangle in (a).

Fig. 7. The study area of both Berlin HS and Berlin SM data sets. (a) shows the area (blue) in the UTM coordinate system (UTM zone 32 N). (b) and (c) show a comparison of the TerraSAR-X spotlight image and the stripmap image in the yellow rectangle in (a), respectively.

height in an image patch. The task of bounding box regression is to regress a candidate bounding box **B** into a target bounding box $G = [x_G,$ y_G , w_G , h_G . In our case, **B** is the footprint bounding box, and **G** is the building bounding box. The network predicts the distance vector **Δ** = $[\delta_x, \delta_y, \delta_w, \delta_h]$:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\delta_x = (x_G - x_B)/w_B, \\
\delta_y = (y_G - y_B)/h_B, \\
\delta_w = \log(w_G/w_B), \\
\delta_h = \log(h_G/h_B).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3)

We employ the complete intersection over union (CIoU) loss ([Zheng](#page-16-0) [et al., 2020](#page-16-0)), which considers three geometric factors of bounding boxes: the overlap area, the central point distance, and the aspect ratio. CIoU is defined as:

Fig. 8. The Rotterdam study area in the UTM coordinate system (UTM zone 31 N).

Fig. 9. The New York study area in the UTM coordinate system (UTM zone 18 N).

Table 1

Characteristics of the used SAR data, data sources of building footprints, and data sources of heights in each data set.

Table 2

Numerical results on Berlin HS data set for choosing the batch size. All experiments are trained for 10 epochs. The highest values of the metrics are highlighted in **bold**.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{CIoU} = 1 - IoU - \frac{\rho^2(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{g})}{c^2} + \alpha v,
$$
\n(4)

where **b** and **g** denote the central points of **B** and \mathbf{G}, ρ is the Euclidean distance, *c* is the diagonal length of the smallest enclosing box covering the two boxes, *α* is a positive trade-off parameter, and *v* measures the consistency of the aspect ratio. IoU , α , and ν are defined as follows:

$$
IoU = \frac{|\mathbf{B} \cap \mathbf{G}|}{|\mathbf{B} \cup \mathbf{G}|}, \alpha = \frac{v}{(1 - IoU) + v}, v = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \left(\arctan \frac{w^g}{h^g} - \arctan \frac{w^b}{h^b} \right)^2. \tag{5}
$$

Table 3

Numerical results on Berlin HS data set for choosing the training epochs. All experiments use the batch size of 4. The highest values of the metrics are highlighted in **bold**.

Training epochs						10	. .	າດ ᅭ	⊥∪ \sim	20
he_{mae} (m)	$-$ T. /	4.4	4.J	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.ა	4.3	ּח -4.ა .	$\sqrt{ }$ 4.3
he_{std} (m)	o.o	6.6	6.5	6.4	6.4	6.3	0.4	6.3	\sim 6.3	6.4

Table 4

Patch size and sample numbers in each data set.

Data set	patch size (pixel)	cropping stride	total samples	training samples	testing samples
Berlin HS	$256 \times$ 256	150	29842	19251	10591
Berlin SM	$128 \times$ 128	70	17184	15863	1321
Rotterdam	$128 \times$ 128	70	15054	13368	1686
New York	$128 \times$ 128	70	7922	7318	604

Table 5

Numerical results on four data sets. The highest values of different metrics are highlighted in **bold**.

Data set	Model name	he_{mae} (m)	$he_{std}(m)$	Training time
Berlin HS	SSD_h	6.6	9.4	3h26mins
	YOLOv3 _h	6.0	8.1	4h16mins
	RetinaNet _h	4.7	6.5	5h22mins
	Faster R-CNN w.FPN _h	5.0	7.3	5h10mins
	Faster R -CNN _b	4.3	6.2	5h26mins
	Ours	4.3	6.3	1h01mins
Berlin SM	SSD _b	7.9	10.3	1h59mins
	YOLOv3 _h	6.5	9.8	2h22 mins
	RetinaNeth	5.9	9.0	3h32 mins
	Faster R-CNN w.FPN _h	6.1	8.7	3h25mins
	Faster R -CNN _b	5.6	7.1	3h28mins
	Ours	5.7	7.2	52mins
Rotterdam	SSD _h	6.4	9.5	1h47mins
	YOLOv3 _h	5.9	8.3	2h13 mins
	RetinaNet _h	5.4	7.6	3h23mins
	Faster R-CNN w.FPN _h	5.8	7.8	3h14mins
	Faster R-CNN _h	5.4	7.6	3h40mins
	Ours	5.5	7.6	44mins
New York	SSD _h	6.2	12.2	57mins
	YOLOv3 _h	6.2	13.2	1h15mins
	RetinaNet _h	4.8	7.3	1h55mins
	Faster R-CNN w.FPN _h	5.0	7.8	1h30mins
	Faster R -CNN _b	4.7	7.3	1h59mins
	Ours	4.9	7.6	26mins

3. Reference Data Generation

For training our network, building bounding boxes as reference data and building footprints as input data in the SAR image coordinate system are necessary. For this reason, we develop a workflow that employs building footprint and height data to automatically label building bounding boxes and building footprints in SAR images. The proposed workflow comprises three steps that are illustrated in $Fig. 5: 1$) building heights acquisition, 2) building footprint masks generation, and 3) building bounding boxes generation. In the following sections, we explain the details.

3.1. Building height acquisition

The first step is to collect building data. For each building, we collect the building height *h* and the ground height *hground*, along with its footprint coordinates (x, y) .

The proposed workflow only requires one single height value for one building, which can be acquired from various data types, such as city models, LiDAR data, and accurate DEMs. [Fig. 6](#page-4-0) shows an example of three data sources of building heights of the same area in Berlin. In some cities, public data sets are available that can be utilized to generate our annotations, e.g., Berlin city models ([Berlin Partner für Wirtschaft und](#page-15-0) [Technologie GmbH, 2019](#page-15-0)), NYC open data ([City of New York, 2021](#page-15-0)), and 3D Buildings and Addresses of the Netherlands (3D BAG) ([TU Delft,](#page-16-0) [3D\)](#page-16-0). This loose requirement of the height data source significantly reduces the barrier of training data creation, which in turn supports the generation of reference data on a larger scale.

3.2. Generation of building footprint masks in SAR images

In the previous step, building data are acquired in the UTM coordinate system. For our task, building footprints need to be projected to the SAR image coordinate system. That is to say, for each building footprint, its coordinates (x, y, h_{ground}) need to be transformed to (rg, az) , where rg and *az* denote range and azimuth coordinate, respectively. Generally, the coordinate transformation from the UTM coordinate system to the SAR imaging coordinate system includes iterative solving Doppler-Range-Ellipsoid equations that can be implemented with different approaches ([Curlander, 1982; Schwabisch, 1998; Toutin, 2004; Roth et al.,](#page-15-0) [2004\)](#page-15-0). In this work, radar coding was performed using DLR's Integrated Wide Area Processor (IWAP) [\(Gonzalez et al., 2013](#page-15-0)). Note that further registration is needed if the ground height *hground* is not accurate [\(Sun](#page-16-0) [et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2019](#page-16-0)).

Then, building footprint masks are generated according to *rangeazimuth* coordinates of the radar-coded vertices of building footprint polygons. For each building footprint mask, we set the pixel value to be 1 inside the footprint polygon and 0 elsewhere.

3.3. Generation of the ground truth building bounding boxes in SAR images

To generate the ground truth bounding box of a building, we first compute its footprint bounding box B_f . B_f is defined by four values in pixels $[rg_f, az_f, L_f, w_f]$, in which (rg_f, az_f) are coordinates of the center point of the bounding box, and L_f and w_f are the width and height of the bounding box, respectively, as illustrated in [Fig. 5.](#page-4-0) Then, the building bounding box B_b is generated from B_f . As illustrated in [Fig. 3,](#page-2-0) the difference between B_b and B_f results from the added width L , which is the layover length corresponding to the building height *h*: *L* = *h*⋅*cosθ*. Therefore, the bounding box $B_b = [rg_h, az_b, L_b, w_b]$ can be generated as:

$$
\begin{cases}\n r g_b = r g_f - \frac{1}{2} L \\
 a z_b = a z_f \\
 L_b = L + L_f \\
 w_b = w_f\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(6)

Finally, we remove possible wrong bounding boxes. Since the used SAR

Fig. 10. Histogram of building height errors predicted with our network in the study areas.

image and height data are often collected at different times, there might be inconsistencies resulting from urban changes, such as building construction and deconstruction. We deal with this situation using the intensity values of the given SAR image. In the SAR image, the intensity values are generally larger in building areas than in ground areas. Therefore, a threshold is set to be the mode of the intensity values of the SAR image to exclude bounding boxes in which the mean intensity values are smaller than the threshold.

4. Experiments

4.1. Data description

The performance of the proposed method is evaluated on four data sets, including one TerraSAR-X high-resolution spotlight (HS) image acquired over Berlin and three TerraSAR-X stripmap (SM) images acquired over Berlin, Rotterdam, and south Brooklyn in New York. Our four data sets are termed Berlin HS, Berlin SM, Rotterdam, and New York. [Fig. 7](#page-4-0) (a) shows the study region in Berlin, and the SAR images in Berlin HS and Berlin SM data sets are both cropped to cover the same region. [Fig. 7](#page-4-0) (b) and (c) show the spotlight image and the stripmap image in the yellow rectangle in (a), respectively. The study regions in Rotterdam and New York are shown in [Fig. 8](#page-5-0) and [Fig. 9](#page-5-0), respectively.

[Table 1](#page-5-0) lists the main characteristics of the used SAR data, data sources of building footprints, and data sources of building heights in each data set. In this work, we make use of height data from LoD1 building models and accurate DEMs. LoD1 models represent buildings as blocks with flat roof structures and contain one height for each building ([Kolbe et al., 2005](#page-16-0)). As for DEMs, we regard the average roof height as

the building height¹. By using the workflow described in Section 3 , building bounding boxes and footprint masks are generated.For each building, our data set contains a SAR image patch, a footprint mask, and a bounding box of the building.

4.2. Training details

To train an effective and robust network, we first cropped the SAR image into patches. Patches containing incomplete footprints or bounding boxes are discarded. In the four data sets, the high-resolution spotlight SAR images are cropped into patches of 256×256 pixels with a stride of 150 pixels, and the stripmap SAR images are cropped into patches of 128×128 pixels with a stride of 70 pixels. Consequently, building data in the study areas are prepared, and each building has a ground truth bounding box and two patches: a SAR image patch and a footprint mask patch. All building samples are then divided to build the training set and the testing set. We made sure that the training and test regions do not overlap. The network takes one SAR patch and the corresponding GIS patch for one building as inputs. [Table 4](#page-6-0) lists the patch size and sample numbers of training/testing sets of each data set. Before feeding data into models, data sets were normalized into the range of [0, 1]. For data sets generated using stripmap SAR images, image patches are re-scaled to 256 \times 256 pixels.

The network is implemented on PyTorch and trained on one NVIDIA Tesla P100 16 GB GPU. During the training procedure, the layers of

¹ http://en.wiki.quality.sig3d.org/index.php/Modeling_Guide_for_3D_Objects _-_Part_2:_Modeling_of_Buildings_(LoD1,_LoD2,_LoD3)

Fig. 11. Examples of predicted bounding boxes using different networks in Berlin HS and Berlin SM data sets. The predicted and ground truth bounding boxes are marked in red and green, respectively. The ground truth bounding boxes are obtained using the procedure described in Section [.3.3](#page-6-0).

ResNet are initialized with weights pre-trained on ImageNet ([Deng et al.,](#page-15-0) [2009\)](#page-15-0), and other layers are randomly initialized by drawing weights from a zero-mean Gaussian distribution with a standard deviation of 0.01. All weights are updated through back-propagation, and we use stochastic gradient descent (SGD) ([Zhang et al., 2014\)](#page-16-0) as the optimizer. The learning rate is initialized as 0.001 and reduced by a factor of 0*.*1 once the loss stops to decrease for three epochs. We use a momentum of 0.9 and a weight decay of 0.0005. For hyperparameter settings of batch size and training epochs, we tested using the Berlin HS data set and the numerical results are shown in [Tables 2 and 3.](#page-5-0) The network is trained for 10 epochs, and we utilize a small batch size of 4.

4.3. Comparative experiments

For our problem, the major focus is to predict the bounding box of each building correctly. As bounding box regression is also an important

Fig. 12. Examples of predicted bounding boxes using different networks in Rotterdam and New York data sets. The predicted and ground truth bounding boxes are marked in red and green, respectively. The ground truth bounding boxes are obtained using the procedure described in Section [.3.3](#page-6-0).

task for object detection, object detection networks can be employed for our problem by deriving building heights from the predicted bounding boxes.

In the experiments, we utilize five object detection models to estimate building heights and compare their results with ours. The object detection networks include three one-stage networks, SSD ([Liu et al.,](#page-16-0) [2016\)](#page-16-0), YOLOv3 [\(Redmon et al., 2018](#page-16-0)), RetinaNet ([Lin et al., 2017](#page-16-0)), and a two-stage network, Faster R-CNN ([Ren et al., 2015\)](#page-16-0). Additionally,

feature pyramid network (FPN) ([Lin et al., 2017](#page-16-0)) is combined with Faster R-CNN in its backbone, termed as Faster R-CNN w. FPN, for better detecting objects at different scales. We denote the combined procedures of object detection and height estimation as SSD*h*, YOLOv3*h*, RetinaNet*h*, Faster R-CNN*h*, Faster R-CNN w. FPN*h*.

For implementation, MMdetection ([Chen et al., xxxx\)](#page-15-0) is employed for SSD*h*, YOLOv3*h*, RetinaNet*h*, and Faster R-CNN w. FPN*h*, and the implementation in ([Yang et al., 2017\)](#page-16-0) is utilized for Faster R-CNN*h*.

Fig. 13. Illustration of generating building footprints with positioning errors. Positioning error \vec{e} is added to building footprint Ftp, resulting in Ftp-E, rg and az denote the range direction and azimuth direction, respectively. *α* is the angle between \vec{e} and rg.

ResNet-101 is used as the backbone for RetinaNet*h*, Faster R-CNN*h*, and Faster R-CNN w. FPN*h*. For all the networks, the input is the concatenated SAR image and the building footprint mask. All input image patches are re-scaled to 256×256 pixels. Other default parameters in each implementation are kept.

4.4. Quantitative evaluation

The performance of networks is evaluated based on two criteria: height accuracy and training time. We record the training time that each model takes for training on each data set and calculate building heights from the predicted bounding boxes, as stated in Section [2](#page-3-0). The accuracy of retrieved building heights is measured by the mean absolute (*hemae*) and standard deviation (*hestd*) of height errors of all buildings *He*:

$$
\begin{cases}\nhe_{mae} = mean(|H_e|),\\ he_{std} = std(H_e).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(7)

 $H_e \, = \, \{ h_{\mathit{true}}^i \, {-} h_{\mathit{predict}}^i$ $\left| i = 1, ..., n \right\}$, where h_{true}^i and $h_{predict}^i$ are the ground truth height and predicted height for the *i*-th building, respectively, and *n* is the number of test samples.

[Table 5](#page-6-0) reports numerical results of different models on four data sets, and [Fig. 10](#page-7-0) shows histograms of height errors predicted by our network. We observe that Faster R-CNN*h* performs the best in all four data sets among all the networks. However, the results are only 0.1–0.2 m better than those achieved by our network, which are trivial compared to the absolute height errors (in the range of 4.3 m to 5.6 m). The results show that our networks, RetinaNet*h*, and Faster R-CNN*h*, outperform SSD*h* and YOLOv3*h* in height accuracy. Interestingly, FPN did not bring improvement to Faster R-CNN*h*. One reason could be that the difference in the scale of building footprints is not particularly large.

On Berlin HS data set, all networks achieve the best performance in terms of height accuracy compared to other data sets, owing to the higher spatial resolution of the spotlight image than the stripmap images. However, we notice that the differences are not significant. For instance, using stripmap images, the mean absolute height error achieved by Faster R-CNN*h* ranges from 4.7 m to 5.6 m, and the standard deviation from 7.1 m to 7.6 m, depending on the data set. While using spotlight data (Berlin HS), the mean absolute height error achieved is 4.3 m, and the standard deviation is 6.4 m, which is only 1–1.3 m better than those achieved using stripmap images.

In terms of the speed, our method significantly outperforms not only two-stage networks such as Faster R-CNN*h* but also fast networks like SSD*h* and YOLOv3*h*. Compared to Faster R-CNN*h*, the training time of our network reduces about 80%. The computation of the networks is

Fig. 14. Examples of predicted bounding boxes using networks trained with Berlin HS and Berlin HS-E (building footprints with positioning errors). The predicted and ground truth bounding boxes and are marked in red and green, respectively.

Fig. 15. Height prediction map in Berlin HS data set. (up) Reconstructed LoD1 building models overlaid on the SAR image. (down) Height prediction map in the SAR image coordinate system. Height is color-coded.

reduced owing to the utilization of footprint bounding boxes. The fast training speed is particularly important when working with large data sets. Our network outperforms the detection-based networks mainly due to the tailored use of building footprints, i.e., the module is designed to extract the footprint bounding box as the initial bounding box specifically for our task. The detection networks, on the other hand, lack the module specified for extracting building footprint information. They rely on a large number of region proposals to obtain possible initial bounding boxes. In addition, our network provides one initial proposal, i.e., footprint bounding box, for each bounding box. However, the detection networks must provide multiple proposals in the earlier stage and rely on the classification scores to select the final bounding box in the later stage. Therefore, the computational cost of our network is much smaller.

To sum up, our network achieves accuracy comparable with Faster R-CNN*h* and much superior performance on speed by effectively using the multi-modal information contained in GIS data. The comparison of these results corroborates that the proposed network can significantly reduce the computational cost while keeping the height accuracy.

4.5. Qualitative evaluation

In addition to the quantitative evaluation, we visualize several predicted bounding boxes in [Fig. 11](#page-8-0) and [Fig. 12.](#page-9-0) In both the two figures, the first two rows show building footprint masks and SAR image patches, and Row 3 to 7 present predicted bounding boxes from each model, in which the corresponding building footprint masks and SAR images are both plotted. The ground truth boxes and predicted boxes are plotted in green and red, respectively.

[Fig. 11](#page-8-0) presents results of models in Berlin HS and Berlin SM data sets. We can observe a general improvement in quality from one-stage models to two-stage models and our network, especially for buildings in columns *b*2 and *b*6. All models can offer satisfactory results for buildings with larger footprints and clear signatures in the SAR image (e. g., the building in column *b*4). In contrast, for buildings with small footprints (see column *b*1) or ambiguous signatures (see column *b*6),

Fig. 16. Height prediction map in Berlin SM data set. (up) Reconstructed LoD1 building models overlaid on the SAR image. (down) Height prediction map in the SAR image coordinate system. Height is color-coded.

one-stage models are not able to recognize full buildings. Besides, despite the resolution difference between the spotlight image and the stripmap image, the performance of all networks seems consistent.

[Fig. 12](#page-9-0) visualizes results of models in Rotterdam and New York data sets. Similar results can be seen in columns *b*7 and *b*12 that all networks perform well when building signatures clearly distinguish with surroundings. On the contrary, the predictions for the building in column *b*8 are not satisfactory. The same can be observed on column *b*11 in a building with a complex shape. Moreover, examples in columns *b*9 and *b*10 show two buildings are both well detected by all the networks despite the distinct differences in their footprints' sizes, which also indicates that FPN may not enhance the precision of predicted bounding boxes. In summary, the proposed network has a similar performance with Faster R-CNN*h*.

Reconstructed LoD1 building models and height prediction maps of our network in the whole areas of four data sets are plotted in [Fig. 15](#page-11-0)–18.

5. Discussion

5.1. Can our network work with inaccurate GIS data?

So far, we have employed highly accurate building footprints in our experiments as they are acquired from official data sets. However, many openly available building footprints often contain positioning errors. To test the performance of the proposed network in such cases, we conduct supplementary experiments on training our proposed network with

inaccurate building footprints and discuss the impact of positioning errors in GIS data.

According to a quality assessment study of OpenStreetMap (OSM) in [Fan et al. \(2014\)](#page-15-0), the average offset of building footprints is 4.13 m with a standard deviation of 1.71 m. We generate inaccurate footprints by injecting positioning errors to building footprints Ftp, resulting in inaccurate footprint data termed as Ftp-E. We choose Berlin HS data set for this experiment and term the data set containing positioning error in building footprints as Berlin HS-E.

The procedure is illustrated in [Fig. 13](#page-10-0). \vec{e} is the added positioning error, and α is the angle between \vec{e} and the range direction. We consider the positioning error as a variable whose magnitude is Gaussian distributed, i.e., $|\vec{e}| \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu = 4.13, \sigma^2 = 1.71^2)$. Since the offset may point to different directions, we assume the direction of \vec{e} is uniformly distributed, i.e., *α* is uniformaly distributed in the range of [0◦*,*360◦). For simplicity, let *α* be discrete: $\alpha \sim$ DiscreteUniform(0°, 359°). Note that this is the most difficult case that all footprints contain positioning errors. Then, we train our network on Berlin HS-E data set and test the trained network with a clean test set. The parameter settings of the network remain the same as previous experiments, as described in Section [4.2.](#page-7-0)

The results are listed in [Table 6.](#page-14-0) As can be seen, compared to results obtained from Berlin HS, the mean absolute height error is increased by 0.3 m, and the standard deviation of the height error is increased by 0.4 m. However, it still gives competent height estimation results. For visual comparison, [Fig. 14](#page-10-0) shows the results of our network trained with Berlin

Fig. 17. Height prediction map in Rotterdam data set. (up) Reconstructed LoD1 building models overlaid on the SAR image. (down) Height prediction map in the SAR image coordinate system. Height is color-coded.

HS and Berlin HS-E. As can be seen, for buildings b and c, the network trained with Berlin HS performs better. The predictions for buildings a and e are visually very similar. We observed that predictions from the network trained on Berlin HS-E are visually satisfactory for most buildings.

The experiments show that the proposed network is robust against the positioning errors in building footprint data. This finding suggests that a large number of existing open-sourced GIS data, such as OSM, can be exploited for height estimation of individual buildings in SAR images.

5.2. Influences of the nonlocal filtering procedure on SAR data

In this work, we have employed original SAR amplitude images in our experiments. However, previous studies in [Shahzad et al. \(2019\),](#page-16-0)

[Sun et al. \(2021\)](#page-16-0) perform nonlocal filtering [\(Baier et al., 2016](#page-15-0)) on SAR images prior to training to reduce the speckle effect. To test the influence of the nonlocal filtering procedure for our networks, we conduct supplementary experiments to train the proposed network with nonlocal filtered SAR images.

We perform denoising on SAR images using a nonlocal InSAR algorithm ([Baier et al., 2016](#page-15-0)). Berlin HS data set is chosen for this experiment, and the nonlocal filtered data set is termed Berlin HS-NL. Then, we train and test our network and all the comparative networks on Berlin HS-NL data set. The parameter settings of the networks remain the same as previous experiments, as described in Section [4.2](#page-7-0) and Section [4.3.](#page-8-0)

[Table 7](#page-14-0) lists the results. As can be seen, results from Berlin HS and Berlin HS-NL data sets are very similar on all networks. The experiments show that the filtering procedure does not improve the results. We think

Fig. 18. Height prediction map in New York data set. (up) Reconstructed LoD1 building models overlaid on the SAR image. (down) Height prediction map in the SAR image coordinate system. Height is color-coded.

Table 6

Numerical results obtained from Berlin HS and Berlin HS-E data sets.

Table 7

the reason might be that the large amount of filters in CNNs, in fact, have filtering effects on speckle noises.

This finding suggests that the filtering step is not needed for our task. Therefore, the computational cost for pre-processing can be largely reduced, which benefits especially for larger-scale processing.

5.3. Pros and cons of retrieving building height using bounding box regression networks

We have applied the proposed network to four data sets and retrieved building heights. On Berlin HS data set, the mean absolute height error is 4.3 m. In CG-Net ([Sun et al., 2021](#page-16-0)), the building height achieved using a segmentation network from the same SAR data is 2.39 m. The advantage of CG-Net in terms of height accuracy is obvious. However, as aforementioned in Section [1,](#page-0-0) pixel-wise labels are expensive, and it is not possible to generate training data for areas without accurate DEMs. Thus the applicability of CG-Net is restricted.

The proposed regression network has two advantages. First, since the building height retrieval problem is formulated as a bounding box regression problem, the proposed method is capable of employing building height data from multiple sources. This enables the generation of annotation data on a larger scale and improves the transferability of the proposed networks. Second, the data set generation approach for bounding boxes is much simpler than the method for generating building areas in [Sun et al. \(2021\).](#page-16-0) This is crucial when processing large data sets, e.g., on a regional or even larger scale.

Compared to the results of CG-Net, building heights predicted using the proposed network have lower accuracy. The results are, however, still good. In [Brunner et al. \(2010\)](#page-15-0), the authors retrieved heights of 40 isolated buildings from high-resolution spotlight TerraSAR-X images. For three building categories based on roof shapes, this work reported the mean absolute height errors between − 1–3.4 m and the standard deviation of height errors between 1.3–5.8 m. Our experiments employed both spotlight and stripmap SAR images and conducted performance testing on large amounts of data, for instance, 10 K in Berlin HS data set (cf. [Table 4](#page-6-0)). Considering the image resolution of SAR data and the size of our data sets, the proposed method is very competitive.

In summary, these comparisons suggest that the proposed bounding box regression network has great potential for applications aiming at large scales, e.g., to reconstruct baseline models on a regional or even global scale. When accurate DEMs are available, segmentation networks such as CG-Net [\(Sun et al., 2021\)](#page-16-0) are preferred for higher accuracy on the reconstructed building heights.

6. Conclusion

This work proposes a method that retrieves building heights in largescale urban areas from a single TerraSAR-X spotlight or stripmap image. We formulate the problem of building height retrieval as a bounding box regression problem and develop a network that takes SAR images and building footprints as input and retrieves building heights by predicting building bounding boxes. To generate training data sets, we propose a ground truth generation approach that only requires the footprint and one height value for each building. In addition, this approach can integrate multiple sources of building heights, such as open building models, LiDAR, and DEMs, thus can generate annotation data on larger scales and provide large potential in analyzing complex urban regions.

Four study sites are used to test the proposed networks, including one high-resolution spotlight TerraSAR-X image in Berlin and three stripmap TerraSAR-X images in Berlin, Rotterdam, and south Brooklyn in New York City. The mean absolute height error achieved in the four sites ranges from 4.3 m to 5.7 m. The results are significant, given that they are achieved from a single stripmap/spotlight TerraSAR-X image. Compared to methods utilizing object detection networks for building height retrieval, such as Faster R-CNN*h*, the proposed network can significantly reduce the computational cost while keeping the height accuracy of individual buildings. Further experiments on training the networks using inaccurate building footprint data suggest that the proposed network is robust against positioning errors in building footprints, which means a large amount of existing GIS data, open-sourced yet with heterogeneous quality across space, such as OSM, can be exploited for this task.

In the future, we are interested in improving the height accuracy of bounding box regression networks and producing LoD1 building models using SAR images in the stripmap mode on regional or even global scales. We are also interested in domain adaptation techniques to improve the transferability of our models across different geographic regions.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgment

This work is jointly supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. [ERC-2016-StG-714087], Acronym: *So2Sat*), by the Helmholtz Association through the Framework of Helmholtz AI (Grant No.: ZT-I-PF-5–01) - Local Unit "Munich Unit @Aeronautics, Space and Transport (MASTr)" and Helmholtz Excellent Professorship "Data Science in Earth Observation - Big Data Fusion for Urban Research"(Grant No.: W2-W3-100) and by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) in the framework of the international future AI lab "AI4EO – Artificial Intelligence for Earth Observation: Reasoning, Uncertainties, Ethics and Beyond" (Grant No.: 01DD20001). The authors would like to thank Dr. H. Hirschmüller for providing the optical DEM.

References

- Audebert, N., Le Saux, B., Lefèvre, S., 2018. Beyond RGB: Very high resolution urban [remote sensing with multimodal deep networks. ISPRS J. Photogram. Remote Sens.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0005) [140, 20](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0005)–32.
- Baier, G., Zhu, X.X., Lachaise, M., Breit, H., Bamler, R., 2016. Nonlocal InSAR filtering for DEM generation and addressing the staircasing effect. In: European Conference on Synthetic Aperture Radar (EUSAR), 2016.
- Berlin Partner für Wirtschaft und Technologie GmbH, Berlin3D, http://www. businesslocationcenter.de, accessed: 2019-01-06.
- [Brenner, C., 2005. Building reconstruction from images and laser scanning. Int. J. Appl.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0020) [Earth Obs. Geoinf. 6 \(3\), 187](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0020)–198.
- [Brunner, D., Lemoine, G., Bruzzone, L., Greidanus, H., 2010. Building height retrieval](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0025) [from VHR SAR imagery based on an iterative simulation and matching technique.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0025) [IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 48 \(3\), 1487](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0025)–1504.
- [Brunner, D., Lemoine, G., Bruzzone, L., Greidanus, H., 2010. Building height retrieval](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0030) [from VHR SAR imagery based on an iterative simulation and matching technique.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0030) [IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 48 \(3\), 1487](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0030)–1504.
- [Brunner, D., Lemoine, G., Bruzzone, L., 2010. Earthquake damage assessment of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0035) [buildings using VHR optical and SAR imagery. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 48](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0035) [\(5\), 2403](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0035)–2420.
- [Cao, Y., Su, C., Yang, G., 2014. Detecting the number of buildings in a single high](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0040)[resolution SAR image. European Journal of Remote Sensing 47 \(1\), 513](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0040)–535.
- [Chen, S., Wang, H., Xu, F., Jin, Y.-Q., 2015. Automatic recognition of isolated buildings](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0045) [on single-aspect SAR image using range detector. IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett. 12](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0045) [\(2\), 219](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0045)–223.
- [Chen, J., Wang, C., Zhang, H., Wu, F., Zhang, B., Lei, W., 2017. Automatic detection of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0050) [low-rise gable-roof building from single submeter SAR images based on local](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0050) [multilevel segmentation. Remote Sensing 9 \(3\), 263.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0050)
- Chen, S., Mou, L., Li, Q., Sun, Y., Zhu, X.X., 2021. Mask-height R-CNN: An end-to-end network for 3D building reconstruction from monocular remote sensing imagery. In: IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), 2021.
- K. Chen, J. Wang, J. Pang, Y. Cao, Y. Xiong, X. Li, S. Sun, W. Feng, Z. Liu, J. Xu, Z. Zhang, D. Cheng, C. Zhu, T. Cheng, Q. Zhao, B. Li, X. Lu, R. Zhu, Y. Wu, J. Dai, J. Wang, J. Shi, W. Ouyang, C.C. Loy, D. Lin, MMDetection: Open mmlab detection toolbox and benchmark, arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.07155.
- [Cheng, G., Yang, C., Yao, X., Guo, L., Han, J., 2018. When deep learning meets metric](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0065) [learning: Remote sensing image scene classification via learning discriminative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0065) [CNNs. IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing 56 \(5\), 2811](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0065)–2821.
- City of New York, Building footprints. nyc open data, https://data.cityofnewyork.us/ Housing-Development/Building-Footprints/nqwf-w8eh, accessed: 29-04-2021.
- Curlander, J.C., 1982. Location of spaceborne SAR imagery. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. GE-20 (3) (1982) 359–364.
- J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, L. Fei-Fei, Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In: IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2009.
- [Dubois, C., Thiele, A., Hinz, S., 2016. Building detection and building parameter retrieval](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0085) [in InSAR phase images. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing 114,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0085) 228–[241](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0085).
- [Fan, H., Zipf, A., Fu, Q., Neis, P., 2014. Quality assessment for building footprints data on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0090) [openstreetmap. International Journal of Geographical Information Science 28 \(4\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0090) 700–[719](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0090).
- [Ferro, A., Brunner, D., Bruzzone, L., 2013. Automatic detection and reconstruction of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0095) [building radar footprints from single VHR SAR images. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0095) [Sens. 51 \(2\), 935](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0095)–952.
- [Franceschetti, G., Iodice, A., Riccio, D., 2002. A canonical problem in electromagnetic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0100) [backscattering from buildings. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 40 \(8\), 1787](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0100)–1801.
- R. Girshick, J. Donahue, T. Darrell, J. Malik, Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation. In: IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR)), 2014.
- F.R. Gonzalez, N. Adam, A. Parizzi, R. Brcic, The Integrated Wide Area Processor (IWAP): A processor for wide area persistent scatterer interferometry. In: ESA Living Planet Symposium, 2013.
- [Guida, R., Iodice, A., Riccio, D., Stilla, U., 2008. Model-based interpretation of high](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0115)[resolution SAR images of buildings. IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0115) [Observations and Remote Sensing 1 \(2\), 107](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0115)–119.
- [Guida, R., Iodice, A., Riccio, D., 2010. Height retrieval of isolated buildings from single](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0120) [high-resolution SAR images. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 48 \(7\), 2967](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0120)–2979.
- [Guida, R., Iodice, A., Riccio, D., 2010. Height Retrieval of Isolated Buildings From Single](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0125) [High-Resolution SAR Images. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 48, 2967](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0125)–2979.
- Guo, R., Zhu, X.X., 2014. High-rise building feature extraction using high resolution spotlight TanDEM-X data. In: European Conference on Synthetic Aperture Radar (EUSAR), 2014.
- He, W., Jäger, M., Reigber, A., Hellwich, O., 2008. Building extraction from polarimetric [sar data using mean shift and conditional random fields. In: in: European Conference](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0135) [on Synthetic Aperture Radar \(EUSAR\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0135)
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., Sun, J., 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In: IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2016.
- [Hirschmuller, H., 2008. Stereo processing by semiglobal matching and mutual](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0145) [information. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 30 \(2\), 328](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0145)–341.
- [Huang, B., Li, Y., Han, X., Cui, Y., Li, W., Li, R., 2015. Cloud removal from optical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0150) satellite imagery with SAR imagery using sparse representation. IEEE Geosci. [Remote Sens. Lett. 12 \(5\), 1046](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0150)–1050.
- M. Jahangir, D. Blacknell, C. Moate, R. Hill, Extracting information from shadows in sar imagery. In: 2007 International Conference on Machine Vision, 2007.
- T.H. Kolbe, G. Gröger, L. Plümer, CityGML: Interoperable access to 3D city models. In: Geo-information for disaster management, Springer, 2005, pp. 883–899.
- [Kussul, N., Lavreniuk, M., Skakun, S., Shelestov, A., 2017. Deep learning classification of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0165) [land cover and crop types using remote sensing data. IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0165) [14 \(5\), 778](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0165)–782.
- [Laprade, G.L., Leonardo, E., 1969. Elevations from radar imagery. Photogram. Eng. 35,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0170) 366–[371](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0170).
- F. Leberl, Radargrammetric image processing, Artech House, 1989.
- [Li, Q., Mou, L., Xu, Q., Zhang, Y., Zhu, X.X., 2019.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0180) *R*3-Net: A deep network for [multioriented vehicle detection in aerial images and videos. IEEE Trans. Geosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0180) [Remote Sens. 57 \(7\), 5028](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0180)–5042.
- T.-Y. Lin, P. Goyal, R. Girshick, K. He, P. Dollár, Focal loss for dense object detection, in: IEEE international conference on computer vision, 2017.
- T.-Y. Lin, P. Dollár, R. Girshick, K. He, B. Hariharan, S. Belongie, Feature pyramid networks for object detection, in: IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR)), 2017.
- W. Liu, K. Suzuki, F. Yamazaki, Height estimation for high-rise buildings based on InSAR analysis, in: Joint Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2015.
- W. Liu, D. Anguelov, D. Erhan, C. Szegedy, S. Reed, C.-Y. Fu, A.C. Berg, SSD: Single shot multibox detector, in: European conference on computer vision, 2016.
- [Liu, B., Tang, K., Liang, J., 2017. A bottom-up/top-down hybrid algorithm for model](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0205)[based building detection in single very high resolution SAR image. IEEE Geosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0205) [Remote Sens. Lett. 14 \(6\), 926](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0205)–930.
- [Michaelsen, E., Soergel, U., Thoennessen, U., 2006. Perceptual grouping for automatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0210) [detection of man-made structures in high-resolution SAR data. Pattern Recogn. Lett.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0210) [27 \(4\), 218](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0210)–225.
- L. Mou, X.X. Zhu, IM2HEIGHT: Height estimation from single monocular imagery via fully residual convolutional-deconvolutional network, arXiv:1802.10249.
- L. Mou, Y. Hua, X.X. Zhu, A relation-augmented fully convolutional network for semantic segmentation in aerial scenes, in: IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2019.
- H. Oriot, H. Cantalloube, Circular SAR imagery for urban remote sensing, in: European Conference on Synthetic Aperture Radar (EUSAR), 2008.
- [Palm, S., Oriot, H.M., Cantalloube, H.M., 2012. Radargrammetric DEM Extraction Over](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0230) [Urban Area Using Circular SAR Imagery. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 50 \(11\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0230) [4720](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0230)–4725.
- [Quartulli, M., Datcu, M., 2004. Stochastic geometrical modeling for built-up area](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0235) [understanding from a single SAR intensity image with meter resolution. IEEE Trans.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0235) [Geosci. Remote Sens. 42 \(9\), 1996](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0235)–2003.
- J. Redmon, A. Farhadi, Yolov3: An incremental improvement, arXiv preprint arXiv: 1804.02767.
- [Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R., Sun, J., 2015. Faster R-CNN: Towards real-time object](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0245) [detection with region proposal networks. Advances in neural information processing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0245) [systems 28, 91](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0245)–99.
- A. Roth, M. Huber, D. Kosmann, Geocoding of TerraSAR-X data, in: International Congress of the ISPRS, 2004.
- F. Rottensteiner, G. Sohn, J. Jung, M. Gerke, C. Baillard, S. Benitez, U. Breitkopf, The ISPRS benchmark on urban object classification and 3D building reconstruction, ISPRS Annals of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences I-3 (2012), Nr. 1 1 (1) (2012) 293–298.
- M. Schwabisch, A fast and efficient technique for SAR interferogram geocoding, in: IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium Proceedings (IGARSS), 1998.
- [Shahzad, M., Maurer, M., Fraundorfer, F., Wang, Y., Zhu, X.X., 2019. Buildings detection](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0265) [in VHR SAR images using fully convolution neural networks. IEEE Trans. Geosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0265) [Remote Sens. 57 \(2\), 1100](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0265)–1116.
- J. Shermeyer, D. Hogan, J. Brown, A. Van Etten, N. Weir, F. Pacifici, R. Haensch, A. Bastidas, S. Soenen, T. Bacastow, et al., SpaceNet 6: Multi-sensor all weather mapping dataset, arxiv:2004.06500.
- [Simonetto, E., Oriot, H., Garello, R., 2005. Rectangular building extraction from](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0275) [stereoscopic airborne radar images. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 43 \(10\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0275) [2386](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0275)–2395.
- [Soergel, U., Michaelsen, E., Thiele, A., Cadario, E., Thoennessen, U., 2009. Stereo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0280) [analysis of high-resolution SAR images for building height estimation in cases of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0280) [orthogonal aspect directions. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0280) [64, 490](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0280)–500.
- [Soergel, U., Michaelsen, E., Thiele, A., Cadario, E., Thoennessen, U., 2009. Stereo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0285) [analysis of high-resolution SAR images for building height estimation in cases of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0285) [orthogonal aspect directions. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0285) [64 \(5\), 490](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0285)–500.
- H. Sportouche, F. Tupin, L. Denise, Building extraction and 3D reconstruction in urban areas from high-resolution optical and SAR imagery, in: Joint Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2009.
- [Sportouche, H., Tupin, F., Denise, L., 2011. Extraction and three-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0295) [reconstruction of isolated buildings in urban scenes from high-resolution optical and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0295) [SAR spaceborne images. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 49 \(10\), 3932](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0295)–3946.
- Y. Sun, M. Shahzad, X.X. Zhu, Building height estimation in single SAR image using OSM building footprints, in: Joint Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2017.
- Y. Sun, Y. Hua, L. Mou, X.X. Zhu, Large-scale building height estimation from single VHR SAR image using fully convolutional network and GIS building footprints, in: Joint Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2019.
- Y. Sun, Y. Wang, X.X. Zhu, Automatic registration of SAR image and GIS building footprints data in dense urban area, in: IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), 2019.
- [Sun, Y., Montazeri, S., Wang, Y., Zhu, X.X., 2020. Automatic registration of a single SAR](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0315) [image and GIS building footprints in a large-scale urban area. ISPRS Journal of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0315) [Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing 170, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0315)–14.
- [Sun, Y., Hua, Y., Mou, L., Zhu, X.X., 2021. CG-Net: Conditional GIS-aware network for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0320) [individual building segmentation in VHR SAR images. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0320) [Sens. 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0320)–15.
- K. Tang, B. Liu, B. Zou, High-rise building detection in dense urban area based on high resolution SAR images, in: IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), 2016.
- A. Thiele, S. Hinz, E. Cadario, Combining GIS and InSAR data for 3D building reconstruction, in: IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), 2010.
- [Thiele, A., Cadario, E., Schulz, K., Soergel, U., 2010. Analysis of gable-roofed building](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0335) [signature in multiaspect InSAR data. IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett. 7 \(1\), 83](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0335)–87.
- A. Thiele, C. Dubois, E. Cadario, S. Hinz, GIS-supported iterative filtering approach for building height estimation from InSAR data, in: European Conference on Synthetic Aperture Radar (EUSAR), 2012.
- A. Thiele, M.M. Wurth, M. Even, S. Hinz, Extraction of building shape from TanDEM-X data, ISPRS - International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences XL-1/W1 (2013) 345–350.
- [Toutin, T., 2004. Geometric processing of remote sensing images: models, algorithms and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0350) [methods. International journal of remote sensing 25 \(10\), 1893](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0350)–1924.
- TU Delft, 3D BAG by 3D geoinformation research group, https://3dbag.nl, accessed: 20- 05-2021.
- [Tupin, F., Roux, M., 2003. Detection of building outlines based on the fusion of SAR and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0360) [optical features. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing 58 \(1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0360)–2), 71–[82](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0360).
- [Wang, T.-L., Jin, Y.-Q., 2012. Postearthquake building damage assessment using multi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0365)[mutual information from pre-event optical image and postevent SAR image. IEEE](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0365) [Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett. 9 \(3\), 452](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0365)–456.
- Y. Wang, X.X. Zhu, Insar forensics: Tracing insar scatterers in high resolution optical image, in: Proceedings of FRINGE 2015 WORKSHOP, 2015.
- Y. Wang, F. Tupin, C. Han, J.-M. Nicolas, Building detection from high resolution PolSAR data by combining region and edge information, in: IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), 2008.
- [Wang, Z., Jiang, L., Lin, L., Yu, W., 2015. Building height estimation from high resolution](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0380) [SAR imagery via model-based geometrical structure prediction. Progress In](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0380) [Electromagnetics Research 41, 11](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0380)–24.
- J.D. Wegner, U. Soergel, A. Thiele, Building extraction in urban scenes from highresolution InSAR data and optical imagery, in: Joint Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2009.
- [Wegner, J.D., Ziehn, J.R., Soergel, U., 2014. Combining high-resolution optical and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0390) [InSAR features for height estimation of buildings with flat roofs. IEEE Trans. Geosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0390) [Remote Sens. 52 \(9\), 5840](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0390)–5854.
- L. Wen, F. Yamazaki, Building height detection from high-resolution TerraSAR-X imagery and GIS data, in: Joint Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2013.
- [Xu, F., Jin, Y.-Q., 2007. Automatic reconstruction of building objects from multiaspect](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0400) [meter-resolution SAR images. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 45 \(7\), 2336](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0400)–2353.
- J. Yang, J. Lu, D. Batra, D. Parikh, A Faster Pytorch Implementation of Faster R-CNN, https://github.com/jwyang/faster-rcnn.pytorch (2017).
- F. Zhang, Y. Shao, X. Zhang, T. Balz, Building L-shape footprint extraction from high resolution SAR image, in: Joint Urban Remote Sensing Event (JURSE), 2011.
- S. Zhang, A. Choromanska, Y. LeCun, Deep learning with elastic averaging sgd, arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6651.
- [Zhang, L., Zhang, L., Du, B., 2016. Deep learning for remote sensing data: A technical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0420) [tutorial on the state of the art. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine 4 \(2\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0420) 22–[40](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0420).
- [Zhao, L., Zhou, X., Kuang, G., 2013. Building detection from urban SAR image using](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0425) [building characteristics and contextual information. EURASIP Journal on Advances](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0425) [in Signal Processing 2013, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0924-2716(21)00322-1/h0425)–16.
- Zheng, Z., Wang, P., Liu, W., Li, J., Ye, R., Ren, D., 2020. Distance-IoU loss: Faster and better learning for bounding box regression. In: AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2020.
- Zhu, X.X., Sun, Y., Shi, Y., Wang, Y., Ge, N., 2018. Towards global 3d/4d urban modeling using tandem-x data. In: EUSAR 2018; 12th European Conference on Synthetic Aperture Radar, 2018, pp. 1–6.
- Zhu, X., Montazeri, S., Ali, M., Hua, Y., Wang, Y., Mou, L., Shi, Y., Xu, F., Bamler, R., 2021. Deep Learning Meets SAR: Concepts, Models, Pitfalls, and Perspectives, IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine pp (pp) (2021) 1–26.