



Cardiac arrest in takotsubo syndrome: results from the InterTAK Registry

Sebastiano Gili^{1,2}, Victoria L. Cammann¹, Susanne A. Schlossbauer¹, Ken Kato¹, Fabrizio D'Ascenzo², Davide Di Vece¹, Stjepan Jurisic¹, Jozef Micek¹, Slayman Obeid¹, Beatrice Bacchi¹, Konrad A. Szawan¹, Flurina Famos¹, Annahita Sarcon³, Rena Levinson^{1,4}, Katharina J. Ding¹, Burkhardt Seifert⁵, Olivia Lenoir¹, Eduardo Bossone⁶, Rodolfo Citro⁷, Jennifer Franke⁸, L. Christian Napp⁹, Milosz Jaguszewski¹⁰, Michel Noutsias¹¹, Thomas Münzel¹², Maike Knorr¹², Susanne Heiner¹², Hugo A. Katus⁸, Christof Burgdorf¹³, Heribert Schunkert^{14,15}, Holger Thiele¹⁶, Johann Bauersachs⁹, Carsten Tschöpe¹⁷, Burkert M. Pieske¹⁷, Lawrence Rajan¹⁸, Guido Michels¹⁹, Roman Pfister¹⁹, Alessandro Cuneo²⁰, Claudius Jacobshagen²¹, Gerd Hasenfuß²², Mahir Karakas^{22,23}, Wolfgang Koenig^{14,15}, Wolfgang Rottbauer²⁴, Samir M. Said²⁵, Ruediger C. Braun-Dullaeus²⁵, Adrian Banning²⁶, Florim Cuculi²⁷, Richard Kobza²⁷, Thomas A. Fischer²⁸, Tuija Vasankari²⁹, K.E. Juhani Airaksinen²⁹, Grzegorz Opolski³⁰, Rafal Dworakowski³¹, Philip MacCarthy³¹, Christoph Kaiser³², Stefan Osswald³², Leonarda Galiuto³³, Filippo Crea³³, Wolfgang Dichtl³⁴, Klaus Empen^{35,36}, Stephan B. Felix^{35,36}, Clément Delmas³⁷, Olivier Lairez³⁷, Ibrahim El-Battrawy^{38,39}, Ibrahim Akin^{38,39}, Martin Borggrefe^{38,39}, Ekaterina Gilyarova⁴⁰, Alexandra Shilova⁴⁰, Mikhail Gilyarov⁴⁰, John D. Horowitz⁴¹, Martin Kozel⁴², Petr Tousek⁴², Petr Widimsky⁴², David E. Winchester⁴³, Christian Ukena⁴⁴, Fiorenzo Gaita², Carlo Di Mario⁴⁵, Manfred B. Wischnewsky⁴⁶, Jeroen J. Bax⁴⁷, Abhiram Prasad⁴⁸, Michael Böhm⁴⁴, Frank Ruschitzka¹, Thomas F. Lüscher^{49,50}, Jelena R. Ghadri¹, and Christian Templin^{1*}

¹Department of Cardiology, University Heart Center, University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland; ²Division of Cardiology, Department of Medical Sciences, AOU Citta della Salute e della Scienza, University of Turin, Turin, Italy; ³Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles CA, USA; ⁴Division of Biological Sciences, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA; ⁵Division of Biostatistics, Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; ⁶Division of Cardiology 'Antonio Cardarelli' Hospital, Naples, Italy; ⁷Heart Department, University Hospital 'San Giovanni di Dio e Ruggi d'Aragona', Salerno, Italy; ⁸Department of Cardiology, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany; ⁹Department of Cardiology and Angiology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany; ¹⁰First Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Gdansk, Gdansk, Poland; ¹¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine III, Angiology and Intensive Medical Care, University Hospital Halle, Martin-Luther-University Halle, Halle (Saale), Germany; ¹²Center for Cardiology, Cardiology 1, University Medical Center Mainz, Mainz, Germany; ¹³Heart and Vascular Centre Bad Bevensen, Bad Bevensen, Germany; ¹⁴Deutsches Herzzentrum München, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany; ¹⁵DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), Partner Site Munich Heart Alliance, Munich, Germany; ¹⁶Department of Internal Medicine/Cardiology, University of Leipzig—Heart Center, Leipzig, Germany; ¹⁷Department of Cardiology, Charité, Campus Rudolf Virchow, Berlin, Germany; ¹⁸TJ Health Partners Heart and Vascular, Glasgow, KY, USA; ¹⁹Department of Internal Medicine III, Heart Center University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; ²⁰Krankenhaus 'Maria Hilf' Medizinische Klinik, Stadtlohn, Germany; ²¹Clinic for Cardiology and Pneumology, Georg August University Goettingen, Goettingen, Germany; ²²Department of General and Interventional Cardiology, University Heart Center Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany; ²³DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), Partner Site Hamburg/Kiel/Luebeck, Hamburg, Germany; ²⁴Department of Internal Medicine II—Cardiology,

This CLP has been handled by our Guest Editor Prof. Anthony DeMaria.

* Corresponding author. Tel: +41 (0)44 255 9585, Email: christian.templin@usz.ch

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

University of Ulm, Medical Center, Ulm, Germany; ²⁵Internal Medicine/Cardiology, Angiology, and Pneumology, Magdeburg University, Magdeburg, Germany; ²⁶Department of Cardiology, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford University Hospitals, Oxford, UK; ²⁷Department of Cardiology, Kantonsspital Lucerne, Lucerne, Switzerland; ²⁸Department of Cardiology, Kantonsspital Winterthur, Winterthur, Switzerland; ²⁹Heart Center, Turku University Hospital and University of Turku, Turku, Finland; ³⁰Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland; ³¹Department of Cardiology, Kings College Hospital, Kings Health Partners, London, UK; ³²Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland; ³³Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart Rome, Rome, Italy; ³⁴University Hospital for Internal Medicine III (Cardiology and Angiology), Medical University Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria; ³⁵Department of Internal Medicine B, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany; ³⁶DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), Partner Site Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany; ³⁷Department of Cardiology and Cardiac Imaging Center, University Hospital of Rangueil, Toulouse, France; ³⁸First Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University Medical Centre Mannheim (UMM) University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany; ³⁹DZHK (German Center for Cardiovascular Research), Partner Site, Heidelberg-Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany; ⁴⁰Intensive coronary care Unit, Moscow City Hospital # 1 named after N. Pirogov, Moscow, Russia; ⁴¹Discipline of Medicine, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia; ⁴²Third Medical Faculty, Charles University in Prague and University Hospital Kralovske Vinohrady, Prague, Czech Republic; ⁴³Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA; ⁴⁴Klinik für Innere Medizin III, Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes, Homburg/Saar, Germany; ⁴⁵Structural Interventional Cardiology, University Hospital Careggi, Florence, Italy; ⁴⁶FB Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany; ⁴⁷Department of Cardiology, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands; ⁴⁸Department of Cardiovascular Diseases, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; ⁴⁹Center for Molecular Cardiology, Schlieren Campus, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; and ⁵⁰Royal Brompton and Harefield Hospitals Trust and Imperial College, London, UK

Received 15 March 2018; revised 11 September 2018; editorial decision 7 March 2019; accepted 12 March 2019; online publish-ahead-of-print 16 May 2019

See page 2152 for the editorial comment on this article (doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz324)

Aims

We aimed to evaluate the frequency, clinical features, and prognostic implications of cardiac arrest (CA) in takotsubo syndrome (TTS).

Methods and results

We reviewed the records of patients with CA and known heart rhythm from the International Takotsubo Registry. The main outcomes were 60-day and 5-year mortality. In addition, predictors of mortality and predictors of CA during the acute TTS phase were assessed. Of 2098 patients, 103 patients with CA and known heart rhythm during CA were included. Compared with patients without CA, CA patients were more likely to be younger, male, and have apical TTS, atrial fibrillation (AF), neurologic comorbidities, physical triggers, and longer corrected QT-interval and lower left ventricular ejection fraction on admission. In all, 57.1% of patients with CA at admission had ventricular fibrillation/tachycardia, while 73.7% of patients with CA in the acute phase had asystole/pulseless electrical activity. Patients with CA showed higher 60-day (40.3% vs. 4.0%, $P < 0.001$) and 5-year mortality (68.9% vs. 16.7%, $P < 0.001$) than patients without CA. T-wave inversion and intracranial haemorrhage were independently associated with higher 60-day mortality after CA, whereas female gender was associated with lower 60-day mortality. In the acute phase, CA occurred less frequently in females and more frequently in patients with AF, ST-segment elevation, and higher C-reactive protein on admission.

Conclusions

Cardiac arrest is relatively frequent in TTS and is associated with higher short- and long-term mortality. Clinical and electrocardiographic parameters independently predicted mortality after CA.

Keywords

Takotsubo syndrome • Broken heart syndrome • Cardiac arrest • Acute heart failure • Outcome

Introduction

Takotsubo syndrome (TTS) has long been considered a benign disorder.¹ However, in recent years it has been uncovered that TTS can be associated with different complications including cardiogenic shock and life-threatening arrhythmias.^{2,3} Furthermore, sudden death due to cardiac arrest (CA) is an underestimated threat in TTS. Although 9% of TTS patients require resuscitation,⁴ CA in TTS has not been comprehensively investigated.⁵ Life-threatening arrhythmias, including bradyarrhythmias, ventricular fibrillation (VF), and sustained/non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (VT) occur in 3.4–12.2% of TTS patients.^{6–8} However, these reports are based on small scale studies and do not directly explore CA in TTS. Indeed, TTS may be the cause or the consequence of CA.⁹ The hyperadrenergic milieu (endogenous or iatrogenic) associated with CA may trigger TTS, and TTS features like corrected QT-interval (QTc) prolongation and diffuse myocardial oedema could lead to life-threatening arrhythmias.^{10,11} Moreover, the long-term implications of CA in TTS

remain unclear. Although TTS is characterized by recovery of wall motion abnormality and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), it is unclear whether successfully resuscitated TTS patients with CA subsequently experience adverse outcomes. We therefore aimed to investigate the incidence and impact of CA in TTS.

Methods

Study population

The International Takotsubo Registry (InterTAK Registry, www.takotsubo-registry.com) is a multicentre prospective and retrospective registry¹³ encompassing 35 centres from 11 countries. Data for this study were collected in accordance with institutional review board regulations. From 2011 to 2017, a core team of investigators from University Hospital Zurich reviewed the medical records of patients diagnosed with TTS between 1998 and 2017. The methods have been extensively reported in a previous study.^{4,13}

In brief, TTS was diagnosed based on modified Mayo Clinic Diagnostic Criteria^{4,14}: (i) transient abnormal left ventricular wall motion beyond the perfusion territory of a single epicardial coronary artery; (ii) no obstructive coronary artery disease or acute plaque rupture on angiography; (iii) new electrocardiographic abnormalities or elevated cardiac troponins; and (iv) no myocarditis. Exceptions included i) patients with coexisting coronary artery disease that can not explain the wall motion abnormalities ii) patients with wall motion abnormalities restricted to a single coronary artery territory but matching all other criteria iii) patients who died during the acute phase before recovery of wall motion abnormality was documented. In patients with uncertain TTS diagnoses, the medical records were reviewed by all core-team members, and a decision was reached based on consensus.

The data of all TTS patients who underwent cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) were reviewed, and those with confirmed CA and known underlying heart rhythm were included in the present analysis. Cardiac arrest was defined as the absence of a palpable pulse in an unconscious patient requiring CPR to restore or attempt to restore systemic circulation.¹⁵ Ventricular fibrillation, pulseless VT, or organized electric activity other than VT [pulseless electrical activity (PEA) or asystole] on the electrocardiogram registered during the acute event were recorded.¹⁶ Patients were divided into those presenting with CA (i.e. CA as the first manifestation) and those subsequently developing CA during the acute phase of TTS (i.e. no CA at presentation). A subgroup analysis was also conducted including out-of-hospital vs. in-hospital CA. Information regarding implantable/wearable cardioverter defibrillators and pacemakers was recorded.

Study outcomes

Data regarding in-hospital complications and management were collected. The main outcomes were 60-day and 5-year mortality. Independent predictors of mortality and CA during the acute phase were investigated. A 5-year landmark survival analysis was conducted for patients who survived the first 60 days after TTS.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are presented as means and standard deviations or medians and inter-quartile ranges (IQRs). Categorical variables are provided with percentages. Continuous variables were compared using the Mann–Whitney *U*-test, whereas categorical variables were compared using the Pearson χ^2 test or Fisher exact test. Kaplan–Meier curves were established to provide survival estimates, and group differences were assessed using the log-rank test. A univariable Cox regression analysis was performed to identify predictors of 60-day mortality in patients with CA. Covariates with $P < 0.05$ were included in a multivariable Cox regression model to identify independent predictors of 60-day mortality; missing values were replaced by multiple regression imputation. Another univariable Cox regression analysis was performed to identify predictors of CA in the acute phase among patients without CA at presentation. A two-sided P -value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Hazard ratios are reported with 95% confidence intervals. All analyses were performed with SPSS v23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), and graphs were compiled with Prism 7 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA). The study is registered on clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01947621).

Results

Clinical characteristics

During the study period, 2098 patients were included in the InterTAK Registry (Figure 1 and *Take home figure*). Of the 170 (8.1%)

patients who received CPR, 46 patients without documented CA were excluded. Of the remaining 124 patients with documented CA (5.9% of overall cohort, 72.9% of patients requiring CPR), 103 had data on the heart rhythm during CA (Figure 1).

Compared with patients without CA, those with CA were younger and more frequently male (Table 1). Cardiac arrest patients had higher heart rate, lower systolic blood pressure, longer QTc on admission, higher maximal creatine kinase, and lower LVEF. They more frequently had atrial fibrillation (AF: 21.1% vs. 6.4%, $P < 0.001$) and apical TTS.

Cardiac arrest in relation to TTS onset

Eighty-four (81.6%) patients had CA at presentation and 19 (18.4%) developed CA in the acute phase, as shown in Figure 1. Median onset time of CA was 1 day (IQR 0–3 days) after TTS. The incidence of CA in those without CA at presentation was 1.0% (19/1947). Compared with patients with CA at presentation, those with CA in the acute phase had higher peak brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) values, ST-segment elevation (Table 2) and PEA or asystole (73.7% vs. 42.9%; Figure 1 and *Take home figure*) more frequently. Atrial fibrillation, ST-segment elevation, and high C-reactive protein on admission were associated with a higher risk of CA in the acute phase, whereas female sex was associated with a lower risk (see *Supplementary material online, Figure S1*).


Outcomes

Cardiac arrest was associated with higher rates of in-hospital mortality, cardiogenic shock, catecholamine administration, and mechanical ventilation (Table 1). Compared with patients without CA, those with CA had higher 60-day mortality (40.3% vs. 4.0%, $P < 0.001$; Figure 2) and 5-year mortality (68.9% vs. 16.7%, $P < 0.001$; *Supplementary material online, Figure S2*). A 5-year outcome analysis with a landmark set at 60 days after TTS index showed that patients surviving CA had higher mortality than patients without CA (44.4% vs. 13.3%, $P < 0.001$; Figure 2, and *Take home figure*). Among the CA patients who survived the first 60 days, nine deaths occurred within 5 years: two (22.2%) cardiovascular deaths, three (33.3%) non-cardiovascular deaths, and four (44.4%) deaths from unknown causes. Among patients without CA, there were 10 (12.7%) cardiovascular deaths, 47 (59.5%) non-cardiovascular deaths, and 22 (27.8%) deaths from unknown causes.

In-hospital outcomes did not differ between patients with CA at presentation or in the acute phase (Table 2), though the latter showed a trend towards higher 60-day mortality (33.3% vs. 52.6%, $P = 0.12$). Of the 12 CA patients who required implantable/wearable devices (implantable cardioverter defibrillator, 9; pacemaker, 2; and wearable cardioverter defibrillator, 1), 2 died during the 5-year follow-up. Of the 58 CA patients discharged without a device, 10 died during the 5-year follow-up. Among the 67 patients who underwent CPR but had unconfirmed CA or unknown heart rhythm, 60-day mortality was 17.5%. Patients with out-of-hospital and in-hospital CA had similar 60-day mortality (44% vs. 37%, $P = 0.29$).

Predictors of outcomes

On univariable analysis, acute intracranial haemorrhage, T-wave inversion on admission, and white blood cell count $> 10 \times 10^3$ cells/ μ L



were associated with higher 60-day mortality in patients with CA than in patients without CA (Figure 3A). After adjusting for potential confounders, T-wave inversion on admission and acute intracranial haemorrhage were independently associated with higher 60-day mortality after CA (Figure 3B). Female sex was associated with lower mortality both on univariable and multivariable analysis. The results remained unchanged even after excluding patients with intracranial haemorrhage.

Discussion

This study found that (i) CA occurred in 5.9% of TTS patients; (ii) CA was associated with a six-fold increase in short- and long-term mortality; (iii) T-wave inversion on admission and acute intracranial haemorrhage were independently associated with 60-day mortality following CA; (iv) in-hospital outcomes did not differ between patients with CA at presentation and during the acute phase; and (v) AF, ST-segment elevation, and high C-reactive protein on admission were associated with a higher frequency of CA during the acute phase, whereas female sex was associated with a lower frequency (Take home figure).

The finding that CA is relatively common in TTS is important, as TTS has historically been regarded as a benign condition. The

American Heart Association acknowledges the proarrhythmic risk of TTS and includes TTS among the potential indications for wearable cardioverter defibrillators. In contrast, TTS is not mentioned in the current European Society of Cardiology guidelines for the management of ventricular arrhythmias.^{17,18} The CA incidence (5.9%) in this study is consistent with previous reports.^{7,8,19} Only Pant *et al.*²⁰ reported a lower incidence rate, but their diagnoses were based on ICD-9 and not reviews of individual medical records. Compared with patients without CA, those with CA were younger, and less frequently female. Patients with CA have lower LVEF, longer QTc, higher cardiac biomarker values, and higher prevalence of apical TTS and AF. These findings suggest that more severe cardiac dysfunction accompanies CA, which is in agreement with the high prevalence of cardiogenic shock in these patients. These differences in patients' characteristics suggest that different pathophysiological mechanisms are involved in patients with and without CA.²¹ Cardiac arrest was more common among TTS patients with physical triggers rather than emotional triggers, which is consistent with previous observations.⁴ Cardiogenic shock, though a consequence of CA,²² may also be a determinant of CA, as hypotension is an important mechanism of CA in TTS.⁵ QTc prolongation in CA may be partly attributable to the drugs administered, particularly amiodarone.²³

Table 1 Characteristics of takotsubo patients with and without cardiac arrest

Characteristic	TTS with CA and known initial heart rhythm N = 103	TTS without CA N = 1928	P-value
Demographics			
Female sex, no./total no. (%)	85/103 (82.5)	1752/1928 (90.9)	0.005
Age (years)	61.9 ± 14.8 (N = 103)	67.3 ± 12.6 (N = 1928)	<0.001
Symptoms and triggers, no./total no. (%)			
Chest pain	27/75 (36.0)	1345/1759 (76.5)	<0.001
Dyspnoea	29/75 (38.7)	816/1759 (46.4)	0.23
Physical trigger	62/103 (60.2)	652/1928 (33.8)	<0.001
Emotional trigger	13/103 (12.6)	599/1928 (31.1)	<0.001
ECG on admission			
Sinus rhythm, no./total no. (%)	71/90 (78.9)	1590/1698 (93.6)	<0.001
ST-segment elevation, no./total no. (%)	38/90 (42.2)	728/1698 (42.9)	0.90
ST-segment depression, no./total no. (%)	12/90 (13.3)	125/1698 (7.4)	0.038
T-wave inversion, no./total no. (%)	32/90 (35.6)	714/1698 (42.0)	0.22
Corrected QT (ms)	474.6 ± 46.8 (N = 81)	457.7 ± 47.3 (N = 1305)	0.001
Imaging and haemodynamic findings			
Apical type, no./total no. (%)	84/103 (81.6)	1367/1928 (70.9)	0.020
LVEF (%) ^a	33.5 ± 9.8 (N = 96)	41.3 ± 11.4 (N = 1695)	<0.001
Heart rate (beats/min)	91.2 ± 22.2 (N = 61)	87.2 ± 21.2 (N = 1503)	0.09
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	113.6 ± 25.0 (N = 62)	131.8 ± 28.4 (N = 1531)	<0.001
LVEDP (mmHg)	21.2 ± 8.1 (N = 68)	21.7 ± 8.3 (N = 1102)	0.60
Neurologic and psychiatric disorders, no./total no. (%)			
Acute neurologic disorders	13/97 (13.4)	149/1730 (8.6)	0.10
Acute intracranial bleeding	4/97 (4.1)	34/1730 (2.0)	0.15
Acute stroke/TIA	3/97 (3.1)	39/1730 (2.3)	0.59
Acute seizure	3/97 (3.1)	50/1730 (2.9)	0.91
Past or chronic neurologic disorders	20/94 (21.3)	314/1712 (18.3)	0.48
Acute psychiatric disorders	8/97 (8.2)	161/1727 (9.3)	0.72
Past or chronic psychiatric disorders	31/94 (33.0)	468/1711 (27.4)	0.24
Cardiac biomarkers, median (IQR)			
Troponin admission, factor increase in ULN ^b	6.70 (2.00–19.64) N = 79	8.20 (2.68–23.61) N = 1466	0.20
Troponin maximum, factor increase in ULN ^b	12.07 (5.11–43.23) N = 84	14.04 (4.99–35.00) N = 1588	0.49
Creatine kinase admission, factor increase in ULN	1.00 (0.48–1.67) N = 76	0.87 (0.54–1.45) N = 1279	0.51
Creatine kinase maximum, factor increase in ULN	1.95 (0.73–4.42) N = 81	1.10 (0.65–1.87) N = 1319	<0.001
BNP admission, factor increase in ULN ^c	3.71 (1.33–10.15) N = 29	6.66 (2.35–18.22) N = 499	0.10
BNP maximum, factor increase in ULN ^c	7.51 (3.82–28.07) N = 39	10.22 (4.36–24.64) N = 640	0.61
In-hospital complications and management, no./total no. (%)			
Cardiogenic shock	70/103 (68.0)	90/1908 (4.7)	<0.001
Death	36/103 (35.0)	46/1928 (2.4)	<0.001
Catecholamine administration	83/103 (80.6)	137/1911 (7.2)	<0.001
Invasive or non-invasive ventilation	93/103 (90.3)	205/1911 (10.7)	<0.001

^aLeft ventricular ejection fraction (%): information from catheterization or echocardiography, if both available: catheterization.

^bIncluding upper limits of the normal range for Troponin T, high sensitivity Troponin T, and Troponin I.

^cIncluding upper limits of the normal range for brain natriuretic peptide and the N-terminal of prohormone brain natriuretic peptide.

BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; CA, cardiac arrest; ECG, electrocardiogram; IQR, inter-quartile range; LVEDP, left ventricular end-diastolic pressure; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; TIA, transient ischaemic attack; TTS, takotsubo syndrome; ULN, upper limit of the normal range.

The higher 5-year mortality after CA was driven by not only a higher 60-day mortality but also a steady increase in mortality after the acute phase, as shown by the 5-year landmark analysis. This might suggest that other factors beyond the acute myocardial dysfunction

are detrimental in these patients even after acute recovery. This is consistent with a previous report linking life-threatening arrhythmias during the index hospitalization with worse 1-year survival.¹² In contrast, another study found no differences in 1-year survival between

Table 2 Characteristics of takotsubo patients with cardiac arrest

Characteristic	Patients with CA at initial presentation N = 84	Patients with CA during the acute phase N = 19	P-value
Demographics			
Female sex, no./total no. (%)	71/84 (84.5)	14/19 (73.7)	0.26
Age (years)	61.3 ± 15.3 (N = 84)	64.9 ± 12.4 (N = 19)	0.35
Symptoms and triggers, no./total no. (%)			
Chest pain	19/59 (32.2)	8/16 (50.0)	0.19
Dyspnoea	22/60 (36.7)	7/14 (50.0)	0.36
Physical trigger	52/84 (61.9)	10/19 (52.6)	0.46
Emotional trigger	9/84 (10.7)	4/19 (21.1)	0.22
ECG findings			
Sinus rhythm on admission, no./total no. (%)	56/71 (78.9)	15/19 (78.9)	0.99
ST-segment elevation on admission, no./total no. (%)	24/71 (33.8)	14/19 (73.7)	0.003
ST-segment depression on admission, no./total no. (%)	10/71 (14.1)	2/19 (10.5)	0.69
T-wave inversion on admission, no./total no. (%)	25/71 (35.2)	7/19 (36.8)	0.90
Corrected QT on admission (ms)	478.7 ± 48.3 (N = 63)	460.6 ± 39.2 (N = 18)	0.11
Corrected QT at the event (ms)	478.7 ± 48.3 (N = 63)	468.6 ± 39.2 (N = 18)	0.28
Imaging and haemodynamic findings			
Apical type, no./total no. (%)	67/84 (79.8)	17/19 (89.5)	0.32
LVEF (%) ^a	33.7 ± 9.7 (N = 78)	32.8 ± 10.3 (N = 18)	0.60
Heart rate (beats/min)	90.6 ± 22.8 (N = 50)	94.2 ± 20.2 (N = 11)	0.49
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	113.3 ± 25.3 (N = 50)	114.9 ± 24.9 (N = 12)	0.73
LVEDP (mmHg)	20.6 ± 8.1 (N = 60)	25.5 ± 7.2 (N = 8)	0.15
Neurologic and psychiatric disorders, no./total no. (%)			
Acute neurologic disorders	11/80 (13.8)	2/17 (11.8)	0.83
Past or chronic neurologic disorders	17/77 (22.1)	3/17 (17.6)	0.69
Acute psychiatric disorders	6/80 (7.5)	2/17 (11.8)	0.56
Past or chronic psychiatric disorders	28/77 (36.4)	3/17 (17.6)	0.17
Cardiac biomarkers, median (IQR)			
Troponin admission, factor increase in ULN ^b	5.94 (1.97–15.65) N = 64	8.20 (3.10–37.07) N = 15	0.38
Troponin maximum, factor increase in ULN ^b	11.22 (5.03–46.06) N = 68	27.90 (9.13–43.23) N = 16	0.31
Creatine kinase admission, factor increase in ULN	0.85 (0.45–1.47) N = 62	1.49 (0.82–3.20) N = 14	0.06
Creatine kinase maximum, factor increase in ULN	1.71 (0.70–5.44) N = 66	2.09 (0.99–3.26) N = 15	0.82
BNP admission, factor increase in ULN ^c	3.68 (1.05–5.40) N = 21	28.57 (1.71–95.20) N = 8	0.11
BNP maximum, factor increase in ULN ^c	5.25 (3.07–10.69) N = 29	41.11 (10.55–160.51) N = 10	<0.001
In-hospital complications and management, no./total no. (%)			
Cardiogenic shock	59/84 (70.2)	11/19 (57.9)	0.30
Death	27/84 (32.1)	9/19 (47.4)	0.21
Catecholamine administration	68/84 (81.0)	15/19 (78.9)	0.84
Invasive or non-invasive ventilation	77/84 (91.7)	16/19 (84.2)	0.32

^aLeft ventricular ejection fraction (%): information from catheterization or echocardiography, if both available: catheterization.

^bIncluding upper limits of the normal range for Troponin T, high sensitivity Troponin T, and Troponin I.

^cIncluding upper limits of the normal range for brain natriuretic peptide and the N-terminal of prohormone brain natriuretic peptide.

BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; CA, cardiac arrest; ECG, electrocardiogram; IQR, inter-quartile range; LVEDP, left ventricular end-diastolic pressure; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; ULN, upper limit of the normal range.

patients with and without life-threatening arrhythmias who survived hospitalization.⁸

The use of devices (e.g. pacemakers) did not yield a substantial survival benefit, although our study was not designed to assess these treatments. Stiermaier *et al.* have also not identified a prognostic advantage of implantable cardioverter defibrillators in TTS patients with

life-threatening arrhythmias, although they indicated a potential advantage of pacemakers in patients with bradyarrhythmias in the acute phase.⁷

Multivariable analysis of the predictors of mortality after CA showed that acute intracranial haemorrhage was independently associated with unfavourable outcomes, while female sex was associated




Figure 2 Landmark survival analysis showing a significantly higher mortality rate in patients with cardiac arrest during the first 60 days ($P < 0.001$) and during the 5-year follow-up ($P < 0.001$). TTS, takotsubo syndrome.

with a more benign clinical course. Another study has reported a higher risk of CA in male TTS patients, but a clear-cut explanation for this finding is lacking.²⁰ T-wave inversion on admission was associated with increased mortality following CA. As T-wave inversion has been associated with myocardial oedema,^{10,24} the early appearance of T-wave inversion may imply earlier or more extended oedema in the acute phase. Myocardial oedema is also associated with QTc prolongation, which is associated with adverse events (e.g. ventricular arrhythmias, mortality) in not only TTS but also other conditions like coronary artery disease and dilated cardiomyopathy.^{10,25–28} Polymorphic VT is a potential mechanism of CA in TTS patients with QTc prolongation.^{5,12,26} Thus, myocardial oedema may be the pathophysiological mechanism underlying increased mortality after CA in the presence of T-wave inversion.²⁹

In patients with CA at TTS onset, TTS might be a consequence of the stress associated with CA and/or CPR.^{30,31} Left ventricular dysfunction can occur after CA; however, global hypokinesia is the more frequent alteration, and TTS-like patterns occur in few CA patients.^{32,33} Based on the current data, it is not possible to distinguish whether TTS is the cause or the consequence of CA, although the former explanation is more likely.^{34,35} Conditions other than TTS that could explain CA were not identifiable in the current analysis, but a systematic screening for rare causes, such as channelopathies, was not performed.³⁶ Hence, it is likely that a substantial proportion of these events was caused by TTS, as a causal relationship between TTS and life-threatening arrhythmias has already been established. Most of our patients had CA at presentation, which is consistent with previous reports.^{5,8} A potential explanation for the relationship between TTS and CA is that TTS onset is associated with massive increases in circulating catecholamine levels, which together with myocardial stunning and oedema, may lead to life-threatening arrhythmias.³⁷ Compared with patients with CA at presentation, those with CA during the acute phase were more

likely to have PEA/asystole, higher BNP values, and ST-segment elevation on admission. This suggests that CA may develop in the acute phase due to severely compromised heart function, whereas a more purely arrhythmic substrate may lead to CA at presentation, which was often associated with VF/pulseless VT. Another study has reported a similar distribution of CA at presentation vs. during the acute phase (60 vs. 17 patients) and more frequent ventricular tachyarrhythmias rather than PEA/asystole in CA at presentation.⁵ However, that study was a systematic review of case reports, and hence, relevant reporting and selection biases should be acknowledged. In our study, patients with CA during the acute phase showed a trend towards higher mortality compared with patients with CA at initial presentation. Out-of-hospital CA was not associated with higher mortality than in-hospital CA. These interesting results should be investigated in future studies, even though mortality is probably underestimated in patients with CA at initial presentation. This is because TTS can only be diagnosed in patients arriving alive and in condition to undergo imaging exams in the emergency department. Cardiac arrest patients who die before undergoing testing could never receive a diagnosis of TTS.

In the small subset of 19 patients who developed CA after initial presentation, we identified that AF on admission, ST-segment elevation, and high C-reactive protein were univariably associated with higher CA frequency, whereas female sex was associated with lower CA frequency. ST-segment elevation might be associated with electrical instability and may indicate the transmural extension of myocardial oedema. Thus, TTS patients with these features at admission merit intensive monitoring for about 48–72 h, given that the median time to CA was 1 day (IQR, 0–3 days).

Study limitations

The study involved a partly retrospective analysis from a multicentre registry. Therefore, potential confounding and selection biases must





Figure 3 Univariable (A) and multivariable (B) predictors of 60-day mortality in patients with cardiac arrest (CA) and known initial heart rhythm. Acute intracranial haemorrhage and T-wave inversion are independently associated with an increased risk of 60-day mortality after CA, whereas female sex is associated with a decreased risk. Black: statistically significant predictors; grey: not significant. BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; TIA, transient ischaemic attack; ULN, upper limit of normal range; WBC, white blood cell count.

be considered when evaluating the results. Given the low number of absolute events, the multivariable model for predictors of 60-day mortality was based on few covariates that might have an impact on the outcome. Data on left ventricular outflow tract obstruction,³⁸ time to return of spontaneous circulation, and neurologic outcomes after CPR were unavailable.

Conclusions

Cardiac arrest is relatively common in TTS and is associated with worse outcomes. Cardiac arrest typically occurs at presentation, but may occur in the subsequent acute phase. Clinical and electrocardiographic parameters may independently predict mortality after CA



Take home figure Cardiac arrest affects a relevant number of patients presenting with takotsubo syndrome and is associated with higher short and long-term mortality. Although typically occurring at presentation, cardiac arrest can also occur in the subsequent acute phase in patients with takotsubo syndrome. Among patients with cardiac arrest and takotsubo syndrome, simple clinical and electrocardiographic parameters may help to identify those at higher risk of death.

and identify patients at a higher risk for developing CA in the acute phase.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at *European Heart Journal* online.

Funding

C.T. has been supported by the H.H. Sheikh Khalifa bin Hamad Al-Thani Research Programme and the Swiss Heart Foundation. The InterTAK Registry is supported by the Biss Davies Charitable Trust. The funding source had no role in the study design and execution; data collection, management, analysis, and interpretation; manuscript preparation, review, and approval; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Conflict of interest: Disclosures are reported by individual authors in the ICMJE form as submitted to the editorial office.

References

- Sato HTH, Uchida T, Dote K, Ishihara M. Tako-tsubo-like left ventricular dysfunction due to multivessel coronary spasm. In: K Kodama, K Haze, M Hori, eds. *Clinical Aspect of Myocardial Injury: From Ischemia to Heart Failure*. Tokyo: Kagakuhyoronsha Publishing; 1990. p56–64. (In Japanese.)
- Di Vece D, Citro R, Cammann VL, Kato K, Gili S, Szawan KA, Micek J, Jurisic S, Ding KJ, Bacchi B, Schwyzler M, Candrea A, Bossone E, D'Ascenzo F, Sarcon A,

- Franken J, Napp LC, Jaguszewski M, Noutsias M, Münzel T, Knorr M, Heiner S, Katus HA, Burgdorf C, Schunkert H, Thiele H, Bauersachs J, Tschöpe C, Pieske BM, Rajan L, Michels G, Pfister R, Cuneo A, Jacobshagen C, Hasenfuß G, Karakas M, Koenig W, Rottbauer W, Said SM, Braun-Dullaues RC, Banning A, Cuculi F, Kobza R, Fischer TA, Vasankari T, Airaksinen KEJ, Opolski G, Dworakowski R, MacCarthy P, Kaiser C, Osswald S, Galiuto L, Crea F, Dichtl W, Empen K, Felix SB, Delmas C, Lairez O, El-Battrawy I, Akin I, Borggreffe M, Gilyarova E, Shilova A, Gilyarov M, Horowitz J, Kozel M, Tousek P, Widimský P, Winchester DE, Ukena C, Di Mario C, Prasad A, Böhm M, Bax JJ, Lüscher TF, Ruschitzka F, Ghadri JR, Templin C. Outcomes associated with cardiogenic shock in takotsubo syndrome. *Circulation* 2019;**139**:413–415.
- Ghadri JR, Wittstein IS, Prasad A, Sharkey S, Dote K, Akashi YJ, Cammann VL, Crea F, Galiuto L, Desmet W, Yoshida T, Manfredini R, Eitel I, Kosuge M, Nef HM, Deshmukh A, Lerman A, Bossone E, Citro R, Ueyama T, Corrado D, Kurisu S, Ruschitzka F, Winchester D, Lyon AR, Omerovic E, Bax JJ, Meimoun P, Tarantini G, Rihal C, Y-Hassan S, Migliore F, Horowitz JD, Shimokawa H, Lüscher TF, Templin C. International expert consensus document on takotsubo syndrome (part II): diagnostic workup, outcome, and management. *Eur Heart J* 2018;**39**:2047–2062.
- Templin C, Ghadri JR, Diekmann J, Napp LC, Bataiosu DR, Jaguszewski M, Cammann VL, Sarcon A, Geyer V, Neumann CA, Seifert B, Hellermann J, Schwyzler M, Eisenhardt K, Jenewein J, Franke J, Katus HA, Burgdorf C, Schunkert H, Moeller C, Thiele H, Bauersachs J, Tschöpe C, Schultheiss HP, Laney CA, Rajan L, Michels G, Pfister R, Ukena C, Böhm M, Erbel R, Cuneo A, Kuck KH, Jacobshagen C, Hasenfuss G, Karakas M, Koenig W, Rottbauer W, Said SM, Braun-Dullaues RC, Cuculi F, Banning A, Fischer TA, Vasankari T, Airaksinen KE, Fijalkowski M, Rynkiewicz A, Pawlak M, Opolski G, Dworakowski R, MacCarthy P, Kaiser C, Osswald S, Galiuto L, Crea F, Dichtl W, Franz WM, Empen K, Felix SB, Delmas C, Lairez O, Erne P, Bax JJ, Ford I, Ruschitzka F, Prasad A, Lüscher TF. Clinical features and outcomes of takotsubo (stress) cardiomyopathy. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**373**:929–938.

5. Singh K, Carson K, Hibbert B, Le May M. Natural history of cardiac arrest in patients with takotsubo cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* 2015;**115**:1466–1472.
6. Syed FF, Asirvatham SJ, Francis J. Arrhythmia occurrence with takotsubo cardiomyopathy: a literature review. *Europace* 2011;**13**:780–788.
7. Stiermaier T, Rommel KP, Eitel C, Möller C, Graf T, Desch S, Thiele H, Eitel I. Management of arrhythmias in patients with takotsubo cardiomyopathy: is the implantation of permanent devices necessary? *Heart Rhythm* 2016;**13**:1979–1986.
8. El-Battrawy I, Lang S, Ansari U, Tülümen E, Schramm K, Fastner C, Zhou X, Hoffmann U, Borggrefe M, Akin I. Prevalence of malignant arrhythmia and sudden cardiac death in takotsubo syndrome and its management. *Europace* 2018;**20**:843–850.
9. Madias JE. Cardiac arrest-triggered takotsubo syndrome vs. takotsubo syndrome complicated by cardiac arrest. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;**225**:142–143.
10. Madias C, Fitzgibbons TP, Alsheikh-Ali AA, Bouchard JL, Kalsmith B, Garlitski AC, Tighe DA, Estes NA 3rd, Aurigemma GP, Link MS. Acquired long QT syndrome from stress cardiomyopathy is associated with ventricular arrhythmias and torsades de pointes. *Heart Rhythm* 2011;**8**:555–561.
11. Migliore F, Zorzi A, Perazzolo Marra M, Illiceto S, Corrado D. Myocardial edema as a substrate of electrocardiographic abnormalities and life-threatening arrhythmias in reversible ventricular dysfunction of takotsubo cardiomyopathy: imaging evidence, presumed mechanisms, and implications for therapy. *Heart Rhythm* 2015;**12**:1867–1877.
12. Stiermaier T, Eitel C, Deneß S, Desch S, Schuler G, Thiele H, Eitel I. Prevalence and clinical significance of life-threatening arrhythmias in takotsubo cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;**65**:2148–2150.
13. Ghadri JR, Cammann VL, Templin C. The International Takotsubo Registry: rationale, design, objectives, and first results. *Heart Fail Clin* 2016;**12**:597–603.
14. Prasad A, Lerman A, Rihal CS. Apical ballooning syndrome (tako-tsubo or stress cardiomyopathy): a mimic of acute myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J* 2008;**155**:408–417.
15. Kleinman ME, Brennan EE, Goldberger ZD, Swor RA, Terry M, Bobrow BJ, Gazmuri RJ, Travers AH, Rea T. Part 5: adult basic life support and cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality: 2015 American Heart Association Guidelines update for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2015;**132**:S414–S435.
16. Myerburg RJ, Halperin H, Egan DA, Boineau R, Chugh SS, Gillis AM, Goldhaber JJ, Lathrop DA, Liu P, Niemann JT, Ornato JP, Sopko G, Van Eyk JE, Walcott GP, Weisfeldt ML, Wright JD, Zipes DP. Pulseless electric activity: definition, causes, mechanisms, management, and research priorities for the next decade: report from a National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute workshop. *Circulation* 2013;**128**:2532–2541.
17. Piccini JP, Allen LA, Kudenchuk PJ, Page RL, Patel MR, Turakhia MP; American Heart Association Electrocardiography and Arrhythmias Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology and Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing. Wearable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy for the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a science advisory from the American heart association. *Circulation* 2016;**133**:1715–1727.
18. Priori SG, Blomström-Lundqvist C, Mazzanti A, Blom N, Borggrefe M, Camm J, Elliott PM, Fitzsimons D, Hatala R, Hindricks G, Kirchhof P, Kjeldsen K, Kuck KH, Hernandez-Madrid A, Nikolaou N, Norekvål TM, Spaulding C, Van Veldhuisen DJ. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: the Task Force for the Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by: Association for European Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPC). *Eur Heart J* 2015;**36**:2793–2867.
19. Wang Y, Xia L, Shen X, Han G, Feng D, Xiao H, Zhai Y, Chen X, Miao Y, Zhao C, Wang Y, Guo M, Li T, Zhu HY. A new insight in sudden cardiac death in young people—a systematic review of cases of takotsubo cardiomyopathy. *Medicine* 2015;**94**:e1174.
20. Pant S, Deshmukh A, Mehta K, Badheka AO, Tuliani T, Patel NJ, Dabhadkar K, Prasad A, Paydak H. Burden of arrhythmias in patients with takotsubo cardiomyopathy (Apical Ballooning Syndrome). *Int J Cardiol* 2013;**170**:64–68.
21. Ancona F, Bertoldi LF, Ruggieri F, Cerri M, Magnoni M, Beretta L, Cianflone D, Camici PG. Takotsubo cardiomyopathy and neurogenic stunned myocardium: similar albeit different. *Eur Heart J* 2016;**7**:2830–2832.
22. Napp LC, Kühn C, Bauersachs J. ECMO in cardiac arrest and cardiogenic shock. *Herz* 2017;**42**:27–44.
23. Yap YG, Camm AJ. Drug induced QT prolongation and torsades de pointes. *Heart* 2003;**89**:1363–1372.
24. Zorzi A, Perazzolo Marra M, Migliore F, De Lazzari M, Tarantini G, Illiceto S, Corrado D. Relationship between repolarization abnormalities and myocardial edema in atypical tako-tsubo syndrome. *J Electrocardiol* 2013;**46**:348–351.
25. Imran TF, Rahman I, Dikdan S, Shah R, Niazi OT, Thirunahari N, Alhaj E, Klapholz M, Gaziano JM, Djousse L. QT prolongation and clinical outcomes in patients with takotsubo cardiomyopathy. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2016;**39**:607–611.
26. Samuelov-Kinori L, Kinori M, Kogan Y, Swartzon M, Shalev H, Guy D, Ferenidou F, Mashav N, Sadeh B, Atzmony L, Kliuk-Ben-Basat O, Steinvil A, Justo D. Takotsubo cardiomyopathy and QT interval prolongation: who are the patients at risk for torsades de pointes? *J Electrocardiol* 2009;**42**:353–357.
27. Williams ES, Thomas KL, Broderick S, Shaw LK, Velazquez EJ, Al-Khatib SM, Daubert JP. Race and gender variation in the QT interval and its association with mortality in patients with coronary artery disease: results from the Duke Databank for Cardiovascular Disease (DCCD). *Am Heart J* 2012;**164**:434–441.
28. Karatolios K, Holzendorf V, Richter A, Schieffer B, Pankuweit S; Competence Network Heart Failure Germany. Long-term outcome and predictors of outcome in patients with non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;**220**:608–612.
29. Madias JE. Takotsubo syndrome/QTc interval prolongation/myocardial edema/cardiac sympathetic denervation/cardiac arrhythmias: a quintet needing exploration. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;**203**:259–261.
30. Wortsman J, Frank S, Cryer PE. Adrenomedullary response to maximal stress in humans. *Am J Med* 1984;**77**:779–784.
31. Paradis NA, Martin GB, Rosenberg J, Rivers EP, Goetting MG, Appleton TJ, Feingold M, Cryer PE, Wortsman J, Nowak RM. The effect of standard- and high-dose epinephrine on coronary perfusion pressure during prolonged cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *JAMA* 1991;**265**:1139–1144.
32. Kern KB, Hilwig RW, Rhee KH, Berg RA. Myocardial dysfunction after resuscitation from cardiac arrest: an example of global myocardial stunning. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1996;**28**:232–240.
33. Cha KC, Kim HI, Kim OH, Cha YS, Kim H, Lee KH, Hwang SO. Echocardiographic patterns of postresuscitation myocardial dysfunction. *Resuscitation* 2018;**124**:90–95.
34. Madias JE. Cardiac arrest in patients before and after the inception of takotsubo syndrome. *Am J Cardiol* 2015;**115**:1785.
35. Liang JJ, Cha YM, Oh JK, Prasad A. Sudden cardiac death: an increasingly recognized presentation of apical ballooning syndrome (takotsubo cardiomyopathy). *Heart Lung* 2013;**42**:270–272.
36. Prystowsky EN, Padanilam BJ, Joshi S, Fogel RI. Ventricular arrhythmias in the absence of structural heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;**59**:1733–1744.
37. Wittstein IS, Thiemann DR, Lima JA, Baughman KL, Schulman SP, Gerstenblith G, Wu KC, Rade JJ, Bivalacqua TJ, Champion HC. Neurohumoral features of myocardial stunning due to sudden emotional stress. *N Engl J Med* 2005;**352**:539–548.
38. Templin C, Ghadri JR, Napp LC. Takotsubo (stress) cardiomyopathy. *N Engl J Med* 2015;**373**:2689–2691.