Long-Term Survival Is Superior in Patients With Pulsatile Pulmonary Flow After the Björk Procedure

World Journal for Pediatric and Congenital Heart Surgery 2024, Vol. 15(1) 19-27 © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/21501351231205568 journals.sagepub.com/home/pch



Lukas Klemm, MS^{1,2}, Thibault Schaeffer, MD^{1,2}, Paul Philipp Heinisch, MD, PhD^{1,2}, Helena Staehler, MS^{1,2}, Christoph Röhlig, MD³, Christian Meierhofer, MD³, Nicole Piber, MD⁴, Alfred Hager, MD, PhD³, Peter Ewert, MD, PhD³, Jürgen Hörer, MD, PhD^{1,2}, and Masamichi Ono, MD, PhD^{1,2}

Abstract

Background: This study aims to evaluate clinical outcomes and hemodynamic variables late after the Björk procedure, regarding the pulmonary flow pattern. **Methods:** Patients who survived more than 15 years after the Björk procedure were included and then divided into two groups according to their pulmonary flow pattern by pulsed-wave Doppler assessment of echocardiography: patients with pulsatile systolic pulmonary flow (Group P) and those without (Group N). **Results:** A total of 43 patients were identified, of whom 13 patients were divided into Group P and 30 in Group N. Median age at the Björk procedure was 5.7 (2.1-7.3) years, and median follow-up was 32 (28-36) years. Survival after 15 years was higher in Group P, compared with Group N (100% vs 76% at 30 years, P = .045). Cardiac catheterization data demonstrated higher cardiac index in Group P patients compared with Group N patients (3.5 vs 2.8 L/m², P = .014). Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging study revealed that Group P patients had higher right ventricular end-diastolic volume index (96 vs 57 mL/m², P = .005), higher end-systolic volume index (49 vs 30 mL/m², P = .013) and higher right ventricular stroke volume index (48 vs 25 mL/m², P = .001), compared with Group N patients. Exercise capacity tests demonstrated that Group P patients showed a higher percent predicted peak oxygen consumption, compared with Group N patients (73 vs 58%, P < .001). **Conclusions:** Late after the Björk procedure, patients with a pulsatile systolic pulmonary flow had a larger right ventricle and better exercise capacity compared with those without pulsatile systolic pulmonary flow.

Keywords

CHD, univentricular heart, tricuspid atresia, Björk procedure, pulsatile pulmonary blood flow

Submitted June 7, 2023; Accepted September 18, 2023.

Meeting presentation: Presented at the 36th Annual Meeting of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery; October 5-8, 2022; Milan, Italy.

Introduction

The Björk procedure incorporates the right ventricle (RV) into the pulmonary circulation.¹ The idea behind this modification was to use the RV as a potential pumping chamber. This modification did not show an immediate haemodynamic superiority, or survival advantage over an atriopulmonary connection. However, RV growth was observed during follow-up in some patients, providing an RV-dependent pulmonary circulation.^{2,3} It has been demonstrated that a laminar flow, observed after atriopulmonary connection and total cavopulmonary connection (TCPC), causes structural vascular change and endothelial dysfunction in the long term,⁴ whereas pulsatile flow reduces vascular resistance and increases lung perfusion.⁵ Continuous flow may also lead to increased pulmonary vascular resistance.⁶ Nowadays, survivors following the Björk procedure reach their fourth or fifth decade of life. They often present with late complications such as arrhythmia, ventricular dysfunction, thromboembolic events, or protein-losing enteropathy (PLE).⁷ Our previous study demonstrated that patients with pulsatile pulmonary blood flow (PBF) showed a larger RV and better exercise capacity, compared with those with nonpulsatile pulmonary blood flow. 8

This study aims to compare further long-term outcomes in this cohort between Björk patients with a pulsatile pulmonary blood flow and those with a nonpulsatile PBF. We compared clinical presentations and hemodynamic findings between the groups. Finally, we analyzed the factors associated with pulsatile PBF.

Corresponding Author:



 ¹ Department of Congenital and Pediatric Heart Surgery, German Heart Center Munich, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany
 ² Division of Congenital and Pediatric Heart Surgery, University Hospital of Munich, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, Germany

³ Department of Congenital Heart Disease and Pediatric Cardiology, German Heart Center Munich at the Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany ⁴ Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, German Heart Center Munich, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany

Masamichi Ono, Department of Congenital and Pediatric Heart Surgery, German Heart Center Munich, Lazarettstraße 36, 80636 Munich, Germany. Email: ono@dhm.mhn.de

Abbreviations				
Cl	Cardiac Index			
CMRI	cardiac magnetic resonance imaging			
LVEDP	left ventricular end-diastolic pressure			
PBF	pulmonary blood flow			
PAP	pulmonary artery pressure			
PLE	protein-losing enteropathy			
RA	right atrium			
RV	right ventricle			
RVEDV(I)	right ventricular end-diastolic volume (index)			
RVEF	right ventricular ejection fraction			
RVESV(I)	right ventricular end-systolic volume (index)			
RVP	right ventricular pressure			
RVSV(I)	right ventricular stroke volume (index)			
TCPC	total cavopulmonary connection			
VO ₂	oxygen uptake			
VSD	ventricular septal defect			

Patients and Methods

Ethical Statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Technical University Munich (approved number of 2022-303-S-KH on June 27, 2022). Due to the retrospective nature of the study, the need for individual patient consent was waived.

Patients

We evaluated all patients who underwent the Björk procedure at the German Heart Center Munich between 1978 and 1995. All patients who survived longer than 15 years postoperatively and had their follow-up at our institution were included in this study. Medical records including the clinical status, physical examination, echocardiogram, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMRI), and cardiopulmonary exercise testing were reviewed.

Surgery and Intervention

The Björk procedure was performed according to the original method¹ with some modifications (Figure 1).⁸ Conventional TCPC conversion was done according to our previous study.⁹ A valve implantation into the right atrium-RV (RA-RV) connection was performed at the time when patients needed a Fontan pathway revision and demonstrated a pulsatile PBF in order to recruit the RV and create a biventricular circulation. TCPC conversion was considered in patients without pulsatile pulmonary blood flow. Percutaneous valve implantation in the RA-RV connection was performed with either a Melody (Medtronic) or Sapien (Edwards Lifesciences) valve, if applicable.

Echocardiography

Using the findings of echocardiography at 15 years postoperatively, the patients were divided into two groups based on the pulmonary flow patterns assessed by pulsed-wave Doppler. Group P (n = 13) consisted of patients who demonstrated pulsatile systolic flow, and group N (n = 30) which consisted of patients who did not.⁸



Figure I. Depiction of the Bjork procedure. (A) After establishing cardiopulmonary bypass and cardioplegic cardiac arrest, RA, and RV are incised. ASD and VSD are closed through atriotomy and ventriculotomy, respectively. (B) RA and RV are directly anastomosed in the posterior side of the RA-RV connection. (C) Anterior side of the RA-RV connection was augmented with a Dacron patch. Abbreviations: ASD, atrial septal defect; RA, right atrium; RV, right ventricle; VSD, ventricular septal defect.

Cardiac Catheterization

Cardiac catheterization examinations were performed when patients had clinical symptoms or echocardiographic findings. Pressure measurements were performed of the right atrium (RAP), pulmonary artery (PAP), right ventricle (RVP), and left ventricle (LVP). Additionally, Cardiac Index (CI) was calculated.

Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging was performed on a 1.5 T whole-body scanner using a phase array cardiac coil. The right ventricular end-diastolic volume (RVEDV) and right ventricular end-systolic volume (RVESV) were measured. Then, the

right ventricular ejection fraction (RVEF), RVEDV index (RVEDVI), RVESV index (RVESVI), and right ventricular stroke volume index (RVSVI) were calculated.

Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test

A symptom-limited cardiopulmonary exercise test on an electronically braked cycle ergometer (Ergoline) in the upright position was performed. Peak oxygen uptake (VO₂) was defined as the highest mean uptake of any 30 s time interval during exercise. Age- and sex-related reference values (percent-predicted peak VO₂) were calculated.

Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables are presented as absolute numbers and percentages. A χ^2 test was used for categorical data. Continuous variables are expressed as medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs) or means with standard deviation (SD). The Student *t* test was used to compare normally distributed variables, and the Mann-Whitney test was used for variables that were not normally distributed. Overall survival was evaluated by the Kaplan-Meier method, and comparison between Group P and Group N was performed using log-rank test. Various factors were analyzed to find out whether there was an association with a pulsatile flow using logistic regression model. Data analysis was performed with SPSS 28.0 for Windows (IBM) and R statistical software 4.2.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

Results

We identified 66 patients who underwent the Björk modification at the German Heart Center Munich between 1978 and 1995. A flowchart of the selection criteria is shown in Figure 2. The causes of 14 deaths within 15 years are shown in Supplemental Table S1. Competing risk plots of death and reoperation in all 66 patients are shown in Supplemental Figure 1. Among 52 patients who survived more than 15 years postoperatively, 43 patients had follow-up examinations at our institution and were included in this study. Patients' characteristics and operative variables are shown in Table 1. The median age at the Björk procedure was 5.7 (2.1-7.3) years. Diagnoses included tricuspid atresia (n = 39), tricuspid stenosis (n = 2), and double inlet left ventricles (n = 2). Ventricular septal defect (VSD) was closed directly without patch more frequently in Group P, compared with Group N (61% vs 27%, P=.03). No patient had a Glenn anastomosis prior to or at the Björk procedure.

Late Mortality and Morbidities

Median follow-up since 15 years after the Björk procedure was 17 (IQR 13-21) years. There were seven late deaths occurring later than 15 years postoperatively, and all of them were observed in Group N. There was no heart transplantation. The estimated transplant-free survival in our study cohort of patients who survived more than 15 years postoperatively was higher in Group P, compared with Group N (P = .045, Figure 3).

The late morbidities are shown in Table 2. TCPC conversion was performed in nine patients including two patients in Group P (21.7 and 29.2 years postoperatively) and seven patients in Group N with median of 22.2 (21.3-24.3) years postoperatively. Surgical valve implantation into the RA-RV connection was performed in four patients in Group P (28.0, 29.4, 35.2, and 35.4 years postoperatively). Transcatheter valve implantation into the RA-RV connection was performed in four patients including two in Group P patients (21.4 and 29.4 years postoperatively) and two in Group N patients (25.3 and 31.0 years postoperatively). All nine patients after TCPC conversion and eight patients after surgical/interventional valve implantation into the RA-RV connection were alive at their last follow-up.



Figure 2. Flowchart showing patient enrolment.

AllGroup PGroup N $(\$)$ (\$) or median (IQR) $(n = 13)$ $(n = 13)$ $(n = 13)$ $(n = 13)$ PAge at Björk operation (year)5.7 (2.1-7.3)6.0 (1.6-8.4)5.6 (1.9-8.1)2.5Male sex20 (47)5 (39)15 (50).49Primary diagnosisTricuspid atresia39 (91)12 (92)27 (90).81I b29 (67)7 (54)22 (73).21I c10 (23)5 (39)5 (17).12Tricuspid stenosis2 (5)0 (0)2 (7).34Double-inlet left ventricle2 (5)1 (8)1 (3).533Palliative procedureNone13 (30)6 (46)7 (23).14Acropulmonary shunt11 (26)2 (15)9 (30).31Pulmonary artery banding10 (23)4 (31)6 (20).32V valvectomy1 (2)0 (0)1 (3).51Others1 (2)0 (0)1 (3).51Prior pacemaker implantation1 (2)0 (0)1 (3).51Darcot patch3 (7)2 (15)1 (3).51Darcot patch3 (7)3 (23)0 (0).77Patch27 (63)5 (39)22 (73).03Darcot patch4 (9)0 (0)4 (13).77Patch27 (63)5 (39)22 (73).03Darcot patch4 (9)0 (0)4 (13).77Patch27 (63)5 (39)22 (73).03Darcot patch <th>Characteristics</th> <th>A 11</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Characteristics	A 11			
Age at Björk operation (year)5.7 (2.1-7.3)6.0 (1.6-8.4)5.6 (1.9-8.1).25Male sex20 (47)5 (39)15 (50).49Primary diagnosis77 (54)22 (73).21I b29 (67)7 (54)22 (73).21I c10 (23)5 (39)5 (17).12I c10 (23)5 (39)5 (17).12Palliative procedure2 (5)0 (0)2 (7).34None13 (30)6 (46)7 (23).14A cortopulmonary shunt11 (26)2 (15)9 (30).31Pulmonary artery banding10 (23)4 (31)6 (20).32PV valvectomy1 (2)0 (0)1 (3).51Others1 (2)0 (0)1 (3).51Orters1 (2)0 (0)1 (3).51Orters3 (7)2 (15)1 (3).51Orters3 (7)2 (15)1 (3).51Prior pacemaker implantation1 (2)0 (0)1 (3).51Daron patch3 (7)3 (23)0 (0).71Pricupid39 (91)13 (100)26 (87).17Picupid4 (9)0 (0)4 (13).43Pareconstruction11 (26)3 (23)8 (27).80VD alcoure7 (63)5 (39)22 (73).03Pricet16 (37)8 (61)8 (27).80VD alcoure7 (63)5 (39)22 (73).03Direct16 (37)	N (%) or median (IQR)	(n = 43)	(n = 13)	(n = 30)	Р
Male sex20 (47)5 (39)15 (50)49Primary diagnosisricuspid atresia39 (91)12 (92)27 (90).811 b29 (67)7 (54)22 (73).211 c10 (23)5 (39)5 (17).121 c10 (23)5 (39)5 (17).121 cuspid stenosis2 (5)0 (0)2 (7).34Double-inlet left ventricle2 (5)1 (8)1 (3).533Palliative procedureNone13 (30)6 (46)7 (23).14None13 (30)6 (46)7 (23).14Acrtopulmonary shunt11 (26)2 (15)9 (30).31Pulmonary artery banding10 (23)4 (31)6 (20).44VSD/RVOT enlargement7 (16)1 (8)6 (20).32PV valvectomy1 (2)0 (0)1 (3).51Others1 (2)0 (0)1 (3).51Daron patch3 (7)2 (15)1 (3)Pericardial patch3 (7)3 (23)0 (0)PV morphologyTricuspid3 (9 (91)13 (100)26 (87).17Ricuspid4 (9)0 (0)4 (13).81Patech27 (63)5 (39)22 (73).03Direct16 (37)8 (61)8 (27).80VSD closureT12 (92)27 (90).81Direct4 (9)1 (8)3 (10).71Patch39 (91)12 (92)27 (90).81Dir	Age at Björk operation (year)	5.7 (2.1-7.3)	6.0 (1.6-8.4)	5.6 (1.9-8.1)	.25
Primary diagnosis Construction Construction Tricuspid atresia 39 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) &1 1 b 29 (67) 7 (54) 22 (73) .21 1 c 10 (23) 5 (39) 5 (17) .12 Tricuspid stenosis 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (7) .34 Double-inlet left ventricle 2 (5) 1 (8) 1 (3) .533 Palliative procedure 7 .4 .4 .533 Pulmonary artery banding 10 (23) 4 (31) 6 (20) .31 Pulmonary artery banding 10 (23) 4 (31) 6 (20) .32 PV valvectomy 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 Others 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 Prior pacemaker implantation 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 RA-RV connection	Male sex	20 (47)	5 (39)	15 (50)	.49
Tricuspid atresia39 (91)12 (92)27 (90)811 b29 (67)7 (54)22 (73).211 c10 (23)5 (39)5 (17).12Tricuspid stenosis2 (5)0 (0)2 (7).34Double-inlet left ventricle2 (5)1 (8)1 (3).533Palliative procedure	Primary diagnosis				
I b29 (67)7 (54)22 (73)21I c10 (23)5 (39)5 (17).12Tricuspid stenosis2 (5)0 (0)2 (7).34Double-inlet left ventricle2 (5)1 (8)1 (3).533Palliative procedure.14None13 (30)6 (46)7 (23).14Aortopulmonary shunt11 (26)2 (15)9 (30).31Pulmonary artery banding10 (23)4 (31)6 (20).32PV valvectomy1 (2)0 (0)1 (3).51Others1 (2)0 (0)1 (3).51Prior pacemaker implantation1 (2)0 (0)1 (3).51Prior pacemaker implantation3 (7)2 (15)1 (3).51Pericardial patch3 (7)2 (15)1 (3).71Bacupid3 (7)3 (23)0 (0).77.80VSD closure.76(3)5 (39).22 (73).03Pricet dial patch27 (63)5 (39).22 (73).03Direct16 (37)8 (61)8 (27).80VSD closure.77 (90).81Patch29 (91)12 (92).27 (90).81Direct4 (9)1 (8)3 (10).75ASD closure.77 (90).81.75.75.66Direct4 (9)1 (8)3 (10).76.76.75ASD closure.76.76.76.76.76.15 </td <td>Tricuspid atresia</td> <td>39 (91)</td> <td>12 (92)</td> <td>27 (90)</td> <td>.81</td>	Tricuspid atresia	39 (91)	12 (92)	27 (90)	.81
1 c 10 (23) 5 (39) 5 (17) .12 Tricuspid stenosis 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (7) .34 Double-inlet left ventricle 2 (5) 1 (8) 1 (3) .533 Pallative procedure 3 (30) 6 (46) 7 (23) .14 Aortopulmonary shunt 11 (26) 2 (15) 9 (30) .31 Pulmonary artery banding 10 (23) 4 (31) 6 (20) .32 PV avlectomy 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 Others 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 Others 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 Others 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 Dacron patch 3 (7) 2 (15) 1 (3) .51 Pericardial patch 3 (7) 3 (23) 0 (0) .72 .73 Valve homograft 3 (7) 3 (23) 0 (0) .71 .74 Pericardial patch 3 (7) 3 (23) 8 (27) .80 VSD closure 2 76 (3) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03<	Ib	29 (67)	7 (54)	22 (73)	.21
Tricuspid stenosis 2 (5) 0 (0) 2 (7) .34 Double-inlet left ventricle 2 (5) 1 (8) 1 (3) .533 Palliative procedure	lc	10 (23)	5 (39)	5 (17)	.12
Double-inlet left ventricle 2 (5) 1 (8) 1 (3) .533 Palliative procedure	Tricuspid stenosis	2 (5)	0 (0)	2 (7)	.34
Palliative procedure None 13 (30) 6 (46) 7 (23) .14 Aortopulmonary shunt 11 (26) 2 (15) 9 (30) .31 Pulmonary artery banding 10 (23) 4 (31) 6 (20) .44 VSD/RVOT enlargement 7 (16) 1 (8) 6 (20) .32 PV valvectomy 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 Orters 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 Prior pacemaker implantation 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 RA-RV connection	Double-inlet left ventricle	2 (5)	1 (8)	I (3)	.533
None 13 (30) 6 (46) 7 (23) .14 Aortopulmonary shunt 11 (26) 2 (15) 9 (30) .31 Pulmonary artery banding 10 (23) 4 (31) 6 (20) .44 VSD/RVOT enlargement 7 (16) 1 (8) 6 (20) .32 PV valvectomy 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 Others 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 Prior pacemaker implantation 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 RA-RV connection 3 (7) 2 (15) 1 (3) .51 Goretex patch 3 (7) 0 (0) 3 (10) .66 (87) .01 Dacron patch 3 (7) 3 (23) 0 (0) .7 .7 Vel dhomograft 3 (7) 3 (23) 0 (0) .7 .7 Valved homograft 3 (7) 3 (23) 8 (27) .80 .7 Bicuspid 4 (9) 0 (0) 4 (13) .7 .80 .7 Direct	Palliative procedure	()			
Aortopulmonary shuntI I (26) 2 (15) 9 (30) .31Pulmonary artery banding10 (23) 4 (31) 6 (20) .44VSD/RVOT enlargement7 (16) 1 (8) 6 (20) .32PV valvectomy1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51Others1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51Prior pacemaker implantation1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51RA-RV connection V V V V V Goretex patch34 (79) 8 (62) 26 (87) .01Dacron patch3 (7) 2 (15) 1 (3) .51Pricardial patch3 (7) 0 (0) 3 (10) .51Valved homograft3 (7) 3 (23) 0 (0) .71Pricuspid39 (91) 13 (100) 26 (87) .17Bicuspid4 (9) 0 (0) 4 (13) .80VSD closure V V S S S Patch27 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03Direct4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) .71ASD closure V V V S S Patch39 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81Direct4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) .15ASD closure V V V V V Patch39 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81Direct4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) .15 <td< td=""><td>None</td><td>13 (30)</td><td>6 (46)</td><td>7 (23)</td><td>.14</td></td<>	None	13 (30)	6 (46)	7 (23)	.14
Pulmonary artery banding 10 (23) 4 (31) 6 (20) .44 VSD/RVOT enlargement 7 (16) 1 (8) 6 (20) .32 PV valvectomy 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 Others 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 Prior pacemaker implantation 1 (2) 0 (0) 1 (3) .51 RA-RV connection 34 (79) 8 (62) 26 (87) .01 Dacron patch 3 (7) 2 (15) 1 (3) .51 Pericardial patch 3 (7) 3 (23) 0 (0) .717 Valved homograft 3 (7) 3 (23) 0 (0) .717 Bicuspid 4 (9) 0 (0) 4 (13) .717 Bicuspid 4 (9) 0 (0) 4 (13) .717 PX construction 11 (26) 3 (23) 8 (27) .80 VSD closure .716 .717 .73 .73 .73 .73 .73 Patch 27 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) <t< td=""><td>Aortopulmonary shunt</td><td>11 (26)</td><td>2 (15)</td><td>9 (30)</td><td>.31</td></t<>	Aortopulmonary shunt	11 (26)	2 (15)	9 (30)	.31
VSD/RVOT enlargement7 (16)1 (8)6 (20).32PV valvectomyI (2)0 (0)I (3).51OthersI (2)0 (0)I (3).51Prior pacemaker implantationI (2)0 (0)I (3).51Prior pacemaker implantationI (2)0 (0)I (3).51RA-RV connection	Pulmonary artery banding	10 (23)	4 (31)	6 (20)	.44
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} PV \mbox{ valuectomy} & \mathbf{i} (2) & 0 (0) & \mathbf{i} (3) & .51 \\ Others & \mathbf{i} (2) & 0 (0) & \mathbf{i} (3) & .51 \\ Prior \mbox{ pacemaker implantation} & \mathbf{i} (2) & 0 (0) & \mathbf{i} (3) & .51 \\ RA-RV \mbox{ connection} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	VSD/RVOT enlargement	7 (16)	l (8)	6 (20)	.32
OthersIII0013.51Prior pacemaker implantationI(2)0(0)I(3).51RA-RV connection 34 (79)8(62)26(87).01Dacron patch3(7)2(15)I(3)Pericardial patch3(7)0(0)3(10)Valved homograft3(7)3(23)0(0)PV morphologyTricuspid39(91)13(100)26(87).17Bicuspid4(9)0(0)4(13).17Bicuspid4(9)0(0)4(13).17Bicuspid4(9)0(0)4(13).17Direct16(37)8(61)8(27).80VSD closureVSD closureVSD closure.16.15.16.15Patch27(63)5(39)22.73.03Direct16(37)8(61)8.27.21ASD closurePatch39(91)12(92)27(90).81Direct4(9)1CPB time (min)90(75-106)7670-78).94Direct4(9)1	PV valvectomy		0 0	l (3)	.51
Prior pacemaker implantation I (2) 0 (0) I (3) .51 RA-RV connection	Others		0 (0)	(3)	.51
RA-RV connection Goretex patch 34 (79) 8 (62) 26 (87) .01 Dacron patch 3 (7) 2 (15) 1 (3) Pericardial patch 3 (7) 0 (0) 3 (10) Valved homograft 3 (7) 3 (23) 0 (0) PV morphology Tricuspid 39 (91) 13 (100) 26 (87) .17 Bicuspid 4 (9) 0 (0) 4 (13) PA reconstruction 11 (26) 3 (23) 8 (27) .80 VSD closure Patch 27 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct 16 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) .80 VSD closure Patch 27 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct 16 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) .80 CPB time (min) 39 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) .61 CPB time (min) 50 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	Prior pacemaker implantation	1 (2)	0 0	I (3)	.51
Goretex patch 34 (79) 8 (62) 26 (87) .01 Dacron patch 3 (7) 2 (15) 1 (3) Pericardial patch 3 (7) 0 (0) 3 (10) Valved homograft 3 (7) 3 (23) 0 (0) PV morphology Tricuspid 39 (91) 13 (100) 26 (87) .17 Bicuspid 4 (9) 0 (0) 4 (13) 100 20 (87) .80 VSD closure 11 (26) 3 (23) 8 (27) .80 VSD closure 27 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct 16 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) .80 ASD closure 27 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct 16 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) .81 Oclosure 27 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct 4 (9) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) .15 CPB time (min) 90 (75-106)	RA-RV connection	()			
Dacron patch 3 (7) 2 (15) 1 (3) Pericardial patch 3 (7) 0 (0) 3 (10) Valved homograft 3 (7) 3 (23) 0 (0) PV morphology Tricuspid 39 (91) 13 (100) 26 (87) .17 Bicuspid 4 (9) 0 (0) 4 (13) 100 100 100 PA reconstruction 11 (26) 3 (23) 8 (27) .80 VSD closure 16 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) .80 Patch 27 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct 16 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) .81 ASD closure 11 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) .15 CPB time (min) 90 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	Goretex patch	34 (79)	8 (62)	26 (87)	.01
Pericardial patch 3 (7) 0 (0) 3 (10) Valved homograft 3 (7) 3 (23) 0 (0) PV morphology Tricuspid 3 (9) 13 (100) 26 (87) .17 Bicuspid 4 (9) 0 (0) 4 (13) PA reconstruction 11 (26) 3 (23) 8 (27) .80 VSD closure Patch 27 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct 16 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) .03 ASD closure Patch 39 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) .15 .15 ASD closure 90 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 ASD closure	Dacron patch	3 (7)	2 (15)	(3)	
Valved homograft 3 (7) 3 (23) 0 (0) PV morphology 7 39 (91) 13 (100) 26 (87) .17 Bicuspid 4 (9) 0 (0) 4 (13) 1 PA reconstruction 11 (26) 3 (23) 8 (27) .80 VSD closure 7 63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct 16 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) .81 ASD closure 7 99 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) .15 .15 CPB time (min) 90 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	Pericardial patch	3 (7)	0 (0)	3 (10)	
PV morphology Tricuspid 39 (91) 13 (100) 26 (87) .17 Bicuspid 4 (9) 0 (0) 4 (13) PA reconstruction 11 (26) 3 (23) 8 (27) .80 VSD closure 7 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct 16 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) .40 ASD closure 7 99 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) .15 CPB time (min) 90 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	Valved homograft	3 (7)	3 (23)	0 (0)	
Tricuspid 39 (91) 13 (100) 26 (87) .17 Bicuspid 4 (9) 0 (0) 4 (13) PA reconstruction 11 (26) 3 (23) 8 (27) .80 VSD closure 7 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct 16 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) .03 ASD closure 7 99 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) .15 CPB time (min) 90 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	PV morphology				
Bicuspid 4 (9) 0 (0) 4 (13) PA reconstruction 11 (26) 3 (23) 8 (27) .80 VSD closure 7 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct 16 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) ASD closure 7 99 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) .15 CPB time (min) 90 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	Tricuspid	39 (91)	13 (100)	26 (87)	.17
PA reconstruction I I (26) 3 (23) 8 (27) .80 VSD closure Patch 27 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct I6 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) .03 ASD closure Patch 39 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) I (8) 3 (10) .15 CPB time (min) 90 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	Bicuspid	4 (9)	0 (0)	4 (13)	
VSD closure Patch 27 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct I6 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) ASD closure Patch 39 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) I (8) 3 (10) .05 CPB time (min) 90 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	PA reconstruction	11 (26)	3 (23)	8 (27)	.80
Patch 27 (63) 5 (39) 22 (73) .03 Direct 16 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) ASD closure 7 7 9 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) 15 .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) .55 (47-63) .06	VSD closure	()	- ()	- ()	
Direct I6 (37) 8 (61) 8 (27) ASD closure 7 8 8 8 Patch 39 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) CPB time (min) 90 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	Patch	27 (63)	5 (39)	22 (73)	.03
ASD closure 39 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) CPB time (min) 90 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	Direct	16 (37)	8 (61)	8 (27)	
Patch 39 (91) 12 (92) 27 (90) .81 Direct 4 (9) 1 (8) 3 (10) CPB time (min) 90 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	ASD closure		- ()	- ()	
Direct 4 (9) I (8) 3 (10) CPB time (min) 90 (75-106) 76 (70-98) 94 (76-108) .15 AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	Patch	39 (91)	12 (92)	27 (90)	.81
CPB time (min)90 (75-106)76 (70-98)94 (76-108).15AXC time (min)53 (38-62)40 (29-49)55 (47-63).06	Direct	4 (9)	(8)	3 (10)	
AXC time (min) 53 (38-62) 40 (29-49) 55 (47-63) .06	CPB time (min)	90 (75-106)	76 (70-98)	94 (76-108)	.15
	AXC time (min)	53 (38-62)	40 (29-49)	55 (47-63)	.06

Table I. Patient Characteristics and Data of Initial Björk Procedure.

Abbreviations: ASD, atrial septal defect; AXC, aortic cross- clamp; CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; PA, pulmonary artery; PV, pulmonary valve; RA, right atrium; RV, right ventricle; RVOT, right ventricular outflow tract; VSD, ventricular septal defect.

Hemodynamic Data

Cardiac catheterization was performed in 37 patients at a mean follow-up of nine years with the starting time being 15 years postoperatively (Table 3). Although there was no significant difference in systolic- (P = .181), diastolic- (P = .289), and mean PAP (P = .329), delta PAP (systolic PAP-diastolic PAP) was higher in group P than in group N (8.9 vs 5.4 mm Hg, P = .047). Cardiac Index was significantly higher in Group P, compared with Group N (3.5 vs 2.8 L/m², P = .014).

Ventricular Volume Study by Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging was performed in 29 patients at a mean follow-up of 12 years with a starting time of 15 years after the Björk procedure. Right ventricular end-diastolic volume (162 vs 101 mL, P = .005), RVESV (82 vs 56 mL, P = .043), RVSV (80 vs 50 mL, P = .003),

RVEDVI (95 vs 56 mL/m², P = .005), RVESVI (49 vs 29 mL/m², P = .013), RVSVI (47 vs 24 mL/m², P = .001), and the RVEDV/LVEDV ratio (1.44 vs 0.59, P < .001), were higher in Group P compared with Group N.

Exercise Capacity

Cardiopulmonary exercise tests were performed in 38 patients (68 examinations in 11 patients in Group P and 157 examinations in 27 patients in Group N) at a median follow-up of 24 (IQR 21-29) years postoperatively. Peak oxygen uptake (VO₂) was higher in Group P (23.8 [18.8-28.1] mL/kg/min) compared with Group N (19.5 [15.5-24.5] mL/kg/min, P < .001). Percent predicted peak VO₂ was higher in patients of Group P (76.1 [64.0-83.9] %) compared with Group N (59.0 [46.1-69.5] %, P < .001). Yearly distributions in percent predicted peak VO₂ in individual Björk patients are shown in Figure 4.



Figure 3. The estimated transplant-free survival in our study cohort of patients who survived more than 15 years postoperatively was higher in group P, compared with group N (P = .045).

Factors Associated With Pulsatile Pulmonary Blood Flow

Direct closure of VSD (P = .035, OR: 1.400), higher RVEDVI (P = .056, OR: 1.062), higher RVESVI (P = .054, OR: 1.083), higher RVSVI (P = .091, OR: 1.327), higher delta PAP (P = .069, 1.176), higher CI (P = .041, OR: 3.047), larger RV size at last follow-up (P = .006, OR: 21.001), and no incidence of late arrhythmia (P = .039, OR: 0.208), were identified as associated factors with pulsatile PBF (Supplemental Table S2).

Comment

Patients with pulsatile pulmonary flow late after the Björk procedure had better survival compared with patients with nonpulsatile pulmonary flow. Furthermore, they showed better cardiac output, a larger RV size, and a better exercise capacity, compared with those with nonpulsatile flow.

Late Interventions for Right Atrium to Right Ventricle Connection

Right atrium-right ventricle graft/conduit dysfunction is a very common reason for symptoms after the Björk procedure. TCPC conversion is an option for these patients. However, valve implantation into the RA-RV pathway is an alternative to a TCPC conversion. Shah, et al demonstrated 16 patients who underwent transcatheter valve implantation into the RA-RV connection after the Björk procedure.¹⁰ There were case reports describing a successful catheter-based valve

implantation into the RA-RV connection.¹¹⁻¹⁶ Surgically, Rybicka et al reported an exchange of the homograft located between the RA and the RV.17 Several succeeding case reports showed reoperations of the Björk pathway using a bioprosthetic valve.¹⁸⁻²⁰ In group P patients of our series, four interventional and four surgical valve implantations into the RA-RV connection were performed. Two patients underwent TCPC conversion; one patient received the TCPC conversion in the early era. At that time the concept of valve implantation into the RA-RV connection was not yet established. The other patient underwent a TCPC conversion in another clinic. In group N patients of our series, two interventional valve implantations into the RA-RV connection were performed in the early era. Specific criteria, when a valve implantation into the RA-RV connection was indicated, had not been established back then. In general, valve implantation into the RA-RV connection seems reasonable in patients with a sufficiently developed and wellfunctioning RV. Whereas in patients with a the small RV and nonpulsatile pulmonary blood flow, TCPC conversion should be an option if it is indicated.

Benefit of Pulsatile Pulmonary Blood Flow in the Long Term After the Björk Procedure

Our results demonstrated that patients with pulsatile pulmonary flow had no mortality and no incidence of PLE, whereas patients with nonpulsatile pulmonary blood flow had seven deaths and three cases of PLE. Cardiac catheterization data showed that delta PAP was significantly different between the

Table 2. Patient	Postoperative	Data.
------------------	---------------	-------

N (%) or median (IQR) Follow-up period (year) ^a	(n = 43) 17 (13-21) 21 (49)	(n = 13) 20 (16-23)	(n = 30)
Follow-up period (year) ^a	17 (13-21) 21 (49)	20 (16-23)	
	21 (49)		16 (12-25)
Reoperation		8 (62)	13 (43)
Conversion to TCPC	9 (21)	2 (15)	7 (23)
Valve implantation in RA-RV	4 (9)	4 (31)	0 (0)
Björk pathway revision	6 (14)	3 (23)	3 (10)
Rest shunt closure	4 (9)	I (8)	3 (10)
Mitral valve procedure	3 (7)	0 (0)	3 (10)
Others	8 (19)	2 (15)	6 (20)
Pacemaker implantation	11 (26)	4 (31)	7 (23)
Intervention			
PA balloon dilatation	I (2)	0 (0)	I (3)
PA stent implantation	3 (7)	0 (0)	3 (10)
RA-RV balloon dilatation	5 (12)	I (8)	4 (13)
RA-RV stent implantation	4 (9)	3 (23)	I (3)
RA-RV valve implantation	4 (9)	2 (15)	2 (7)
Rest shunt occlusion	4 (9)	I (8)	3 (10)
Atrial tachyarrhythmia		()	
Electrophysiological study	33 (77)	9 (69)	24 (80)
Ablation	27 (63)	6 (46)	21 (70)
Cardioversion	22 (51)	5 (39)	17 (57)
Left ventricular function			
Normal	29 (67)	10 (77)	19 (63)
Impaired	14 (33)	3 (23)	II (37)
Cardiac decompensation	11 (26)	3 (23)	8 (27)
Other postoperative			
morbidities			
Thrombus formation	7 (16)	3 (23)	4 (13)
Pleural effusion	13 (30)	3 (23)	I0 (70)
PLE	3 (7)	0 (0)	3 (10)
PB	0 0	0 (0)	0 (0)
NYHA class (36 survivors)			
l , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	16 (37)	7 (54)	9 (30)
II	l6 (37)	4 (31)́	12 (40)
III	4 (9)	2 (15)	2 (7)
IV	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 0

Abbreviations: NYHA, New York Heart Association; PA, pulmonary artery; PB, pulmonary blood; PLE, protein losing enteropathy; RA, right atrium; RV, right ventricle; TCPC, total cavopulmonary connection.

groups. We assume that the energy generated by the RV in patients with pulsatile pulmonary blood flow to augment the forward flow to the pulmonary artery is low. However, even a small systolic pulsatile flow in the pulmonary circulation synchronized with the systolic motion of the left ventricle might be clinically relevant. The assistance of the RV to the pulmonary perfusion in patients with pulsatile PBF might be beneficial, especially during cardiopulmonary exercise. Therefore, recruiting the small RV in patients with tricuspid atresia and normal position of the great arteries should be reconsidered.

Reconsideration of Right Atrium to Right Ventricle Connection

The Björk procedure was abandoned in favor of the TCPC, mostly due to stenosis/regurgitation occurring in the RA-RV connection and unfavorable hemodynamics. While it is intuitive that a pulsatile flow could be beneficial for maintaining Fontan circulation in patients following the Björk procedure, one has to weigh in the disadvantages that it brings in practice: reoperations due to the progressive stenosis and/or regurgitation of the RA-RV connection, atrial tachyarrhythmia due to the dilation of the RA, thrombus formation, pleural effusion, and PLE. In this cohort, 33 (77%) of patients showed late atrial tachyarrhythmia.

However, nonpulsatile pulmonary flow might be the cause of late complications after the TCPC. Our results demonstrated that patients with pulsatile pulmonary flow had better survival and maintained better hemodynamics, compared with those with nonpulsatile flow. Therefore, in order to promote RV growth, the idea to integrate the RV into the pulmonary circulation could be reconsidered. Some of these patients could

Table 3. Patient Hemodynamic Data.

Characteristic Mean \pm SD All Group P Group N P value N = 37N = 26Cardiac catheterization N = II 5.8 ± 7.5 .919 Postop period (year)^a 5.7 <u>+</u> 6.4 5.6 ± 6.6 RAP (mm Hg) 14.3 ± 4.9 $|3.| \pm 4.4$ 14.9 ± 5.1 .164 24.1 ± 8.6 Systolic RVP (mm Hg) 21.9 ± 7.2 20.7 ± 6.3 .124 Diastolic RVP (mm Hg) 7.0 ± 4.9 5.9 ± 4.0 7.5 ± 5.3 .212 8.9 ± 3.1 End-diastolic RVP (mm Hg) 10.6 ± 4.7 11.6 ± 5.3 .063 20.4 ± 9.0 Systolic PAP (mm Hg) 18.6 ± 7.3 17.9 ± 6.5 .181 Diastolic PAP (mm Hg) 12.2 ± 4.7 11.5 ± 4.2 12.5 ± 4.9 .289 Mean PAP (mm Hg) 13.8 ± 4.7 13.2 ± 4.2 14.0 ± 4.9 .329 Delta PAP (mm Hg) 6.4 ± 4.8 8.9 ± 1.9 5.4 ± 3.8 .047 Systolic LVP (mm Hg) 94.5 ± 14.2 98.5 ± 17.1 92.8 ± 12.7 .137 End-diastolic LVP (mm Hg) 9.7 ± 4.7 7.8 ± 4.6 10.5 ± 4.5 .060 PVR (WU) 1.8 ± 0.4 2.2 ± 1.5 .227 2.1 ± 1.3 Cardiac Index (L/min/m²) 2.9 ± 0.9 3.5 ± 0.8 2.8 ± 0.8 .014 N = 17CMRI N = 26N = 9Postop period (year)^a 8.1 ± 4.2 10.1 ± 4.1 7.5 ± 4.1 .190 50.1 ± 4.0 RV EF (%) 49.1 ± 6.9 48.5 ± 8.1 .616 162.7 ± 36.6 .005 RVEDV (mL) 122.0 ± 60.8 101.6 ± 61.1 RVESV (mL) 65.6 ± 31.4 82.0 ± 22.3 56.8 ± 32.7 .043 RV SV (mL) 60.8 ± 28.4 80.7 ± 14.6 50.0 ± 28.5 .003 RV EDVI (mL/m²) 70.4 ± 34.3 95.9 ± 27.0 56.7 ± 30.3 .005 RV ESVI (mL/m²) 36.6 ± 18.7 49.0 ± 15.5 29.9 ± 17.3 .013 RV SVI (mL/m²) 32.3 ± 17.0 47.8 ± 8.6 24.6 ± 14.7 .001 LV EF (%) 52.8 ± 9.9 55.0 ± 7.2 51.6 ± 11.0 .206 LVEDV (mL) 133.0 ± 34.8 119.4 ± 27.6 140.2 ± 36.8 .076 LVESV (mL) 64.4 ± 24.1 55.2 ± 13.5 69.3 ± 27.3 180. LV SV (mL) 69.3 ± 21.1 66.1 ± 20.0 71.0 ± 22.0 .292 LV EDVI (mL/m²) 74.3 ± 15.5 70.0 ± 10.8 76.5 17.3 .158 LV ESVI (mL/m²) 35.7 ± 13.7 31.0 ± 5.4 38.1 ± 16.1 .056 .310 LV SVI (mL/m²) 38.8 ± 8.8 41.6 ± 9.9 37.4 ± 8.1 2.37 ± 0.49 Cardiac Index (L/m²) 2.4 <u>+</u> 0.4 2.34 ± 0.34 446 .858 26.5 ± 22.4 RA-RV regurgitation (%) 28.3 ± 20.9 25.6 ± 24.4 **RVEDV/LVEDV** 0.87 ± 0.57 1.44 ± 0.50 0.59 ± 0.34 <.001

Abbreviations: CMRI, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging; LVEDV, left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LV EF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESV, left ventricular end-systolic volume; LVP, left ventricular pressure; PAP, pulmonary artery pressure; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; RA, right atrium; RAP, right atrial pressure; RV, right ventricular ejection fraction; RVEDV; right ventricular end-diastolic volume; RVESV, right ventricular end systolic volume; RVP, right ventricular pressure; RV, right ventricular end systolic volume; RVP, right ventricular pressure; RV, right ventricular pressure; RV, right ventricular end systolic volume.

^aPostoperative period was calculated since 15 years after Björk procedure.

Bold indicates statistically significant difference with a p-value < .05.

benefit from a Björk-type RA-RV connection and even show better long-term results than patients after a staged TCPC procedure. However, these results depend on the patients' right ventricular growth and the development of a pulsatile pulmonary flow. It turns out to be problematic, that it is not possible to accurately predict whether the RV will grow after the RA-RV connection. Our analysis showed that direct closure of the VSD was positively associated with pulsatile pulmonary flow. We assume a direct closure of the VSD was predominantly performed on small VSDs. A small restrictive VSD might protect the pulmonary vasculature and provide a low pulmonary vascular resistance. These data suggest that a well-developed pulmonary artery might be the key element for RV growth. On the contrary, our analysis showed that the size of the RV at the time of the Björk procedure was not related to late pulsatile pulmonary flow. Ausari et al reported a patient with tricuspid atresia, hypoplastic RV, and normal pulmonary valves and arteries, in whom the Björk procedure was performed at eight months old, and a 27-mm bioprosthesis was placed in the RA-RV connection at 13 years old.²¹ The RV grew to normal range and the patient had a biventricular circulation. These data suggest that even a hypoplastic RV might grow when other conditions are optimal.

Another concern is the substitute for the RA-RV connection. Historically, a homograft was used, but calcification/stenosis occurred relatively soon after the procedure. A valveless connection was performed in the late era. Although this modification prevented the early development of stenosis, late regurgitations/ stenosis in the RA-RV connection were observed in the long



Figure 4. Changes in peak VO₂ over time in Björk patients according to the presence (light grey) or absence (dark grey) of pulsatile pulmonary blood flow.

term. Therefore, an ideal valved conduit is desired which has growth potential and durable valve function. A decellularized homograft might be a potential candidate.

Study Limitations

There are limitations due to the retrospective and observational nature of this single center study, due to the small group of patients and the high proportion of patients lost to follow-up. Much of the data or protocol for decision-making is lacking or unavailable, and therefore, a causal relationship-to-effect cannot be inferred, and only end observations can be made.

The length of follow-up is different, and differences observed between the two groups are not adjusted by possible confounders. The data of cardiac catheterization, CMRI, and cardiopulmonary exercise test were not available in all patients. Not being able to predict when a pulsatile flow is likely to be obtained is quite an important limitation of the technique.

Conclusions

Long term after the Björk procedure, patients with pulsatile pulmonary blood flow had a larger right ventricular volume and a better exercise performance, compared with those without pulsatile pulmonary blood flow.

Authors' Note

The data underlying this article will be shared by corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Alfred Hager (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2481-2017

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Björk VO, Olin CL, Bjarke BB, Thorén CA. Right atrial-right ventricular anastomosis for correction of tricuspid atresia. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1979;77(3):452-458.
- Bull C, de Leval MR, Stark J, Taylor JF, Macartney FJ. Use of a subpulmonary ventricular chamber in the Fontan circulation. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1983;85(1):21-31.
- Coles JG, Leung M, Kielmanowicz S, et al. Repair of tricuspid atresia: Utility of right ventricular incorporation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1988;45(4):384-389.
- Henaine R, Vergnat M, Bacha EA, et al. Effects of lack of pulsatility on pulmonary endothelial function in the Fontan circulation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2013;146(3):522-529.
- Kaapa P, Usha Raj J, Hillyard R, Anderson J. Segmental vascular resistance during pulsatile and steady perfusion in 3- to 5-wk-old rabbit lungs. *Am J Physiol*. 1991;261(2 Pt 2):H506-H513.
- Khambadkone S, Li J, de Leval MR, Cullen S, Deanfield JE, Redington AN. Basal pulmonary vascular resistance and nitric oxide responsiveness late after Fontan-type operation. *Circulation*. 2003;107(25):3204-3208.
- Sittiwangkul R1, Azakie A, Van Arsdell GS, Williams WG, McCrindle BW. Outcomes of tricuspid atresia in the Fontan era. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2004;77(3):889-894.

- Ono M, Vogt M, Cleuziou J, et al. Improved exercise performance in patients with tricuspid atresia after the Fontan-Björk modification with pulsatile systolic pulmonary flow. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2016;101(3):1012-1019.
- Ono M, Cleuziou J, Kasnar-Samprec J, et al. Conversion to total cavopulmonary connection improves functional status even in older patients with failing Fontan circulation. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2015;63(5):380-387.
- Shah AH, Horlick EM, Eicken A, et al. Transcatheter valve implantation for right atrium-to-right ventricle conduit obstruction or regurgitation after modified Björk-Fontan procedure. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;89(2):298-305.
- Tanous D, Nadeem SN, Mason X, Colman JM, Benson LN, Horlick EM. Creation of a functional tricuspid valve: novel use of percutaneously implanted valve in right atrial to right ventricular conduit in a patient with tricuspid atresia. *Int J Cardiol.* 2010;144(1):e8-10.
- Butcher CJ, Plymen CM, Walker F. A novel and unique treatment of right ventricular inflow obstruction in a patient with a Bjork modification of the Fontan palliation before pregnancy. *Cardiol Young*. 2010;20(3):337-338.
- Mendes IC, Maymone-Martins F, Anjos R. Percutaneous valve implantation in "tricuspid" position after a Fontan-Björk operation. J Card Surg. 2016;31(12):750-754.
- 14. Eicken A, Fratz S, Hager A, Vogt M, Balling G, Hess J. Transcutaneous melody valve implantation in "tricuspid position"

after a Fontan Björk (RA-RV homograft) operation results in biventricular circulation. *Int J Cardiol*. 2010;142(3):e45-e47.

- Straver B, Wagenaar LJ, Blom NA, et al. Percutaneous tricuspid valve implantation in a Fontan patient with congestive heart failure and protein-losing enteropathy. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2011;4(1):112-113.
- Schamroth Pravda N, Kornowski R, Vaknin Assa H, et al. Complex catheter-based structural heart reconstruction in a patient with tricuspid atresia and Björk palliative conduit. *JACC Case Rep.* 2021;3(2):212-216.
- Rybicka J, Kowalski M, Różański J, Hoffman P. Successful reoperation of the valveless calcified right atrium to right ventricle conduit in an adult patient with tricuspid atresia after Fontan procedure. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2012;41(3):e18-e20.
- Hopkins KA, Brown JW, Darragh RK, Kay WA. Converting Fontan-Björk to 1.5- or 2-ventricle circulation. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2019;107(4):e259-e261.
- Chatti S, Ghedira F, Mahfoudhi H, et al. Reoperation after modified Björk procedure for tricuspid atresia. *Ann Pediatr Cardiol*. 2021;14(4):530-532.
- Shiina Y, Kilner PJ, Uebing A, Uemura H. Tricuspid valve implantation after Bjork procedure to establish biventricular physiology. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2013;96(1):309-311.
- Ansari ST, Agarwala B. Bjork surgery for tricuspid atresia—revisited. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2009;30(8):1166-1168.