BALTIC+

Geodetic SAR for Baltic Height System Unification and Baltic Sea Level Research

<u>Th. Gruber</u>⁽¹⁾, J. Ågren⁽²⁾, D. Angermann⁽³⁾, A. Ellmann⁽⁴⁾, C. Gisinger⁽⁵⁾, J. Nastula⁽⁶⁾, M. Poutanen⁽⁷⁾, M. Schlaak⁽¹⁾, F. Nilfouroushan⁽²⁾, S. Varbla⁽⁴⁾, R. Zdunek⁽⁶⁾, S. Marila⁽⁷⁾, A. Engfeldt⁽²⁾, T. Saari⁽⁷⁾, Anna Świątek⁽⁶⁾, X. Oikonomidou⁽¹⁾



⁽¹⁾ Technical University of Munich, Astronomical and Physical Geodesy
 ⁽²⁾ Lantmäteriet, Swedish Mapping, Cadastral and Land Registration Authority
 ⁽³⁾ Technical University of Munich, German Geodetic Research Institute
 ⁽⁴⁾ Tallinn University of Technology, School of Engineering
 ⁽⁵⁾ German Aerospace Center, Remote Sensing Technology Institute
 ⁽⁶⁾ Space Research Centre, Polish Academy of Sciences
 ⁽⁷⁾ Finnish Geospatial Research Institute

The project was carried out by the project team under ESA contract No. 4000126830/19/I-BG "Baltic+ Theme No. 5 – Geodetic SAR for Baltic Height".

IAG 2021 – Scientific Assembly of the International Association of Geodesy 28 June – 2. July 2021, Beijing, China

Scientific Challenges & Objectives

Objective 1

Connect tide gauge markers geometrically with GNSS network by geodetic SAR technique to determine vertical motion and to correct tide gauge readings.

Objective 2

Unify height system at tide gauges to compute absolute physical heights with respect to a global reference. Local geoid modelling per tide gauge station.

Objective 3

Combination of geometric and physical heights in a common reference frame to determine absolute sea level heights and to connect height systems.



Reference: Gruber et al (2020), Remote Sensing 2020, 12, 3747; <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12223747</u>

Geodetic SAR for Ellipsoidal Height Determination

Geodetic SAR Technique

- SAR Image Acquisition for SAR Targets.
- Point Target Analysis to determine Range and Azimuth as primary Observables at Sub-Pixel Level.
- Applying Corrections for Atmosphere, Geodynamics and System Calibration to Observables.
- Solve Range-Doppler Equation to estimate Coordinates in the ITRF2014.

(For details see presentation by M. Schlaak, C. Gisinger, T. Gruber in session 4.1)

Active SAR Targets (Electronic Corner Reflectors - ECR)





Test Network Baltic Sea (Estonia, Finland, Poland, Sweden & Germany)



Test Network Baltic Sea (Estonia, Finland, Poland, Sweden & Germany)

| Location | Local Tie | Operational Since | No. SAR Scenes (Status 31.12.2020) |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Mårtsbo, Sweden | GNSS | 07.01.2020 | 218 |
| Oberpfaffenhofen 112, Germany | GNSS | 10.01.2020 | 85 |
| Oberpfaffenhofen 113, Germany | GNSS | 10.01.2020 | 177 |
| Emäsalo, Finland | Tide Gauge | 25.01.2020 | 185 |
| Loviisa, Finland | GNSS | 11.02.2020 | 106 |
| Loksa, Estonia | Tide Gauge | 16.02.2020 | 164 |
| Vergi, Estonia | GNSS | 01.03.2020 | 81 |
| Władysławowo, Poland | Tide Gauge, GNSS | 21.03.2020 | 142 |
| Rauma, Finland | Tide Gauge | 26.04.2020 | 76 |
| Łeba, Poland | Tide Gauge, GNSS | 18.05.2020 | 116 |
| Forsmark/Kobben, Sweden | Tide Gauge | 01.06.2020 | 97 |
| Spikarna/Vinberget, Sweden | Tide Gauge, GNSS | 27.09.2020 | 57 |

- Several experiments were planned to link GNSS and/or Tide Gauge Stations with Electronic Corner Reflectors and to link Tide Gauges across the Baltic Sea.
- Difficulties to setup the network due to COVID19 and to get radio frequency licenses from national authorities.
- Several issues with ECRs happened during the project: Power supply problems; Water intrusion due to weak sealing of instrument; ECR flooded by ocean waves during storm.



Project Results – SAR Data Analysis

SAR Data Acquisition & Point Target Analysis

Acquisition Success Rate for all Stations: 84.2%

Ascending Image Sample

- Signal Peak Power in average 90 dB, well above 81 dB threshold.
 Image shows peak power time series for Emäsalo, Finland.
- Sentinel-1 SLC image examples showing the ECR point responses (radar backscatter in dB) for ascending and descending acquisitions.





Descending Image Sample

Left columns: Original Sentinel-1 SLC SAR image samples showing an area of 150 m x 150m around ECR peak marked in green. Right columns: Image areas of 32 x 32 pixels oversampled by a factor of 32 as generated by point target analysis to extract the ECR peak position

Project Results – Geometric Positioning (SAR & GNSS &)

SAR Positioning

 Internal accuracy from least squares estimation about 1 cm per 3D coordinate axis.



GNSS Positioning

- Baltic Sea GNSS stations (IGS: large square, EPN: small square, EUPOS: red square. Network adjustment using the Bernese GNSS Software in Double Differences (DD) mode.
- The final coordinate solutions for all stations are computed in terms of 3D Cartesian Coordinates in ITRF2014 for epoch 2020.50. RMS of coordinate solutions below 1 mm per 3D axis.

Confidence ellipses for all 12 stations using all available observations in the year 2020. The confidence is shown in the local North, East (right image), and East, height (left image) coordinate frame. (See presentation by M. Schlaak, C. Gisinger, T. Gruber in session 4.1)



Project Results – Tide Gauge Data & Geoid

1 1 202

Tide Gauge Data Processing

- Tide gauge readings for all stations are provided in EVRS.
- Hourly data checked for outliers and filtered.
- Pre-processed tide gauge data series for year 2020 was used for computing the annual mean sea level estimates in the common EVRS.

Regional Geoid based on common Equipotential Surface

- Least squares modification of Stokes' formula with additive corrections (LSMSA) is used.
- GOCO06S as satellite-only reference model.
- Computation of topographic RTM effects based on the NKG2015 Digital Elevation Model is used.
- Land uplift correction is applied. Geoid is provided for epoch 2020.5.

Gravity data selected to compute the gravimetric quasigeoid model. Data include gravity datasets of the NKG2015 project from Sweden, Finland and Estonia (plus some other open datasets), new FAMOS marine gravity data from the same countries and the Polish gravity data currently in the NKG2015 gravity database. Pseudo observations (5' x5') generated by EIGEN-6C4 are plotted as blue dots.



Reference Frames and Standards

- Standards and models for processing the different observations are applied according to IERS Conventions 2010.
- Technique-specific processing standards are applied for the individual observation techniques
- All ellipsoidal coordinates are computed with respect to the conventional GRS80 ellipsoid.

Absolute Height Experiment: GNSS vs. ECR

 Comparison of SAR positioning heights at ECR stations to co-located permanent GNSS station height using local tie observed by ground geodetic techniques between both reference points.

| 305358 Bept d wet. rem, 20 305364 biur 305364 biur abiur biur biur biur biur biur | ECR Station | GNSS Ellipsoidal Height [m] | Local Tie GNSS to ECR [m] | ECR Ellipsoidal Height computed [m] | ECR Ellipsoidal Height observed [m] | ECR Ellipsoidal Height Difference computed – observed [m] |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| | Władysławowo | +34.758 | -0.135 | +34.623 | +34.640 | -0,017 |
| | Łeba | +37.886 | -3.932 | +33.954 | +34.389 | -0.435 |
| | Vergi | +30.069 | -0.996 | +29.073 | +28.966 | +0.107 |
| | Loviisa | +49.879 | -3.574 | +46.305 | +46.840 | -0.535 |
| | Mårtsbo | +75.558 | -0.032 | +75.526 | +75.477 | +0.049 |
| Local tie (levelling) in Władysławowo, Poland | Spikarna/ Vinberget | +150.206 | -0.998 | +149.208 | +149.654 | -0.446 |



Absolute Height Experiment: Physical Heights & Absolute Sea Level

Physical heights of tide gauge zero marker above common vertical reference surface (regional geoid solution).
 Computation physical height of tide gauge zero marker: $H^{TG} = h^{ECR} + \Delta h^{TG}_{ECR} - N^{TG}$ Computation absolute sea level height at tide gauge: $S^{TG} = h^{ECR} + \Delta h^{TG}_{ECR} - N^{TG} + z^{TG} = H^{TG} + z^{TG}$

| al benchmark | ECR Station | ECR Ellipsoidal Height observed [m] | Local Tie ECR to Tide Gauge [m] | Tide Gauge Geoid Height [m] | Tide Gauge Physical Height [m] | Tide Gauge Reading [m] | Tide Gauge Absolute Sea Level [m] |
|---|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| TG ECX a runicelanian Vecenooodunar ECX | Władysławowo | +34.640 | -5.638 | +28.883 | +0.119 | +0.253 | +0.372 |
| | Łeba | +34.389 | -3.049 | +30.787 | +0.553 | +0.224 | +0.777 |
| | Loksa | +20.076 | -2.639 | +16.821 | +0.616 | +0.343 | +0.959 |
| | Emäsalo | +34.293 | -17.816 | +16.509 | -0.032 | +0.338 | +0.306 |
| | Rauma | +24.082 | -5.007 | +19.096 | -0.021 | +0.258 | +0.237 |
| | Forsmark/ Kobben | +25.659 | -2.961 | +22.381 | +0.317 | +0.188 | +0.505 |
| | Spikarna/ Vinberget | +149.654 | -123.523 | +25.065 | +1.066 | +0.175 | +1.241 |

Local tie (levelling) in Loksa, Estonia



IAG 2021 – Scientific Assembly, 28 June – 2. July 2021, Beijing, China

Initial b

Relative Baseline Experiment: GNSS Baseline Height Difference vs. ECR Height Difference

 Relative height differences are compared between GNSS stations and those observed with the ECR's. There are several of such baselines available, which can be observed over long or short distances. For the relative comparisons between station A and station B the following formulas are applied.

$$\Delta h^{GNSS} = h^{GNSS-B} - h^{GNSS-A}$$

$$\Delta h^{ECR} = \left(h^{ECR-B} - \Delta h^{ECR-B}_{GNSS-B}\right) - \left(h^{ECR-A} - \Delta h^{ECR-A}_{GNSS-A}\right)$$

$$\Delta \Delta h^{GNSS-ECR} = \Delta h^{GNSS} - \Delta h^{ECR}$$
Spikarna





| from Station A | to Station B | GNSS Ellipsoidal Height Difference [m] | ECR Ellipsoidal Height Difference [m] | Difference Ellipsoidal Height Difference [m] |
|-------------------|--------------------|--|---|--|
| Władysławowo | Łeba | +3.128 | +3.546 | -0.418 |
| Władysławowo | Vergi | -4.689 | -4.813 | +0.124 |
| Władysławowo | Loviisa | +15.121 | +15.639 | -0.518 |
| Władysławowo | Mårtsbo | +40.800 | +40.734 | +0.066 |
| Władysławowo | Spikarna/Vinberget | +115.448 | +115.877 | -0.429 |
| Łeba | Vergi | -7.817 | -8.359 | +0.542 |
| Łeba | Loviisa | +11.993 | +12.093 | -0.100 |
| Łeba | Mårtsbo | +37.672 | +37.188 | +0.484 |
| Łeba | Spikarna/Vinberget | +112.320 | +112.331 | -0.011 |
| Vergi | Loviisa | +19.810 | +20.452 | -0.642 |
| Vergi | Mårtsbo | +45.489 | +45.547 | -0.058 |
| Vergi | Spikarna/Vinberget | +120.137 | +120.690 | -0.553 |
| Loviisa | Mårtsbo | +25.679 | +25.095 | +0.584 |
| Loviisa | Spikarna/Vinberget | +100.327 | +100.238 | +0.089 |
| Mårtsbo | Spikarna/Vinberget | +74.648 | +75.143 | -0.495 |



Relative Baseline Experiment: Tide Gauge Baseline Sea Level Difference vs. ECR Tide Gauge Height Difference

 Relative absolute sea level differences are compared between tide gauge stations and those observed with the ECR's. For the relative comparisons between station A and station B the following formulas are applied. The result corresponds to physical height differences between station A and station B.

$$\begin{split} \Delta z^{TG} &= z^{TG-B} - z^{TG-A} \\ \Delta S^{TG} &= S^{TG-B} - S^{TG-A} \\ S^{TG-X} &= H^{TG-X} + z^{TG-X} \\ \Delta \Delta S^{TG} &= \Delta z^{TG} - \Delta S^{TG} = \Delta \Delta H^{TG} \end{split}$$





| from | to | Tide Gauge Height | Absolute Sea Level | Difference Sea |
|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Station A | Station B | Difference [m] | Height Difference | Level/Height |
| | | | [m] | Difference [m] |
| Władysławowo | Łeba | -0.029 | +0.405 | -0.434 |
| Władysławowo | Loksa | +.0.090 | +0.587 | -0.497 |
| Władysławowo | Emäsalo | +0.085 | -0.066 | +0.151 |
| Władysławowo | Rauma | +0.005 | -0.135 | +0.140 |
| Władysławowo | Forsmark/Kobben | -0.065 | +0.133 | -0.198 |
| Władysławowo | Spikarna/Vinberget | -0.078 | +0.869 | -0.947 |
| Łeba | Loksa | +0.119 | +0.182 | -0.063 |
| Łeba | Emäsalo | +0.114 | -0.471 | +0.585 |
| Łeba | Rauma | +0.034 | -0.540 | +0.574 |
| Łeba | Forsmark/Kobben | -0.036 | -0.272 | +0.236 |
| Łeba | Spikarna/Vinberget | -0.049 | +0.464 | -0.513 |
| Loksa | Emäsalo | -0.005 | -0.653 | +0.648 |
| Loksa | Rauma | -0.085 | -0.722 | +0.637 |
| Loksa | Forsmark/Kobben | -0.155 | -0.454 | +0.299 |
| Loksa | Spikarna/Vinberget | -0.168 | +0.282 | -0.450 |
| Emäsalo | Rauma | -0.080 | -0.069 | -0.011 |
| Emäsalo | Forsmark/Kobben | -0.150 | +0.199 | -0.349 |
| Emäsalo | Spikarna/Vinberget | -0.163 | +0.935 | -1.098 |
| Rauma | Forsmark/Kobben | -0.070 | +0.268 | -0.338 |
| Rauma | Spikarna/Vinberget | -0.083 | +1.004 | -1.087 |
| Forsmark/Kobben | Spikarna/Vinberget | -0.013 | +0.736 | -0.749 |



Summary and Conclusions

- Test network with 12 ECRs installed and operated since Jan. 2020 in the Baltic Sea area to observe geometric heights. Locations to be selected very carefully to avoid artificial reflectors.
- Internal accuracy for average ECR positions at a level of a few cm. Minimum temporal resolution 1 month of data.
- GNSS coordinates, tide gauge sea level records and regional geoid heights computed with well established procedures with cm accuracy when consistent reference frames and standards are applied.
- Absolute differences between ECR and GNSS heights between a few cm and 50 cm.
- ECR electronic delay characteristics turned out to be less controllable than anticipated. Separate calibration for each ECR is required.
- **Operability** of ECRs needs to be improved: Power supply, sealing, GUI, firmware.
- ECR height **uncertainties** fully **propagate into absolute sea level** and height system observations.
- ECRs could be a **useful supporting technique** collocated with GNSS stations.
- Valuable data set has been compiled, which offers the possibility to enhance methods and procedures in order to develop the SAR positioning technique towards operability