Dear Students, Alumni, Partners and Friends,

This issue of REVIEW gives a selective overview of the activities of the past few months.

TUM Architecture is part of one of the most dynamic technical universities in Europe. Embedded in an excellent living and research environment, we are proactively taking advantage of the outstanding Munich ecosystem to strengthen our educational programs and research networks.

We have appointed Prof. Kathrin Dörfler to the professorship for Digital Fabrication. Under her direction, an Augmented Fabrication Lab will be set up. The new research group is located at the interface of architecture, robotics, and human-computer interaction.

Furthermore, we welcome Prof. Alexander von Kienlin to the professorship for Building History, Building Research and Conservation. His research interests range from the timber constructions of the antique world to historicism and post-war architecture. Most recently, he was dean of studies at the TU Braunschweig. One main area of research at our department is about the conservation and rebuilding of architectural and cultural heritage. Kienlin will further improve our cross-disciplinary network in this field, called REUSED.

In 2019, TUM secured the title “University of Excellence” for the third time in succession. Within the framework of the Excellence Strategy of the Federal and the State Governments, our university has announced its Agenda 2030. Next to the humanities, design is to become the second driving force of TUM towards a more human-centered engineering. We are in charge of integrating more intensively design practice and design thinking into technology development processes at TUM. Therefore, we will promote a new Integrated Research Center (IRC) in the field of Design and Technology.

The institute crosslinks our Department of Architecture with other TUM disciplines, especially informatics, engineering and management. The IRC will not only seek to enable new working methods, incorporating design, for students and researchers, but also to positively influence their mindsets and, thus, support the ongoing transformation of TUM as an organization.

Additionally, a new governance structure will bring about extensive organizational changes. Our familiar “Fakultäten” will be replaced by schools that will widen TUM’s radius of action at the interfaces of classical disciplines. The Department of Architecture will intensify its collaboration with other engineering disciplines on a long-term basis.

Enjoy reading,

Andreas Hild, Dean

---

“Recent developments [...] suggest that democracy is currently under threat.”
Dietrich Erben, p. 84 ff

“ [...] either we shape this change now, or at some point it will be shaped by forces that we may not even want to be shaped by.”
Benedikt Boucsein, p. 12 ff

“In Germany, women earn less than men in all occupational categories.”
Sandra Schuster, p. 24 ff

Coverstory: Since 2016, on International Women’s Day, Parity Talks are taking place at the Department of Architecture at the ETH Zurich. The first three issues of the Parity Talks were organized by members of the Parity Group, a bottom-up initiative of assistants and students.

The Goal: A public debate on gender equality and diversity in architectural education and professional practice in Switzerland and abroad.

For their Parity Talks the Department of Architecture at the ETHZ worked with local graphic designers Völlm+Walthert to feminize the portraits of architects, like Jacques Herzog and Pierre de Meuron (p.8).

With the aim to initiate a vivant discussion at the TUM Department of Architecture, we asked Prof. Dr. Frank Petzold (cover) and Prof. Hermann Kaufmann (p.25) for collaboration.

Illustrations: Lisa Bamberg
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The Adventure of the Empty House

As an artist, photographer and director, Vilma Pflaum deals with history and narrative in her works. Making the hidden and concealed visible is characteristic of her work. The sensitive handling of the material and the individual production technique is an immanent part of her work. Documenting, scenic and conceptual approaches can be found at the same level in the artist’s repertoire.

Photo: Wolke, © Vilma Pflaum

www.vilmapflaum.com
The Adventure of the Empty House

In Munich’s early 1960s, two administrative buildings by architect Walter Henn were built in quick succession. The Ostram Forscherzentrale in Untergiesing and the Deckel Maschinenwerke in Obersendling resemble each other like twins, but met with dismanual derisories. After its demolition in 2018, only the iconic photographs by Heinrich Heidersberger remain in the collection of Osram, while the administrative building of Deckel was put to a new use in 2019. In cooperation with the photographic studio Visiona Pflaum, the composer Benedict Brachtel, and the organization for contemporary photography “Der Greif”, the Chair of Recent Building Heritage Conservation developed a multimedia installation on the ongoing construction site. On the evening of the event, the building was illuminated in reference to Heidersberger’s well-known photograph. The view of the exterior facade and the resulting image were thus reminiscent of architectural photography of the 1960s. At the same time, an audiovisual installation took all seven floors, which were being remodeled, into a discourse between observer, art and substance. The constant negotiation between building, changing requirements, and individual reception was tangible. The often preprocessing of appearances of 1960s buildings, and the issues of how to deal with building stock and its perception were debated during a panel discussion. Directly on the construction site, in a dialogue between old and new, all actors dealt with issues of process, mash-up and collage. The project ran from February 2019 at Plinganserstraße 150. It was supervised by Europa Frohwein from the BK How5 Workshop on Equality, Diversity and Inclusion in conjunction with Parity Talks IV at ETHZ.

BauHow5 Workshop on Equality, Diversity and Inclusion in conjunction with Parity Talks IV at ETHZ

The Parity Talks IV, titled “Making ‘Excellence’ Work”, took place at the Department of Architecture at ETH Zürich on March 07 and 09, 2019. The conference on gender parity in the architectural discourse brought together a variety of high-profile speakers and panelists from inside and outside ETHZ. In conjunction with the Parity Talks, the annual workshop of the BauHow5 Equality, Diversity and Inclusion group took place.

Parity Talks IV: New recruiting Platform for Built-Environment Sector

The digitization of the construction industry is proceeding at full speed. Building data is being recorded more and more exhaustively and as a result, the entire building process, from design to construction, should run more smoothly. At the same time, the competition for well-trained specialists is becoming ever stronger. This development is exploited by SKILLARY, a TUM spin-off: The new platform links up talented people and companies in the built-environment sector. The start-up was founded by alumnae Julia Stöckert and Andrea Kaiser, together with Bea Baurecht. Job platforms already do exist, but none has an exclusive focus on the construction industry. With SKILLARY, the three young entrepreneurs have created a sector-specific recruiting network for architects, engineers, and companies. The start-up has been in contact with UnternehmerTUM and the Architecture Research Incubator (ARI) since the beginning and has already sealed further partnerships.

The recently operational online platform combines two functions: On the one hand, the improved possibility to record accurately collaborations relating to new construction projects and relevant specialist qualifications in a virtual online database; on the other hand, an automated matching function assists registered talented persons with making the best choice for the perfect job and, the other way round, helps registered companies to find the best employee or cooperation partner – within Germany, but also in future across borders within Europe. Thus, the start-up offers two standardized profiles, one for architects and engineers, the other for companies.

TUM Architecture successful in BAM Ranking 2019

The Platform Best Architecture Masters (BAM) has revealed its newest ranking of the best postgraduate architecture programs in the world. On the basis of the “QS Ranking by Subjects – Architecture /Built Environment”, 70 universities were evaluated for the 2019 edition. TUM Department of Architecture reached the 6th position overall, with high ratings for the following indicators: consolidation in time / job opportunities & networking / quality & standards / length vs. benefit / relation with other universities / teaching approach.

The global Top 10 is compiled by the following universities: Harvard, MIT Boston, ETH Zurich, UP Madrid, TU Delft, UC London, Columbia NY, TUM, Berkeley, and AA London. The result is derived from 13 educational-performance indicators, including quality and internationality of department, alumni, and postgraduate programs.

Establishing the Scientific Consortium “Architectural and Global Health”

Architecture is an instrument for improving human life. In the current times, when maintaining a healthy life – including with one another – is confronting people with complex global challenges, one discipline alone can no longer provide any improvements or solutions. With this in mind, the first German science consortium “Architectural & Global Health” was founded at the Center for Global Health of the TUM, within the framework of the third working meeting of the Department of Architecture of the Technical University of Munich, at the beginning of July 2019.

In the future, this interdisciplinary group of researchers will promote knowledge generation, as part of joint research projects, at the interface of the disciplines of medicine, psychology, architecture and health sciences. First and foremost, the development and testing of suitable research methods and designs is at the forefront. In addition, the association is seeking to develop, promote and support long-term, sustainable and quality-assured solutions as part of the increasingly complex issues faced by global health.

The initiators of the consortium are: Prof. Hannalore Deubzer, Architecture, Prof. Dr. Stefania Kugl, Health Sciences, Gemma Koppen, Architecture, Prof. Dr. Werner Lang, Architecture and Civil Engineering, Prof. Dr. Prazeres da Costa, Medicine, Prof. Dr. med. Tanja C. Vollmer, Architectural Psychology, Prof. Dr. Dr. Andrea Winkler, medicine.
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Exhibition: CIRCOLUTION
Chair of Industrial Design, Prof. Fritz Frenkler
06.03.2019 – 31.03.2019
Why do we buy things we do not need? Why do we neglect the environmental aspects of designing, producing or consuming? How can we know what is best for the environment? Are there any concrete answers or an absolutely correct course of action?

The exhibition invited the visitors to ask themselves these questions and to think about the impact of their actions on the society, industry and economy of the future. It has shown ideas and realized projects that already deploy circular processes in certain phases. But closing the loop will require social change. It is only when each individual changes their mindset about consumption and reuse that the economy will change as well. We need to achieve a circular society in which we consider all the consequences of our actions and act accordingly.

CIRCOLUTION is the 8th “satellite” in a program series of Die Neue Sammlung – The Design Museum that deals with the FUTURO and visions of the future in design, film, literature, music, and performance. The exhibition was developed by students of the TU Munich (MSc in Industrial Design) and receives support from the Hans Sauer Foundation.

Course conception and realization
Dipl. Des. (Univ.) Hannes Gumpf
Dr.-Ing. Sandra Hirsch

The project originated in the Design, Communication & Society (DKG) module. The course was aimed at students on the Industrial Design (MSi) and Architecture (MA) Master’s courses.

Die Neue Sammlung – The Design Museum
Dr. Angelika Nollert
Dr. Caroline Fuchs
Tim Bechthold

Students
Maria Ladygina, Tobias Leonhardt, Maria Le Quang, Tianxi Li, Hannah Löbran, Felix Uhl, Stefan Warch, Rebecca Weiß, Nina Windhöfer, Xuejing Xiao, Ren Yiran, Elmar Zapf, Franz Zünkler, Anna Theresa Braun

Images right: Maria Le Quang (In trash we trust, Save planet earth), Tobias Leonhardt (Sustainable, Bio-Siegel)

Images of the exhibition: © Daniel George

sustainable
[so sustainable]

bio-siegel
[ bio-siegel]
What should this new urban society look like in Munich?

Of course no one knows. The authors Ulrich Brand and Markus Wissen have introduced the phrase “imperial way of life”. This leads to an environmental footprint that is ten times higher than what is actually due to us in terms of global comparison. This has a lot to do with the city and its architecture, but above all with the way our society uses these cities. To put it mildly, it is irresponsible. To put it bluntly, we basically are committing genocide by living the way we live. Today the effects are already beginning to be very clear to see. People will lose their ancestral habitat, will be on the run and will die because we cannot hold back on our consumption and our travelling. Of course, we are not killing anyone forthright, but I think later generations will be quite harsh in condemning what we’re doing right now. And the evidence is of course pretty overwhelming now, which was not yet the case 30 years ago, although back then we already knew everything there was to know. I would not even say that it is a requirement for our way of life to change: It will change inevitably. And either we shape this change now, or at some point it will be shaped by forces that we may not even want to be shaped by. All this has already started to slip away, it started long ago. In contrast to the 1970s, it is not enough to say that you have to shape things, today we are forced to do something. Of course, this is not so easy for urban planning, because urban planning works with completely different planning horizons, in decades, rather.

What could the new urban society look like? Of course, this will have to be negotiated by society itself. We can help by contributing our notions, ideas or specific perspectives. I think that there will be certain constraints: More togetherness, less separate coexisting, much less consumption, much less comfort, less travel, and hardly any fossil fuels. And I think it will also require much more negotiation because we will have to coordinate more things with each other.

But... actually: I cannot be very precise about it, and that’s the reason for me to work at a university. I do not even know if anyone can say what it will look like in the end. Or whether we’ll simply go into those transformations and try to help shape them in the studios and through the work with students. I don’t think anybody can come up with a vision of what the city should look like in the end. And also, we had better be careful: In my dissertation I dealt with the architecture of the post-war period, when people thought that the “state-of-the-art,” for example the “car-friendly city,” would solve all problems. There are always blind spots, so in this sense I would be rather careful about specifying that it has to look just like so.

But basically, restrictions will be an issue, I’m sure about that. That may be very Protestant, and maybe does not fit well in Catholic Munich, but I think that in any restriction also lies an opportunity for society to reinvent itself. Greater cooperation, to which it will actually almost compel us. That you cannot run away from each other all the time and have to deal with each other more.

Which strategies must be developed to fulfill the core task of your professorship, namely the establishment and further development of the egaliitarian European city?

A network is important so that we are part of a movement and collaborate with others, otherwise we cannot achieve anything. A research topic that interests us, for example, is the “Cooperative City”. This means looking at aspects of urban society where one could think more collaboratively, both...
within small cooperatives and in a wider context. The digital world will also certainly play a role, in coordination processes, in the negotiation of resource distribution. On the basis of what we already have, platforms will have to develop further in various cities, and of course they already exist in many cases. I think it won’t be so much the buildings, but the way they’re used, and the reinvention of public space, of street space, of movement across space. This may also constitute a difference compared to the generation before me, from which we learned a lot: They built at a time during which many new quarters came into being.

And to finish, the question of how do we deal with the fact that, as the current political debate shows, society may not yet be quite ready for change, but all the same, we can’t go on as before? It could be a strategy to say that we prepare everything in our teaching and research, and when society realizes that it really has to change and nothing else is possible, then you can very quickly supply the solutions that you have previously rehearsed. Because, and that’s also a lesson from history, at the end of the day you can’t force change. As an urban planner, you’re part of a wide historic river that’s changing direction and you can only leap up, join in and influence the direction, but you can’t force it to go upstream.

The so-called quadruple helix model of innovation is all about the relationships between politics, economics, science and a strong civil society. Who is in actual fact not yet ready for drastic change? Is the economy already much more willing than politics?

At the least, many in the business community are saying: Please change the basic policy parameters, so that we can adjust to the new situation. The political world is lagging way behind. I have the hope that society is more daring than politicians think. But they do not know about that exactly and that’s why they are so careful about these climate packages.

But could that also be a job for the urban planner, for example, mediating between these four elements of the helix?

Ideally, the urban planning practice gets a study assignment or an exploratory planning study, and policy people are already involved, as well as all other stakeholders. I think we do have a responsibility to not always just pitch so that we’ll get a great construction contract out of it in the end. Instead, on the basis of a planning task, we can also talk about what the goal of the next ten to twenty years is going to be. This is also what we try to practice in the studios, or to bring on a critical perspective to the Master’s students. In this respect, the studios should not be overestimated – but not underestimated either. Basically, these are laboratory situations in which you can run scenarios. We have only one semester behind us and it was all about getting to know the students and the university, and practicing our modes of operation together.

At the United Nations Climate Change Summit in September 2019, there was a controversial debate on how innovation and technology could be reconciled with environmental awareness. What is your attitude towards this? Which basic parameters need to be changed from a political perspective?

We need both technology and society to be drivers of change. We are lying to ourselves if we feel that technology will save us. Because it won’t! In addition, the question is, what does the technology look like? Like, as we often thought before, something that protects us, sets us apart from the biosphere? Or is it something responsive, that thinks collaboratively? Then it gets quite interesting, but there will always be some blind spots. Everything we do will always have some blind spots. And, as far as our way of life is concerned, the big topic, which I always place at the beginning of the considerations and bring into the discussion, is reviewing the last seventy years. In the era of the so-called “Great Acceleration” since the end of the Second World War, all processes, our life in physical space, our movements, our consumption, our waste output and so on, have accelerated extremely – and the biosphere always reacts, with a temperature increase, species extinction, acidification of the oceans, and so on. This has nothing to do with ideology, it’s natural science. And I think that if technology is called upon to sustain that acceleration, then it will go awry. But if it is meant to cushion us, and to carry us over into some kind of deceleration, into stabilization, then it will be of central importance. You can’t always keep on accelerating. And even if we feel we can continue along this pathway through “green growth,” we will come to a dead end. At the same time, our systems are very much geared towards economic growth, and we can’t turn them off overnight. These dilemmas will be with us for a while and the time for simple or painless answers is over, I believe.

In your „Laboratory for the Everyday City“ you are experimenting with new ways of living and working. What about some concrete examples?

There aren’t really any student projects yet that could be depicted. We are only just starting and getting to know TUM. However, we have noticed that the issue is more difficult to get off the ground than we had thought. For many students, it is difficult to rethink, to break out of the mainstream stuff that they’ve heard until now, and to take up this invitation to think in absolute freedom in our Department.

This semester, with the undergraduates, we wish to demonstrate how an urban block here in the neighborhood is going to change over the next ten years. Scientists are saying: The next ten years will be decisive. It’s too early to say whether the experiment will work, but we would like each team of two to appropriate two perspectives simultaneously: a plot-centered perspective, i.e. a house or an institution, combined with a meta- perspective in the sense of a high-level actor, for example elderly people, or children, or even nature. We are trying to set up the experiment in such a way that we can bring these two things closer together. If that works, I can well imagine that you keep going and try to complete detailed assignments and after a year you go back and set up a real laboratory. But of course, you must also meet with mutual appreciation on the ground. We shall see.

“Everything we do will always have some blind spots.”

Benedikt Boucsein
And the focus of all this is on Munich?

First of all, we’re focusing on Munich because all of us in the professorship come from outside Munich. It’s an international team that is getting to know Munich. And all the predecessors of the professorship were also very strongly connected to this city. I actually think that makes sense and it’s interesting. But in our research we also deliberately leave Munich, and we’re trying to strongly internationalize here.

What will urban planners of tomorrow look like? What will their tasks be? In what ways should the training of urban planners change?

Should it become more interdisciplinary or more adventurous? What will they have to be able to do?

Of course, keywords include: interdisciplinary, networked, flexible...

Those things that urban planners notice and study in depth in our Department will definitely become more important in the future than they are now. High-level and interdisciplinary thinking, so that one can try and understand different disciplines, but always with the modesty to say that in the end one is “only” the spatial expert and only trying to bring everything together. I always ask the traffic planner, the social planner, and the landscape architects for their opinions and then facilitate the whole story. I don’t even know if the urban planners of tomorrow will look that different from today’s.

Maybe he or she should find their own assignments more often, and that’s something we want to bring more into the teaching in the medium term. In practice, there are always people who do that. People who are not content with always getting told what to do. Rather, one sees a problem and creates a job for oneself.

I also believe that we will have to improvise a lot in the future, and you always had to do that as an urban planner anyway. Because you will come into situations where you know from the beginning that you will never fully understand everything. You have to be humble, but still devise a strategy. This will be a quality that is needed in the future as well. Another thing that we definitely practice a lot at the office, that’s working on a par with landscape architects, sometimes we also let them steer. I see that as a real strength of the Department, that we have four landscape professorships, it’s something that we all could, or should, capitalize on a lot more.

It’s extremely important to have this perspective in urban planning, be it with regard to urban climate aspects, be it the fact that nature in the city is already substantially more diverse in terms of species than in the countryside, be it on such specific issues as the greening of façades, roof gardens, and the amenity value of public spaces. Because one thing is certain, the city of the future must and will be much greener than today.

Global warming is just one of many consequences of a lifestyle that displaces its negative impacts to other regions and countries. This lifestyle cannot be maintained, even with a technological transition or ‘green growth’, and its consequences are already beginning to catch up with the main polluters – ourselves. Hence, our way of life must and will change radically – for ecological, moral and, ultimately, economic reasons. A radical move away from further acceleration is not an ideological demand but a highly rational one. Thus, road and air traffic will have to be strongly reduced, our diets will have to follow other imperatives, and the growth of GDP or the DAX can no longer be equated with progress. Our societies need to reinvent themselves.

At the heart of this process stands the egalitarian city project, whose further development is the core task of our Professorship of Urban Design at the Technical University of Munich. Indeed, our cities draw their vitality from openness, equal opportunity, and the appreciation of the public good and public space – and the creative expression of these values. In order to preserve these qualities during the impending crisis, it must be anticipated, including in aesthetic terms but, at the same time, strategies aiming to maintain this openness need to be developed.

It is the government’s responsibility to usher in the imminent transition by courageously changing framework conditions and conveying to the population the vital significance of the current situation. Our contribution entails identifying the situation from an urban perspective, developing knowledge, and pointing out the new ways in which European cities can be further developed. Post-Acceleration Urban Development is being invented and discovered right now.

To this end, we are building a network of like-minded individuals and organizations, with whom we will work together as partners on projects and alliances. In addition, we are preparing the next generation of architects and urban planners for the impending changes. To accomplish this, we will also have to become pioneers of a new way of life and changing world of work.

On the occasion of the appointment of the new Professorship of Urban Design at the Technical University of Munich, we are launching the ‘Laboratory for the Everyday City’. For we are at the beginning of a new era and, thus, of a new mode of organization of our everyday life. This may, despite the circumstances, still lead to improvement in more people’s lives. The laboratory is the experimental space for this new everyday. It is open as a partner organization to all those who wish to positively shape the new era together with us. Thereby, the way the lab will work will itself constitute an experiment, that we will document.

These principles will be followed in the laboratory:

• We will work in a transparent way and publish our results according to the ‘open source’ principle
• We will report annually on the impact of our activity on the planet and build partnerships with compensatory projects
• As the Professorship, we will fly as little as possible, and not at all if we can reach a destination in less than ten hours by train
• We will teach and promote cooperative ideas and shared-use principles as a form of knowledge that is important for the future
• We will work in public space and involve the public
• We are committed to the city of Munich as a community and will work towards concrete changes on the ground
• We will also constantly connect the search for the new everyday life with issues related to design and urban space.

We will scrutinize, expand and modify these principles. For we may well write manifestos, but are far from being dogmatic!

Laboratory for the Everyday City, 2019

Benedita Bencsik, Elletna Carnelli, Elif Singer Fettahoglu-Ozgen, Isabel Glogar, Michael Kraus, Olga Wiedenhöft, Daniel Zwanegger

Hanne Rung
From 2017 until 2020, the Chair of Urban Development, together with the Lucerne University of Applied Sciences and Arts, is working on the research project ‘Knowledge-intensive firms, connectivity and spatial restructuring: dynamics and differences in Germany and Switzerland’, funded by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft – DFG) and the Swiss National Science Foundation (Schweizerischen Nationalfonds – SNF). The project raises the following research question: what has been the influence of the financial and economic crisis, which peaked in 2009, on the spatial value creation process in Germany and Switzerland? It uses a temporal longitudinal analysis to investigate the location dynamics of companies in the knowledge economy.

The financial and economic crisis led to a decline in global economic output for the first time in the post-war period. Export-oriented countries and open economies were particularly affected by the repercussions of the crisis. Usually, this type of crisis modifies interrelations within the economy, especially as regards company location decisions. We assumed that the crisis had led to a concentration of knowledge-intensive activities in urban areas, thereby further accelerating spatial structural change, because urban areas possess the strongest innovation drive and greatest capacity to overcome the repercussions of the crisis. This, in turn, has had consequences for the financial stability of municipalities, the attractiveness of cities as locations for living and working and, ultimately, for urban planning and architecture.

In this project, a special database of company locations has been built; by means of a temporal longitudinal study, it has been analyzed and visualized. We gathered the global business locations of approximately 480 companies in 16 different branches of the high-tech industry and business services sector, such as consulting firms. This was done for the years 2009 and 2019. It not only allowed us to consider location-based issues, but also to analyze the embedding of cities as nodes within international corporate networks – and thus, within knowledge networks.

However, contrary to expectations, initial results for Germany do not show any concentration of company locations in urban areas after the crisis. On the contrary, there is a spatial spread, while the largest metropolitan areas have been stretched to their limits in terms of the number and importance of established companies. In particular, small and medium-sized cities in the ‘second belt’ around large metropolises have benefitted from the local growth of business service providers, for instance Landshut or Schwabach but also university cities and those outside the ‘Big Seven’, such as Leipzig, Karlsruhe, Bremen and Münster. Peripheral regions also exhibit strong relative growth, albeit from a low initial level. Our current explanation for this phenomenon is that a ‘spillover’ of activities away from metropolitan centers has taken place, due to the very high cost of labor and real estate there. By contrast, industrial towns in a transitional phase, such as Hagen, Duisburg or Ibbenbüren, have stagnated in terms of their involvement in company networks.

High-tech sector data collection is also expected to be completed in 2019, so that more detailed results can be published in 2020.

“In particular, small and medium-sized cities […] have benefitted from the local growth […]”
Project: Municipal Stadium on Grünwalder Straße
Chair of Architectural Design and Construction, Prof. Florian Nagler

In the summer of 2017, the professional football team of the TSV 1860 Munich returned home to the Giesinger Berg. The Sechzger stadium has been part of Munich’s sporting history for over hundred years and is a landmark of Giesing. On match day, hours before kick-off, an atmosphere of expectation prevails in the district.

In contrast with sports grounds on the edge of the stand on the periphery of the city, which have been honed for road traffic, city stadiums like the Sechzger display a very special urban flair. Countless pubs in the direct vicinity of the stadium, the uncomplicated journey by public transit, along with the enjoyment of public open spaces, are emblematic of an urban lifestyle.

According to their wishes, the professional players of the TSV 1860 Munich continue to play their home games in Giesing. Should they climb back up into the 2nd or 1st Bundesliga (national league), then they will offer at least 15,000 spectator seats. In March of this year, the City of Munich commissioned a feasibility study for this purpose.

As part of the semester design project, we wished to investigate future expansion options for the Municipal Stadium on Grünwalder Straße as a match venue for the first and second leagues. In addition to conditions imposed by the umbrella organization (German Football League), building legislation and infrastructure challenges must be dealt with and, last but not least, the interests of local residents must be respected and their protection has to be ensured.
“[…] city stadiums like the “Sechzger” display a very special urban flair.”
A study for the development of a third-party funded research project on gender-equity changes in terms of the professional culture in architecture

The educational situation for women at German universities and colleges is good. Undergraduate numbers have been increasing for years. The proportions of women and men have been at an almost equal level since 1998; however, men and women are spread differently among different study programs. Within architecture studies, the proportion of women is now much larger: in 2006, for the first time, as many men as women studied architecture in Germany, while by 2016, 58% of architecture students were women. Against this background, the question arises which paths female architects take after completing their studies. The high percentage of female graduates is reflected neither in management positions in architectural practices nor in the academic field: in 2017, among the top 20 architectural firms in Germany, not a single office was run by a woman alone or a team of women. At the same time, the income of full-time female architects is almost 30% lower than that of their male counterparts.

The study ‘Gender Equality in Architecture’ investigated the directions in which female architects developed and whether there was a structural drop-out that might prevent women from entering the profession or from reaching leadership positions owing to exclusion mechanisms founded on sectoral culture. To this end, existing data and statistics were analyzed and interpreted. It turned out that data availability was very thin and patchy. Based on expert interviews, deeper insights into the sectoral culture were achieved. For this purpose, both female and male architects working under different types of employment relationship were interviewed, as well as persons who had studied architecture, but did not practice within the profession.
Female architects at universities and colleges

Part of the study examined the figures at the Department of Architecture of the Technical University of Munich. These findings should serve to develop measures that will be applied and tried out in the context of the diversity-oriented target agreements with the TUM. So far, there has been no continuous, digital data collection on gender distribution at the Department of Architecture. Data collection is imperative to assess the status quo as a basis for the implementation of the gender equality goals of the Department and is strongly recommended within the framework of the study. For this reason, only a snapshot of the situation can be provided, not the overall trend. This snapshot definitely shows that there is an urgent need for action.

Figures from the years 2014, 2015 and 2016 were used as a basis to report on the proportion of women at various stages of scientific work at the Department of Architecture of the TU Munich. The picture obtained is comparable to that concerning women in a typical academic career path in the EU (see She-Figures): if, at the first stage of academic education, women still constitute a large majority of students and graduates, this begins to reverse at the doctoral stage. The gap in the proportion of women in middle-level scientific positions then widens. The obvious discrepancy indicates that action is strongly needed at the Department of Architecture in order to reduce the gender gap at the highest levels of the academic career path. Non-standardized application procedures and career paths in the architecture industry complicate these processes. This applies both to some colleges of the university and to professional practice: vacancies are often not advertised, but passed on through personal acquaintance and word of mouth.

Data collection, and the associated voluntary commitment to monitoring, will constitute an important step towards change. As regards hiring middle-level scientists, it is possible to actively work towards parity without too much effort. The same applies to the recruitment of graders. The attribution of an equal number of visiting professorships is already being actively promoted by the dean’s office. Within the framework of appointment procedures, it will be necessary to work vigorously towards equal representation on committees.

However, a professional approach to the gender and diversity issue is at least as important. For this purpose, training courses are available for all those who take part in an appointment procedure. Lectures and expertise on this subject should additionally also be established within the Department. These measures are necessary in order to avoid the implicit gender bias that is still a feature of the scientific world. Gender bias means that women and men are (usually unintentionally and unconsciously) considered, treated and evaluated differently in scientifically relevant aspects such as performance, competence, etc., even if there is no objective justification for doing so. A special emphasis must be placed on the active recruitment of possible female candidates, also within the equality monitoring of appointment procedures at the TU Munich.

The large number and variety of open research questions show that there is a need for further research. At the same time, the empirical and quantitative evidence presented and visualized in the study make it very clear that gender in the architectural profession is an issue that will not sort itself out by simply waiting. The available information must already act as an incentive for change and the development of a new culture in the architecture industry.

An absence of female role models

Essentially, there seems to be an under-representation of female executives as role models within the architecture industry. Female architects who do occupy a leadership position in an office are less likely than male architects to be given the opportunity to perform in public and thus receive attention and recognition for their work. Within the organization, they play a crucial role for the success of the office, but lack standing in the public eye or in terms of the professional culture. Female architects who run an office together with male partners often suffer from a misjudgment of their position. The public invisibility of female architects who either run their own offices or are involved as partners results in a shortage of female role models for female students and young architects (Schumacher, 2004). Female students and architects need female role models in order to trust themselves to assume positions of leadership. Examples of positive career paths also open the door to the opportunities for shaping one’s career and to strategies for coping with the specific challenges that female architects have to deal with. As already shown, female professors at universities are also outnumbered, hence there is already a lack of female role models during the course of study (Schumacher, 2004). Afterwards, developing confidence in one’s own abilities and competence is decisive for finding a career and receiving promotions. For only people who are convinced of their own abilities can also radiate this outward into everyday life at the office.

Architectural practice

Students have much difficulty in gaining a picture of their future profession, since they often do not have any practical work experience yet. The respondents’ conception of everyday working life is more positive than the day-to-day work of an architect actually turns out to be. During the course of study, however, a positive picture is maintained, since reactions, including outside the university environment, tend to be positive when studies or profession are mentioned. Owing to the self-portrayal of the mostly male university teaching staff in the field of
architecture, the ideal image is charged with male characteristics: it often creates a kind of student-master relationship, in which it is difficult for students to separate the characteristics that they connect with their teachers from their teachers’ gender (Schumacher, 2004). Here, too, the aforementioned lack of female role models in universities and in executive positions in architectural firms exerts an influence, since architecture students have little opportunity to connect their expectations with female role models.

In Germany, women earn less than men in all occupational categories. Indeed, according to a study by the Hommerich Research Institute, female architects and planners earn just about 30% less than their male counterparts (Statista). The statistics presented here show the average gross monthly earnings of full-time employees in the ‘architecture and engineering offices’ sector in Germany for the years 2015 to 2018 by gender; they clearly illustrate the gender pay gap in the industry. Further figures show that the pay gap already exists right from the beginning of employment – this clearly points to unequal treatment of women and men. For upon completion of their studies, their levels of knowledge are generally comparable and issues such as interruption of working time, part-time employment, etc. are irrelevant.

Working hours, part-time

With regard to the establishment of new, flexible working-time models, the architecture industry is not proving very innovative. The architectural community is still very much attached to its traditional professional image, which entails long hours at the office. The “part-time work” model primarily serves women. Part-time work is often associated with tasks carrying little responsibility and demonstrably excludes (women) from leadership positions. Other working time models, such as job sharing, are either hardly or not at all to be seen in architectural offices. Here, some rethinking would be useful and vital: Chambers and professional associations are considering the issue of flexible working hours, including in terms of the economic aspect. Judging by the interviews conducted, building authorities seem to be a popular workplace for many women. One reason for the high number of women working at (building) authorities may well be their better structural organization: Regular working hours, overtime reduction, and an easier return to work after parental leave make building authorities an attractive and secure workplace. At the same time, the interviews also clearly display prejudices against working for building authorities. Working for a public authority is considered to be much less demanding. Although security is provided, such work apparently does not leave any room for a real passion for architecture. One may wonder to what extent the structures of a public authority could be transferred to architectural firms. In this context, we may question not only the difficulty of reconciling family and career, but also the established professional habits, which leads to a complete dissolution of boundaries between private and professional lives.

Conclusion

The large number and variety of open research questions that the study has identified and formulated show that there is a need for further research. At the same time, the empirical and quantitative evidence presented and visualized in the study make it very clear that gender in the architectural profession is an issue that will not sort itself out by simply waiting. The available information must act right away as an incentive for change and the development of a new culture in the architecture industry.
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Exhibition of the Master’s Theses 2018/19 in the TUM Inauguration Hall

Picture: Lisa Henicz © TUM Department of Architecture
Seminar / Symposium: ACTION, INTERVENTION, PARTICIPATION – art and interim use as participative planning – (how) does it work? BA/MA Summer Semester 2019
Chair of Landscape Architecture and Public Space, Prof. Regine Keller

In the search for new participation methods, the focus is on art and interim use. Planning authorities have been commissioning artists, architects, and event organizers to experiment with public spaces. As part of a study seminar for landscape architecture students, current temporary installations and actions within a planning context in Munich’s public space were researched in spring 2019. The project collection will be permanently documented and made available on the INTERVENTION-MUC website (web7.wzw.tum.de/intervention-muc).

The results of the research were presented at a public symposium of the Vorhoelzer Forum. Four Munich protagonists from different disciplines presented and discussed their own approaches and projects.

Image above: “Container Collective” – installation as an event location, by Robinson Kuhlman, Neville Kuhlmann and Markus Frankl. © by Johann-Christian Hannemann, TUM, 2019

Image right: “Alte Utting” – installation as an event location in the Schlachthofviertel, by Daniel Hahn. © by Amelie Kessler, TUM, 2019

Image left: Seminar – Workshop at Kreativquartier. Photograph: Johann-Christian Hannemann, TUM, 2019

Image below: “Piazza Zenetti” – installation as a planning process on the Zenettiplatz, by raumzeug. Photograph: Rafael Stutz, TUM, 2019

Tutors / Curators
M.Sc. Johann-Christian Hannemann, Dipl.-Ing. Felix Lüdicke

Students
Melissa Apolaya, Carina Brandl, Gero Engewer, Caren Huckel, Amelie Keseler, Christopher King, Fabian Konopka, Beatrice-Katharina Leitner, Robert Rothe, Rafael Stutz, Victoria Wakulicz

Speakers
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Agnes Förster, organizer: Julian Hahn, Dipl.-Ing. Florian Otto, Dipl. Culture Pedagogue / Dipl. Artist (FH) Alexander Steig

Podium Guests
Dipl.-Geogr. Ursula Caser, Dipl.-Ing. Stefanie Jühling, Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Kerstin Langer, Dipl. (ADBK) / M.A. Stefan Wischnewski
Observe & Conserve OLYMPIC FOLLIES


The objective of the exercise was to document and analyze the ticket booths in Munich’s Olympic Park. These consist of a series of similar buildings erected in the early 1970s in a modular way by the young architectural group PAS (Jochem Jourdan, Bernhard Müller and Rasem Badran).

Modularity was an idea that Jourdan had already addressed in the late 1960s in his work as a scientific assistant at the Technical University of Darmstadt. Rasem Badran, one of the participating students, who worked with Jourdan and Müller on the kiosk and ticket booth project for the Munich Olympics, later became one of the leading architects involved in urban rehabilitation in the Near East.

The idea for the project was developed with Carlo Weber, who coordinated several architectural teams within the overall scheme by Günter Behnisch. The first design proposed plastic structures but, for economic reasons, in the end these were made of steel frames covered with aluminum panels. PAS suggested using tube systems for the construction instead of extruded profiles because production would be less costly. Acrylic glass, also known as Plexiglas, was used for the windows, roofs and signboards.

On site measurements/observations
Drawing of the steel workshop, Müller Offenburg GmbH, 1971-72

Detail C
Stahlrohr mit Löcher RR 48.3 x 3.2
für Instalationsführung o ca. 1,5 cm
Q-Hohlprofil QRO 50x6.3
Dichtung 3mm
Plexiglas 1cm
Dichtung 3mm

Detail B
Alu-Stahlprofil
Alu-Stahlprofil (U-Profil) 60/40/5
Alu-Stahlprofil 50/40/5
schräg abfallend
Stahlrohr RR 101.6 x 5

Image above: exploded axonometric view of ticket booth, by Jesse Han and Mu-Yen Lee
Image below: cut away axonometric view and details of ticket booth (NW), by Jana Calatrava and Lisa Schröter

Project Information
MA Exercise – Summer Semester 2019
Project partners
Assistant Professorship for Recent Building Heritage Conservation
Prof. Dr. Andreas Putz
Meltem Cavdar
Chair of Conservation-Restoration, Art Technology and Conservation Science
Prof. Dr. Thomas Danzl
Dr. Clarimma Sessa
Nadia Thalguter

The students’ research during the semester was based on the original building plans and on-site investigation of the objects, which had strongly altered. The aim was to create a basic structural and material documentation, and analyze the objects, in order to develop a long-term preservation and management plan. The students were introduced to 3D photogrammetry, using 3DF Zephyr for object documentation purposes. The photogrammetric documentation was further used for a phenomenological mapping of the building materials and of surface deterioration and decay. In addition to the analysis of the original construction plans, on-site measurements and axonometric documentation of the structures in line drawings enabled a better understanding of structural damages, recent repairs, and alterations. Investigating the structures’ materiality further, and based on the previous findings and mappings, we concluded with a discussion of different ideas for developing a conservation and management strategy for these fragile structures.

The output of each student group was summarized on individual posters. These will be combined to produce a final, comprehensive outcome to be presented at the student poster session during the ICOMOS International Conference ‘The Heritage of the Modern Olympic Games’ in November 2019 in Munich, alongside other posters from various universities.

“[… developing a conservation and management strategy for these fragile structures.”
Young Talent Awards

1st IGEBC International Sustainable Building Design Competition
Silver Medal: “Wor(al)king in the Forest”, Borja Maristany Domínguez, Evgenii Varlygin, Federica Aridon, Florian Kraft, Georg Hyza, Niklas Heese, Margarita Alwalidi
Supervised by the Chair of Building Technology and Climate Responsive Design.

BDB Sponsorship Award 2019
Urban development plans: “Wohnutopie”, Maximilian Blume; “Main Street is (almost) alright”, Tobias Johannes Haag, Yonne-Luca Hack
Constructions: „Um die Ecke gedacht: Ein Ausblicksturm aus Stampflehm”, Marion Montiel-Cabrera, Sophie Johanna Ramm

AIV-Schinkel-Competition 2019
Special Prize: Philipp Rothbächer, Jonas Schengun
Shortlisted: Theresa Holl, Elena Rigato
Supervised by the Chair of Urban Architecture

Markt Schwaben macht sich 2019 (Markt Schwaben is getting ready in 2019)
1st Prize: “Schutzkiste”, Elena Englmann, Dennis Vrshynin, Valentín Breitsamer, Alicia V. Hergerdt, Luisa Aman, Christoph Hultsch
2nd Prize: “The Square”, Friedrich Mönninger, Leonie Straub, Mira Kirsch, Valentín Humeck, Silvia Stitzinger, Nadine Rott
3rd Prize: “Freiheit 451”, Elena Spatz, Agi Hidri, Wenshan Cui, Magdalena Schadhauser, Anna Christina Lüfßmann, Theresa Zöllner
All supervised by the Associate Professorship of Architectural Design and Timber Construction

wa award 2019
Maximilian Blume, Master thesis “Eine Wohnutopie”, supervised by the Chair of Urban Architecture.

Two Universal Design Awards
Prof. Fritz Frenkler, Chair of Industrial Design together with his students
Project: “Haftsache”

Vorarlberg Timber Construction Prize
Category “Temporary Building”: Prof. Hermann Kaufmann, Maren Kohnle, Chair of Architectural Design and Timber Construction, together with Atelier Andrea Gassner for proHolz Austria, proHolz Bayern and Lignum Schweiz
Project “woodpassage”

Hans Döllgast Prize 2019
Marion Montiel-Cabrera, Master thesis “Schiffsmuseum Nao Victoria”, supervised by the Chair of Professorship of Architectural Design and Timber Construction;

Senator Bernhard Borst Prize 2019
Maximilian Blume, Master Thesis „Eine Wohnutopie”, supervised by the Chair of Architectural Design and Construction and the Chair of Theory and History of Architecture, Art and Design

BDA-SARP-Award 2019
Maximilian Blume, Master’s thesis Project: “Eine Wohnutopie”

Renzo Piano World Tour Award
TUM Alumni Lukas Kaufmann

The Plan International Award 2019
Special Projects: Roberta Fonti, Research associate at the Chair of Restoration, Art Technology and Conservation Science, Project: “Architecture in restoration as a sum of geometrical beauties. A novel temporary design for the old main altar of the church of Saint Cajetan in Munich.”

Senator Bernhard Borst Prize 2019
Nick Förster, Maximilian David Graber, Jonas Hamburger, Tanja Schmidt, Dominik Thoma

Senator Bernhard Borst Prize 2019
Image above right: Nick Förster, “Glyptotheke” installation and local politics, Königsplatz München, 2019, with Marla Schütze, ongoing
Image right: Tanja Schmidt, Master’s Thesis, Hans Guck in die Luft – Barancara Public Observatory”, Chair of Architectural Design and Conception

Image above left: Jonas Hamburger, An economy of means – Residential transformation in Athens, A house in the urban context of the Karaiskaki rotary, Chair of Urban Design and Housing, in collaboration with Eva Hofmann and Vanessa Salin

Image middle: Maximilian Graber, Consolidation of the city – Berlin, Chair of Urban Architecture, with Lukas Weidner

Image above: Image above: Maximilian Graber, Consolidation of the city – Berlin, Chair of Urban Architecture, with Lukas Weidner

Image left: Jonas Hamburger, An economy of means – Residential transformation in Athens, A house in the urban context of the Karaiskaki rotary, Chair of Urban Design and Housing, in collaboration with Eva Hofmann and Vanessa Salin

Image right: Image above right: Nick Förster, “Glyptotheke” installation and local politics, Königsplatz München, 2019, with Marla Schütze, ongoing
Image right: Tanja Schmidt, Master’s Thesis, Hans Guck in die Luft – Barancara Public Observatory”, Chair of Architectural Design and Conception
Architecture as a medium for political discussion

Affordable housing? This type of housing has long been a scarce commodity in major cities such as Munich or London. Nowadays, flat hunting is by no means a problem reserved to low-paid workers, but also concerns average wage earners and families in which both parents work full time. In other words, this includes the middle class, whose prosperity and social satisfaction are considered to guarantee a stable democracy. Affordable living space has thus become one of the most important social challenges of our time in major cities and, of course, occupies us architects as well. At the moment, many competitions dealing with this topic are being advertised. Our agency, Opposite Office, participated in such a competition for ‘Affordable Housing’ in London as ‘Affordable Palace’. With its 775 rooms and 79 bathrooms, Buckingham Palace’s room supply is not representative of London’s rental housing. The rooms are decorated with sparkling chandeliers, sumptuous carpets, marble columns, sculptures and expensive artwork. So why not use this existing structure to tackle the housing shortage and treat Buckingham Palace as social housing?

Reactions provoked by our project show that there is a great deal of explosive power in our architectural image because the social and political implications of the building go together with it and have been thought...
through. At a time when the definition of national identity is at stake, British tabloids, spoiling for sensation and indignation, gratefully took up the idea and, above all, emphasized the fact that we, who disrespectfully lay hands on a British national treasure, are Germans. In addition to anti-German resentment, with terms such as ‘Merkeltoers’ and ‘Albert Speer 2.0’, it was above all the virulent refugee issue that served to explain the lack of affordable housing: ‘If we didn’t have so many illegal immigrants here, there wouldn’t be a problem’ or ‘No need to do this at all just stop uncontrolled immigration problem solved’. Another Daily Mail reader commented on our addition of floors to Buckingham Palace: ‘50,000 Londoners will any of them be white?’ This heated xenophobic discussion shows that an architectural project can indeed be a political provocation. Other readers, who already imagined our design built, blamed the EU for it and demanded a quick Brexit: ‘So why wasn’t this awarded to a British firm??????’, ‘So much for loyalty’, ‘And people want to remain in the EU?’.

Here, the numerous reactions show that an architectural image may convey political ideas and fears. The unusual, seemingly disturbing image of a Buckingham Palace fitted with additional floors was enough to provoke extreme thoughts. On the one hand, royalists and conservatives felt provoked and saw this lèse-majesté as an attack on the entire nation and its national identity. People with a right-leaning mindset view the influx of foreigners as the reason behind rising rents. Critics of capitalism and leftist anarchists see in this image an overthrow of the prevailing conditions.

I have to admit that we did not expect opinions to be so extreme. Of course, we did wish to be provocative, but not to create a nationalist uproar and even less to insult the Queen; instead, we sought to contribute to the social policy debate.

Of course, there were also some comments on the Internet and in letters to the editor that recognized the polemical character of the image, and did not blindly believe in a real project whose realisation depended on fire escape staircases, corridors, and static calculations. Many comments anchored our project in a political dimension: ‘This was never meant to be a serious proposal, but a commentary on the ever widening gap between the ultra-rich and the growing poor and homeless population. Also the suggestion to put the glass box on top of the structure, I believe, to be a satirical dig at the many, MANY, similar adaptations of historical buildings that have been absolutely ruined by such “forward thinking” across the world.’ The architectural image (Affordable Palace) makes visible a societal problem (Affordable Housing); thus, it does not become an implementable project, but a polemical projectile within the socio-political discourse.

And who would have thought that, of all people, the British, who like to think that they invented black humor, would so badly deal with architectural provocation and humor? As one reader aptly put it: ‘Who knew that the Brits were so lacking in humour and so (still) in love with their anachronistic monarchy (yes that is you all you but butthurt commenters). Did you not laugh at “Eight dramatic staircases and lift cores would descend through the historic palace so the queen can mingle with her subjectsturnedhousemates in the communal areas.” Ever seen the queen “mingling” with commoners?’

Benedikt Hartl

All Images and plans: © Opposite Office, Benedikt Hartl
Buzludzha Monument Project

Conservation and management plan for one of the “7 Most Endangered” heritage sites in Europe

The Getty Foundation has awarded a $185,000 grant for the conservation of the Buzludzha Monument as part of its 2019 ‘Keeping It Modern’ Initiative. Prof. Thomas Danzl, holder of the Chair of Conservation-Restoration, Art Technology and Conservation Science, and Dora Ivanova, founder of the “Buzludzha Project” Foundation, are the organizers of the field studies, which are part of a large initiative on the iconic and controversial artifact of Bulgaria’s socialist era. Together with Andreas Putz, Professor for Recent Building Heritage, they offered a kick-off summer school.

The Getty Grant will fund the creation of a Conservation and Management Plan for the Buzludzha Monument, beginning with a full evaluation of the building’s condition. It will explore the future (re)use of the monument, and aims to establish a viable business model for operating Buzludzha as a revitalized heritage site. This project will conclude with the release of a public report in September 2020, forming a basis for further decision-making.

The project will be undertaken by a multidisciplinary team of Bulgarian and international experts. The project partners are the German and Bulgarian committees of ICOMOS (International Council on Monuments and Sites); the Technical University of Munich; the University of Architecture, Civil Engineering and Geodesy in Sofia as well as the Buzludzha Project Foundation, a Bulgarian organization which has been campaigning since 2015 for the monument’s preservation. The original architect of the Buzludzha Monument, Georgi Stoilov, will also have a key role in the project.

The Buzludzha Monument is a unique piece of 20th century architecture, art and engineering. Located on a mountain peak in central Bulgaria, this special structure was completed in 1981 to celebrate the history of socialism. It was used for only eight years – until the dissolution of the socialist regime in Bulgaria in 1989. Today the glamour has turned into decay and the building is highly endangered, but still attracts attention due to its dramatic history, gravity-defying architecture and 1000m² of extraordinary, colorful mosaics. Its saucer-shaped body, with a 60m free-spanning roof and 20m overhangs, symbolizes a wreath commemorating the historical events which happened there.

During the summer school in September 2019 the current condition of the building was documented and the reasons for the decay were analyzed. Architecture and restoration students worked together in groups to develop a basis documentation. Exchange between experts and students from these two fields contributed a better understanding of the construction and materiality of the complex structure. This documentation will contribute for further planning and discussion of the monument’s future.
Entitled ‘Communitree’, the studio’s task was to design an independent sustainable structure that should serve the community both as a provider of energy and as a gathering space. Students were given the choice of context in sub-Saharan Africa, taking into account their personal interests. Research about each site preceded the design. Thirty students took part in the Bachelor’s course of the Chair of Architectural Design and Participation.

Our projects develop a sensibility to contextual factors from which invention can arise. Conceptual thinking is combined with a hands-on approach to site-specific solutions that acknowledges the importance of local resources and the needs of the community.

A diversity of projects was developed from the brief. For instance, the ‘Lifeline’ Project located on the Niger River, developed a floating structure to produce clean water for the villages located by the river. This uses modular platforms filled with biosand filters. In another approach, the project ‘Bridge for Resilience’ developed an open workshop with a space for residents to process plastic, recycle it and transform it into new resilient materials. Experiments in a 1:1 scale complemented the design research process.

Image above: Bridge for Resilience. Accra, Ghana. Open workshop for residents to process plastic and recycle it. Students: Maria-Dolores Heinrich, Nora Gani (BA)

The Architecture Machine

Digital architecture predates the computer. From the calculation of the proportions of parts to the whole in ancient temples, to Renaissance and Classicist buildings all the way to Antoni Gaudí and Frei Otto, computational methods are omnipresent in the history of architecture. But after World War II, with the advent of the first interactive cathode ray monitors and light pens, the machine slowly took over more tasks than anyone could have imagined. First, it became a tool for bookkeeping and structural calculations. Then, it began to automate drawings and facilitate file-to-factory processes. It helped create the first digitally modeled films and renderings, and turned architectural design loose by allowing previously impossible shapes to be conceived. Today, following the introduction of the Web 2.0 in 2004, the computer has become a platform for architects, engineers, and a general audience enabling them to create, to experience and to play in built as well as virtual realities.

One of the pioneers of the movement, Nicholas Negroponte, saw it all coming. The cofounder and public face of the Architecture Machine Group at MIT’s Department of Architecture in Cambridge, Massachussets, had envisioned computers as more than an assembly of switches and circuits to facilitate tedious calculating tasks. Instead, the computer should have human attributes. It should be able to listen, to talk and even to worry about design problems. Since the 1960s, the Architecture Machine, a large IBM mainframe computer on MIT’s campus, underwent a series of challenges that were supposed to evolve it toward an ideal: the computer as the designer’s equal partner, an almost intimately personal and intelligent device. From learning to recognize hand sketches to understanding the human voice, obeying orders, and executing basic design rules, the machine mastered many of the experiments but failed at the most important one: it could not learn. Almost sixty years later, the dream of an intelligent machine that can create architecture independently is still awaiting fulfillment. Computers have taken over large areas of design nevertheless. It is high time to give them the recognition they deserve.

Some Machines Will Attend. We Hope that You Will Play with Them

In 2018, the Architekturmuseum der TUM initiated a research project that is starting to unravel parts of this history of the computer’s role in architecture. Funded by the Gerda Henkel Stiftung, we are working toward an overview of architectural software and input devices created since the days of the first Architecture Machine. We are studying the impact that computers have had on everyday practices in architectural offices as well as on the wider image of architecture as it is presented to those commissioning, judging and appreciating it. In the preparation for the project, the Architekturmuseum took over Richard Junge’s slide collection of the Chair of Architectural Informatics, which includes screenshots of software interfaces, student projects, and references. These analogue images are currently being returned to a digital state.

With decades of development behind us, we have chosen forty exemplary projects to illustrate major milestones. They are loosely associated with one of four main themes that constitute the basis of our exploration: drawing machines, computer-aided design, story-telling, and interactive platforms. One of the outputs will be a publication featuring all case studies as well as new research by eight scholars from across the globe. As part of the groundwork for the book, we invited several of them to Munich for a workshop on October 11, 2019, culminating in a public session and featuring an additional six researchers, asked to present both new historical work as well as current practices. The main output of this project will be an exhibition at the Architektur- museum der TUM, running from July 16 until October 25, 2020 under the title ‘The Architecture Machine. The Role of Computers in Architecture.’ It will present original material from the 1960s until today, much of which has rarely been seen in public. As an homage to its namesake, the original Architecture Machine, we will install several reconstructed versions of early architectural software, such as Ivan Sutherland’s first architectural drawing program, Sketchpad, as well as newly developed interactive programs that will allow visitors to do exactly what the pioneers had envisioned: to play with the machines.

Teresa Funkhütter

Final Critique

Picture: Master project “Conceptual design for a secondary school in Munich based on Scandinavian models”, Summer Term 2019, Room 3365. The project had been supervised by Sto Foundation Visiting professor Mikala Holme Samsoe at the Chair of Spatial Art and Light Design.
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Born and raised in Munich, during your architectural studies at the TUM you decided to go abroad. Looking back on your career path, how important was this experience for you?

My international experience has greatly influenced everything I have done to this day. After the intermediate examination in 2004, I needed a break and went to Shanghai for twelve months to work in a Chinese architecture office. There, I saw how fast architecture can move forward and the responsibility that we architects carry in this regard. During my undergraduate studies, I also spent a year studying at McGill University in Montreal, where I learned about parametric modeling. This decisively contributed to the success of my diploma at the TUM. After a year’s work in Munich, it became clear to me that I wished to go away again, to London or some other large city where I could apply my computer skills. These were not in demand in Munich offices.

This means that you picked up the basics for your later specialist field abroad?

Yes, that’s right. In Montreal there was this crazy, very artistic professor of mathematics who mentioned the topic of “parametrics”. At that time, it was still in its infancy. As a result, I flew to Indianapolis with a classmate to attend a Generative Components (GC) workshop, where I also met key exponents. The software was a relief for me. All of a sudden, architectural design was no longer static, but flexible. It no longer consisted of chain dimensioning but of dependencies. Back in Munich, the thesis by David Koudrý and myself was the first parametric architecture project at the TUM. That was in early 2008. We were able to present it at the Smart Geometry Conference, and a year later were both employed as computational designers in a London office.

How did you go about transferring your knowledge into teaching?

When I started at the Chair of Structural Design at the TUM in 2012, I wished to convey parametric design to the students. But their training in 3D modeling was very uneven and I was not meant to offer a CAD course. In the end, my tactic was to focus on the parametric way of thinking, the software then came all by itself. We designed modular structures similar to honeycomb. Students had to build a single module and think about the dependencies that determine its shape, and how these would emerge if many identical modules were strung together. In other words, how does the single module influence the overall shape? The project was called Experimental Structures and examined a research question that had been preoccupying me for a long time, namely whether it’s possible to simplify complex supporting structures by building them from the same elements.

In your dissertation, “Repetitive Structures - Design and construction of curved support structures with repetitive parameters”, you explored the topic in even greater depth. Where are breaks and opportunities to be found for future construction?

At some point I realized, that it was not repetitive modules I was looking for, but the geometric parameters that control their geometry. If one proceeds at this much more fundamental level of reflection, then dependencies suddenly become clearer and the fundamental laws of “repetitive structures” can be described. Of course, this step forward did not happen overnight. I had many discussions with my supervisor, Rainer Barthel, and the mathematician, Helmut Pottmann, until the penny dropped.

After that, I prepared a table of the determining parameters of a grid structure and tested their behaviors. One of the most important findings of the work is that definitely not all parameters should be the same. If everything is built from identical parts, the design spectrum is much too constrained. You end up with only flat or cylindrical designs. It is much more exciting to understand the individual parameters and to know what influence they will have on the design and shape. Then the architect can very deliberately decide on the degree of complexity that he is prepared to design to, which tool he prefers and the costs he is willing to accept.

At the moment, your research focus is on gridshells, that is to say double-curved structures. Actually, you have already realized the first projects! Is it conceivable that new façade architectures could also be developed?

Of course, I first specialized in supporting structures because it’s my research field. And that’s also the reason why I was mainly concerned with grids, and nodal points, and less with façades. However, a façade can also be described in the same way, with parameters, and can also be simplified in the same way. Many publications already deal with the geometric optimization of façades and I can also see an exciting overlap for my future research.

Is thinking in terms of modules and parameters something that could also be applied to urban planning?

That would certainly be possible, but the question is: What would be the sense of it? As regards supporting structures, the advantage lies in a straightforward production and also in the aesthetics that result from the systematic design. This systematic might also have some potential for urban design by allowing certain sustainable or social characteristics. But it would be feasible to apply it solely for its chic look and nothing else.

With your appointment at the University of Hong Kong (HKU) as a Tenure Track Assistant Professor, a new chapter of your life is going to begin abroad. What are your expectations, what challenges will you meet there?

During my inaugural lecture at the HKU, I presented our teaching and research. And the Department of Architecture was enthusiastic about our system and its technical, innovative results. The greatest challenge will be to keep up the momentum and successfully continue my research and teaching in this new environment. At the same time, I can well imagine that their expectations and requirements are completely different from those in Munich. The HKU is one of the best universities in Asia and worldwide. Out of 50 applicants, only one student is admitted to study there. 80% of the students come from Hong Kong or China, and have enjoyed a completely different schooling from the one I’m familiar with.
with. I’ll surely have to learn to question my habits. The research isn’t going to be easy either. I’ve learned that successful research only works as a team. And of course, I have been spoiled by the Chair of Structural Design or the metal construction company Brandl. Even though I will constantly keep in touch with Munich, I will first of all have to build up local partners at the HKU.

Thus basically the wheel will come full circle. You discovered the parametric structures for yourself while abroad, then came back to explore the topic in greater depth, and now are taking this knowledge with you to share it further.

And all these experiences abroad are now helping me in my new job. In North America, I experienced a very different teaching approach: There, the boundaries between art and architecture are fluid, and far more emphasis is placed on literature and method during the design process. Things are similar at the HKU and it will be exciting to introduce my technical subject matter there.

Working in international firms in Shanghai, Montreal and London, has taught me how different other cultures approach architectural design and planning in terms of speed and creativity, but also, serenity. I hope that will help me to cope in my new working environment. Moreover, practical experience is very important in order to practice teaching and research with both feet on the ground.

“[…], how different other cultures approach architectural design […] in terms of speed and creativity, but also, serenity.”

Eike Schling
New Professor

Dr. Kathrin Dörfler
Tenure Track Assistant Professor of Digital Manufacturing

As of June 1, 2019, Dr.-Ing. Kathrin Dörfler, post-doc for Digital Fabrication at the ETH Zurich, was appointed Tenure Track Assistant Professor for Digital Manufacturing at the Department of Architecture and the Department of Civil, Geo and Environmental Engineering at the TUM. Kathrin Dörfler studied architecture at the TU Graz and TU Vienna, as well as digital art at the University of Applied Arts Vienna. She worked at various architectural firms, founded the architecture collective dorfiundrust, and carried out teaching and research at the TU Vienna and the ETH Zurich. An ‘Augmented Fabrication Lab’ will be established at the TUM under her leadership. The new research group will explore the interface between architecture, robotics and human-computer interaction. Of particular interest is the use of augmented reality technologies to explore the potential of seamless communication and information exchange between humans and machines in digitalized manufacturing processes.

Image above: Mobile part-based AM: Fabrication scenario of a stay-in-place formwork using an arm-based mobile robot platform on site.
Image right above: The residential unit DFBAR HOUSE of the Empa NEST building in Dübendorf, Switzerland, developed by researchers of ETH, displays five different innovation objects that aim to advance digital fabrication techniques in building construction. Gramazio Kohler Research 2018. Image right: The In situ Fabricator (IF) and Mesh Mould: the mobile construction robot IF is fabricating the last layers of the steel rebar mesh for the bespoke reinforced concrete wall on the DFBAR HOUSE construction site, situated at the NEST building of Empa, Dübendorf, Switzerland. Gramazio Kohler Research 2017.

Guest Professors

Bill Addis
Chair of Structural Design | Prof. Dr. Rainer Barthel

As part of the August-Wilhelm Scheer Visiting Professorship Program, London engineer Bill Addis once again worked at the Chair of Structural Design of the Department of Architecture. The deepening of international cooperation during the summer semester of 2019 was intended to develop a joint research project in the field of the history of structural engineering and construction. For almost forty years, Bill Addis has been dealing with the history of structural engineering and building materials. He is the author of over 100 publications on structural engineering history and design (practices), the curator of several exhibitions and a member of the editorial board of Engineering History and Heritage, a journal of the Institution of Civil Engineers in London. He has taught at the universities of Cambridge, Bath, Reading, Rome, Zurich, San Sebastian, Innsbruck and Brussels. During the summer semester of 2018, Addis already reported on his experiences and research results in lectures, workshops and public talks at the TUM Department of Architecture. This year’s goal was to develop a joint research project in collaboration with the Chair of Structural Design, Prof. Rainer Barthel. Knowledge gained from the history of the design and construction of wide-span lightweight structures should be harnessed for maintenance and repair. In this respect, the focus was on post-WWII buildings. In particular, membrane constructions, and gridshells made of wood and steel occupied center stage.

Olajumoke Adenowo
Chair of Theory and History of Architecture, Art and Design | Prof. Dr. Dietrich Erben

Olajumoke Adenowo, the renowned Nigerian architect, presented a lecture and a workshop during the summer semester as part of her visiting professorship at the Chair of Theory and History of Architecture, Art and Design. Olajumoke Adenowo is a prominent architect, author and radio presenter from Lagos, Nigeria. She was praised by CNN as ‘Africa’s Architecture Icon’ and ‘The Most Influential Woman in Architecture’ and awarded the title of Nigeria’s ‘Most Prominent Woman’ in 2017. Olajumoke Adenowo is currently a Visiting Professor at the Chair of Energy Efficient and Sustainable Design and Building, headed by Prof. Werner Lang. Philipp Auer, who has been an executive partner of the renowned architectural firm Auer Weber since 2017, was born in Stuttgart in 1967; he studied at the TU Stuttgart and the TH Darmstadt. From 1995 to 1997, he worked at David Chipperfield Architects in London, and since 1997 at Auer Weber in Munich. The office, founded in 1980 by Prof. Fritz Auer and Prof. Carlo Weber, is based in Stuttgart and Munich. The office, founded in 1980 by Prof. Fritz Auer and Prof. Carlo Weber, is based in Stuttgart and Munich, and currently employs around 140 people. For almost twenty years, they have been implementing international projects, among other countries in Chile, France and China. For Philipp Auer, the guiding principle of all projects is to develop the architectural form on the basis of the task at hand and local conditions in a distinctive, conclusive and comprehensible manner. Today, Auer Weber is one of the largest architectural firms in Germany; it stands out through its successful participation in numerous national and international competitions.

Philipp Auer
Chair of Institute of Energy Efficient and Sustainable Design and Building | Prof. Dr. Werner Lang

n the past summer term, Philipp Auer supervised the Master’s design ‘Chiamaira – an architectural monster’ as a Visiting Professor at the Chair of Energy Efficient and Sustainable Design and Building, headed by Prof. Werner Lang. Philipp Auer, who has been an executive partner of the renowned architectural firm Auer Weber since 2017, was born in Stuttgart in 1967; he studied at the TU Stuttgart and the TH Darmstadt. From 1995 to 1997, he worked at David Chipperfield Architects in London, and since 1997 at Auer Weber in Munich. The office, founded in 1980 by Prof. Fritz Auer and Prof. Carlo Weber, is based in Stuttgart and Munich, and currently employs around 140 people. For almost twenty years, they have been implementing international projects, among other countries in Chile, France and China. For Philipp Auer, the guiding principle of all projects is to develop the architectural form on the basis of the task at hand and local conditions in a distinctive, conclusive and comprehensible manner. Today, Auer Weber is one of the largest architectural firms in Germany; it stands out through its successful participation in numerous national and international competitions.
Dr. Matthias Castorph
Professorship of Urban Design | Prof. Dr. Benedikt Boucsein

Matthias Castorph, architect and urban planner, also visited the TUM Department of Architecture during the summer semester. He presented the Master’s project ‘blind spot’ at the Professorship of Urban Design. After completing his diploma with Prof. Uwe Kiessler at the TU Munich, he joined Andreas Hild as a research associate at the University of Kaiserslautern, where he has been teaching at the Department of Urban Architecture and Design since 2008 as an adjunct professor. In addition, Castorph has already been a visiting lecturer, among others at the Academy of Architecture (ABM) and the ETH Zurich. Since 2012, he has been a managing partner of Goetz Castorph Architekten und Stadtplaner GmbH. Last year, Castorph and Dr. Julian Müller founded the Institute for General Architecture.

Elias Knubben
Chair of Industrial Design | Prof. Fritz Frenkler

During the summer semester of 2019, the Department of Industrial Design proposed a Master’s project under the supervision of Guest Professor Elias Knubben, who is the Deputy Chairman of the Danish Design Foundation and Head of its Corporate Research and Innovation Department. The Festo Group specializes in automation technology and biometrics; it operates on more than 250 sites worldwide. Knubben pursued his studies in industrial design at the Academy of Fine Arts in Stuttgart before completing a PhD at the University of Stuttgart from 2008 to 2014. He has worked for Festo since 2005 and was the Associate Professor of Product Design at OskoMet – Sterbyuniversitetet in 2018–2019. During the summer semester, he managed the Master’s project ‘...what if rooms knew about our dreams?’ at the Department of Industrial Design headed by Prof. Frenkler. The topic of the project was the elaboration of ideas concerning current standards of home automation. By equipping buildings with sensors, as well as record- and consolidating all user data generated by mobile devices, it will be possible in future to match technical solutions with the needs and behaviors of users far more extensively. Large amounts of data and artificial intelligence are leading to comprehensive knowledge about the user and ensure a high probability of successful prediction. This technical possibility, with all the technical risks and ethical challenges attached, also opens up huge opportunities for energy-efficient and comfortable living for people with little time, or with physical or mental disabilities.

Mikala Holme Samsøe
Chair of Spatial Arts and Lighting Design | Prof. Hannelore Deubzer

During the summer semester of 2019, the Danish architect was once again a lecturer within the framework of the Sto Foundation Visiting Professorship at the TUM. Samsøe proposed a conceptual design project to Master’s students, thus providing insights into Scandinavian architectural practice. Mikala Holme Samsøe studied architecture at the Royal Academy of Arts in Copenhagen and, in parallel to her work, completed an Executive Master’s program at Copenhagen Business School. As is usual in Denmark, Samsøe was employed in various positions within the construction industry. She still makes active use of these experiences when it comes to understanding various tasks during the planning and construction phases. At the forefront of her career stands architectural quality as a strategic tool and, even, as a necessary component of the sustainable development of our society. Samsøe is a co-founder of the young, successful and competition-driven Studio Force4 in Copenhagen, which made a name for itself through sustainable and experimental housing construction. She then worked for the Danish Ministry of Science, with an emphasis on educational architecture and campus research. Until 2016, she led the international office Henning Larsen Architects in Munich as a member of its executive board. In 2017, Samsøe founded the office SAMSØE og, out of the desire to actively promote the transition from an expansive to a reductional society. The office combines strategy and architecture; it works with both private and public clients during the first phases of planning and of construction projects, its aim being to do more with less. As part of her work at the TUM, Samsøe managed the Master’s project ‘Conceptual design of a high school in Munich based on the Scandinavian model’ during the winter semester 2018/19 at the Chair of Spatial Arts and Lighting Design. Questions such as ‘How can architecture promote learning processes?’ and ‘How do we communicate and transmit architectural ideas?’ were at the forefront, as well as engaging with the material, the contextual analysis of the environment and, also, aesthetic and sustainable decision-making processes.

Kasper Guldgard Jensen
Chair of Building Technology and Climate Responsive Design | Prof. Thomas Auer

Senior partner at the architectural firm 3XN and a director of the innovative company GXN, Kasper Guldgard Jensen was a lecturer during the summer semester of 2019 within the framework of the Sto Foundation Visiting Professorship at the Department of Architecture of the Technical University of Munich. The Danish architect supervised a Master’s project on microenvironments and adaptive, sustainable office space at the Chair of Prof. Thomas Auer. Kasper Guldgard Jensen holds Master’s degrees in architecture from the Aarhus School of Architecture and the Southern California Institute of Architecture. Both in practical terms and in terms of research theory, his emphasis lies on ecological-sustainable design, digital processes, environment-friendly technologies and new materials. In the space of a few years, Jensen has developed into an international pioneer in the design of forward-looking architecture. Through GXN, he wishes to apply innovative knowledge and technologies to found a new building culture that will positively influence the world we live in – both in architectural and ecological terms. As part of his work as Sto Foundation Guest Professor at the TUM, Jensen managed the Master’s project ‘The Office’ at the Chair of Building Technology and Climate Responsive Design. This project was meant to bring together TUM students with architects from 3XN and researchers from GXN Innovation to discuss the potential of fully adaptable offices that better fulfill human needs through appropriate microenvironments.

Elias Knubben
Chair of Industrial Design | Prof. Fritz Frenkler

During the summer semester of 2019, the Department of Industrial Design proposed a Master’s project under the supervision of Guest Professor Elias Knubben, who is the Deputy Chairman of the Danish Design Foundation and Head of its Corporate Research and Innovation Department. The Festo Group specializes in automation technology and biometrics; it operates on more than 250 sites worldwide. Knubben pursued his studies in industrial design at the Academy of Fine Arts in Stuttgart before completing a PhD at the University of Stuttgart from 2008 to 2014. He has worked for Festo since 2005 and was the Associate Professor of Product Design at OskoMet – Sterbyuniversitetet in 2018–2019. During the summer semester, he managed the Master’s project ‘...what if rooms knew about our dreams?’ at the Department of Industrial Design headed by Prof. Frenkler. The topic of the project was the elaboration of ideas concerning current standards of home automation. By equipping buildings with sensors, as well as record- and consolidating all user data generated by mobile devices, it will be possible in future to match technical solutions with the needs and behaviors of users far more extensively. Large amounts of data and artificial intelligence are leading to comprehensive knowledge about the user and ensure a high probability of successful prediction. This technical possibility, with all the technical risks and ethical challenges attached, also opens up huge opportunities for energy-efficient and comfortable living for people with little time, or with physical or mental disabilities.

Mikala Holme Samsøe
Chair of Spatial Arts and Lighting Design | Prof. Hannelore Deubzer

During the summer semester of 2019, the Danish architect was once again a lecturer within the framework of the Sto Foundation Visiting Professorship at the TUM. Samsøe proposed a conceptual design project to Master’s students, thus providing insights into Scandinavian architectural practice. Mikala Holme Samsøe studied architecture at the Royal Academy of Arts in Copenhagen and, in parallel to her work, completed an Executive Master’s program at Copenhagen Business School. As is usual in Denmark, Samsøe was employed in various positions within the construction industry. She still makes active use of these experiences when it comes to understanding various tasks during the planning and construction phases. At the forefront of her career stands architectural quality as a strategic tool and, even, as a necessary component of the sustainable development of our society. Samsøe is a co-founder of the young, successful and competition-driven Studio Force4 in Copenhagen, which made a name for itself through sustainable and experimental housing construction. She then worked for the Danish Ministry of Science, with an emphasis on educational architecture and campus research. Until 2016, she led the international office Henning Larsen Architects in Munich as a member of its executive board. In 2017, Samsøe founded the office SAMSØE og, out of the desire to actively promote the transition from an expansive to a reductional society. The office combines strategy and architecture; it works with both private and public clients during the first phases of planning and of construction projects, its aim being to do more with less. As part of her work at the TUM, Samsøe managed the Master’s project ‘Conceptual design of a high school in Munich based on the Scandinavian model’ during the winter semester 2018/19 at the Chair of Spatial Arts and Lighting Design. Questions such as ‘How can architecture promote learning processes?’ and ‘How do we communicate and transmit architectural ideas?’ were at the forefront, as well as engaging with the material, the contextual analysis of the environment and, also, aesthetic and sustainable decision-making processes.

Kasper Guldgard Jensen
Chair of Building Technology and Climate Responsive Design | Prof. Thomas Auer

Senior partner at the architectural firm 3XN and a director of the innovative company GXN, Kasper Guldgard Jensen was a lecturer during the summer semester of 2019 within the framework of the Sto Foundation Visiting Professorship at the Department of Architecture of the Technical University of Munich. The Danish architect supervised a Master’s project on microenvironments and adaptive, sustainable office space at the Chair of Prof. Thomas Auer. Kasper Guldgard Jensen holds Master’s degrees in architecture from the Aarhus School of Architecture and the Southern California Institute of Architecture. Both in practical terms and in terms of research theory, his emphasis lies on ecological-sustainable design, digital processes, environment-friendly technologies and new materials. In the space of a few years, Jensen has developed into an international pioneer in the design of forward-looking architecture. Through GXN, he wishes to apply innovative knowledge and technologies to found a new building culture that will positively influence the world we live in – both in architectural and ecological terms. As part of his work as Sto Foundation Guest Professor at the TUM, Jensen managed the Master’s project ‘The Office’ at the Chair of Building Technology and Climate Responsive Design. This project was meant to bring together TUM students with architects from 3XN and researchers from GXN Innovation to discuss the potential of fully adaptable offices that better fulfill human needs through appropriate microenvironments. By equipping buildings with sensors, as well as record- and consolidating all user data generated by mobile devices, it will be possible in future to match technical solutions with the needs and behaviors of users far more extensively. Large amounts of data and artificial intelligence are leading to comprehensive knowledge about the user and ensure a high probability of successful prediction. This technical possibility, with all the technical risks and ethical challenges attached, also opens up huge opportunities for energy-efficient and comfortable living for people with little time, or with physical or mental disabilities.
Project: Studiolo
Chair of Architectural Design and Conception, Prof. Uta Graff

For the duration of the full first year cycle of their studies, students of the field of architecture and landscape architecture maintain together the introductory courses of ‘Grundlagen der Gestaltung’ and ‘Grundlagen der Darstellung’ as well as the Grand Tour as a one week fieldtrip to northern Italy – this time the city of Perugia. The sketching, experience and exploration of Perugia was embedded into the context of a consecutive assignment to design a Studiolo, implemented into the city fabric. During the excursion, the students created their individual plan material with sketches and drawings in situ as basis for their further investigation and design project in Munich.

Within the dense urban fabric of Perugia, a Studiolo is to be designed. In the context of the city, studios can serve different purposes and have accordingly a different architectural appearance. The Studiolo is, in any case, a place for a specific use to be defined in the context of the task and whose specific minimal need for space and equipment must be clarified. In this sense, the Studio is to a certain extent independent of the spatial and temporal parameters of its surroundings. But nevertheless it is impossible to imagine and create it without attention to the context into which it is implemented - regarding the structure and materiality of the city or the light and colourfulness of the landscape.

“As the smallest habitual unit, the spatial cell is a materialized form of the individual sphere in two respects. First, it can be regarded as a form of the most intense spatial concentration within which the human individual fully controls his or her environment, equipping it with only the most necessary objects, and keeping everything he needs within reach. The immediate correspondences between spatial requirements and spatial limitations makes the envelope a matrix within which the free spatial developments of activities can leave their traces as imprint and self-expression without other influence” 1)
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BIMwood research project

Modern timber construction and the progressive adoption of Building Information Modeling (BIM) as a planning method in the construction sector require rapid changes in the timber construction industry towards timber planning and construction processes appropriate for timber building in order to maintain the competitive position of timber in the construction industry.

**PROJECT INFORMATION**

**Project management**

Professors of Architectural Design and Timber Construction, Prof. Hermann Kaufmann

Chair of Architectural Informatics, Prof. Dr. Frank Petzold

**Project partners**

Prause Holzbauplanung GmbH & Co KG, Augsburg

Holzbauunternehmen Gumpp & Maier, AEC3 Deutschland GmbH, München

Chair of Architectural Informatics' at the Department of Architecture, Technical University of Munich. This three-year project, which brings together the practice partners Prause Holzbauplanung, the BIM experts AEC3 and lattkearchitekten, and the timber construction firm Gumpp & Maier, started in August 2019; it receives funding under the Renewable Resources program.

BIMwood is a joint research project of the professorship ‘Architectural Design and Timber Construction’ and the chair ‘Architectural Informatics’ at the Department of Architecture, Technical University of Munich. This three-year project, which brings together the practice partners Prause Holzbauplanung, the BIM experts AEC3 and lattkearchitekten, and the timber construction firm Gumpp & Maier, started in August 2019; it receives funding under the Renewable Resources program.

Against the backdrop of digital transformation, BIMwood is developing the timber design and construction value chain by using Building Information Modelling (BIM) as the key technology in Architecture, Engineering and Construction (AEC), which has a profound impact on established work practices. The focus is on the further development of methods, tools and behavior in prefabricated timber construction to ensure smooth planning and improve data management processes. The target audience of BIMwood results are decision-makers, planners, and timber construction companies, as well as the software industry, which is reliant on the requirements of timber technology know-how to pursue new developments. The research team is investigating the obstacles to a BIM application in timber construction and, resulting from this, will develop concrete proposals for a timber construction-relevant BIM application, which will then be published in a handbook. If the timber construction branch does not take the first steps towards the BIM planning method, especially as regards urban and large-scale structures, it will not be competitive in the future.

Building Information Modelling (BIM) is increasingly becoming the norm, especially for major projects. The BIM planning method supports communication between various parties as regards the planning, construction and management of buildings. Basically, BIM software is used to create a three-dimensional model of the building. Depending on the agreed depth of information, this consolidates data related to drawings, materials, and products, as well as the quantities and costs of all aspects of the planning process, making them transparent. Modifications of one item in the planning, e.g. the dimensions of a wooden beam, are passed on automatically to the three-dimensional model of the building. Depending on the agreed depth of information, this consolidates data related to drawings, materials, and products, as well as the quantities and costs of all aspects of the planning process, making them transparent. Modifications of one item in the planning, e.g. the dimensions of a wooden beam, are passed on automatically to the three-dimensional model of the building. Depending on the agreed depth of information, this consolidates data related to drawings, materials, and products, as well as the quantities and costs of all aspects of the planning process, making them transparent. Modifications of one item in the planning, e.g. the dimensions of a wooden beam, are passed on automatically to the three-dimensional model of the building. Depending on the agreed depth of information, this consolidates data related to drawings, materials, and products, as well as the quantities and costs of all aspects of the planning process, making them transparent. Modifications of one item in the planning, e.g. the dimensions of a wooden beam, are passed on automatically to

BIM and the requirements of modern timber construction were made for each other: just as with the BIM method, prefabricated timber construction requires decisions to be made in the early planning stages. Equally important is the early involvement of timber construction expertise through specialized engineers or timber construction companies. Rectifications cannot be made on the building site. Studies carried out by the preceding project of the Technical University of Munich, leanWOOD (Architectural Design and Timber Construction, 2017), showed that there was still a need for action: timber construction projects with a high degree of prefabrication are often still processed according to the classical, phased sequence which is common in conventional construction. Timber construction know-how is integrated into the process too late. This often leads to lengthy and costly rectifications afterwards. These frictions within the value chain, especially during the planning process and at the interface between planning and execution, hamper the efficient and competitive use of timber and timber products. Consistent data management and appraisal taking into account planning information, together with machine data delivery and lifecycle data, would constitute a great step towards a successful digital transformation of the industry.

The team assembled around the Technical University of Munich will quickly launch into the studies in order to present the latest findings from BIMwood to the industry, in parallel to the rapidly advancing developments in digital planning. The results of an industry analysis carried out by the preliminary project HOLZundBIM (Architectural Design and Timber Construction, 2019) provide the basis for the beginning of the research work; they shed light on the type and scope of use of the BIM planning method within the entire process chain for timber planning and construction in Germany. The preliminary results of BIMwood will be worked out and discussed concomitantly with experts and fellow researchers in German-speaking countries; they will be compared with the current situation in countries where BIM already has a stronger presence.

The project is being funded by the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL) through the Fachagentur Nachwachsende Rohstoffe e. V. (FNR) project sponsor.

Sandra Schuster, Manfred Stieglmeier, Frank Petzold
Final Presentations at Studio „Weisser Saal“

Picture: Bachelor Students, Professorship of Architectural Design and Timber Construction, summer term 2019. (Photo: Tassilo Letzel © TUM Department of Architecture)
Publication: Building Register IV

Studio Krucker Bates have launched the 4th series of Building Register, now available from the Chair of Urbanism and Housing.

The 4th edition includes five building studies:
- Case Franconi, Mario Ridolfi, Terni
- Les Cotxeres, Josep Antoni Coderch, Barcelona
- Rabenhof, Heinrich Schmid and Hermann Aichinger, Vienna
- 101 Spring Street, Nicholas Whyte, New York

The format follows previous editions with detailed drawings at various scales, descriptive texts and photographs.

Les Cotxeres

The housing complex of Les Cotxeres is an ensemble of sixteen buildings in the Sarrià-Sant Gervasi quarter in Barcelona on the former site of the municipal tram and bus depot. It was conceived in 1968 by Josep Antoni Coderch as a “superblock” and as an alternative to a 1965 Corbusian-inspired scheme by Antonio Bonet Castellán for the same site. Coderch’s scheme, his largest built residential development, was denser and less costly than the high-rise blocks envisaged by Bonet. It was organized in three rows of similarly scaled volumes with pedestrian walkways between them. The central garden street formed a complex topography with terraces and steps to protect the ground floor areas, shaping a human-scale space from which cars were removed and where residents, commercial activities.
Research Perspectives in Architecture

Objective
According to the opening of the Department of Architecture’s mission statement »Architectural design (Entwerfen) is the core of the Department and the exploration of complex aesthetic and spatial solutions its primary objective. [...] The academic discipline of architecture involves skills from different backgrounds including engineering, humanities and social sciences, each of which flows into architectural teaching and research at all levels.«

Scientific research in our department has so far merely focused on three out of four focus areas: »Integrated Building Technologies«, »Cultural Heritage, History and Criticism« and »Urban and Landscape Transformation«. In the field of Architectural Design, research projects and Ph.D. are only gradually increasing although this field is referred to as »the core practice, which serves as a common basis for all teaching and research activities.«

The research at the core of our discipline is still fraught with many uncertainties and methods not directly transferable from other disciplines. So the overarching question is: How can we strengthen research in the core area of architecture?

Structure
The international conference »Research Perspectives in Architecture« took place in July 2019 at the Vorhoelzer Forum of our Department. On the first day, varying research approaches were presented and discussed with specific focus on their respective methodologies applied. The introduction by Prof. Monika Kurath on »Research Culture in Architecture« was followed by five panels, each of which was dedicated to a certain methodological perspective, namely design-based, reflexive, qualitative, perception-based, archival. All panels followed an even structure of an introductory talk on the superordinate methodological framework, three short presentations of research projects and a final discussion among the contributors. The first day closed with an evening lecture by Prof. em. Dietmar Eberle on design as research practice in reference to his publication »Entwurf als Forschungspraxis«. The second day was dedicated to different formats, institutions and discourses for research in architecture. Further, it academics were given the opportunity to present their current research projects, focussing on their methodological approaches. Insights into transdisciplinary approaches as well as genuine architectural methodologies were addressed. Beyond the potentials among neighbouring disciplines, the challenges and chances of an implicit and visible research discourse in architecture was debated.

Fostering the methodological core of each presented research, a deeply profound exchange between the contributors was enabled, because it could be emphasized in regard to the liminal fields of their respective methodological approaches, despite controversial subjects of investigation. Further, the impetus of methodologies originated in the field of architecture as well as transdisciplinary transfer of methodologies and knowledge were debated with even emphasis.

Résumé
The conference opened the realm for profound discussion among all participants with main focus on their methodological approach, but also for exchange between adjacent fields of investigation, on superordinate, transdisciplinary perspectives, across different subjects of research, and into associating fields. The openness towards unconventional approaches allowed productive insights into less common manners of research practice, granting the possibility to not only examine specific research projects against the background of their individual scientific approach, but rather to investigate research itself. Therewith the conference appeared to be opening a superordinate discourse on the matter of research in the discipline of architecture, including the vast spectrum of aspects inherent to the discipline itself. Concluding, it can be stated, that the conference »Research Perspectives in Architecture« did not only serve as a driving force to define the plurality and richness of research in architecture for the hosting department, but appeared to be an initial impulse for further investigation for all participants, inspiring prospective collaborations, scientific exchange and transfer among the participants and participating institutions.


Exhibition: XII International Architecture Biennale of São Paulo: September 10 to December 09.

TUM Professors Kathrin Dörfler, Benedikt Bouchou and Ferdinand Ludwig, as well as Daniel Talesnic, Research Associate at the Chair of History of Architecture and Curatorial Practice and Curator of the exhibition “Access For All – São Paulo’s Architectural Infrastructures” at the TUM Architekturmuseum, are taking part in the core exhibition: “Architectures of Everyday”. There will also be a book presentation of the Munich exhibition catalogue as part of the official launch of the Biennale.

“Everyday”, the curatorial proposal for the XII International Architecture Biennale of São Paulo, addresses the everyday as a framework for investigating how architecture might move forward as a specialized practice of environment-making in the 21st century. From such a vantage point, the São Paulo Bienale constitutes an ideal venue because the everyday there is an agent able to both impact and empower architecture – for better or for worse.

“Everyday” is structured according to three themes: Everyday Stories, Everyday Resources, and Everyday Maintenance. Each showcases research, pertinent architectural and urban projects, speculative works and installations, as well as other spatial interventions that relate to the contemporary dynamics of the everyday.

---

**Images above:** Care Protocols is a mixed reality installation for the XII Architecture Biennale São Paulo, 2019. Emerging from a hybrid digital-physical environment, the installation provides an interaction space alienating and gamifying usually invisible maintenance actions.

---

Fast, time-saving access to a large number of people, jobs, and public and private services is one of the most important location factors for businesses and households – and a self-reinforcing driver of settlement growth. Urban planning also aims for well-connected, dense, mixed-use neighborhoods, since these are expected to help create environment-friendly, short commutes and leisure journeys, and to ensure the viability of public facilities.

Agglomerations such as the Munich Metropolitan Region are increasingly suffering from an overheated housing market and a shortage of open spaces while, at the same time, their transportation systems are overloaded. Despite recent innovations in personal transportation, integrated planning of public transit extensions and urban development is needed to provide a sustainable solution. After a long break, a number of new major transit projects are currently being implemented in the Munich Metropolitan Region, in particular the second S-Bahn trunk line for express S-Bahn trains, which is scheduled for completion in 2028.

These transportation projects will revolutionize the “accessibility map” in the metropolitan region of Munich. Municipalities that so far had been poorly connected will suddenly move “closer to the center” in terms of journey time. At the same time, an even greater number of people will be able to reach the center of Munich in even less time. In other places, however, the level of accessibility is hardly changing. The study project dealt with the question of what these changes would mean for future spatial development within the metropolitan region of Munich. In the future, which places will become attractive, and for which users, and how should city planning and architecture react to this?

Changes to railway station accessibility brought about by the second trunk line in the Munich Metropolitan Region. Source: Wenner (2019)

---

**PROJECT INFORMATION**

**Project partners**
Chair of Urban Development
Prof. Dr. Alain Thierstein, Fabian Wenner

**Students**
Khee Anh Dang, Melina Hiltz, Alessandro Pedrazzoli, Magdalena Schmidkunz, Jiaqi Wang

The results are documented in a brochure that is available at the Chair of Spatial Development.
Architecture for the People

The Architekturmuseum der TU München presents with the exhibition »Balkrishna Doshi: Architecture for the People« (17 October 2019 to 19 January 2020) the first international retrospective about the 2018 Pritzker Prize laureate Balkrishna Doshi (born 1927, Pune, India) outside of Asia. The renowned architect and urban planner is one of the few pioneers of modern architecture in his home country and the first Indian architect to receive the prestigious award. During over 60 years of practice, Doshi has realized a wide range of projects, adopting principles of modern architecture and adapting them to local culture, traditions, resources, and nature. The exhibition will present numerous significant projects realized between 1958 and 2014, ranging in scale from entire cities and town planning projects to academic campuses as well as cultural institutions and public administrative offices, from private residences to interiors. Among these works are pioneering buildings like the Indian Institute of Management (1977–92), Doshi’s architectural studio Sangath (1980), and the famous low-cost housing project Aranya (1989). Exhibits will include a wealth of original works such as drawings, models, and art works from Doshi’s archive and studio, but also photography, film footage and several full-scale installations. An extensive timeline will give an overview of the architect’s career from 1947 until today, attesting to his close relationships with other influential architects and thought leaders such as Le Corbusier and Christopher Alexander.

House before the arrival of residents in its original configuration: “Housing for Life Insurance Corporation” (LIC), Ahmedabad, 1973. Photo: © by the Vastushilpa Foundation, Ahmedabad
The exhibition »Balkrishna Doshi: Architecture for the People« will open Doshi’s work to a global audience and show how the architect’s work has redefined modern Indian architecture as well as shaped new generations of architects. Therefore, the retrospective does not only offer an overview of Doshi’s architectural work, but also reflect on its underlying ideals and social context. Doshi’s humanist philosophy was shaped by his Indian roots as well as his western education and the rapidly changing context of Indian society since the early 1950s. His architectural vocabulary, which is both poetic and functional, was strongly influenced by what he learned from Le Corbusier, with whom he collaborated on the design of the Indian city of Chandigarh and on other projects, and from his experiences with Louis Kahn, who conceived the design for the Institute of Management. Reaching beyond these early models, Doshi developed an approach that oscillates between industrialism and primitivism, between modern architecture and traditional form. His practice is based on ideas of sustainability and aims to root architecture in a larger context of culture and environment as well as social, ethical, and religious beliefs.

The retrospective follows four main themes, beginning with the focus on home and identity and examining changing needs and requirements, as seen in such outstand- ing examples as the Housing Development for the Life Insurance Corporation (LIC), known locally as »Hitam Nagar«, in Ahmedabad (1973) and Aranya Low Cost Housing for the Indore Development Authority (1989). Aranya was built as a model project and today accommodates over 80,000 individuals. A modular system allows the inhabitants to customise their homes and adapt them to their needs, their personal preferences, and their economic possibilities. The project was predicated on a »sites and services« approach, in which electricity, water, and sewer services were provided, but the houses were built minimally as a service core that each family could extend. An example for Doshi’s residential planning on a smaller scale is his own house, called »Kamala Houses« (1963). This generous yet economical building with a cross-shaped floor plan maximizes light throughout all spaces, while insulated brick walls trap and minimise the summer heat.

The exhibition’s second section looks at Doshi’s educational buildings. A key project here is the campus of the Center for Environmental Planning and Technology (CEPT) in Ahmedabad, on which Doshi has realized some of his most significant buildings over a period of 40 years. In 1962, Doshi established the School of Architecture, a multi-disciplinary institution grounded in the belief that education is nourished by interdisciplinary interactions. To foster exchange and dialogue among students and department alike, Doshi designed the building as a free-flowing space without compartmentalization or segregation. Both through its buildings and its teachings, the school has changed the face of architectural education in India; it has also become one of the country’s most important centers for urban planning. While the School of Architecture is raised above the ground on top of an old brick kiln that greatly influenced its plan and layout, the art gallery Amdavad Ni Gufa (1994) on the same campus is half-buried in the ground – »gufa« is Gujarati for »cave« – to solve issues arising from the local climate. While its structure of different-sized mounds integrated into the natural landscape is based on computer-aided design, the construction was carried out by unskilled workers using waste products and simple hand tools.

The third section is dedicated to Doshi’s large-scale town planning projects, exemplified by the masterplan and urban design guidelines for Vidhyadhar Nagar (1984), a residential development for 150,000 dwellings located in the outskirts of Jaipur in Rajasthan in northern India. Conceived as an energy-conscious city on a 350-hectare site, it is inspired by the old walled city of Jaipur. An amalgamation of ancient town planning principles, contemporary needs, and contextual realities, the city and its infrastructure were designed to serve the needs of up to 400,000 inhabitants. The social amenities such as schools, health centers, and playgrounds were planned along the linear open space forming the central activity spine. Natural stone, overhangs, and balconies not only helped improve the micro-climate but also recreated the visual experience of the local traditional architecture.

"[…] the retrospective does not only offer an overview of Doshi’s architectural work, [...]"
Balkrishna Doshi: Architecture for the People
17 October 2019 – 19 January 2020, Architekturmuseum der TUM
Press conference: October 16, 2019, 1 p.m.
Opening: October 16, 2019, 7 p.m.
An extensive catalogue includes contributions by Kazi Ashraf, Vera Simone Bader, Kenneth Frampton, Khusru Hoof, Jolanthe Kugler, Hans-Ulrich Obrist, Juhanni Pallasmaa, Khushnu Subramanian, Martha Thorne, Nicholas Fox Weber. The presentation at the Vitra Design Museum will be accompanied by a rich program of lectures, talks, and panels as well as workshops and other events.

The exhibition is a project by the Vitra Design Museum and the Vastushilpa Foundation in cooperation with the WWStiftung. Curator: Khushnu Hoof. Vice Design Museum Curator: Jolanthe Kugler. Assistant Curator: Melise Wölfisbäck.
The third skin of human beings – the building envelope – has evolved enormously over the past decades. The role of façades has changed into an adaptive climate control system that leverages synergies between formal, material, mechanical and energy-related components in an integrated design. Contemporary façade planning pursues optimized environmental quality while minimizing the use of resources. Further progress will require the development of sustainable, smart materials as well as active and passive systems that can easily be integrated and maintained.

The biennial PowerSKIN Conference addresses the role of building skins to achieve a carbon neutral building stock. This year’s topic, “Digital Processes in façade Design and Construction”, dealt with issues such as building operation, embodied energy, energy generation and storage in the context of the building envelope, energy, and the environment.

Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Thomas Auer (Technical University of Munich), Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jens Schneider (TU Darmstadt) and Prof. Dr.-Ing. Ulrich Knaack (TU Delft) launched the PowerSKIN Conference in collaboration with the trade fair BAU 2017. It was the first of a series of biennial conferences integrated in BAU. At this year’s BAU (January 2019), architects, engineers and scientists submitted the latest developments and research projects to public discussion. The international scientific PowerSKIN conference aims to build bridges between academic façade research and corporate R&D, but also between research and practice. The following extracts of three papers presented at the PowerSKIN conference 2019 give a small impression of the content:

**4dTEX – Exploration of Movement Mechanisms for 3D-Textiles Used as Solar Shading Devices**

Fibre-based high-tech materials have long been used in solid and lightweight construction for reinforcement, solar protection and insulation. In this context, the Textile Lightweight Construction Division of the Frankfurt Research Institute FFm is researching dynamic construction components in combination with textile multi-layer structures made of so-called spacer textiles (Figure 1).

At the PowerSKIN Conference 2019 Prof. Claudia Lueling and Johanna Beuscher (FFm) presented their research on movement mechanisms for opening and closing, and for controlling view and incident light via spacer textiles aiming for robust and low-maintenance components, respectively. In this way, the spacer textiles temporarily reduce energy loss as well as overheating. Based on traditional sun protection systems such as shutters, venetian blinds and pleated blinds, the FFm is investigating on the controllable daylight management of multi-layer textiles used as moveable elements on a macro-level, as well as movements in the textile structure itself, that is, to say in the meso level of the spacer textiles. [Proceeding]

**Trombe Curtain Wall Façade**

The paper of Thomas Wüest and Prof. Andreas Luible from the Institute of Civil Engineering at the Lucerne University of Applied Sciences and Art presented an unconventional redesign of a double skin façade (DSF), based on trombe wall principles, to increase solar gain during the heating season and avoid overheating in summertime. The DSF variant is equipped with a thermal storage mass in the DSF cavity and interior insulation. The thermal mass, in this case concrete, is of a dark color to enhance solar absorption, whereas the shading device is highly reflective. In contrast to traditional Trombe wall systems, this Trombe Curtain Wall (TCW) is not meant to actively heat interior space or transfer thermal energy. Instead, the TCW aims to regulate heat flux within the façade through the management of solar thermal energy fluxes. The U-Value is therefore considerably lower, 0.25 instead of 0.41 for a TCW. The potential to reduce buildings’ heat losses through solar energy use.

The TCW shows a high solar energy usage due to its ‘natural’ overheating tendency. However, heat losses are significantly lower than the U-Value predicts and, in some cases, even lower than the heat losses of a traditional external thermal insulation composite system. Due to its economical use of material and lower weight, the system can be used as a curtain wall system instead of traditional DSFs, which have higher heat losses in winter and higher solar gains in summer. [JFDE]

**Impacts on the Embodied Energy of Rammed Earth Façades During Production and Construction Stages**

Rammed earth is a sustainable construction technique encompassing the whole life cycle of buildings with a low energy demand. Soil from the excavation can be compressed on-site to build a façade. Due to their hygroscopic and thermal properties, rammed earth façades stabilize indoor comfort; this has the potential to minimize the use of mechanical systems. In order to reduce the energy demand for the entire life cycle of a building, embodied energy must be taken into account. Databases such as the German ÖKO-BAUDAT provide data for a life cycle assessment (LCA). Aggregated data at product stages are available as regards rammed earth, but transportation and construction processes have barely been documented. [Figure 2]

Laura Franke
In her search for places that break with stereotypical images of Munich and show the sometimes underestimated complexity, the photographer Lena Engel took a journey of discovery in her hometown. She encountered areas that are marked by a variety of intermediate cultural uses, however, in the next few years will be subject to a severe transformation due to increasing gentrification. The result is a poetic confrontation with places of subculture that are in transition: a documentation of spaces, some of which no longer exist in the depicted form.

Catalog “NullAchtNeun” published by StudiO TvdO, Berlin
Photo: Viehhofgelände 2017, “Bahnhwärter Thiel”, Wamda e.V.
© Lena Engel, www.lena-engel.de

MAKE MUNICH WEIRD panel
26.02.2020 | 18:00 – 22:00 | Utopia Munich

Space for creativity means space for innovation. The sustainability of our city will depend on the opportunities the city offers to experiment and intervene.

Creative people, politicians, scientists and entrepreneurs will discuss the importance of diversity and creativity for a city’s future in relation to physical space.

The event is part of the initiative “MakeMunichWeird” launched by Prof. Dr. Isabell Welpe, Prof. Dr. Alain Thierstein, Prof. Dr. Frank Petzold and the Department’s Architecture Research Incubator (ARI).

www.makemunichweird.com
Architects have lost their authority in the realm of two discourses: the discourse on the city, and the discourse on design thinking. 

Take the latter: The growing awareness and current use of the term “design thinking” foregrounds a management method, while the synthesis of form and meaning that designers and architects aim for is vanishing. In the 1950s and 1960s, architects took an active part in design research and the science of design. In the 1970s to 1990s, architects served as a sample group being studied for designerly ways of knowing and working. Yet since the 1990s they have disappeared from the field, with a few exceptions. The academic discussion considered design and design thinking as an integrative discipline beyond a specific domain. But the management side, both scholars and practitioners, realized the potential and applicability of design thinking, and the designer approach, to embrace complex and changing environments in business, industry and the market. A design attitude opened up a new direction to cope with uncertainty and ambiguity, and foster innovation in a creative way. From a mindset seeking to create futures that ought to be, design thinking became a five or seven-step method for applying toolkits, post-its, maps and pre-configured canvases, directed towards The Design of Business (Martin, 2009) and Designing for Growth (Liedtka & Ogilvie, 2011). Fundamental elements were left aside: intuition and aesthetics, the art of criticism, and the trained designer (Verganti 2017).

If we turn to the discourse on the city, the growing awareness and current use of the term “smart city” foregrounds optimization through technology and control, while the sustainability, livability and complexity that designers and architects aim for is vanishing (Hack 2019). How we travel, work, live, consume, or produce in the city have become matters of digitization and computing intelligence – but not of a spatial kind. Entrepreneurial approaches, those of start-ups, corporates and institutions, have begun to re-design the city and reduce its complexity by capturing it with digital tools. They offer fast-produced modules to live in, mobility systems to experience, and surplus production to share. The design approach they apply is design for growth. Though its potential is promising, the city deserves something better.

This revolution will not be televised. Communities and cities already rely on what has been developed and supplied by actors active in the discourses on the city and design today. Architects need to claim back the terms and re-enter the fray. The knowledge base that architects possess, along with the skills and tools they exercise, continuously develop and will create in future, need to be applied to a broader field. It is a duty, as it once was in the 1950s and 1960s – when Richard Buckminster Fuller wrote Operating Manual for Spaceship Earth (1969), Steward Brand provided access to tools with the Whole Earth Catalogue (1968), Joan Littlewood and Cedric Price envisioned the Fun Palace (1964), and Charles and Ray Eames dedicated themselves to The India Report (1958) – to develop the design skills for a sustainable nation.

Design is required to face the challenges thrown up by our built environments, urban futures, and sustainable development. The future demands our attention, in both discourses, directing design to the design for better worlds, not for better business – and to hack the city.

Christos Chantzaras
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The City demands our Attention

Designing for better worlds – not for better business

Architects have lost their authority in the realm of two discourses: the discourse on the city, and the discourse on design thinking. 

Take the latter: The growing awareness and current use of the term “design thinking” foregrounds a management method, while the synthesis of form and meaning that designers and architects aim for is vanishing. In the 1950s and 1960s, architects took an active part in design research and the science of design. In the 1970s to 1990s, architects served as a sample group being studied for designerly ways of knowing and working. Yet since the 1990s they have disappeared from the field, with a few exceptions. The academic discussion considered design and design thinking as an integrative discipline beyond a specific domain. But the management side, both scholars and practitioners, realized the potential and applicability of design thinking, and the designer approach, to embrace complex and changing environments in business, industry and the market. A design attitude opened up a new direction to cope with uncertainty and ambiguity, and foster innovation in a creative way. From a mindset seeking to create futures that ought to be, design thinking became a five or seven-step method for applying toolkits, post-its, maps and pre-configured canvases, directed towards The Design of Business (Martin, 2009) and Designing for Growth (Liedtka & Ogilvie, 2011). Fundamental elements were left aside: intuition and aesthetics, the art of criticism, and the trained designer (Verganti 2017).

If we turn to the discourse on the city, the growing awareness and current use of the term “smart city” foregrounds optimization through technology and control, while the sustainability, livability and complexity that designers and architects aim for is vanishing (Hack 2019). How we travel, work, live, consume, or produce in the city have become matters of digitization and computing intelligence – but not of a spatial kind. Entrepreneurial approaches, those of start-ups, corporates and institutions, have begun to re-design the city and reduce its complexity by capturing it with digital tools. They offer fast-produced modules to live in, mobility systems to experience, and surplus production to share. The design approach they apply is design for growth. Though its potential is promising, the city deserves something better.

This revolution will not be televised. Communities and cities already rely on what has been developed and supplied by actors active in the discourses on the city and design today. Architects need to claim back the terms and re-enter the fray. The knowledge base that architects possess, along with the skills and tools they exercise, continuously develop and will create in future, need to be applied to a broader field. It is a duty, as it once was in the 1950s and 1960s – when Richard Buckminster Fuller wrote Operating Manual for Spaceship Earth (1969), Steward Brand provided access to tools with the Whole Earth Catalogue (1968), Joan Littlewood and Cedric Price envisioned the Fun Palace (1964), and Charles and Ray Eames dedicated themselves to The India Report (1958) – to develop the design skills for a sustainable nation.

Design is required to face the challenges thrown up by our built environments, urban futures, and sustainable development. The future demands our attention, in both discourses, directing design to the design for better worlds, not for better business – and to hack the city.
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Recent developments, such as the Brexit referendum, the demagogic rhetoric of Donald Trump, and the re-emergence of far-right parties in Europe, suggest that democracy is currently under threat. A possible strategy to overcome this threat is active political engagement, and participation in political debate and decision-making. But what roles can art and architecture play in safeguarding and strengthening democracy?

**PROJECT INFORMATION**

**Project management**
Chair of Theory and History of Architecture, Art and Design, Prof. Dr. Dietrich Erben, Dr. Sarah Hegerth

**Lecture series**
“From the Global South”

The architectural historian Joan Ockman addressed the question “What Is Democratic Architecture? The Public Life of Buildings” in an essay published in Dissent in 2011. The question as such can be traced back to Democracy: A Man-Search, a book by Louis Sullivan that was first published only fifty years ago, although it was written much earlier, in 1908. Its central idea was, as Ockman summarizes it, “That democratic form is an organically unfolding process and an object of symbolic representation; that it emerges from the collective imagination of a modern, progressive society and as an act of individual poetic genius.”

While the “tensions within this conception are evident,” they similarly occur “in architecture itself, which erects fixed monuments to serve as spaces for action and participation.” Sullivan’s disciple Frank Lloyd Wright eventually “succeeded in transforming ‘the architecture of democracy’ into a slogan that he brandished throughout his six-decade career.” Yet the “ideological ambiguities that surround democratic claims by architects point not only to the difficulties of translating political concepts into three dimensions but also to the historical instability of the term democracy itself, which, despite its symbolic value, has frequently amounted to a hurrah word or a safe-conduct pass.”

Ockman argued that the “notion of democratic architecture in late-capitalist society has thus become at once more marketable and more elusive as the paradigm has shifted over the last half century from a culture of monuments to one of spectacles.” Ockman concluded that “As such – and suspicious as it remain of democracy talk in architecture – I believe it’s essential to continue to aspire to ‘the possibility for richer, more inclusive expressions of what holds us together as citizens and human beings,’ as the historian Casey Nelson Blake has put it.”

In her response to Herbert J. Gans’s comments, Ockman underlined “that architecture, whatever else it is (or isn’t), is a form of symbolic representation. Although buildings change ownership and get put to varied ideological uses by different regimes (there are many notorious examples of this kind of ‘reuse,’ for example, in the context of Nazi Germany, where modernist buildings by some of the most progressive architects of the twenties were appropriated for nefarious purposes in the thirties), they also belong to their historical moment and have real cultural effects along with the spatial ones.”

**Democratic Architecture**

Since the beginning of the Twentieth Century, the term “democracy” has undoubtedly belonged to the grand narratives. Jean-François Lyotard’s grands récits refer to a way of interpreting heterogeneous facts, aspects of knowledge, and divergent beliefs as if they occurred in a historical continuity that ought to legitimate a teleological development. The implication—if one applied this reading to the case of democracy—is that the gradual implementation of democracy, initially in Western and later in all global societies, claims to be a process constitutive of modernity. Democracy appears to have played a successful role in the construction of a grand narrative from its inception until now.

Furthermore, democracy has played a substantial role in the self-image of European modernity. In the years after 1960, “democracy” became more than a buzzword for reforms that were considered necessary in order to anchor further rights of participation characteristic of direct democracies into established representative democracies. “Democracy” also became a synonym for societal participation par excellence, which acted as renewed legitimization for forms of government and states. In his essay on “democratization”, Wilhelm Hennis stated as early as 1970 that the term had risen above all doubts and excelled in considerable resistance to doubt, and that one already experienced “a kind of heritage protection order” on democracy.

In late postmodernism, admittedly, doubts emerged not only about “grand narratives” but also about the assertiveness of democracy, which was considered as a self-imposed law. Yet in present times, which are characterized by the erosion of Western democracy and the boom of demagoguery, doubts have become inevitable. This historical skepticism necessarily impacts on thinking about the relationship between democracy and the frequently mentioned ideal of a “democratic building.”

The simple initial question is: If the notion of democracy as “grand narrative” is, on the one hand, up for discussion and requires continuous updating and if, on the other hand, democracy itself as the central norm of the political ought to be defended, how would a normative conception of “democratic architecture” have to be formulated?

**Terms of democracy and architectonic space**

First of all, it is necessary to consider the various aspects and the scope of the notion of democracy. It is important to emphasize that under no circumstances these aspects ought to be played off against each other or that they should be seen as mutually exclusive. On the contrary, they complement each other. In terms of formal institutions, the idea of democracy refers to facts such as the separation of powers (legislative, executive, and judiciary), other legitimate institutions, and political practices such as free elections and freedom of opinion. In terms of performative communication, democracy highlights the negotiation of values, norms, and interests in the public sphere — the “public space” — which has been frequently conjured up as a magic term and can be interpreted as the realm of discourse. Finally, in universalistic ethical terms, this idea aims to widely generalize the understanding of democracy. Democracy can be understood as publicly regulated access to resources and the fair distribution of resources. These comprise, in an equally general sense, food, clothing, housing, education, health, gender equality, political participation and much more.

Obviously, this expansion and unfolding of the notion of democracy considerably impacts on the way we think about the relationship between democracy and architecture. Architecture remains tied to the contexts of authority, power and political communication, but equally moves into the sphere of everyday realities,

“Democracy can be understood as publicly regulated access to resources and the fair distribution of resources.”
which can be examined against the backdrop of their democratic condition. With regard to architecture, this involves a significant extension of construction tasks, whose democratic significance has to be examined. One suspects that answers must not be generalized, but can only be generated on a case-by-case basis which, eventually, means for each building under discussion.

Regarding the institutional conception of democracy, mainly state institutions (e.g. court buildings, parliamentary and ministerial buildings, police headquarters and venues for media production) have been scrutinized. The discussion about “democracy and architecture” has primarily concentrated on this group of state buildings and so-called “representational” buildings, frequently using keywords such as “democracy as constructor” and “democratic building”. This group of buildings certainly continues to be of interest.

However, viewing democracy in terms of performative communication expands the perspective towards the architecturally designed “public space”, i.e. to meeting spaces and spaces of the productive economy in the city. This connection leads to pressing questions regarding general access or restrictions, e.g. as regards secured precincts surrounding government buildings or Gated Communities.

The universalistic ethics within the conception of democracy accounts for both initially mentioned instances of official institutions and the “public space”, but adds two fundamental aspects to these: access to resources and resource justice. This generalized conception of democracy establishes the foundation for the discussion of the democratic content of house building, technical infrastructures (water and electricity supply), buildings for education and culture (schools, museums, theatres and so on), health care buildings, or production and commercial sites for the participants of the society concerned. House building, for example, raises the question of the democratic availability of one specific resource: accommodation. With regard to production sites, it has to be assessed who has access to another resource: accommodation. With regard to production sites, it has to be assessed who has access to another resource: accommodation.

Case Study: Lecture Series

These questions informed the lecture series “The Architecture of Democracy: Inspirations from the Global South”, which took place during the past summer term. In the lecture series, we focused on the historical moments and cultural effects of art and architecture in the Global South, which took place during the past summer term. In the lecture series, we focused on the historical moments and cultural effects of art and architecture in the Global South. In the lecture series, we focused on the historical moments and cultural effects of art and architecture in the Global South, which took place during the past summer term. In the lecture series, we focused on the historical moments and cultural effects of art and architecture in the Global South.

Sarah Hegenbart started off with the question of how Meleko Mokgosi’s history paintings may challenge us to (re)discover democratic intuitions. Anthony Gardner, who joined us from Oxford, remained skeptical about the colonial connotations that the Western conception of democracy possesses in countries of the Global South. Kate Cowcher introduced us to Industrial Art and Design in Jet Age Ethiopia. Her research on Ethiopia during the Cold War confronts us with alternative political systems, such as communism, that played a pivotal role in African liberation movements.

The lecture series further explored questions such as: Is democracy a suitable political system for countries in the Global South at all? The Nigerian architect Olajumoke Adenowo scrutinized the above, and some related questions, in her lecture “Democracy reflected in Form, Space and Order: Learning from West Africa’s Ancient Empires.”

The Berlin-based academic activist Natasha A. Kelly spoke about her project The African Diaspora Palace and the “pastfuture” of black knowledge in Europe. The Nigerian architect Imoudu Oluwaseun Ojo, who is currently based in Leipzig, touched on post-independence modernism and globalization in Ghana. He was followed by Thomas Haakenson from San Francisco, who lectured on “The Architecture and Aesthetics of Apartheid: Dada in South Africa.”

In the year marking the centenary of the Bauhaus, we were particularly pleased to host Christian Benimana, from Kigali in Rwanda, at the end of this lecture series. His African Design Center – described in Arch and other magazines as an “African Bauhaus” – enabled us to gain a better understanding of the next generation of African architects and designers.

Dr. Sarah Hegenbart, Prof. Dr. Dietrich Erben

2 Ibid., p. 65.
3 Ibid., p. 65.
4 Ibid., p. 65.
5 Ibid., p. 67.
6 Ibid., p. 72.

Lecture series: Inspirations from the Global South
April 29 - June 24, 2019

Which role do art and architecture play in the implementation of democratic structures? Recent grassroots movements in African states, such as Burkina Faso and Uganda, have utilized artistic strategies to overthrow corrupt politicians and formulate visions for justice, tolerance and freedom of speech in their respective countries. In other countries, such as Nigeria and Rwanda, architects have developed spaces for the realization of central democratic values, e.g. dialogue, communal participation, active citizenship and collective belonging. While the capitalist structures dominant in the West have damaged the political vigor of many Western art projects, one might wonder whether young democracies in the countries of the Global South have much more potential to inspire, activate and engage people into political participation. This is why this lecture series aimed to provide a platform to discuss inspirational art and architecture projects in the Global South, a geographical region that has been marginalized for a long time.

Sarah Hegenbart started off with the question of how Meleko Mokgosi’s history paintings may challenge us to (re)discover democratic intuitions. Anthony Gardner, who joined us from Oxford, remained skeptical about the colonial connotations that the Western conception of democracy possesses in countries of the Global South. Kate Cowcher introduced us to Industrial Art and Design in Jet Age Ethiopia. Her research on Ethiopia during the Cold War confronts us with alternative political systems, such as communism, that played a pivotal role in African liberation movements.
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Al użyteczności, autocomplete, list, no-space, no-space
Dust protection nets embroidered with cable ties and tulle are mounted on construction sites. Large-format messages embroidered in traditional cross-stitch illustrate the continuing need for feminist demands. The subject areas for the slogans have been developed through numerous discussions with women and men around the topic of feminism. The focus is on questioning current power structures. So far, seven construction site coverings have been realized in Austria. Two more nets have just been assembled in Rabat, Morocco. An expansion of the project to other countries is being planned and collaboration partners are sought.

For Katharina Cibulka and her team, SOLANGE is literally about penetrating a classically masculine industry by means of pink-embroidered sentences applied to dust protection nets. Although the demands are not new, they are intended to communicate a feeling of conspicuous insistence in public space. www.katharina-cibulka.com
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