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## Abstract

Spectral super elements are semi-analytical elements for the numerical modeling of beamlike structures. The beams can have an arbitrary cross section, which has to be constant along the longitudinal axis. The displacement ansatz consists of wave functions, which are described by a product of a discretized cross-sectional displacement shape with an analytical propagation function. The solution accuracy and the number of degrees of freedom are therefore not depending on the length of the beam element.

This dissertation describes the mathematical formulation of spectral super elements in detail. Advice on the implementation in MATLAB is given. Numerical examples show the potential of the method by comparing spectral super element models with conventional finite element models consisting of three-dimensional solid elements.

Furthermore, an analysis procedure based on the discrete Fourier transformation for spectral super element models under moving forces is presented and validated with benchmarks from literature.
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## Symbols

## Mathematical symbols

| $\mathcal{L}$ | Lagrangian |
| :---: | :---: |
| det | Determinant |
| diag | Operator that produces a column vector of the main diagonal of its input argument |
| sgn | Signum function |
| $\square$ | Vector |
| $\square$ | Matrix |
| $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ | Partial derivative w.r.t. $x$ |
| $\frac{\partial^{n} \square}{\partial x^{n}}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ partial derivative w.r.t. $x$ |
| - | Complex conjugated |
| $\square^{T}$ | Transposed |
| ${ }^{H}$ | Hermitian transposed (also called conjugate transposed or adjoint) |
| $\square^{-1}$ | Inverse |
| ' | first (partial) derivative w.r.t. the longitudinal $x$-coordinate |
| $\dot{\square}, \ddot{\square}$ | Fist and second (partial) derivative w.r.t time |
| $\square$ | Fourier transformed quantity |
| $\hat{0}$ | Discretized quantity (in the scope of the discrete Fourier transformation) |
| $\bigcirc$ - | Continuous Fourier transform |
| - ○ | Inverse continuous Fourier transform |
| * | Convolution of two functions |
| $\otimes$ | Hadamard product (element-wise multiplication) |

## Greek letters

| $\delta\left(t-t_{0}\right)$ | Dirac delta function |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\delta$ | Decay constant |
| $\delta \square$ | Variation, virtual quantity |
| $\underline{\varepsilon}$ | Strain tensor in vector notation |
| $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}$ | Sub-matrices describing the element's stiffness in the waveguide FEM |
| $\epsilon$ | Scalar in variation $\delta \underline{v}$ |
| $\eta$ | Loss factor or natural coordinate |
| $\underline{\eta}$ | Vector function in variation $\delta \underline{v}$ |
| $\underline{\underline{\Theta}}$ | Auxiliary matrix defined in Eq.(3.16) on page 50 for the calculation of the stiffness matrix of a spectral super element |
| $\kappa$ | Shear correction factor |
| $\kappa_{x}$ | Angular wavenumber of a wave propagating in $x$-direction |
| $\lambda$ | Wave length |
| $\nu$ | Poisson's ratio |
| $\pi$ | Archimedes' constant: ratio of a circle's circumference to its diameter |
| $\Pi_{i}$ | Internal potential energy, strain energy |
| $\rho$ | Mass density |
| $\underline{\sigma}$ | Stress tensor in vector notation |
| $\underline{\underline{\Phi}}$ | Matrix of eigenvectors (= cross-sectional wave shapes) |
| $\varphi_{i}$ | Rotational degree of freedom |
| $\xi, \eta$ | Spatial natural coordinates |
| $\underline{\psi}$ | Eigenvector, cross-sectional wave shape |
| $\omega$ | Angular frequency |
| $\omega_{0}$ | Interval between circular frequencies computed in the DFT |
| $\omega_{n}$ | $=n \omega_{0}$, nth discrete frequency used in the DFT/IDFT |
| $\omega_{E}$ | Angular eigenfrequency |
| $\Omega$ | Angular excitation frequency |

## Latin letters

Artificial damping coefficient
$a_{i} \quad$ Wave contribution factor of the $i^{\text {th }}$ wave function

| $\underline{a}$ | Vector of wave contribution factors |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | Cross-sectional surface |
| $\underline{\underline{A}}$ | Matrix linking the nodal DOFs of a SSE $\underline{W}$ to the wave contribution factors $\underline{a}$ |
| c | Phase velocity of a wave |
| $\underline{\underline{D}}$ | Material matrix |
| e | Base of the natural logarithm |
| $E$ | Young's modulus |
| $\underline{\underline{E}}(x)$ | Diagonal matrix of exponential wave functions |
| $\underline{\underline{E}}_{I}$ | Full matrix of definite integrals over products of exponential wave functions |
| $f$ | Frequency |
| $f_{0}$ | Interval between frequencies computed in the DFT |
| $\underline{F}$ | Force vector |
| $G$ | Shear modulus |
| $\underline{\underline{G}}$ | Differential operator matrix |
| i | $=\sqrt{(-1)}$, imaginary unit |
| $I_{y}$ | Second moment of inertia about the $y$-axis |
| $\underline{\underline{J}}$ | Jacobian matrix |
| $k_{x}$ | Wavenumber of a wave propagating in $x$-direction |
| $\underline{\underline{k}}_{x}$ | Diagonal matrix of angular wavenumbers $\kappa_{x, i}$ |
| K | Kinetic energy |
| $\underline{\underline{K}}_{i}(\underline{\underline{K}})$ | Assembled (dynamical) stiffness matrix |
| $L$ | Lagrangian density |
| $l_{x}$ | Half-length used in the computation of SSE element matrices |
| $\ln$ | Natural logarithm |
| $\underline{\underline{M}}$ | Assembled mass matrix |
| $\underline{\underline{m}}, \underline{\underline{m}} 00$ | Element mass matrix |
| $N$ | Number of samples computed for a DFT/IDFT |
| $N_{\text {dofs }}$ | Number of degrees of freedom of a discrete cross section |
| $N_{i}(\xi, \eta)$ | Shape function |
| $\underline{N}, \underline{\underline{N}}$ | Vector/Matrix of shape functions |
| $P_{n}(x)$ | $n^{\text {th }}$ Legendre polynomial |
| $\underline{\underline{R}}$ | Matrix that maps the nodal displacements of a fine FE mesh to the nodes of a more coarse mesh |
| $t$ | Time |
| $\Delta t$ | Time interval between samples used for the DFT |
| $T_{0}$ | Total length of the time window investigated with the DFT |

$T_{A} \quad$ Time required by a moving force to pass the considered beam
$\underline{u} \quad$ Displacement field
$\underline{v} \quad$ Vector of nodal displacements of an element in the waveguide FEM
$\underline{V} \quad$ Vector of nodal displacements of the assembled system in the waveguide FEM
$\underline{V}(x) \quad$ Vector of nodal displacement functions in the SSE formulation
$v_{0} \quad$ Constant velocity of a force moving over a beam
$v_{c r} \quad$ Critical velocity at which the moving force excites a beam with its natural frequency
$V \quad$ Volume
$u, v, w \quad$ Displacement directions corresponding to the Cartesian coordinates $x, y, z$
$\underline{W} \quad$ Vector of nodal displacements in the cross-sectional mesh at both ends of a SSE
$\underline{W}_{i} \quad$ Vector of nodal displacements in the cross-sectional mesh at one end of a SSE
$x, y, z \quad$ Spatial Cartesian coordinates
$y, \underline{z} \quad$ Vectors of nodal Cartesian coordinates

## Acronyms

| CAE | Computer Aided Engineering |
| :--- | :--- |
| DE | Differential Equation |
| DFT | Discrete Fourier Transformation |
| DOF, DOFs | Degree(s) of freedom |
| FE | Finite Element |
| FEM | Finite Element Method |
| FFT | Fast Fourier Transformation |
| FT | Fourier Transformation |
| GUI | Graphical User Interface |
| IDFT | Inverse Discrete Fourier Transformation |
| IFFT | Inverse Fast Fourier Transformation |
| MOEM | Modified One Element Model |
| MOR | Model Order Reduction |
| ODE | Ordinary Differential Equation |
| OEM | One Element Model |
| OOP | Object Oriented Programming |
| PDE | Partial Differential Equation |
| SAFE | Semi-Analytical FE |
| SSE | Spectral Super Element |
| SSEM | Spectral Super Element Method |
| TEM | Two Element Model |
| UIC | French: Union internationale des chemins de fer (International Union |
|  | of Railways) |
| WFE | Waveguide FE, Wave FE, Wave and Finite Element method - This |
|  | acronym from literature can lead to confusions and is therefore not |
|  | used in this thesis. |
|  |  |

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation

Beams are critical structural components in mechanical and civil engineering. Scientists and engineers have investigated their mechanical behavior for centuries. However, the ongoing progress in numerical methods and computational power enables new advancements. Many existing beam theories contain limiting assumptions for the kinematics of the beam continuum, e.g. the planarity of cross sections. The spectral super element method is not restricted by any kinematic assumption. Therefore, it can be used in general for beams with arbitrary complex cross section at any frequency (also ultra-sonic). Warping of the cross section (torsional or shear) is considered automatically without precalculations such as warping resistances or unit warping deflection shapes.

The word "spectral" indicates that the stiffness matrix of such an element is frequencydependent as in other spectral element methods. Thus, a new computation of the stiffness matrix is necessary for each frequency step.

The word "super" indicates that the method uses the results of a more fundamental method in order to form an ansatz for the displacement of the beam's domain. This fundamental method is called waveguide finite element method (waveguide FEM). The beam is therefore considered as a waveguide in this method.

Beams are structural components with a primary extent in the longitudinal direction. In this thesis, this direction is also referred to as $x$-direction. The geometrical extent in the other two spatial coordinates $(y, z)$ - the cross section - can be arbitrary, but has to be constant along the $x$-direction. Fig. 1.1 defines the coordinate system used in this thesis on an exemplary section of a beam.

Spectral super elements (SSE) are calculated in two main steps:


Figure 1.1: Coordinate system used in this thesis

1. Wave propagation functions of an infinite waveguide with the cross section of the considered beam are determined with the waveguide FEM. The cross section has to be discretized therefore with two-dimensional finite elements. This discretization introduces the only approximation in the SSE formulation. A finer FE mesh has to be used for higher frequencies if a certain accuracy has to be met. The obtained wave functions are of the form $\underline{\psi} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x} x}$, where $\underline{\psi}$ is the wave displacement shape of the cross-sectional FE mesh and $\kappa_{x}$ is the corresponding angular wavenumber.
2. A displacement ansatz for the finite beam element is formulated with the help of the obtained wave functions. The number of degrees of freedom (DOFs) of this ansatz is independent from the element length. The unknowns (DOFs) of this ansatz are then determined by means of an equilibrium description, either based on Hamilton's principle or based on the principle of virtual work. Therefore, either the occurring energies or the occurring virtual works have to be integrated over the three-dimensional volume of the beam element.

The solution accuracy of spectral super elements is independent from the element length. It solely depends on the cross-sectional discretization. Element boundaries have to be introduced only at locations of discontinuities such as points of load application, bearings, or changes of material and/or cross section.

Spectral super elements maintain a beam-like modeling procedure although the integration is carried out over a three-dimensional domain. They are geometrically defined only by their cross section and by the coordinates of their ends. This represents a substantial simplification
in contrast to modeling with conventional three-dimensional (solid) finite elements, where the whole 3D domain of the structure has to be discretised.

The examples discussed in this thesis indicate that spectral super element models produce better results than models with conventional three-dimensional (solid) finite elements if comparable mesh sizes are used. At the same time, the spectral super element models use far fewer degrees of freedom than the conventional FE models.

Spectral super elements can be applied to problems at almost any frequency. At comparatively low frequencies, they can be used for the determination of the structural response of girders on harmonic or transient (also moving) loads. In the mid-frequency range, they can be used for the determination of structure-born sound transmission. At high frequencies (up to the ultrasonic range), applications within the framework of non-destructive testing are conceivable.

Spectral super elements are a deterministic method. They do not cover statistical variations of geometrical or material properties, which can lead to deviations in the deterministic results, particularly at high frequencies. However, high-frequency methods like the Statistical Energy Analysis require knowledge about propagation characteristics such as wavenumbers, group velocity, or modal density. The results of spectral super element models can be used for the determination of these characteristics.

The spectral super elements dealt with in this dissertation are based on the following assumptions and limitations:

- Linear elastic problems
- Prismatic elements with constant cross sections
- Beam-like structures: The length of the element is bigger than its cross sectional extend.


### 1.2 Outline

Section 1.3 includes an overview of relevant literature. Competing methods are mentioned and classified. Chapter 2 explains the first major step of the SSE formulation: The waveguide FEM. This chapter includes the mathematical formulation of the waveguide FEM, comments on the implementation in Matlab and numerical examples with validation. The second major step - the formulation of the SSE itself - is presented in chapter 3. This chapter
has the same structure as chapter 2: Mathematical formulation, implementation, numerical examples. Chapter 4 deals with the analysis of SSEs under moving forces based on the Discrete Fourier Transformation (DFT). For this purpose, the moving force is Fouriertransformed to a comb of stationary forces. Different solution methods of the SSE model are investigated for this comb of forces. Remedies for numerical issues occurring during DFT processing are introduced and a general solution procedure is described. Furthermore, this chapter includes numerical examples. Chapter 5 documents a modification of the SSE formulation, which can lead to faster computations. Finally, chapter 6 summarizes the main findings and gives recommendations for further research.

The appendix contains some fundamentals necessary for Fourier transformations, the theory of the modeling of damping with a complex stiffness and detailed comments on the implementation in Matlab of the mathematical formulations in this dissertation.

### 1.3 State of the Art

The calculation of spectral super elements is carried out in two major steps, as already mentioned in section 1.1. The state of the art in these two steps (1. wave functions of an infinite beam, 2. SSE formulation) as well as competing methods are described in this section.

### 1.3.1 Determination of the wave propagation characteristics of an infinite beam

Two major different methods for the calculation of quantities which describe the wave propagation characteristics (e.g. dispersion curves, cross-sectional wave shapes together with corresponding wavenumbers) of an infinite beam with arbitrary cross section exist in literature.

The first method (which is used also in this thesis) is based on a cross-sectional discretization with the help of a 2D FE mesh together with a wave approach in longitudinal direction. This wave approach can be applied either with the help of explicitly defined exponential wave functions (e.g. [Gavrić 1995]) or in form of a Fourier transformation (FT) for the length direction (from a spatial coordinate to a wavenumber coordinate) of the waveguide's 3D continuum formulation. The FT can either be applied directly on the differential operator matrix used for the strain formulation in the virtual work integral (e.g. [Hackenberg 2016])
or on the ordinary differential equation (ODE) obtained with Hamilton's Principle after introducing the cross sectional discretization (e.g. [Finnveden 2004]).

For the second method a short section of the waveguide is modeled with conventional 3D solid finite elements. The thickness of this section is discretized often with only one element. A periodicity condition together with an exponential wave propagation approach is introduced in order to gain an infinite extension of this short section.

Both methods lead to an eigenvalue problem, if no external load is applied. This eigenvalue problem can be solved for wavenumbers as eigenvalues and cross-sectional wave shapes as eigenvectors.

Unfortunately no unique names exist in literature in order to distinguish these two methods. Table 1.1 gives an overview of names used in exemplarily selected literature.

The first method (FT based) is often called 2.5D FEM by authors dealing with wave propagation in soil. They use the method e.g. to model a tunnel which is coupled to a boundary element method (BEM) or another FT based method for modeling the soil (e.g. [Hackenberg 2016], [Müller et al 2008] and [Sheng et al 2006]). Those authors who are interested in the beam itself (e.g. wave propagation in rails) call the method wavenumber FEM, waveguide FEM or semi-analytical FEM (SAFE) (see Tab. 1.1 for literature).

The acronym WFE is most popular for the second method (based on the periodic structure theory). It is referred either to wave and finite element method or simply to wave finite element method. The fact that the often cited authors [Mace et al 2005] and [Duhamel et al 2006] as well as publications from the University of Stuttgart (e.g. [Gaul et al 2010], [Schaal and Hanss 2014]) have used this acronym also for waveguide FEM, while working on the periodic structure theory, can lead to confusions.

A very comprehensive publication dealing with wave based methods in general is the final report of the European FP7 Marie Curie Initial Training Network "MID-Frequency" - CAE Methodologies for Mid-Frequency Analysis in Vibration and Acoustics [Desmet et al 2012]. Twelve European Universities and research institutions have contributed to this network. It contains a chapter named "Waveguide Finite Element Method" dealing with the method based on the FT and a chapter named "Wave Finite Element Method" based on the periodic structure theory. The consent of these twelve institutions should not be ignored, therefore almost the same name convention is used in this thesis. The word "and" will be inserted in brackets into the name for the second method: Wave (and) finite element method.


Table 1.1: Names used in literature for methods dealing with the wave propagation in infinite waveguides

The acronym WFE is not useful in order to distinguish the methods. In order to find reliable names the scientific community could think about naming these two methods after Aalami [Aalami 1973] resp. Mead [Mead 1973] because these publications are probably the oldest publications which are cited in almost all following works.

A comparison of the waveguide FEM and the wave (and) finite element method has been carried out by [Zhou et al 2011]. They conclude that the wave (and) finite element method approaches the results of the waveguide FEM if the same cross-sectional discretization is used and if the element aspect ratio in the wave (and) finite element method is set so that the number of nodes per axial wavelength is higher than the number of nodes per cross-sectional wavelength. This leads to an advantage of the waveguide FEM: No discretization in length direction is carried out, therefore this cannot lead to errors. The advantages of the wave (and) finite element method are, that it can be used for arbitrary periodic structures (also with varying cross sections in the elements), the mathematical formulation is more simple and conventional FE packages can be used for modeling the short section of the waveguide.

The following overview of literature for these two methods shall provide insight into the possibilities of the methods. The coordinate system defined in Fig. 1.1 ( $x$ : longitudinal coordinate; $y, z:$ cross-sectional coordinates) will be used for all publications, although other definitions have been used originally.

Such a compilation can never be comprehensive, due to the wide range of applications and high research activity. The focus lays on 1D waveguides with arbitrary cross section, which represent therefore a 3D mechanical problem. For historical reasons, some publications about plate structures are listed. The computational effort for plate structures is in general lower, therefore the used methods have been developed often first for plates (i.e. thin-walled cross sections), before they have been transferred to arbitrary cross sections.

### 1.3.1.1 Waveguide FEM

The waveguide FEM is the method used in this thesis for the determination of wave functions occurring in an infinite beam with arbitrary cross section. All publications described in this section use iso-parametric finite elements for the discretization of the cross section. This means that the same shape functions are used for the approximation of the geometry and of the displacements (e.g. [Bathe 2014])

The idea of using infinitely propagating wave functions as ansatz for the longitudinal direction of the waveguide with arbitrary cross section comes from [Aalami 1973]. He used
trigonometric functions of the form $\sin \left(\frac{\pi x}{\lambda}\right)$ and $\cos \left(\frac{\pi x}{\lambda}\right)$ for the longitudinal propagation. After subdividing the cross section into triangular finite elements (Fig. 1.2(b)) and prescribing a wave length $\lambda$ he obtained through Hamilton's principle a linear generalized eigenvalue problem for frequencies $\Omega$. He calculated with the help of this approach dispersion curves for isotropic square bars.


Figure 1.2: Finite elements used in literature for the discretization of the cross section

Gavrić published a similar method for thin-walled waveguides [Gavrić 1994] and later for waveguides with arbitrary cross section [Gavrić 1995]. He used a virtual work formulation for finding equilibrium. For the thin-walled waveguides he used two-noded elements with four degrees of freedom (DOFs) per node (Fig. 1.2(a)) and for the arbitrary cross-section treenoded and four-noded elements (Figs. 1.2(b) and 1.2(c)). For the wave propagation he used a direct ansatz of the form $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k_{x} x}$ which he shifted additionally by a phase of $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi}{2}}$ for the deflections $u_{i}$ in order to consider the phase shift of $\frac{\pi}{2}$ between the out-of-plane and in-plane deflections in a bending wave. Therefore, he obtained (after prescribing a frequency $\Omega$ ) a quadratic eigenvalue problem with pure real sub-matrices for the wavenumber $k_{x}$. In [Gavrić 1995] he calculated dispersion curves for a free rail UIC861-3 with real valued wavenumbers (representing propagating waves, far field) which match well with measured results from literature.

The method by [Gavrić 1994] for plates has been used by [Orrenius and Finnveden 1996] in order to model rib-stiffed plates occurring typically in ship constructions. They also improved the computational effort for solving the quadratic eigenvalue problem. [Onipede and Dong 1996] have developed a similar method as Gavrić for pretwisted beams.

At the same time [Gry 1996] proposed a more general method based on the finite strip method with - at the beginning - not further specified cross-sectional deformation modes
$\underline{u}^{n}(y, z)$. For the wave propagation in $x$-direction he used an ansatz of the form $\mathrm{e}^{k_{x} x}$, which means that real and imaginary part of the wavenumbers $k_{x}$ as well as the propagation direction of the waves are swapped in comparison to Gavrić. However, in total the same wavenumbers should be obtained, because the obtained wavenumbers are symmetric to both axes (real an imaginary) in the complex plain for undamped systems. After discretizing the cross section with triangular finite elements (Fig. 1.2(b)) [Gry 1996] obtains an quadratic eigenvalue problem of the same form as Gavrić. [Gry 1996] also investigated the propagation in free rails. Additionally he tried to develop a finite spectral element for a section of the rail based on the obtained wave propagation functions in order to consider sleepers. Thereby, he faced numerical problems due to the high rate of growth (resp. decay) of near field waves represented (in his approach) by an high real part of the wave number $k_{x}$. Fatefully, [Finnveden 1994] published a remedy for that without emphasizing the issue already short before. Finnveden's method ended in simply shifting the wave propagation functions in longitudinal direction, so that they do not exceed the value " 1 " in the domain of the finite spectral element.
[Finnveden 2004] developed methods to evaluate the modal density and group velocity for thin-walled beams based on plate waveguide elements (Fig. 1.2(a)). [Hayashi et al 2003] evaluated the dispersion curves of rails (for phase and group velocity) with the help of four noded elements (Fig. 1.2(c)). They focused on the application in ultrasonic non-destructive evaluation.
[Nilsson 2004] developed waveguide finite elements for thin-walled curved structures with anisotropic material law and for fluids, as well as for the coupling of both. He modeled a car tyre with the help of these elements. Even though no dimension of a car tyre is infinite, it still can be considered for wave propagation reasons as infinite, because no reflections occur in circumferential direction. [Finnveden and Fraggstedt 2008] continued the work on the waveguide FEM for curved structures. They present also element formulations for isoparametic solids and deep shells. These element formulations are based on Hamilton's principle which leads to an ODE for the cross-sectional displacements $\underline{v}$ in dependency of the circumferential angle $\phi$. This ODE is transformed to an algebraic equation with the help of an ansatz of the form $\underline{v}(\phi)=\underline{v}_{n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \phi}$, where $n$ is the circumferential waveorder.
[Ryue et al 2008] investigated the wave propagation in rails like [Gavrić 1995] and [Gry 1996], but they extended the frequency range up to 80 kHz , which leads to a much higher number of propagating waves. They compared the obtained dispersion curves with results obtained from a 3D FE analysis and from measurements.
[Bartoli et al 2006] and [Ryue et al 2009] worked on the material damping in the waveguide FEM. The material damping is introduced by scaling the material properties with a complex factor of the form $(1+i \eta)$, where $\eta$ is the loss factor. $\eta$ can be defined easily frequencydependent, because the problem is formulated in the frequency domain and superposition is valid in linear theory.

The bedding of infinite rails by sleepers has been investigated recently by [Li et al 2015] and [Zhang et al 2019]. The authors of the first publication modeled the sleepers as a continuous layer of elastic springs. The authors of the second publication used a receptance coupling method in order to couple elastic sleepers.

In [Kreutz 2013] and [Greim et al 2016] the waveguide FEM has been used to obtain unit deflections shapes of the cross section for an augmented beam theory. The eigenvectors obtained in the waveguide FEM have been used to form a reduction base (similar to model order reduction techniques) for a 3D volume element model of the beam. The eigenvalue problem is derived there analogously to publications dealing with the wave propagation in soil (e.g. Müller et al [2008], Hackenberg [2016]). The principle of virtual work is employed for the equilibrium formulation. Instead of defining the cross-sectional displacements as functions of the longitudinal $x$-coordinate and obtaining an ODE which is solved with an exponential approach, [Kreutz 2013] applied a Fourier transformation to the wavenumber domain $\left(x \circ \bullet k_{x}\right)$ on the differential operator matrix which links the strains and the displacements. After discretizing the cross-section he obtained directly the quadratic eigenvalue problem.

The sound radiation of rails has been investigated with the waveguide FEM by [Nilsson et al 2009] and [Ryue et al 2018]. Both coupled therefore the waveguide FEM with boundary elements. [Ryue et al 2018] consider additionally the surface impedance of the ground.

### 1.3.1.2 Wave (and) Finite Element Method

The wave (and) finite element Method is not used in this thesis. Spectral super elements are a new class of finite elements. Therefore, the main advantage of the wave (and) finite element method (namely the use of powerful, well proven conventional FE packages) is pointless. For the sake of completeness, some publications are summarized here as well because the field of applications overlaps almost complete with the waveguide FEM. Research activity seems to be recently higher in the wave (and) finite element method, probably because of the general advantages (see section 1.3.1).

The wave (and) finite element method has been established by [Mead 1973]. Later Thompson used this method in the scope of his comprehensive work on wheel-rail noise generation in order to determine dispersion curves of rails [Thompson 1993]. He modeled a section of the rail with beam and shell elements.
[Mace et al 2005] have reformulated the theory slightly and improved the numerical stability of the occurring eigenvalue problem. They aimed for parameters necessary for statistical high frequency methods, like energy, energy density, power and group velocity.

The idea of the wave (and) finite element method shall be explained in the following summarized with the help of equations from [Mace et al 2005]. An infinite periodic structure is subdivided as illustrated in Fig. 1.3 into periodic elements (also called cells). The dynamic stiffness matrix of that cell (or element) is obtained by any conventional FE package. Load application inside the cell is not allowed. Therefore, it is possible to condense the internal DOFs out. This condensation is abundant if the waveguide has a constant cross section and the cell is modeled only with one slice of finite elements. The dynamic equilibrium can then be written in the form:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{\underline{K}}_{L L} & \underline{\underline{K}}_{L R}  \tag{1.1}\\
\underline{\underline{K}}_{R L} & \underline{\underline{K}}_{R R}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\underline{q}_{L} \\
\underline{q}_{R}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\underline{f}_{L} \\
\underline{f}_{R}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where $\underline{\underline{K}}$ is the dynamic stiffness matrix. This equation can be reformulated to transfer matrix notation:

$$
\underline{\underline{T}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\underline{q}_{L}  \tag{1.2}\\
\underline{f}_{L}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\underline{q}_{R} \\
-\underline{f}_{R}
\end{array}\right]
$$

With:

$$
\underline{\underline{T}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\underline{\underline{K}}_{L R}^{-1} \underline{\underline{K}}_{L L} & \underline{\underline{K}}_{L R}^{-1}  \tag{1.3}\\
-\underline{\underline{K}}_{R L}+\underline{\underline{K}}_{R R} \underline{\underline{K}}_{L R}^{-1} \underline{\underline{K}}_{L L} & -\underline{\underline{K}}_{R R} \underline{\underline{K}}_{L R}^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The continuity of displacements and equilibrium of forces at an element (cell) boundary (Fig. 1.3) can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{q}_{L}^{p+1}=\underline{q}_{R}^{p}, \quad \underline{f}_{L}^{p+1}=-\underline{f}_{R}^{p} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1.3: Elements of an infinite periodic structure with displacement and force vectors at element boundaries

Therefore, Eq. (1.2) can be rewritten as:

$$
\underline{\underline{T}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\underline{q}_{L}^{p}  \tag{1.5}\\
\underline{f}_{L}^{p}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\underline{q}_{L}^{p+1} \\
\underline{f}_{L}^{p+1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

If free wave propagation is considered, the following equation holds for two consecutive element (cell) boundaries (Bloch's theorem, [Mace et al 2005]):

$$
\lambda\left[\begin{array}{l}
\underline{q}_{L}^{p}  \tag{1.6}\\
\underline{f}_{L}^{p}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\underline{q}_{L}^{p+1} \\
\underline{f}_{L}^{p+1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where the scalar $\lambda$ describes the phase change (an possible decay) along the length $l$ between the two boundaries. [Mead 1973] used instead of $\lambda$ the expression $\mathrm{e}^{\mu}$ which enables a direct link to the ansatz e ${ }^{k_{x} x}$ known from the waveguide FEM (e.g. [Gry 1996]). The wavenumber is obtained as $k_{x}=\frac{\mu}{l}$.

Inserting Eq. (1.6) into Eq. (1.5) leads to an eigenvalue problem:

$$
\underline{\underline{T}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\underline{q}_{L}^{p}  \tag{1.7}\\
\underline{f}_{L}^{p}
\end{array}\right]=\lambda\left[\begin{array}{l}
\underline{q}_{L}^{p} \\
\underline{f}_{L}^{p}
\end{array}\right]
$$

This equation is valid for all elements (cells) of the waveguide. The superscript $p$ is therefore omitted in the following. Solving the first row of Eq. (1.7) for $\underline{f}_{L}$ and inserting into the second row leads to an quadratic eigenvalue problem comparable to the waveguide FEM: (The equations of the waveguide FEM are derived and described in detail in chapter 2.)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\underline{\underline{K}}_{L L}+\underline{\underline{K}}_{R R}+\lambda \underline{\underline{K}}_{L R}+\frac{1}{\lambda} \underline{\underline{K}}_{R L}\right) \underline{q}_{L}=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvector $\underline{q}_{L, i}$ corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$ describes the cross-sectional deflection shape belonging to the propagation constant $\lambda_{i}$.

Although the derivation of the wave (and) finite element method seems to be straight forward, several numerical issues can arise according to [Waki et al 2009b]. This publication focuses on 1D waveguides. One error is common with the waveguide FEM: A finer crosssectional discretization is necessary for higher frequencies. Other errors are induced by the periodicity condition: If the length $l$ of the periodic cell is longer than the wavelength at high frequencies aliasing occurs. Secondly, periodic structures exhibit a pass- and stop-band structure, where the bounds of the pass- and stop-bands are related to the natural frequencies of the periodic element. The natural frequencies of the periodic element depend on its length. Moreover, round-off errors can occur for low frequencies due to the numerical subtraction in the calculation of the dynamical stiffness matrix $\underline{\underline{K}}=\underline{\underline{K}}_{\text {static }}-\omega^{2} \underline{\underline{M}}$ of a cell. Last, the quadratic eigenvalue problem for $\lambda$ can be ill-conditioned. [Waki et al 2009b] provide remedies for these issues.
[Duhamel et al 2006] have introduced a reduced basis for models, where the vector $\underline{q}_{L}$ contains a lot of DOFs, and have derived the dynamic stiffness matrix of a finite waveguide based on the wave (and) finite element procedure described above. This method is comparable to the spectral super element method handed in this thesis. However, the solution performance of [Duhamel et al 2006] still depends on the element (or cell) length $l$.
[Droz et al 2014] propose a reduced wave (and) finite element formulation comparable to [Duhamel et al 2006]. The reduction base is built by a limited number of propagating wave shapes (eigenvectors) which are identified after a separate eigenvalue analysis for the determination of their cut-on frequencies. [Droz et al 2014] apply the method to a multi-layered composite beam.

The wave (and) finite element method has been applied in literature to similar problems like the waveguide FEM. E.g. [Waki et al 2009a] formulated it for cyclic structures and applied the method on a car tyre. The cyclic formulation has been used later by [Mencik 2018] in order to simulate a structure like a turbine wheel with blades.
[Degrande et al 2006] have coupled the wave (and) finite element method with boundary elements in order to obtain a model for the simulation of soil vibrations induced by a railway tunnel.

The simulation of sound transmission and radiation through and from 1D waveguides with the help of the wave (and) finite element method is covered e.g. by [Kingan et al 2016] and [Kingan et al 2019].

The application of the wave (and) finite element method on poroelastic media according to Biot-Allard's theory has been investigated by [Serra et al 2015]. They conclude that the method can be used, even though the dissipation rate is very high.
[Renno and Mace 2010] worked on the forced response of a 1D waveguide modeled with the wave (and) finite element method due to an arbitrary force distribution along the longitudinal axis. The force is Fourier transformed to convected harmonic pressures for which the response is calculated. The response on the total load is obtained by an inverse Fourier Transformation.

The field of structural health monitoring with the help of the wave (and) finite element method is e.g. covered by [Gaul et al 2010], [Schaal etal 2012], [Schaal and Hanss 2014], [Gras et al 2018] and [Mallouli et al 2019]. [Gaul et al 2010] worked on the crack detection in load carrying cables. Therefore, they used the wave (and) finite element method to obtain wavenumbers and cross-sectional displacement shapes at ultrasonic frequencies. [Gras et al 2018] have coupled wave (and) finite elements with conventional finite elements in order to study the effect of local heterogenity (e.g. cracks) in rails. [Mallouli et al 2019] extended the wave (and) finite element method to time domain via inverse discrete Fourier transformation in order to simulate damage detection with a transverse low velocity impact.

The effect of the uncertainty in the system parameters of cylindrical waveguides on their wave dispersion curves has been investigated by [Schaal et al 2012]. They have introduced the material properties, the radius and the length $l$ of the periodic cell as triangular fuzzy numbers and obtain the dispersion curves as envelopes of curves with a color gradient indicating the membership level. This method has been used by [Schaal and Hanss 2014] in order to study the effect of uncertain parameters on a crack detection algorithm based on a Hilbert transformation of the measured signal.

### 1.3.2 Spectral Super Element Method

The spectral super element method (SSEM) based on the waveguide FEM has been published first by [Peplow and Finnveden 2004] for solving the acoustical wave equation (d'Alembert Equation) in 2D. They applied it to wave ducts with different boundary conditions (soundhard, sound-soft) and stepped cross sections. Each 1-D cross section of parts of the wave duct with constant properties (boundary conditions, cross-sectional width, sound velocity) is discretized with finite elements and wave functions are obtained for it with the help of the waveguide FEM. These wave functions are than used as ansatz to formulate a spectral
super element (SSE) for each part with constant properties. The FE meshes are defined with coinciding nodes at the ends of the SSEs, so that simple coupling is possible.

The SSEM has been transferred to structural mechanics by [Birgersson et al 2005]. They applied it also to a 2D problem, the plate vibration. The procedure follows directly that of the acoustical problem. SSEs are developed for parts where the cross section of the plate structure is constant. The ansatz consists of wave functions gained by the waveguide FEM of the respective cross section. The SSEs are coupled also with conventional finite plate elements in order to model cross stiffeners. In [Birgersson and Finnveden 2005] the authors develop a method to predict the response of plate SSEs on turbulence excitation.

To the authors best knowledge, [Ryue et al 2011] is the only publication dealing with the SSEM for 3D structures, i.e. for beams with arbitrary cross section. They have developed semi-infinite SSEs for rails and coupled them in the middle with conventional 3D FEs in order to model a crack in a rail.

This thesis follows mainly the procedures in [Birgersson et al 2005] and [Ryue et al 2011] in order to develop SSEs for finite beams with arbitrary cross section.

It is important to note that Doyle uses the name "spectral super element" in his book [Doyle 1997] for something quite different. A spectral super element according to Doyle is used for modeling (geometrical complicated) joints between waveguides modeled with conventional spectral beam elements. The joint is modeled therefore with conventional 2D or 3D FEs. The dynamic stiffness matrix of Doyle's SSE is obtained from the dynamic stiffness matrix of the FE model by a procedure very similar to the static condensation of the internal DOFs. Although Doyle's SSEs are not related to the SSEs in this thesis, they could be easily coupled together if the cross-sectional mesh at the transition from joint to beam is the same.

### 1.3.3 Competing Methods

In general, the proposed method in this dissertation is capable to predict the response of beam-like structures with arbitrary cross section from low to very high frequencies. If the frequency band of interest is limited to lower frequencies, augmented beam theories, which have their origin in static calculations, can be computational more efficient. An overview of such beam theories can be found in the introduction of [Kreutz 2013]. Most of these beam theories have been developed for thin-walled cross-sections. Important names in this field are Vlasov and Schardt (Generalized Beam Theory). Summarized, these theories add to Euler-Bernoulli's or Timoshenko's differential equations additional terms and/or additional

PDEs in order to cover cross sectional distortions. The few methods which cover arbitrary cross-sections (including the one proposed in [Kreutz 2013] and [Greim et al 2016]) are often based on unit-deflection shapes of the cross section which are obtained by solving a PDE of a substitute structure on a FE mesh of the cross section. This links them to the method of this thesis: The cross-sectional wave shapes obtained with the waveguide FEM can be understood as a unit-deflection shape. On the other hand side, the additional use of the information about the wave propagation in longitudinal direction in form of wavenumbers distinguishes the SEEM clearly from the aforementioned methods.

The use of wave propagation information (e.g. in form of wavenumbers) is the key idea of general spectral elements described e.g. in the textbooks [Doyle 1997] and [Lee 2009] or in the paper [Finnveden 1994]. The use of this information allows the development of elements which deliver accurate results (within the limits of the underlying beam theory) for elements of arbitrary length. Therefore, these spectral elements are highly computational efficient also due to the fact, that the underlying beam theories describe the displacement field in the domain of the beam element with only very few variables, like e.g. three variables for 2D problems in case of application of the Euler-Bernoulli or Timoshenko beam theory. (These variables are the lateral and longitudinal displacement for both mentioned beam theories and the axial rotation for the Euler-Bernoulli beam and the cross sectional rotation for the Timoshenko beam.) However, these spectral methods are also limited to frequencies and cross-sectional geometries where the assumption holds, that the displacement field can be described with these few variables (e.g. that the cross section remains plain).

The fact, that the cross-section of the beam will suffer complicated deformations for arbitrary boundary conditions or at high frequencies suggests to consider it no longer as a beam but as an arbitrary solid, which is modeled with conventional solid 3D finite elements as described e.g. in the textbooks [Zienkiewicz 1984], [Petyt 1990] or [Hughes 2000]. The necessary fine discretization leads in this case to an enormous number of DOFs. Due to the banded structure of classical FE matrices, current computers can handle already millions of DOFs. Together with model order reduction (MOR) techniques huge FE models can be solved in reasonable time. An overview of current MOR techniques for second order dynamical problems is given by [Rodriguez et al 2016]. From a computational point of view the classical FEM together with MOR can probably exceed the performance of the SSEM for some problems (e.g. comparably short waveguides). On the other hand side it gives no direct information about wave propagation characteristics.

## 2 Waveguide FEM

The waveguide FEM delivers wave functions occurring in an infinite waveguide with the considered cross section from a quadratic eigenvalue problem. This means that no external loading is considered. The eigenvalues are the wavenumbers $\kappa_{x}$ for the longitudinal propagation. The eigenvectors $\psi$ are the related cross sectional displacement shapes. These wave functions are used to formulate the ansatz functions for the spectral super elements. The mathematical formulation of the waveguide FEM is explained in this chapter. Therefore, the employment of Hamilton's principle and of the principle of virtual work are compared. The obtained results are equivalent. Moreover, some hints about the implementation in MATLAB and numerical examples are given.

The cross section of an infinite waveguide is discretized in $y, z$-direction with four-noded elements as depicted in Fig. 2.1. The nodal displacements in the three spatial directions of the element depicted in Fig. $2.2\left(u_{i}(x), v_{i}(x), w_{i}(x)\right)$ are considered to be analytical functions of the longitudinal $x$-coordinate.

### 2.1 Mathematical Formulation Based on Hamilton's Principle

The mathematical formulation with Hamilton's Principle in this dissertation follows the formulation in [Birgersson et al 2005]. Four-noded (12 DOF) 2D elements (Fig. 2.2) are used for the cross sectional discretization instead of two-noded (8 DOF) 1D elements (Fig. 1.2(a)) in [Birgersson et al 2005]. Moreover, a different definition of the Fourier transformation is used (refer for details to A.1).

According to e.g. [Morse and Feshbach 1953] or [Müller 2018] Hamilton's principle states that for conservative systems the time integral over the difference of strain and kinetic energy of the system has to be minimized in order to obtain dynamic equilibrium. The time integral can be stretched from $-\infty$ to $\infty$ if a harmonic oscillation of the form $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega t}$ is assumed.


Figure 2.1: Exemplary cross-sectional mesh of four-noded elements


Figure 2.2: Waveguide finite element with physical $(x, y, z)$ and natural $(\xi, \eta)$ coordinates as well as global ( $u, v, w$ ) and nodal ( $u_{i}, v_{i}, w_{i}$ ) displacements

### 2.1.1 Strain Energy

The time integral of the strain energy in the element depicted in Fig. 2.2 in general is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{(V)} \underline{\varepsilon}^{T} \cdot \underline{\sigma} \mathrm{~d} V \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V$ is the Volume of the element (which is infinite due to the infinite extension in $x$-direction). $\underline{\varepsilon}$ and $\underline{\sigma}$ are the strain and stress tensors in vector notation. The superscript $\square^{T}$ denotes transpose matrix or vector. After inserting the material law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\sigma}=\underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \underline{\varepsilon} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the material matrix $\underline{\underline{D}}$ of a linear elastic isotropic material with Young's modulus $E$ and Poisson's ratio $\nu$

$$
\underline{\underline{D}}=\frac{E}{(1-\nu)(1-2 \nu)}\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1-\nu & \nu & \nu & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.3}\\
\nu & 1-\nu & \nu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\nu & \nu & 1-\nu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-2 \nu}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-2 \nu}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-2 \nu}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{(x)} \int_{(y)} \int_{(z)} \underline{\varepsilon}^{T} \underline{\underline{D}} \underline{\varepsilon} \mathrm{~d} z \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (2.4) can be Fourier transformed to the angular frequency domain ( $t \circ \bullet \omega$ ) with the help of Parseval's theorem (see Eq. (A.1.14) in the appendix):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{(x)} \int_{(y)} \int_{(z)} \underline{\varepsilon}^{* T} \underline{\underline{D}} \underline{\varepsilon} \mathrm{~d} z \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} \omega \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The superscript $\square^{*}$ denotes the complex conjugate. Together with the superscript $\square^{T}$ it can be summarized to the superscript $\square^{H}$ which denotes the Hermitian transpose (also called conjugate transpose or adjoint).

The strain tensor $\underline{\varepsilon}$ is obtained from the kinematics by the formal product of the differential
operator matrix $\underline{\underline{G}}$ with the displacement field $\underline{u}(x, y, z)$ defined in Fig. 2.2:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\varepsilon}=\underline{\underline{G}} \cdot \underline{u}(x, y, z) \quad \text { with: } \underline{u}(x, y, z)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
u(x, y, z) \\
v(x, y, z) \\
w(x, y, z)
\end{array}\right]  \tag{2.6}\\
& \underline{\underline{G}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right] \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The integral over the physical cross-sectional coordinates $y$ and $z$ in Eq. (2.5) is transformed to an integral over the element's natural coordinates $\xi$ and $\eta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{(x)} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \underline{\varepsilon}^{H} \underline{\underline{D}} \underline{\varepsilon} \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} \omega \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}}$ is the determinant of the Jacobian matrix:

$$
\underline{\underline{J}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}  \tag{2.9}\\
\frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \eta}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The surface differential $\mathrm{d} A=\mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} y=\operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta$ is proven e.g. in [Müller 2017].
The transformation to natural coordinates allows the use of the same (bi-linear) shape functions for the description of the displacement field inside the element independent of its physical geometry:

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1-\eta) \\
& N_{2}(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1-\eta) \\
& N_{3}(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1+\eta)  \tag{2.10}\\
& N_{4}(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1+\eta)
\end{align*}
$$

The displacement field $\underline{u}(\xi, \eta, x)$ is described with the help of the shape functions in depen-
dency of the nodal deflections:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{u}(\xi, \eta, x)=\underline{\underline{N}}(\xi, \eta) \cdot \underline{v}(x)  \tag{2.11}\\
& \text { with: } \underline{\underline{N}}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
N_{1} & 0 & 0 & N_{2} & 0 & 0 & N_{3} & 0 & 0 & N_{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & N_{1} & 0 & 0 & N_{2} & 0 & 0 & N_{3} & 0 & 0 & N_{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & N_{1} & 0 & 0 & N_{2} & 0 & 0 & N_{3} & 0 & 0 & N_{4}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{2.12}\\
& \underline{v}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllllll}
u_{1} & v_{1} & w_{1} & u_{2} & v_{2} & w_{2} & u_{3} & v_{3} & w_{3} & u_{4} & v_{4} & w_{4}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

When applying the differential operator matrix $\underline{\underline{G}}$ on the displacement field $\underline{u}$ in order to obtain the strain $\underline{\varepsilon}$ the partial derivatives w.r.t. to $x$ have to be applied on the nodal displacement functions $u_{i}$ (because they depend on $x$ ) while the partial derivatives w.r.t. $y$ and $z$ have to be applied to the shape functions $N_{i}$ (because these depend on the cross sectional coordinates.):

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{\varepsilon} & =\underline{\underline{G}} \underline{\underline{N}} \underline{v} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & 0 \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} & 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
N_{1} u_{1}+N_{2} u_{2}+N_{3} u_{3}+N_{4} u_{4} \\
N_{1} v_{1}+N_{2} v_{2}+N_{3} v_{3}+N_{4} v_{4} \\
N_{1} w_{1}+N_{2} w_{2}+N_{3} w_{3}+N_{4} w_{4}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{r}
N_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}+N_{2} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x}+N_{3} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x}+N_{4} \frac{\partial u_{4}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial y} v_{1}+\frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial y} v_{2}+\frac{\partial N_{3}}{\partial y} v_{3}+\frac{\partial N_{4}}{\partial y} v_{4} \\
\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial z} w_{1}+\frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial z} w_{2}+\frac{\partial N_{3}}{\partial z} w_{3}+\frac{\partial N_{4}}{\partial z} w_{4} \\
\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial z} u_{1}+N_{1}+N_{1} \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y} u_{2}+N_{2} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial N_{3}}{\partial y} u_{3}+N_{3} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{4}}{\partial y} u_{4}+N_{4} \frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{3}}{\partial z} u_{3}+N_{3} \frac{\partial w_{3}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{4}}{\partial z} u_{4}+N_{4} \frac{\partial w_{4}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial z} v_{1}+\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial y} w_{1}+\frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial z} v_{2}+\frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial y} w_{2}+\frac{\partial N_{3}}{\partial z} v_{3}+\frac{\partial N_{3}}{\partial y} w_{3}+\frac{\partial N_{4}}{\partial z} v_{4}+\frac{\partial N_{4}}{\partial y} w_{4}
\end{array}\right] \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The calculation of the strain $\underline{\varepsilon}$ can therefore be separated into two terms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\varepsilon}=\underline{\varepsilon}_{0} \underline{v}(x)+\underline{\varepsilon}_{1} \frac{\partial \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial y} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial y} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{3}}{\partial y} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{4}}{\partial y} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial z} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial z} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{3}}{\partial z} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{4}}{\partial z} \\
\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial y} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial y} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{3}}{\partial y} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{4}}{\partial y} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial z} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial z} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{3}}{\partial z} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{4}}{\partial z} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial y} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial y} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{3}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial N_{3}}{\partial y} & 0 & \frac{\partial N_{4}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial N_{4}}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right]  \tag{2.16}\\
& \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
N_{1} & 0 & 0 & N_{2} & 0 & 0 & N_{3} & 0 & 0 & N_{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & N_{1} & 0 & 0 & N_{2} & 0 & 0 & N_{3} & 0 & 0 & N_{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & N_{1} & 0 & 0 & N_{2} & 0 & 0 & N_{3} & 0 & 0 & N_{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

The matrices $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{0}$ and $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{1}$ have together the same purpose as the B-matrix in classical FEM theory (e.g. [Zienkiewicz 1984], [Hartmann and Katz 2002]).

The 2D chain rule has to be applied in order to calculate the derivatives of the shape functions $N_{i}(\xi, \eta)$ w.r.t. the physical coordinates $y$ and $z$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial N_{i}}{\partial y}  \tag{2.18}\\
\frac{\partial N_{i}}{\partial z}
\end{array}\right]=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial z}
\end{array}\right]}_{\underline{\underline{J}}^{-1}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial N_{i}}{\partial \xi} \\
\frac{\partial N_{i}}{\partial \eta}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where $\underline{\underline{J}}^{-1}$ is the the inverse of the Jocobian matrix $\underline{\underline{J}}$. For the calculation of the Jacobian matrix the same shape functions $N_{i}$ as used for the displacement ansatz have to be employed in iso-parametic elements:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y(\xi, \eta)=\underline{N} \underline{y}  \tag{2.19}\\
& z(\xi, \eta)=\underline{N} \underline{z}
\end{align*}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{N} & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
N_{1}(\xi, \eta) & N_{2}(\xi, \eta) & N_{3}(\xi, \eta) & N_{4}(\xi, \eta)
\end{array}\right]  \tag{2.20}\\
\underline{y} & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
y_{1} & y_{2} & y_{3} & y_{4}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \\
\underline{z} & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
z_{1} & z_{2} & z_{3} & z_{4}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

$y_{i}$ and $z_{i}$ are the nodal coordinates of the element depicted in Fig. 2.2.

Therefore:

$$
\underline{\underline{J}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \xi}  \tag{2.22}\\
\frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \eta}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial N}{\partial \xi} \underline{y} & \frac{\partial \underline{N}}{\partial \xi} \underline{z} \\
\frac{\partial N}{\partial \eta} \underline{y} & \frac{\partial N}{\partial \eta} z
\end{array}\right]
$$

Inserting the obtained formulation for the strain $\varepsilon$ of Eq. (2.15) into the strain energy in Eq. (2.8) leads to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi_{i}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{(x)} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{0} \underline{v}(x)+\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{1} \frac{\partial \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x}\right)^{H} \underline{\underline{D}}\left(\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{0} \underline{v}(x)+\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{1} \frac{\partial \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x}\right) \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta \mathrm{~d} x \\
&=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{(x)} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1}\left(\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m} \frac{\partial^{m} \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x^{m}}\right)^{H} \underline{\underline{D}}\left(\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{n} \frac{\partial^{n} \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x^{n}}\right) \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{2.23}\\
&=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{(x)} \sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{m} \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x^{m}}\right)^{H} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m}^{H} \underline{\underline{D}} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{n} \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta\left(\frac{\partial^{n} \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x^{n}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
&=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{(x)} \sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{m} \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x^{m}}\right)^{H} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}\left(\frac{\partial^{n} \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x^{n}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \text { with: } \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}=\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m}^{H} \underline{\underline{D}} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{n} \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

The integral over the frequency $\omega$ is skipped, because we consider harmonic loads with one frequency per step in linear systems (details in section 3.2.2). For transient loads (e.g. moving loads in chapter 4) this integral is evaluated in the scope of the Fourier back transformation. The area integral for the four matrices $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}$ is evaluated numerically with Gauß-Legendre integration in section 2.1.3.

### 2.1.2 Kinetic Energy

The time integral of the kinetic energy in the element depicted in Fig. 2.2 in general is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{(V)} \underline{\dot{u}}^{T}(x, y, z, t) \rho \underline{\dot{u}}(x, y, z, t) \mathrm{d} V \mathrm{~d} t \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\rho$ is the density of the material and $\underline{\dot{u}}$ is the velocity field (i.e. the first derivative w.r.t. time of the displacement field defined in Eq. (2.6)).

For the Fourier transformation $(t \circ \bullet \omega)$ Parseval's theorem has to be applied again:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{(x)} \int_{(y)} \int_{(z)} \omega^{2} \rho \underline{u}^{H}(x, y, z, \omega) \underline{u}(x, y, z, \omega) \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} \omega \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Parseval's theorem for the product of the time derivatives of two functions is derived in appendix A.2, where it is shown that the kinetic energy has a positive sign also in the transformed domain, although the differentiation rule of the Fourier transformation leads at first glance to another assumption: $\mathrm{i} \omega \cdot \mathrm{i} \omega=-\omega^{2}$.

The integral over the cross-sectional area is again transformed to natural coordinates $\xi$ and $\eta$ and the same discretization as for the strain energy is used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{u}(\xi, \eta, x)=\underline{\underline{N}}(\xi, \eta) \cdot \underline{v}(x) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral over the frequency is skipped again, therefore:

$$
\begin{align*}
K & =\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{(x)} \omega^{2} \underline{v}^{H}(x) \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \rho \underline{\underline{N}}^{H} \underline{\underline{N}} \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta \underline{v}(x) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{2.28}\\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{(x)} \omega^{2} \underline{v}^{H}(x) \underline{\underline{m}}_{00} \underline{v}(x) \mathrm{d} x
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { with: } \underline{\underline{m}}_{00}=\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \rho \underline{\underline{N}}^{H} \underline{\underline{N}} \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass matrix $\underline{\underline{m}}_{00}$ has the following structure:

$$
\underline{\underline{N}}(\xi, \eta)^{T} \cdot \underline{\underline{N}}(\xi, \eta)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
N_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & N_{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & N_{1} \\
N_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & N_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & N_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
N_{1} & 0 & 0 & N_{2} & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & N_{1} & 0 & 0 & N_{2} & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & N_{1} & 0 & 0 & N_{2} & \ldots
\end{array}\right]=
$$

$$
=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
N_{1}^{2} & 0 & 0 & N_{1} N_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots  \tag{2.30}\\
0 & N_{1}^{2} & 0 & 0 & N_{1} N_{2} & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & N_{1}^{2} & 0 & 0 & N_{1} N_{2} & \cdots \\
N_{1} N_{2} & 0 & 0 & N_{2}^{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & N_{1} N_{2} & 0 & 0 & N_{2}^{2} & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & N_{1} N_{2} & 0 & 0 & N_{2}^{2} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]
$$

### 2.1.3 Gauß-Legendre Integration

The integrals for matrices $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}$ and $\underline{\underline{m}}_{00}$ are evaluated numerically with Gauß-Legendre integration. The Gauß-Legendre integration is the Gaussian quadrature in natural coordinates in the interval $[-1,1]$ and described e.g. in [Abramovitz and Stegun 1972] p.887:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} x \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w_{i}$ are the weights, $x_{i}$ are the coordinates and $n$ the number of the Gauß points. $x_{i}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ root of the $n^{\text {th }}$ Legendre polynomial $P_{n}(x)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i}=\frac{2}{\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{2}\left[P_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coordinates $x_{i}$ and weights $w_{i}$ are tabulated up to $n=96$ in e.g. [Abramovitz and Stegun 1972]. Moreover, the Legendre Polynomials are implemented in MATLAB's function legendreP. Their roots and derivatives are found easily with MATLAB's symbolic math toolbox. Gaussian integration with $n$ Gau $\beta$ points is exact for polynomials of order $2 n-$ 1 [Hartmann and Katz 2002]. For two-dimensional functions like the shape functions of Eq. (2.10) this rule holds for each dimension separately. The maximum polynomial order in the integrand for $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}$ and $\underline{\underline{m}}_{00}$ occurs, when two non-differentiated shape functions are multiplied e.g.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[N_{1}(\xi, \eta)\right]^{2}=\frac{1}{16}\left(1-2 \xi-2 \eta+4 \xi \eta+\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}-2 \xi \eta^{2}-2 \xi^{2} \eta+\xi^{2} \eta^{2}\right) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the maximum polynomial order per dimension is 2 and $n=2$ Gau $\beta$ points per dimension (4 in total) are sufficient for exact integration. The integrals in Eqs. (2.24) and
(2.29) are converted to sums with four addends without loss of accuracy:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m}^{H}\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right) \underline{\underline{D}} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{n}\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right) \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}}\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right) w_{i}  \tag{2.34}\\
& \underline{\underline{m}}_{00}=\rho \sum_{i=1}^{4} \underline{\underline{N}}^{H}\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right) \underline{\underline{N}}\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right) \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}}\left(\xi_{i}, \eta_{i}\right) w_{i} \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.1.4 Minimum of the Lagrangian

The Langrangian follows from Eq. (2.23) and Eq. (2.28):

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}= & \Pi_{i}-K \\
= & \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{(x)} \underline{v}^{H}(x) \underline{\varepsilon}_{00} \underline{v}(x)+\left(\frac{\partial \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x}\right)^{H} \underline{\varepsilon}_{10} \underline{v}(x)+\underline{v}^{H}(x) \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{01} \frac{\partial \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x}+  \tag{2.36}\\
& +\left(\frac{\partial \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x}\right)^{H} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{11} \frac{\partial \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x}-\omega^{2} \underline{v}^{H}(x) \underline{\underline{m}}_{00} \underline{v}(x) \mathrm{d} x
\end{align*}
$$

This has to be minimized with the help of variational calculus according to e.g. [Morse and Feshbach 1953] p. 277 in order to find the unknown vector of displacement functions $\underline{v}(x)$ and its adjoint $\underline{v}^{H}(x)$. Therefore, the factor $\frac{1}{4 \pi}$ is neglected in the following, because it does not influence the location of the minimum. Arbitrary variations of the unknown functions consisting of a scalar $\epsilon_{i}$ and a vector function $\underline{\eta}_{i}$ are introduced:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\underline{v}_{v a r}^{H}(x)=\underline{v}^{H}(x)+\delta \underline{v}^{H} & \delta \underline{v}^{H}
\end{array}=\epsilon_{1} \underline{\eta}_{1}(x), ~ \underline{v}_{v a r}(x)=\underline{v}(x)+\delta \underline{v} \quad \delta \underline{v}=\epsilon_{2} \underline{\eta}_{2}(x)
$$

The integrand of the Lagrangian is called Lagrangian density L. After introducing the variation it can be seen as a function of the following variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L\left(\underline{v}^{H}+\epsilon_{1} \underline{\eta}_{1}, \underline{v}+\epsilon_{2} \underline{\eta}_{2}, \underline{v}^{\prime H}+\epsilon_{1} \underline{\eta}_{1}^{\prime}, \underline{v}^{\prime}+\epsilon_{2} \underline{\eta}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where'denotes derivative w.r.t. $x$. A Taylor series expansion of $L$ at $\epsilon_{1}=\epsilon_{2}=0$ truncated after the linear terms looks like:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{v}^{H}} \epsilon_{1} \underline{\eta}_{1}+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{v}^{2}} \epsilon_{2} \underline{\eta}_{2}+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{v}^{\prime H}} \epsilon_{1} \underline{\eta}_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{v}^{\prime}} \epsilon_{2} \underline{\eta}_{2}^{\prime} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the Taylor series expansion into the Lagrangian leads to the variation of the Lagrangian, which has to be zero at the minimum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathcal{L}=\int_{(x)} \epsilon_{1}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{v}^{H}} \underline{\eta}_{1}+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{v}^{\prime}} \underline{\eta}_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\epsilon_{2}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{v}} \underline{\eta}_{2}+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{v}^{\prime}} \underline{\eta}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} x \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration by parts (where the boundary terms vanish, because $\eta_{i}$ is designed, so that it is zero at the boundaries) leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \mathcal{L}=\int_{(x)} \epsilon_{1} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{v}^{H}}-\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{v}^{\prime H}}\right)^{\prime}\right)}_{!} \underline{\eta}_{1}+\epsilon_{2} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{v}}-\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{v}^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\right)}_{\underline{=}} \underline{\eta}_{2} \mathrm{~d} x \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\epsilon_{i}$ and $\underline{\eta}_{i}$ are arbitrary. Therefore, the terms in brackets (the so called Eulerian DEs) have to be zero. The first Eulerian DE applied to the Langrangian density $L$ in Eq. (2.36) leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\varepsilon}_{00} \underline{v}-\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{10} \underline{v}^{\prime}+\underline{\varepsilon}_{01} \underline{v}^{\prime}-\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{11} \underline{v}^{\prime \prime}-\omega^{2} \underline{\underline{m}}_{00} \underline{v}=0 \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a system of $2^{\text {nd }}$ order homogenous ODEs for the unknown displacement functions $\underline{v}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\underline{k}}_{2} \underline{v}^{\prime \prime}+\underline{\underline{k}}_{1} \underline{v}^{\prime}+\left(\underline{\underline{k}}_{0}-\omega^{2} \underline{\underline{m}}\right) \underline{v}=0  \tag{2.44}\\
& \text { with: } \underline{\underline{k}}_{2}=-\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{11} ; \quad \underline{\underline{k}}_{1}=\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{01}-\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{10} ; \quad \underline{\underline{k}}_{0}=\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{00} ; \quad \underline{\underline{m}}=\underline{\underline{m}}_{00}
\end{align*}
$$

The second Eulerian DE in (2.42) leads to an system of ODEs for the adjoint unknowns $\underline{v}^{H}(x)$. This will not lead to additional information and is skipped in the following.

Eq. (2.44) determines the displacement functions of a single waveguide finite element as depicted in Fig. 2.2. The element stiffness matrices $\underline{\underline{k}}_{i}$ and mass matrix $\underline{\underline{m}}$ can be assembled according to standard FEM procedures (see section A.4.3.1) to global matrices ( $\underline{\underline{K}}_{i}$ and $\underline{\underline{M}}$ ) for the complete cross-sectional mesh of the waveguide in Fig. 2.1. The vector $\underline{v}(x)$ becomes therefore the vector $V(x)$ of all nodal displacement functions of the cross-sectional mesh:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{K}}_{2} \underline{V}^{\prime \prime}+\underline{\underline{K}}_{1} \underline{V}^{\prime}+\left(\underline{\underline{K}}_{0}-\omega^{2} \underline{\underline{M}}\right) \underline{V}=0 \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Fourier transformation to the wavenumber domain $\left(x \circ-\kappa_{x}\right)$ converts the system of

ODEs into a system of algebraic equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\kappa_{x}^{2} \underline{\underline{K}}_{2}+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x} \underline{\underline{K}}_{1}+\underline{\underline{K}}_{0}-\omega^{2} \underline{\underline{M}}\right) \tilde{\tilde{V}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right)=0 \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tilde $\tilde{\square}$ denotes a quantity in the wavenumber domain.
Eq. (2.46) is either a linear generalized eigenvalue problem for $\omega^{2}$ if a wavenumber $\kappa_{x}$ is prescribed or a quadratic eigenvalue problem for $\kappa_{x}$ if a frequency $\omega$ is prescribed. The latter approach is used in this thesis, because wave functions for a particular excitation frequency are needed for the ansatz for the spectral super element. The solution of this quadratic eigenvalue problem is explained in section A.4.3.2. It leads to eigenvalues $\kappa_{x, i}(\omega)$ where $i=1,2, \ldots, 2 N$ and $N$ is the number of DOFs in the cross-sectional mesh. To each eigenvalue $\kappa_{x, i}$ a corresponding eigenvector of the form $\tilde{\underline{V}}_{i}\left(\kappa_{x, i}\right)=a_{i} \cdot \underline{\psi}_{i}\left(\kappa_{x, i}\right)$ is found. Eigenvectors are the non-trivial solution of a homogeneous linear system of equations. Therefore, they can be arbitrary scaled and the factor $a$ remains undetermined. The eigenvector $\underline{\psi}_{i}$ represents the cross-sectional displacement belonging to a wave which propagates and/or decays with the wavenumber $\kappa_{x}$ in x-direction.

The ansatz for the spatial displacement field inside a spectral super element is obtained by a inverse Fourier transformation $\left(\kappa_{x} \bullet \multimap x\right)$. The discretization in the cross section leads to an sampling in the wavenumber domain. The Fourier integral converts to a sum:

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{V}(x) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a\left(\kappa_{x}\right) \cdot \underline{\psi}\left(\kappa_{x}\right) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x} x} \mathrm{~d} \kappa_{x} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2 N} a_{i} \cdot \underline{\psi}_{i}\left(\kappa_{x, i}\right) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x} x} \cdot \delta\left(\kappa_{x, i}-\kappa_{x}\right) \mathrm{d} \kappa_{x}  \tag{2.47}\\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{i=1}^{2 N} a_{i} \cdot \underline{\psi}_{i}\left(\kappa_{x, i}\right) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x, i} x}
\end{align*}
$$

The mathematical formulation of the spectral super element with the help of this ansatz is described in chapter 3.

### 2.2 Mathematical Formulation Based on the Principle of Virtual Work

The quadratic eigenvalue problem in Eq. (2.46) is derived in this section with the help of the principle of virtual work in order to proof the formulation. Moreover, the principle of virtual work is used in some literature about the waveguide FEM (or 2.5D FEM), e.g. [Kreutz 2013] or [Hackenberg 2016].

The principle of virtual work states that the sum of the virtual work of the internal forces $\delta W_{i}$ and the inertia forces $\delta W_{T}$ has to vanish at the state of equilibrium (for systems without external forces):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta W=\delta W_{i}+\delta W_{T}=0 \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider again the waveguide element depicted in Fig. 2.2 with its nodal displacement vector $\underline{v}(x)$ defined in Eq. (2.13).

### 2.2.1 Virtual Work of the Internal Forces

The work of the real stress $\underline{\sigma}$ performed on virtual strain $\delta \underline{\varepsilon}$ under consideration of the linear elastic isotropic material law in Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta W_{i}=-\int_{(V)} \delta \underline{\varepsilon}^{T} \underline{\sigma} \mathrm{~d} V=-\int_{(V)} \delta \underline{\varepsilon}^{T} \underline{\underline{D}} \underline{\varepsilon} \mathrm{~d} V \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kinematics and shape functions are the same as in Eqs. (2.10) - (2.14). Therefore, the strain is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\varepsilon}=\underline{\varepsilon}_{0} \underline{v}(x)+\underline{\varepsilon}_{1} \frac{\partial \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x} \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the matrices $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{0}$ and $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{1}$ defined in Eqs. (2.16) and (2.17). Inserting this strain ansatz
for the virtual as well as for the real strain into Eq. (2.49) leads to:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta W_{i} & =-\int_{(x)} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(\underline{\varepsilon}_{0} \delta \underline{v}(x)+\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{1} \frac{\partial \delta \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x}\right)^{T} \underline{\underline{D}}\left(\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{0} \underline{v}(x)+\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{1} \frac{\partial \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x}\right) \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =-\int_{(x)} \sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{m} \delta \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x^{m}}\right)^{T} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m}^{T} \underline{\underline{D}} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{n} \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta \frac{\partial^{n} \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x^{n}} \mathrm{~d} x=  \tag{2.51}\\
& =-\int_{(x)} \sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{m} \delta \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x^{m}}\right)^{T} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n} \frac{\partial^{n} \underline{v}(x)}{\partial x^{n}} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}$ are the same matrices as in Eq. (2.24).
Fourier transformation $\left(x \circ-\kappa_{x}\right)$ and Parseval's theorem (appendix A.1.2.1) leads to:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta W_{i} & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\left(\kappa_{x}\right)} \sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1}\left(\delta \underline{\tilde{v}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right)\right)^{H}\left(-\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x}\right)^{m} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}\left(\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x}\right)^{n} \underline{\tilde{v}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right) \mathrm{d} \kappa_{x} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\left(\kappa_{x}\right)}\left(\delta \underline{\tilde{v}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right)\right)^{H}\left[\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{00}+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x}\left(\underline{\varepsilon}_{01}-\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{10}\right)+\kappa_{x}^{2} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{11}\right] \underline{\tilde{v}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right) \mathrm{d} \kappa_{x} \tag{2.52}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.2.2 Virtual Work of the Inertia Forces

The virtual work of the inertia forces inside the spatial domain of the waveguide element in Fig. 2.2 in frequency domain is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta W_{T}=-\int_{(V)} \delta \underline{u} \cdot \rho \cdot \underline{\ddot{u}} \mathrm{~d} V \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Transforming to cross-sectional natural coordinates and using the displacement ansatz in Eqs. (2.10) - (2.13) for virtual and real displacement leads to:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \underline{u} & =\underline{\underline{N}} \delta \underline{v}(x)  \tag{2.54}\\
\ddot{u} & =-\omega^{2} \underline{\underline{N}} \underline{v}(x)  \tag{2.55}\\
\delta W_{T} & =\int_{(x)} \delta \underline{v}^{T}(x) \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \underline{\underline{N}}^{T} \cdot \rho \cdot \underline{\underline{N}} \operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}} \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta \omega^{2} \underline{v}(x) \mathrm{d} x=  \tag{2.56}\\
& =\int_{(x)} \delta \underline{v}^{T}(x) \underline{\underline{m}}_{00} \omega^{2} \underline{v}(x) \mathrm{d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Where the matrix $\underline{\underline{m}}_{00}$ is the same as in Eq. (2.29).
Fourier transformation ( $x \circ-\kappa_{x}$ ) and Parseval's theorem (appendix A.1.2.1) leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta W_{T}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\left(\kappa_{x}\right)}\left(\delta \underline{\tilde{v}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right)\right)^{H} \omega^{2} \underline{\underline{m}}_{00} \underline{\tilde{v}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right) \mathrm{d} \kappa_{x} \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2.3 The Quadratic Eigenvalue Problem

The sum of the virtual work of the internal forces Eq. (2.52) and the virtual work of the inertia forces (2.57) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta W=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\left(\kappa_{x}\right)}\left(\delta \underline{\tilde{v}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right)\right)^{H}\left[-\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{00}-\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x}\left(\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{01}-\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{10}\right)-\kappa_{x}^{2} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{11}+\omega^{2} \underline{\underline{m}}_{00}\right] \underline{\tilde{v}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right) \mathrm{d} \kappa_{x} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact, that the integral is zero if the integrand is zero and $\delta \underline{\tilde{v}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right) \neq 0$ leads to the quadratic eigenvalue problem for $\kappa_{x}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\kappa_{x}^{2} \underbrace{\left(-\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{11}\right)}_{\underline{\underline{k}}_{2}}+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x} \underbrace{\left(\underline{\varepsilon}_{01}-\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{10}\right)}_{\underline{\underline{k}}_{1}}+\underbrace{\underline{\varepsilon}_{00}}_{\underline{\underline{k}}_{0}}-\omega^{2} \underbrace{\underline{m_{00}}}_{\underline{\underline{m}}}) \tilde{\underline{\tilde{v}}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right)=0 \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assembling from element level to the cross-sectional mesh (e.g. Fig. 2.1) gives the same quadratic eigenvalue problem as in Eq. (2.46):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\kappa_{x}^{2} \underline{\underline{K}}_{2}+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x} \underline{\underline{K}}_{1}+\underline{\underline{K}}_{0}-\omega^{2} \underline{\underline{M}}\right) \tilde{\underline{V}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right)=0 \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3 Implementation in MATLAB

The described procedure of the Waveguide FEM has been implemented from the scratch in MATLAB with object oriented programming (OOP). The implementation is decribed in detail in the appendix A.4. Also the solution procedure for the quadratic eigenvalue problem is described there.


Figure 2.3: Cross-sectional meshes considered in this chapter

### 2.4 Numerical Examples

Two numerical examples are considered in this section in order to illustrate the possibilities of the waveguide FEM. Calculation results are also compared to results from literature in order to validate the implementation.

The first example is a rectangular cross section of a C30/37 concrete beam with a height of 0.6 m and a width of 0.4 m . The used discretization is depicted in Fig. 2.3(a).

The second example is the cross section of a UIC60 rail. The dimensions are taken from [DIN-EN13674-1:2017-07], but the beveling of the edges is neglected. The used discretization is depicted in Fig. 2.3(b). A much finer discretization at the edges would be necessary in order to consider beveling, which would increase the number of DOFs in the mesh considerably. The rail is assumed to consist of ordinary construction steel.

The used material properties are listed in Tab. 2.1. Material damping is not considered.

| Material | Concrete C30/37 | Construction steel |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Young's modulus $E\left[\frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{m}^{2}}\right]$ | $28.3 \cdot 10^{6}$ | $210 \cdot 10^{6}$ |
| Shear modulus $G\left[\frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{m}^{2}}\right]$ | $\frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}$ | $81 \cdot 10^{6}$ |
| Poisson's ratio $\nu[-]$ | 0.0 | $\frac{E}{2 G}-1$ |
| Density $\rho\left[\frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{m}^{3}}\right]$ | 2.5 | 7.85 |

Table 2.1: Material properties from [Schneider 2006]

### 2.4.1 Rectangular Concrete Cross Section

In a first step the quadratic eigenvalue problem for the rectangular concrete cross section is solved for two prescribed frequencies: 10 Hz and 2000 Hz . The resulting eigenvalues $\kappa_{x}$ are plotted in the complex plain in the scatter plots in Fig. 2.4. The number of eigenvalues of a quadratic eigenvalue problem is twice as large as the dimension of its matrices. The dimension of the matrices is the same as the number of DOFs in the cross-sectional mesh. The mesh in Fig. 2.3(a) has 351 DOFs, therefore Fig. 2.4 plots 702 wavenumbers for each of the frequencies.

The observed double symmetry w.r.t. the real and imaginary axis is well known in literature and e.g. described in [Ryue et al 2011] or [Kreutz 2013]. Most of the wavenumbers are either pure imaginary or complex (with real and imaginary part) at the considered frequencies.

The pure imaginary wavenumbers describe so-called near field (evanescent) waves which decay exponentially without any oscillation. Such a wave is visualized by the blue line in Fig. 2.6. From the term $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x} x}$ in the Fourier back transformation in Eq. (2.47) it can be derived that the positive pure imaginary wavenumbers describe a wave which decays in positive $x$-direction, while the negative ones describe a wave which decays in the negative $x$-direction.

The complex wavenumbers are often dominated by the imaginary part. They describe in general a mixture of a propagating (far field) wave and a evanescent (near field) wave. Such a wave is visualized by the orange line in Fig. 2.6. The real part describes the propagation, the imaginary part describes the decay. Due to the domination of the imaginary part, the propagation behavior is often almost not noticeable for most of the waves with complex valued wavenumbers. The propagation direction of the real part can be derived if also the exponential term of the Fourier back transformation to the time domain (compare


Figure 2.4: Wavenumbers of the rectangular concrete cross section in complex plain


Figure 2.5: Zoom into Fig. 2.4


Figure 2.6: Exemplary visualization of an evanescent wave, a wave with complex valued wavenumber and a propagating wave

Eq. (A.1.10) in the appendix A.1.2.1) is considered:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x} x+\mathrm{i} \Omega t}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \Omega\left(t+\frac{x}{c}\right)} \quad \text { with: } \kappa_{x}=\frac{\Omega}{c} \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c$ is the phase velocity of the wave. Therefore, a positive real part of a wavenumber describes a wave propagating in negative x -direction, and vice versa. The complex wavenumbers occur in groups of four due to double symmetry. Tab. 2.2 summarizes the propagation and decay directions of waves described by such a group.

| Quadrant | Wavenumber | Propagation direction | Decay direction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ | $\kappa_{x}$ | negative $x$ | negative $x$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $-\kappa_{x}^{*}$ | positive $x$ | negative $x$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $-\kappa_{x}$ | positive $x$ | positive $x$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | $\kappa_{x}^{*}$ | negative $x$ | positive $x$ |

Table 2.2: Propagation and decay directions of complex wavenumbers

Waves with wavenumbers of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ quadrants which propagate in opposite direction than they are decaying have no physical sense at first glance. But these waves might be relevant in an ansatz like Eq. (2.47) for a finite beam in order to fulfill arbitrary boundary conditions.

Pure real wavenumbers describe propagating waves as visualized exemplary by the green line in Fig. 2.6. Pure real wavenumbers can hardly be noticed in Fig. 2.4. Therefore, Fig. 2.5 provides enlarged sections of it. Four independent real-valued wavenumbers are observed at 10 Hz . The corresponding eigenvectors are visualized in Fig. 2.7. These eigenvectors represent the propagating waves which can be approximated by the wave shapes occurring also in a beam with Euler-Bernoulli assumptions (cross sections remain plain and perpendicular to the longitudinal axis).

A fifth pure real wavenumber occurs at 2000 Hz . The eigenvectors corresponding to the real-valued wavenumbers at 2000 Hz are depicted in Fig. 2.8. Obviously, the Euler-Bernoulli assumptions are clearly violated. Fig. 2.8(a) represents a secondary y-bending wave, which was not propagating at 10 Hz . But the waveform existed in similar shape also at 10 Hz which is depicted in Fig. 2.9(a). Fig. 2.9 in general shows some arbitrary selected eigenvectors corresponding to complex wavenumbers in order to get an impression. The higher the magnitude of the wavenumber, the more corrugated the eigenvectors become. (Please note that even the magnitude of the wavenumber belonging to Fig. 2.9(f) is still in the lower half of occurring magnitudes in Fig. 2.4.)

The wave shape at 10 Hz in Figure 2.9(a) which is similar to the new propagating wave shape at 2000 Hz has a pure imaginary wavenumber. If one would track a wave shape over many evaluated frequency steps one would observe that the corresponding complex wavenumber moves into the direction of the real axis. As soon as the wavenumber has reached the real axis, it stays on the real axis and the magnitude gets increased along the real axis for increasing frequencies. That means that the wavelength of propagating waves decreases with increasing frequency. This phenomena has been described already by [Finnveden 2004]. It can also be observed, when Fig. 2.4(a) is compared to Fig. 2.4(b): Wavenumbers are shifted in the direction of the real axis. [Finnveden 2004] calls the frequency at which a wavenumber reaches the real axis the "cut-on" frequency of the respective wave shape.

The "cut-on" frequency of a wave shape can be read from the dispersion curves in Fig. 2.10. The dispersion curves are obtained by plotting the positive real-valued wavenumbers over the frequency. Therefore, the quadratic eigenvalue problem has to be solved for each frequency grid point. In Fig. 2.10 the wavenumbers corresponding to the wave shapes in Fig. 2.8 are marked.

In order to validate the implementation of the waveguide FEM, results of [Kreutz 2013] are also plotted in Fig. 2.10. [Kreutz 2013] has investigated the same cross section, with the same discretization, but with a different implementation of the waveguide FEM. In contrast to Tab. 2.1 he has used a Poisson's ratio of $\nu=0.2$. In order to ensure comparability the same $\nu$ has been used in the calculations behind the dispersion curves in Fig. 2.10. The main difference in the implementation used by [Kreutz 2013] is that he has used lumped mass matrices, while consistent matrices are used in this thesis. [Kreutz 2013] has validated the waveguide FEM with the help of the beam theories of Euler-Bernoulli and Timoshenko.

The "cut-on" frequency of the fifth propagating wave can be read from Fig. 2.10 as $f_{\text {cut-on }} \approx$ 1.8 kHz . The effect of the changed Poisson's ratio is most significant at this wave shape. The corresponding wavenumber at 2000 Hz is $\kappa_{x}=1.411$ in Fig. 2.10 while it is $\kappa_{x}=0.343$ in Fig. 2.8(a).

(a) compression
$\kappa_{x}=0.03093-0.00000 \mathrm{i}$

(b) torsion

(c) y-bending

$$
\kappa_{x}=0.40288-0.00000 \mathrm{i}
$$



|  | real part |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | imaginary part |
| $\square$ | undef. cross section |

(d) z-bending

Figure 2.7: Eigenvectors belonging to real-valued wavenumbers of the rectangular concrete cross section at 10 Hz


Figure 2.8: Eigenvectors belonging to real-valued wavenumbers of the rectangular concrete cross section at 2000 Hz
$\kappa_{x}=-0.00000+0.32746 \mathrm{i}$

(a)

(b)
$\kappa_{x}=0.00000+6.15954 \mathrm{i}$

(c)
$\kappa_{x}=-8.29200+39.22360 \mathrm{i}$

$$
\kappa_{x}=2.64988+7.04697 \mathrm{i}
$$

$$
\kappa_{x}=3.78963-7.03269 \mathrm{i}
$$

(f)

Figure 2.9: Eigenvectors belonging to complex-valued wavenumbers of the rectangular concrete cross section at 10 Hz
Wavenumber $\operatorname{Re}\left(k_{x}\right)$ in $\left[\frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{m}}\right]$


### 2.4.2 UIC60 Rail

The eigenvalues $\kappa_{x}$ for the UIC60 rail cross section from Fig. 2.3(b) are plotted for 100 Hz and for 10 kHz in Fig. 2.11. Fig. 2.12 provides again an enlarged section. The shift of wavenumbers to the real axis is again clearly visible. Four independent real valued wavenumbers exist at 100 Hz , while nine independent real-valued wavenumbers exist at 10 kHz .

The respective eigenvectors are visualized in Figs. 2.13 and 2.14. The four wave types which would occur approximately also in a model with Euler-Bernoulli assumptions can be distinguished very well at 100 Hz and are named accordingly in Fig. 2.13. This is not the case anymore at 10 kHz : The cross section is not nearly plain anymore in Fig. 2.14 and therefore no unique compressional or torsional wave exists anymore. Instead, parts of the cross section start to behave independently. E.g. Fig. 2.14(b) shows a compression wave of the flange, Fig. 2.14(d) shows a torsional wave of the head and Figs. 2.14(h) and 2.14(i) show bending waves of the flange.

The wave shape to which e.g. the compression wave has turned can be determined with the help of the dispersion curves in Fig. 2.16. The lowest curve from the origin represents the compression wave. It is almost linear up to 5.0 kHz . This is the frequency up to which the compression wave can be identified as such. Between 4.2 kHz and 5.2 kHz three other waves "cut on". Therefore, the cross section behaves less rigid. If one follows the dispersion curve of the original compression wave one can observe, that this wave shape has turned to the wave shape in Fig. 2.14(e) at 10kHz.

Results of [Ryue et al 2008] are plotted together with the dispersion curves in Fig. 2.16. [Ryue et al 2008] have determined the dispersion curves for the UIC60 rail with the help of a 3D solid finite element model in ANSYS. Unfortunately they did not publish the used material properties. Therefore, it is not clear whether they have used the same as in Tab. 2.1. Moreover, they have used another discretization. They modeled only half of the rail section and applied either symmetric or anti-metric boundary conditions. According to their drawings, they have also neglected the beveling, but they did not specify the exact geometry of the cross section. The results are therefore not completely comparably, but in general a good accordance is shown.

Fig. 2.15 shows the six eigenvectors with complex wavenumber and lowest magnitude at 10 Hz . The five with lowest magnitude among them become at 10 kHz propagating waves with real-valued wavenumber. Since also the wave shape changes up to that frequency it is not traceable which eigenvector from Fig. 2.15 turns to which eigenvector in Fig. 2.14 from these two figures. One would have to follow the dispersion curves for that.

(a) 100 Hz

(b) 10000 Hz

Figure 2.11: Wavenumbers of the UIC60 cross section in complex plain


Figure 2.12: Zoom into Fig. 2.11


Figure 2.13: Eigenvectors belonging to real-valued wavenumbers of the UIC60 rail cross section at 100 Hz


Figure 2.14: Eigenvectors belonging to the real-valued wavenumbers of the UIC60 rail cross section at 10000 Hz


Figure 2.15: Eigenvectors belonging to the lowest magnitude complex-valued wavenumbers of the UIC60 rail cross section at 100 Hz
Wavenumber $\operatorname{Re}\left(k_{x}\right)$ in $\left[\frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{m}}\right]$


## 3 Spectral Super Elements

The spectral super element (SSE) technique in structural dynamics was first introduced by [Birgersson et al 2005] for thin plates (thin-walled cross sections). [Ryue et al 2011] adapted it for semi-infinite beams with arbitrary cross section. They used the technique in order to investigate the influence of cracks on the wave propagation in a UIC60 rail. To the best knowledge of the author, this thesis is the first work which investigates the applicability of spectral super elements for finite beams with arbitrary cross sections. The mathematical formulations and the used notations in this chapter follow [Birgersson et al 2005] and [Ryue et al 2011].

The main idea of spectral super elements is to use the wave functions with the wavenumbers $\kappa_{x}$ (eigenvalues) and shapes $\underline{\psi}$ (eigenvectors) found in the cross-sectional problem of the waveguide FEM (chapter 2) as an analytical ansatz for the longitudinal direction of a finite beam element. The end of section 2.1.4 shows that this ansatz can be gained by a Fourier back transformation $\left(\kappa_{x} \bullet \circ x\right)$ of the results of the waveguide FEM.

The finite beam element stretches along the longitudinal coordinate ( $x$-direction) from $-l_{x}$ to $+l_{x}$. Therefore, it has the length of $2 l_{x}$. Figure 3.1 depicts such an element with a discretized rectangular cross section. For the longitudinal direction the analytical ansatz shall be used. The cross-sectional mesh of the SSE is the same as used in the waveguide FEM.

### 3.1 Displacement Ansatz for the Spectral Super Element

The displacement ansatz of Eq. (2.47) can be written in matrix notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{V}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{2 N} a_{i} \cdot \underline{\psi}_{i}\left(\kappa_{x, i}\right) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x, i} x}=\underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}(x) \underline{a} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\underline{\underline{E}}(x)$ is a diagonal $2 N \times 2 N$-matrix containing the exponential wave functions corresponding to the column-wise wave shapes in the $N \times 2 N$-matrix $\underline{\underline{\Phi}}$. The factor $\frac{1}{2 \pi}$ in Eq. (2.47) is


Figure 3.1: Local coordinate system in a spectral super element
skipped, because it only scales the vector of unknown wave contribution factors $\underline{a}$. $N$ is the number of DOFs in the cross-sectional mesh.

For numerical stability, the wave functions are shifted along the $x$-axis, so that the maximum value of them is equal to 1 within the domain of the beam element [Finnveden 1994], [Birgersson et al 2005]. The direction of the shift depends on the sign of the imaginary part of the wave number, which describes the exponential growth or decay of the wave function. The terms on the diagonal of $\underline{\underline{E}}(x)$ are therefore:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{m m}(x)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x, m} \cdot x+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x p, m} \cdot l_{x}} \\
& \text { with } \kappa_{x p, m}= \begin{cases}\kappa_{x, m} & \text { for } \operatorname{Im}\left(\kappa_{x, m}\right) \geq 0 \\
-\kappa_{x, m} & \text { for } \operatorname{Im}\left(\kappa_{x, m}\right)<0\end{cases} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

In the next step, the unknown wave contribution factors $\underline{a}$ of the ansatz in Eq. (3.1) shall be transformed to the nodal DOFs of the cross-sectional mesh at the both ends of the SSE ( $\underline{W}_{1}$ and $\underline{W}_{2}$ ). Therefore, both $x$-coordinates of the ends are inserted into the ansatz:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{V}\left(-l_{x}\right)=\underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(-l_{x}\right) \underline{a}=\underline{W}_{1}  \tag{3.3}\\
& \underline{V}\left(+l_{x}\right)=\underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(+l_{x}\right) \underline{a}=\underline{W}_{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The two systems of equations can be summarized to one system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(-l_{x}\right) \\
\underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(+l_{x}\right)
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array} \underline{\underline{a}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{W_{1}}{W_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right.}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{a}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(-l_{x}\right) \\
\underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(+l_{x}\right)
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\frac{W_{1}}{\underline{W_{2}}}\right]=\underline{\underline{A}} \underline{W} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { With: } \underline{\underline{A}}=\underline{\underline{B}}^{-1} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix dimensions in Eq. (3.6) match because the number of wave functions found by the quadratic eigenvalue problem of the waveguide FEM is twice the number of nodal DOFs of the cross sectional mesh used. Inserting into Eq. (3.1) gives the displacement ansatz in dependency of the nodal deflection vector $W$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{V}(x)=\underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}(x) \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{W} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Derivation with Hamilton's Principle

### 3.2.1 Lagrangian of Strain and Kinetic Energy

The displacement ansatz in Eq. (3.8) is plugged into the Lagrangian in Eq. (2.36) in order to find a system of equations for the determination of the unknown nodal displacements $\underline{W}$. The integral over the longitudinal $x$-direction is limited to the domain of the SSE:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-l_{x}}^{+l_{x}} \sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1} \underline{W}^{H} \underline{\underline{A}}^{T}\left(\frac{\partial^{m} \underline{\underline{E}}(x)}{\partial x^{m}}\right)^{T} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n} \underline{\underline{\Phi}} \frac{\partial^{n} \underline{\underline{E}}(x)}{\partial x^{n}} \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{W}  \tag{3.9}\\
-\omega^{2} \underline{W}^{H} \underline{\underline{A}}^{T} \underline{\underline{E}}^{T}(x) \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{\underline{m}}_{00} \underline{\underline{\underline{E}}}(x) \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{W} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{array}
$$

The displacement ansatz Eq. (3.8) is also used for the adjoint displacement vector $\underline{V}^{H}$. It is important to note that $\underline{W}$ is in Eq. (3.8) the only quantity depending on time. Therefore, this is the only quantity in the ansatz which has to be complex transposed according to Parseval's theorem. The other matrices in Eq. (3.8) are simply transposed.

In contrast to chapter 2 the matrices $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}$ and $\underline{\underline{m}}_{00}$ are here cross-sectional matrices $(N \times N)$, which have been assembled according to section A.4.3.1 from the element matrices.

The derivative w.r.t $x$ of $\underline{\underline{E}}(x)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{m} \underline{\underline{E}}(x)}{\partial x^{m}}=\left(\mathrm{i} \underline{\underline{k}}_{x}\right)^{m} \underline{\underline{E}}(x) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\underline{\underline{k}}_{x}$ is a diagonal matrix of the wave numbers $\kappa_{x, i}$.
Drawing out constant quantities of the integral leads to:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \underline{\underline{W}}^{H} \underline{\underline{A}}^{T}\left[\int_{-l_{x}}^{+l_{x}} \sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1} \underline{\underline{E}}^{T}(x)\left(\underline{\underline{i}}_{x}\right)^{m, T} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}\left(\underline{\underline{i}}_{x}\right)^{n} \underline{\underline{E}}(x)\right. \\
\left.-\omega^{2} \underline{\underline{E}}^{T}(x) \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{\underline{m}}_{00} \underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right] \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{W} \tag{3.11}
\end{array}
$$

For the product of two diagonal matrices $\underline{\underline{d}}_{1}$ and $\underline{\underline{d}}_{2}$ with an arbitrary matrix $\underline{\underline{v}}$ of appropriate size the following holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{d}}_{1} \underline{\underline{v}}_{\underline{\underline{d}}}^{2}=\underline{\underline{v}} \otimes\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(\underline{\underline{d}}_{1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(\underline{\underline{d}}_{2}\right)^{T}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\otimes$ symbolizes the Hadamard product (element-wise multiplication) and the operator $\operatorname{diag}()$ produces a column vector of the main diagonal of its input argument.

Therefore, the Lagrangian can be reformulated to:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}= & \frac{1}{4 \pi} \underline{\underline{W}}^{H} \underline{\underline{A}}^{T}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{i} \underline{\underline{k}}_{x}\right)^{m, T} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}\left(\underline{\underline{k}}_{x}\right)^{n}-\omega^{2} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{\underline{m}}_{0} \underline{\underline{\underline{\Phi}}}\right) \otimes \\
& \otimes \int_{-l_{x}}^{+l_{x}} \operatorname{diag}(\underline{\underline{E}}(x)) \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\underline{\underline{E}}(x))^{T} \mathrm{~d} x \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{W}}=  \tag{3.13}\\
= & \frac{1}{4 \pi} \underline{W}^{H} \underline{\underline{K}} \underline{\underline{W}} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

With the dynamic stiffness matrix $\underline{\underline{K}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{K}}=\underline{\underline{A}}^{T}\left(\underline{\underline{\Theta}} \otimes \underline{\underline{E}}_{I}\right) \underline{\underline{A}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

And:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{\Theta}}=\sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1}\left(\underline{\underline{i}}_{\underline{k_{k}}}\right)^{m, T} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}\left(\underline{\underline{\mathrm{i}}}_{x}\right)^{n}-\omega^{2} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{\underline{m}}_{00} \underline{\underline{\Phi}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components of the integration matrix $\underline{\underline{E}}_{I}$ can be evaluated exactly analytically:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{E}}_{I}=\int_{-l_{x}}^{+l_{x}} \operatorname{diag}(\underline{\underline{E}}(x)) \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\underline{\underline{E}}(x))^{T} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

With:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{I, i j} & =\int_{-l_{x}}^{+l_{x}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x, i} x+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x p, i} l_{x}} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x, j} x+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x p, j} l_{x}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{-l_{x}}^{+l_{x}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\kappa_{x, i}+\kappa_{x, j}\right) x+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x p, i} l_{x}+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x p, j} l_{x}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\left(\kappa_{x, i}+\kappa_{x, j}\right)}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x, i} x+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x p, i} l_{x}} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x, j} x+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x p, j} l_{x}}\right]_{-l_{x}} l_{x} \\
& =\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\left(\kappa_{x, i}+\kappa_{x, j}\right)}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x, i} l_{x}+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x p, i} l_{x}} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x, j} l_{x}+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x p, j} l_{x}}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x, i} l_{x}+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x p, i} l_{x}} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x, j} l_{x}+\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x p, j} l_{x}}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2.2 Lagrangian of the External Force

We assume a harmonic external force field with the excitation frequency $\Omega$ :

$$
\underline{p}(x, y, z, t)=\underline{p}(x, y, z) \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \Omega t} \quad \text { with: } \underline{p}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
p_{x}  \tag{3.19}\\
p_{y} \\
p_{z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

A Fourier transformation to frequency domain leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{p}(x, y, z, \omega)=2 \pi \underline{p}(x, y, z) \cdot \delta(\omega-\Omega) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor $2 \pi$ can be neglected, if also the factor $\frac{1}{2 \pi}$ in a possible back transformation is neglected.

The Lagrangian of the external force is according to [Birgersson et al 2005] and [Finnveden 1994] under consideration of Parseval's theorem:
$\mathcal{L}_{f}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\omega} \int_{-l x}^{l x} \int_{(y)} \int_{(z)}-\underline{p}(x, y, z)^{H} \cdot \delta(\omega-\Omega) \cdot \underline{u}(x, y, z, \omega)-\underline{u}(x, y, z, \omega)^{H} \cdot \delta(\omega-\Omega) \cdot \underline{p}(x, y, z) \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} \omega$

The integral over $\omega$ is abundant due to the Dirac- $\delta$ functions. Moreover, we restrict in a first step the application of external forces to lines of the discretized displacement functions of the cross-section $V(x)$. The force field reduces to a vector of force functions $\underline{P}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{f}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-l x}^{l x}-\underline{P}(x)^{H} \underline{V}(x)-\underline{V}(x)^{H} \underline{P}(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a second step we restrict the application of external loads to the nodes of the cross-sectional mesh at the ends of the SSE. Therefore, the force field reduces further to a generalized force vector $\underline{F}$ which has the same structure than the vector $\underline{W}$ of the nodal displacements:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{f}=\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(-\underline{F}^{H} \underline{W}-\underline{W}^{H} \underline{F}\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The complete Lagrangian is now:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{tot}}=\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(\underline{W}^{H} \underline{\underline{K}} \underline{W}-\underline{F}^{H} \underline{W}-\underline{W}^{H} \underline{F}\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Eulerian DE reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{W}^{H}}=0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

And a linear system of algebraic equations for the unknown nodal deflections $\underline{W}$ is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{K}} \underline{W}-\underline{F}=0 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3 Derivation with the Principle of Virtual Work

The virtual work of the external force vector $\underline{P}(x)$ is added to the virtual work of the internal and inertia forces in the spatial domain in Eqs. (2.51) and (2.56):

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta W_{\text {tot }}= & \int_{-l_{x}}^{l_{x}}-\sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{m} \delta \underline{V}(x)}{\partial x^{m}}\right)^{T} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n} \frac{\partial^{n} \underline{V}(x)}{\partial x^{n}}+\delta \underline{V}^{T}(x) \underline{\underline{m}}_{00} \omega^{2} \underline{V}(x)+  \tag{3.27}\\
& +\delta \underline{V}^{T}(x) \underline{P}(x) \mathrm{d} x
\end{align*}
$$

In contrast to chapter 2 , the matrices $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}$ and $\underline{\underline{m}}_{00}$ are here again cross-sectional matrices $(N \times N)$, which have been assembled according to section A.4.3.1 from the element matrices.

We use the displacement ansatz of Eq. (3.8) for the virtual as well as for the real displacements. Additionally, the external force is limited in the next step to point loads at the nodes of the cross-sectional meshes at the ends of the SSE:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta W_{\mathrm{tot}}= & \int_{-l_{x}}^{l_{x}}-\sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1} \delta \underline{\underline{W}}^{T} \underline{\underline{A}}^{T}\left(\frac{\partial^{m} \underline{\underline{E}}(x)}{\partial x^{m}}\right)^{T} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n} \underline{\underline{\Phi}} \frac{\partial^{n} \underline{\underline{E}}(x)}{\partial x^{n}} \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{W}+  \tag{3.28}\\
& +\delta \underline{W}^{T} \underline{\underline{A}}^{T} \underline{\underline{E}}^{T}(x) \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{\underline{m}}_{00} \omega^{2} \underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}(x) \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{W}+\delta \underline{W}^{T} \underline{\underline{F}} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

$\delta W \neq 0$, the derivatives of the $\underline{\underline{E}}$-matrix according to Eq. (3.10), the mathematical reformulation according to Eq. (3.12) and the analytical integration over the length according to Eq. (3.18) lead to the same linear system of equations for the unknown nodal displacements $\underline{W}$ as in Eq. (3.26):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{K}} \underline{W}-\underline{F}=0 \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

With:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{K}}=\underline{\underline{A}}^{T}\left(\underline{\underline{\Theta}} \otimes \underline{\underline{E}} \underline{E}_{I}\right) \underline{\underline{A}} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\underline{\underline{\Theta}}$ and $\underline{\underline{E}}_{I}$ are defined in Eqs. (3.16) and (3.17).

### 3.4 Implementation in MATLAB

The program package described in the appendix A. 4 has been extended by a fourth class in order to implement the theory of the spectral super elements. An object of this class is a system of an arbitrary number of coupled spectral super elements. It is described in detail in the appendix A.5. The class contains methods for the application of displacement constrains and loads on the cross sectional nodes at the ends of the elements. These methods are described in detail in the appendix A.5.2 and A.5.3. The assembling of spectral super elements to a system of SSEs is described in the appendix A.5.4.

### 3.5 Numerical Examples

### 3.5.1 Rectangular Concrete Beam

A single span concrete beam with a rectangular cross section with the same dimensions as in the example for the waveguide FEM in section 2.4.1 (height $=0.6 \mathrm{~m}$, width $=0.4 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and a span of 10 m is considered. In a first step the system is undamped. Later, a loss factor $\eta$ will be considered by defining a complex-valued Young's modulus. Parts of this example have been published in [Greim and Müller 2019].

The SSE model is depicted in Fig. 3.2. It consists of just one spectral super element. Displacement constraints are applied at both ends to the nodes on a line parallel to the $y$-axis through the center of gravity in $z$-direction: A pinned support is modeled at $x=0 \mathrm{~m}$ by constraining the displacements in all directions. A slide bearing is modeled at $x=10 \mathrm{~m}$ by constraining the displacements just in $y$ - and $z$-direction. The system is loaded by a moment $M_{y}=1 \mathrm{kNm}$ at $x=10 \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 3.2: SSE model of a single span concrete beam ( $L=10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with bearings and loads

The material properties are the same as in the waveguide FEM example and are listed in Tab. 2.1 on page 33. The cross sectional mesh is depicted in Fig. 3.3. The element edge length is 0.1 m . Therefore, the mesh is more coarse than in the waveguide FEM example.

The same beam is modeled with hexahedral solid finite elements in ANSYS for validation. An element edge length of 0.1 m is chosen in a first step which leads to cube-shaped elements. The cross-sectional discretization is therefore the same as in the SSE model (Fig. 3.3). The eight-noded SOLID185 element with linear shape functions is selected in order to ensure comparability with the cross-sectional discretization in the SSE model. In order to prevent shear locking the so called "simplified enhanced strain formulation" ( $\operatorname{KEYOPT}(2)=3$ ) is chosen as element technology. This technology introduces according to the ANSYS help


Figure 3.3: Discretization of the rectangular cross section used for the SSE model in this section
nine internal (user-inaccessible) DOFs. It is designed for preventing shear locking in bendingdominated problems. The displacement constraints and loads of the FE model are the same as in the SSE model.

A qualitative comparison of the overall displacements of the SSE and the ANSYS model is given in Figs. 3.4 and 3.5 for an excitation frequency of 100 Hz and 700 Hz . The SSE model covers the local deformations at the end of the load application very well, although it has no discretization in $x$-direction.


Figure 3.4: Deformation shapes of the single span concrete beam at 100 Hz

For a quantitative comparison of the SSE and the ANSYS model a harmonic analysis is performed in the band of $1-800 \mathrm{~Hz}$ with an increment of 0.25 Hz . The mean value of the


Figure 3.5: Deformation shapes of the single span concrete beam at 700 Hz
amplitude of the displacements in $z$-direction ( $w$-displacement) of all nodes in a section at $x=2.6 \mathrm{~m}$ is evaluated. The results are plotted in Fig. 3.6 for the band of $1-150 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and in Fig. 3.7 for the band of $150-800 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

The results of a spectral element based on the Euler-Bernoulli assumptions are plotted additionally in Fig. 3.6. The F-functions for the bar end forces and moments of Koloušek [Kolousek 1973] have been used for the implementation of this element.

The first eigenfrequency matches very well for all three models in Fig. 3.6. The second eigenfrequency shows already, that the Euler-Bernoulli assumptions lead to a model that is too stiff. The SSE and the ANSYS model match well up to a frequency of 150 Hz . The peak values are not comparable because the models are all undamped.

Instead of the Euler-Bernoulli model, the results of a second ANSYS model with a refined mesh are plotted together with the basic models in Fig. 3.7. The element size is set to 0.05 m in the refined model. Therefore, one cube-shaped element in the coarse FE mesh is replaced by eight cubes in the fine mesh.

For higher frequencies the coarse ANSYS model is stiffer than the SSE model which is slightly stiffer than the refined ANSYS model. A SSE model with a refined cross-sectional mesh would behave again a little softer than the refined ANSYS model. Therefore, a convergence behavior is observed and the SSE model produces for the same discretization always better results than the FE model.


Figure 3.6: Harmonic analysis of the 10 m single span concrete beam loaded with a section moment $M_{y}=$ 1 kNm at $x=10 \mathrm{~m}$. Mean value of the $w$-deflection of all cross-sectional nodes at $x=2.6 \mathrm{~m}$. Frequency range: $1-150 \mathrm{~Hz}$


Figure 3.7: Harmonic analysis of the 10 m single span concrete beam loaded with a section moment $M_{y}=$ 1 kNm at $x=10 \mathrm{~m}$. Mean value of the $w$-deflection of all cross-sectional nodes at $x=2.6 \mathrm{~m}$. Frequency range: $150-800 \mathrm{~Hz}$

Consideration of Damping The peak values in a harmonic analysis are limited only if damping is considered in the model. Therefore, damping has to be introduced in order to compare the amplitudes at the resonant frequencies of the SSE and the ANSYS model.

Material damping can be considered by defining a complex Young's modulus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{c}}=E(1+\mathrm{i} \operatorname{sgn}(\Omega) \eta) \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\eta$ is the so called loss factor. The meaning of this loss factor and the necessity of the $\operatorname{sgn}()$-function is described in detail in appendix A.3. The loss factor leads to a frequency independent damping.

The harmonic analysis of the single-span concrete beam with a loss factor of $\eta=0.05$ is shown in Fig. 3.8. Load application and evaluation points remain unchanged. The SSE model and the ANSYS model with the same cross-sectional discretization are compared. A very good accordance is observed.


Figure 3.8: Harmonic analysis of the damped $(\eta=0.05) 10 \mathrm{~m}$ single span concrete beam loaded with a section moment $M_{y}=1 \mathrm{kNm}$ at $x=10 \mathrm{~m}$. Mean value of the $w$-deflection of all crosssectional nodes at $x=2.6 \mathrm{~m}$. Frequency range: $1-250 \mathrm{~Hz}$

### 3.5.2 UIC60 Rail

A 2 m long section of a UIC60 rail with the cross section specified in section 2.4 is considered. The assumed material properties are those of construction steel according to Tab. 2.1 on page 33. The rail is clamped at $x=0 \mathrm{~m}$ and loaded at $x=2 \mathrm{~m}$ with a vertical force (in $z$-direction) of $F=1 \mathrm{kN}$ which is evenly distributed over all cross-sectional nodes.

The rail section is modeled again with one spectral super element and - for comparison with finite elements in ANSYS. The SSE model is depicted in Fig. 3.9. The cross-sectional mesh is the same as in Fig. 2.3(b) on page 32. This mesh consists of 190 nodes and 138 quadrilataral elements. The cross section has therefore 570 DOFs and the SSE model has 1,140 DOFs.


Figure 3.9: SSE model of a UIC60 rail section $(L=2 \mathrm{~m})$ with bearings and loads

The ANSYS model consists mainly of hexahedral solid finite elements with linear shape functions. The mesh for the volume of the rail section was generated with a prescribed element size of 0.007 m and the type setting 'hexdominat'. Due to the complicated boundary, ANSYS' meshing algorithm cannot produce a mapped mesh like for the concrete beam anymore. The resulting mesh has no periodicity in length direction. Also the cross-sectional surface mesh at the ends is not the same mesh as in the SSE model. Just the number of nodes is comparable. The cross-section at $x=2 \mathrm{~m}$ is depicted in Fig. 3.10. This mesh consists of 233 nodes and has therefore 699 DOFs. The whole ANSYS model consist of 73,138 nodes and 63,663 SOLID185 elements. It has therefore 219,414 DOFs in total. This is almost 200 times the number of DOFs of the SSE model.

A qualitative comparison of the two models is shown in Fig. 3.11. The deflection shape for both models is plotted at $2,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The cross-sectional deflection at the loaded end matches very well.


Figure 3.10: Cross section at $x=2 \mathrm{~m}$ of the ANSYS model for the UIC60 rail


Figure 3.11: Deformation shapes of the cantilever UIC60 rail at 2000 Hz

For a quantitative comparison a harmonic analysis is performed in a frequency band of 1$4,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The amplitude of the deflection in $z$-direction ( $w$-displacement) of the evaluation node specified in Fig. 3.9 is plotted in Fig. 3.12 for both models. The main eigenfrequencies match in general very well. The SSE model behaves slightly stiffer than the ANSYS model, but the cross-sectional discretization in the FE model is also approx. $20 \%$ finer than in the SSE model.

The additional small resonances in the ANSYS model are torsional mode shapes which are excited slightly due to the non-symmetric mesh. The mesh of the SSE model (Fig. 2.3(b) on page 32) is symmetric about the $z$-axis. Therefore, the load which is evenly distributed over all cross-sectional nodes causes no torsional moment and no torsional mode shapes are excited. The deflection shape at $2,468 \mathrm{~Hz}$ is picked as an example in Fig. 3.13 in order to


Figure 3.12: Harmonic analysis of the 2 m cantilever UIC60 rail section loaded with a single force $F_{z}=$ 1 kN at $x=2 \mathrm{~m}$. Amplitude of the $w$-deflection of the evaluation node specified in Fig. 3.9. Frequency range: $2-4000 \mathrm{~Hz}$
visualize these differences.
Anti-resonances are sensitive to the pattern of load application. Therefore, the slightly different load application in these two models is also the reason why the anti-resonances do not match in Fig. 3.12.

(b) ANSYS model

Figure 3.13: Deformation shapes of the cantilever UIC60 rail at $2,468 \mathrm{~Hz}$

### 3.5.3 System of coupled SSE elements

This section shows the full capabilities of the class SSEsystem of the Matlab program described in the appendix A.5. The considered system is a two-span concrete beam with the same cross section and material properties as the beam considered in the example of section 3.5.1. The SSE model of the undeformed system is depicted in Fig. 3.14. The span widths are $L_{1}=4 \mathrm{~m}$ and $L_{2}=10 \mathrm{~m}$. The support at $x=0 \mathrm{~m}$ constrains all displacement directions of the nodes. The supports at $x=4 \mathrm{~m}$ and $x=14 \mathrm{~m}$ constrain only the displacements in $y$ and $z$-direction. The load is a single force $F_{z}=1 \mathrm{kN}$ at $x=10 \mathrm{~m}$ evenly distributed over all cross-sectional nodes. Material damping is considered with a loss factor of $\eta=0.01$.

The SSE model consists of three spectral super elements.


Figure 3.14: Undeformed SSE model of the two-span concrete beam with supports at $x=[0,4,14] \mathrm{m}$ and vertical load application at $x=10 \mathrm{~m}$

The system is also modeled in ANSYS with cubic SOLID185 elements of an element size of 0.1 m , which results in the same cross-sectional discretization as in the SSE model.

The deflection shapes of the two models at 180 Hz are depicted in Fig. 3.15. The supports are drawn at the undeformed position in Fig. 3.15(a) in order to visualize the horizontal displacement of the slide bearings.

A harmonic analysis has been performed in the band of $1-250 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The mean value of the amplitudes of the displacement components in $z$-direction of the load application nodes is plotted in Fig. 3.16. The amplitudes of the two models match very well. As shown in the example with the single-span beam (section 3.5.1), the ANSYS model is slightly stiffer than the SSE model.

(a) SSE model

(b) ANSYS model

Figure 3.15: Deformation shapes of the multi-span concrete beam at 180 Hz


Figure 3.16: Harmonic analysis of the 14 m two-span concrete beam loaded with a single force $F_{z}=1 \mathrm{kN}$ at $x=10 \mathrm{~m}$. Mean value of the amplitudes of the $w$-deflections at the excitation nodes. Loss factor $\eta=0.01$. Frequency range: $1-250 \mathrm{~Hz}$

### 3.5.4 Evaluation of wave contribution factors

The vector $\underline{a}$ in the displacement ansatz for the spectral super element in Eq. (3.1) is the vector of the wave contribution factors. Its components $a_{i}$ are the factors with which the wave function defined by the wavenumber $\kappa_{x, i}$ and the wave shape $\underline{\psi}_{i}$ constitutes to the total solution for the SSE element.

The wave contribution factors are obtained according to Eq. (3.6) after the linear system of equations for the SSE (Eq. (3.26)) has been solved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{a}=\underline{\underline{A}} \underline{W} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

A graphical user interface (GUI) has been implemented in order to analyze the main contributing waves in a SSE solution. The GUI shall enable a deeper understanding of the spectral super elements. The wave contribution in the solution for the UIC60 rail example of section 3.5.2 at $2,000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ is analyzed with this GUI in Figs. 3.17 and 3.18 on the following two pages. The corresponding deflection shape is depicted in Fig. 3.11 on page 60.

In the lower left corner of the GUI, the user can select a wave function whose contribution is to be displayed. The occurring wave functions can either be sorted according to the magnitude of the eigenvalue (wavenumber $\kappa_{x}$ ) or according to the magnitude of the contribution factor $a$. The last sorting is feasible in order to find the highest contributions. The cross-sectional mesh of the UIC60 example has 570 DOFs. Therefore, the quadratic eigenvalue problem in Eq. (2.46) leads to 1,140 wave functions, which can be selected.

In the lower right corner of the GUI all contribution factors in vector $\underline{a}$ are plotted in a scatter plot in the complex plain. The contribution factor of the currently selected wave is highlighted in red. One can observe, that the magnitude of most of the contribution factors is close to zero.

The display in the lower middle shows the current contribution factor and its percentage of the sum of all contribution factors. For the depicted example exist 16 wave functions with a contribution higher than $1 \%, 80$ wave functions with a contribution higher than $0.1 \%$ and 373 wave functions with a contribution higher than $0.01 \%$. The remaining 767 wave functions contribute in sum $0.929 \%$ to the solution.

The upper right plot in the GUI visualizes the wave shape (eigenvector) of the selected wave function. The upper left plot shows the corresponding exponential function $e^{\mathrm{i} \kappa_{x} x}$ (which is shifted in $x$-direction according to Eq. (3.2)) evaluated along the domain of the SSE.





Figure 3.18: GUI showing the wave function with the $3^{\text {rd }}$ highest contribution factor in the solution for the UIC60 rail section depicted in Fig. 3.11 (at


## 4 Moving Forces on Spectral Super Elements

A solution procedure for the response of a SSE model subjected to a moving force is presented and validated in this chapter. The moving force is a point force (which can be arbitrarily distributed over the cross-sectional mesh) with an amplitude constant in time. This point force moves with a constant velocity $v_{0}$ along the $x$-coordinate.

### 4.1 Moving Harmonic Force on Waveguide FEM Models

A solution procedure for a moving harmonic force on infinite waveguide FEM models (chapter 2) is described and applied e.g. in [Müller 1989], [Müller et al 2008] and [Hackenberg 2016].

A harmonic force with constant velocity $v_{0}$ can be described like:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x, t)=p_{0}\left(x-v_{0} t\right) \cdot f(t) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $f(t)$ is a harmonic function and $p_{0}(x)$ describes the spatial distribution of the force.
The Fourier transformed of the load function in Eq. (4.1) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}\left(\kappa_{x}, \omega\right)=\tilde{p}_{0}\left(\kappa_{x}\right) \cdot \tilde{f}\left(\omega+v_{0} \kappa_{x}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the solution in frequency domain for the moving force is obtained by the calculation of the solution for a stationary force with the shifted frequency $\bar{\omega}=\omega+v_{0} \kappa_{x}$. The solution in time domain is obtained after a two fold inverse transformation.

This solution procedure can be applied e.g. on problems of infinite elastically supported beams like slab tracks or embedded tunnels. The procedure is very efficient for such problems. However, the work in this dissertation focuses finite systems, with discrete supports. The
waveguide FEM is used only as an eigenvalue problem. No loads are applied on the infinite waveguide FEM system. Therefore, no advantage can be taken from the described simple frequency shift.

### 4.2 Moving Constant Point Force on SSE models

On a finite SSE model the moving point force has to be considered as a transient force. The solution in time domain is obtained with a solution procedure which is valid for all frequency domain methods dealing with transient forces (e.g. [Lee 2009]):

1. Fourier transformation of the load from time to frequency domain $F(t) \circ \longrightarrow \tilde{F}(\omega)$.
2. Solving the system of equations of the spectral element method in the frequency domain and obtaining the solution $\tilde{w}(\omega)$.
3. Inverse Fourier transformation of the solution from frequency to time domain $\tilde{w}(\omega) \bullet \longrightarrow w(t)$.

Fig. 4.1 illustrates this solution procedure.


Figure 4.1: Solution procedure for a moving force on spectral element models (from [Mader 2019])

The Fourier transformation is carried out numerically as discrete Fourier transformation (DFT) and inverse discrete Fourier transformation (IDFT). Most efficient is the implemen-
tation of the DFT as fast Fourier transformation (FFT) and its inverse (IFFT). These algorithms are explained in detail e.g. in [Brigham 1987].

Moving force analysis on spectral elements is rarely discussed in literature. [Azizi et al 2012] seem to be the first to apply spectral elements for the dynamic analysis of beams and bridges subjected to a moving force. They use spectral elements based on Euler-Bernoulli assumptions. The moving force is transformed with a continuous Fourier transformation to frequency domain. This leads to a continuous function $\tilde{f}(x, \omega)$. In order to apply this function on the spectral elements, they compute equivalent nodal forces, because a spectral element can be loaded only at its nodes. This leads to an approximation which will be discussed in sections 4.4.1 and 4.4.2. In order to obtain accurate results, [Azizi et al 2012] have to discretize therefore one span with several spectral elements.
[Sarvestan et al 2015] have used the solution procedure of [Azizi et al 2012] for the analysis of a cracked Euler-Bernoulli beam.
[Song et al 2016] propose another analysis method. They use a discrete Fourier transformation for the load and obtain therefore a series of point loads (comb of forces) along the $x$-direction in frequency domain. For each of these point loads a two-element model of spectral elements is built. The procedure is therefore exact within the limits of the underlying beam theory. They use Timoshenko beam spectral elements published in [Lee 2009].

The analysis method of [Song et al 2016] is transferred in this thesis to spectral super elements. In order to limit the simulation time to a reasonable range, remedies for errors occurring in the DFT from [Lee 2009] are used additionally.

### 4.3 Fourier Transformation of a Moving Force

A constant point force moving with constant velocity $v_{0}$ which enters the SSE model at the global coordinate $x=0$ at time $t=0$ can be described as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x, t)=P_{0} \delta\left(x-v_{0} t\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P_{0}$ is the constant magnitude of the force and $\delta()$ is the Dirac- $\delta$-function.
The force needs the time $T_{A}=\frac{L}{v_{0}}$ to pass the model with length $L$. Windowing and sampling in time is necessary for a DFT. The time window is defined as $t \in\left[0, T_{0}[\right.$. Discretization with


Figure 4.2: The discretized moving force in time domain for $N=4$ (from [Mader 2019])
$N$ time samples leads to an sampling interval of $\Delta t=\frac{T_{0}}{N}$. The sampled time function of the load can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{k}\left(x, t_{k}\right)=P_{0} \delta\left(x-v_{0} t_{k}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{k}=k \Delta t$ and $k=0,1, \ldots, N-1$. Fig. 4.2 visualizes the sampled moving force in space and time on a single-span beam for $T_{0}=T_{A}$. The constant sampling in time leads together with the constant velocity $v_{0}$ also to an constant sampling in space with the sample interval $\Delta x=v_{0} \Delta t$ and the sampling positions $x_{k}=v_{0} k \Delta t$.

The DFT of the sampled moving force is obtained according to [Brigham 1987] as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\hat{p}}_{n}\left(x, \frac{n}{N \Delta t}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \hat{p}_{k}\left(x, t_{k}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \frac{n k}{N}}=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} P_{0} \delta\left(x-v_{0} t_{k}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \frac{n k}{N}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the sampled frequency is $f_{n}=\frac{n}{N \Delta t}$ with the frequency interval $\Delta f=\frac{1}{N \Delta t}=\frac{1}{T_{0}}$ and $n=0,1, \ldots, N-1$. The dynamic stiffness of a SSE derived in chapter 3, Eq. (3.15) is defined in dependency of the angular frequency $\omega$. Therefore, Eq. (4.5) has to be reformulated with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n}=2 \pi f_{n}=2 \pi \frac{n}{N \Delta t}=n \frac{2 \pi}{T_{0}}=n \omega_{0} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.3: The discretized moving force in frequency domain for $N=4$ (from [Mader 2019])

Extending the argument of the e-function in Eq. (4.5) with $\Delta t$ leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \frac{n k}{N}=-\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \frac{n}{N \Delta t} k \Delta t=-\mathrm{i} \omega_{n} t_{k} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the transformed force in dependency of the sampled angular frequency is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\hat{p}}_{n}\left(x, \omega_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} P_{0} \delta\left(x-v_{0} t_{k}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{n} t_{k}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (4.8) describes for each discrete frequency $\omega_{n}=n \omega_{0}$ a comb of forces with point loads at the positions $x_{k}=v_{0} t_{k}$. All point loads have the same magnitude $\left(P_{0}\right)$ but different phase $\varphi=-\omega_{n} t_{k}$. Fig. 4.3 visualizes this comb of forces in space and frequency on a single-span beam for $T_{0}=T_{A}$.

### 4.4 Analysis of a SSE Model for the Transformed Stationary Forces

After the Fourier transformation a comb of stationary forces has to be applied on the SSE model at each Fourier frequency. Forces have to be applied therefore also on the beam spans between the bearings. Three general methods for that kind of load application on
spectral beam elements exist in literature: The one-element method (OEM), the two-element method (TEM) and the modified one-element method (MOEM). These three methods will be described shortly in the following and a comparison of the first two and a finite element model in ANSYS is carried out in a numerical example.

The TEM is the most simple one. The span which is loaded is separated into two spectral super elements at the $x$-coordinate of load application. This principle is used e.g. in the numerical example for a system of coupled SSEs (section 3.5.3). The results are exact (besides of the general limitations of the cross-sectional discretization in SSEs). The drawback of this method is, that it leads for the comb of forces in the Fourier domain to a high discretization in $x$-direction, which somehow compensates the advantages of spectral element methods in comparison to finite element methods.

An alternative is the OEM: One span is modeled just with one spectral element. Equivalent nodal forces are obtained by evaluating the integral over the beam domain in the Lagrangian of the external force $\mathcal{L}_{f}$ (Eq. (3.21) on page 51). The evaluation of the integral in $\mathcal{L}_{f}$ is shown in the next subsection. Theoretically, this integral can be evaluated for the whole comb of forces at once (or the equivalent nodal forces of each force of the comb are summarized) and therefore just one SSE has to be evaluated with one load case per frequency. The drawback is, that this method leads to an approximation.
[Kim and Lee 2016] have developed the so called modified one-element method (MOEM) for spectral Timoshenko beam elements and have used it in [Kim and Lee 2017] for a moving force analysis on this beam elements. This method adds to the results of the one-element method correction functions which lead to the results of the two-element method. This means that exact results are obtained although one span is modeled with just one element. However, the stiffness matrices of the two elements of the corresponding two-element model are required. Therefore, the MOEM is more or less just a mathematical reformulation of the TEM. The advantage in computational efficiency is questionable. (It is not mentioned by [Kim and Lee 2016].) In order to reduce complexity, the MOEM is not investigated in this thesis, although it could be transferred to spectral super elements.

### 4.4.1 Equivalent Nodal Forces for the One-Element Method

The Lagrangian of the force $\mathcal{L}_{f}$ is for one excitation frequency: (compare Eq. (3.21))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{f}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-l x}^{l x} \int_{(y)} \int_{(z)}-\underline{p}(x, y, z)^{H} \cdot \underline{u}(x, y, z)-\underline{u}(x, y, z)^{H} \cdot \underline{p}(x, y, z) \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d} x \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For one force of the comb the load field $\underline{p}(x, y, z)$ can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{p}(x, y, z)=\underline{p}(y, z) \cdot \delta\left(x-x_{k}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $x_{k}$ is the $x$-coordinate of the force.
The Dirac-function converts the integral over $x$ in $\mathcal{L}_{f}$ to a product at one discrete value:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{f}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{(y)} \int_{(z)}-\underline{p}(y, z)^{H} \cdot \underline{u}\left(x=x_{k}, y, z\right)-\underline{u}\left(x=x_{k}, y, z\right)^{H} \cdot \underline{p}(y, z) \mathrm{d} z \mathrm{~d} y \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next step the force application is limited to the cross-sectional nodes of the SSE. The force field can be described therefore with a force vector:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{p}(y, z)=\underline{p}=\left[p_{u, 1} p_{v, 1} p_{w, 1} p_{u, 2} p_{v, 2} p_{w, 2} \cdots p_{u, n} p_{v, n} p_{w, n}\right]^{T} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $n$ is the number of nodes in the cross-sectional mesh.
Therefore, the displacement field $\underline{u}\left(x=x_{k}, y, z\right)$ can be replaced by the vector of nodal deflections $\underline{V}\left(x_{k}\right)$ for which the displacement ansatz of Eq. (3.8) on page 49 is used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{u}\left(x=x_{k}, y, z\right)=\underline{V}\left(x_{k}\right)=\underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(x_{k}\right) \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{W} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration over the cross-sectional area in $\mathcal{L}_{f}$ is transformed to vector multiplications:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{f} & =\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(-\underline{p}^{H} \cdot \underline{V}\left(x_{k}\right)-\underline{V}\left(x_{k}\right)^{H} \cdot \underline{p}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(-\underline{p}^{H} \cdot \underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(x_{k}\right) \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{W}-\left(\underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(x_{k}\right) \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{W}\right)^{H} \cdot \underline{p}\right)  \tag{4.14}\\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(-\underline{p}^{H} \cdot \underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(x_{k}\right) \underline{\underline{A}} \underline{\underline{W}}-\underline{W}^{H} \underline{\underline{A}}^{T} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(x_{k}\right)^{T} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \cdot \underline{p}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The variation of the Lagrangian according to Eq. (3.25) leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L_{f}}{\partial \underline{W}^{H}}=-\underline{\underline{A}}^{T} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(x_{k}\right)^{T} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{p} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with the variation of the Lagrangian of the strain and kinetic energy (compare Eq. (3.24)) the following linear system of equations results:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\underline{K}} \underline{W}-\underbrace{\underline{A}^{T}}_{\underline{\hat{A}}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(x_{k}\right)^{T} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} p=0  \tag{4.16}\\
& \underline{\underline{K}} \underline{W}=\underline{\hat{F}} \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Where the vector $\underline{\hat{F}}$ is the equivalent nodal force vector due to a force vector $\underline{p}$ applied at the position $x=x_{k}$. This vector is visualized for an example in Fig. 4.5(a) in the next section.

### 4.4.2 OEM vs. TEM vs. FEM

A numerical example shall show the differences of the OEM and TEM. The results of a 3D-solid FE model in ANSYS are considered for validation. [Mader 2019] has started with the same example, but due to some errors in his plots, the calculations are repeated for this dissertation independently.

The system is already known from section 3.5.1. It is a 10 m long single-span rectangular concrete beam. The cross section, the material parameters (Tab. 2.1 on page 33) and support conditions are the same as in section 3.5.1. An idealized structural system of the example is depicted in Fig. 4.4. The system is considered to be undamped $(\eta=0)$.


Figure 4.4: Idealized structural system of a simply supported beam subjected to a point force (from [Mader 2019])

The example system is loaded at $x=3 \mathrm{~m}$ with a vertical point force $P_{0}=1 \mathrm{kN}$.

Fig. 4.5 shows the OEM, the TEM and the mesh of the FE model of the example structure. The point force is distributed evenly over all cross-sectional nodes in these models.


Figure 4.5: Models for a 10 m single-span beam loaded with a point force $P_{0}=1 \mathrm{kN}$ at $x=3 \mathrm{~m}$ (from [Mader 2019])

The vector of equivalent nodal forces is visualized in the figure of the OEM (Fig. 4.5(a)). One can observe, that the OEM tries to cover the effect of the point force with moments $M_{y}$ about the $y$-axis at the ends of the single SSE, because the distribution of the equivalent nodal forces over the cross section looks like the stress distribution of such a moment.

A harmonic analysis for all three models has been carried out in the frequency band from 1 to 530 Hz . The mean value of the magnitude of the vertical displacements (in $z$-direction) at the point of load application (at $x_{k}=3 \mathrm{~m}$ ) is evaluated. The results are plotted in Fig. 4.6. The results of the TEM and the FEM coincide in general very well. The FEM model is slightly too stiff as already known from the example in section 3.5.1.

The load application of the OEM excites in comparison to the TEM and FE model additional eigenfrequencies. The mode shapes of these additional eigenfrequencies are excited due to the edge-moment-like load application. These edge moments are a contradiction to the boundary conditions of the original structural system (Fig. 4.4). Therefore, the curvature of the deflection line at the bearings is not zero like in the structural system and more bending modes are excited.


Figure 4.6: Frequency response of the single-span beam modeled as OEM, TEM and with finite elements. Mean value of the magnitude of the nodal deflections at the point of load application ( $x=3 \mathrm{~m}$ )

For further comparison the deflection shapes of the three models are plotted along the $x$ coordinate in Fig. 4.7 for the frequencies 10, 70, 80, 90 and 530 Hz . The plotted amplitude is the mean value of the vertical displacement (in $z$-direction) of all cross-sectional nodes. The OEM has especially at the off-resonant frequencies ( 70,90 and 530 Hz ) difficulties to predict the correct results.

The OEM will no longer be considered in this thesis due to the observations in this section. Instead, the TEM will be used in the moving force analysis of this chapter. The model has to be discretized in length direction at each force position of the comb of forces of the Fourier transformed moving force. The results of all these two-element models can be superposed, due to linearity. The higher computational expenditure has to be accepted.


Figure 4.7: Mean value of the vertical deflection ( $z$-direction) of the cross-sectional nodes of the singlespan beam modeled as OEM, TEM and with finite elements plotted over the longitudinal $x$-axis at different frequencies

### 4.5 Procedure of DFT Processing

[Lee 2009] describes a general procedure of DFT processing for stationary transient loads in 14 steps. This procedure contains remedies for all DFT induced errors that can occur. Not all steps of this procedure are suitable for the problem of moving forces on slightly (or even undamped) spectral elements. Therefore, this procedure is shorted and summarized in the following. Only steps which are relevant for the concerned problem of moving forces are described.

In time domain the dynamic response $w(t)$ of a system initially at rest is obtained by the convolution of the impulse response $h(t)$ of the system with the transient force $f(t)$ (Duhamel integral):

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=\int_{0}^{t} h(t-\tau) f(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

A convolution in time domain transforms to a simple multiplication in frequency domain. For the DFT processing this means that a sample of the response $\tilde{w}_{n}$ is obtained by a multiplication of the respective samples of the transformed force and impulse response:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{w}_{n}=\tilde{h}_{n} \tilde{f}_{n} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the reason why it is worth going the detour over the frequency domain. $n$ is the sample index $(n=0,1, \ldots, N-1)$. The transformed impulse response is the inverse of the dynamic stiffness. Therefore, Eq. (4.19) is the solution of the linear system of equations of the SSE theory in Eq. (3.26):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\tilde{W}}_{n}=\underline{\underline{K}}\left(\omega_{n}\right)^{-1} \underline{\tilde{F}}_{n} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution in time domain is obtained by applying the IFFT algorithm:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{W}_{n}=\operatorname{IFFT}\left\{\underline{\tilde{W}}_{n} \mid n=1,2, \ldots, N-1\right\} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The impulse response function and the force function in Eq. (4.18) fulfill the causality conditions $h(t-\tau)=0$ for $t<\tau$ and $f(\tau)=0$ for $\tau<0$. Therefore, the convolution gives the system response for completely null initial conditions. Due to the equivalence of the DFT
processing and the convolution integral also the DFT processing gives the response for a system which is initially at rest.

Two DFT induced errors can occur during the DFT processing of a SSE system under a moving force. These are described together with remedies in the following.

### 4.5.1 Wraparound Error

[Ginsberg 2001] has proofed (with the help of the discrete orthogonality property of a sum over complex exponential functions with integer multiple phase angles) that the convolution in Eq. (4.18) after DFT processing (DFT and IDFT) transforms to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{r}=\sum_{n=0}^{r} h_{n} f_{r-n}+\sum_{n=r+1}^{N-1} h_{n} f_{r-n+N} \quad(r=0,1,2, \ldots, N-1) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation written explicitly e.g. for the time sample $r=2$ looks like:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{2}=\left[h_{0} f_{2}+h_{1} f_{1}+h_{2} f_{0}\right]+\left[h_{3} f_{N-1}+\cdots+h_{N / 2} f_{N / 2}+\cdots+h_{N-1} f_{3}\right] \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (4.22) and (4.23) show, that the discrete convolution requires for the computation of a time sample $w_{r}$ also time samples of the impulse response $h_{n}$ and $f_{n}$ from the future ( $n>r$ ). The terms with future time samples are in the second sum of Eq. (4.22) or in the second bracket of Eq. (4.23). This is in contrast to the continuous convolution Eq. (4.18), where the response at time $t_{r}$ depends only on processes in the past $\left(t<t_{k}\right)$.

This discrepancy in the discrete convolution can be explained with the periodic repetition of the sampled process in the DFT. Data outside of the sampling time window $0<t<T_{0}$ is shifted periodically into this time window. The resulting error is called wraparound error.
[Lee 2009] proposes a "fail-safe" remedy for the wraparound error: The number of samples in time domain has to be doubled by zero-padding. The number of samples is therefore $N^{\prime}=2 N$, from which the second half is zero. The effective sampling time increases to $T^{\prime}=2 T_{0}$. The second sum of Eq. (4.22) or the second bracket of Eq. (4.23) vanishes due to these zero pads for samples up to the number $N-1$. However, it is important to note, that samples with numbers from $N$ to $N^{\prime}-1$ are still contaminated by the wraparound error. These samples have to be discarded after the back transformation.

Fig. 4.8 illustrates the process of zero padding for the arbitrarily chosen signal $x(t)=$ $\mathrm{e}^{-2 t} \cos (6 \pi t)$. If the sampling time $T_{0}$ is set to $t=1 \mathrm{~s}$ the DFT assumes a repetition of


Figure 4.8: Zero padding used to cope with the wraparound error
the signal for the period $1 \mathrm{~s}<t<2 \mathrm{~s}$. In order to avoid the wraparound arrow for $t<T_{0}$ the sampling time window is doubled to $2 T_{0}=2 \mathrm{~s}$ and padded with zeros.

The maximum frequency in the transformed domain remains unchanged, because the sampling time interval $\Delta t$ remains unchanged. Instead, the frequency interval is halved because the new basic frequency is $\omega_{0}=\frac{2 \pi}{2 T_{0}}$. This new basic frequency has to be considered when the $N^{\prime}$ DFT transformed samples of the moving force according to Eq. (4.8) are calculated. The maximum summation index in Eq. (4.8) is still $N-1=\frac{N^{\prime}}{2}-1$ because the sum over the samples from $N$ to $N^{\prime}-1$ is zero:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\hat{p}}_{n}\left(x, \omega_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{N^{\prime}}{2}-1} P_{0} \delta\left(x-v_{0} t_{k}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{n} t_{k}}+\sum_{k=\frac{N^{\prime}}{2}}^{N^{\prime}-1} 0 \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{n} t_{k}} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.5.2 Leakage Error

The leakage error occurs due to improper windowing in time domain, through which a jump in the discretized time function is introduced. This jump causes sidelobes in the DFT spectrum. Leakage can have two reasons:

1. For periodic time functions leakage occurs when the sampling period $T_{0}$ is not an integer multiple of the signal period $T$.
2. For transient response functions leakage always occurs. In order to cope with it, the sampling period $T_{0}$ has to be long enough to ensure that the signal can decay almost to zero within it.

The second point causes trouble in the DFT processing of a slightly damped structure under a moving load. The following example shall illustrate the problem. A force with the velocity $v_{0}=50 \frac{\mathrm{~km}}{\mathrm{~h}}$ needs 0.72 s to pass the 10 m long concrete beam of example 3.5.1. The first eigenfrequency of this beam is approx. $f_{E}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The decay of a free vibration of this beam is described with the exponential function $\mathrm{e}^{-\delta t}$ where $\delta$ is the decay constant $\delta=D \omega_{E}=2 \pi D f_{E} . \quad D$ is the damping ratio or percentage of critical damping which we assume to be 0.02 in this example. In order to obtain the time which needs the first eigenmode to decay to $\frac{1}{100}$ of its maximum amplitude we have to solve the decay function for $t$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{e}^{-\delta t} & =\frac{1}{100} \\
-\delta t & =\ln (1)-\ln (100)  \tag{4.25}\\
t & =\frac{\ln (100)}{D \omega_{E}}=\frac{\ln (100)}{0.02 \cdot 2 \pi \cdot 9}=4.07 \mathrm{~s}
\end{align*}
$$

This means, that the sample time window should be chosen to $T_{0}=5.66 T_{A}$. For a fixed time sampling interval $\Delta t$ this would lead to an enormous increase of the computational effort in comparison to a sample time of $T_{0}=T_{A}$.
[Lee 2009] suggests as a remedy to use artificial damping. The force is attenuated until the end of the sampling time window with the help of an exponential time window function of the form $\mathrm{e}^{-a t}$. After the DFT processing this artificial damping has to be removed from the response by multiplying the response with $\mathrm{e}^{a t}$. This remedy introduces no approximations for linear systems. [Lee 2009] suggests to chose the artificial damping constant $a$ such that the decay function leads to an reduction to $\frac{1}{100}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{\ln (100)}{T_{0}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same artificial damping constant is used in this thesis.
The multiplication with the exponential time window function leads in the DFT transformation of the load according to Eq. (4.24) to a complex frequency shift in the frequency domain:

$$
\tilde{\hat{p}}_{n}^{\prime}\left(x, \omega_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{N^{\prime}}{2}-1} P_{0} \delta\left(x-v_{0} t_{k}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-a t} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{n} t_{k}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{N^{\prime}}{2}-1} P_{0} \delta\left(x-v_{0} t_{k}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}} \underbrace{\left(\omega_{n}-\mathrm{i} a\right) t_{k}}_{\omega_{n}^{\prime}} \quad\left(n=0,1,2, \ldots, N^{\prime}-1\right) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Primed quantities $\square^{\prime}$ are in this context quantities to which the described remedies for DFT induced errors are applied.

The shifted frequency is $\omega_{n}^{\prime}=\omega_{n}-\mathrm{i} a$. This has a second advantage. The SSE stiffness matrix is not defined for the static case, i.e. for the frequency $\omega_{0}=0$. The respective shifted frequency is $\omega_{0}^{\prime}=-\mathrm{i} a$ for which the SSE response can be calculated without difficulties.

### 4.5.3 Implementation in MATLAB

The described procedure has been implemented by Julius Mader in the scope of his master's thesis [Mader 2019] in an additional class MovingForce. An object of this class is a system of SSEs which is loaded by a moving force. Therefore, the class MovingForce is based on the class SSEsystem described in appendix A.5.1. The class MovingForce is described in detail in [Mader 2019]. Here, only a general description of the procedure is given.

The input parameters of the constructor for an object of the class MovingForce are first of all the same as for the class SSEsystem in order to define the structural system. Additionally, the value $P_{0}$ and the velocity $v_{0}$ of the moving force and the number of samples $N$ (not $N^{\prime}$ ) have to be defined. $N$ should be an integer power of two ( $2^{\gamma}$ where $\gamma$ is an integer) in order to profit from the efficiency of the FFT [Brigham 1987]. The constructor calculates the following parameters for the DFT from these inputs:

- The sampling time period of interest is defined to be the passing time of the moving force over the SSE system. It's calculated with the help of the overall length $L$ of the system as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{A}=\frac{L}{v_{0}} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The sampling interval in time $\Delta t$ and the sampling interval in space $\Delta x$ are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t=\frac{T_{A}}{N} ; \quad \Delta x=v_{0} \Delta t \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The total number of DFT samples $N^{\prime}$ is chosen twice as large as the assigned sample number $N$ due to the zero padding to cope with the wraparound error:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}=2 N \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the factor 2 the procedure can still benefit form the efficient FFT and IFFT algorithms.

- The DFT time period $T_{0}$ is also twice as large as the time period of interest:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=2 T_{A} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sampling intervals $\Delta t$ and $\Delta x$ are not affected by the zero padding.

- The basic frequency $\omega_{0}$ in the transformed domain is therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=\frac{2 \pi}{T_{0}} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The artificial damping constant $a$ is calculated w.r.t to the sampling period of interest:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{\ln (100)}{T_{A}} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The method Solve of the class MovingForce calculates then in a for-loop over the spectral components $\omega_{n}^{\prime}=n \omega_{0}-\mathrm{i} a$ the spectral force components $\tilde{\hat{p}}_{n}^{\prime}$ according to Eq. (4.27) and solves the SSE system for these force components. This solution is obtained for each frequency step with the help of a nested for loop over all spatially discretized (at $x_{k}=k \Delta x$ ) point forces. For each nested loop a SSE model with an element border at $x_{k}$ is set up (TEM) and solved. The results of all nested loops are superposed in order to obtain the total deflection at one frequency step.

The result for each frequency step is a discretized deflection shape $\tilde{\hat{v}}_{n}$ of the SSE system. All these deflection shapes are stored in a 3D array Vfreq. The first dimension corresponds to the cross-sectional DOFs, the second dimension corresponds to the discretization in $x$ direction and the third dimension corresponds to the frequency step. The discretization in $x$-direction is carried out in steps of $\Delta x$ in order to enable a correct superposition in the nested for-loop over the point forces.

In total $N^{\prime}$ frequency samples are necessary $\left(n=0,1, \ldots, N^{\prime}-1\right)$. But due to the symmetry and repetition characteristics of the DFT (e.g. [Brigham 1987] or [Lee 2009]) only $\frac{N^{\prime}}{2}+1$ samples have to be calculated. The displacement results in time domain have to be realvalued in order to describe a physical length. Therefore, the frequency components for negative frequencies have to be complex conjugated to those for the respective positive frequencies. The IFFT algorithm in MATLAB does not cope with negative frequencies. Instead, the results for negative frequencies have to be shifted according to the repetition characteristics to positive frequencies. The $N^{\prime}$ frequency samples $\tilde{\hat{v}}_{n}$ are obtained according to the following procedure:

1. Calculation of $\tilde{\hat{v}}_{n}$ for $n=0,1, \ldots, \frac{N^{\prime}}{2}$ with a for-loop
2. Mirroring about $\frac{N^{\prime}}{2}: \tilde{\hat{v}}_{n}=\tilde{\hat{v}}_{N^{\prime}-n}^{*}$ for $n=\frac{N^{\prime}}{2}+1, \frac{N^{\prime}}{2}+2, \ldots, N^{\prime}-1$

Parallelization of the for-loop in step one over the frequency steps is possible in MATLAB with the parfor-loop.

Afterwards, the discretized time deflection is obtained with the help of MATLAB's IFFT algorithm applied to the third dimension of Vfreq. The result is stored in a 3D array Vtime, where the third dimension corresponds to the time samples. The artificial damping has to be removed from the time samples with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{v}_{k}=\hat{v}_{k}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{a t_{k}} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the last step all time samples for $k>\frac{N^{\prime}}{2}$ are discarded, because they are contaminated by the wraparound error.

### 4.6 Numerical Examples and Validation

The application of the described moving force analysis with spectral super elements shall be demonstrated in this section with two examples. The first example shall validate the theory. It treats a single span rectangular beam for which results are available from different independent literature. The second example shows the possibilities of the method. It deals with a section of a realistic hallow boxed concrete railway bridge.

### 4.6.1 Validation with a Single-Span Rectangular Beam

The structural model for the first example is taken from [Song et al 2016] in order to compare the results of the SSE model with those of their spectral Timoshenko beam model.

Fig. 4.9(a) shows an idealized structural model of the example. The span is $L=4.352 \mathrm{~m}$. [Song etal 2016] use a cross sectional area of $A=1.31 \cdot 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and an area moment of inertia of $I_{y}=5.71 \cdot 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}^{4}$. These two quantities have to be converted to the width $b$ and height $h$ of a rectangular cross section in order to obtain a cross-sectional geometry, which can be discretized with 2D elements for the SSE. With $A=b \cdot h$ and $I_{y}=\frac{h^{3} b}{12}$ one obtains $b=0.0181 \mathrm{~m}$ and $h=0.0723 \mathrm{~m}$. The discretization of this rectangular cross section is depicted in Fig. 4.9(b).

(a) Structural system

(b) Cross-sectional discretization

Figure 4.9: Structural model of a single-span rectangular beam under a moving force

Tab. 4.1 shows the used material properties. The system is considered to be undamped $(\eta=0)$. The listed shear correction factor is not required for the SSE formulation. It is the factor used by [Song et al 2016] for the reference model. Please note that [Song et al 2016] have published originally a shear modulus of $G=7.7 \cdot 10^{8} \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{m}^{2}}$. This would impose a negative Poisson's ratio of $\nu=-0.868$ and the shear modulus would be larger then the Young's modulus. This suggests the suspicion that [Song et al 2016] made a typing error in
their exponent. Section 4.6.1.3 will show, that the shear modulus defined in Tab. 4.1 leads to the same results as published by [Song et al 2016].

| Young's modulus | shear modulus | mass density | loss factor | shear correction factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ | $G$ | $\rho$ | $\eta$ | $\kappa$ |
| $2.02 \cdot 10^{8} \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{m}^{2}}$ | $7.7 \cdot 10^{7} \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{m}^{2}}$ | $15.267 \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{m}^{3}}$ | 0.0 | 0.7 |

Table 4.1: Material properties of the validation example according to [Song et al 2016]

The system is loaded by a moving force with a constant amplitude of $P_{0}=1 \mathrm{kN}$ and different constant velocities $v_{0}$.

### 4.6.1.1 Critical Velocity

The constant velocity $v_{0}$ is defined in this example as a factor of the lowest critical velocity $v_{c r}$ according to [Frýba 1972]. The critical velocity is the velocity that excites a certain eigenmode to maximum extent. The lowest critical velocity is the velocity that excites the first eigenmode. At the critical velocity the force shall perform only positive power and shall insert the maximum amount of energy into the system. Therefore, the force has to move that fast, so that its passing time is half the period of the first eigenmode. If $f_{1}$ is the first eigenfrequency of the system one obtains the lowest critical velocity for a single-span beam as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{c r}=2 f_{1} L \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Song et al 2016] indicate the first eigenfrequency of the example system with $f_{1}=6.30 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and obtain therefore $v_{c r}=54.81 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$.

### 4.6.1.2 Convergence Study

The solution accuracy depends in DFT processing on the number of the used samples $N$. This number defines for a given simulation time the resolution in time and the maximum considered frequency. $N$ is the number of samples that discretizes the passing time $T_{A}$ of the force (without zero padding) in the implementation used for this example (section 4.5.3). The total number of samples is $N^{\prime}=2 N$ and the total simulation time is $T_{0}=2 T_{A}$.

The computational effort of the described DFT processing increases somewhere between linearly and quadratically with the number of samples $N$. For each of the $N$ frequencies $\omega_{n}$ one cross-sectional waveguide FEM problem but $N$ SSE models for the $N$ load positions $x_{k}$ have to be evaluated. The ratio of the computational effort required for the evaluation of the waveguide FEM problem to the computational effort required for solving the SSE model varies according to the number of DOFs in the cross section and the number of SSEs in the SSE model. Both computational costs are of same order of magnitude in the examples described in this thesis. If the costs would be identical, the order of growth in the DFT processing of the moving force problem would be $O\left(N+N^{2}\right)$.

Due to this high order of growth it is important to find an optimum number of samples that ensures sufficient accuracy. [Mader 2019] has performed a convergence study in which he investigates the described example at the critical velocity $v_{c r}=54.81 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$ for different numbers of samples $N$. This study is cited in the following.

Fig. 4.10 plots on the left ordinate the vertical deflection of the example system at mid-span over the dimensionless time $t / T_{A}$ for different sample numbers $N$. The plotted deflection is the mean value of the vertical deflection of all cross-sectional nodes. The dimensionless time stretches up to $t / T_{A}=2$. Therefore, the complete back transformation including the time with zero padding is plotted. The zeropadding is no approximation in this example. It just maps the true force: The force leaves the beam after $t / T_{A}=1$. Therefore, the beam is unloaded for the rest of the time. Together with the fact that the force moves at the critical velocity, the results can be qualitatively validated as follows: The beam moves downwards for half an eigenperiod as long as the force is on it. The maximum deflection occurs when the force leaves the beam at $t / T_{A}=1$. Afterwards, a free vibration with the maximum deflection as initial deflection and a zero initial velocity starts. Half a period of this free vibration is plotted till $t / T_{A}=2$.

The right ordinate in Fig. 4.10 shows the deviation of the solutions from the solution with the highest number of samples $\left(N=2^{8}\right)$. During the passing time of the force the highest deviation occurs at $t=0.6875 T_{A}$. Fig. 4.11(a) provides an enlargement of the displacement plot around the time of maximum deviation. Convergence can be observed clearly in this figure.

Increasing the number of samples helps also to cure the Gibbs phenomenon at the end of the total simulation time. The Gibbs phenomenon denotes according to e.g. [Lee 2009] the overshooting in DFT processing that occurs at discontinuities of the signal. Due to the fact, that the system is undamped also the system response on the artificially damped load has not decayed to zero at the end of the simulation time. Therefore, a discontinuity occurs at


Figure 4.10: Convergence study for the single-span example loaded with a moving force with the lowest critical velocity $v_{c r}$ (similar to [Mader 2019]). Vertical deflection in the middle plotted over the dimensionless time for different numbers of DFT samples $N$.


Figure 4.11: Enlarged sections of Fig. 4.10
the end which leads to the Gibbs phenomenon. This phenomenon can be cured by increasing the maximum DFT frequency. Tab. 4.2 lists the maximum frequency in dependency of the sample number $N$. Fig. 4.11(b) shows the Gibbs phenomenon in an enlarged section. It is cured quite well for the highest number of samples, but is still observable.

| $N$ | $T_{A}[\mathrm{~ms}]$ | $\Delta t[\mathrm{~ms}]$ | $f_{0}[\mathrm{~Hz}]$ | $f_{\max }[\mathrm{Hz}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{5}$ | 79.4 | 2.5 | 6.30 | 201.5 |
| $2^{6}$ | 79.4 | 2.5 | 6.30 | 403.0 |
| $2^{7}$ | 79.4 | 2.5 | 6.30 | 806.0 |
| $2^{8}$ | 79.4 | 2.5 | 6.30 | 1612.0 |

Table 4.2: DFT parameters for different numbers of samples $N=\frac{N^{\prime}}{2}$

The time sample interval is $\Delta t=\frac{T_{A}}{N}$. The maximum DFT frequency is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\max }=\frac{1}{2 \Delta t}=\frac{N v_{0}}{2 L} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows, that for a given structure Gibbs phenomenon is cured the better the higher the number of samples and the higher the velocity is. A higher velocity results in a shorter simulation time.

### 4.6.1.3 Comparison with Reference Models

The described example model is compared with two reference solutions from literature:

1. [Song et al 2016] have calculated the system with spectral Timoshenko beam elements.
2. [Frýba 1972] has presented a general analytical solution for single-span pinned beams based on Euler-Bernoulli assumptions.

The analytical solution for a simply supported single-span undamped Euler-Bernoulli beam under a constant moving force $P_{0}$ with constant velocity is according to [Frýba 1972]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x, t)=w_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sin \left(\frac{j \pi x}{L}\right) \frac{1}{j^{2}\left(j^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right)}\left(\sin \left(j \omega_{e q} t\right)-\frac{\alpha}{j} \sin \left(\omega_{j} t\right)\right) \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

With:

$$
w_{0}=\frac{P_{0} L^{3}}{48 E I},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =\frac{v_{0}}{v_{c r}}, & & \text { ratio of the critical velocity } \\
\omega_{e q} & =2 \pi \frac{v_{0}}{2 L}, & & \text { equivalent circular frequency of excitation } \\
\omega_{j} & =\frac{j^{2} \pi^{2}}{L^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{E I}{\mu}}, & & \text { circular frequency of the j-th resonance }
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha$ is an integer, a summand with $j=\alpha$ occurs in Eq. (4.37). Due to the occurring pole [Frýba 1972] replaces the solution for $\alpha=n(n=1,2, \ldots)$ with:

$$
\begin{align*}
w(x, t) & =w_{0} \frac{1}{2 n^{4}}\left(\sin \left(n \omega_{e q} t\right)-n \omega_{e q} t \cos \left(n \omega_{e q} t\right)\right) \sin \left(\frac{n \pi x}{L}\right) \\
& +w_{0} \sum_{j=1, j \neq n}^{\infty} \sin \left(\frac{j \pi x}{L}\right) \frac{1}{j^{2}\left(j^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right)}\left(\sin \left(j \omega_{e q} t\right)-\frac{\alpha}{j} \sin \left(\omega_{j} t\right)\right) \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

It is sufficient for numerical computations to evaluate just the first couple of summands for low values of $\alpha$ (e.g. $\alpha \leq 2$ ) because the contribution of the higher modes to the solution decreases very fast.

Figs. 4.12 and 4.13 compare the vertical beam deflections (in $z$-direction) obtained with the SSE method with results obtained by evaluating the formulas from [Frýba 1972] and with results from [Song et al 2016]. The data from [Song et al 2016] is obtained from a scanned PDF document of the paper with the online tool WebPlotDigitizer ${ }^{1}$. Therefore, small deviations from the originally calculated results can occur. The plotted value of the SSE model is the mean value of the vertical deflection of all cross-sectional nodes.

Each subplot in Fig. 4.12 shows the vertical deflection along the beam axis for different constant velocities $v_{0}$. The constant velocity $v_{0}$ is given as a factor of the lowest critical velocity $v_{c r}$. Inside a subplot each group of lines represents the solution at different times $t$. The time $t$ is given as a factor of the passing time $T_{A}$. The solutions for all three models coincide very well at all times and velocities.

Each subplot in Fig. 4.13 shows the vertical deflection at a certain $x$-coordinate plotted over the time from $t=0$ to $t=T_{A}$. Inside a subplot each group of lines shows the solutions for different velocities $v_{0}$. Again, the solutions for all three models coincide very well at all locations and velocities. At mid-span (Fig. 4.13(b)) the definition of the critical velocity is clearly visible: The curves for $v_{0}=v_{\text {cr }}$ look like half a period of the deflection of a single degree of freedom system subjected to a step load.

[^0]

Figure 4.12: Time-dependent vertical deflection of the single-span beam subjected to a moving force with different constant velocities $v_{0}$. The solution of the SSE model is compared to two reference solutions from literature.


Figure 4.13: Vertical deflection at tree different $x$-coordinates of the single-span beam subjected to a moving force with different constant velocities $v_{0}$. The solution of the SSE model is compared to two reference solutions from literature.

This example has been chosen in order to validate the implemented DFT solution procedure for moving forces with the help of reference solutions form literature, even though such a sophisticated method like the SSE is not necessary for the concerned slender beam.

### 4.6.2 Hollow Boxed Concrete Railway Bridge

The previous example validates the implemented moving force algorithm. The spectral super element method itself is validated with the examples of section 3.5. The following example shall show the limits and possibilities of the spectral super element method with the presented moving force algorithm. It is presented without quantitative validation. However, qualitative comments on the expected behavior are given.

### 4.6.2.1 System Description

A section of a railway bridge currently under construction is considered in this example. The bridge is called Filstal bridge and is part of the new high speed railway line from Stuttgart to Ulm in southern Germany. It consists of two independent parallel bridge constructions. We consider in this example two spans at one end of one of the two bridge constructions. Fig. 4.14 shows the considered section of the bridge and the cross-section of the bridge girder.


Figure 4.14: Visualization of the Filstal bridge

The idealized structural system of the considered section and the used cross-sectional discretization is shown in Fig. 4.15. The continuity of the bridge girder at the end of the
considered bridge section is considerd with a clamped support at the end of the section. This is a rough approximation (impedance modeling would be more precise), but the focus of this example are the limits and possibilities of the SSE method and not on the exact modeling of the bridge. Therefore, this rough approximation is sufficient in the scope of this discussion. The same holds for the pillar: The Filstal bridge is an integrated bridge construction. The pillars are clamped into the girder. Due to the slenderness of the pillar it is assumed in the structural model that the pillar acts like a pinned support.

The magnitude of the applied constant moving force is $P_{0}=196.2 \mathrm{kN}$. This is in the range of modern high speed trains (compare e.g. [Siemens AG 2016]). This load is distributed evenly over the five cross-sectional nodes highlighted in Fig. 4.15(b). Although the track is mounted according to Fig. 4.14(b) in the center of the cross section, an unsymmetrical loading is assumed in this example in order to show the possibilities of the SSE method.


Figure 4.15: SSE model of the Filstal bridge

The assumed material properties are listed in Tab. 4.3. Damping is considered with a frequency independent loss factor $\eta$.

| Young's modulus $E$ | Poisson's ratio $\nu$ | Density $\rho$ | Loss factor $\eta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $34.3 \cdot 10^{6} \frac{\mathrm{kN}}{\mathrm{m}^{2}}$ | 0.2 | $2.5 \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{m}^{3}}$ | 0.02 |

Table 4.3: Material properties of C50/60 concrete according to [Schneider 2006]

### 4.6.2.2 Discussion of Results

In a first step, the first two eigenfrequencies of the bridge model are estimated in order to identify critical velocities. The system is loaded for this purpose with a force distribution
which excites the estimated first two modes shapes well. This requirement fulfills e.g. a section moment $M_{y}$ at the position $x=0 \mathrm{~m}$ in the coordinate system depicted in Fig. 4.15(a). A harmonic analysis is performed with this moment. The eigenfrequencies are estimated by picking the first two peak values:

- $1^{\text {st }}$ eigenfrequency: 3.340 Hz
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ eigenfrequency: 4.805 Hz

The deformation shapes of the bridge section at these two frequencies are depicted in Figs. 4.16 and 4.17.

In general it is not possible to identify a clear critical velocity for these two eigenfrequencies as defined in section 4.6.1.1, because the two spans of the bridge model have not the same length and the force moves with constant velocity. But a range of velocities for which the force inserts the most energy into the system can be defined.

From the deformation shape in Fig. 4.16 one can conclude, that the first eigenfrequency is excited most if the force is during the first half of an eigenperiod on the first span and during the second half of an eigenperiod on the second span. For the 50 m -span results a critical velocity of $334.0 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$ and for the 60 m -span results a critical velocity of $400.8 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$.

The second mode shape (Fig. 4.17) is excited most, if the force is on each span for one complete eigenperiod, because in this case the force is in the region of the middle support during the upwards movement of the vibration. The critical velocity for the second eigenfrequency is therefore in the range from $240.0 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$ ( 50 m -span) to $288.0 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$ ( 60 m -span).


Figure 4.16: Deformation of the bridge model loaded with a section moment $M_{y}$ at $x=0 \mathrm{~m}$ at 3.340 Hz . Approximated first mode shape.


Figure 4.17: Deformation of the bridge model loaded with a section moment $M_{y}$ at $x=0 \mathrm{~m}$ at 4.805 Hz . Approximated second mode shape.

The velocity of $v_{0}=288 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$ is chosen for the described moving force analysis. This velocity is unrealistic high for usual high-speed trains. Therefore, also two lower speeds are considered: $200 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$ and $110 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$. The analysis is performed for each velocity with two different numbers of DFT samples: $N=2^{7}$ and $N=2^{8}$.

Fig. 4.18 shows the calculated vertical deformation ( $z$-direction) of the most upper right node in the cross-section depicted in Fig. 4.15(b) in the middle of the second span plotted over the time for the six analyses. The time scale ends for the two higher velocities at the transition time $T_{A}$ after which the force has passed the whole system. The transition time for the lowest velocity is $T_{A}=1 \mathrm{~s}$. This time is not displayed completely, because such a long time can clearly not be simulated with the used number of samples.

One can observe, that the transition time for $v_{0}=288 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$ can be simulated very well with $N=2^{8}$ samples. In all other simulations the Gibbs phenomenon leads with increasing time to more erroneous results. The errors induced by the Gibbs phenomenon are amplified by the multiplication with the exponential function, which is carried out in order to remove the artificial damping.

A higher number of samples is not feasible, because the simulation with $N=2^{8}$ takes about 43 hours on a Intel Xenon E5 2660v3 CPU with parallel for-loops on twelve workers in MATLAB.

In the time range where the results are not dominated by the Gibbs phenomenon, the highest deflections occur at the approximate critical velocity of $v_{0}=288 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$.

The system's deflection shapes at four time snap shots for the two analyses with $v_{0}=288 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$ are displayed in Figs. 4.19 and 4.20 scaled by a factor of 4000. One can observe, that Gibbs phenomenon occurs not only in time, but also in space. The ripples start at the end at which the force entered the system.


Figure 4.18: Vertical deformation of the upper right corner node in the cross-section depicted in Fig. 4.15(b) in the middle of the second span

The SSE model covers local deformations at the current position of the load as well as the torsional deflections due to the asymmetrical loading. The latter are small in comparison to the bending deflections due to the high torsional stiffness of hollow boxed cross sections.

The conclusion of this example is, that the proposed moving force analysis is applicable on beams with arbitrary cross sections and arbitrary boundary conditions for short simulation times. The fact that the computational effort increases approximately quadratically with the number of DFT samples $N$ limits this number in the example to $N=2^{8}$. In order to ensure that the highest DFT frequency is high enough to avoid a domination of the Gibbs phenomenon, the simulation time should be limited in this example to approximately 0.5 s .

(a) $t=0.25 T_{A}$

(b) $t=0.5 T_{A}$

(c) $t=0.75 T_{A}$

(d) $t=T_{A}$

Figure 4.19: Deflection shapes of the considered section of the Filstal bridge under a moving load with $v_{0}=288 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$ at different times $t$. Number of DFT samples: $N=2^{8}$

(c) $t=0.75 T_{A}$

(d) $t=T_{A}$

Figure 4.20: Deflection shapes of the considered section of the Filstal bridge under a moving load with $v_{0}=288 \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$ at different times $t$. Number of DFT samples: $N=2^{7}$

## 5 Increasing the Computational Efficiency

The example in section 4.6 .2 of the railway bridge section under a moving force made clear that the computational efficiency of the SSE theory has to be increased for a practical application of the moving force analysis.

In this section an enhanced displacement ansatz for an increased computational efficiency without loose of significant accuracy is presented. The proposed ansatz is advantageous not for all problems. Its strength is shown in applications where the cross-section is strongly distorted. This is illustrated with two numerical examples.

This chapter presents therefore not a finished "cooking recipe". Its applicability should be investigated in future research work.

### 5.1 Displacement Ansatz for a Higher Computational Efficiency

In section 3.5.4 it has been shown that the solution of SSE models is dominated by only few wave functions. In general, the contribution decreases with increasing magnitude of the wave number $k_{x}$. The wave numbers with highest magnitude are according to the scatter plots in the numerical examples for the waveguide FEM (section 2.4) pure imaginary which means that they represent real exponential functions with a very rapid decay from the ends of the SSE. Therefore, it should be possible to neglect some wave functions with the highest magnitude wavenumbers without loosing noticeable accuracy for most of the SSE domain.

On the other hand, we require for the determination of the $\underline{\underline{A}}$-matrix in the displacement ansatz Eq. (3.8) as many wave functions as DOFs of the SSE. Therefore, the number of wave
functions in the displacement ansatz may be reduced only if the numbers of DOFs of the SSE is reduced. This number is twice the number of DOFs of the used cross-sectional mesh.

The idea is now to use a cross-sectional mesh with less DOFs (more coarse) for the formulation of the SSE than used in the underlying waveguide FEM. It should be noted that the patterns of boundary conditions (load and displacement) at the ends of the SSE can be applied on both meshes without loss of accuracy. The mesh used for the waveguide FEM shall be more fine in order to obtain also at high frequencies accurate wave numbers and cross-sectional deflection shapes. This approach will reduce the computational cost mainly in two procedures:

1. The eigenvalue solver in the waveguide FEM has to calculate less eigenvalues (wave numbers) and eigenvectors (cross-sectional deflection shapes).
2. The size of the dynamic stiffness matrix of the SSE is reduced to twice the number of DOFs of the coarse mesh. Its inversion is therefore faster.

We define for the following considerations:

- $m$.. Number of DOFs in the coarse mesh
- $n$.. Number of DOFs in the fine mesh
- $k$.. Number of considered wave functions $(\geq 2 m)$

The displacement ansatz in (3.1) for the displacement of the SSE can be mapped to the DOFs of the coarse mesh with the help of a $m \times n$ matrix $\underline{\underline{R}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{m} \underline{\underline{V}}(x)=\stackrel{m \times n}{\underline{R}} \cdot \stackrel{n \times k}{\underline{\Phi}} \cdot \underline{k \times k} \cdot \underline{\underline{E}}(x) \cdot \underline{k} \underset{\underline{a}}{ } \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The determination of the mapping matrix $\underline{\underline{R}}$ is explained in the next section.
The wave contribution factors $\underline{a}$ are related to the DOFs of the SSE defined on the coarse mesh ( $\underline{W}_{1}$ and $\underline{W}_{2}$ at both ends) with the same procedure as in section 3.1:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{m}\left(-l_{x}\right)=\stackrel{m \times n}{\underline{\underline{R}}} \stackrel{n \times k}{\underline{\underline{\Phi}}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(-l_{x}\right) \cdot \underline{{ }_{a}^{a}}=\underline{W}_{1}  \tag{5.2}\\
& \underline{m}\left(l_{x}\right)=\stackrel{m \times n}{\underline{R}} \stackrel{\underline{\underline{\Phi}}}{\underline{\underline{\Phi}}} \underline{\underline{E}} \underline{k \times k}\left(l_{x}\right) \cdot \underline{k} \underset{a}{\underline{W}}=\underline{W_{2}} \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Writing both equations (5.2) and (5.3) in one system of equations leads to an equation for the wave contribution factors $\underline{a}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Rightarrow \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 m \times k \\
\underline{\underline{R}} \underline{\underline{\underline{E}}} \underline{\underline{E}}\left(-l_{x}\right) \\
\underline{E} \\
+
\end{array} l_{x}\right)}_{\underline{\underline{B}}_{\text {red }}}]
\end{gather*} \cdot \underline{k}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 m  \tag{5.4}\\
\underline{W_{1}}  \tag{5.5}\\
\underline{W}_{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The matrix $\underline{\underline{A}}_{\text {red }}$ is calculated as the inverse of $\underline{\underline{B}}_{\text {red }}$ with the help of the backslash operator in MATLAB:
$A=B \backslash \operatorname{eye}(\operatorname{size}(B, 1))$
Eq. (5.4) is under-determined if $k>2 m$. The backslash operator in MATLAB returns in this case the least-squares solution for $\underline{\underline{A}}_{\text {red }}$ which means that $\underline{\underline{A}}_{\text {red }}$ is the pseudo inverse of $\underline{\underline{B}}_{\text {red }}$ [The MathWorks 2019].

We obtain the displacements $\underline{V}_{\text {fine }}$ in the fine mesh as well as $\underline{V}_{\text {coarse }}$ in the coarse mesh in dependency of the DOFs $\underline{W}$ in the coarse mesh at the ends of the SSE:

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{V}_{\text {fine }}(x) & =\underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}(x) \underline{\underline{A}}_{\mathrm{red}} \underline{W}  \tag{5.6}\\
\underline{\underline{V}}_{\text {coarse }}(x) & =\underline{\underline{R}} \underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}(x) \underline{\underline{A}}_{\mathrm{red}} \underline{W} \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In the next step the mapping matrix $\underline{\underline{R}}$ has to be determined.

### 5.2 Determination of the Mapping Matrix $\underline{\underline{R}}$

The matrix $\underline{\underline{R}}$ shall map the displacements in the fine cross-sectional mesh to those in the coarse one. Therefore, the displacement field defined by the fine mesh has to be evaluated at the nodal positions of the coarse mesh. Two approaches are suitable for this task in general [Silva et al 2009]:

1. Interpolation techniques based e.g. on Delauny triangulation [Barber et al 1996] or with the help of B-Splines [Sandwell 1987]. These methods are implemented in MATLAB's function griddata.
2. Evaluation of the elements shape functions at the nodal locations of the coarse mesh. This procedure is called inverse mapping [Silva et al 2009].

The task of the inverse mapping is to determine the natural element coordinates $(\xi, \eta)$ in the fine mesh from the global, physical coordinates $(y, z)$ of the nodes of the coarse mesh and to evaluate the element's shape functions at these natural coordinates. However, this cannot be carried out by simply inverting the Jacobi-matrix of the element, because this matrix is not constant in a general quadrilateral element. (It's only constant in rectangular elements.) For higher-order shape functions the inverse mapping needs an iterative approach. For the bi-linear shape functions used for the SSEs in this dissertation [Silva et al 2009] suggests an analytical approach. In contrast to the interpolation approach the inverse mapping does not introduce other approximations than those introduced by the FE shape functions. Therefore, the inverse mapping is used in the following.

The inverse mapping procedure according to [Silva et al 2009] consists of two steps:

1. Determination of the element of the fine mesh in which the considered node of the coarse mesh is located. This element is called owner element.
2. Determination of the natural coordinates in the owner element and evaluation of the shape functions.

The first step could be of course skipped and one could simply determine the natural coordinates of all nodes of the coarse mesh in all elements of the fine mesh and use only those which lay in the range of validity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \in[-1,1] ; \quad \eta \in[-1,1] \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the computational effort for the determination of the natural coordinates is quite high. Therefore, [Silva et al 2009] suggest to determine the owner element with the less expensive cross product test.

### 5.2.1 The Cross Product Test for the Determination of the Owner Element

The cross product test of [Silva et al 2009] is illustrated in Fig. 5.1. It starts with one node $P_{1}$ of one element of the fine mesh. Two scalar cross products (in 2D) are calculated for vectors starting at this point. The first is the cross product of the vector $\overline{P_{1} P_{2}}$ (from $P_{1}$ to
a neighboring element node $P_{2}$ ) with the vector $\overline{P_{1} N}$ from $P_{1}$ to the node of consideration $N$. The second cross product is the product of the vector $\overline{P_{1} N}$ with the vector $\overline{P_{1} P_{3}}$ (from $P_{1}$ to the second neighboring element node $P_{3}$ ). The test is positive if the product of the two scalar cross products is positive. This means, that the node of consideration is located within the gray double-cone in Fig. 5.1.


Figure 5.1: Vectors used in the cross product test. The test is positive if the considered Node $N$ is in the gray area.

The node $N$ is located in the element of consideration, if the cross product test is positive for all vectors connecting the nodes of the element. This element is the owner element of node $N$.

### 5.2.2 Determination of the Natural Coordinates of a Node $N$ in its Owner Element

As soon as the owner element of a node $N$ is determined the analytical inverse mapping procedure according to [Silva et al 2009] can be carried out as described in the following. The task is to solve the following two equations for $\xi$ and $\eta$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& y(\xi, \eta)=N_{1} \cdot y_{1}+N_{2} \cdot y_{2}+N_{3} \cdot y_{3}+N_{4} \cdot y_{4}  \tag{5.9}\\
& z(\xi, \eta)=N_{1} \cdot z_{1}+N_{2} \cdot z_{2}+N_{3} \cdot z_{3}+N_{4} \cdot z_{4} \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $y$ and $z$ are the global coordinates of the considered node and $y_{i}$ and $z_{i}$ are the global coordinates of the nodes of its owner element. Inserting the shape functions $N_{i}(\xi, \eta)$ defined in Eq. (2.10) leads to the equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(\xi, \eta)= & \frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1-\eta) \cdot y_{1}+\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1-\eta) \cdot y_{2}+ \\
& \frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1+\eta) \cdot y_{3}+\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1+\eta) \cdot y_{4}=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
&= \underbrace{\frac{1}{4}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4}\right)}_{a_{0}}+\underbrace{\frac{1}{4}\left(-y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}-y_{4}\right)}_{a_{1}} \cdot \xi+ \\
& \underbrace{\frac{1}{4}\left(-y_{1}-y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4}\right)}_{a_{2}} \cdot \eta+\underbrace{\frac{1}{4}\left(y_{1}-y_{2}+y_{3}-y_{4}\right)}_{a_{3}} \cdot \xi \eta= \\
&=a_{0}+a_{1} \cdot \xi+a_{2} \cdot \eta+a_{3} \cdot \xi \eta \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
z(\xi, \eta)= & \frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1-\eta) \cdot z_{1}+\frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1-\eta) \cdot z_{2}+ \\
& \frac{1}{4}(1+\xi)(1+\eta) \cdot z_{3}+\frac{1}{4}(1-\xi)(1+\eta) \cdot z_{4}= \\
= & \underbrace{\frac{1}{4}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+z_{3}+z_{4}\right)}_{b_{0}}+\underbrace{\frac{1}{4}\left(-z_{1}+z_{2}+z_{3}-z_{4}\right)}_{b_{1}} \cdot \xi+ \\
& \underbrace{\frac{1}{4}\left(-z_{1}-z_{2}+z_{3}+z_{4}\right)}_{b_{2}} \cdot \eta+\underbrace{\frac{1}{4}\left(z_{1}-z_{2}+z_{3}-z_{4}\right)}_{b_{3}} \cdot \xi \eta= \\
= & b_{0}+b_{1} \cdot \xi+b_{2} \cdot \eta+b_{3} \cdot \xi \eta \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (5.11) is solved for $\xi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{y-a_{0}-a_{2} \cdot \eta}{a_{1}+a_{3} \cdot \eta} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and inserted into Eq. (5.12) (with: $y_{0}=y-a_{0}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=b_{0}+b_{1} \cdot \frac{y_{0}-a_{2} \cdot \eta}{a_{1}+a_{3} \cdot \eta}+b_{2} \cdot \eta+b_{3} \cdot \eta \cdot \frac{y_{0}-a_{2} \cdot \eta}{a_{1}+a_{3} \cdot \eta} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

After multiplication of Eq. (5.14) with the denominator $\left(a_{1}+a_{3} \cdot \eta\right)$ a quadratic equation is obtained for $\eta$ (with: $z_{0}=z-b_{0}$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(b_{0}-z\right)\left(a_{1}+a_{3} \eta\right)+b_{1}\left(y_{0}-a_{2} \eta\right)+b_{2} \eta\left(a_{1}+a_{3} \eta\right)+b_{3} \eta\left(y_{0}-a_{2} \eta\right) & =0 \\
\Leftrightarrow-z_{0} a_{1}-z_{0} a_{3} \eta+b_{1} y_{0}-b_{1} a_{2} \eta+b_{2} a_{1} \eta+b_{2} a_{3} \eta^{2}+b_{3} y_{0} \eta-b_{3} a_{2} \eta^{2} & =0  \tag{5.15}\\
\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\left(b_{2} a_{3}-b_{3} a_{2}\right)}_{A} \eta^{2}+\underbrace{\left(-z_{0} a_{3}-b_{1} a_{2}+b_{2} a_{1}+y_{0} b_{3}\right)}_{C} \eta+\underbrace{\left(y_{0} b_{1}-z_{0} a_{1}\right)}_{C} & =0 \\
\Leftrightarrow A \cdot \eta^{2}+B \cdot \eta+C & =0 \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

$A=0$ for elements with exact rectangular shape and Eq. (5.16) becomes linear.
The solution for $\eta$ in the range of validity in Eq. (5.8) is taken and inserted into Eq. (5.13)
in order to obtain $\xi$.

### 5.2.3 Assembling of the Mapping Matrix $\underline{\underline{R}}$

For each node in the coarse mesh its natural coordinates $\xi$ and $\eta$ in its owner element of the fine mesh have to be determined and the element shape functions $N_{i}$ have to be evaluated. The values of $N_{i}$ have to be allocated in the matrix $\underline{\underline{R}}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{V}_{\text {coarse }}=\underline{\underline{R}} \underline{V}_{\text {fine }} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled. A displacement vector $\underline{V}$ contains for each node the displacements $u, v$ and $w$ in all three spatial directions in the following pattern:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{V}=\left[u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}, u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2} \ldots\right]^{T} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, each value of $N_{i}$ has to be used three times in $\underline{\underline{R}}$, once for each spatial direction. The complete procedure of the assembling of $\underline{\underline{R}}$ is described with the help of a listing of the implemented MATLAB code in the appendix A.6.

### 5.3 Plugging the Modified Displacement Ansatz in the SSE Formulation

The displacement ansatz in Eqs. (5.6) and (5.7) is completely defined with the help of the mapping matrix $\underline{\underline{R}}$. The next step is to plug this into the SSE formulation of section 3.2.1.

The Langrangian of the internal potential has been defined in Eq. 3.9 like:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-l_{x}}^{l_{x}} \sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1}\left(\frac{\partial^{m} \underline{V}(x)}{\partial x^{m}}\right)^{* T} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n} \frac{\partial^{n} \underline{V}(x)}{\partial x^{n}}-\omega^{2} \underline{V}(x)^{* T} \underline{\underline{m}}_{00} \underline{V}(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrices $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}$ and $\underline{\underline{m}}_{00}$ are strain and mass matrices from the waveguide FEM. They have the dimensions $n \times n$ (Number of DOFs in the fine mesh). Therefore, the displacement
ansatz for $\underline{V}_{f i n e}$ of Eq. (5.6) has to be inserted for $\underline{V}(x)$ in Eq. (5.19):

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}= & \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-l_{x}}^{l_{x}} \sum_{m=0}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{1} \underline{W}^{* T} \underline{\underline{A}}_{\mathrm{red}}^{T}\left(\frac{\partial^{m} \underline{\underline{E}}(x)}{\partial x^{m}}\right)^{T} \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n} \underline{\underline{\Phi}} \frac{\partial^{n} \underline{\underline{E}}(x)}{\partial x^{n}} \underline{\underline{A}} \mathrm{red}  \tag{5.20}\\
& -\omega^{2} \underline{W^{* T}} \underline{\underline{A}}_{\mathrm{red}}^{T} \underline{\underline{E}}^{T}(x) \underline{\underline{\Phi}}^{T} \underline{\underline{m}}_{00} \underline{\underline{\Phi}} \underline{\underline{E}}(x) \underline{\underline{A}}_{\mathrm{red}} \underline{W} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

$\underline{W}$ are the DOFs of the spectral super element (at both ends) defined on the coarse mesh.
The employment of $\partial^{n} \underline{\underline{E}}(x) / \partial x^{n}=\left(\underline{\underline{i}}_{x}\right)^{n} \underline{\underline{E}}(x)$ and the mathematical reformulation in Eq. (3.12) with the Hadamard product leads to the matrices $\underline{\underline{\Phi}}$ and $\underline{\underline{E}}_{I}$ :

The sizes of the matrices in Eq. (5.21) are written over the matrix symbols. $m$ and $n$ are the numbers of DOFs in the coarse resp. fine mesh. $k \geq 2 m$ is the number of considered wave functions from the waveguide FEM.

The dynamic stiffness matrix with the size $2 m \times 2 m$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{K}}=\underline{\underline{A}}_{\mathrm{red}}^{T}\left(\underline{\underline{\Phi}} \otimes \underline{\underline{E}}_{I}\right) \underline{\underline{A}}_{\mathrm{red}} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.4 Numerical Issue

The proposed procedure for increasing the computational efficiency faces a numerical issue, because the used eigenvectors from the waveguide FEM are not completely linearly independent after multiplication with the mapping matrix $\underline{\underline{R}}$. This issue is described in the following. We recall therefore the number definitions from section 5.1:

- $m$.. Number of DOFs in the coarse mesh
- $n$.. Number of DOFs in the fine mesh
- $k$.. Number of considered wave functions $(\geq 2 m)$

A SSE with the proposed enhanced displacement ansatz has $2 m$ DOFs (the stiffness matrix in Eq. (5.22) has the size $2 m \times 2 m$ ). Therefore, it should be sufficient to use $k=2 m$ wave functions in the displacement ansatz in Eq. (5.1). The eigenvectors in the $\underline{\underline{\Phi}}$-matrix have to be linearly independent in order to form a valid basis. This is the case if the eigenvectors from the eigenvalue analysis are directly used, as done in the original SSE procedure described in chapter 3.

The multiplication with the $\underline{\underline{R}}$-matrix leads to some linearly dependent eigenvectors, because it reduces the vector space to the dimension $m$. Only $m$ linearly independent vectors can be described in this vector space. Therefore, $n-m$ eigenvectors have to become linearly dependent through the multiplication with the $\underline{\underline{R}}$-matrix.

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors are sorted throughout this thesis according to the magnitude of the respective eigenvalue (wavenumber $\kappa_{x}$ ). The problem is that not exactly the first $m$ eigenvectors remain linearly independent after multiplication with the $\underline{\underline{R}}$-matrix, even though this is the case for most of them. The $\underline{\underline{B}}_{\text {red }}$-matrix in Eq. (5.4) is in all examples slightly rank deficient if only $k=2 m$ wave functions are used. Therefore it cannot be inverted. The observed rank is in all examples greater than $95 \%$ of the maximum rank, which shows, that the sorting according to the magnitude of the eigenvalues is a good, but not perfect criteria for finding the $m$ linearly independent eigenvectors after multiplication with $\underline{\underline{R}}$.

In order to invert the $\underline{\underline{B}}_{\text {red }}$-matrix wave functions have to be added until it has full (row) rank. The $\underline{\underline{B}}_{r e d}$-matrix is not quadratic anymore after adding wave functions. $\underline{\underline{A}}_{r e d}$ is therefore the pseudo-inverse of $\underline{\underline{B}}_{\text {red }}$, as described in section 5.1. In the following numerical examples it has been sufficient for all considered frequencies to use $2 m+10$ wave functions in order to form a $\underline{\underline{B}}_{r e d}$-matrix with full (row) rank.

The author knows no better sorting of the wavenumbers and corresponding eigenvectors, which would guarantee that the the first $m$ eigenvectors are still linearly independent after the multiplication with the $\underline{\underline{R}}$-matrix.

The usage of a pseudo inverse leads in general to an approximation.

### 5.5 Numerical Example 1: Single Span Beam with Load Moment

The first numerical example consideres a system, for which the application of a SSE with the proposed modified displacement ansatz is not advantageous, although enough wave functions are considered so that the numerical issue described in the last section does not occur. The example compares the solutions of a harmonic analysis of a SSE with the modified displacement ansatz (with a fine cross-sectional mesh for the waveguide FEM and a more coarse mesh for the SSE formulation) to the solutions of SSEs with the original displacement ansatz, once with the fine and once with the coarse mesh. The solutions of the modified displacement ansatz are very close to those of the original formulation with the coarse mesh. Therefore, it is obviously not worth to use the more complicated modified displacement ansatz with a finer mesh for the waveguide FEM in this example.

### 5.5.1 Considered System

The considered system is the same 10 m single-span, simply-supported concrete beam as in section 3.5.1 (Fig. 3.2 on page 54). Also the load moment $M_{y}$ at one end is the same.

The material properties are the same as in other examples dealing with concrete in this thesis. They are listed in Tab. 2.1 on page 33. No damping is considered.

The used cross-sectional discretizations are depicted in Fig. 5.2. The fine mesh in Fig. 5.2(a) has an element size of 0.05 m and therefore $n=351$ DOFs.

Two different coarse meshes are considered: The coarse mesh I in Fig. 5.2(b) has an element size of 0.1 m and therefore $m_{\mathrm{I}}=105$ DOFs. All nodes of this coarse mesh coincide with nodes of the fine mesh. The $\underline{\underline{R}}$-Matrix contains therefore only zeros and ones. The coarse mesh II in Fig. 5.2(c) has a maximum element size of 0.15 m and therefore $m_{\mathrm{II}}=60$ DOFs. Most of the nodes do not coincide with nodes of the fine mesh. The $\underline{\underline{R}}$-Matrix interpolates therefore the displacements in the eigenvectors from two or four nodes of the fine mesh.

The load moment is distributed over the nodes of the coarse meshes as nodal forces like depicted in Fig. 3.2 on page 54. In the fine mesh only the nodes which coincide with nodes of the respective coarse mesh or which are close to those are loaded.


Figure 5.2: Cross-sectional meshes considered in this example

### 5.5.2 Results

The results of the harmonic analysis are plotted in Figs. 5.3 and 5.4. The models with the original SSE formulation show the already known behavior: A finer cross-sectional discretization leads to a less stiff response.

The performance of the proposed enhanced displacement ansatz is for both coarse meshes comparably: The main eigenfrequencies are close to those of the original SSE formulation with the coarse mesh. Additionally some smaller resonances occur between the resonances of the models with the original SSE formulation.

The number of the considered wave functions for the determination of the $\underline{\underline{A}}_{\mathrm{red}}$-matrix for the depicted results is $k_{\mathrm{I}}=3 m_{\mathrm{I}}=315$ and $k_{\mathrm{II}}=3 m_{\mathrm{II}}=180$. This number is higher than necessary for avoiding the numerical issue described in section 5.4, but it has been observed, that the number $k$ has no noticeable influence on the results as long as it is sufficient high to enable the inversion of the $\underline{\underline{B}}_{\text {red }}$-matrix.

The computation times (observed on a laptop computer with a Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-6200U CPU ) for one frequency step are listed in Tab. 5.1.


Figure 5.3: Harmonic analysis of the 10 m single span concrete beam loaded with a section moment $M_{y}=$ 1 kNm at $x=10 \mathrm{~m}$. Mean value of the $w$-deflection of all cross-sectional nodes at $x=2.6 \mathrm{~m}$. Three different cross-sectional discretizations: Original SSE formulation with the fine mesh from Fig. 5.2(a) and with the coarse mesh I from Fig. 5.2(b) and the proposed enhanced displacement ansatz with a combination of both meshes.


Figure 5.4: Harmonic analysis of the 10 m single span concrete beam loaded with a section moment $M_{y}=$ 1 kNm at $x=10 \mathrm{~m}$. Mean value of the $w$-deflection of all cross-sectional nodes at $x=2.6 \mathrm{~m}$. Three different cross-sectional discretizations: Original SSE formulation with the fine mesh from Fig. 5.2(a) and with the coarse mesh II from Fig. 5.2(c) and the proposed enhanced displacement ansatz with a combination of both meshes.

| SSE formulation | Mesh | Computation time [s] |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| original | fine | 13.2 |
| original | coarse I | 0.4 |
| enhanced | fine + coarse I | 5.8 |
| original | coarse II | 0.2 |
| enhanced | fine + coarse II | 2.5 |

Table 5.1: Computation times of the single-span model for one frequency step

Table 5.1 shows that the computational efficiency is increased as expected when the original formulation with the fine mesh is compared to the enhanced formulations. But the original formulations with the coarse meshes are for this example about a factor 10 more efficient than the enhanced formulations, because both modeling types lead to almost the same main eigenfrequencies in the considered frequency range.

### 5.6 Numerical Example 2: Cantilever Beam with Stepped Load Pattern at Free End

The second example considers a model for which the proposed enhanced displacement ansatz of this chapter is more advantageous. The model is loaded with a load pattern for which the cross-sectional deformation is more important for the first eigenfrequencies than in the last example.

### 5.6.1 Considered System

This example considers a 5 m cantilever beam with the same rectangular cross section and the same material properties as in the previous example. No damping is considered. Three different SSE models are evaluated and compared like in the previous example. The first two models are standard SSEs with the fine cross-sectional mesh of Fig. 5.2(a) or the coarse crosssectional mesh I of Fig. 5.2(b) from the previous example. The third model uses the modified displacement ansatz proposed in this chapter using both cross sectional discretizations. The load consists of nodal forces of 1 kN which are applied at the cross section of the free end of the cantilever in a stepped pattern as depicted in Fig 5.5 for the model with the coarse mesh. Forces are applied in all models only at nodes which exist in the fine and the coarse mesh.


Figure 5.5: SSE model of a concrete cantilever beam $(L=5 \mathrm{~m})$ with bearings and loads

### 5.6.2 Results

The $u$-deflection of the center node of the cross section at the free end is chosen for the evaluation of the frequency response. Fig. 5.6 displays the frequency response in a range form 1400 Hz to 3500 Hz . This range is chosen, because a step in the modal density is observed approximately in the middle of this range. The response is calculated in steps of 5 Hz . The neglected damping causes quite narrow peaks. Therefore, it is not very significant to compare the peak values in this analysis. More interesting are the frequencies of the peaks.

These frequencies coincide well for the model with the fine cross-sectional mesh and the model with the enhanced displacement ansatz (combination of coarse and fine mesh) throughout the considered frequency range. The model with the combination of meshes is for the high frequencies in this rage slightly stiffer. E.g. the resonance frequency at 3365 Hz is $0.29 \%$ higher than in the model with the classical SSE theory and the fine mesh.

The model with the classical SSE theory and the coarse cross-sectional mesh is much stiffer than the other two models.

In the following some figures of displacement shapes shall provide a deeper insight into the behavior of this example.

The load pattern causes for low, non-resonant frequencies a deformation shape which is concentrated to the free end of the cantilever. Fig. 5.7 shows exemplary the deformation shape at 1600 Hz plotted for the model with the combined mesh. The response at the center node of the cross section (Fig. 5.6) is at non-resonant frequencies higher for the model with the fine mesh than for the other two models. Fig. 5.8 compares the deflection shapes at


Figure 5.6: Harmonic analysis of the 5 m cantilever concrete beam loaded with a stepped force pattern at the free end. $u$-deflection of the center node at the free end. Three different cross-sectional discretizations: Original SSE formulation with the fine mesh from Fig. 5.2(a) and with the coarse mesh I from Fig. 5.2(b) and the proposed enhanced displacement ansatz with a combination of both meshes.


Figure 5.7: Deflection shape of the concrete cantilever beam at 1600 Hz plotted for the model with the combined mesh. Non resonant response.

(a) Model with the fine mesh (from Fig. 5.2(a))

(b) Model with the coarse mesh 1 (from Fig. 5.2(b))

Figure 5.8: Deflection shapes of the concrete cantilever beam at 1600 Hz

1600 Hz of the two models with classical SSE theory. At non-resonant frequencies the models response comparable to the static case. Therefore, a finer mesh produces a less stiff model. Together with the fact, that only each second row of nodes is loaded in the model with the fine mesh, it becomes clear, why the deflection of the center node is bigger for this model.

The deflection shapes at the lowest resonant frequencies are dominated by the longitudinal, compressional wave. The deflection shapes of the peaks at 1510 Hz (Fig. 5.9) and 1830 Hz (Fig. 5.10) are chosen exemplary for the visualization of these kind of mode shapes. The wave length of the compressional wave fits two times into the system's length at 1510 Hz and three times at 1830 Hz .

Another type of resonance is observed at higher frequencies. The resonance is caused for this type not by a longitudinal wave, but by a wave which propagates in cross-sectional direction. This kind of modeshape occurs for the considered stubby model $\left(\frac{l}{h}=8.3\right)$ at comparably low frequencies. The first resonance of this type occurs at 2480 Hz and is displayed in Fig. 5.11. The displacement shape is concentrated to the free end and decays in longitudinal direction exponentially like for the non-resonant deflection shapes.

The computational effort for the evaluation of the models of this example is approximately the same as listed in Tab. 5.1 for the models with the fine mesh and the coarse mesh I, because the models of both examples have the same number of degrees of freedom.

The conclusion of the two numerical examples of this section is, that the consideration of the proposed enhanced displacement ansatz with a finer cross-sectional mesh for the waveguide FEM than for the SSE formulation is worth for models, where the cross section is seriously distorted at the frequencies of interest. In such cases the results are very close to those of the classical SSE theory with the fine cross-sectional mesh, while the computational cost was less than halved.


Figure 5.9: Deflection shape of the concrete cantilever beam at 1510 Hz . Resonant response with longitudinal compression wave.


Figure 5.10: Deflection shape of the concrete cantilever beam at 1830 Hz . Resonant response with longitudinal compression wave.


Figure 5.11: Deflection shape of the concrete cantilever beam at 2480 Hz . Resonant response with a cross-sectional mode at the free end.

## 6 Conclusion and Outlook

This dissertation examines a semi-analytical numerical method for the dynamic simulation of beam-like structures - the so called spectral super element method. The displacement ansatz for a spectral super element is based on wave functions obtained from a preceding waveguide FEM analysis, in which an infinite waveguide with the cross section of the spectral super element is considered. These wave functions are the product of a cross-sectional displacement vector (wave shape) with an analytical, exponential wave propagation function for the longitudinal direction. The wave shape is defined on a two-dimensional finite element mesh of the cross section. The propagation function includes the corresponding wavenumber in the exponent.

The mathematical formulation of spectral super elements is described in detail. The most important parts of the implementation in MATLAB are explained in detail in the appendix and the source code of some functions is listed.

Numerical examples show that, due to their semi-analytical approach, spectral super elements provide better results than conventional three-dimensional finite elements when the discretization size is comparable. The number of degrees of freedom in a SSE model is much lower than in a comparable three-dimensional FE model due to the lack of a longitudinal discretization.

Spectral super elements can be used up to very high frequencies, if the resolution of the cross sectional mesh is sufficiently high. Information about the wave functions that contribute most to the solution (wave contribution factors) can be easily obtained from the calculation results. A graphical user interface is presented for this purpose.

Spectral super elements are formulated in the frequency domain and can therefore be used directly for harmonic analyses. Transient processes can be simulated with the help of the discrete Fourier transformation. In this thesis, the transient process of a moving force on spectral super elements is investigated. A validation of the implemented procedure with benchmarks from literature is presented. The fact that the discrete Fourier transformation of
the moving force leads to a comb of forces in longitudinal direction in the frequency domain, implies that the most important advantage of the spectral super element method cannot be exploited, because the model has to be discretized in the longitudinal direction at each force position of the comb of forces. Therefore, the computational effort increases approximately quadratically with the number of samples, and therefore also with the considered simulation time.

It has been observed that only a small number of wave functions contributes most to the solution of a SSE model. A method which reduces the used wave functions in the SSE formulation is proposed therefore in the last chapter of this dissertation in order to lower the computational cost. The number of degrees of freedom in the spectral super element formulation is reduced at the same time, in order to maintain the solvability of the linear equation system. The performance of this method is ambivalent. Its application is not advantageous for any problem. The method showed its strength in a numerical example in which the cross section was highly distorted at the considered frequencies. Based on the proposed method and observed results a further increase of the computational efficiency of SSEs can be investigated in future research work.

In addition, the following extensions could be implemented for example in future studies, in order to take advantage of the full potential of spectral super elements:

- Wave reflection and transmission at cross-sectional and/or material changes can be investigated, if a system of spectral super elements with different cross sections and/or material properties is considered.
- Composite wave guides can be investigated if the cross-sectional mesh in the preceding waveguide FEM consists of elements with different material properties.
- Complex joints between spectral super elements can be modeled with conventional three-dimensional solid finite elements. For an effective computation, the internal degrees of freedom of the joint can be eliminated with static condensation, maintaining only the degrees of freedom at the transition from the finite element region to the spectral super elements.


## A Appendix

## A. 1 Definitions of the Fourier Transformation

The Fourier transformation is a very powerful mathematical tool for many (engineering) disciplines. However, it can be defined in different ways and no standard is set in literature. This can lead to confusions, if literature of different authors on the same topic is compared, especially when authors do not write down the used definition. The main difference in the definition is the transformed coordinate. It can either be defined as a frequency $f$ in $[\mathrm{Hz}]$ (resp. wavenumber $k$ in $\left[\frac{1}{m}\right]$ ) or as angular frequency $\omega$ in $\left[\frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{s}}\right]$ (resp. angular wavenumber $\kappa$ in $\left.\left[\frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{m}}\right]\right)$. In the angular case different factors in front of the integrals are valid and used.

## A.1.1 Transformation to Frequency $f$

According to e.g. [Brigham 1987] the transformation $t \circ \bullet f$ and its inverse $f \bullet \circ t$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{h}(f) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} 2 \pi f t} \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{A.1.1}\\
h(t) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{h}(f) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi f t} \mathrm{~d} f \tag{A.1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For the transformation of the Dirac- $\delta$-function holds therefore the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta(t) \oslash \multimap 1  \tag{A.1.3}\\
& \tilde{\delta}(f) \bullet \longrightarrow 1 \tag{A.1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Parseval's theorem states that the time integral of the product of two functions in time domain is in general equivalent to the frequency integral of the product of the transformed functions if one of the transformed functions gets complex conjugated [Brigham 1987]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) \cdot q(t) \mathrm{d} t & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{w}(f) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi f t} \mathrm{~d} f \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{q}(g) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi g t} \mathrm{~d} g \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{w}(f) \cdot \tilde{q}(g) \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1 \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} 2 \pi(-f-g) t} \mathrm{~d} t}_{(\mathrm{A} \cdot 1.4): \tilde{\delta}(-f-g)} \mathrm{d} f \mathrm{~d} g  \tag{A.1.5}\\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{w}(f) \underbrace{\int_{\tilde{q}}^{\infty} \tilde{q}(g) \cdot \tilde{\delta}(-f-g) \mathrm{d} g \mathrm{~d} f}_{\tilde{-\infty}} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{w}(f) \cdot \tilde{q}^{*}(f) \mathrm{d} f
\end{align*}
$$

In (A.1.5) the symmetry of the real part and the anti symmetry of the imaginary part of the transformed function is used: $\tilde{q}(-f)=\tilde{q}^{*}(f)$

## A.1.2 Transformation to Angular Frequency $\omega$

The angular frequency $\omega$ is linked to the frequency $f$ by $\omega=2 \pi f$. According to [Brigham 1987] the transformation $t \circ-\omega$ and its inverse is defined as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{h}(\omega)=a_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega t} \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{A.1.6}\\
& h(t)=a_{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{h}(\omega) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega t} \mathrm{~d} \omega \tag{A.1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Where: $a_{1} \cdot a_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi}$

## A.1.2.1 Transformation Rule Used in this Dissertation

In this thesis the definition as in [Bronstein et al 2005] or as in lecture notes and other publications of the Chair of Structural Mechanics at the Technical University of Munich
(e.g. [Buchschmid 2017], [Hackenberg 2016], [Kreutz 2013]) is used.

The factors are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=1 ; \quad a_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \tag{A.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{h}(\omega)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega t} \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{A.1.9}\\
& h(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{h}(\omega) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega t} \mathrm{~d} \omega \tag{A.1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case the transformation of the Dirac- $\delta$-function results in:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta(t) & \bullet 1  \tag{A.1.11}\\
\tilde{\delta}(\omega) & \bullet \frac{1}{2 \pi}  \tag{A.1.12}\\
\Rightarrow 1 & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} 2 \pi \circ \longrightarrow 2 \pi \tilde{\delta}(\omega) \tag{A.1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Parseval's theorem looks in this case like:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) \cdot q(t) \mathrm{d} t & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{w}(\omega) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega t} \mathrm{~d} \omega \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{q}(\alpha) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha t} \mathrm{~d} \alpha \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{w}(\omega) \cdot \tilde{q}(\alpha) \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1 \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(-\omega-\alpha) t} \mathrm{~d} t}_{(\mathrm{A} .1 .13): 2 \pi \tilde{\delta}(-\omega-\alpha)} \mathrm{d} \omega \mathrm{~d} \alpha  \tag{A.1.14}\\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{w}(\omega) \\
& \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{q}(\alpha) \cdot \tilde{\delta}(-\omega-\alpha) \mathrm{d} \alpha \mathrm{~d} \omega}_{\tilde{q}(-\omega)} \\
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{w}(\omega) \cdot \tilde{q}^{*}(\omega) \mathrm{d} \omega
\end{align*}
$$

## A.1.2.2 Transformation Rule Used in Important Literature for this Dissertation

The most important literature on which this thesis is based (e.g. [Finnveden 1994] and [Birgersson et al 2005]) swaps the directions of transformation. (The negative sign in the
exponent of the e-function is placed there in the integral over the angular frequency.)
The factors are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} ; \quad a_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \tag{A.1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{h}(\omega) & =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega t} \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{A.1.16}\\
h(t) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{h}(\omega) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega t} \mathrm{~d} \omega \tag{A.1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case the transformation of the Dirac- $\delta$-function results in:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta(t) \circ \bullet \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}}  \tag{A.1.18}\\
& \tilde{\delta}(\omega) \bullet \bullet \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}  \tag{A.1.19}\\
& \Rightarrow 1=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\pi} \circ \longrightarrow \sqrt{\pi} \tilde{\delta}(\omega) \tag{A.1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Parseval's theorem looks in this case like:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(t) \cdot q(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{w}(\omega) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega t} \mathrm{~d} \omega \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{q}(\alpha) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \alpha t} \mathrm{~d} \alpha \mathrm{~d} t \\
&=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{w}(\omega) \cdot \tilde{q}(\alpha) \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}} 1 \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(\omega+\alpha) t} \mathrm{~d} t}_{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \mathrm{~d} \omega \mathrm{~d} \alpha \\
& 2 \sqrt{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1 \cdot \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(-\omega-\alpha) t} \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{A.1.21}\\
&=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{w}(\omega) \\
&=2 \cdot \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{q}(\alpha) \cdot 2 \pi \cdot \tilde{\delta}(-\omega-\alpha) \mathrm{d} \alpha \mathrm{~d} \omega}_{2 \pi \tilde{q}(-\omega): \sqrt{\pi} \tilde{\delta}(-\omega-\alpha)}
\end{align*}
$$

## A. 2 Parseval's Theorem for the First Derivative w.r.t Time

Differentiation in time domain leads to an multiplication with i $\omega$ in frequency domain [Buchschmid 2017]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{w}(t) \circ \bullet i \omega \tilde{w}(\omega) \tag{A.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple multiplication of the first derivatives of two functions leads therefore in the frequency domain to a negative sign, because $(\mathrm{i} \omega)^{2}=-\omega^{2}$.

However, it is proven in the following that the frequency domain side of Parseval's theorem for the first derivatives of two functions has a positive sign, because one of the transformed functions has to be complex conjugated:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{w}(t) \cdot \dot{q}(t) \mathrm{d} t & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i} \omega \tilde{w}(\omega) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega t} \mathrm{~d} \omega \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i} \alpha \tilde{q}(\alpha) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha t} \mathrm{~d} \alpha \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i} \omega \tilde{w}(\omega) \cdot \mathrm{i} \alpha \tilde{q}(\alpha) \underbrace{\left.\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1 \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(-\omega-\alpha) t} \mathrm{~d} t-\omega-\alpha\right)}_{(\mathrm{A} .1 .13): 2} \mathrm{~d} \omega \mathrm{~d} \alpha  \tag{A.2.2}\\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i} \omega \tilde{w}(\omega) \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i} \alpha \tilde{q}(-\omega) \cdot \tilde{q}(-\omega)=-\mathrm{i} \omega \tilde{q}^{*}(\omega)}_{\int_{-\infty}} \tilde{\delta}(-\omega-\alpha) \mathrm{d} \alpha \mathrm{~d} \omega \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega^{2} \tilde{w}(\omega) \cdot \tilde{q}^{*}(\omega) \mathrm{d} \omega
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the kinetic energy in Eq. 2.26 on page 24 is positive.

## A. 3 Single Degree of Freedom System with a Complex-Valued Spring

Two single degree of freedom systems are considered in this section: One with a spring with the stiffness $k$ and a viscous damper with the damping constant $c$ and one with a complex valued spring with the stiffness $k_{\mathrm{c}}$, which is defined with the help of the loss factor $\eta$ and the $\operatorname{sgn}(\Omega)$-function. Both systems are loaded with the same load. The solutions $w(t)$ for both systems are determined in the following with the help of a Fourier transformation to frequency domain of the load and the differential equation and with a subsequent back transformation of the solution to time domain. The solution procedure for the two systems is compared in two columns. The reason for the sgn()-function and the main mechanical difference of the two systems are explained.

## System with Viscous Damper



Figure A.1: SDOF system with a viscous damper

## System with Complex-Valued Spring



Figure A.2: SDOF system with a complex-valued spring

## Load

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(t)=p_{0} \cos (\Omega t)=\frac{p_{0}}{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \Omega t}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \Omega t}\right) \tag{A.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}(\omega)=\pi p_{0}(\delta(\omega-\Omega)+\delta(\omega+\Omega)) \tag{A.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Differential Equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{w}(t)+c \dot{w}(t)+k w(t)=p(t) \tag{A.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{w}(t)+k_{\mathrm{c}} w(t)=p(t) \tag{A.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\omega^{2} m+\mathrm{i} \omega c+k\right) \tilde{w}(\omega)=\tilde{p}(\omega) \tag{A.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\omega^{2} m+k_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \tilde{w}(\omega)=\tilde{p}(\omega) \tag{A.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Solution

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\tilde{w}=\frac{\pi p_{0}(\delta(\omega-\Omega)+\delta(\omega+\Omega))}{-\omega^{2} m+\mathrm{i} \omega c+k} & \tilde{w} & =\frac{\pi p_{0}(\delta(\omega-\Omega)+\delta(\omega+\Omega))}{-\omega^{2} m+k_{\mathrm{c}}} \\
& =\frac{\pi p_{0} \delta(\omega-\Omega)}{-\Omega^{2} m+\mathrm{i} \Omega c+k}+\frac{\pi p_{0} \delta(\omega+\Omega)}{-\Omega^{2} m-\mathrm{i} \Omega c+k} & & =\frac{\pi p_{0}(\delta(\omega-\Omega)+\delta(\omega+\Omega))}{-\Omega^{2} m+k_{\mathrm{c}}} \\
\text { (A.3.7) } & & =\frac{\pi p_{0} \delta(\omega-\Omega)}{-\Omega^{2} m+k_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{i} k_{\mathrm{i}}}+\frac{\pi p_{0} \delta(\omega+\Omega)}{-\Omega^{2} m+k_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathrm{i} k_{\mathrm{i}}}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=\frac{\frac{p_{0}}{2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \Omega t}}{-\Omega^{2} m+\mathrm{i} \Omega c+k}+\frac{\frac{p_{0}}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \Omega t}}{-\Omega^{2} m-\mathrm{i} \Omega c+k} \quad w(t)=\frac{\frac{p_{0}}{2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \Omega t}}{-\Omega^{2} m+k_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{i} k_{\mathrm{i}}}+\frac{\frac{p_{0}}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \Omega t}}{-\Omega^{2} m+k_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathrm{i} k_{\mathrm{i}}} \tag{A.3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the system with the viscous damper the sign of the damping term i $\Omega t$ in Eq.(A.3.7) changes due to the Dirac- $\delta$-function in dependency of the sign of the frequency $\Omega$. This is not the case for the system with the complex-valued spring. The damping is "hidden" in line two of Eq.(A.3.8) in the complex spring stiffness $k_{\mathrm{c}}$. The damping term $\mathrm{i} k_{\mathrm{i}}$ in the third line of Eq.(A.3.8) changes its sign just due to the sgn()-function in the definition of the complex spring stiffness. The opposite signs of the damping terms for positive and negative frequencies are necessary in order to ensure a pure real solution for $w(t)$ after the Fourier back transformation.

The main mechanical difference of a viscous damper and a complex-valued spring becomes clear in the solution for $w(t)$ in Eqs.(A.3.9) and (A.3.10): The viscous damper causes a frequency dependent damping term $\mathrm{i} \Omega c$. The damping term of the complex-valued spring $\mathrm{i} k_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{i} k \eta$ is frequency independent.
[Müller and Buchschmid 2014] proofed that $2 \pi \eta$ is the ratio of dissipated to restorable mechanical energy per vibrational period:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \eta=\frac{W_{\text {diss }}}{W_{\text {elast }}} \tag{A.3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A. 4 Numerical Implementation of the Waveguide FEM in MATLAB

The implementation of the waveguide FEM in MATLAB with object oriented programming (OOP) [Stein 2015] has been supported by Ahmed Hossam in his master's thesis [Hossam 2017]. The author can provide the code upon request (axel.greim@tum.de).

The waveguide FEM is implemented with the help of three hierarchic classes in order to have maximum flexibility for further enhancements or modifications:

1. ComputationalQuad

An object of the class ComputationalQuad stores in its properties quantities of a waveguide element which depend only on the natural coordinates $\xi, \eta$ (e.g. shape functions and their derivatives). These properties are therefore independent of the physical dimensions of an element.
2. PhysicalQuad

An object of the class PhysicalQuad needs in its constructor information about the material and the physical node coordinates. It contains an object of the class ComputationalQuad as constant property. The element matrices are calculated amongst others with class methods and are stored as properties.
3. IsoQuadCrossSection

An object of the class IsoQuadCrossSection represents the infinite waveguide by its cross-sectional mesh. Its constructor needs the element topology, material and excitation frequency as input parameters. Class methods calculate a PysicalQuad for each element, assemble the system matrices and solve the quadratic eigenvalue problem. Furthermore, several plotting methods are available.

By default objects are passed in MATLAB by value. This means, that an object is copied in the workspace if it is passed to a function. Two independent objects exist in workspace afterwards. Therefore, a function cannot modify the original object directly. The copied object has to be returned and assigned to the original object instead. This behavior is not very common in OOP. In other programming languages like e.g. C++ "pass-by-reference" is the default setting. This means, that just a handle to the object (instead of a copy) is passed. The function which receives the object can modify it directly. No further assigning is necessary. A class whose objects are passed by reference is defined in MATLAB by
defining the class formally as a subclass from MATLAB's super class handle as shown in Listing A.1.

Listing A.1: Definition of handle classes in MATLAB

```
classdef ComputationalQuad < handle
    <Properties and Methods\rangle
end
```

In the following the three classes are described shortly. The code of some important class methods is listed and explained.

## A.4.1 The Class ComputationalQuad

The properties and the constructor of the class ComputationalQuad is written in Listing A.2. The code of the other class methods which are all called in the constructor is not displayed for brevity. An object of this class contains the values of the shape functions, their derivatives in natural coordinates and supportive matrices for the calculation of the Jacobian, of the element mass matrix and for the derivatives of the shape functions in physical coordinates. (The latter are required later in the class PhysicalQuad to calculate the strain matrices $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}$ according to section 2.1.1.) Each property is a cell array where each cell stores the value of the respective quantity at one Gauß point.

The constructor of the class and all other class methods have no other input arguments than the object itself. Therefore, an object of the class ComputationalQuad will always have the same properties. The class can therefore be interpreted just as a database for other classes. Calculating all the properties with class methods is just a more elegant way than hard coding them.

Listing A.2: Properties and constructor of the class ComputationalQuad

```
classdef ComputationalQuad < handle
    properties (SetAccess = private, GetAccess = public)
        GPs cell % natural coordinates of gauss points incl.
            weighting [xi,eta,wxi*weta]
        N cell % shape functions
        dNdxi cell % derivatives of shape functions w.r.t. xi
        dNdeta cell % derivatives of shape functions w.r.t. eta
        N_Mtx1 cell % N-matrices for the calculation of the jacobian
        matrices (jacobian is gained by multiplying this matrix with the
        matrix of node coordinates of a physical element)
        N_Mtx2 cell % N-matrices for the calculation of dN/dy and dN/dz
```

```
    N_Mtx3 cell % N-matrices for the calculation of the element
        mass matrices
    GP_Nr cell % Numbering of GPS
end
properties (Constant, Access = public)
    nGP = 2 % number of gaussian integration points per direction.
end
methods
    % constructor
        function cq = ComputationalQuad
        cq.GP_Nr = {1:cq.nGP^2};
        cq.GPs = cq.CalculateGaussPointsAndWeights;
        cq.N = cq.ShapeFunctions;
        cq.dNdxi = cq.DerivativesWrtXi;
        cq.dNdeta = cq.DerivativesWrtEta;
        cq.N_Mtx1 = cq.CalculateN_Mtx1;
        cq.N_Mtx2 = cq.CalculateN_Mtx2;
        cq.N_Mtx3 = cq.CalculateN_Mtx3;
        end
        <Further class methods\rangle
end
end
```


## A.4.2 The Class PhysicalQuad

Main purpose of an object of the class PhysicalQuad is to calculate and store the element matrices $\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}_{m n}$ and $\underline{\underline{m}}_{00}$. Furthermore, class methods can calculate and store the element's surface area, the coordinates of its center of gravity and its area moments of inertia. The properties and the constructor method are written in Listing A.3. Input parameters for the constructor are the element number, two row arrays with the physical coordinates of the nodes and an object of the class Mate. The latter contains as properties the material constants $E, \nu$ and $\rho$ and the $\underline{\underline{D}}$-matrix.

Listing A.3: Properties and constructor of the class PhysicalQuad

```
classdef PhysicalQuad < handle
    properties (GetAccess = public, SetAccess = private)
        % input parameter of the constructor method:
        Elem_Nr int32 % number of the element
        y double % y-coordinates of the nodes
        z double % z-coordinates of the nodes
```

```
    mate Mate % object of class Mate (contains Material properties
        E, v, rho and D-Matrix)
    % calculated with methods of this class:
    yS double % y-coordinate of the center point
    zS double % z-coordinate of the center point
    A double % element area
    Iy double % moment of Inertia
    Iz double % moment of Inertia
    Iyz double % moment of Inertia
    J cell % jacobian
    invJ cell % inverse jacobian
    dNdy cell % derivatives of shape functions w.r.t. Y
    dNdz cell % derivatives of shape functions w.r.t. z
    m cell % element mass matrix
    epsilon0 cell % straincomponent epsilon0 in each Gauss point
    epsilon1 cell % straincomponent epsilon1 in each Gauss point
    epsilon00 cell % element stiffness matrix epsilon00
    epsilon01 cell % element stiffness matrix epsilon01
    epsilon10 cell % element stiffness matrix epsilon10
    epsilon11 cell % element stiffness matrix epsilon11
end
properties (GetAccess = private, SetAccess = private, Transient = true)
    L event.listener % listens to changes of the property detJ
end
properties (SetObservable)
    detJ cell % determinant of jacobian
end
events
    NegativeDetJ % event which triggers automatically in case det(J)=0
end
properties (Constant, GetAccess = public)
    cq = ComputationalQuad
    ndofs = 12
end
methods
    %% constructor
    function pq = PhysicalQuad(Mat,ElemNr,ey,ez)
        pq.mate = Mat;
        pq.L = addlistener(pq,'NegativeDetJ',@pq.AlarmNegativeDetJ);
        pq.CalculateElement(ElemNr,ey,ez);
    end
    %% function called in the constructor to calculate the quad element
    function CalculateElement(pq,ElemNr,ey,ez)
        pq.y = ey;
```

```
        pq.z = ez;
        pq.Elem_Nr = ElemNr;
        pq.J = pq.CalculateJacobian;
        pq.detJ = pq.CalculateJacobianDeterminant;
        if any(cell2mat(pq.detJ)<=0)
        notify(pq,'NegativeDetJ');
        end
        pq.invJ = pq.CalculateInverseJacobian;
        pq.A = pq.CalculateElementArea;
        [pq.yS, pq.zS] = pq.CalculateCenterOfGravity;
        [pq.Iy, pq.Iz, pq.Iyz] = pq.CalculateMomentsOfInteria;
        pq.dNdy = pq.CalculateDerivativesWrty;
        pq.dNdz = pq.CalculateDerivativesWrtz;
        pq.epsilon0 = pq.CalculateEpsilon0;
        pq.epsilon1 = pq.CalculateEpsilon1;
        pq.m = pq.Calculate_m;
        pq.epsilon00 = pq.CalculateEpsilon00;
        pq.epsilon01 = pq.CalculateEpsilon01;
        pq.epsilon10 = pq.CalculateEpsilon10;
        pq.epsilon11 = pq.CalculateEpsilon11;
        end
        <Further class methods\rangle
    end
end
```

An event-listener strategy ensures a reasonable element topology. The listener L, which is assigned in the constructor, listens to the event NegativeDetJ and calls the function AlarmNegativeDetJ. The event NegativeDet $J$ is notified as soon as $\operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}}$ is negative in any Gau $\beta$ point. $\operatorname{det} \underline{\underline{J}}$ is negative if the element's geometry is not convex. The source code of the callback function AlarmNegativeDetJ is not displayed in this thesis for brevity. It mainly produces an error message with the current element number, so that the user can find the erroneous element in the cross-sectional mesh.

All methods which calculate the properties like the element matrices are not listed for brevity. They carry out the Gaussian integration according to section 2.1 .3 by summing over cell components.

## A.4.3 The Class IsoQuadCrossSection

An object of the class IsoQuadCrossSection represents the infinite waveguide by its cross-sectional mesh. The properties and the constructor are written in Listing A.4.

Listing A.4: Properties and constructor of the class IsoQuadCrossSection

```
classdef IsoQuadCrossSection < handle
    properties (GetAccess = public, SetAccess = ?SSE)
        filename char
        f_i double % Finite Element topology
        V_i double % Coordinates of the FE nodes
        NodesOnBoundary double % Node numbers of nodes on the
            boundary of the cross section
    nDOFs double % Number of DOFs of the cross
        section
    DOFs double % DOF Numers sorted columnwise to
        nodes, first row: x-DOF number; second row: y-DOF number; third
        row: z-DOF number
    v_i_S double % node coordinates w.r.t to center
        of gravity
    A
    yS double % y-coordinate of center of gravity
    zS double % z-coordinate of center of gravity
    height double % maximum extent in z-direction
    width double % maximum extent in y-direction
    Epsilon00 double % stiffness matrix Epsilon00
    Epsilon01 double % stiffness matrix Epsilon01
    Epsilon10 double % stiffness matrix Epsilonlo
    Epsilon11 double % stiffness matrix Epsilonl1
    M_consistent double % consistent mass matrix
            M_consistent
        M_lumped double % lumped mass matrix M_lumped
        Theta double % Theta matrix used by SSE elements
        pq PhysicalQuad % array of physical quadrilaterals
        kx
    phi_R double % right eigenvectors (column vectors
        )
    V cell % displacement field
    Omega double % frequency
    OmegaRange double % Range of Omega for dispersion
        Curves
    kxRange double % Range of Wavenumbers for
        dispersion Curves
```

```
    Mat Mate % Object of class Mate, defines the
        material
    NumKx int16 % Optional: Number of Eigenvalues
        and -vectors to be calculated
    end
    methods
        %% constructor
        function cs = IsoQuadCrossSection(Crosssection,Omega,Mat,NumKx)
        % check optional Input argument:
        if ~exist('NumKx','var')
                NumKx = [];
        end
        <Conditionally execute statements (if-else) to check for saved calculation results\rangle
        tic
        cs.Mat = Mat;
        CS.filename = Crosssection;
        cs.Omega = Omega;
        CS.NumKx = NumKx;
        cs.v_i = getfield(load(strcat('cross_sections/',cs.filename,'.mat'
            )), 'q_Nodes');
        cs.f_i = getfield(load(strcat('cross_sections/',cs.filename,'.mat'
            )), 'q_Elems');
        cs.nDOFs = 3*size(cs.v_i,1); % 3 DOFs per node
        cs.DOFs = reshape(1:cs.nDOFs,3,cs.nDOFs/3); %Indices of DOFs
            sorted columnwise to nodes
        cs.SortNodesAccToIsoApproach; % make sure, that element topology
            is always counter clockwise
        cs.CalculateSystemMatrices;
        cs.AreaAndCenterPoint;
        cs.NodesOnBoundary = CalculationSettings.DetermineBoundaryNodes(cs
                .f_i,CS.v_i);
            cs.SolveQEP;
            cs.CalculateTheta
            fprintf(strcat(['Complete new cross-sectional analysis finished.
            Took ',num2str(toc),' seconds \n']));
        save(strcat('cross_sections_results/CrossSection_Results_'',
            Crosssection,'_Iso.mat'),'cs');
        end
        <Further class methods\rangle
    end
end
```

The constructor needs three input arguments. (The input argument NumKx is optional. It
enables to limit the number of eigenvalues in the eigenvalue solver, which is important for chapter 5.)

The first input argument Crosssection is the name of a *.mat-file which contains the cross sectional mesh in two variables: q_Nodes contains the $y, z$-coordinates of the nodes line by line. q_Elems contains in each line the node numbers of the element with the line number. In general an arbitrary FE mesh generator can export the data in these two variables. The universal pre- and post processor $\mathrm{GiD}^{1}$ is used for meshing in the work of this thesis. A MATLAB import script reads the two variables q_Nodes and q_Elems from GiD's export and saves them in a respective *.mat-file.

The second input argument of the constructor Omega is the excitation frequency and the third argument Mat is a material object like used in the class PhysicalQuad. The constructor assigns class properties either directly or by calling other class methods. Two of them are most important:

1. The element matrices for all elements are calculated and assembled in CalculateSystemMatrices.
2. The quadratic eigenvalue problem is solved in SolveQEP.

These two methods are described more detailed in the following two subsections. Other class methods have the purpose to ensure reasonable results or to visualize the results. E.g. the method SortNodesAccToIsoApproach ensures with the help of Gauss's area formula (shoelace formula) that all element nodes are listed counter clockwise. This method is described in detail by [Hossam 2017]. The method DetermineBoundaryNodes determines the nodes on the cross section's boundary which are important for 3D drawings.

The class IsoQuadCrossSection contains furthermore a bunch of visualization methods with which the drawings and plots concerning the cross sections are made in this thesis. These methods are not described for brevity.

## A.4.3.1 Assembling of the Element Matrices

The class method CalculateSystemMatrices calculates an object of the class PhysicalQuad for each element of the cross-sectional mesh and assembles the element matrices from these objects to the system matrices. The source code of this method is written in Listing A.5.

[^1]Listing A.5: The class method CalculateSystemMatrices of the class IsoQuadCrossSection

```
function CalculateSystemMatrices(cs)
    idx_G = zeros(PhysicalQuad.ndofs*size(cs.f_i,1),1);
    idx1_G = zeros(PhysicalQuad.ndofs^2*size(cs.f_i,1),1);
    idx2_G = idx1_G;
    val_M = idx2_G;
    val_Epsilon00 = val_M;
    val_Epsilon01 = val_Epsilon00;
    val_Epsilon10 = val_Epsilon01;
    val_Epsilon11 = val_Epsilon10;
    f = @(x) full(x{:}(:)); % function which writes all columns of one
        element matrix in one column
    for k = 1:(size(cs.f_i,1)) % loop over all elements
        cs.pq(k) = PhysicalQuad(cs.Mat,k,cs.v_i(cs.f_i(k,:),1)',cs.v_i(cs.f_i(
        k,:),2)');% Calculation of the physical quad of each element
    s = ((k-1)*PhysicalQuad.ndofs+1) : (k*PhysicalQuad.ndofs); % s =
        1:12 for Element 1; s = 13:24 for Element 2; etc...
    z = ((k-1)*PhysicalQuad.ndofs^2+1) : (k*PhysicalQuad.ndofs^2);% z =
        1:144 for Element 1; z= 145:288 for Element 2; etc...
    idx_G(s,1) = [cs.DOFs(:,cs.f_i(k,1));cs.DOFs(:,cs.f_i(k,2));cs.DOFs(:,
        cs.f_i(k,3));cs.DOFs(:,cs.f_i(k,4))];
        [b,a] = meshgrid(idx_G(s,1)); % a = new row index of resp. matrix
            component, b = new coloum index of resp. matrix component
        idx1_G(z,1) = a(:);
        idx2_G(z,1) = b(:);
        val_M(z,1) = f(cs.pq(k).m);
        val_Epsilon00(z,1) = f(cs.pq(k).epsilon00);
        val_Epsilon01(z,1) = f(cs.pq(k).epsilon01);
        val_Epsilon10(z,1) = f(cs.pq(k).epsilon10);
        val_Epsilon11(z,1) = f(cs.pq(k).epsilon11);
    end % end loop over all elements
    Cs.M_consistent = sparse(idx1_G,idx2_G,val_M,cs.nDOFs,cs.nDOFs);
    if CalculationSettings.M_lumped == true
        cs.Calculate_M_Lumped;
    end
    cs.Epsilon00 = sparse(idx1_G,idx2_G,val_Epsilon00,cs.nDOFs,cs.nDOFs);
    cs.Epsilon01 = sparse(idx1_G,idx2_G,val_Epsilon01,cs.nDOFs,cs.nDOFs);
    cs.Epsilon10 = sparse(idx1_G,idx2_G,val_Epsilon10,cs.nDOFs,cs.nDOFs);
    cs.Epsilon11 = sparse(idx1_G,idx2_G,val_Epsilon11,cs.nDOFs,cs.nDOFs);
end
```

The PhysicalQuad-objects are calculated in a for loop (loop index k) over all elements of the cross sectional mesh. In the same loop the vector idx_G is filled with components which
list for each element DOF the respective DOF in the global mesh. The class property DOFs which is assigned in the constructor (line 48 in Listing A.4) is used for this purpose. The part of $i d x \_G$ concerning the current element ( $i d x 1 \_G(s, 1)$ ) is repeated with the help of MATLAB's functions meshgrid twelve times (number of element DOFs) so that each column of the $12 \times 12$ matrix a is a copy of it. At the same time, it is repeated twelve times so that each row of the matrix b is a copy of it. The grid matrices a and b assign to each component of the current element matrix it's position in the global cross-sectional matrix. For example the row index of the global matrix component to which the element matrix component $M_{23}$ has to be added in the assembling is a $(2,3)$. The respective column index is $b(2,3)$.

In the next step a and b are reshaped to column vectors and assigned to the global variables $i d x 1 \_G$ and $i d x 2 \_G$ at the positions $z$ concerning the current element. Also the element matrices are reshaped to column vectors and are assigned to to the global vectors val_M and val_EpsilonMN.

The assembling of the global matrices is carried out after the end of the for loop over all finite elements with the help of MATLAB's sparse function. This function sets up a cs.NDOFs $\times$ Cs.NDOFs sparse matrix such that e.g. Epsilon00(idx1_G(k),idx2_G(k)) = val_Epsilon00(k). Any elements of val_Epsilon00 that have duplicate values of idx1_G and idx2_G are added.

An optional function call in order to lump the mass matrix is implemented in line 27 of Listing A.5. [Rank et al 1983] show advantages of using lumped mass matrices in transient analysis with FE procedures. Time step integration is not investigated in this thesis and in general no influence of a lumped mass approach on the numerical stability of the investigated examples has been observed. Therefore, all examples presented in this thesis are calculated with consistent mass matrices in order to avoid additional approximation.

## A.4.3.2 The Quadratic Eigenvalue Problem

The quadratic eigenvalue problem for the wavenumber $\kappa_{x}$ in Eq. (2.46) is solved with the class method SolveQEP. The source code of this method is written in Listing A.6. In the first step the eigenvalue problem is reformulated to the standard form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\kappa_{x}^{2} \underline{\underline{A}}_{2}+\kappa_{x} \underline{\underline{A}}_{1}+\underline{\underline{A}}_{0}\right) \underline{\tilde{V}}\left(\kappa_{x}\right)=0 \tag{A.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Matrices $\underline{\underline{A}}_{i}$ are assigend in lines 3,5 and 7 of Listing A. 6 .

Listing A.6: The class method SolveQEP of the class IsoQuadCrossSection

```
function SolveQEP(cs)
    % Matrix multiplied with the squared eigenvalue kx
    A2 = full(cs.Epsilon11);
    % Matrix multiplied with the linear eigenvalue kx
    A1 = 1i*full(cs.Epsilon01-cs.Epsilon10);
    % Constant part of the Eigenvalue problem
    A0 = full(cs.Epsilon00)-cs.Omega^2*full(cs.M_consistent);
    % -> first companion form (according to TISSEUR AND MEERBERGEN, 2001)
    A_Mtx = [zeros(size(A0)),\operatorname{eye}(\operatorname{size}(A0));-A0,-A1];
    B_Mtx = [eye(size(A2)), zeros(size(A2));zeros(size(A2)),A2];
    if isempty(cs.NumKx)
        [Ve,D] = eig(A_Mtx,B_Mtx);
        D = diag(D);
        Ve = Ve(1:1:size(Ve,1)/2,:);
        [~,I] = sort(abs(D));
        D = D(I);
        Ve = Ve(:, I);
    else
        [Ve,D] = eigs(A_Mtx,B_Mtx,Cs.NumKx,'sm');
        D = diag(D);
        Ve = Ve(1:cs.nDOFs,:);
        [D,I] = sort(D,'ComparisonMethod','abs');
        Ve = Ve(:,I);
    end
    % Normalize eigenvectors before returning
    VeL2 = sqrt(sum(abs((Ve.^2)))); %L2-Norm of Eigenvectors
    Ve = Ve./VeL2;
    % assign to class properties
    CS.kx = D;
    Cs.phi_R = Ve;
end
```

The quadratic eigenvalue problem can be linearized with the help of the first companion form according to [Tisseur and Meerbergen 2001] p.253:

Eq. (A.4.2) is a linear generalized eigenvalue problem of double size of Eq. (A.4.1). It is
solved either with MATLAB's solver eig (if all eigenvalues and eigenvectors are required) or with the iterative solver eigs (if the number of eigenvalues is limited). The limitation of the eigenvalues is important in chapter 5 which deals with increasing the computational efficiency. Both eigenvalue solvers return the matrix of eigenvectors Ve and the diagonal matrix $D$ with the eigenvalues on the main diagonal. The solution can therefore be checked if the following equation holds:

A_Mtx*Ve $=\mathrm{D} *$ B_Mtx*Ve

The lower half of Ve is skipped in line 16 resp. line 23 of Listing A.6, because it contains according to $\mathrm{Eq}(\mathrm{A} .4 .2)$ just copies of the eigenvectors which are scaled by the respective eigenvalues.

The main diagonal of matrix $D$ is written into a column vector with diag (D). The eigenvalues and eigenvectors are sorted according to the magnitude of the eigenvalues. The eigenvectors are normalized w.r.t to their L2-norm. At the end of the method both arrays are assigned to the class properties kx (eigenvalues) and phi_R (eigenvectors).

MATLAB is provided also with a polynomial eigenvalue solver called polyeig. This solver delivers for the investigated examples exactly the same result as the eig solver with the presented linearization. polyeig allows no limitation of the number of eigenvalues. Therefore, the linearization has to be implemented as presented in order to use the iterative solver eigs.

## A. 5 Numerical Implementation of the Spectral Super Element Method

The program package described in section A. 4 has been extended by a fourth class called SSEsystem in order to implement the theory of the spectral super elements. This implementation has been partially supported by Sebastian Pfitzmaier and Julius Mader during the work on their master's theses [Pfitzmaier 2018] and [Mader 2019]. The author can provide the code upon request (axel.greim@tum.de).

## A.5.1 The class SSEsystem

An object of the class SSEsystem represents a system of spectral super elements with same cross section, which are coupled in $x$-direction along a straight line. Boundary conditions (loads and displacement constraints) can be applied on the cross-sectional meshes at the element boundaries. The properties and the constructor of this class are written in Lst. A.7. The user has to set up an object of the class IsoQuadCrossSection according to section A. 4.3 before he/she can set up the SSEsystem object. The object of the class IsoQuadCrossSection contains in its properties all information about the material, the cross-sectional mesh, the current frequency and the wavenumbers and -shapes.

If a system shall be analyzed at different excitation frequencies (e.g. during a harmonic analysis), a new IsoQuadCrossSection (and with that a new SSEsystem) has to be calculated for each frequency.

The cross-sectional object is handed together with two vectors to the constructor of a SSEsystem object:

1. xCs contains the $x$-coordinates of the spectral super element boundaries in a global coordinate system.
2. xdisc contains the global $x$-coordinates at which the solution shall be evaluated numerically (e.g. for plotting purposes). xdisc must contain the coordinates of xcs.

The constructor stores at the beginning the input arguments in properties and assigns some basic geometrical properties like the number of SSEs in the system, the overall length of the system and the length $l_{x}$ for each SSE in its local coordinate system according to Fig. 3.1.

Listing A.7: Properties and constructor of the class SSEsystem

```
classdef SSEsystem < handle
    properties (Access = public)
        nele double % number of SSES
        cs IsoQuadCrossSection % cross section object
    xdisc double % vector of discrete x-coordinates
            between 0 and L at which the solution is to be discretized
    xcs double % x-coordinates of element
        boundaries
    l double % vector of half lengths lx of
        each SSE object (element x-coordinates from -lx to +lx)
    L double % total length of the SSEsystem
    A cell % A-matrices of each SSE
    F double % global force vector
```

```
    SupCond logical % logical vector with 0 if
        respective DOF is fixed and 1 if it is free
    W double % vector of global nodal
        displacements at the element boundaries
    V double % matrix of displacements along
        the system evaluated at x=xdisc
    end
    methods
        %% 1 constructor of class SSEsystem
        function sys = SSEsystem(CrossSection,xdisc,xcs)
            sys.cs = CrossSection;
            sys.xdisc = xdisc(:);
            sys.xCs = xcs(:);
            sys.nele = length(sys.xcs)-1;
            sys.l = (xcs(2:end)-xcs(1:end-1))/2;
            sys.L = xcs(end);
            sys.A = cell(sys.nele,1);
            for i = 1:sys.nele
                sys.A{i,1} = sys.CalculateA(sys.l(i));
            end
            sys.F = zeros(sys.cs.nDOFs*length(sys.xcs),1);
            sys.W = zeros(sys.cs.nDOFs*length(sys.xcs),1);
            sys.SupCond = true(sys.cs.nDOFs*length(sys.xcs),1);
            end % end constructor
            <Further class methods\rangle
    end
end
```

Furthermore, the constructor calculates for each SSE in the system the matrix $\underline{\underline{A}}$ according to Eqs. (3.5)-(3.7) with the help of the class method CalculateA in Lst. A.8. For this purpose, the inverse of $\underline{\underline{A}}$ is assembled according to Eq. (3.5) and is inverted with the help of MATLAB's solver "matrix left divide" ( $\backslash$ ).

The inverse of $\underline{\underline{A}}$ consists of the matrix of wave shapes $\underline{\underline{\Phi}}$, which is contained in the crosssectional object (cs.phi_R), and the diagonal matrix of wave functions $\underline{\underline{E}}$ evaluated at the ends of the respective SSE. The matrix $\underline{\underline{E}}$ is defined in Eq. (3.2). It is calculated at a discrete local coordinate x with the help of the class method CalculateE in Lst. A.9.

Listing A.8: The class method CalculateA of the class SSEsystem

```
function A = CalculateA(sys,l)
    % Compute element matrix A depending on the half lenght
    % of the element lx
    Ea = sys.CalculateE(l,-l);
```

```
    Ee = sys.CalculateE(l,l);
    % assemble Ainv and invert it to get A:
    Ainv = [sys.cs.phi_R*Ea;sys.cs.phi_R*Ee];
    I_Mtx = eye(size(Ainv,1));
    A = Ainv\I_Mtx;
```

end

The method CalculateE is also used - after the vector of unknowns $\underline{W}$ has been found - for the evaluation of the solution at the discrete $x$-coordinates in xdisc according to Eq. (3.8). For this purpose, the class method SSEsystem.Evaluate is used, which stores the evaluated solution in the class property V . The source code of this method is not printed in this thesis for brevity.

Listing A.9: The class method CalculateE of the class SSEsystem

```
function E = CalculateE(sys,l,x)
    % Compute the diagonal matrix E
    % Input: l half length lx of the element
    % x location of evaluation between [-lx,lx]
    kappa = sys.cs.kx;
    % define vector signum containing the signs of the
    % imaginary part of kappa:
    signum=zeros(size(kappa));
    signum(imag(kappa)>=0)=1;
    signum(imag(kappa)<0)=-1;
    % compute entries of the diagonal matrix E as a vector
    Diag = exp(1j*kappa.*(x+signum*l));
    % store E as a sparse matrix and return it:
    E = spdiags(Diag,0,length(Diag),length(Diag));
end
```

At the end the constructor of the class SSEsystem initializes the vector of unknowns $\underline{W}$, the external force vector $\underline{F}$ and the logical vector SupCond with which the displacement constraints are defined. The purpose of the vector SupCond is described in detail in the following section.

## A.5.2 Application of Displacement Constraints

The most simple method to constrain the displacement of the DOF $W_{i}$ in a linear system of equations such as Eq. (3.26) is to set in the stiffness matrix the diagonal component $K_{i i}=1$
and the remaining components of row $i$ and column $i$ to 0 . In the force vector the respective component has to be set to $F_{i}=0$. Subsequently, the only valid solution is $W_{i}=0$.

A variation of this idea is used in the class SSEsystem: The row $i$ and the column $i$ is canceled from the whole equation system. Therefore, no solution for the constrained DOF $W_{i}$ is obtained. This doesn't mind, because the vector $\underline{\mathrm{W}}$ has been initialized as null vector in the constructor (Lst. A.7). The operation of canceling rows and columns is performed with the help of logical indexing in MATLAB just before the system is solved. Due to this procedure, the application of displacement constraints is independent from the load application. The logical vector SupCond is used for the logical indexing. It has the dimension of the numbers of DOFs in the system and is true for non-constrained DOFs and false for the constrained ones. The rows of the constrained DOFs in the force vector F are canceled then e.g. with the command F (SupCond).

The property SupCond is initialized with true in the constructor (Line 30 in Lst. A.7). The class method SupportCondition in Lst. A. 10 has been implemented, in order to avoid that the user has to search manually for the entries which have to be set to false in order to constrain a certain DOF. The input arguments are the global coordinates of the nodes to be constrained as well as a character for the displacement direction to be constrained. The global $y$ - and $z$-coordinates are the same as in the local element coordinate system, which is defined in Fig. 3.1 w.r.t. the center of gravity of the cross section. The global $x$-coordinate is defined by the property xcs. Instead of defining certain coordinates, the character vector 'all' may be used in order to constrain either all nodes which are located in the respective direction or all displacement directions of a node. The method searches the indices of the constrained DOFs in the global vector of unknowns $\underline{W}$ and sets the respective entries in SupCond to false. $\underline{W}$ has the following structure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{W}=[\text { DOFs of cross section at } \mathrm{xcs}(1), \text { DOFs of cross section at } \mathrm{xcs}(2), \ldots]^{T} \tag{A.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The method uses the property DOFs of the IsoQuadCrossSection object. This 2D array defines which three DOFs belong to a certain node of the cross-sectional mesh. The node numbers are the column indices. The first line defines the numbers of DOFs in $x$-direction, the second in $y$-direction and the third in $z$-direction. The property looks like:

$$
\text { CS.DOFs }=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 4 & 7 & \ldots  \tag{A.5.2}\\
2 & 5 & 8 & \ldots \\
3 & 6 & 9 & \ldots
\end{array}\right]
$$

Listing A.10: The class method SupportCondition of the class SSEsystem

```
function SupportCondition(sys,x,y,z,dir)
    n = sys.cs.nDOFs; % number of DOFs in crosssection
    xcsind = find(x==sys.xcs)-1; % location of x within xcs
    y_all = sys.cs.v_i_S(:,1); % y-coords of all nodes in the cross section
    z_all = sys.cs.v_i_S(:,2); % z-coords of all nodes in the cross section
    % find the numbers of the nodes that are closest to the input coordinates
    if strcmp(y,'all') && strcmp(z,'all')
    m = (1:numel(y_all(:,1)))'; % constrain all nodes
elseif strcmp(y,'all')
    m = find(abs(z_all-z) <0.005); % line support in y - direction
elseif strcmp(z,'all')
    m = find(abs(y_all-y) <0.005) ; % line support in z - direction
else
    k = find(abs(z_all-z) <0.005) ; % point support
    ll = find(abs(y_all-y) <0.005) ;
    m = intersect(k,ll) ;
end
% set corresponding DOFs in SupCond to 0 (=false)
if dir == 'x' % x-direction fixed
    O = n*xcsind+sys.cs.DOFs(1,m);
    sys.SupCond(o) = false;
    elseif dir == 'Y' % Y-direction fixed
    o = n*xcsind+sys.cs.DOFs (2,m);
    sys.SupCond(o) = false;
    elseif dir == 'z' % z-direction fixed
    o = n*xcsind+sys.cs.DOFs(3,m);
    sys.SupCond(o) = false;
    elseif strcmp(dir,'all') % all directions fixed
    O = n*xcsind+sys.cs.DOFs(:,m);
    sys.SupCond(o) = false;
    end
end
```


## A.5.3 Application of Loads

A class method similar to that for the support conditions has been implemented for the load application: The method AddSectionForce in Lst. A.11. Its purpose is to define a load
on the cross-sectional area at an element boundary in the SSE system. This load can either be a lateral or normal force which is equally distributed over the nodes of the cross section or a bending or torsional moment about the center of gravity of the cross section.

The input arguments are:

- typeanddir: Two-character vector in order to define the type ( $1^{\text {st }}$ character: F or M ) and direction ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ character: $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ or z ) of the load
- value: Value of the force or moment
- $\mathrm{x}: x$-coordinate of the element boundary at which the load shall be applied

The method makes use of the fact, that the force vector $\underline{F}$ has the same structure as the vector of unknowns $\underline{W}$ defined in Eq. (A.5.1). Furthermore, it uses the property DOFs of the IsoQuadCrossSection object described in section A.5.2, Eq. (A.5.2).

Listing A.11: The class method AddSectionForce of the class SSEsystem

```
function AddSectionForce(sys,typeanddir,value,x)
    n = sys.cs.nDOFs;
    xcsind = find(x==sys.xcs)-1;
    switch typeanddir(1)
    case 'F'
        switch typeanddir(2)
        case 'x'
            Fx = value;
            Fi = Fx/(n/3);
            sys.F(xCsind*n+sys.Cs.DOFs(1,:),1) = sys.F(xCsind*n+sys.cs.DOFs
                (1,:),1) + Fi;
        case 'Y'
            Fy = value;
            Fi = Fy/(n/3);
            sys.F(xcsind*n+sys.cs.DOFs(2,:),1) = sys.F(xcsind*n+sys.cs.DOFs
                (2,:),1) + Fi;
        case 'z'
            Fz = value;
            Fi = Fz/(n/3);
            sys.F(xcsind*n+sys.cs.DOFs(3,:),1) = sys.F(xcsind*n+sys.cs.DOFs
                (3,:),1) + Fi;
        end
    case 'M'
        switch typeanddir(2)
        case 'x'
            disp('Mx valid only if Center of shear = Center of gravity')
```

```
            disp(' ,i.e. for cross-sections with double or point symmetry.')
            Mx = value;
            yi = sys.cs.v_i_S(:,1);
            zi = sys.cs.v_i_S(:,2);
            FO = Mx/sum(yi.^2+zi.^2);
            Fi = FO*sqrt(yi.^2+zi.^2);
            ang = atan2(zi,yi);
            Fiy = Fi.*sin(ang); Fiy(abs(Fiy)<le-10)=0;
            Fiz = -Fi.*Cos(ang); Fiz(abs(Fiz)<1e-10)=0;
            sys.F(xcsind}#n+sys.cs.DOFs(2,:),1) = sys.F(xcsind*n+sys.cs.DOF
                (2,:),1) + Fiy;
            sys.F(xcsind\starn+sys.cs.DOFs(3,:),1) = sys.F(xcsind\starn+sys.cs.DOFs
            (3,:),1) + Fiz;
        case 'Y'
            My = value;
            zi = sys.cs.v_i_S(:,2);
            FO = My/sum(zi.^2);
            Fi = FO*zi;
            sys.F(xcsind*n+sys.cs.DOFs(1,:),1) = sys.F(xcsind*n+sys.cs.DOFs
                (1,:),1) + Fi;
        case 'z'
            Mz = value;
            yi = sys.cs.v_i_S(:,1);
            FO = Mz/sum(yi.^2);
            Fi = FO*yi;
            sys.F(xcsind*n+sys.cs.DOFs(1,:),1) = sys.F(xcsind*n+sys.cs.DOFs
                (1,:),1) + Fi;
    end
    end
end
```


## A.5.4 Coupling of Spectral Super Elements and Solving the Linear System of Equations

The dynamic stiffness matrix of the SSE system is calculated in the class method solve written in Lst. A.12. Moreover, the linear system of equations in Eq. (3.26) is solved for the unknowns $W$ with this method.

The element stiffness matrices of each SSE are calculated according to Eq. (3.15) in a loop over all SSE elements in the system. Three matrices are required for that:


Figure A.3: The structure of the system of linear equations for a system of SSEs

1. The matrix $\underline{\underline{A}}$ has been calculated in the constructor of the class SSEsystem.
2. The matrix $\underline{\underline{\Theta}}$ according to Eq. (3.16) depends only on cross sectional properties. It is therefore calculated in the constructor of the class IsoQuadCrossSection (Line 54 in Lst. A.4) with the method CalculateTheta in Lst. A.14.
3. The matrix of integrated wave functions $\underline{\underline{E}}_{I}$ according to Eq. (3.17) which is calculated with the class method CalculateEI in Lst. A.13.

The SSE stiffness matrices are assembled directly in the loop over the elements into the system stiffness matrix so that the linear system of equations of the total SSE system has a structure like shown in Fig. A. 3 for three elements.

Listing A.12: The class method Solve of the class SSEsystem

```
function Solve(sys)
    n = sys.cs.nDOFs; % number of DOFs
    % initialize global stiffness matrix D:
    D = zeros(n*length(sys.xcs));
    for i = 1:sys.nele % for-loop over all elements
        EIi = sys.CalculateEI(sys.l(i));
        % compute element dynamic stiffness matrix Di:
        Di = sys.A{i}.'*(sys.cs.Theta.*EIi)*sys.A{i};
        % assemble Di into global D:
        D(((i-1)*n)+1:((i+1)*n),((i-1)*n)+1:((i+1)*n)) =...
            D(((i-1)*n)+1:((i+1)*n),((i-1)*n)+1:((i+1)*n)) + Di;
```

```
    end
    % solve linear system of equations:
    sys.W(sys.SupCond) = D(sys.SupCond,sys.SupCond) \ ...
        sys.F(sys.SupCond);
end
```

The support conditions are applied in lines 14 and 15 of Lst. A. 12 by logical indexing as described in section A.5.2. The linear system of equations is solved with MATLAB's solver "matrix left divide" (<br>).

Listing A.13: The class method CalculateEI of the class SSEsystem

```
function EI = CalculateEI(sys,l)
    kappa = sys.cs.kx;
    % define vector signum containing the signs of the
    % imaginary part of kappa:
    signum=zeros(size(kappa));
    signum(imag(kappa)>=0)=1;
    signum(imag (kappa)<0)=-1;
    % compute kappa__p
    kappa_p = kappa.*signum;
    % matrices i,j contain indices of kappa for subsequent computation of EI:
    i = meshgrid(1:length(kappa));
    j = i.';
    % compute EI:
    EI = (1./(1i*(kappa(i)+kappa(j)))).*...
        (exp((1i)*l*(kappa(i)+kappa_p(i)+kappa(j)+kappa_p (j))) - ...
        (exp((1i)*l*(-kappa(i)+kappa_p(i)-kappa(j)+kappa_p(j)))));
end
```

Listing A.14: The class method CalculateTheta of the class IsoQuadCrossSection

```
function CalculateTheta(cs)
    PHI = cS.phi_R;
    cs.Theta = zeros(size(PHI,2));
    e = {cs.Epsilon00,cs.Epsilon01;cs.Epsilon10,cs.Epsilon11};
    for p = 0:1:1
        for q = 0:1:1
            kappa_p = diag((1i*cs.kx).^p);
            kappa_q = diag((1i*cs.kx).^q);
            cs.Theta = cs.Theta + kappa_p * PHI.' * e{p+1,q+1} * PHI * kappa_q
                ;
            end
    end
    cs.Theta = cs.Theta - cs.Omega^2 * PHI.' * cs.M_consistent * PHI;
```

```
end
```

The class SSEsystem contains additional methods for the post processing. The figures in the next section are plotted with these methods. The source code for the post processing is not printed in this thesis for brevity.

## A. 6 Implementation of the Calculation of the Mapping Matrix $\underline{\underline{R}}$

The mapping matrix $\underline{\underline{R}}$ is used in chapter 5 in order to describe the cross sectional displacements defined on a fine mesh in a more coarse mesh like in the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{V}_{\mathrm{coarse}}=\underline{\underline{R}} \underline{V}_{\mathrm{fine}} \tag{A.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each node in the coarse mesh its natural coordinates $\xi$ and $\eta$ in its owner element of the fine mesh have to be determined and the element shape functions $N_{i}$ have to be evaluated. The values of $N_{i}$ have to be allocated in the matrix $\underline{\underline{R}}$ so that Eq. (A.6.1) is fulfilled.

A displacement vector $\underline{V}$ contains for each node the displacements $u, v$ and $w$ in all three spatial directions in the following pattern:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{V}=\left[u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}, u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2} \ldots\right]^{T} \tag{A.6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, each value of $N_{i}$ has to be used three times in $\underline{\underline{R}}$, once for each spatial direction.
Listing A. 15 displays the MATLAB code of the class method for the determination of $\underline{\underline{R}}$. The assembling of the values of the shape functions is written in lines 89 to 96 . This method is implemented in a class called SSE. This class is not described in detail in this thesis. It is a "light" version of the class SSEsystem described in section A.5.1, which allows only the calculation of a single spectral super element with displacement constraints and load application at its two ends. The properties of the class SSE are similar to those of the class SSEsystem. SSEsystem contains just a few more which are necessary for the system definition.

Listing A.15: Class method CalculateR of the class SSE

```
function CalculateR(sse)
    % 1. Determination of the owner elements for all nodes of the
```

```
% coarse mesh
OwnerElements = zeros(size(sse.NodesCoarse,1),1); %column array which will
% contain the owner element number for each coarse node
for i = 1:size(sse.NodesCoarse,1) %loop over all nodes in the coarse mesh
    CurrentNode = sse.NodesCoarse(i,:); % y and z coordinate of current
        node
    OwnerElmDetermined = false;
    j = 0;
    while OwnerElmDetermined == false
        j = j+1;
        % Check wheter any of the elements found as owner elment
        if j > size(sse.cs.f_i,1)
            error(['Could not determine an owner element for'...
                    'node number ' num2str(i) '. This node is located'...
                    'probably outside of the fine mesh.'])
            end
            OwnerElmDetermined = CrossProductTest(sse.cs.f_i(j,:),CurrentNode)
            ;
            % nested function CrossProductTest see below
            if OwnerElmDetermined == true
            OwnerElements(i)=j;
        end
    end
end
% 2. Analytical inverse mapping + storage of evaluated shape
% functions in Matrix R
sse.R = zeros(size(sse.NodesCoarse,1)*3,sse.cs.nDOFs);
%Number of DOFs is three times the number of nodes in the cross section
for i = 1:size(sse.NodesCoarse,1) %loop over all nodes in the coarse mesh
        CurrentNode = sse.NodesCoarse(i,:); % y and z coordinate of current
        % node of the coarse mesh
        OwnerElement = sse.cs.f_i(OwnerElements(i),:); % Node numbers of the
        %owner element in the fine mesh
        % Coordinates of the Nodes of the owner element:
        y1 = sse.cs.v_i(OwnerElement(1),1);
        y2 = sse.cs.v_i(OwnerElement (2),1);
        y3 = sse.cs.v_i(OwnerElement (3),1);
        y4 = sse.cs.v_i(OwnerElement (4),1);
        z1 = sse.cs.v_i(OwnerElement(1),2);
        z2 = sse.cs.v_i(OwnerElement(2),2);
        z3 = sse.cs.v_i(OwnerElement (3),2);
```

```
z4 = sse.cs.v_i(OwnerElement (4),2);
% factors in the y-equation
a0=(y1+y2+y3+y4)/4;
a1 = (-y1+y2+y3-y4)/4;
a2=(-y1-y2+y3+y4)/4;
a3 = (y1-y2+y3-y4)/4;
% factors in the z-equation
b0 = (z1+z2+z3+z4)/4;
b1 = (-z1+z2+z3-z4)/4;
b2 = (-z1-z2+z3+z4)/4;
b3 = (z1-z2+z3-z4)/4;
% y0 and z0 coordinate of the current node
y0 = CurrentNode (1)-a0;
z0 = CurrentNode (2)-b0;
% factors in the quadratic equation for eta
A = b2*a3-b3*a2;
B}=-z0*a3-b1*a2+b2*a1+b3*y0
C = bl*y0-al*z0;
% Solving the quadratic equation for natural coordinate eta
if A == 0 % linear equation in this case
    eta = -C/B;
else % quadratic equation
    eta = (-B+sqrt (B^2-4*A*C))/(2*A);
    if eta < -1 || eta > 1
        eta = (-B-sqrt (B^2-4*A*C))/(2*A);
    end
    if eta < -1 || eta > 1
        error(['Could not determine a valid natural coordinate eta for
            'node number' num2str(i) 'in the inverse mapping procedure
                .'])
    end
end
% Natural coordinate xi depends on eta:
xi = (y0-a2*eta)/(a1+a3*eta);
% Shape functions evaluated at natural coordinates xi and
% eta:
N1 = (1-xi)*(1-eta)/4;
N2 = (1+xi)*(1-eta)/4;
N3 = (1+xi)*(1+eta)/4;
N4 = (1-xi)*(1+eta)/4;
% Assignment of the evaluated shape functions to the R
```

\% matrix: Each shape function has to be used three times -
\% once for each DOF $u, v, w$ at one node.
sse.R((i-1)*3+1: (i-1)*3+3, (OwnerElement (1)-1)*3+1:...
(OwnerElement (1) -1 ) *3+3) = eye (3) *N1;
sse.R((i-1)*3+1: (i-1)*3+3, (OwnerElement (2) -1 ) * $3+1$ :...
(OwnerElement (2) -1 ) *3+3) = eye (3) *N2;
sse.R((i-1)*3+1: (i-1)*3+3, (OwnerElement (3)-1)*3+1:...
(OwnerElement (3) - 1 ) *3+3) = eye (3) *N3;
sse.R((i-1)*3+1: (i-1)*3+3, (OwnerElement (4)-1)*3+1:...
(OwnerElement (4) -1 ) *3+3) = eye (3) *N4;
end
function $\operatorname{scp}=\operatorname{scp}(P 0, P 1, P 2)$
\% Calculates the scalar cross product in 2D-plane of the vectors
\% P0-P1 and P0-P2
\% INPUT: y and $z$ cooridnates in a row array for each point
$\operatorname{scp}=(P 1(1,1)-P 0(1,1)) *(P 2(1,2)-P 0(1,2))-(P 1(1,2)-P 0(1,2)) * \ldots$
( $\mathrm{P} 2(1,1)-\mathrm{P} 0(1,1))$;
end
function IsOwnerElement $=$ CrossProductTest (Element, Node)
\% Determines with the help of the cross product test (Silva
\% et al. 2009) whether a node is located in an element.
\% INPUT:
\% Element .. Array with the node numbers in the fine
\% mesh of the element to be tested
\% Node .. Array with the pysical coordinates of the
\% node to be tested
ElementNode1 = sse.cs.v_i(Element (1),:);
ElementNode2 = sse.cs.v_i(Element (2),:);
ElementNode3 = sse.cs.v_i(Element (3), :);
ElementNode4 = sse.cs.v_i(Element(4),:);
if $\operatorname{scp}(E l e m e n t N o d e 1, E l e m e n t N o d e 4, N o d e) * .$.
scp(ElementNode1,Node,ElementNode2) < 0
IsOwnerElement = false;
return
end
if $\operatorname{scp}(E l e m e n t N o d e 2, E l e m e n t N o d e 1, N o d e) * . .$.
scp(ElementNode2,Node,ElementNode3) < 0
IsOwnerElement = false;
return
end
if scp(ElementNode3,ElementNode2,Node)*...
scp(ElementNode3,Node,ElementNode4) < 0

```
                    IsOwnerElement = false;
            return
            end
            if scp(ElementNode4,ElementNode3,Node)*...
                scp(ElementNode4,Node,ElementNode1) < 0
            IsOwnerElement = false;
            return
                end
                            IsOwnerElement = true;
end
end
```
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