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Key expressions

**Activation**: physical and cognitive activation before an incident or way to keep patient as long as possible in a good baseline health state.

**Activities of Daily Living (ADLs)**: classification into activity categories (e.g. dressing, bathing, feeding, etc.) which are necessary to maintain care independent living.

**Barthel Index (BI)**: ordinal scale used to measure independent performance in Activities of Daily Living (ADL).

**Berg-Balance-Scale (BBS)**: a 14-item scale designed to measure balance of the older adult in a clinical setting.

**Care continuum**: Refers to the fluent transitions between pre-acute care, acute care, and post-acute care and to the natural way of elderly citizens/patients though health states, settings, care-need levels, and institutions.

**Contactless vital signs sensing and measurement**: sensors embedded in furniture, building interior and transfer/mobility devices.

**Dynamometry**: method to measure muscular power and ability of force production.

**Elderly citizen**: REACH target users, includes healthy people, people in need of care and patients.

**Electromyography (EMG)**: electrical muscle function analysis.

**Electrophysiology**: measurement of the electrical conduction of the human heart, which allows to analyze the health condition of the most important muscle in the human body.

**End-user profiles (EPs)**: in depth analysis of real-world, potential REACH end-users.

**End-user**: elderly citizen that are supposed to profit from reach services and products.

**Energy expenditure measurement**: currently direct measurement is difficult and therefore indirectly measured over CO² emission, ECG or body composition.

**Evolutionary approach**: the REACH system should optimally be able to “assemble” and “dis-assemble” itself (e.g., from light frailty to more severe frailty and optimally back to light or no-frailty).

**Experience Flow (EF)**: method for mapping an experience – from expectation, to first impression, then through discovery, usage and finally to memory. Usually part of an Experience Map (EM).

**Experience Maps (EMs)**: method to spot and contextualize the unmet needs of people and then translate these into innovation opportunities and directions.

**Fitness Furniture**: Without going to the gym the user can benefit from using the fitness furniture in a home or rehabilitation environment.
**Frailty**: not a disease but an umbrella term designating a multi-factorial syndrome like dizziness, sensitive skin etc. May include the loss of muscle strength, force, robustness, ability to perform Activities of Daily Living (ADL).

**Functional Independence Measure (FIM)**: uniform system of measurement for disability based on the International Classification of Impairment, Disabilities and Handicaps.

**General Practitioner**: provides treatment in early disease/impairment stages, provides treatment mainly to outpatient people.

**Healthy Life Years (HLYs)**: amount of time spent in a good health condition.

**Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS-D)**: used to detect anxiety and depression in patients with physical conditions.

**Inpatient**: Patient stays day and night in the hospital for a limited amount of time.

**Longitudinal study**: a study that takes longer than 2-3 weeks.

**Long-Term Care (LTC)**: care received over longer period of time in particular in institutions such as nursing homes and hospitals.

**Modified Ranking Scale (mRS)**: outcome scale for measuring the degree of disability or dependence in the daily activities of people with neurological diseases.

**Monitoring and Assessment Framework for the European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing (MAFEIP)**: measurement system to identify health status and periods and success of interventions.

**Muscle function analysis**: basis for frailty detection; can be done by methods such as, *Body Composition Analysis, Electromyography (EMG), ultrasound imaging, and Dynamometry*.

**Outpatient**: patient visits doctors, hospitals or similar for receiving treatment of care but stays not continuously (i.e. at night) there.

**Patient**: person that receives health care services.

**Persona**: exemplary, fictive model end user that characterizes a typical and potential REACH user group.

**Platform approach**: In REACH a digital platform and interior equipment modules should serve as digital/physical platforms that tie together a variety of products and services developed within REACH.

**Portability of the system**: the REACH system should be able to follow the elderly person through different life phases, institutions, and environments/settings.

**Pulse oximetry**: measures the oxygen saturation of the blood, as well as the pulse.

**Rehabilitation**: physical and cognitive activation after an incident or way to move patient from a certain (deteriorated) level of health state to a better health state.

**Rehabilitation bed**: center of life in clinics, rehabilitation settings and home care shall become part of the solution.

**Sensing furniture**: allows the placement of a range of (medical) sensors that can be used to obtain a range of parameters relevant for early detection of risks in an unobtrusive manner in an environment or setting.
**SF-36 health survey**: measures and reflects experiences of the last week prior to the date of the survey.

**System modularity**: REACH will be modular in order to allow an adaptation to various use cases/scenarios and to be able to adapt/evolve over time with the user.

**The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA)**: rapid screening instrument for mild cognitive dysfunction.

**The Motor Function Assessment Scale (MFAS)**: examines 4 groups of 44 motor functions.

**Time series approach**: An analysis approach that exploits the temporal structure of data (in our case, Activities of Daily Living and health-related information) in order to extract meaningful statistics and patterns.

**Use case**: The four solution operators are in the context of T1.1 and this report called *use cases* since they reflect concrete application scenarios.

**Wearables**: wearable devices used to obtain temporal information from a person about physical activity, water intake, weight, emotions, and blood glucose levels in a seamless manner.
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1 Background and summary of tasks and activities

In the REACH project a sensing-monitoring-intervention system will be developed that can be placed in an unobtrusive manner in various care settings and living environments of elderly citizens. The system will be able (1) to use a set of sensors to detect selected vital signs, behavioral/care patterns, and health states, (2) predict – as early as possible - future health states, risks or events (loss of function, frailty, stroke, etc.) and (3) provide and coordinate proactively a set of customized services and products. Early intervention by REACH should allow that the time spent in a desirable health state (baseline health), and Healthy Life Years (HLYs) are increased and that the time spent in Long-Term Care (LTC) facilities is reduced. In that context, it will be shown that REACH can improve and speed up, on the one hand, the physical and cognitive rehabilitation of elderly citizens in deteriorated health states or suffering from a sudden incident, for example, by speeding up their transfer from acute care to rehabilitation to home care as well as their health state improvement within one of these institutions. On the other hand, it will be demonstrated that REACH can be utilized in home/home care contexts to keep people as long as possible in a desired base-line health state, mitigate the risk of deterioration, and finally slow down or prevent deterioration.

In order to develop the mentioned features in a target oriented manner REACH, integrates various stakeholders such as knowledge providers (research, universities) technology providers (sensors, prediction, intervention mechanisms), multiplicators (insurances, standardization organizations, etc.), and solution operators (clinics, rehabilitation centers, home and home care providers) into a joint development team. REACH will carry out the development of the mentioned features within four years, through nine work packages and in three iterative development cycles (phase 1: mock-ups and lab testing of single technologies; phase 2: mock ups and short term tests with sub-systems in real-world environments; phase 3: system prototype long term testing in real-world environment). The four solution operators (in the context of T1.1 and this report called “use cases” since they reflect concrete application cases for the REACH system) Geneva Hospital (HUG), Schön Klinik (SK), ZuidZorg (ZZ), and Lyngby-Taarbæk Municipality (Lyngby) that are part of the REACH consortium, in that context reflect two dimensions:

1. Health state dimension: the four use case partners represent the most relevant ways or transfer possibilities of elderly through various health states and institutions (e.g. from hospital to rehabilitation to home in case of a health state improvement; alternatively, from home to hospital/rehabilitation in case of a health state deterioration). The REACH system should be able to move with the elderly through the various health states/institutions.

2. System development dimension: the four use case partners represent the development strategy. Development will in the beginning phases of the project target the more “structured environments” (clinic/HUG; rehabilitation/SK) since here requirements are more obvious and system features are easier and faster to be verified and validated. These technically complex solutions can then in later project phases be stepwise (in an adapted and simplified form) transferred into the home care (ZZ) and smart home (Lyngby) use case contexts and open new markets in this fields for the REACH industry partners.

WP1 will in the first 14 project months (Milestone 2) detail the REACH concept and system design before in WPs 2-5, the individual subsystems are developed and subsequent system integration and testing/demonstration are conducted (WP6). WP
7, 8 and 9 are concerned with cross sectional topics such as usability, ethics, safety, security, business models and project management.

WP1 consists of four work tasks and will follow a systematic system development approach. First, as part of T1.1 (outlined in this deliverable report) the as-is situation of the four use cases will be analyzed, relevant problems and stakeholders will be identified and initial, concrete use scenarios will be formulated. Second, based on T1.1 in T1.2 the system vision will be detailed, a stakeholder analysis will be conducted, and as part of a “requirements engineering” process, requirements will be elicited and formalized. Then, in T1.3 requirements will be prioritized and selected, initial value proposition and product-service-system concept will be developed together with the stakeholders. Finally, in T1.4 the product-service-system architecture (modularity, standards, software architecture, etc.) will be detailed.

This deliverable report (Deliverable D1.1, due date: Month 3; related to T1.1) presents the outcomes of the analysis (including on-site analyses, stakeholder identification, identification of used assessment practices, real world profiles of elderly citizens, personas, interviews with “patients” and stakeholders, etc.) of the as-is situation and practices at the use case partner’s care settings and the formulation of first, initial use scenarios (including concrete application opportunities and experience maps for each setting, opportunities arising from transfer through institutions/settings, technological potentials, etc.). To accomplish this task first an analysis framework was developed (Month 1; see Section 2), then the analysis was carried out (Month 2; see Sections 3 and 5), and finally conclusions were drawn (experience maps, scenarios, opportunities, etc.; Month 3; see Sections 3, 4, and 6).

A key feature of the analysis was the in-depth analysis of real world “patient” profiles (the word “patient” can in this context also refer to elderly living at home with light disabilities or no problems but at risk; in this report “patient profiles” are thus referred to as “end-user profiles”). The real world patients/elderly citizens analyzed in this context state concrete, common examples and stand, moreover, exemplarily for other similar end-users. Furthermore, from the end-user profiles then “personas” (fictive example users) were generated. In this first step, for HUG 20 end-user profiles (EPs) and 5 personas, for SK 15 EPs (5 outlined in this report in detail) and 2 personas, for ZZ 14 EPs and 4 personas, and for Lyngby 6 EPs and 2 personas were subject of in-depth analysis. In case of HUG for the analysis of the EPs (planned in combination with personal test and interviews) due to national regulations an ethics approval had to be filed. Since it was not possible to obtain the ethics approval before the end of the task/deliverable submission it was decided that HUG’s EP analysis in the context of this task/deliverable is done based on patient data obtained within regular clinical practice, and that then (once ethical approval obtained) within the stakeholder analysis in T1.2 this is deepened and extended.

The remainder of this report is subdivided into 9 sections. Section 1 describes the background and the tasks and Section 2 the analysis framework. Section 3 presents the analysis outcomes for each of the four use cases (HUG, SK, ZZ, Lyngby) and Section 4 analyses based on this their commonalities and differences. In Section 5 the outcome of an analysis of the technological potentials and application scenarios is presented and in Section 6 the potential of the utilization of REACH in each use case and cross use cases and the technological opportunities are concluded. Sections 7, 8 and 9 provide references, enclosures and appendices.
As part of an in-person meeting of all work task participants (SK, HUG, ZZ, Lyngby, DTU, Tu/e, Copenhagen, Philips, TUM) at SK in Month 1 (M1) an analysis framework was set up and it was decided that the analysis of the 4 use cases (SK, HUG, ZZ, Lyngby) shall for each setting follow these analysis items:

1. **Identification of stakeholders**: Identification of the most important stakeholders and their relations to each other to build the basis for an in-depth stakeholder analysis, workshops with stakeholders and a subsequent requirements elicitation in T1.2 and T1.3.

2. **Assessment of individual abilities/disabilities of target end-users**: For each use case the common elderly citizens/patient (end-user) assessment regimes must be identified and used to outline the abilities/disabilities of the end-users in each setting. For this analysis each use case partner due to practical reasons used its own assessment regimes (such as, for example, Barthel Index and Functional Independence Measure. For later project phases the assessment regimes of the four use case partners shall be harmonized to a certain extent towards the Monitoring and Assessment Framework for the European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing (MAFEIP).

3. **Analysis of end-user profiles (EPs)**: In-depth analysis of selected (up to 10) real world target end-users which characterize the use case and are also considered as potential REACH end-users.

4. **Semi-structured face-to-face interviews with target end-users**: To elicit information about their current situation as well as their expectations and concerns.

5. **Definition of personas**: Based on the assessment, profile analysis and interviews for each of the four use cases a set of model users (personas) should be generated and characterized. Personas shall in later project phases also be used to communicate needs and requirements to the other, more technically oriented project partners.

6. **Experience Mapping**: Collection and mapping of routines of end-users, processes, experiences and day schemes based on the outcomes of the analysis items 1-5. The framework should characterize typical “a day in the life” of an elderly citizen in a specific stage of healthcare. The method was exercised on the in person meeting in M1 at SK. The experience maps developed by each use case partner as part of T1.1 comprise preliminary drafts which will be extended and detailed in the subsequent work tasks.

The analysis framework is for now not considered a fixed, rigid framework but rather gives a set of guidelines that can be interpreted and adjusted by each use case partner (as mentioned above for later project phases harmonization toward the MAFEIP shall be achieved). The analysis shall characterize each use case (end-users, stakeholders, processes, treatments) and outline the as-is situation (T1.1) as a starting point for a concretization of the system vision and a requirements engineering process (T1.2-T1.4).

### 2.1 Stakeholder identification

A **actors map** is a commonly used method in service design. It represents the system of actors (stakeholders) and their mutual relations to provide a systematic view of the service and its context (Figure 1). It can be built based on the observation of the service from a specific point of view that becomes the center of the whole...
representation; for example if the selected perspective is from the end-user (elderly citizen), the graph will show all the stakeholders starting from their relations with him.

![Figure 1: Actors map of an online food ordering service (adapted from Actors Map, 2016)](image)

2.2 Methods to assess individual abilities/disabilities of end-users

The assessment method and scores outlined in this section can be used to characterize the end-users’ level of (1) independence (2) mobility, (3) cognition, and (4) mental state. For the analysis to be conducted (Section 3) for each use case the appropriate method/s can be chosen. All scales are available in all major European languages, for simplicity only the German versions are referenced.

2.2.1 BI - Barthel Index

The BI is an ordinal scale used to measure independent performance in activities of daily living (ADL) with the following ten items (Mahoney, 1965; Collin et al., 2009):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: BI assessed dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong> Feeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong> Bathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong> Grooming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4</strong> Dressing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5</strong> Fecal incontinence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6</strong> Urinary incontinence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7</strong> Toilet use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8</strong> Transfers (from bed to chair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9</strong> Mobility (walking/use of wheel chair)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10</strong> Climbing stairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance items can be scored with 0, 5, 10 or 15, best overall score is 100. The need of support required to perform the respective item is used in determining the value of each item. Higher scores are associated with greater independence. The assessment should be performed by nursing personnel.
2.2.2 **FIM – Functional Independence Measure**

The FIM ([Black et al., 1999; Heinemann et al., 1994; Granger et al., 1993; Keith et al., 1987]) provides a uniform system of measurement for disability based on the International Classification of Impairment, Disabilities and Handicaps. It measures the level of an elderly citizen’s/patient’s disability and indicates how much assistance is required for the individual to carry out activities of daily living. This test allows to dissect the nature of a patient’s autonomy impairment and to provide well suited home care. The assessment contains 18 items including 13 motor tasks and 5 cognitive tasks (regarding basic activities of daily living). The tasks are rated on a 7 point ordinal scale that ranges from total assistance (or complete dependence) to complete independence. The scores range from 18 (lowest) to 126 (highest) indicating level of function. The assessment should be performed by nursing personnel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: FIM assessed dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: FIM scoring criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Helper Required</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helper (Modified Dependence)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helper (Complete Dependence)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.3 **mRS - modified Rankin Scale**

The modified Rankin Scale (mRS) is a commonly used outcome scale for measuring the degree of disability or dependence in the daily activities of people with neurological diseases ([van Swieten et al., 1988]). The scale consists of the following 7 items:
Table 4: mRS item description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Item description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No significant disability. Able to carry out all usual activities, despite some symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Slight disability. Able to look after own affairs without assistance, but unable to carry out all previous activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Moderate disability. Requires some help, but able to walk unassisted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Moderately severe disability. Unable to attend to own bodily needs without assistance, and unable to walk unassisted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Severe disability. Requires constant nursing care and attention, bedridden, incontinent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Dead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assessment should be performed by physicians.

2.2.4 BBS - Berg-Balance-Scale

The BBS (see for example, (Berg et al., 1995; Scherfer et al., 2006; Liston and Brouwer, 1996; Stevenson, 2001)) is a 14-item scale designed to measure balance of the older adult in a clinical setting. The scale is ordinal and ranging from 0 to 4, 0 indicates the lowest level and 4 the highest level of function, the maximum overall score is 56. The assessment should be performed by physiotherapists.

The scores are interpreted as follows:

Table 5: BBS score interpretation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score Range</th>
<th>Fall Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41-56</td>
<td>Low fall risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-40</td>
<td>Medium fall risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>High fall risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Item description:

Table 6: BBS item description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Item description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sitting to standing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Standing unsupported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sitting unsupported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Standing to sitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Transfers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Standing with eyes closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Standing with feet together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Reaching forward with outstretched arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Retrieving object from floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Turning to look behind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Turning 360 degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Placing alternate foot on stool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Standing with one foot in front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Standing on one foot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.5 MFAS - the Motor Function Assessment Scale

The MFAS (range of scale 0 - 44) examines 4 groups of 44 motor functions: sitting, standing up and standing, walking, and functioning of the upper extremities. A patient who cannot fulfill any of the tasks scores 44 points (Freivogel and Piorreck, 1990). The assessment should be performed by nursing personnel.
### Table 7: MFAS item description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sitting</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>driving in a wheel chair from one room to another, unaided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Transfer wheel chair-bench over less handicapped side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Transfer wheel chair-bench over more handicapped side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Free sitting without any help (not leaning on the arm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Like 4, additional free head movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Like 4, additional free arm movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lift right buttock (+ right leg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lift left buttock (+ left leg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standing up and standing</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Standing up from the floor without assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Free standing for 8 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Free rising from sitting to standing position (from 90° hip flex.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Like 11, but without propping or pressing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Like 12, with right leg only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Like 12, with left leg only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Standing on right leg for 8 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Like 15, left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Rising asymmetrically from low knees bend (crouching)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Walking</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>10 m with auxiliary person + device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>10 m unaided (with auxiliary device, without auxiliary person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10 m unaided (without auxiliary device, without auxiliary person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>10 m barefoot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Walking 10 m, touching ground with one hand, turning and walking back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Like 22, touching ground with both hands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Climbing 5 stairs (with rail)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Walking down 5 stairs (with rail)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Like 24 (without rail)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Like 25 (without rail)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Running 20 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Hopping 8 times with right leg (without break)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Like 29, with left leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Tightrope walking 3 m forward (on long bench turned upside down, assistance for climbing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Like 31, backward</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper extremities</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Putting right hand on mouth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Like 33, with left hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Reaching left ear with right hand across head (full elevation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Like 35, with left hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Bouncing a ball 8 times with right hand (from a standing or sitting position)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Like 37, with left hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Holding paper with right hand (between thumb and forefinger)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Like 39, holding with left hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Knocking with both hands alternatively on support (evenly / with both arms propped)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>5 knots in 20 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Drawing a line without touching the margins, right hand (without interrupting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Like 43, left hand</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The MFAS is routinely performed by therapists at admission and discharge at the Schön Klinik Bad Aibling.

#### 2.2.6 SF-36 health survey

The SF-36 (2nd edition, 2011, German version, 1 week) consists of 36 questions subdivided in 8 scaled sub-scores (Bullinger and Kirchberger, 1998). The overall score ranges from 0 to 100, lower values indicate more disability. In the REACH project two versions will be used, one filled in through the elderly citizen/patient himself (self-assessment) and the second filled in by the caregiver (external assessment). The assessment refers to the experiences of the last week prior to the date of the survey (Bullinger et al., 1995; Bullinger and Kirchberger, 1998).
The eight sections are:

1. Vitality
2. Physical functioning
3. Bodily pain
4. General health perceptions
5. Physical role functioning
6. Emotional role functioning
7. Social role functioning
8. Mental health

2.2.7 HADS-D - Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale

The HADS assessment (German version (Bjelland et al., 2002)) is used to detect anxiety and depression in patients with physical conditions or (possibly psychogenic) physical complaints. The HADS is a fourteen item scale that generates ordinal data. Each item on the questionnaire is scored from 0-3, sub-scores are between 0 and 21 for either anxiety or depression. Higher scores are associated with more distinct anxiety/depression. Seven of the items relate to anxiety and seven relate to depression. The questionnaire should be filled in by the patient himself.

The items on the questionnaire that relate to anxiety are:

1. I feel tense or wound up
2. I get a sort of frightened feeling as if something bad is about to happen
3. Worrying thoughts go through my mind
4. I can sit at ease and feel relaxed
5. I get a sort of frightened feeling like butterflies in the stomach
6. I feel restless and have to be on the move
7. I get sudden feelings of panic

The items that relate to depression are:

1. I still enjoy the things I used to enjoy
2. I can laugh and see the funny side of things
3. I feel cheerful
4. I feel as if I am slowed down
5. I have lost interest in my appearance
6. I look forward with enjoyment to things
7. I can enjoy a good book or radio or TV program

2.2.8 BDI - Beck Depression Inventory

The BDI is a multiple-choice self-report inventory, one of the most widely used psychometric tests for measuring the severity of depression. It consists of 21 questions about how the subject has been feeling in the last week. Higher total scores indicate more severe depressive symptoms. Duration to complete the BDI is approximately 10-15 minutes (Beck et al., 1961). The questionnaire should be filled in by the elderly citizen/patient himself.
The BDI scores are interpreted as follows:

Table 8: BDI score interpretation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BDI Score</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>These ups and downs are considered normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-16</td>
<td>Mild mood disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>Borderline clinical depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>Moderate depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>Severe depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over 40</td>
<td>Extreme depression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A persistent score of 17 or above indicates that you may need treatment.

Table 9: BDI scoring criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I do not feel sad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I feel sad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I am sad all the time and I can't snap out of it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I am so sad and unhappy that I can't stand it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I am not particularly discouraged about the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I feel discouraged about the future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I feel I have nothing to look forward to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I feel the future is hopeless and that things cannot improve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I do not feel like a failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I feel I have failed more than the average person.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>As I look back on my life, all I can see is a lot of failures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I feel I am a complete failure as a person.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>I get as much satisfaction out of things as I used to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I don't enjoy things the way I used to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I don't get real satisfaction out of anything anymore.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I am dissatisfied or bored with everything.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I don't feel particularly guilty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I feel guilty a good part of the time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I feel quite guilty most of the time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I feel guilty all of the time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>I don't feel I am being punished.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I feel I may be punished.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I expect to be punished.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I feel I am being punished.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>I don't feel disappointed in myself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I am disappointed in myself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I am disgusted with myself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I hate myself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>I don't feel I am any worse than anybody else.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I am critical of myself for my weaknesses or mistakes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I blame myself all the time for my faults.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I blame myself for everything bad that happens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>I don't have any thoughts of killing myself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I have thoughts of killing myself, but I would not carry them out.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I would like to kill myself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I would kill myself if I had the chance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>I don't cry any more than usual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I cry more now than I used to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I cry all the time now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>I used to be able to cry, but now I can't cry even though I want to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>I am no more irritated by things than I ever was.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I am slightly more irritated now than usual.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I am quite annoyed or irritated a good deal of the time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2.9 MOCA - the Montreal Cognitive Assessment

The MOCA (full test, version 7, 2004, German version) (Nasreddine et al., 2005) was designed as a rapid screening instrument for mild cognitive dysfunction.

MOCA assesses the following cognitive domains:

1. Attention and concentration
2. Executive functions
3. Memory
4. Language
5. Visuoconstructional skills
6. Conceptual thinking
7. Calculations
8. Orientation.

The maximum total score is 30; a score of 26 or above is considered normal. The assessment should be performed by personnel familiar with the MOCA.

2.2.10 MMSE - Mini-Mental State Examination

The MMSE is a screening score that is used to measure cognitive impairment. It is also used to estimate the severity and progression of cognitive impairment and to follow the course of cognitive changes in an individual over time. The MMSE test includes simple questions and problems in a number of areas: the time and place of the test, repeating lists of words, arithmetic such as the serial seven, language use and comprehension, and basic motor skills (Folstein et al., 1975; O'Bryant et al., 2008). Completion of the MMSE takes between 5 to 10 minutes. The assessment should be performed by psychologists or physicians.

The MMSE scores are interpreted as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Max. score</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24-30</td>
<td>Normal cognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-23</td>
<td>Mild cognitive impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-18</td>
<td>Moderate cognitive impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 9</td>
<td>Severe cognitive impairment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 10: MMSE score interpretation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>“What is the year? Season? Date? Day? Month?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>“Where are we now? State? County? Town/city? Hospital? Floor?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The examiner names three unrelated objects clearly and slowly, then the instructor asks the patient to name all three of them. The patient’s response is used for scoring. The examiner repeats them until patient learns all of them, if possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>“I would like you to count backward from 100 by sevens.” (93, 86, 79, 72, 65, …); Alternative: “Spell WORLD backwards.” (D-L-R-O-W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>“Earlier I told you the names of three things. Can you tell me what those were?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Show the patient two simple objects, such as a wristwatch and a pencil, and ask the patient to name them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>“Repeat the phrase: ‘No ifs, ands, or buts.’”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>“Take the paper in your right hand, fold it in half, and put it on the floor.” (The examiner gives the patient a piece of blank paper.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>“Please read this and do what it says.” (Written instruction is “Close your eyes.”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>“Make up and write a sentence about anything.” (This sentence must contain a noun and a verb.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>“Please copy this picture.” (The examiner gives the patient a blank piece of paper and asks him/her to draw the symbol below. All 10 angles must be present and two must intersect.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.11 TFI - Tilburg Frailty Indicator

The Tilburg Frailty Indicator (TFI) was developed in line with the integral conceptual model of frailty (Gobbens et al., 2010b). Several other multidimensional instruments are currently available for measuring frailty in older persons, such as the Edmonton Frail Scale (Rolfson et al., 2006), the Frailty Index (Jones et al., 2004), and the Groningen Frailty Indicator (Schuurmans et al., 2004). The TFI differs from these instruments in that the score on the TFI results entirely from self-reports and contains no questions on disability. Research has shown that frailty should be distinguished...
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from disability (Fried et al., 2004). Frailty, in fact, is regarded as a pre-disability state (van Kan et al., 2008; Morley et al., 2006).

A recent cross-sectional study has shown that the TFI is easy to administer and also a reliable and valid measurement tool for assessing frailty (Gobbens et al., 2010b). The TFI has good test–retest reliability, good construct validity, and good to excellent criterion-oriented concurrent validity for predicting the adverse outcomes disability, receiving personal care, receiving nursing and informal care, and mediocre for hospitalization and general practitioner (GP) visits. The concurrent validity of the TFI was also demonstrated by strong correlations with quality of life and relations among life-course determinants, disease(s), and frailty were also confirmed (Gobbens et al., 2010a).

![Figure 2: Integral conceptual model of frailty (adopted from Gobbens et al., 2010a)](image)

### 2.2.12 Monitoring and Assessment Framework for the European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing (MAFEIP)

MAFEIP is a Monitoring and Assessment Framework generated by the Joint Research Center of the European Commission to assess the evolution and impact of the European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing (EIP on AHA). The main topic of MAFEIP is to facilitate and harmonize the monitoring of the overall outcome of the Action Groups within the EIP on AHA to allow a qualitative comparison with the EIP on AHA objectives (Boehler and Abadie, 2015). The superior objective of EIP on AHA is to increase the average healthy life span of European citizens by two additional healthy life years until 2020, accompanied by the Triple Win comprising the following targets:

1. Enabling EU citizens to lead healthy, active and independent lives while ageing;
2. Improving the sustainability and efficiency of social and health care systems;
3. Boosting and improving the competitiveness of the markets for innovative products and services, thus creating new opportunities for businesses.
Sets of potential outcome indicators were already specified for quality of life and sustainability of health systems. MAFEIP is not a rigid tool and will be adapted and further developed to the users’ needs and expectations (European Commission, 2015).

2.3 Analysis of real world end-user profiles (EPs)

The functionality of the REACH system should accommodate end-users’ needs. In hospital settings a lot of information is generated through a multitude of caregiver. Therefore collection, evaluation and quantification of relevant data is crucial to develop a suitable system. The best way to visualize the needs of end-user groups is by creating models (personas) based on data of various patients with different deficits. SK, for example, concentrates on two major deficit categories, motor deficits and cognitive/speech deficits, and generated from 5 EPs analyzed finally two personas, one with focus on motor deficits and one with focus on cognitive deficits. To ensure that every aspect regarding the pathologies represented by the personas is covered, SK screened the data from 10 additional end-users with the respective pathologies.

![Focus of deficits in personas model](image)

Figure 3: Focus of deficits in personas model

In the context of the analysis of EPs data on the following items will be collected and analyzed:

1. Demographics
2. Diagnosis
3. Relevant complications
4. Neuropsychological limitations
5. Movement disorders

To follow the REACH objective providing comprehensive mobilization, the needs of each persona shall be structured and assigned to all respective phases of the day.
In addition to the demographic and clinical elderly citizen/patient characteristics, expectations from caregivers or therapists will be added to the respective phases of the day.

### 2.4 Interviews

The purpose of the interviews to be conducted in T1.1 was to contribute information from each of the 4 test sites for the elaboration of use cases. In particular, interviews shall elicit from elderly citizens/patients, their families and their professional caretakers their attitudes, expectations and concerns about 1) Monitoring, 2) Activity nudging; and 3) Privacy issues (associated with 1 and 2). The purpose of the interviews was not to collect data for a scientific paper. Each interview was expected to last 30-45 minutes, depending on the social talk during and after introduction, of course.

**Interview Guideline:**

1. **Format:** Semi-structured interview. Face to face interview set up preferred. May be replaced by or supplemented with focus group interviews or (for instance staff interviews) interviews in pairs or small groups.

2. **Interview participants:**
   a) An adequate sample of elderly citizens/patients. Inclusion criteria: 65+, receiving some amount of care either personal or nursing. \( (N \geq 6) \), try to sample both couples and singles, old and not so old citizens)
   b) An adequate sample of relatives to elderly citizens/patients as above \( (N \geq 6) \)
   c) An adequate sample of professional care givers – nurses, nurse assistants \( (N \geq 6) \)

3. **Introduction:** You will introduce yourself and explain the purpose of the study. Explain that all information your participants will provide is confidential and no
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use will be made of this that can be used to identify any person(s). Write this down in advance in the language of the interview.

4. Demographic data: for each interviewee (participant) please record:
   a) age,
   b) gender,
   c) cohabitation/marital status,
   d) type of home situation (we only need to know if they live in sheltered home or live by themselves while still receiving some amount of care
   e) extent of care service per week (how many visits per day/week on average)
   f) uses (yes/no) the internet/www regularly (by PC, tablet or smartphone)

5. Themes: For several of the themes you (obviously) need to explain, however, briefly what the issue is about and exemplify this. When you introduce sensors, you may bring a picture along for illustration (explaining that the idea is to mount a few of these sensors in the citizen’s home in places to be agreed upon.

a) Theme 1: Collection of data from in-home or wearable sensors for monitoring health status - ask about and seek elaboration of the participant’s views about the
   • A1. Usefulness of sensors (in-home and/or wearable that may alert caregivers if the sensors detect an event (fall, lack of movement during daytime) or a marked change in behaviors.
   • A2. Usefulness of family members or other informal caregivers. Can they have the same access to events and/or changes in activity, if the citizen permits this?
   • A3. Acceptability of the above – ask about concerns that caregivers will be able to see changes in activities
   • A4. Acceptability of the above with respect to family/informal caregivers

b) Theme 2: Prompting/ nudging to engage in physical activities – ask and seek elaboration of the participant’s view about
   • B0. Do you wish to engage in (a bit) more physical activity than you currently are engaged in (dancing, bowling, walking, hiking, swimming …., and if not: can you imagine that you at a later time might need some encouragement to increase your physical activity?
   • B1. Usefulness for yourself of having prompting via technology (in-home and/or wearable) to engage in a specific activity AND having feedback via the sensors of activities engaged in or not
   • A3. Acceptability of allowing (some of) your formal caregivers to monitor your activities retrospectively - concerns that caregivers will be able to see when you skip your “prescribed” activities?
   • A4. Acceptability of the above with respect to family/informal caregivers

1 Your interview is not intended to collect any personal health data: therefore, we need not to prepare an informed consent form for our interview participants to sign. If you receive personal health data, please do not collect this.

If you need to keep a record of the names or other identifying information about your interview participants, you must make sure that you do not store such information in the files or folders in which you record results of the interview.
2.5 Defining personas

The purpose of personas ([Pruitt, J. and Grudin, J., 2003]) is to create reliable and realistic representations of your target users of the intended products and services. These representations should be based on qualitative and some quantitative user research. As a result, personas are fictional, generalized characters representing the real and potential customers with various needs, objectives, and behavior patterns. They can help understand customers better. According to ([U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2015]), there are a few important steps when making personas.

1. Conduct user research by answering the following questions: Who are your users and why are they using your products and services? What are their behaviors, assumptions, and expectations?
2. Condense the research by looking for themes/characteristics that are specific, relevant, and universal to your products and services and their users.
3. Brainstorm by organizing elements into persona groups that represent your target users. Name or classify each group.
4. Refine by combining and prioritizing the rough personas. Separate them into primary, secondary, and, if necessary, complementary categories. You should have roughly 3-5 personas and their identified characteristics.
5. Make them realistic by developing the appropriate descriptions of each personas background, motivations, and expectations. Do not include a lot of personal information. Be relevant and serious; humor is not appropriate.

Personas generally include the following key pieces of information:

1. Fictional name
2. Job titles and major responsibilities
3. Demographics such as age, education, ethnicity, and family status
4. The related goals and tasks they are trying to complete
5. Their physical, social, and technological environment
6. A quote that sums up what matters most to the persona as it relates to relevant products and services
7. Casual pictures representing that user group

It is important to organize persona information in an easy to read, logical format. Examples of persona can be found via ([U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2015]).

2.6 Use scenario elaboration by Experience Mapping

The method and the description in this section are partly adopted from “Experience Flows Understanding people and their experiences to deliver meaningful innovations” (Philips, 2014).

2.6.1 General description of the method:

a. Making sense of context: Experience Maps (EMs) are one of Philips’ most useful tools for creating people-centered solutions. They help to spot and contextualize the unmet needs of people and then translate these into innovation opportunities and directions. This is done by consolidating vast amounts of qualitative and quantitative information and knowledge into a visual
that makes immediate sense to everyone. Using multiple perspectives on a particular issue or topic ensures that a holistic insight into the total user experience is created.

b. **Mapping the experiences**: Philips developed the Experience Flow (EF) as a way of mapping an experience – from expectation, to first impression, then through discovery, usage and finally to memory. The poster shows the journey a person or people make through their experience of a place, their interactions with people, and a product or service over time. Besides providing detailed insights from an elderly citizen/patient perspective, the process of creating a flow also helps a team to adopt and understand multiple perspectives and approaches to a context.

c. **Gathering user insights**: Using people-centered research, the team works with the project’s target group to uncover what they think and feel as they experience the specific topic over time. This can be done in a number of ways, which include holding formal and informal interviews, workshops with stakeholders, asking individuals to write down their experiences in a diary or by using online ethnography, and shadowing them as they go about a typical day. The team keeps an open mind at every stage of the process. When doing fieldwork it is best to refrain from talking about the desired solution or direction. Instead, aim for talking about the experiences and the issues in their context.

d. **Identifying issues**: Using the current and real experiences captured during fieldwork, the team starts putting together an EF poster and issue cards. This helps to visually pinpoint problems or gaps, and serves as a basis for identifying opportunities across the EF in a collaborative workshop. The team uses the poster to walk through the journey as if they were the person or people concerned. Then they discuss, challenge and enrich the journey by spotting areas where the person’s needs are not being met.

e. **Going deep**: The EF approach is not exclusive to Philips. Some other organizations have developed similar tools, which they call a Journey Map or a Customer Journey. But Philips is one of few companies to go as deep into the emotional and practical experiences of the people they design for by carrying out extensive field research, and then distilling that understanding in a way that makes sense to others. This depth makes the EF invaluable when seeking to truly understand the needs of a specific target group. As an example, biopharmaceutical company AbbVie hired Philips as a consultant to create an EF about elderly citizen/patients with rheumatoid arthritis, and found the results were a long way from what they were used to. “The difference between a Philips EF and a normal customer journey report is like the difference between reading a thesis about a person and seeing that person in real life situations,” says Senior Brand Manager at AbbVie.

How to make and use EFs for REACH: To support the collection and mapping of elderly citizen/patient experiences and opportunities for use cases in the REACH project, we advise to conduct the following selection of activities at least to some extent. These are abstracted from a more extensive set of guidelines on Experience Flows, but given the level of experience and amount of resource available, the following procedure should help with capturing the most important aspects.

**2.6.2 Frame the project**

1. **Clearly define parameters**: The target elderly citizens/patients, region, challenges, timing, core team and deliverables are defined by the team. This may seem obvious, but many projects fail at this first hurdle.
2. Create the experience framework: This rough generic model is the starting point, mapping out what is already known about a topic. The framework is filled with the team’s existing knowledge. For REACH, the framework could be “a day in the life” of an elderly citizen/patient in a specific stage of healthcare (rehabilitation, transition, home care, etc.), with the timeline on a horizontal axis. This is similar to what was exercised in a T1.1 task initiation meeting in February 2015 at SK. The vertical axis has the different layers of insights. For the application in REACH, we suggest to use the following layers:

- **Mood and elderly citizen/patient experience**: Describe how the elderly citizen/patient feels and experiences the specific events, activities and interactions throughout the day.
- **Equipment and facilities**: Indicate the technology and facilities used. This can be rehabilitation equipment, but also communication technology to talk to their family.
- **Social contacts**: The interactions with friends, family and other people are an important part of peoples' lives. Depending on the context, personality and technology available, people may engage in different types of social contact and activities, as well as experience them in different ways.
- **Medical professionals and stakeholders**: Indicate which medical professionals and/or institutions are involved at certain points during the day. It is valuable to also capture the experiences, concerns and pains experienced by these professionals.

### 2.6.3 Contextual stakeholder research

1. **Formal and informal interviews**: Helps understand people’s rationale and thoughts. In the short time period available in the project, we suggest to use a semi-structured interview approach, probing for behaviors, attitudes and experiences. Use a why-why-why approach to gain deeper understanding of the underlying reasons and motivations. If possible for REACH it would be optimal if not only elderly citizens/ patients are interviewed, but in some cases also their partner, medical staff, and other stakeholders (see point 3 and 4 above).
2. **Shadowing and observation**: Helps the teams to see what people do and use in a more objective manner. This may be different to what they say in interviews.

### 2.6.4 Map the experiences

In this phase the research is reviewed and patterns and areas of interest are identified, and the Experience Flow created and developed. It maps relationships between activities, context (environments/spaces), people, and experiences over time. The focus is on needs in context rather than solutions, including comments like “I find it quite annoying that”, not “I want a product to...” The aim is to see issues in a positive way, as opportunities rather than problems. Please note that it is important to also try and map any dilemma’s that may be experienced by the stakeholders in the experience map. Do not forget to make transitions between activities, stakeholders and contexts explicit. It is often in these phases, moments and situations that opportunities or design spaces arise.

### 2.6.5 Explore opportunities in workshops

People from different backgrounds are brought together as a team to work out how relevant each of the statements on issue cards are for different personas in different phases of the Experience Flow. This often leads to multiple opportunities identified along the day-journey of an elderly citizen/patient, including the involvement of other stakeholders. Optionally, these issue cards can be further discussed with the target
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group and stakeholders to further enrich the understanding of particular issues and concerns. Individual issue cards, or a cluster of cards can be translated into particular use cases to be addressed by the consortium.

2.6.6 Tools to deepen understanding

1. Personas: Descriptions of fictional characters, based on a combination of qualities, lifestyle patterns, needs and desires of the researched people. These personas are used to test various topics (how do they cook, how do they prepare for a hospital visit, how important is style for them?). Personas help make the work more people-focused. It’s easier to design for ‘Sue’ than it is for ‘the target user’ (also see Section 2.5).

2. Stakeholder maps: A simple mapping that shows how multiple groups of people relate to one another in a specific context over time. The best example here is elderly citizens/patients, their families, doctors and nurses in a hospital. This map is put next to the Flow as a reference to the bigger context in which the Flow is only a part (also see Section 2.1).
The use cases hospital/transition (HUG), rehabilitation (SK), home care and homes (Lyngby and ZuidZorg) cover different care settings which the elderly citizen (in the context of HUG and SK also referred to as “patient”) passes through during the course of a health deterioration, care or recovery process. Not all use cases have to be passed through by each elderly citizen. Elderly citizens who recover quickly can be directly discharged to their home. Only if impairments at the end of the hospital stay are hindering the elderly citizen from an independent life, rehabilitation is indicated. Home care is needed when the elderly citizen (and his/her family) cannot accomplish ADLs. Rehabilitation, activation, and physical therapy and training are also needed for almost healthy elderly citizens to reduce the risk of health deterioration. This normal development may be altered by complications and adverse events. Some scenarios are overlapping and can be found in more than one use case.

### Table 12: Primary and secondary attributes of use cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use case</th>
<th>Primary Attribute</th>
<th>Secondary Attribute</th>
<th>Main Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUG</td>
<td>Transition between use cases</td>
<td>Acute care</td>
<td>Prevention of readmission and increased need of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>Neurological rehabilitation</td>
<td>Acute care (Stroke Unit, ICU)</td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZuidZorg</td>
<td>Home care</td>
<td>Meet and greet center</td>
<td>Avoiding nursing home/hospital admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyngby</td>
<td>Home care Homes</td>
<td>Smart Home</td>
<td>Avoiding nursing home/hospital admission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.1 Use case 1: Geneva Hospital (HUG)

HUG will in the context of REACH focus on the transition between use cases and health states of end-user (in particular involving its geriatric unit, and rehabilitation and home care specialists), represent in the REACH care continuum an acute care setting, and will aim at the prevention of readmission and need of care.

#### 3.1.1 Description of use case, focus and target users/elderly

The Directorate General for Economic and Financial Affairs expects a 350% increase of health costs by 2050, in a context of limited economic expansion. Increase in LTC, due the global ageing of the population, is recognized as a major determinant of this inflation. REACH is a technological product that aims at limiting admissions in LTC by promoting the autonomy, the physical condition and the literacy of geriatric-aged elderly citizen (in the context of HUG called “patients”) with cognitive or physical impairment. It will use furniture-embedded or worn sensors to identify abnormal health patterns, propose recommendations, and eventually apply them with a particular focus on motivation. HUG now is in the conceptualization phase and proposes to focus on the hospital to home transition.

Hospital to home transition is increasingly recognized as a critical period in the continuum of patient care, where notably high numbers of adverse events and hospital readmissions may occur (Baker et al., 2004; Bowles et al., 2002; Bull et al., 2000; Forster et al., 2003; Heggstad, 2002; Naylor, 2000; Naylor et al., 1999; Naylor et al., 2004; Naylor and McCauley, 1999; Pohl et al., 1995; Rich et al., 1995; Riegel et al., 2004; Schultz, 1997; Siu et al., 1996; Waite et al., 1994;
Weinberger et al., 1996). Among other interventions, application of innovative eHealth strategies such as REACH could act on the determinants of these adverse outcomes and improve the quality of care. Accordingly, HUG proposes to focus the use case on this context with the overall aim to facilitate the hospital to home transition and the home care, thereby limiting hospital readmissions.

In accordance with the REACH concept, the target population will consist of patients 65 years old or older, with functional and/or cognitive impairment, hospitalized in the geriatric hospital of Geneva (Hôpital des Trois-Chêne), with need of home care after discharge, and with home care provided by the public Institution genevoise de Maintien A Domicile (IMAD). The IMAD supports more than 19,000 persons per year. Specific scales described in the assessment methods part will enable HUG to state the degree of physical and cognitive impairment more precisely. In order to further define the use case, HUG must aim at better understanding regarding the needs of the target population and their partner.

For that purpose, key questions were stated:

1. What are the demographic and medical characteristics of the patients?
2. What are patients’, families’ and care-givers’ expectations and fears about REACH?
3. What are the determinants of hospital readmission?
4. For hospitalized patients, what are the determinants of long-term care versus discharge with home-care?

The analysis of patient profiles will help to answer the first question, and, to some extent, the third and the fourth ones. The interviews of patients, family members and care-givers will help to answer the second question. Finally, expert interviews, literature review and eventually a dedicated study will help to answer the third and fourth questions. In order to work on the literature review regarding questions 3 and 4, Prof. Armin Schnider, head of the neurorehabilitation division at HUG, met with stakeholders Prof. Jean-Luc Reny, head of the Hôpital des Trois-Chêne.

The main conclusions of these stakeholder meetings were that:

1. Falls, heart failure and cognitive impairment were the most frequent problems associated with hospital readmissions.
2. Anxiety by relatives, dangerous situations linked to cognitive impairment (patients may forget to eat or to turn-off the cooking plates), or every situation that needs the continuous presence of care-givers (which is in many cases not possible) are the main limiting factors to provide care at home versus long-term care in a nursing home.
3. Accordingly, REACH features should target these problems.

A definitive use case can only be provided after answering fully to our four key questions. However, HUG proposes here a prototypical use case to allow a more concrete representation that could be useful for further reading of this report:

1. A geriatric-aged patient hospitalized at the Trois-Chêne Hospital (3C) is identified as lacking autonomy (defined by the MMSE, FIM BBS) by the medical doctor, nurse or physical therapist in charge.
2. Alternatively, the patient reads a brochure about REACH and speaks to the care team.
3. The potential benefits resulting from the implementation of REACH are discussed during the weekly-held social meeting where nurses, occupational therapists, social workers, doctors, physical therapists and liaison nurses are present.

4. The product is then presented to the patient and/or his/her family by a member of the care team that can come from a variety of different professional categories depending on which REACH module is considered (i.e. physical therapist for motor rehabilitation, MD for a module involving treatment adaptation etc.).

5. The patient and/or his/her family give or not his/her informed consent for the implementation of one or more REACH modules.

6. Upon approval, and if the remaining hospitalization time allows for it, the module (from the existing HUG pool of REACH devices) is first installed in the rehabilitation setting by a trained HUG technician, and the patient and caregivers start to train with it.

7. If necessary, an on-call REACH technician is able to fix minor technical issues.

8. REACH-associated medical issues are discussed with the care team during the round (as would be medication side-effects) on a daily basis.

9. After 3 days, the patient chooses whether or not to install the system at home.

10. In the case the patient accepts to install the REACH system, the liaison nurse contacts the REACH technician from the IMAD.

11. The patient is discharged and arrives home where the REACH smart furniture is already installed and where it helps to facilitate the care transition. Features that may contribute to improve the hospital to home transition may include (this is the critical part that will be adapted once the definitive use-case outline will be available):

   a. **Physical therapy aimed at limiting falls:** Continuation of exercises started in the hospital, monitoring and adaptation via REACH that would also be able to create reports for the physical therapist. Some innovative features such as virtual reality could be integrated.

   b. **Fall detection and alarm:** REACH movement sensors may be used to detect a fall or an absence of movement and alert care-givers or family members.

   c. **Promotion of treatment observance:** The treatment plan could be integrated into to REACH and associated with a reminder system that could improve patients’ observance. Sensor: intelligent pill box. Effector: reminder via the REACH interface. IMAD and GP could get access to and modify the treatment plan via REACH.

   d. **Suggestion of treatment adaptation based on sensed parameters:** increase of the diuretic doses following an increase in body weight for patient with heart failure; increase of the basal evening insulin following an increase of the morning fasting blood glucose levels.

   e. **Monitoring of context-associated critical parameters** (blood pressure after a hypertensive crisis, O2 saturation after a respiratory failure) that could be collected via IMAD nurses or sent to the IMAD/GP.

   f. **Regular brain exercise:** Cerebral training program could be performed through the REACH interface and feedback would be provided using monitoring of the scores and/or the brain electric activity via an easy-to-use, dry, electroencephalogram cap.

   g. **Meal reminder and planning:** Data from sensors aiming at measuring the food intake could be used to plan and eventually help to prepare adequate meals.
h. **Smoke alarm**: Smoke detectors may alarm fire workers in case of a fire. Cooking plates could be automatically turned off after a period of inactivity or after an ignored reminder. These are already existing, yet very useful, systems for people with cognitive impairment that could be easily integrated into REACH.

i. **Continuous monitoring of ADLs** coupled with pattern analysis and prediction algorithms that may indicate early worsening of cognitive or physical impairments, trigger distance or face-to-face evaluation, and may help avoid hospital readmission.

j. **Collaborative tools** that may facilitate the interactions between the patient and the formal and informal caregivers with the aim of improving social or medical support.

12. If patients have to be readmitted to the hospital, REACH may send collected information (last parameters, current treatment for example) to the hospital caregivers.

### 3.1.2 Stakeholder identification

![Stakeholder Identification Diagram](image)

**Figure 5: Stakeholder identification at HUG**

This figure identifies the main stakeholders interacting daily with REACH and patients in our use case (blue arrows and boxes). It also identifies stakeholders involved in the development phase of REACH (green arrows and boxes). On a daily basis, patients, the REACH system and caregivers will mutually interact promoting home
care. The development team of REACH will promote user-assisted development by frequent contacts with patients and caregivers.

3.1.3 Assessment method
Regarding the suggested scales, the MOCA (for cognitive impairment), the Barthel Index (for the overall autonomy) and the BBS (for risk of fall) are well suited to our use case. However, our collaborating center, the Hôpital des Trois-Chênes, routinely uses the also well recognized MMSE for cognitive assessment and the FMI for autonomy assessment. In order to use tests that are both already available in the medical records and well suited to HUG’s use case, following assessment methods were chosen: MMSE, FIM and Berg Balance Scale (see also Section 2.2.4). These scales are used to define the HUG use case target population. In order to enroll patients that have some degree of cognitive and/or physical impairment but that are still able to interact with a technological interface, pit is suggested to use the following cut-offs in the context of the HUG use case:

1. \(21 < \text{MMSE} < 27\): corresponding to a level of cognitive impairment that allows interacting with a human-machine interface and using the patient capacity of discernment.
2. \(64 < \text{FIM} < 120\): corresponding to an autonomy impairment that allows interacting with a human-machine interface and eventually gaining benefits from REACH functions.
3. \(20 < \text{Berg Balance Scale} < 40\): corresponding to a patient needing assistance to walk.

3.1.4 Analysis of real-world end-user profiles
In order to better understand target population in the context of the HUG use case, we have designed a study which is described below. To be in accordance with the Swiss legislation, HUG was forced to submit this study to the Geneva state ethical committee. Please see the application file joined to this in Section 8 as part of the enclosures. HUG is currently still waiting for the approval of the ethical committee. Accordingly, results of the analysis of personal patient profiles and of the interviews will be considered therefore as part of the stakeholder analysis in T1.2/D1.2.

HUG plans to recruit patients corresponding to the outline target population (65 year-old or older, hospitalized at the 3C with a planned discharge with the help of the IMAD, with physical or cognitive impairment as defined in the assessment methods part) and to extract medical and demographic data from their medical records. Recruited patients (potential REACH end-users) will also participate in a semi-structured interview that will assess their expectations and fears regarding REACH as proposed in Section 2.4. Moreover, HUG will also interview the patients regarding the use of REACH for the hospital to home transition. Finally, interviews will include questions about patients’ needs regarding REACH on particular times of the day as suggested by the analysis framework in Section 2 (for instance, early morning, morning, lunch, afternoon, evening, night). Formal and informal care-givers from recruited patients will also be enrolled for similar interviews. This design will allow HUG to:

1. Define the dominant medical and demographic profiles of our target population.
2. Define patients’ and formal and informal caregivers’ expectations and fears regarding REACH in our particular use case setting.
3. Eventually reveal a link between a particular patient profile and distinct expectations or fears regarding REACH.
4. Extract patients’ needs according to a 24 hours cycle.

3.1.5 Interviews
HUG is currently still waiting for the approval of the ethical committee. Accordingly, results of the analysis of personal patient profiles and of the interviews and will be considered therefore as part of the stakeholder analysis in T1.2/D1.2

3.1.6 Defining personas
As HUG is currently waiting for the analysis of patients’ profiles and the interviews, HUG designed these personas (Figures 6-10) on the basis of meetings held with experts (description of use case, focus and target users/elderly) and their personal experience.
CARDOVASCULAR DISEASES

Demographic data
Mr. Roberto Dos Santos
73 years old, married, 3 children (50, 47 and 45 years old)
Retired Taxi-driver, fond of motorbike mechanics
Regularly uses an iPad to surf on the internet and play games. Do not like when technology is too complicated

Main medical issues
Heart failure secondary to
- Myocardial infarction in 2009
- Hypertension
- Multiple hospital admissions for acute heart failure
Type II diabetes treated by insulin
Chronic renal failure
Heavy tobacco use (2 packs per day)
Overweight

Conditions of living, autonomy and home care
Live with his wife in an apartment on the 4th floor without elevators. His children frequently visit but are not implicated in health problematics.
His wife takes care of the household, shopping and meal preparation because he is too breathless to help. He still takes care of the paperwork and tries to tinker motorbikes. He is able to go buy his cigarettes 250 meters from home but if he needs to go further, he takes the car because of his breathlessness.
He is helped by the IMAD nurses once a week for preparation of treatment and surveillance of clinical parameters. Although washing himself is becoming hard, he refuses further help.

Expectations and fears regarding REACH
He is open to a system such as REACH but it needs to respect his autonomy and privacy as soon as he decides it.
He also expects an easy-to-use system that works all the time without the need of specific maintenance.
He would particularly appreciate that REACH helps him to avoid frequent hospitalizations for acute heart failure, diabetic decompensation or hypertensive crisis.
He would appreciate innovative strategies to stop smoking and that promote physical rehabilitation through a gaming interface.
He believes that sharing recorded data with caregivers could help him but that the system must allow him to clearly choose what he shares.
His wife would appreciate that in addition to limit hospitalizations, the system could help him to achieve a better physical condition.

Quote
“Le travail c’est la santé” = “Working is health”

Figure 6: Persona for patients with cardiovascular disease
FALLS

Demographic data

Mrs. Geneviève Duret
79 years old
Widow, her husband deceased 5 years ago
One son, 50 years old living in the US
Former Professor of English Literature
Uses regularly an eBook reader
Knows how to use a computer but prefers books

Main diagnostic and comorbidities

Primary osteoporosis with multiple fractures:
- Right femoral neck with total hip replacement in 2013
- Right radius treated by osteosynthesis in 2009
- Multiple vertebral compression fractures treated by cimentoablasty

Multiple falls in a context of:
- Fear of falling
- Pain
- Poly-medications
- Visual impairment due to age-related macular degeneration
- Polyneuropathy and cerebellar syndrome due to past alcohol abuse and B12 vitamin deficiency

Conditions of living, autonomy and home care

Lives alone in a small villa and is able to cook and perform paperwork.
IMAD helps her once a day to wash herself, deliver meal, control clinical parameters, and once a week for house holding and shopping.

She barely leaves home because of fear of falling

Expectations and fears regarding REACH

Even if she says being happy reading books, she would really enjoy being able to go out on her own and avoid hospitalization. REACH should help her in that.

She would like the system to motivate her to do rehabilitation exercises and rewards her progress to decrease her fear of falling. She also would appreciate to share her progress with her son and care-givers.

She would find funny that the system may communicate with her in different manners (auditory, visual, etc.).

She defends strongly privacy and wants to be able to decide what kinds of data are shared.

Despite the geographical distance, her son would feel safe if her mother had a system to alert care-givers in the case of a fall

Quote

“Home, sweet home”

Figure 7: Persona of patients with tendency to fall
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MILD DEMENTIA

Demographic data
Mrs. Germaine Janvier
82 years old
Widow, 4 children (60, 56, 54 and 50 years old)
Former seamstress, still doing frequent knitting
Has never been so much interested in computer and internet

Main diagnostic and comorbidities
Mixed dementia: Alzheimer and vascular, CDR1 stage (MMSE 23)
Regressive ischemic cerebral stroke in 2009:
- Right motor hemisindrome
- Aphasia
Hypertension
Hypercholesterolemia
Atrial Fibrillation

Conditions of living, autonomy and home care
She lives alone in a ground-floor apartment.
IMAD helps her daily to wash herself, dress-up, take her medication and delivering her meals.
She has delegated all of her paperwork to the oldest son. Her two daughters alternate to spend some time with her and do shopping. The youngest son come to drink a coffee every day to ensure everything is fine.
She uses a stick to walk inside the house and across the neighborhood. She sometimes uses public transports.

Expectations and fears regarding REACH
Mrs. Janvier is not really interested in technical matters but is open to something that may help her to gain autonomy and reassure her children.
She stopped cooking because her children were worried she may forget to turn off the hotplates and she would really appreciate REACH could allow her to cook again.
She is afraid not to see her children anymore if a system like REACH will be available to ensure her safety.
She would probably accept to do regular brain exercises if that could increase her autonomy and if she was sufficiently motivated.
She doesn’t want a technological system directing her life.
Her children would all be reassured if a system such as REACH could detect falls, automatically turn-off cooking plates and improve physical conditions to allow her to safely walk in the neighborhood.

Quote
“Useful things are simple”

Figure 8: Persona of patients with mild dementia
CEREBRAL ISCHEMIC STROKE

Demographic data

Mr. Bernard Winter

72 years old, widower, 1 daughter, 3 grandchildren
Retired marketing director in a pharmaceutical company
Love watching movies and is a sport fan

Main medical issues

Recent cerebral ischemic stroke with:
- Motor and sensitive right hemi-syndrome
- Aphasia
- Two falls in the hospital setting

Atrial fibrillation

Hypertension

Conditions of living, autonomy and home care

He lives alone on the second floor of a country-side apartment. Had no help before but since he has come back from the hospital, IMAD helps him daily to wash himself, to dress-up and delivers his meals.

He is still doing his paperwork and can still enjoy watching movies and sport on TV.

However, moving has become difficult, even with his stick and he is afraid of falling. He remains home most of the time.

His daughter does his shopping, helps him to walk in the neighborhood but is worried that he may fall again and that stroke may reoccur. She wonders if placement in a social health institution would not be appropriate but would like her father to remain home as long as possible.

Expectations and fears regarding REACH

Bernard would really like to do his best to recover his physical condition and would like REACH to allow this.

Bernard does not think he would need that much motivation to be active but would appreciate to monitor his progresses and to be able to perform different types of exercise with REACH.

He would also appreciate a function to improve his speech.

REACH needs to be a tool for him, and not the opposite. However, he is not too much concerned about sharing data with caregivers and family.

His daughter would like REACH to make Bernard safe. For her, the most important feature would be to alert caregivers in case of a fall or a new stroke.

Quote

“Sport is health”

Figure 9: Persona of patients with cerebral ischemic stroke
INFORMAL CAREGIVERS – DEMENTIA AND FALLS

**Demographic data**

Astrid Summer

50 years-old

Married, 3 children (15, 18 and 20 years old)

Investment advisor in a big company

Uses high technology on a daily basis

**Health problematic**

Her mother is suffering from frequent falls that have induced many fractures in the past, despite a maximal presence of the IMAD. Her mother was also diagnosed with mild dementia five years ago and her condition has worsened over the last year. Since then, she passes by her mother’s home every single day to ensure everything is fine.

Astrid is herself in good health but it is difficult for her to manage her family, her job and her mother care. She is afraid that she won’t be able to continue that way for a long time and that she will be forced to propose long-term care to her mother.

**Expectations and fears regarding REACH**

Astrid would appreciate that REACH may increase her mother’s safety by:

- Detecting falls and alerting care-givers
- Helping her mother to follow her medical treatment
- Motivating her mother to do regular brain exercise
- Increasing her mother’s balance and physical condition to prevent falls
- Detecting fire and alerting fire workers
- Automatically turning-off cooking plates after a certain time
- Proposing her adequate meals and reminding her to drink and eat

On the other hand, Astrid would like REACH to:

- Respect her mother’s privacy whenever she wants it
- Allow her mother to choose what data will be shared with family and care-givers

**Quote**

“I wish there were 28 hours in a day”

**Figure 10: Persona of informal caregivers for patients with falling tendency and dementia**
Mr. Autumn's journey from hospital to home

Mr. Autumn is an 80 year-old patient with a history of hypertension, type II diabetes and tobacco use. He was recently hospitalized for cerebral ischemic stroke that has caused him right-sided motor deficit, impaired balance and aphasia. During his stay, heart failure and mild mixed dementia (Alzheimer and vascular) were diagnosed. Mr. Autumn is a former policeman that was fully autonomous before the hospitalization. He lives alone in his ground-floor apartment since his wife deceased 5 years ago and has 1 son and 3 grandchildren.

In the following his journey from hospital to home is briefly described:

1. The first days in the hospital were quite difficult as Mr. Autumn learned he probably won't be able to walk freely anymore and that he was suffering from a heart problem. Still, his condition improved and he began to regain hope and even happiness when he learned he will be discharged soon. Nevertheless, he was anxious regarding the idea of living alone and sick. His son felt the same: he was happy that his father was going home but feared a lot regarding his father's safety.

   - **Figure 11:** Experience mapping transfer from hospital to home

2. Arrived home, Mr. Autumn was first happy to find his personal environment untouched and was reassured of seeing the care-team. However, he progressively felt stressed because he needed to adapt to his new situation. Moreover, the care team was present only half an hour per day and he had to take care of his self alone the rest of the time. He couldn't see his best friend for a while and was anxious about the idea of living alone and sick. His son felt the same: he was happy that his father was going home but feared a lot regarding his father's safety.

3. A few days after his arrival home, Mr. Autumn accidentally fell going to the toilet. Fortunately, the care team passed by his home 20 minutes after the fall to get him back on his feet, but this episode marked him. Since then, the fear of...
falling was more and more present. He wished that he could engage in an activity increasing his physical condition and balance.

4. After some weeks, Mr. Autumn went to a regular appointment with his GP. A major increase in his body weight due to insufficient treatment of his heart failure was noted. The diuretic treatment was adapted just in time to avoid hospital readmission. Mr. Autumn was afraid he will once need to go back to the hospital and would have liked his treatment to be adapted frequently. However, his GP and the nurses were overloaded with work and, accordingly, were focused mainly on emergency situations.

5. Thereafter, the care-team noted that Mr. Autumn was forgetting to eat his meals and was becoming frailer. Mr. Autumn’s GP started explaining him that the situation was no longer possible at home. With many regrets and guilt, Mr. Autumn’s son was progressively thinking the same and was feeling divided between maintaining his father’s autonomy or safety.

6. Since then, Mr. Autumn has clearly refused to go to any kind of social health institution.

3.2 Use case 2: Schön Klinik Bad Aibling (SK)

SK will in the context of REACH focus on physical and cognitive rehabilitation. Rehabilitation aims at reducing the impairment and handicap of patients/elderly citizens and thus improving their independence from nursing. Rehabilitation is organized according to medical specializations (neurology, orthopedics, cardiology, psychosomatics, etc.) or age (pediatric or geriatric rehabilitation). The treatment is based on relearning and exercising of prior abilities.

3.2.1 Description of use case, focus and target users/elderly

SK is a neurological rehabilitation hospital for severely affected patients with a small unit for acute neurology patients. About 25% of the patients are transferred from neurology, 20% from neurosurgery departments mainly from Upper Bavaria, the most densely populated district of Bavaria (4.2 million inhabitants) with its capital Munich. That means that 55% of the patients are transferred from non neurological departments, mainly intensive care units - anesthesia, internal medicine and surgery because patients have a primary non neurological diagnosis like cardiac arrest, severe infection or accident, which may be cured faster than their neurological consequences or complications so that neurological symptoms prevail at the end of the stay in the acute hospital.

An intensive care unit in SK with 85% of ventilated patients can accept patients directly from other intensive care units but, of course, also patients are treated who suffered a complication in the own hospital and therefore need intensive care. A focus of the intensive care unit is weaning from the respirator (with a high success rate of 90 to 95% taking into consideration that the patients transferred for this treatment constitute a negative selection). In addition to intensive care treatment patients get rehabilitation treatment, i.e., physiotherapy with a focus on mobilization out of bed and verticalization, occupational therapy with a focus on activities of daily living, neuropsychology and speech therapy focusing on establishing contact with the cognitively impaired patients. The largest and economically most important unit in SK is "early rehabilitation" (in Germany formerly named Phase B), where patients
simultaneously get medical treatment and rehabilitation. Patients are severely affected and therefore still need hospital treatment and usually are very dependent on nursing support (Barthel Index 30 or lower).

Patients may be transferred from the own intensive care unit or directly from other hospitals or the own acute care ward to early rehabilitation. Besides medical diagnostics and treatment patients get rehabilitation treatment in a 1 to 1 relationship, i.e., 1 therapist treats only 1 patient at a time: for the mobilization of a (heavy) patient even more than 1 therapist may be necessary. For diagnostics and treatment in rehabilitation the hospital has 125 therapists who are organized in departments of physiotherapy, occupational therapy with a focus on activities of daily living, speech therapy (including articulation and diagnostics and treatment of swallowing) and neuropsychology with a focus on improving cognition. A large part of the training in the activities of daily living (washing oneself, teeth brushing, clothing, toileting etc.) is also done by the nursing staff. According to the German health care regulations each patient in "early rehabilitation" is entitled to get 300 min. therapy time per day on the average of the stay in this rehabilitation phase. So a very intense treatment and high number of staff for these very severely affected patients is ensured.

To avoid that patients who improved during "early rehabilitation" have to be transferred to a conventional rehabilitation clinic SK also offers "continuing rehabilitation" (Phase C for patients with a Barthel Index of 35 to 65 and who do not fulfill the criteria for the necessity of hospital treatment any more) and "conventional rehabilitation" (Phase D with a Barthel Index of 70 to 100 points). In these rehabilitation phases the therapy started in "early rehabilitation" is continued and adapted to the increasing performance of the patients which usually means that part of the treatment may be done in groups of similar affected patients and no longer in a 1 to 1 relationship.

The neurological diagnoses of the patients include the whole field of neurology with ischemic stroke being the most frequent followed by cerebral bleeding including subarachnoid hemorrhage, degenerative disease (mostly Parkinson’s), critical illness polyneuropathy/myopathy, encephalopathy, hypoxic brain damage and traumatic brain injury. As these diagnoses are more frequent in the elderly the average age of the patients is 63 years, with the largest group between 65 and 75, and a small group of mainly male traumatic injury patients aged 20 to 25 years.

For rehabilitation treatment SK has invested into a large number of equipment for training gait, arm function, visual and acoustic perception, recording of speech and swallowing performance. SK is in close contact with many manufacturers of this equipment and has conducted numerous scientific studies on the success rates of apparatus assisted treatments. Nevertheless rehabilitation training may be further improved by newly designed equipment as intended in the REACH-project. The intention of SK is to continue rehabilitation as long as this treatment improves the performance of the patient, i.e., his/her independence from nursing care and finally his chances for reintegration into his/her former social and (for younger patients) professional situation. Therefore the progress of the patient is monitored continuously. Medical doctors, therapists and nurses meet in weekly conferences and discuss the problem of each patient and decide on the therapies for the next week. Of course, the whole staff is in close contact with the patient and his/her needs during the whole process and also with the patient’s relatives especially if the patient is neuropsychologically impaired and cannot express his/her will and wishes.
SK is also in continuous contact with the insurances paying for the treatment. The medical insurance companies pay for intensive care and "early rehabilitation" and in the elderly also for "continuing" and "conventional rehabilitation". If there is a good chance for reintegration into professional life the national retirement insurance company pays for "continuing rehabilitation" and "conventional rehabilitation". The insurance responsible for the patient gives its consent to the continuation of rehabilitation on the basis of regular reports of the hospital which have to be transmitted on the average every 4 weeks. The reports include a description of the present medical symptoms of the patient, the treatment done so far, its effect, the symptomatology expected to be improved and the therapeutic measures planned.

The end of the rehabilitation in SK may have the following causes:

1. **Rehabilitation was successful**: the personal aims of the patient and his/her relatives have been fulfilled. Inpatient rehabilitation is no longer necessary, outpatient rehabilitation may be sufficient to treat remaining deficits.

2. **Rehabilitation has not been or has only been partly successful**: Improvement of the patient is missing or is very small over several weeks, so that the patient, his/her family or the insurance company paying for the treatment are no longer willing to continue the treatment or the staff of SK does not see any realistic chances for improvement.

Before the end of the treatment SK has to assure that the patient gets the support he needs immediately after hospital discharge. As the medical status of patients varies widely a very detailed individual planning of supportive measures has to be done in advance:

1. If the patient is discharged to his/her home, he/she may be able to take care of him/herself alone. For younger patients a professional rehabilitation may be necessary.

2. If the patient cannot fully support him/herself, it has to be assured that relatives care for him/her or a professional nursing service is organized. The apartment especially bathroom and toilet may need adaptation to the handicap of the patient. Also some technical aids (hopefully improved by REACH) that the patients may need (like special nursing bed, wheel chair etc.) have to be organized (including financial planning with the health insurance) and have to be delivered before the patient returns home.

3. If a discharge to his home is not possible, the patient usually is discharged to a nursing home. The selection of the nursing home has to be done on the basis of the deficits of the patient (there are patients who need assistance with breathing by continuous ventilation) and on the basis of the residence of family and friends to assure social contacts. Also the financial situation of the patient’s family has to be taken into account as the nursing insurance usually does not cover the full costs of a nursing home.

Of course these decisions have to be prepared by the whole staff and discussed with the patient, his/her family and his/her legal representatives. So the discharge process involves numerous persons and partners within and outside the hospital.

### 3.2.2 Stakeholder identification

**Figure 12** shows all relevant individuals, groups or organizations which may have some interest or concern in the patient. We grouped the stakeholders based on their
origin and function. The functions referred to under the topic “function provider” are placeholder for various persons.

Figure 12: Stakeholder identification for patients in rehabilitation clinic

### 3.2.3 Assessment method

All information about patients were collected from the clinic information system, therapy reports, routinely performed assessments, and process analysis. The assessments were used in the clinical routine to generate a status analysis after admission and before discharge and to document the progress during rehabilitation treatment.

With regard to the brief time span from task defining (Feb 16, 2016) until submission date SK decided to use only data generated in clinical routine processes and therefore was able to forgo without ethics committee approval. The appropriate ethics committee (EC of the Bavarian State Medical Association in Munich) committee meets only every four weeks, and the completed proposal has to be sent in at least two weeks before this actual date.

The patients and – where applicable – their legal representatives were verbally informed about the use of patient data in pseudonymized form. After all questions have been satisfactorily answered and all concerns addressed and resolved the patient and the legal representative signed the informed consent form. The consent form was verified and released by the data protection officer of the SK.

Results from the following standardized assessments were used to generate this report:

- BI – Barthel Index (see Section 2.2.1)
- mRS – modified Rankin Scale (see Section 2.2.3)
- BBS – Berg Balance Scale (see Section 2.2.4)
- MFAS – Motor Function Assessment Scale (see Section 2.2.5)
- BDI – Beck Depression Inventory (see Section 2.2.8)
- MMSE – Mini Mental State Examination (see Section 2.2.10)

Furthermore we discussed with therapists and nurses to verify information provided in their reports, e.g. patient’s therapy goals. For the stakeholder identification hospital routines were tracked and involved departments were interviewed about internal and external persons, departments and organizations which might get in contact with the patient.

The following departments/employees were contacted for information about stakeholders:

1. Therapists
2. Nurses
3. Physicians
4. Social Service
5. Administration (e.g. Therapy planning, Accounting department)
6. Diagnostic department

We generated two personas based on the data of five patients which represented the following neurological pathologies:

1. Single stroke
2. Multiple strokes
3. Alzheimer’s disease
4. Parkinson’s disease
5. Critical illness polyneuropathy

Data from 10 additional patients with corresponding pathologies but without consent to process their data in pseudonymized form were analyzed to ensure that the personas cover every aspect of patients’ issues.

One persona represents patients with severe motor deficits and minor cognitive deficits, and the second persona represents patients with severe cognitive deficits and minor motor deficits (see Figure 3).
3.2.4 Analysis of real-world end-user profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
<th>Patient 3</th>
<th>Patient 4</th>
<th>Patient 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation from therapist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- availability to discuss problems and abnormalities | 
- limited movements and reaction times | 
- sleep patterns and quality | 
- conflicts or problems in daily life | 
- ability to engage in discussions and conversations | 
- trouble sleeping or insomnia | 
- limited mobility or inability to perform daily activities | | 
| Most important therapy goals | 
- improving mobility and strength | 
- improving pain management | 
- improving mental health | 
- improving social skills | 
- improving immune system | | |
| Phases of the day | 
- Deep sleep (2 hours) | 
- Sleep pattern (6 hours) | 
- Sleep quality (4 hours) | 
- Sleep duration (3 hours) | 
- Sleep efficiency (2 hours) | | |
| Changes in body position | 
- increased independence | 
- decreased pain intensity | 
- increased mobility | 
- increased energy levels | | |
| Transfer and transfer | 
- independent with assistance | 
- independent with assistance | 
- independent with assistance | 
- dependent on chair | | |
| Nutrition/food intake | 
- breakfast and lunch | 
- dinner and snack | 
- snacks between meals | 
- meal planning and preparation | | |
| Dementia | 
- decreased ability to communicate | 
- decreased ability to perform daily activities | 
- decreased ability to engage in discussions | 
- decreased energy levels | | |
| Personal hygiene | 
- increased independence | 
- decreased pain intensity | 
- increased mobility | 
- increased energy levels | | |
| Dressing | 
- decreased ability to engage in discussions | 
- decreased ability to perform daily activities | 
- decreased energy levels | | |
| Activities (daily living skills) | 
- decreased ability to perform daily activities | 
- decreased energy levels | | | |
| Occupational therapy | 
- decreased ability to perform daily activities | 
- decreased energy levels | | | |
| Health-related behavior | 
- decreased ability to perform daily activities | 
- decreased energy levels | | | |

Figure 13: Analysis of patient profiles for 5 patients in neurological rehabilitation

3.2.5 Interviews

For this report no patient interviews were conducted by SK.
3.2.6 Defining personas
Josef represents patients with predominantly motor deficits and minor cognitive deficits.

Figure 14: Persona Josef with motor deficits
Deliverable D1: Use Case Analysis

Responsive Engagement of the Elderly promoting Activity and Customized Healthcare
Antonia represents patients with predominantly cognitive deficits and minor motor deficits.

**Demographics:**
- Age 69
- Female
- Married
- 3 Children (50, 48, 45, none of them live nearby)
- Worked as childcare worker in kindergarten, retired since 2007
- Lives in own house
- Gets support from husband and family members

**Medical history/diagnoses:**
- Alzheimer's disease
- Depressive episodes/depression
- Minor heart insufficiency
- Minor balance problems

**Concerns about data protection:**
- Is concerned about privacy and data protection (especially regarding photos/film recordings).
- Does not want data transferred to external parties.

**Assessment results:**
- Beck Depression Inventory (BDI): 28: moderate depression
- Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE): 19: moderate dementia
- Berg Balance Scale (BBS): 50: minor balance disorder
- Motor Function Assessment Scale (MFAS): 11: minor motor deficits, single leg stance affected

**Hobbies:**
- Gardening, reading, knitting, playing card and board games

**Most important therapy goals:**
- Development of coping strategies for emotional disorder and mood swings
- Cognitive behavioral therapy
- Relationship counseling
- Structured day schedule

**Cognitive and mood disorders:**
- Inadequate emotional reactions and mood swings
- Reduced motivation and impetus
- Activity planning deficit
- Afraid of new situations and new contacts
- Feels sometimes confused and scared at crowded places or when leaving contiguity

**Movement disorders/Issues:**
- Community ambulator (without walking aid)
- Minor fall risk

**Most critical items in the phases of the day:**
- Sleep/night transition, getting up
- Nutrition, fluid intake (incl. lymphatics)
- Personal hygiene, dressing
- Activities (during hospitalisation: therapies)
- Health-conducive behaviour
- Autonomy/self-sufficiency
- Social interaction/participation

*Figure 16: Persona Antonia with cognitive deficits*
## Figure 17: Persona Antonia, 24 h schema

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of Day</th>
<th>Activity 1</th>
<th>Activity 2</th>
<th>Activity 3</th>
<th>Activity 4</th>
<th>Activity 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 AM</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>Meditation</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 AM</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 AM</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>Meditation</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 PM</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>Meditation</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 PM</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Meditation</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 PM</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>Meditation</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 PM</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>Meditation</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 PM</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Meditation</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 PM</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>Meditation</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 AM</td>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>Meditation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deliverable D1: Use Case Analysis

Responsive Engagement of the Elderly promoting Activity and Customized Healthcare
3.2.7 Experience mapping

In the following the time course of patient experiences during neurological rehabilitation until discharge from the hospital is described, focusing on problems that may occur: Patients are transferred to SK with largely different clinical symptoms and therefore very differing experiences. Here we start with the most severe symptomatology and consider the problems the patient has to face during the slow recovery, keeping in mind that many patients start into the rehabilitation process at a later stage in case being way less affected.

Most severely affected patients may have lost consciousness during the initial neurological incident (trauma, stroke, encephalitis, brain edema) or may need sedation to allow respirator treatment. Personal experience in such a situation is hard to evaluate, because patients later do not remember any events at all or only short episodes from phases of less pronounced sedation. Usually consciousness does not recover suddenly but in a prolonged process during which phases of awareness gradually increase in duration. Recovering from unconsciousness also does not mean that the patient gets fully awake and cooperative in one step. Sometimes recovery starts with a minimal conscious state in which the patient may react to pain, may open his/her eyes, may fixate objects and follow moving objects with the eyes. When the patient recognizes a familiar face for the first time this is, of course, a very positive experience for the relative but not regularly remembered later by the patient.

After regaining basic functions of consciousness the mood may be unstable depending on the situation (e.g., with joy and affection during visits of relatives and during the presence of therapists and depression when left alone). Also after severe brain injury initiative may be lacking and cognition and memory may be impaired so that the patient remains in phases of apathy. In these situations psychopharmacological medication may be helpful to increase vigilance and drive. When the patient perceives that his/her abilities are increasing during rehabilitation, the mood also usually improves. Such phases may suddenly be interrupted when the patient gets aware of his/her deficits and the long way he/she has to go to full recovery or that full recovery cannot be expected.

Most frustrated of conscious patients are those with global aphasia which means that the patient cannot speak nor write nor can he understand and read because he has lost the ability to use language, usually as a consequence of a left sided brain lesion (in right-handers). This, of course, reduces human communication to a minimum. This can be only very partially compensated via gestures and facial expressions. Also motor deficits are a frequent obstacle during return to normal life. Hemiparesis is most frequent, usually gait improves better than hand and arm function, because leg movements are more controlled by the extrapyramidal and spinal motor system and need less brain function for deliberate planning than hand and arm movements. Sepsis may lead to very severe critical illness polyneuropathy resulting in almost complete tetraplegia and requiring support of breathing by ventilator treatment but has good prognosis once it is under control and feeding via the gastrointestinal tract is reestablished. Complete spinal injuries frequently do not improve, so that the spinal level of the lesion very much determines the deficits. Patients with a palsy of both legs
(and urine and fecal incontinence) usually adapt fairly well to a life in a wheel chair. The situation for patients with cervical injuries and therefore involvement of both arms (severity depending on the spinal level) is much worse as they can no longer live independently.

Urine and fecal incontinence are a severe burden for most affected patients as they may reduce their social contacts because they are ashamed of these deficits. Also swallowing disorders which may be compensated by tube feeding are limiting the zest for life as meals are positive elements structuring the day in the hospital and at home. But of course also neuropsychological and sensory deficits (most severe loss of vision) are very negative experiences. Usually mood is fairly positive as long as the patient perceives improvement and may turn negative when the patient is confronted with the fact that considerable deficits remain and certain personal targets cannot be reached by rehabilitation.

A critical phase is the preparation of hospital discharge and the first days in the new environment, i.e. home or in a nursing home. If recovery is not complete, discharge signals to the patient that recovery from there and on may be even slower, and that he/she may have to accept to continue life with deficits even if the prescription of customized aids may compensate partly for it. After returning home the patient often gets aware that his/her apartment is less suitable for a handicapped person, especially the toilet and the bathroom areas. Therefore an inspection by an occupational therapist that must be familiar with the handicap of the patient is advisable. Nevertheless the contrast between the rehabilitation hospital environment specifically adapted to handicapped persons and a conventional apartment or family home is a challenge for the patient frequently not fully anticipated. This contrast is usually smaller when the patient is discharged to a nursing home. In this case, however, the medical insurance sometimes refuses to pay for customized aids, a wheel chair made to measure for example and argues that a standardized wheel chair is sufficient and has to be provided by the nursing home.

An additional burden at discharge may be that the patient and his/her family are confronted with financial problems, getting aware of the fact that the difference between regular income and pension is larger than expected, or that the pension and the nursing insurance is not sufficient to pay for the nursing home and the family’s financial support. Thus the family or the partner may decide to organize the nursing at home, even of very severely affected patients, or at least to try it. Within a few weeks this may lead to a state of exhaustion on the side of the nursing family member so that this person also needs medical or psychological support. The REACH project aims to significantly reducing the burden of nursing in the hospital and in the nursing home, and enable more patients to live independently or with less support at home. The experiences of a patient approaching the end of the rehabilitation process as an inpatient is represented in Figure 16.
Figure 18: One day of a patient in neurological rehabilitation
3.3 Use case 3: ZuidZorg (ZZ)

ZZ will in the context of REACH focus on home care, represent in the REACH care continuum end-users with a relatively good baseline health (and that receive from ZZ some sort of care or household services) that need to be motivated for physical and cognitive activity (including ADL training) and rehabilitated at home from lighter disabilities in order to avoid nursing home or hospital/acute care admission.

3.3.1 Description of use case, focus and target users/elderly

ZuidZorg Extra is a business unit of ZuidZorg, a large home care organization in the southern part of the Netherlands. ZuidZorg Extra has about fifty thousand members who pay annual subscription to have access to our services and enjoy price reduction in our homecare shop and on special products and facilities such as collective health insurance. Members contact us if they need particular services from suppliers such as gardener or a hairdresser. In addition to this, the main focus is on the lonely elderly and to strengthen their social wellbeing.

To tackle loneliness amongst the elderly, ZuidZorg Extra visits them at home and organizes activities in a Meet and Greet centre where they receive support in creating their own social network. This platform stimulates and helps the elderly to live independently in their own homes for as long as possible, while remaining a healthy and social lifestyle.

The biggest meet and greet centre, located in Eindhoven, provides a wide variety of activities to bring elderly together. For instance, there is a cooking club, a knitting group, a social cafe, and a vitality program. There are two smaller activity centers where a selection of these activities are provided. Approximately 150 to 200 elderly visit the centers per week.

For the REACH concept, the target user consists of elderly that are 60 years or older that need help in their daily life, which varies from physical help such as help in the household, or on a social level because they feel lonely. All users are members of ZuidZorg Extra and visit one of the meet and greet centers.

To get a understanding of what these elderly experience and what opportunities there are within the REACH project, some main questions were stated:

1. What does a daily routine look like for our members?
2. How do they experience such day and what are the main struggles, both physically and mentally, they have?
3. Which stakeholders are involved and what role do they play in the life of our members?

By interviewing and discussing their day with elderly in the meet and greet centers, a better view on their daily routines is generated which helps to answer question 1. The second question goes hand in hand with the first question, as the interviews and discussions on how they feel and what they think during each step of their daily routine. By developing persona’s based on the gathered insights during the interviews and discussions, an experience map can be developed which then helps to detect what stakeholders are involved and what their role is.
The interviews consisted of 14 participants varying between the age of 60 and 95. The interviews were held in the meet and greet center which the elderly visit, and were held face to face.

3.3.2 Stakeholder identification
The target group is placed in the center, all involved stakeholders can be placed around them and can be connected to each other if suitable. ZuidZorg Extra is a company which can be divided into different chunks and persons as stakeholders. (In)formal caregivers are provided to help the elderly with mainly physical disabilities such as showering in the morning, or cleaning the house. They can also have a social talk with the elderly, which then contributes to the social wellbeing to some extent. Next to these caregivers, there is a meal service where elderly can order meals. Both caregivers and the meal service focus mainly on the physical help and wellbeing of the elderly. On the other hand there is the meet & greet center, which emphasizes the social wellbeing of elderly. In these meet and greet centers there are social connections to the hostess and volunteers that help organize the activities and act as the contact persons for questions or comments. The visitors and participants are the main social contacts, as they are the main persons who are socially engaged with each other during activities. For transport, the taxibus and other taxi services play an important role, as they are the ones who bring the elderly back and forth between their home environment and the meet and greet center. Family and friends play a big role on both aspects. They can help with the household or other physical activities, but they can also act as a social contact.
For each persona ZZ made a stakeholder identification to show who is involved in the persona’s daily live. It shows the stakeholders and what their function is in general.

**Stakeholder identification for Jan:** Jan is the only persona, which has a lot of social contact with friends. The patient’s friends help in the household and come by for social talk and coffee. The caregiver supervises when Jan takes a shower and makes sure he takes his medicines in the morning. The hostess and volunteers at the meet and greet center supervise during activities, lunch, and his medicines. The visitors and participants of the meet and greet center can be seen as social contacts.
Stakeholder identification for Chris: Chris has a house help in the afternoon to clean the house. Chris helps her while she cleans and afterwards they drink coffee and chat. Like Jan, the taxi bus driver assists him from door to door when he travels. The hostess and volunteers help during the activities and prepare the lunch. Chris has a lot of social interaction with the visitors and participants. One of the participants has contact with Chris over the phone.

![Stakeholder map for persona Chris](image)

Figure 21: Stakeholder map for persona Chris

Stakeholder identification for Ellie: Ellie participates during activities and is also a volunteer at the meet and greet center. She discusses what needs to be done during the day with the hostess and volunteers. She helps the visitors and participants during activities and chats with them. She also has social contact at the supermarket in the coffee corner. Her daughter calls or uses Skype in the evening to check how she is doing.

![Stakeholder map for persona Ellie](image)

Figure 22: Stakeholder map for persona Ellie

Stakeholder identification for Henk: Henk can’t be on his own without any supervision. His wife Anita is supervising him most of the day and is also his main social contact. A caregiver comes by to wash him and help in the morning. The hostess and volunteers at the meet and greet center keep an eye on him during activities so Anita has some time for herself. The visitors and participants are his social contacts, although he does not really chat with them.
3.3.3 Assessment method

ZuidZorg Extra uses TFI to measure the frailty and vulnerability of elderly people in participating in social and physical activities. Details can be found in Section 2.2.11.

3.3.4 Analysis of real-world end-user profiles
At the meet and greet center, 80 elderly were asked to fill in the TFI. Out of these 80 elderly, 60 elderly scored a 5 or higher which means that they can be seen as vulnerable. To get a better understanding of how these 60 elderly experience their daily life and why they gave specific answers, 14 elderly were selected randomly. The age diversion and cohabitation is as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
N & = & 14 \\
60-65 & & 1 \\
65-75 & & 5 \\
75-80 & & 1 \\
80-85 & & 1 \\
90-95 & & 1 \\
& & \\
\text{Cohabitation} & & \\
\text{Not married} & = & 1 \\
\text{Married} & = & 2 \\
\text{Divorced} & = & 1 \\
\text{Widow(er)} & = & 5 \\
\end{array}
\]

With these 14 elderly a face to face discussion was held about their personal TFI questionnaire. For instance, when they indicated that they miss people around them, they were asked to explain why they feel that way and how that is noticeable in their daily life. For example, a few questions and answers amongst person A and B were:
1. Do you feel physically healthy?
Person A: I see myself as quite healthy. I do have some complaints but they do not influence my lifestyle on a daily basis. I have to take daily medication and once a week a meal is delivered at my home. I try to eat healthy and I try to stay physically active throughout the day, although this is difficult sometimes.
Person B: Physically I feel healthy. I do notice that I tend to forget things which makes it hard for me to take part in activities. I think I can use some support in that area, but that is more mental than physical.

2. Are you satisfied with your living environment?
Person A: I live comfortable. I have my own house and I am lucky that people visit me quite often. Otherwise I would feel lonely because it is a rather big house.
Person B: I am quite happy with my living situation. I rent a small house but I notice that the neighborhood is starting to impoverish which decreases my feeling of safety.

3. Do you experience problems with walking on a daily basis?
Person A: I notice that my ability to walk is decreasing. I use a walking stick and when I have to walk a longer distance I use my stroller. It makes me feel less mobile.
Person B: I am quite ok with walking. I still feel that I can be active and I am able to walk and cycle. I did experience once that I forgot the road.

All interviewed elderly live by themselves, 4 of them in their own house and 10 of the elderly rent their ‘house/apartment’. For the amount of visits the interviewees made to the meet and greet center each week, a total average was found for the 14 elderly of 3,2 total visits per week.

Based on the insights from this quantitative user research that was done in the past, and the results of 14 semi-structured interviews that were held face to face in the meet and greet centre, a reliable and realistic representations was generated. To combine all insights, 4 personas were made as realistic representations for the target group. With these personas, the main questions 1) “What does a daily routine look like for our members?” and 2) “How do they experience such day and what are the main struggles, both physically and mentally, they have?” can be answered.

The representations focus on four main themes which pinpoint recurrent issues for the target group:

A. Transportation: elderly have difficulties with traveling between their home and the meet and greet center, which makes the threshold to become socially engaged outside their home environment higher.
B. Dementia/Forgetfulness: elderly often suffer from forgetfulness or in some cases dementia, which makes it more difficult for them to live independent in their own home environment.
C. Social Isolation: a lot of elderly experience loneliness, especially in the situation where a husband or wife has passed away.
**D. Physical limitations:** these are most of the time connected to aging problems, such as getting stiff joints, becoming hearing or seeing impaired, and a reduction in the equilibrium organ.

3.3.5 *Interviews*

To get a general idea of how the elderly think and feel about the REACH project. In particular we asked about their opinion on the usefulness of sensors (wearables). They would like to be part of the project and use some sensors and wearables as a kind of reciprocity. They don’t see/understand what it has to offer them personally. They think it could be working for other elderly, but not for them. Some of them said; “I’m too old for that”, “I don’t know anything about technology”. “What is the use of it and I don’t need that”.

On the other hand they think it can stimulate and encourage them in the future to be more active, but at the moment they don’t understand how. It can certainly be positive for children, family and care givers so they can be more relaxed if they know what’s going on with them.

* More in depth interviews can be generated later, when there are more insights in the type of product/service that will be developed for the REACH project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 13: Age distribution of the interviewees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N= 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 14: Cohabitation status of the interviewees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N= 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not married</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widow(er)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Home situation:** All live by themselves, 4 of them in their own house and 10 of the elderly rent their ‘house/apartment’.

2. **Visits per day/week average:** For this one we took the amount of visits each week, included the visits to the meet and greet center. Total average for the 14 elderly = 3.2 total visits per week included 1.7 visits to the meet and greet.

3. **Use the internet:** 12 of 14 use the internet. 2 of 12 uses a PC, 7 of 12 use a tablet and 3 of 12 use a Smartphone

4. **Format:** All the interviews were face to face, semi-structured in the Meet and Greet center. There were 11 individual interviews, 2 face to face interviews with elderly and professional caregiver and 1 interview with a married couple
5. Questions

a) Main Reason for visiting the Meet and Greet Center: leisure experience, what is your motive to visit the Meet and Greet Center.

b) Mobility: possibility to travel by themselves, feeling (in)dependent on other people

c) Social Network: children and moments of contact per week, contact with neighborhood, friends, outdoors activities

d) Health: physical, mental, daily activities, Long term care, restrictions in daily life, morbidity

e) The summary of all interview results is listed in Table 15.

Table 15: Interview results at ZuidZorg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Do you feel physically healthy?</th>
<th>Are you satisfied with your living situation?</th>
<th>Do you experience problems in everyday life with walking?</th>
<th>Can you handle your problems well?</th>
<th>Do you ever miss people around you?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elderly 1</td>
<td>I see myself as a quite healthy person. I do have some complains but they do not influence my lifestyle on a daily basis. I have to take daily medication and once a week a meal is delivered at my home. I try to eat healthy and I try to stay physically active throughout the day, although this is difficult sometimes.</td>
<td>I live comfortably. I have my own house and I am lucky that people visit me quite often. Otherwise I would feel lonely because it is a rather big house.</td>
<td>I notice that my ability to walk is decreasing. I use a walking stick and when I have to walk a longer distance I use my stroller. It makes me feel less mobile.</td>
<td>Whenever I experience a setback and don't feel too great, I have enough people around me who give me enough attention.</td>
<td>People visit me quite often, but still I feel lonely from time to time. I do visit the activity centers in the neighborhood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man 75-80</td>
<td>I am quite happy with my situation. I rent a small house but I notice that the neighborhood is starting to impoverish which decreases my feeling of safety.</td>
<td>I am quite ok with walking. I still feel that I can be active and I am able to walk and cycle. I did experience once that I forgot the road.</td>
<td>No, it is becoming more difficult for me because my memory is suffering. I don't know where to go with my problems or to whom.</td>
<td>Yes, because I feel that people don't understand what I am going through and they rather stay away because of that.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not married</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Responsive Engagement of the Elderly promoting Activity and Customized Healthcare
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elderly 3</th>
<th>Woman</th>
<th>75-80</th>
<th>widow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I feel rather healthy. I do suffer from osteoarthritis of the hip. I got a new pelvis 10 years ago but due to that it is difficult for me to bend over.</td>
<td>I live comfortable and my daughter lives nearby. I live in a bungalow for age 55+ in an apartment complex.</td>
<td>No, I can still walk but I am very dependent on the taxi/bus to travel. I attend a program to help me be vital to stay active.</td>
<td>Last year I felt better than before. My husband died 7 years ago and I don't like to share my feelings with others. It took me a long time to face the world again.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elderly 4</th>
<th>Woman</th>
<th>65-75</th>
<th>widow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I am quite healthy I don't feel like I should complain. I didn't need a doctor for years. I had eye surgery and pills to help me pee due to kidney problems.</td>
<td>My apartment is on the ground floor. Me and my husband lived there, who passed away 10 years ago, but I still enjoy living there.</td>
<td>In the morning I have difficulties with moving around, but after that I feel ok. I can walk for small distances, but for longer distances I need to make reservations for the Taxi/bus.</td>
<td>I have 2 children and I live in an apartment complex, so I feel very rich in social contacts. If anything is wrong I can contact my children. I have always been a volunteer and I am a good listener.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elderly 5</th>
<th>Man</th>
<th>75-80</th>
<th>widower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I don't feel healthy, I had multiple complaints about my hip, stomach, shoulders, knee and ankle. They all seem to get worse, especially after my wife died.</td>
<td>Actually, the house is too big for me, but I lived here since I married 50 years ago and always lived here with my wife. I don't want to leave this place.</td>
<td>I have a stick to help me walk but I cannot walk very far. I can still drive my car and I have a special card to park in the disabled spot.</td>
<td>It is difficult for me, especially because I can't talk to my wife anymore. My children have their own lives. I try to do as much as possible to be distracted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elderly 6</th>
<th>Woman</th>
<th>65-75</th>
<th>married</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I am healthy, but taking care of my husband, my knees and hip hurt, and it also costs me a lot of energy. I want to do as much by myself as I can.</td>
<td>We live very nice. The neighborhood is getting older, but I still know a lot of them from back in the days. Not that we share a lot of time though. It is taking me a lot of time and effort to do the household by myself, especially upstairs now that we only live downstairs.</td>
<td>I can move around quite easily, but if I go together with my husband I push the wheelchair which takes me a lot of effort and tires me. I am curious how much longer I can keep doing that.</td>
<td>We still have each other, but my husband sees how much energy it takes from me as he can’t take care of himself. We talk about it, also with our children. Other than that, we are happy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Elderly 7
**Woman 65-75 Widow**

I feel very tired all the time, during the last few months. I think that this has to do with the fact that, after 1.5 years, I started to feel the pain and tears from my husband who died.

I am happy with my home, which is almost completely free from mortgage. The garage still has stuff from my husband. I am very close with my neighbors.

Walking is going ok. I feel tired but I like to cycle. I never liked to walk, and I want to keep on cycling to stay in contact with others and be active.

I used to take care of my husband for a few years. It all worked and I was able to talk about it with my children and the doctor. Now I notice that it is getting more difficult for me.

I didn’t know I could feel this alone. Of course the children visit me and I go to activities, but it is very quite in my home.

---

### Elderly 8
**Man 65-75 Married**

I am rather healthy but I have back problems and rheumatism. It can also be due to the stress because my wife moved to the geriatric ward.

We live in a very large house near to a courtyard. I am afraid my wife will not come back here, but I still want to live here. It feels familiar and comfortable.

I can walk very well, but sometimes it is difficult for me due to inflammations. My knees or joints hurt then. I do everything by bike which works out for me.

Having a partner with dementia is very hard which gives me problems. I am happy I can talk to caregivers who know what I feel and go through when I say I am happy she is taken care of now.

I notice that is easy for me to talk with people in similar situations. People who saw their partner suffer from this, know what it is like. I can feel alone and sad when I think about it.

---

### Elderly 9
**Woman 60-65 Not married**

I take some medicine to help me from stressing out too much, but further I am in good health.

I live in a small apartment in a deprived area for 10 years. I ended up there due to debt restructuring. I feel ok, but I feel that there is a lot of drugs going on. I often see the police driving by.

I am still rather young and I have no physical disabilities. I do the groceries while I walk. I often walk because I don’t have a bicycle and not a lot of money for other transport.

Luckily someone helps me once a week with the post and who helps me. I can talk with that person. There are no other social contacts in my life.

It is starting to get better. In the winter it is so dark and then I feel more alone compared to the summer. During winter I am inside a lot. I like taking part in activities.

---

### Elderly 10
**Woman 90-95 Widower**

I experience a lot of problems such as diabetes and osteoarthritis, which make my hands too fat, and I need medication for my heart.

20 years ago after my husband died, I ended up in a sheltered house and I like it there. If it is needed, people can help me, but I don’t really need it so far.

Walking is ok, but I can only do short distances. I don’t want to get a stroller but I am afraid I will need one soon. My daughter wants me to buy a stroller because she is afraid I will fall.

I experienced a lot throughout my life, but I try to keep on going and not let it defeat me. It is starting to get more and more difficult to live up to that.

There are moments where I feel alone, especially when I see what is going on in the world with wars and people fighting, it makes me sad. I like to eat with others to keep me distracted.

---

### Elderly 11
**Man 80-85 Married with elderly 12**

I am in a wheelchair and can’t do a lot by myself. I need help with everything. My wife can barely read or write, so I read the recipes and she cooks for instance. She cannot take care

We live in a bungalow which is adapted to my needs. It is not large, but large enough for the two of us.

I can’t get out of my wheelchair so I need help with everything. A caregiver comes twice a day to get me out of bed and bring me to bed.

We can handle these problems together. My wife and I know each other so well and know what we need, so it works for us.

We don’t have children so we do everything together, just the two of us. We are happy with that.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elderly 12</th>
<th>Woman 80-85 Married with elderly 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I can still walk and sometimes I push the wheelchair so we can do groceries together or something like that.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My husband is in a wheelchair and I don’t really know how to take care of him. I am healthy myself.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have a caregiver that comes by twice a day, but other than that we try to manage everyday with the two of us.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We still have contact with one nephew of my husband. He visits us quite often. We try to eat fresh every day.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elderly 13</th>
<th>Woman 65-75 divorced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I am doing better now, especially after the divorce. I live here because my two children live nearby. I can handle my problems quite well, and they aren’t nearly as bad as before my divorce.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel rather healthy, but divorcing my husband took a lot of energy from me. It was a marriage with a lot of troubles which gave me a lot of stress. He was an alcoholic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes I miss people around me. I haven’t really met people here and it is difficult to make new contacts. I knew this would be the case, but it is harder than I thought.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elderly 14</th>
<th>Woman 65-75 Widow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I try to make the best out of every day. I am very close with my two children. If anything is up I can always call them.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I live in an apartment. I moved there after my husband died 4 years ago.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I like to be socially involved and I can chat quite easily with others. It makes me feel like I am less alone, but coming home still feels lonely. I try to go out once a week so that I am not alone every day.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have diabetes and Apnea for which I have a special device when I sleep at night.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can only walk when I have my stroller with me. I feel insecure and with the stroller I feel better. Without the stroller I would not leave the house anymore.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.6 Defining personas

ZZ developed 4 personas to represent the visitors of the centers.

Persona 1: Jan de Groot

Age: 83 years, Gender: Man
Widower, no children

1. Looking for: Social contact, tell his story to others, support for health to live independent
   His motto: “You have to make the most out of life, but that is easier said than done”
2. Loves: Playing cards, conviviality, chat, watching sport, cooking.
3. Demography: Jan was business manager and had to retire in 1992 due to a sudden visual disability. His wife passed away last year after a short illness. Jan tries to make the most out of life and therefore he looks for social contact. He also chooses to live independent. To achieve this, Jan visits the vitality zone twice a week. Since 2012 Jan started to have problems with his heart. This is also a reason for him to participate in the vitality zone. Jan has support from ZuidZorg to stimulate the Activities of Daily Living
4. Mobility: Jan is completely dependent on transport by others. Walks with blind stick. For transportation he uses of particular public transport for the elderly.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>WHAT</th>
<th>HOW</th>
<th>WHY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08.30</td>
<td>Getting out of bed</td>
<td>Alarm clock wakes him up, he is alone</td>
<td>His wife passed away he lives alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.35</td>
<td>Caregiver comes (3x a week). He showers</td>
<td>Caregiver supervises and helps when</td>
<td>He can’t see very good in the mirror, electrical shaving is safer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and gets dressed</td>
<td>needed, supervised medicine box. Does</td>
<td>for him, he wants to be independent as much as possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>as much by himself as possible, such</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>as electric shaving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.00</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>Bread, coffee, alone; drinks orange</td>
<td>He starts his day the same everyday, orange juice is for his vitamins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>juice and it is very quiet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.30</td>
<td>Listen to spoken newspaper</td>
<td>On the iPad while sitting in his chair</td>
<td>Routine, to feel part of society and knowing what is going on in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>the world. He used to be on top of this during his career, he can</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>read due to bad sight so he listens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>a friend is visiting</td>
<td>he passes by according to a schedule,</td>
<td>Social company and to help with visual/disabilities, they check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jan knows who is coming what day. They</td>
<td>the house if everything is normal and in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>drink coffee and chat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>Go to meet and greet center</td>
<td>He is picked up at home by taxibus</td>
<td>No specific time can be given: public transport is too far away and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>around that time</td>
<td>difficult with blind stick, feel insecure, taxibus can not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>guarantee being on time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.40</td>
<td>Arrives at meet and great</td>
<td>Taxibus driver helps him to get out and</td>
<td>Jan needs support because he can’t see well, he uses a small travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bring him inside, volunteer takes over</td>
<td>blindstick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>Livingroom activity already started, Jan</td>
<td>Drink coffee, social contact between 16</td>
<td>Social aspect and to fill up his day with one activity center each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>is late</td>
<td>visitors and 4 volunteers, lunch, cards</td>
<td>day, volunteers help with cardgame, some special games with big</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>Goes home by taxibus</td>
<td>Reservation made the day before, they</td>
<td>Reservation because transport picks up multiple people and needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pick him up inside but Jan needs to be</td>
<td>to plan. Jan doesn’t know who drives with him. Taxibus can</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ready</td>
<td>arrive 15min early/latest, Jan needs to be ready</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>Listen to music and read</td>
<td>Read on special monitor (blue background,</td>
<td>Color combination is easiest to read, sometimes he reads books with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>yellow letters) in living room</td>
<td>large letters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Persona 2: Chris van Straeten

Age: 81 years, Gender: Man, Widower, 2 children, 3 grandchildren, feels lonely

1. Looking for: Social contact, something to fill his day, security, stimulation to travel
2. His motto: “I am insecure but I would like to keep meeting new people. Live without my wife feels empty”
3. Loves: Reading, physical exercises, sports and listen to music.
4. Demography: Chris became widower last year. His wife passed away all of a sudden. They were married for 58 years and being alone is hard for him. Until he retired when he was 63 years, he used to be manager of a production department. He has two children. His son lives in Veldhoven and his daughter in Nuenen. They have contact with Chris weekly and pick him up or visit him with the grandchildren. Chris gave his car to one of his grandchildren to travel to his school in Den Bosch.
5. Mobility: He used to travel with his own car, together with his wife. He does not want to drive his car anymore due to physical limitations and his age. He does not want to use public transport because the bus stop is too far away and he is not familiar with the public transport chip card. The taxi bus works ok for him, but he has to wait very long and it gives insecureness. The ‘witte raaf’ is a possibility, but it takes him too long. When he uses a special scooter he feels incapable of traveling.

6. Golden experience circle: Persona **CHRIS**

7. TFI rate: **5**

Table 16: One day of persona Chris

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>WHAT</th>
<th>HOW</th>
<th>WHY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07.30</td>
<td>Wakes up</td>
<td>Alarm goes off</td>
<td>Be on time ready for transport to M&amp;G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.45</td>
<td>Takes a shower</td>
<td>By himself</td>
<td>Chris is able to does his own personal care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.15</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>Taking stair to go downstairs en make some bread and coffee</td>
<td>Chris is sleeping on first floor. He wants to do daily activities much as possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reading newspaper</td>
<td>During his breakfast</td>
<td>Chris likes to read and also want to know what’s going on in the world.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.00</td>
<td>Toilet</td>
<td>On the ground floor, but he has also a second toilet on the first floor</td>
<td>Yesterday, Chris reserved the taxi bus (public transport) for today 09.30, but they have a margin of 15 minutes before or after reserved time. Chris wants to be ready (with his coat on) at 09,15. And he really doesn’t know how long the ride (5km) will take. He is afraid to go to the toilet when he sits in the buss.*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.15</td>
<td>Waiting in the hall</td>
<td>Sitting on a chair with mobile phone in his hand and his coat on</td>
<td>Chris is insecure and afraid to miss the call of the taxi office. After the call he has to be ready within 5 minutes*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.30</td>
<td>Call from taxi office</td>
<td>In the hall, he hears a computer remote voice; ‘your cab will arrive within 5 minutes’</td>
<td>They warn Chris to be ready</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.40</td>
<td>Chris sees the taxi</td>
<td>Through the window beside his front door.</td>
<td>The driver will ring the bell, but most of the time, he will not help Chris with his coat, keys etc. There are other travelers sitting in the taxi bus. And it’s also a possibility the driver has to pick up some more elderly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>Arrives at M&amp;G, Chris pays € 2,- for the ride to the driver</td>
<td>Taxi bus is dropping him off</td>
<td>It was a ride of 5 km, but the driver picked up 2 others elderly travelers and after that he first drove to another address to bring one of the travelers home.*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>Greets all the visitors of the Meet and Greet</td>
<td>Rises his hands and talks to them</td>
<td>It feels familiar and good for Chris. It supports his well-being.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>Drinks coffee</td>
<td>With the participants of the vitality (FIEF) zone. Fief is an acronym ; Fit, Interactive, Energetic, Fun</td>
<td>The start of the activity, social contact, chat with the sport coach about the daily activities of the last week*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.45</td>
<td>Start FIEF</td>
<td>Different interactive</td>
<td>To keep and stay in shape, be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>End of the program</td>
<td>Cooling down Take care for the health of the elderly sportsmen. Make a specific end of the physical activities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>Healthy Lunch</td>
<td>At the central table in the meet and greet center with other participants Social contact, encourage Chris and the other visitors eat together.*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>Call from the taxi bus (computer voice)</td>
<td>While Chris is sitting at the table Chris booked the taxi for 1 o’clock pm.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>Chris goes to the wardrobe</td>
<td>Walks to the wardrobe closet He wants to be ready</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>Taxi bus arrives and Chris leaves</td>
<td>Says goodbye and walks to the taxi Chris has to back home at at least 2 o’clock pm because the domestic/household help from ZuidZorg will arrive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.05</td>
<td>At home</td>
<td>By taxi The taxi was almost empty, so Chris has some rest time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>Looks at the picture of his wife</td>
<td>With sadness Each time it’s difficult for Chris to enter his home alone.*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>Powernap</td>
<td>Sitting in the seat in the sitting room He is tired of the morning program and a little bit sad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>Wakes up</td>
<td>By the door bell Chris takes dust Together The household help from ZuidZorg comes to clean up his house. He wants to be independently as much as possible. Also social contact Chat with each other, social contact and he can tell his ‘story’ to an independent person, without guilt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>Chris says goodbye to the ZuidZorg employee</td>
<td>Opening the front door and waves her goodbye She helps him a lot and listens to his stories. Reminds him of the moments he was cleaning the house together with his wife.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>Relax</td>
<td>Listen to classical music A moment of rest and listen to classical music (classic fm.nl) feels good and satisfies him.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.30</td>
<td>Preparing dinner</td>
<td>In the kitchen, preparing his meal. Chris eats every day a different meal. Depends on his mood, but most of the time he cooks fresh food. Max. twice a week he is heating up a prepared diner (Albert Heijn) in the micro wave Chris is afraid to become more and more dependent and he wants to train himself to living independently with the help of his Children, grand children and social activities. He trains himself in ADL (daily activities) and make a lot of social contact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.15</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>To a set kitchen table with dishes He tries to make his life good and not a gloomy widower*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.45</td>
<td>Cleaning up the dishes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>Contact with a woman who is also a participant of the 'lunch-concerts' activity.</td>
<td>By phone call Chris contacts her, because they both will visit the classical lunch concert tomorrow (which is every 2 weeks). He asks her to pick him up. She drives Chris to the concert, so they can join each other. Social talk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>Watches local television and drinking coffee</td>
<td>TV program broadcast; Broadcast Brabant Chris sees and hears the local news. Daily routine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>NOS News</td>
<td>TV program national broadcast NOS Watches the news of the world</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>Watches sport</td>
<td>Daily routine and filling up his lonely evening.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>Drink a glass of wine</td>
<td>Cheers to his wife</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>It is a ritual from his marriage with his wife.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>Chris goes upstairs</td>
<td>Takes the stair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prepares for the night and tomorrow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>Searches for his clothes for tomorrow</td>
<td>He lays them on the chair in the bedroom</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Every other day he swaps his clothes. Because he would look good.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>Goes to sleep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Persona 3: Ellie Lemmers

Age: 79 years,
Gender: Woman
Divorced, 2 daughters, feels lonely

1. Looking for: Social contact, participation in activities and work as a volunteer
2. Her motto: “My daughters say I should go visit other people”
3. Loves: Reading, making puzzles and hiking.
4. Demography: Ellie is divorced 7 years ago because her husband was an alcoholic. Last year she moved to Eindhoven to be closer to her daughters. She lives in social isolation. Her children advise and help her to look for activities in her living environment. Ellie is physically healthy and has her own apartment. Children visit her once a week. Lives of a low income.
5. Mobility: Ellie lives independently and has a car. She comes with her stroller to the meet and greet center.
6. Golden experience circle: Persona ELLIE
7. TFI rate: 7

Table 17: One day of persona Ellie

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>WHAT</th>
<th>HOW</th>
<th>WHY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>Wakes up</td>
<td>Alarm goes off</td>
<td>Daily pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>Takes a shower</td>
<td>Walking to the bathroom without walker</td>
<td>Morning ritual and hygiene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.40</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
<td>Ellie prepares her own breakfast. She makes fresh orange juices, 1 bread with cheese and 1 cracker with jam</td>
<td>Daily activity and to get vitamin C for better health.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.05</td>
<td>Cleaning up the breakfast,</td>
<td>Doing dishes</td>
<td>She doesn't have a dishwasher and want to do things by her own as a kind of activity*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.25</td>
<td>Leaves the house</td>
<td>With walker*</td>
<td>She is a volunteer at the meet and greet center. Walks from her apartment about 750 m to the center. Twice a week she's also a participant of different activities*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.40</td>
<td>Arrives at M&amp;G</td>
<td>Walking with her walker for 15 minutes</td>
<td>To be on time for the activity she'll be helping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.45</td>
<td>Consultation with other</td>
<td>Chat</td>
<td>Preparing the activity and make a schedule/tasks for the participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>volunteer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>Start Old time favorites</td>
<td>Drinking coffee with the</td>
<td>Social contact and divide tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>Pause</td>
<td></td>
<td>Drinking coffee. Rest moment, while cookies and apple pie are in the oven.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>Cleaning up</td>
<td></td>
<td>Doing dishes and place all equipment in the kitchen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>Reads newspaper at meet and greet center</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sitting in relax fauteuil with the newspaper form the center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td>With other visitors of the center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>Leaving center and shopping in the grocery.</td>
<td></td>
<td>With walker. On her way home she passes a grocery store.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>Back home and put away the groceries</td>
<td></td>
<td>Drawers, and fridge. Normal activity and Ellie likes to live in a clean house.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>Playing solitaire on or any other game</td>
<td></td>
<td>Using her tablet. She has received a tablet, so Ellie could Skype to her daughters when she lived not in Eindhoven. Nowadays her daughters are visiting Ellie once a week in a regular schedule (Mon- and Thursday). Ellie likes playing tablet games. She forgets her loneliness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.45</td>
<td>Preparing Dinner</td>
<td></td>
<td>In the kitchen with the food she has bought this afternoon. It's a ‘daily routine and fills up her day. Eating fresh, self prepared food is better for your health, she says.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.30</td>
<td>Taking Dinner</td>
<td></td>
<td>At the kitchen table alone. There isn't anyone else who eat with her.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>Cleaning up dinner</td>
<td></td>
<td>Doing dishes. She doesn’t have a dishwasher and want to do things by her own as a kind of activity*.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.45</td>
<td>Reading a book</td>
<td></td>
<td>In her chair by the window. Ellie likes to read novels. That started when she had problems with her husband. It gave her a distraction and also now she still goes up in the stories without thoughts of her loneliness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.50</td>
<td>Small talk with one of her daughters</td>
<td></td>
<td>By telephone or sometimes by Skype. Control/supervise from the daughters to get informed about her daily program and loneliness feeling*.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>Watching news at the television and a film or series</td>
<td>Sitting at her couch. Watching the news and be aware what's happening in the world.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>Get a drink</td>
<td></td>
<td>Taking a glass of lemonade. Ellie takes care of her food and drinks for the day*.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>Bed time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Persona 4: Henk Bosman

Age: 70 years,
Gender: Man
Married, Forgetful/Dementia, 3 children

1. Looking for: Company and being together with other men, low threshold activities
2. His motto: “I like to be busy”
3. Loves: Technique, crafts and ‘working’ with his hands
4. Demography: Henk is married to Anita. They have 3 children. Only 1 child, a daughter, lives in the neighborhood. Their son lives about 100 km from Eindhoven and the other daughter lives in England. Since 3 years Henk suffers from forgetfulness/dementia. Anita has physical limitations. They receive care from ZuidZorg. Both have AOW and a small pension. They both are fragile.
5. Mobility: Henk likes biking but does not recognize the road any more. His driver’s license has been revoked. He cannot travel outside by himself. Comes once a week with Anita and once a week with special public transport. The public transport makes him nervous.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>WHAT</th>
<th>HOW</th>
<th>WHY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>wake up</td>
<td>alarm goes off</td>
<td>personal care giver arrives between 8 and 8.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>henk waits in bed while anita takes a shower</td>
<td>anita showers while henk is doing nothing</td>
<td>henk waits for personal caregiver to shower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>anita helps henk out of bed</td>
<td>anita helps with his bathrobe, henk stays in his pyjama</td>
<td>henk cannot get out of bed by himself and go downstairs alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>have breakfast and take medicines</td>
<td>together at table, henk dresses the table and they make their own bread. henk is quickly distracted, henk takes medicines</td>
<td>ritual in daily activity to so that henk recognizes this. anita supervises the medicine box and fills it once a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>caregivers arrives to wash henk</td>
<td>henk undresses himself and shaves himself, caregivers helps where needed</td>
<td>3x a week because anita cannot do this by herself, he shaves himself etc. to have a feeling of independence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>henk and anita leave to m&amp;g center, henk asks for keys</td>
<td>henk looks in his jacket and gets nervous because he cannot find the keys, anita says she has them</td>
<td>henk gets aware of dementia which makes him upset and nervous, he tries to do his ritual of taking the keys but can’t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>they cycle to m&amp;g</td>
<td>with their own, normal cycles</td>
<td>henk likes to bike and it helps him to stay fit, anita brings him because he can’t remember the way to the center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>henk and anita drink coffee with participants/ guests/informal caregivers/host</td>
<td>at the center table, host provides coffee and tea with a cookie.</td>
<td>social contact and to get more at ease in this new environment before the activity, also waiting for all participants to start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>henk participates in men of metal</td>
<td>they sit at a table in separate room, volunteer has prepared it. they work individual, volunteer leads social atmosphere</td>
<td>most participants are introvert and have difficulties with social contact, this activity helps bringing them together. low threshold - &gt; nothing can be damaged, no insecurities and no work-pressure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>lunch at m&amp;g and take medicine</td>
<td>at center table, host provides bread lunch and they eat with hosts, participants and guests. host supervises medicines</td>
<td>social aspect, interaction between different type of guests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.7 Experience mapping

To deepen the insights on the problems ZZ pinpointed for the personas, ZZ organized a workshop and made experience maps. As an example in figure 1 a simplified timeline of Jan’s experience map is shown. The complete visualization from Jan and the others experience maps can be found in appendix 9, figures 34 - 37. The visualization shows the timeline for Jan (orange). It starts when he wakes up (left) and ends when he goes to sleep (right). Underneath Jan’s timeline the stakeholders and their role can be found. When the timeline of Jan and a stakeholder are close together, it indicates social interaction. In the appendixes you can find the complete experience flows. For each location the main motivations and feelings are written beneath it. In addition, the activities are described in more detail.
Figure 30: Experience mapping of persona Jan
3.4 Use case 4: Lyngby-Taarbæk Municipality (Lyngby)

Lyngby will in the context of REACH focus on home care and elderly living in smart homes, represent in the REACH care continuum end-users with a relatively good baseline health that need to be motivated for physical and cognitive activity (including ADL training) and rehabilitated at home from lighter disabilities in order to avoid nursing home or hospital/acute care admission.

3.4.1 Description of use case, focus and target users/elderly

Lyngby-Taarbæk Municipality is a city with 54,778 citizens and a part of the capital region. The municipality delivers home care and health care for 1,953 elderly citizens above 65 years and distributed as shown in Tables 18 and 19.

Table 18: FIM scoring criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age 65+</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of 65+ year olds receiving home care/health care</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age 75+</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of 75+ year olds receiving home care/health care</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19: FIM scoring criteria

| Proportion of citizens receiving home care/health care | 4% |

At the moment there are 749 citizens receiving home care assistance for cleaning and/or laundry. The activity day center has in average 350 visits per week. The municipality service delivers to new users on average 25 new emergency call devices per month.

3.4.2 Stakeholder identification

For each persona the respective stakeholder were identified to illustrate which persons or organizations are involved in the daily life of the elderly citizens concerning health care & home care. Details about personas e.g. friends and social activity will be described in Section 3.3.4. General stakeholders and their relations are identified in Figure 31.
3.4.3 Assessment method

In the context with Lyngby the SF 36 Health Survey (Danish version, Health Assessment Lab, and Hillerød Hospital 1993, QUOLA SF-36 Danish Special Version 1.1 “Den Danske SF-36 manual”) was used and filled in by the interviewer at the participant’s home. As described in Section 2.2.6, the SF-36 it gives us an insight in the following self-rated health parameters:

1. Vitality
2. Physical functioning
3. Bodily pain
4. General health perceptions
5. Physical role functioning
6. Emotional role functioning
7. Social role functioning
8. Mental health

To measure performance in Activities of Daily Living (ADL) the Barthel 20 index scale (Collin et al., 2009; Lauritsen J / Maribo T, 2007) was used. ADLs measured by the Barthel 20 index scale is the same as in Barthel Index, described in Section 2.2.1, but scoring system is different, since the items are rated between 0, 1, 2 and 3, while the original Barthel scores in steps of 5 for the same items: Bowels, Bladder, Grooming, Toilet use, Feeding, Transfer, Mobility, Dressing, Stairs, Bathing. Total scores range from 0 – 20, and lower scores indicate increased disability. Best overall score is 20 points.
3.4.4 Analysis of real-world end-user profiles

Lyngby developed its personas based on the insights gained from semi-structured qualitative face to face interview with 6 participants. Each interview lasted 30-45 minutes, was a combination of a survey where Barthel 20 and SF-36 was filled out; the interview was recorded by phone. In cooperation with professional nurse assistants from Lyngby-Taarbæk Municipality two personas were developed that are representative for the in the context of REACH targeted home care/health care scenarios for Lyngby:

1. Persona 1: Motivated for activity and changes in daily life
2. Persona 2: Less motivated for activity and changes in daily life

In the future a group of participants of the project will be included if they are going to receive an emergency device, as a new user. We assume this group to be more motivated and open-minded for new kind of devices.

3.4.5 Interviews

Six citizens were interviewed, and invited by the Municipality to participate. Inclusion criteria were: 65+, receiving some amount of care either personal or nursing, light “care load”, i.e. the best functioning group of elderly citizens (about 5-8 % of all 65+ year olds who have some assistance from the care and social service). Care load group 1-2 (range 1 to 4). Care load grouping from 1-4 differs between how much help the citizen gets. Care load group 1-2 only need some light assistance from the Care and social service. Care load group 3-4 are more depended of personal assistance from the Care and social service. In general, it was very hard for the participants to imagine different kind of sensors, the usefulness of sensors and what it has to offer them personally. Despite a lot of examples under the interview, they could not get the picture. None of the participant was regular internet users and none of them owns a smartphone or tablet.

3.4.6 Defining personas

For Lyngby two personas were developed that are representative for the in the context of REACH targeted home care/health care scenarios. For each persona the (1) general situation (age, household situation, etc.), (2) social situation, (3) health, care and practical assistance situation, (4) daily living situation, and (5) IT use habits were described.

1. Persona 1 – Elsa Jensen

   a) Elsa Jensen is an 83-year-old widow, living by herself in a 3-room rented flat (70 m²), first floor in a 3 story block of flats, with a wide balcony. She retired from her work as a hair-dresser 19 years ago when she had her own small salon. The municipal health and social service has classified Elsa in Care Load group 1 - the best functioning group of elderly citizens (about 5 - 8 % of
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all 65+ year olds who have some assistance from the care and social service).

b) Social: Elsa’s husband, who was a machinist, died 8 years ago. Their two daughters, Inger (married, 2 children); Bitten (divorced, 2 children) live nearby. Inger half an hour away by bus or car, Bitten about 1 hour away by car. Elsa has most daily contact with Inger or Inger’s husband — often just a short phone call. Inger, who is an assistant nurse, is a bit worried about her mother and visits her at least once a week, her grandchildren more rarely. Bitten, originally qualified as sales assistant in the food sector, has had periods of unemployment and sees her mother less frequently, she uses public transport and will often stay over during holidays including Christmas and Easter. Elsa has a fairly large network of friends, she usually visits the municipal bingo center on Wednesday evenings and on two afternoons she helps at the local church bazaar where they sell second-hand items (Kirkens Korshær). She goes to church about once or twice a month and on most church holidays. Elsa’s older sister is frail and Elsa visits her at least once a week. Elsa has frequent contact with her husband’s family and she joins family gatherings with large groups of nephews and nieces and their children. Elsa is a lively and optimistic.

c) Health, care and practical assistance: Elsa has no severe health problems but she is affected by chronic urinary incontinence (minor leakage; she uses diaper that she handles herself). Her sleep is interrupted once or twice every night, urge to relieve bladder. She is also bothered by hearing problems and for the last 6 years she has been using a hearing aid. She has been using compression stocking for 10 years, she is able to put them on and take them off herself with her compression stocking aid. Her BMI is 29, and she is able to walk at a pace that is age appropriate and that allows her to pursue a comparatively busy social schedule. She gets out of breath if she climbs stairs too quickly or lifts heavy items. After a fall 4 years ago getting out of her bath and when she broke an arm, she receives bath assistance once a week from the municipal service. She was given a robot vacuum cleaner by her family, and receives every second week half an hour’s assistance for “light cleaning” and clothes washing. Her block of flats has washing machines and dryers in a basement section. Her flat is well kept, clean and inviting.

d) Daily living: Elsa does most of her daily shopping herself, her family helps her with heavier items. She does her own cooking and, in addition to family visits, she has a friend or acquaintance for lunch or dinner or just coffee and tea at least once a week — and equally, is invited out herself. She rarely eats at a restaurant, but often at the church bazaar or with a friend in connection with her weekly bingo evening. Her economic situation is somewhat tight, since she has only her state pension and a small pension after her husband. She receives a subsidy for her moderate rent of her flat. It bothers her that she is not able to buy larger
gifts for grand-children or great-grand-children. She takes pride and great pleasure in keeping lush hanging flower beds on her balcony.

e) **IT use**: She began to use a tablet 3 years ago to communicate with her grand-children studying far away. She is able to Skype with family members and can read and respond to e-mails. She has not gotten accustomed to browsing but can click on links sent to her.

2. **Persona 2 – Kurt Hansen**

a) **Kurt Hansen** is a 78-year-old widower, living by himself in a self in a small 4-room old fashioned terraced house, built in the 50’s and not modernized, with a small back garden. He worked as a book keeper in a large public company for almost all of his working life, and retired 10 years ago. The municipal health and social service has classified Kurt in Care Load group 1 - the best functioning group of elderly citizens (about 5-8 % of all 65+ year olds who have some assistance from the care and social service).

b) **Social**: Kurt’s wife (also an office worker), died suddenly 4 years ago. Kurt has two sons, Ole (married, 2 children); Erling (divorced, remarried, 2 stepchildren) who each lives in another part of the country – 3 and 4 hours away by car or public transport. Kurt has infrequent contact with his son Erling (a dairy engineer) and mainly by phone when Erling calls his father around Christmas and at holidays. Erling and his new wife visit Kurt once a year, usually in connection with their summer vacation when they go on a charter flight. They usually leave their car at Kurt’s house to save parking fee at the airport during their 2-week vacation. Kurt’s other son Ole is worried that his father has become rather inactive after the death of Kurt’s wife. Ole calls his father about once a week, and Ole or his wife visit Kurt a few times a year, usually when they have work related meetings that justify the travel expenses. Kurt accepted their invitation to stay over Christmas the first couple of years after his wife’s death, but Kurt finds it too cumbersome to travel during the holidays so he now prefers to stay at home. His granddaughter visits him a few times during the year and tries to cheer him up – persuaded him to accept an artificial Christmas tree and usually mounts a Christmas decoration on his front door. Ole does maintain his old hobby of interest in WW2 history and especially in the resistance movement in Norway and Denmark, and he is a member of a club that gathers socially about once monthly to review and discuss WW2 memorabilia. Similarly, Kurt follows with interest war history documentaries on TV. In general, Kurt spends most of his day watching television. After his wife’s death, Kurt has gradually slipped into infrequent contact with their, already then, rather narrow circle of friends, and Kurt is now a bit of a recluse who may not speak with anyone for days on end. He is on brief greeting terms with his neighbors and staff at his local news stand where he plays on lotto twice a week – in fact, his greatest physical activity is
walking 2* 600 meter distance back and forth to the news stand to get select the lotto coupons.

c) Health, care and practical assistance: Kurt has no severe, but several moderate health problems. He suffers from type 2 diabetes and hypertension and visits his GP every 3rd month to be controlled for these parameters. He is obese (BMI 36) and his weight has increased after the death of his wife. He and his wife used to enjoy cake which is rich in calorie (evening or afternoon coffee and tea) and often desserts. Kurt likes sweets and engages in very little physical activity. He receives daily visits in the morning from the municipal service to help him put on compression stockings but he is able to take them off himself when going to bed using a compression stocking aid he has been given by the service. He receives a hot meal every day from the home service, has food and daily purchases brought to him once a week. Receives support for light house work and laundry every two weeks.

d) Daily living: Kurt’s daily life is monotonous, and on some days he does not get out his house. Due to his weight he moves slowly and gets out of breath if he has to climb stairs. His back garden is not well kept and he is considering buying a robot garden lawn mower – though his lawn is very small – instead of pushing the very light electric lawn mower he already owns. His grandson helped him for a few years with the lawn but has told his grandfather he cannot find time any longer for this. Kurt spends most of his day in front of his large TV set and is mostly preoccupied with watching documentaries, especially WW2 pieces, on Discovery, History and similar channels. His home is somewhat messy and not well kept, and although the floor carpets are reasonably clean due to his robot vacuum cleaner, there is dust on the surfaces.

e) Kurt has a relatively ample pension, his rent is very low and he feels he has no financial worries. On several occasions, he has been urged by the municipal health services as well as his GP to attend the municipal activity center, and they have offered to fetch and bring him by mini bus. He did attend once immediately after his wife’s death, but he said he did not like all the old ladies’ talk and told them not to fetch him again. He frequently complains that the municipal services do not really help him – that he is paying taxes and has done so all his life, and therefore they owe him now some proper assistance with house cleaning. He is also very unsatisfied with the quality of the hot meal he receives every day.

f) IT use: Kurt has a PC which he uses for banking and occasional mails. He also follows some news portals and especially sports and football results.
### 3.4.7 Experience mapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Profiles based on interview</th>
<th>Participant 1</th>
<th>Participant 2</th>
<th>Participant 3</th>
<th>Participant 4</th>
<th>Participant 5</th>
<th>Participant 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
<td>Age 69</td>
<td>Age 83</td>
<td>Age 88</td>
<td>Age 91</td>
<td>Age 78</td>
<td>Age 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single for 23 years</td>
<td>Lives in own apartment</td>
<td>Widower for 22 years, 2 daughters &amp; 1 son</td>
<td>Lives in a rented apartment</td>
<td>Widower for 7 years, Married for 47 years</td>
<td>Lives in a rented apartment</td>
<td>Widow for 22 years, Alone since</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 children</td>
<td>Needs daily support from professional healthcare provider</td>
<td>5 children, 7 grandchildren, 1 great grandson</td>
<td>Lives in a rented apartment</td>
<td>3 sons, 1 daughter, 5 grandchildren</td>
<td>Lives in a rented apartment</td>
<td>No children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical History</strong></td>
<td>Dialysis since 1989</td>
<td>Iron deficiency</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis</td>
<td>No medical history</td>
<td>Stroke 1 year ago</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>Chronic renal failure</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>After rehabilitation</td>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>Bladder stones</td>
<td>Impaired vision</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stroke 4 years ago</td>
<td>After rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes for 10 years</td>
<td>Urinary incontinence</td>
<td>Balance problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Home Care/Health Care Needs from professional health care provider</strong></td>
<td>1 x daily for preparation of dialysis bags, Help with cleaning and laundry, Cooking 3 x weekly</td>
<td>1 x daily prepares open sandwich, Ready made meals from the municipality delivery, Captivates device to wear around neck, Help with cleaning</td>
<td>1 x daily preparing open sandwich, Ready made meals from the municipality delivery, Captivates device to wear around neck, Help with cleaning</td>
<td>1 x daily receiving help for medication dosage and handling out daily dress, More made in the municipal delivery, Takes delivery of all kinds of grocery</td>
<td>2 x daily for:</td>
<td>2 x daily for:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Help with cleaning and laundry, Cooking 3 x weekly</td>
<td>Help with cleaning</td>
<td>Help with cleaning</td>
<td>Help with cleaning</td>
<td>Eye dripping, Medication dosage, Compression, Stooling on and off, Preparing breakfast and lunch, Ready made meal for dinner she can warm up, Cleaning every 14th day, Emergency device to wear around neck</td>
<td>Eye dripping, Medication dosage, Compression, Stooling on and off, Preparing breakfast and lunch, Ready made meal for dinner she can warm up, Cleaning every 14th day, Emergency device to wear around neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Barthel 20 Index</strong></td>
<td>20 points</td>
<td>19 points</td>
<td>18 points</td>
<td>17 points</td>
<td>20 points</td>
<td>19 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities of Daily Living:</td>
<td>Going with Walker</td>
<td>Urinary incontinence</td>
<td>Can’t go up/down stairs</td>
<td>Needs guidance or personal support for going up/down stairs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Needs guidance or personal support for going up/down stairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bowel, Bladder, Grooming, Toilet use, Feeding, Transfer, Mobility, Dressing, Stairs, Bathing, Total scores range from 0 – 20, lower scores increased disability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Survey SF-20</strong></td>
<td>Poor health - worse</td>
<td>Less good health but better within the latest</td>
<td>Less good health, Better now than 1</td>
<td>Good health, roughly the same in the latest</td>
<td>Good health, Better than during the latest</td>
<td>Good health considering the age</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 32: Experience mapping I**
**Activity Daycentre**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not motivated</th>
<th>Very motivated</th>
<th>Not motivated</th>
<th>Very motivated</th>
<th>Very motivated</th>
<th>Very motivated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 a week</td>
<td>Gymnastics and Social activities</td>
<td>2 a week</td>
<td>Bingo and Men's Club</td>
<td>2 a week</td>
<td>Gymnastics and classical music</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hobbies**

| No hobbies | No hobbies | No hobbies | Handwork | No hobbies | LGB videos to DVD | No hobbies |

**Attitude to sensors in the home**

- A good idea. All that can help making everyday life easier.
- Won't have any sensors at all. Cannot see any possibilities in it. Even if she became more independent of Health Care professionally.
- A good idea. All that can help making everyday life easier. Find it hard to imagine the examples.
- Hard to imagine how I could live. Is positive if it is something that can help in everyday life.
- The idea is good if her home can stay in his own home for a longer time and being independent.
- If it is necessary, it is a good idea. Find it hard to imagine.

**Concerns about data protection**

- No concerns.
- Doesn’t matter if others see data.
- No concerns.
- No concerns.
- No concerns.
- No concerns.

**IT and web facilities**

**Smartphone**

- Regular user of the internet but only for YouTube at the TV.
- Uses PC.
- None.
- None.
- None.
- None.

**Computer or more**

No concerns.

**Obstacles in everyday life**

- Cannot go on the street without a walker because of dizziness and is afraid of falling.
- Think that it follows the fact of being old. Would like to walk a lot more.
- Cannot go on the street without a walker.
- None.
- Misses the reading room at the library.
- Difficulty with walking – can’t go alone due to the vision and general disability.

**Activity during the day**

- Linking of the dialysis machine in the morning.
- Gets dressed.
- Watches TV. Radio, listening.
- Little bit computer food intake.
- Thinking with the daughter.
- Watching TV.
- Watching TV. Someone comes with the hot meal.
- Tuesday and Thursday activity centre. Less activity in the weekend.
- Cleansing, washing TV. Someone comes with the hot meal.
- Thursday and Thursday activity centre. Less activity in the weekend.
- Watches TV. Dishwashing, contact with sister-in-law

**Social interaction**

- Prefers to stay at home, lonely in daily life, but does not want to see others – has tried activity centres.
- Owns a car – drives out for light shopping occasionally. Sparse contact with the family – sees them only for birthdays and Christmas. Only few acquaintances.
- Family lives nearby. Enjoys the presence of others. Has several female friends. Previously been very active in gymnastics and golf. Not troubled about being alone.
- Talking with his daughter by phone every morning. Social activity in the property once a month i.e. lunch. Visit by the Family regularly. Goes to the library.
- Close contact with her son. Particularly visual with his visits at The Activity Daycentre. Thinks she belongs to the Centre and if it gets necessary she wants to live there at the Nursing Home.
- Joy of family. Very social. Often going out with his children for shopping or dining. Loves cooking and dinner parties.
- Has only her sister in the family. Has no children, and all friends are dead. Has a friend who visits her once a week (cannot go alone).

**Concerns**

- Main concern is if The Home Care Unit sends a new helper.
- Home Care – never know when they are coming between 8-12.
- Fund of life and have survived.
- No concerns.
- Worried about whether a new stroke will hit him.
- All the weekend these are random helpers – and it is often late.

---

**Figure 33: Experience mapping II**
4 Comparison of use cases: communalities and differences

As discussed earlier in the report, the four use cases cover the different stages of the health and care process that an elderly citizen may experience in his/her daily life.

After a critical immediate incident (e.g., stroke) or as a consequence of a serious illness an elderly citizen can be admitted to an acute hospital (e.g., HUG) if outpatient treatment is not sufficient. The main aims are to stabilize the vital functions of the elderly citizen, to diagnose then to treat according to medical standards with the objective of curing and preventing or minimizing permanent impairment. To this end a hospital has specialized departments and wards. It is equipped with special units for intensive treatment and surveillance (intensive care unit, intermediate care unit, stroke unit). Frequently the elderly citizen recovers and can be discharged after a few days or weeks to his/her former social setting, usually his/her home.

But hospital treatment is not sufficient in all cases. If the elderly citizen does not recover quickly and permanent impairment and handicap are imminent, rehabilitation is indicated. Rehabilitation ought to start as early as possible as outcome has shown to be better. Nowadays elements of rehabilitation may be introduced into the treatment at any stage of disease, even on the intensive care unit and thus also in the acute hospital. Acute hospitals may have specialized rehabilitation departments (as HUG).

On the other hand the elderly citizen may be transferred to a specialized rehabilitation hospital (for example a neurology clinic such as SK) which may be equipped with an intensive care unit and an intermediate care unit, to be prepared to accept even very severely affected elderly citizens for rehabilitation, and to be able to continue to provide acute hospital treatment during the rehabilitation process. Rehabilitation starts with diagnosing the impairments of the elderly citizens and planning mental and physical exercises to activate the elderly citizen and counteract the impairment by mental and physical (re)learning and training. To this end a neurological rehabilitation hospital usually employs specialized therapists in the fields of neuropsychology, physiotherapy, occupational therapy, physical therapy, speech and swallowing therapy as well as professionals specialized in counseling in matters of health services, insurance, entitlement to a pension, procuring medical aids, orthoses and prosthesis.

If rehabilitation is entirely successful the elderly citizen is discharged to his/her former social and professional setting. If not, the question arises whether the elderly citizen should continue rehabilitation as an outpatient and whether he/she may be discharged to his/her home (possibly with home care as a professional support and after adaptation of his home to his handicaps) or whether he/she needs to be discharged to a nursing home.

After a stay in an acute or rehabilitation hospital the elderly citizen and his/her family usually desire the discharge to his/her home. Whether this can be realized depends on the medical condition and the handicaps of the elderly citizen and the abilities of family members to compensate for the deficits of the elderly citizen and, if that is not possible,
to organize appropriate home care by a professional service. Frequently this means that a professional nursing service helps with getting up in the morning and bedding in the evening, taking the prescribed medication. Occasionally support at lunchtime may be necessary in addition. To employ a nursing staff for full time care at home is beyond the financial means of the vast majority of elderly citizens. If the elderly citizen is immobile or has to use a wheel chair it is frequently necessary to rebuild or adapt the building, mainly the toilet and the bathroom, to the new requirements.

The continuation of rehabilitation treatment very much depends on the mobility of the elderly citizen. If he/she can make the way to the therapist by him/herself or with the help of a family member continuation of rehabilitation treatment may be feasible. When the therapist has to come to the home of the elderly citizen, rehabilitation is of course much more costly and often not covered by the health insurance system. Therefore techniques for tele-rehabilitation are under development.

If the elderly citizen cannot be supported sufficiently at home, he/she has to be discharged from the acute or rehabilitation hospital to a nursing home. The choice of the nursing home should be done considering the medical and social demands of the elderly citizens. A short distance to the home of the family makes social contacts with the family and friends easier and the elderly citizen happier. The amount and quality of rehabilitation treatment offered by nursing homes varies and may be a criterion for selection. Rarely elderly citizens need highly specialized continuing medical treatment (i.e. permanent or intermittent respirator assistance for breathing) which may limit the choice of nursing homes.

As soon as the elderly citizens recover sufficiently and can be discharged from hospital/rehabilitation center/nursing home the focus shifted to preventing their readmission to these institutions again. Healthcare services at home (e.g., home visiting nurses, ZuidZorg and Lyngby) and formal and informal social services (children/friends and meet and greet center at ZuidZorg Extra) are of great values to these citizens to remain reasonably healthy and active in their daily lives. These services can help to prevent these elderly citizens to be readmitted to the formal health and care institutions again. What kinds of services are more desired and should be offered and how to motivate this type of elderly citizens to actively use the provided services and take the necessary benefits remain challenging for the related home care/smart home organizations.

It should be noted that not every elderly citizen goes through the entire process. Elderly citizens who recover quickly can be directly discharged to their home. Only if impairments at the end of the hospital stay are hindering the elderly citizen from independent life rehabilitation is indicated. Home care is needed when the elderly citizen and his/her family cannot support him/her sufficiently. This normal development may be altered by complications and other adverse events.

All these insights call for a close cooperation of the types of partners in this project (hospital, rehabilitation hospital, nursing and social service for home care and nursing
homes). The REACH system aims to be established under the collaboration of a network of partners with experience in smart sensing, monitoring and intervention technologies, and industrial partners. As a result, not only advanced and complex technology platforms will be created for more structured environments such as clinic and hospitals (e.g., HUG) or rehabilitation centers (e.g., SK) but also an adapted and simplified form is needed to support the home care (ZZ) and smart home (Lyngby) contexts.
5 Identification of technological potentials and opportunities

As part of several task-level in-person meetings and teleconferences in the first project month (M1) several key aspects and requirements of REACH were discussed and detailed:

1. The core idea of REACH is not to (passively) assist elderly people but to activate and rehabilitate their bodily and cognitive resources (physical activity, cognitive activity, nutrition, mobility, etc.) and through that allow for better health, less frailty, better accomplishment of tasks/ADLs, etc. and thus ultimately for more health-adjusted life years.

2. The main target group: ageing persons that have a risk of long-term care (LTC) admission due to diseases such as Alzheimer, diabetes etc., or develop frailty possibly due to dehydration, reduced activity and social contacts.

3. Points of contact: with the system/scenarios:
   a) Scenario 1: The system might be acquired by elderly (or their relatives) showing e.g. light signs of frailty and installed in their home with the aim of preventing a deterioration of their health status.
   b) Scenario 2: Point of contact might also be an institution like a clinic or a care home. Aim of the system would then be to bring the elderly citizen (or patient) through activation (rehabilitation) back into “normal life” and reduce risks of re-admission to LTC.

4. Advanced health state prediction: In all possible scenarios sensors placed in the environment or at the body of the person should allow detection of living patterns (emotions, calories burned, physical activity, cognitive functions, etc.), predict possible future health states and allow thus targeted, customized interventions that “activate” the person.

5. Parameters to be obtained by sensors that can be useful in the context of REACH’s sensing and prediction system: physical activity, accomplishment of tasks and ADLs, structure and organization of a day, energy expenditure, energy intake/nutrition, body composition, gait, motivation/emotions.

6. Parameters to be obtained by sensors that can be useful to indicate physical inactivity:
   a) Accomplishment of tasks
   b) Structure/organization of the day
   c) Motivation/emotions (how could motivation be measured consistently?)
   d) Social interaction (face to face or by phone/Skype with others)
   e) Possibilities for muscle function analysis (as the basis for frailty detection):
      • Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis
      • Autonomic regulation
      • Body Composition Analysis
      • Electromyography (EMG)
      • Real-time ultrasound imaging
      • Dynamometry
   f) Energy expenditure
      • Directly: Continuous direct measurement possible?
• Indirectly:
  o Over CO² “emission”
  o Over ECG > SmartCardia
  o Over activities and body composition

7. Modularity of the system: The system should be highly modular in order to allow an adaptation to various use cases/scenarios and to be able to adapt/evolve over time with the user.

8. Platform approach: A digital platform and interior equipment modules should serve as digital/physical platforms that tie together a variety of products and services developed within REACH.

9. Portability of the system: the system should be able to follow the elderly person through different life phases (e.g., from light frailty to more severe frailty), institutions (e.g. from clinic to care home to home; or vice versa), and environments/settings.

10. “Evolutionary” approach: the system should be able to “assemble” and “disassemble” itself (e.g., from light frailty to more severe frailty and optimally back to light or no frailty).

11. Aggregated sensor data – either in real time for potential emergency situations (e.g. falls) or summary over time – to professional care givers.

12. Privacy, ethics, data security - usability: Importance of motivational strategies and concepts of privacy, data security and ethics for acceptance and usability of the REACH system.

In order to serve these aspects and requirements following technological resources of REACH partners will be utilized:

1. AlrehMedical: mobilization and physical activation technology
2. ArjoHuntleigh: equipment, beds, monitoring systems, etc.
3. Philips: HealthSuite Digital Platform
4. DTU: Playware’s gamification technology
5. TUM: building interior/furniture, sensors embedded in the environment, contactless sensing
6. SmartCardia: medical wearable sensors, detection of emotions
7. EPFL: sensors and prediction (based on time series analysis)
8. Fraunhofer: BigData analytics (e.g. from patient histories and statistical data)
9. Biozoon: personalized nutrition

In that context, the state of the art overview provided in the DoA is taken further with a particular focus on technological potentials for REACH’s sensing-prediction-physical/cognitive activation loop.

5.1 Sensing furniture

In the context of REACH sensing furniture can be developed to include a range of (medical) onboard sensors that can be used to obtain a range of parameters (e.g., task accomplishment, day structure, muscle function, energy expenditure, energy intake,
etc.) relevant for early detection of risks in a seamless and unobtrusive manner in the targeted homecare, care home, and rehabilitation environments. Eight categories of sensing furniture can be identified (Table 20).

**Table 20: Sensing furniture**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Chairs</td>
<td>Sensors (with body contact or contactless) placed in chairs, seats, sofas, etc.</td>
<td>GEWOS, SensSeat</td>
<td>(Erdt et al., 2012; Future Shape, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Floors/Surfaces</td>
<td>Sensors systems turning a floor or other large surfaces of a room into a large sensor surface</td>
<td>SensFloor®</td>
<td>(Future Shape, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Beds</td>
<td>Sensors placed in beds to analyses body positions, movement, heart rate, etc. at bets in a contactless manner.</td>
<td>SleepNumber®</td>
<td>(Sleep Number, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Tables</td>
<td>Sensors integrated into tables and work desks e.g. to recognize/analyze food or activities</td>
<td>IKEA concept kitchen, Bauknecht Interactive Cooktop</td>
<td>(IKEA, 2015; Bauknecht, 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Mirrors</td>
<td>Sensor systems (e.g. for vital signs detection) made part of a bath mirror</td>
<td>MIT Media Lab Medical Mirror</td>
<td>(MIT, Media Lab, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Walls</td>
<td>Sensors that are part of functional wall elements, cabinets of storages</td>
<td>LISA</td>
<td>(Linner et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Toilets</td>
<td>Sensors (e.g. for urine analysis) integrated in a toilet</td>
<td>Daiwa House/Toto</td>
<td>(Toto, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Plates/Cups</td>
<td>Sensors integrated in plates and cups to analyses nutrition habits and energy intake</td>
<td>Vessyl</td>
<td>(Vessyl, 2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5.2 Playfulness and fitness furniture**

Used as furniture as well as fitness device. Without going to the gym the user can benefit from using the fitness furniture in a home or rehabilitation environment. The system is easy to operate and reconfigure by the user due to its design. The system offers multi-functional exercise activity and various exercise gestures can be performed (e.g. muscular strength and endurance, cardio respiratory endurance, flexibility, and balance, etc.). Six categories of fitness furniture can be identified (Table 21).

**Table 21: Fitness furniture**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fitness Chairs</td>
<td>It can be used as conventional chair also once reconfigured it can be used as exercise device (a chair, recumbent cycle, and elastic resistance tubing all in one exercise device, etc.)</td>
<td>Chairmaster, GEWOS, GymGymLLC</td>
<td>(ChairMaster™, 2015; Erdt et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness work desk</td>
<td>The fitness work desk provide light exercise opportunities while working, studying or simply watching TV or playing games at home. A set of accessories and parts can be added onto the desk depending on the user's preference</td>
<td>FitDesk®</td>
<td>(TheFitDesk, 2016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Fitness cabinets/closets  
A multi-functional set of fitness device (body stretching and muscle building, etc.) that incorporates with interior of your home or office.  
Process® Tunidei XFit Gym  
(Process, 2016; Coolthings, 2016)

4 Fitness Walls  
Fitness wall is a wall like furniture specially designed for training at home. It helps user to rediscover the basic skills such as resistance, balance, strength and flexibility.  
Kinesis® IQflow  
(Technogym, 2016; World Architecture News, 2016)

5 Fitness Table  
A side table design offers a function as a table as well as a work out platform for some basic exercises at home  
Ram&Row®  
(Ram & Row, 2016; Coolthings, 2016)

6 Fitness Couches  
The design enables user to perform basic gym activity at home. It is foldable, reconfigurable and has compartment to store weights and other accessories  
gprero  
(Gabriel Prero Design, 2008)

5.3 Mobilization and rehabilitation devices

In the context of REACH mobilization and rehabilitation devices are designed to assist the user to recover physical strength independently. It should also monitor the users’ vital signs while engaging in exercises and document the progress. It can be used as a training device in rehabilitation, as a therapeutic product in nursing homes, hospitals and at home. The system is adjustable, reconfigurable based on the user’s body type. Four categories of mobilization and rehabilitation devices can be identified (Table 22).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Muscle Function Analysis Furniture</td>
<td>Seats or devices that allow to analyze muscle function and strength by methods such as electromyogram, ultrasonic or dynamometry.</td>
<td>Full Body Dynamometers, EMG</td>
<td>(Ailet et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Therapeutic ADL Exercise</td>
<td>The Endorphin STS is designed to assist the user in sitting and standing and perform basic exercise independently</td>
<td>Endorphin®</td>
<td>(Endorphin, 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Mobility/Mobilization Devices</td>
<td>The product is a mobility and transfer device to help the user to perform seated transfer, sit-to-stand transfer and other training</td>
<td>rifton®</td>
<td>(Rifton, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Rehabilitation Exercise Devices</td>
<td>The product will assist the user to perform cycling activities with either arms or legs in both seated or lie down position</td>
<td>RT300Arm Cycle, MOTOMed</td>
<td>(Restorative-Therapies, 2016; MOTOMed, 2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4 Rehabilitation beds and transfer devices

In the context of REACH a rehabilitation and transfer bed (actually the “center of life” in clinics, rehabilitation settings and home care) shall become part of the solution. It allows the mobilization of the user, adjusting their body gestures either upright or seated. This enables the user to independently engage in daily activity in a desired position. It can be
used for rehabilitation purposes in hospitals, nursing homes or at home. Five categories of Rehabilitation beds and transfer devices can be identified (Table 23).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Therapy stand-up bed</td>
<td>The design ensure the user is in a upright position while perform essential exercise or therapy</td>
<td>LogicMove®</td>
<td>(TeamSacon, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Tilting rehabilitation bed</td>
<td>The bed specially designed for rehabilitation and mobilization. It offers safety, comfort and reduced care from the nursing staff</td>
<td>OLDSway –T2000</td>
<td>(Olds Engineering, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Rotary rehabilitation bed</td>
<td>The bed enables the user to get up and out of the bed independently.</td>
<td>Hi-Lo Rotation bed</td>
<td>(PatientCareProducts, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Care Assistance Bed</td>
<td>The electric assistance bed equipped an integrated wheelchair and hair washing robot. The bed provides safety and comfortable living for the users while reducing the burden of caregivers</td>
<td>Care Assistance Bed Panasonic</td>
<td>(Panasonic, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Modular rehabilitation bed</td>
<td>The modular bed allows the patient to stay in the same bed through admittance to discharge. It can be equipped with modules for transport, rehabilitation, critical care, etc.</td>
<td>Modular hospital bed and method of patient handling Patent US5513406A</td>
<td>(Hill-Rom, 2016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5 Contactless vital signs sensing and measurement

Nowadays the technological diagnostic possibilities are advancing continuously. Technologies such as computer tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, 2-D and 3-D ultrasound allow patients and physicians an insight into the human body and its anatomy, physiology as well as patho-physiology (Hutton et al., 2006). However, this technology is space consuming, needs trained/skilled staff, energy and time. Therefore, appointments with physicians are rare and often expensive and the new trend towards wearables is quite successfully. To measure vital signs such as activity, blood pressure, heart rate, cerebral activity, obesity treatment, blood glucose levels, oxygen saturation, and food/calorie intake using wearable devices, the presence of a physician or expert is not necessarily required. However, end-user tend to lose interest and to neglect accessories (which include also wearables) after some time of use.

TUM will focus in the unobtrusive implementation of sensors into the surrounding environment, in order to enable optimally “real-time” pre-diagnostics, even if the end user forgets/neglects to wear the sensor accessories. This approach relieves patients and physicians of redundant detailed measurements such as 24-hour blood pressure measurements. As the user’s health condition can thus be analyzed in a continuous manner 24 hours per day, these embedded sensors can foster decisions regarding which wearable sensor, physician investigation, or activity to select, in order to improve
the health condition. Of course a contactless measurement of vital signals is limited and devices used to perform computed tomography (Frush et al., 2003), or magnetic resonance imaging (Dill, 2008), as well as ultrasound devices are impossible to be introduced or installed into the home environment in an unobtrusive manner, because of factors such as safety, size, and power consumption.

Previous research suggests, that by embedding sensors into interior environments, the accuracy of the measurement is lowered, since the user is not always compliant with special postures (such as needed, for example, for a blood pressure meter measurement (Netea et al., 1998)) required for properly obtaining certain measurements. Additionally, the clothes, movements, surrounding temperature and light conditions, comprise parameter that can hinder a successful and reliable measurement. The integration of the different sensors into the environment must be done in a modular way, in order to dynamically adapt with regard to the individual characteristics of each user and setting (e.g. as listed in Table 20). Therefore, the TUM analyzed which sensors can be integrated in such a manner into the home environment, and what types of limitations are thereto concerned, due to unstructured nature of some care settings (e.g. home care settings). The outcome of the analysis for different measurement categories is outlined in the following sub-sections.

5.5.1 Gesture analysis using infrared
By using the Leap Motion Controller or the Microsoft Kinect (Fernández-Baena et al., 2012), it is possible to detect and further analyze the movement activity of a user. Both devices are using infrared light. The detection range here starts from simple activities up to precise measurement of tremor and bradykinesia (Galna et al., 2014; Güttler et al., 2015).

5.5.2 Electrophysiology
The (Electrocardiogram) ECG measures the electrical conduction of the human heart, and allows analyzing the health condition of the most important muscle in the human body. Normally 4 electrodes are necessary in order to receive a 6 channel lead. The main problem is, that electrodes with special gel are need, as well as direct skin contact. However, through the use of capacitive electrodes, it is possible to measure without gel or even through clothes (i.e. contactless) the ECG (Leonhardt and Aleksandrowicz, 2008). Furthermore devices has been developed (e.g. Brain Computer Interface, BIC), which use the electrodes also for EEG (Chi et al., 2012) signals. However, of course also EMG and EOG can be measured using capacitive electrodes (Matthews et al., 2007). The main problem of this technology is artefacts in the environment, which may disturb heavily the signal quality.

5.5.3 Pulse oximetry
The pulse oximetry indicates the oxygen saturation of the blood, as well as the pulse. Nowadays the end-user can utilize this technology already by wearing add-ons and wearables like watches (Parak and Korhonen, 2014), where the sensors are...
embedded into. This technology is not new, however useful to increase the reliability of other measurements, like the ECG.

5.5.4 Hypertension
Blood pressure recording is one of the most important measurements that can be obtained, considering the so called “deathly quartet” (Kaplan, 1989) nowadays. High blood pressure (hypertension) is mostly symptom free, but leads sooner or later to diseases such as heart attacks, strokes, and peripheral vascular diseases. Up to today, to safely diagnose a hypertension, a long-term blood pressure monitoring using an inflatable cuff is necessary, which requires frequently measuring on the upper arm of the affected person. However, technologically it is possible to measure cuff free (the systolic value), by comparing the time difference of the ECG (R-Peak) with the incoming blood wave detected by the pulse oximetry (Fung et al.). This allows a implementation into furniture, or into the bath environment, as proposed by (Kim et al., 2006).

5.5.5 Breathing
To measure the breath rate special stretchable material e.g. embedded into belts (Li et al., 2014), or thermal resisters (also thermistors called) (BaHammam, 2004) are used. However, using thermal imaging, enables to measure the breath according to the temperature change on the nose (comparable with the thermistor). This allows e.g. an unobtrusive implementation into i.e. a bed in order to be able to detect sleeping apnea.

5.5.6 Body temperature
The body temperature is an indicator for the overall health condition. E.g. if the user is infected, he/she usually gets fever. Using thermal imaging this symptom can be detected. Several studies and first implementations do exist, regarding and unobtrusive integration of this technology into the environment implementation (Ring et al., 2008; Güttler et al., 2016).

5.5.7 Fall detection
A fall means that suddenly a person is lying on the floor and in the worst case is unable to stand up without the support of a third person, because of a broken hip or other fracture, caused by the fall. Therefore, several developments and studies in the past have tried to sense this event also without using wearables. Pressure mats, infrared (Ariani et al., 2010), and capacitive sensor grids have been developed for this purpose. At the moment the capacitive sensor floor “SensFloor” (Future Shape, 2016) is already on the market, used in retirement homes. However, such types of sensor floors are expensive and cannot perform efficiently in areas such as the bathroom, because of the presence of humidity in these environments, which could lead to false detected fall events. In such cases an alternative system which used laser-based sensors could enhance the robustness and provide more security to the end user of the REACH system.

5.5.8 Cameras and imaging
Even normal web-cameras can be used to detect physical conditions, such as the pulse of a person. The proposed source code provided by Wei et al. (2013) allows to
measure the pulse on the forehead. However, only when the lighting conditions are proper, the measurement can be done efficiently. Of course also by using a webcam the user activities can be tracked, and analyzed, similar as can be done by using the Microsoft Kinect, with the difference that the depth information is missing. However, most end users do not appreciate much monitoring by cameras.

5.6 Wearables, sensing and prediction

For a reliable analysis concerning needs and opportunities with respect to sensing and prediction, EPFL surveyed longitudinal studies for behavioral changes, and identified their experiment setup and interventions. EPFL used this information to understand the trends and opportunities in longitudinal study designs for behavior change. EPFL analyzed 15 longitudinal studies from the fields of human-computer interaction, preventive healthcare, and behavior science. 2 of them were eliminated since they did not contain interventions. The remaining 13 studies were summarized based on the following features: Year of study, number of participants, length of the study, sensor-based/automatically collected data types, manually collected data types (either expert reports or self-reported), experiment setup (baseline groups and/or randomized controlled trials (RCTs), intervention dates and types of intervention.

The rest of this section is organized as follows: (1) analysis summary, (2) the table for comparison, and (3) competitive analysis map figures, and (4) identified opportunities and needs with respect to sensing and prediction - a design for our REACH’s own longitudinal study.

5.6.1 Analysis of 15 longitudinal studies

Summarization of analysis outcomes:

1. Sensing/wearables, prediction and longitudinal studies: Both the number of longitudinal studies regarding wearable sensor-based, and the user acceptance for wearing sensors are increasing. The sensor technology now makes it feasible to collect temporal information about physical activity, water intake, weight, emotions, and blood glucose levels in a seamless manner. All these information are important for a sensor-based wellness management system.

2. The lengths of such studies are very variable - 2 weeks to 3 months, with an average of 50 days. Earlier studies in 2006 (Lin et al., 2006) and 2009 (Chiu et al., 2009, September) were particularly longer; the average drops to 46 days when they are excluded. Average number of participants in a longitudinal study is \( N=36 \).

3. Interventions come in the form of:
   a) increased means of self-reflection
   b) social influence
   c) gamification/rewards
   d) persuasive messages (or reminders) to change behaviors
   e) mandatory setting of goals.

4. The majority of the sensor-based studies include “baseline periods” to justify the effects of their interventions. These approaches either allocate a period of sensor-
Deliverable D1: Use Case Analysis

Based data logging before the intervention, or provide a detailed documentation of participants' behavioral habits before the intervention.

5. Only one study - “Fish’n’Steps” (Lin et al., 2006) - considered tracking post-intervention phase. This is an important design aspect, as it allows us to observe whether the intervention had lasting effect.

6. Many sensor-based longitudinal studies miss the RCT methodology, which is considered to be the golden standard for clinical trials. Such longitudinal studies involve a group of users that do not get exposed to the technology intervention.

5.6.2 Table of comparison of study designs

Table 24: The studies included in our analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Sensor Data?</th>
<th>Non-sensor data?</th>
<th>Baseline/RCT?</th>
<th>In-study Interventions?</th>
<th>Type of Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[1] Bentley et al. (Health Mashups)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>Location, weather, calendar, step, sleep, weight</td>
<td>Food, mood, pain</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Mobile app introduced after 3 weeks</td>
<td>Self reflection, reminders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[2] Cafazzo et al. (mHealth App)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>blood glucometer</td>
<td>14-item self care inventory</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Mobile app introduced</td>
<td>Social influence, rewards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3] Chen &amp; Pu (HealthyTogether)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>steps, floors, calories</td>
<td>food, mood</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>mobile app introduced after 1 week</td>
<td>Social influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[4] Chiu et al. (Playful Bottle)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7 weeks</td>
<td>water intake</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Randomized Controlled Trial</td>
<td>Social influence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5] Epstein et al. (Lifelogs)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>steps</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Mobile app introduced</td>
<td>Self reflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6] Isaacs et al. (Technology mediated reflection for well-being)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Memory and mood</td>
<td>Randomized Controlled Trial</td>
<td>Visual software introduced</td>
<td>Self reflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7] Jones et al. (The FIT game)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>Weight scale</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>After 2 weeks</td>
<td>Social gamification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8] Kaptein et al. (Adaptive Persuasive systems)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Snacking frequency</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>After 1 week</td>
<td>Persuasive messages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9] Lin et al. (Fish’n’Steps)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14 weeks</td>
<td>steps</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>4 week pre-intervention, 6 weeks intervention, 4 weeks post-intervention</td>
<td>Goal setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10] Mueller et al. (Jogging study)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22 runs</td>
<td>heart rate</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Mobile app introduced</td>
<td>Social gamification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11] Riva et al.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Diary</td>
<td>Randomized Controlled Trial</td>
<td>Interface introduced after 4 weeks</td>
<td>Goal setting, gamification, reminders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12] Thorsteinsen et al.</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Self reported physical activity</td>
<td>Randomized Controlled Trial</td>
<td>Mobile app introduced after 4 weeks</td>
<td>Goal setting, gamification, self reflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13] Zuckerman and Gal-Oz (StepByStep)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>steps</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Mobile app introduced</td>
<td>Rewards and social influence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.6.3 Competitive analysis maps

Figure 34: Segmentation of studies based on tracked information and validation approaches

Figure 35: Segmentation of sensor-based longitudinal studies based on their duration and the date of conduct.

[1] (Bentley et al., 2013)  [2] (Cafazzo et al., 2012)
[3] (Chen and Pu, 2014)  [4] (Chiu et al., 2009, September)
[7] (Jones et al., 2014)  [8] (Kaptein et al., 2012)
[9] (Lin et al., 2006)  [10] (Mueller et al., 2013, April)
[11] (Riva et al., 2014)  [12] (Thorsteinsen et al., 2014)
5.6.4 Identified opportunities and needs

Opportunities: In the context of REACH, a sensor-based prediction application can predict the outcomes of interventions and other attempts for behavioral change. The sensor-based longitudinal studies analyzed in Table 24 and in Figures 34 and 35 clearly indicate the viability of such an application: the sensor technology now makes it feasible to collect temporal information about physical activity, water intake, weight, emotions, and blood glucose levels in a seamless manner. Such data is known to be more reliable than self-reported information, and can be collected with high levels of granularity.

Needs: Such an application requires a dataset that is (1) large and (2) well-annotated.

1. **Satisfying the largeness requirement:** Our analysis shows that REACH can satisfy this requirement in two aspects: Firstly, the data should be collected over a long period of time. The analysis suggests that 6 to 8 weeks of data collection will start to yield acceptable. Secondly, the collection should include as many streams of information as possible (for instance: physical activity, water intake, weight, emotions, and blood glucose levels). These streams should be supplied from especially the wearable sensors.

2. **Satisfying the annotation requirement:** Manual annotation of every segment of sensor data is prohibitively expensive. Fortunately, given a rigorous annotation of interventions (date and type; well-being values before and after the intervention), it is still possible to assess the significance of these interventions, which would lead to tailoring better recommendations and interventions – thus, allowing us to address the opportunities.

According to the different use case descriptions from HUG, SK, ZZ and Lyngby, the type of data that will need to be sensed include:

1. Movement (position in space, mechanical force, acceleration, steps, duration…)
2. Calories expenditure
3. Blood pressure
4. Heart rate
5. Weight
6. Cerebral activity (Electroencephalogram)
7. Treatment observance (number and nature of pills taken, for instance through the use of connected pill-boxes)
8. Blood glucose levels
9. Oxygen saturation
10. Measure of food/calorie intake
11. Smoke detection
12. Of course, all of these correlated to time

These data should ideally be collected through longitudinal studies using a RCT methodology that also includes a baseline period and a post-intervention phase with a sufficient data volume and annotation. This will allow the development of algorithms able to identify patterns of data associated with successful or temporary behavior...
change, as well as with the evolution of a given patient’s health; all of which could be addressed with specific interventions. These specific interventions or recommendations may include:

1. Promotion of physical activity
2. Target oriented exercise and training
3. Mobilization and rehabilitation
4. Cognitive and neurological activation
5. Prevention of falls
6. Continuation and adaptation of physical therapy
7. Suggestion of treatment adaptation based on sensed parameters
8. Alarm in case of fall or important change in sensed parameters (blood pressure, oxygen saturation etc.)
9. Brain exercise
10. Meal reminder and planning
11. All of these eventually shared with formal and informal care-givers
12. Social support: grouping people with each other to achieve daily goals for nutrition and physical activities

5.6.5 Longitudinal study design

Figure 36 summarizes a study design for data collection. The sensors in this design are intentionally unspecified: depending on the course of the project, they could be a combination of the hardware developed during the REACH project, and off-the-shelf sensors such as FitBit.
Figure 36: Longitudinal study design based on the analysis of the opportunities and needs with respect to sensing and prediction
6 Conclusion: potential of the utilization of REACH and initial, concrete use scenarios

This deliverable report presented the outcomes of the analysis (including on-site analyses, stakeholder identification, identification of used assessment practices, patient profiles, personas, interviews with patients and stakeholders, etc.) of the as-is situation and practices at the use case partner’s settings and the formulation of first, initial use scenarios (including concrete application opportunities/experience maps for each setting, opportunities arising from transfer through institutions/settings, technological potentials and opportunities, etc.). To accomplish this task first, an analysis framework (Section 2) was developed (Month 1), then the analysis was carried out (Month 2), and finally conclusions were drawn (experience maps, scenarios, opportunities, etc.; Month 3). Key feature of the analysis was an in-depth analysis of more than 50 real-world end-user profiles. In this section based on the analyses of the four use cases (Section 3), their comparison (Section 4), and the identification of technological potentials (Section 5), it is concluded how the use cases can be brought into logical arrangements (scenarios) that represent the care continuum at the transition between use cases, how potential scenarios for the use of REACH with in each use case look like, how technology can be used in that context, and how requirements formalization will be accomplished in upcoming work tasks.
6.1 Utilization of REACH cross use cases along the care continuum

The analysis showed that the four use cases cover the different stages of the health and care process that an elderly citizen may experience in his daily life. They can thus be considered not only as separate instances in which REACH functionality can be integrated, but they can be brought into a logical arrangement (scenario) that represents the care continuum and the transition between use cases or health states (Figure 37).

The use case partners thus represent usual and also in the context of the MAFEIP addressed “health state dimension” addressing the most relevant ways or transfer possibilities of elderly citizens through various health states and institutions (e.g. from hospital to rehabilitation to home in case of a health state improvement; alternatively, from home to hospital/rehabilitation in case of a health state deterioration). REACH can be adopted in each of the represented health states/use cases, should –if necessary- be able to move with the elderly through the various health states/institutions and optimally activate and rehabilitate elderly citizens to and active and healthy life at home.

The use case partners thus represent the REACH “system development strategy” and development activities will in the beginning phases of the project target the more structured environments (clinic/HUG; rehabilitation/SK) for efficient requirements.
engineering and system implementation and verification. Furthermore, the technically more complex solutions that are demanded for these use cases can then in later project phases be stepwise (in an adapted and simplified form) transferred into the home care (ZZ) and smart home (Lyngby) contexts and open new markets in this field for the REACH industry partners.

6.2 Potential of the utilization of REACH in each use case

REACH will develop a sensing-monitoring-intervention system that can be placed in an unobtrusive and customized manner in various settings (in this report called use cases) and the living environment of elderly citizens. The system will be able (1) to use a set of sensors to detect selected vital signs, behavioral/care patterns, and health states, (2) predict – as early as possible - future health states, risks or events (loss of function, frailty, stroke, etc.) and (3) provide and coordinate proactively a set of customized services and products. Early intervention by REACH should allow that the time spent in a desirable health state (baseline health), and Healthy Life Years (HLYs) are increased and that the time spent in Long-Term Care (LTC) facilities is reduced. For the use cases HUG and SK this means that REACH intervention will make the rehabilitation process faster and more efficient with the goal to bring the elderly citizens optimally back to their home environments. For the use cases ZZ and Lyngby REACH will develop interventions that proactively activate and train elderly cognitively and physically in order to reduce their risk to develop from baseline health towards a deteriorated health state.

As part of the analysis (particularly through the experience maps produced) a focus was put amongst others on daily routines to identify the potential of the utilization of REACH in each use case which is summarized for each use case in the following sections (Sections 6.2.1–6.2.4)

6.2.1 Geneva Hospital (HUG)

For HUG in accordance with the REACH concept and our focus, the target population consists of patients in the age 65+ with functional and/or cognitive impairment. They are hospitalized in the geriatric hospital of Geneva (Hôpital des Trois-Chêne) with a planned discharge to home care provided by the public Institution genevoise de Maintien A Domicile (IMAD). The IMAD supports more than 19,000 persons per year. Specific scales described in the assessment methods and analyzed with the methods patient profiles and personas, were used to specify the degree of physical and cognitive impairment of the REACH target users at HUG.

The HUG hospital to (rehabilitation to) home transition comprises a critical period in patient care and this particular aspect was emphasized in the overall aim of this use case: to facilitate the hospital to home transition and the home care, in order to limit hospital readmissions and long-term care. In accordance with this aim and the geographical location of HUG, the two main organizations in direct contact with the REACH target population will be the Institution IMAD for home care and the Geriatric Division at HUG for hospital care. Regarding the development of REACH, the eHealth
and Telemedicine Division at HUG will act as a field partner and the Human Computer Interaction Group at EPFL and SmartCardia will be involved in the technical development.

Based on the analyses conducted HUG formulated following key needs that should be addressed by REACH:

1. **Advanced sensing and prediction**: Focusing on important problems linked to hospital readmission (falls, heart failure, dangerous situations induced by cognitive impairment).

2. **Collaborative tools**: Include a collaborative tool that facilitates interactions between patients and their formal and informal care givers, empowering social or medical support. REACH must not dehumanize the care for elderly.

3. **Privacy and autonomy**: Respect patients’ privacy and autonomy: REACH monitoring and recommendation activities have to be easy to turn off when a patient decides to do so. Moreover, sharing of REACH records with care givers or family member must be both easy to turn on and easy to cancel.

6.2.2 Schön Klinik (SK)

SK is a neurological rehabilitation hospital where rehabilitation aims at reducing the impairment and handicap of patients and thus improving their independence from nursing. Rehabilitation is organized according to medical specializations (neurology, orthopedics, cardiology, psychosomatics, etc.) or age (pediatric or geriatric rehabilitation). The treatment is based on relearning and exercising of prior abilities or compensation strategies.

Based on the analyses conducted SK formulated the following key needs that should be addressed by REACH:

1. **Improving mobility**: The problem of a patient with a severe paresis of one or both legs or a disorder of equilibrium is that he/she cannot get up, cannot walk and may even not be able to manage the transfer from bed to wheel chair, from wheel chair to toilet and back. For such a patient it may be impossible to live at home if a nursing person is not present permanently. Even if he/she was transferred in the morning by a nursing person from the bed into the wheel chair he/she cannot be left alone for several hours because sitting permanently, hardly being able to change the sitting position, leads to pain in the lower back and bottom and may lead to pressure sores. Therefore it is desirable that the patient can change his/her position and recline into a lying position. As urination is necessary at irregular time intervals the patient should also be able to position himself over the toilet. So a wheel chair which allows toileting and may change the position of the patient actively up to a recumbent position reduces nursing demand and allows to leave the patient alone for several hours during the day.
2. **Improving the manipulation of objects:** As a consequence of strokes in the territory of the medial cerebral artery (the most common form of stroke) a palsy of one arm frequently remains. The patient can use his/her healthy arm for some tasks, but he/she cannot handle a situation where he/she needs both arms, one to manipulate an object, the other to keep hold of the object so that it stays in place in spite of the manipulation, i.e. the forces exerted by the other hand. Situations like that are frequent in everyday life (cleaning any mobile objects, slicing bread, preparing a sandwich etc.). To compensate for the function of the affected hand an arm with a gripping device which can be deliberately positioned by the healthy hand in 3D-space would be of great help and thus increase independence of the patient.

3. **Improving cognition by reminding the patient:** Memory deficits, a main symptom of dementia, are a very common and increasing problem in the elderly. As shown in our persona these patients may forget to measure their blood pressure or take their medication. Such problems may be relatively easily solved by a computer system asking the patient after predetermined intervals whether he/she has executed the demanded action. More demanding is the wish of the persona to be reminded of the names of persons he/she meets. This requires a system able to recognize faces and name the person correctly via an in-ear device. Much more difficult is the surveillance of patients with further progressed dementia which tend to be restless and leave their home not able to find the way back because of the memory deficit. Here a complex analysis of the behavior of the patient is necessary which requires permanent optical surveillance, the acceptance of which will be questionable. Also in such patients reminding may be insufficient as they might be physically hampered and bring themselves into dangerous situations. So a device reminding the patient is only applicable in patients with mild memory deficits and not in patients with severe dementia.

6.2.3 **ZuidZorg (ZZ)**

ZuidZorg Extra is a business unit of ZuidZorg, a large home care organization in the southern part of the Netherlands. ZuidZorg Extra has about 50,000 members who pay annual subscription to have access to our services and enjoy price reduction in our homecare shop and on special products and facilities such as collective health insurance. Members contact us if they need particular services from suppliers such as gardeners or hairdressers.

Based on the analyses conducted ZZ formulated the following key needs that should be addressed by REACH:

1. ZuidZorg Extra aims to achieve independent living for the elderly, especially for those who are frail to some extent. This can be achieved by increasing and strengthening the social network around the elderly and through empowerment and motivation to enhance self-sufficiency.
2. These are the two main design opportunities for ZuidZorg Extra:
   a. Increasing the social comfort by strengthening the social network.
   b. Empowering the elderly to take care of themselves and motivate them to achieve this.

Some questions can be derived from the gained user insights and the customer journey maps, with focus on the previous design opportunities:

   a. How could the threshold be lowered for elderly to travel between their house, the activity center, and other public spaces? This threshold is detected as one of the barriers that have to be overcome to enable the elderly to leave the house more often and get socially involved.
   b. How could self-reliance be increased to enable frail elderly to feel more in control of their life? The interviews showed that many frail elderly struggle with the feeling of being dependent on others. When the feeling of self-reliance becomes stronger, their feeling of empowerment and motivation could increase.

3. The overall outcome for the elderly should emphasize their social and physical wellbeing.

6.2.4 Lyngby-Taarbæk Municipality (Lyngby):

Lyngby-Taarbæk Municipality delivers Home Care and Health Care for more than 2,200 citizens. For 75+ years old citizens, one out of three (33%) receives Home Care and Health Care. Home Care and Health Care are provided primarily by public employees. For the municipality the main opportunities in the context of REACH are to provide the background for a reduction of the number of citizens in Home Care and Health Care in the future.

Based on the analyses conducted Lyngby formulated the following key needs that should be addressed by REACH:

1. The citizens can be and feel safe without the care
2. The citizens will be motivated and empowered to live an active life both socially and physically.
3. REACH will provide necessary user information to conclude the following:
   a) How can different kind of home integrated REACH products and services motivate citizens into activity, in order to prevent lack of physically functionalities?
   b) Can REACH products replace persons and still provide safety?
   c) What kind of reaction is received from users, their families and friends and what is the reaction from the employees?
4. The outcome of REACH for the citizens must be better service and security and at the same time reduction of costs for the municipality.

6.3 Technological potentials and scenarios

The core idea of REACH is not to (passively) assist elderly people but to use technology to efficiently activate and rehabilitate their bodily and cognitive resources (physical activity, cognitive activity, nutrition, mobility, etc.) and through that allow for better health, less frailty, better accomplishment of tasks/ADLs, etc. and thus ultimately for health-adjusted life years. Throughout the four use cases sensors placed in the environment or at the body of the person, the detection of everyday living patterns (emotions, calories burned, physical activity, cognitive functions, etc.) can be realized, as well as the prediction of possible future health state, to allow thus targeted, customized interventions that “activate” the persons.

Analyses and initial discussions amongst the partners indicate that particular importance, regarding the parameters that need to obtained by sensors, must be given to: (1) accomplishment of tasks (2) structure/organization of the day (3) motivation/emotions, (4) muscle function analysis (as the basis for frailty detection), (5) energy expenditure (real time and/or time series approach). An optimal combination of ambient integrated/embedded (contactless) sensors and wearable sensors need to be used, acquiring some measurements in real-time and some at specific instances in time with enhanced accuracy and reliability. In order to counteract physical and cognitive inactivity detected by sensors, approaches from the field of medical rehabilitation and mobilization devices as well as from the field of fitness and ADL training will be utilized and brought along with additional digital or personal services into a modular and customizable kit system. Both sensors and intervention devices shall be embedded in an unobtrusive manner in furniture (in case of ZZ and Lyngby) or rehabilitation/hospital equipment and beds.

REACH should be highly modular in order to allow an adaptation to various use cases/scenarios and to be able to adapt/evolve over time with the user. A digital platform and interior equipment modules should serve as digital/physical platforms that tie together a variety of products and services developed within REACH. The system should be able to follow the elderly person through different life phases, use cases and health states (e.g., from light frailty to more severe frailty), institutions (e.g. from clinic to care home to home; or vice versa), and environments/settings. REACH should adopt an “evolutionary” approach and allow that the system should be able to “assemble” and “dis-assemble” itself (e.g., from light frailty to more severe frailty and optimally back to light or no-frailty). Privacy, data security and ethics are considered as key regarding the acceptance and usability of the REACH system.

6.4 Next step: requirements engineering

As part of T1.1 (outlined in this deliverable report) the as-is situation of the four use cases was analyzed and relevant problems, stakeholders, were identified and initial,
use scenarios were formulated. In the following T1.2 the system vision will be detailed, an in-depth stakeholder analysis (with stakeholder workshops at each use case partner’s site) will be conducted, and as part of a “requirements engineering” process, requirements will be elicited and formalized. Then, in T1.3 these requirements will be prioritized and selected, and an initial value proposition and product-service-system concept will be developed together with the stakeholders. Finally, in T1.4 the product-service-system architecture (modularity, standards, software architecture, etc.) will be detailed.
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Concerne : soumission de l'étude intitulée « Etude de la population-cible du projet de recherche européen REACH ».

Mesdames et Messieurs les membres de la commission cantonale d'éthique de la recherche,

Merci de trouver ci-joint notre dossier de soumission pour l'étude intitulée « Etude de la population-cible du projet de recherche européen REACH ».

Cette étude aurait lieu dans le cadre du projet de recherche européen REACH qui vise à développer un outil technologique capable de promouvoir l'autonomie, la littératie et la condition physique des personnes d’âge gériatrique avec une limitation de l’autonomie, afin de favoriser le maintien à domicile, faciliter la transition hôpital domicile et éviter les ré-hospitalisations.

Dans le but de guider le développement technique futur de REACH, nous souhaiterions étudier notre population-cible. Pour ce faire, nous prévoyons de réaliser :

- Une extraction de données du dossier médical des patients ciblés par REACH après obtention de leur consentement, afin d'obtenir leurs caractéristiques médicales et démographiques globales.
- Des interviews semi-structurées auprès de patients, proches-aidants et soignants après obtention de leur consentement, pour recueillir leurs attentes et inquiétudes vis-à-vis du développement de REACH.

Nous espérons que notre dossier saura satisfaire aux exigences de votre commission. Les instructions les plus récentes disponibles sur votre site internet et celui de swiss ethics ont notamment été suivies.

Dans l'attente de vos nouvelles, je vous adresse mes plus sincères salutations,

Prof. Antoine Geissbuhler
Médecin-chef de service
Plan de recherche

**Titre principal** : étude de la population cible du projet européen REACH.

**Titre utilisé sur le formulaire de consentement** : étude de la population cible du projet européen REACH.

**Numéro de projet** 690425 (numéro de demande de financement horizon 2020)

**Type de projet de recherche** Cette étude, observationnelle et transversale comprend
- Étude de **données existantes** issues du dossier médical, qui seront anonymisées.
- Bilan d’ergothérapie au cours desquels de **nouvelles données seront collectées puis anonymisées**.
- Des **interviews semi-structurées et des groupes de discussion** auprès de patients, proches-aidants et soignants dans lesquels de **nouvelles données** seront collectées et anonymisées.

**Catégorie de risque** A pour les interviews semi-structurées, groupes de discussion et bilan d’ergothérapie. Non applicable pour l’extraction de données du dossier médical.

**Chef de projet** Prof. Antoine Geissbühler, chef du service de cybersanté et télémédecine, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève, Rue Gabrielle-Perret-Gentil 4, 1205 Genève, +41 (0)22 372 62 01

**Problème de santé étudié** Limitation de l’autonomie des personnes âgées. Phénomène de ré-hospitalisation. Application de nouvelles technologies pour lutter contre ces problèmes.

**Durée du projet** 6 mois.


**Confidentialité** Les informations présentées dans le document sont confidentielles et la propriété du consortium de recherche REACH. Ces informations ne peuvent donc pas être transmises à d’autres personnes que celles impliquées dans la commission cantonale d’éthique de la recherche de Genève, sauf après autorisation du consortium REACH.
# TABLE DES MATIÈRES

SYNOPSIS .................................................................................................................. 3
ABBREVIATIONS ......................................................................................................... 3
SCHEMA DU DÉROULEMENT DE L’ETUDE .................................................................. 6
1. STRUCTURE ADMINISTRATIVE ............................................................................. 7
   2. ASPECTS ÉTHIQUES ET LEGAUX ...................................................................... 10
      2.1 Conduite éthique de l’étude ....................................................................... 10
      2.2 Catégorie de risque ................................................................................... 10
      2.3 Commission Cantonale d‘Ethique de la Recherche .................................... 10
      2.4 Informations aux participants et formulaires de consentement ..................... 10
      2.5 Vie privée et sécurité des participants ....................................................... 10
      2.6 Arrêt prématuré du projet ........................................................................... 11
      2.7 Amendements, changements du plan de recherche ..................................... 11
2. INTRODUCTION ...................................................................................................... 12
   3.1 Contexte ........................................................................................................... 12
   3.2 Justification du projet de recherche ............................................................... 12
   3.3 Risque-bénéfice .............................................................................................. 12
4. OBJECTIFS, CRITÈRES PRINCIPAUX, SECONDAIRES ET AUTRES VARIABLES DE L’ETUDE .............................................................................................................. 14
   4.1 Objectifs .......................................................................................................... 14
   4.2 Critères principaux et secondaires ................................................................. 14
   4.3 Autres variables .............................................................................................. 14
5. DESIGN DU PROJET ............................................................................................... 15
   5.1 Type de projet et design général .................................................................... 15
   5.2 Procédures ...................................................................................................... 15
   5.3 Recrutement et screening .............................................................................. 15
   5.4 Méthodes utilisées pour minimiser les biais .................................................. 15
6. POPULATION-CIBLE DU PROJET ....................................................................... 17
   6.1 Critères d’inclusion et d’exclusion .................................................................... 17
   6.2 Exclusion de participants en cours d’étude ..................................................... 17
7. DÉTAILS SUR LES MESURES DU PROJET ............................................................ 18
   7.1 Planning du projet ........................................................................................... 18
   7.2 Méthodes de mesure des critères d’évaluation ................................................. 18
   7.3 Méthodes de mesure des critères d’évaluation secondaires ............................. 19
   7.4 Méthodes de mesure des autres variables ....................................................... 19
   7.5 Mesure de surveillance et rapport d’événements indésirables ......................... 19
8. CONSIDERATIONS STATISTIQUES ................................................................... 20
   8.1 Taille d’échantillons ......................................................................................... 20
   8.2 Traitement des données .................................................................................. 20
   8.3 Analyse ............................................................................................................ 20
      8.3.1 Types de données analysées .................................................................... 20
      8.3.2 Procédures en cas de données manquantes .............................................. 20
      8.3.3 Analyse auxiliaire .................................................................................... 20
      8.3.4 Changement par rapport au plan statistique initial .................................... 21
9. GESTION DES DONNÉES ET DE LA QUALITE ...................................................... 22
   9.1 Stockage et archivage des données ................................................................. 22
   9.2 Confidentialité, protection des données .......................................................... 22
   9.3 Codage .............................................................................................................. 22
   9.4 Archivage et destruction des données ............................................................. 22
10. POLITIQUE DE PUBLICATION ET DE PARTAGE DES DONNÉES ......................... 23
   10.1 Publication des résultats ................................................................................. 23
   10.2 Partage des données ....................................................................................... 23
11. FINANCEMENT ET SUPPORT .......................................................................... 24
12. ASSURANCE ........................................................................................................... 24
13. REFERENCES ......................................................................................................... 24
14. APPENDICES ....................................................................................................... 24
SYNOPSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chef de projet</th>
<th>Prof. Antoine Geissbühler, chef du service de cybersanté et télémédecine, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève, Rue Gabrielle-Perret-Gentil 4, 1205 Genève, +41 (0)22 372 62 01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Titre du projet</td>
<td>Etude de la population cible du projet européen REACH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numéro de financement européen Horizon 2020</td>
<td>690425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version et date</td>
<td>Version 1.0, 04.04.2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catégorie de risque</td>
<td>A pour les interviews semi-structurées, groupes de discussion et bilan d’ergothérapie, non applicable pour l’extraction de données issues du dossier médical.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Type de recherche et design             | Cette étude, observationnelle et transversale comprend  
- Etude de **données existantes** issues du dossier médical, qui seront anonymisées.  
- Bilans d’ergothérapie au cours desquels de **nouvelles données seront collectées puis anonymisées**.  
- Interviews semi-structurées et groupes de discussion auprès de patients, proches-aidants et soignants dans lesquels de **nouvelles données** seront collectées et anonymisées. |
| Etat des connaissances et problématique | La direction générale des affaires économiques et financières de l’Union Européenne (UE) prévoit une augmentation des coûts de la santé de 350% d'ici à 2050. Les hospitalisations de longue durée, de plus en plus fréquentes dans le contexte du vieillissement de la population, sont responsables d’une part importante de cette inflation.  
Le projet REACH (Responsive Engagement of the elderly promoting Activity and Customized Healthcare), financé par le programme Horizon 2020 de l’UE, tente de répondre à cette problématique. Il vise à combiner les expertises de 18 partenaires afin de développer un produit technologique capable d’agir positivement sur l’état de santé général, la condition physique, l’autonomie et la littératie de la population gériatrique pour limiter les admissions en soins de longue durée.  
Techniquement, tout reste à faire mais un concept est imposé : utiliser des signaux issus de capteurs insérés dans des meubles ou portés afin de fournir des recommandations de santé en temps réel aux patients et éventuellement de les accomplir. Les données pourraient être de toute sorte (mouvement, tension artérielle, quantité de nourriture, etc.) mais seraient collectées de manière non-invasive.  
Afin de guider la phase de développement technique, nous souhaitons dans un premier temps **étudier notre population cible, notre service se focalisant particulièrement sur la transition hôpital-domicile et la limitation du phénomène des ré-hospitalisations**. |
| Objectifs                               | - Déterminer les caractéristiques démographiques et médicales de notre population-cible.  
- Recueillir les attentes et inquiétudes des patients, proches-aidants et soignants vis-à-vis de REACH.  
- Déterminer d’éventuels liens entre attentes, inquiétudes et données démographiques et médicales dans le but de créer des profils-types d’utilisateurs permettant un développement ciblé de REACH. |
### Critère d'inclusion, d'exclusion

- **Inclusion des patients**: patients âgés de 65 ans et plus ET hospitalisés à l'hôpital des Trois-Chênes avec un retour à domicile prévu avec l'aide de l'IMAD ET une limitation de l'autonomie en raisons de facteurs somatiques ou cognitifs (défini par $21 \leq \text{MMSE} \leq 27$ OU $64 \leq \text{MIF} \leq 120$).
- **Inclusion des proches-aidants**: proches-aidants des patients recrutés, fournissant une aide au minimum 1x/semaine pour les activités de la vie quotidienne, les activités instrumentales de la vie quotidienne ou les loisirs.
- **Inclusion des soignants**: soignants de l'hôpital des Trois-Chênes ou de l'IMAD en contact régulier (minimum 2 jours par semaine) avec des patients correspondant aux critères d'inclusion.
- **Exclusion des patients**: MMSE$\leq 20$, MIF$\leq 63$, incapacité d'interagir avec une interface homme-machine, incapacité de discernement.

### Read-outs

A partir d’une collecte de données issues du dossier médical des patients, éventuellement complétée d’un bilan ergothérapeutique, nous définirons les caractéristiques médicales et démographiques globales de notre population.

A partir des interviews semi-structurées et groupes de discussion, nous recueillerons les attentes et inquiétudes des patients, proches-aidants et soignants vis-à-vis de REACH.

Enfin, par analyse statistique, nous tenterons de déterminer d’éventuels liens entre attentes, inquiétudes et données démographiques et médicales dans le but de créer des profils-types d’utilisateurs permettant un développement ciblé de REACH.

### Nombre de participants

Minimum 20 participants de chaque catégorie. La catégorie des proches-aidants apparaissant comme la catégorie limitante probable, le recrutement des patients sera poursuivi jusqu’à atteindre 20 proches-aidants.

### Durée du projet

6 mois. Le projet débuterait le plus tôt possible après accord de la CCER.

### Centres

Hôpital des Trois-Chênes

### Considérations statistiques

Etant donné qu’il n’existe pas, à notre connaissance, d’étude similaire dans la littérature nous permettant d’estimer la taille d’échantillon nécessaire à l’obtention d’une image exacte de notre population cible, nous proposons d’inclure au minimum 20 patients, soignants et famille sur la durée de l’étude.

Nous pensons que cette taille d’échantillon devrait nous permettre de répondre au but de cette étude qui est d’obtenir une première idée sur les caractéristiques, attentes et inquiétudes de la population-cible de REACH.
Risque-bénéfice

Lors de cette étude, les patients donneront, ou non, leur consentement éclairé pour la collecte de données issues de leur dossier médical et la réalisation d’un bilan ergothérapeutique et d’une interview (à noter que lors de cette dernière, les données collectées seront majoritairement non liées à la santé). Les données seront anonymisées et stockées sur un ordinateur dédié dans un lieu sécurisé (Campus Biotech, Genève). La clef d'identification sera stockée sur un autre ordinateur, toujours dans ce même lieu sécurisé. Le risque d'identification après anonymisation apparaît donc faible. Les bilans d’ergothérapie, effectués seulement s'ils n'ont pas déjà été faits lors de l'hospitalisation en cours, sont constitués par un bilan des activités de la vie quotidienne et une mesure de la MIF. Ces tests sont non invasifs, durent environ 1h pour le bilan des AVQ et 15 minutes pour la MIF. Il ne nécessite de la part du patient que des réponses à des questions et une participation à des épreuves pratiques de la vie quotidienne. Une fois réalisé, ces bilans seront disponibles pour les médecins en charge et pourront aider à adapter l’aide à domicile notamment. Au final, le principal dommage pour le patient dans cette étude provient donc de la consultation du dossier médical par l’équipe de recherche. Ce dommage est clairement expliqué dans le formulaire de consentement. En contrepartie, les données recueillies seront extrêmement utiles afin de créer un produit correspondant aux besoins des patients.

Concernant les proches-aidants et les soignants, les données recueillies lors des interviews seront non liées à la santé personnelle. Elles concerneront des points de vue généraux à propos d’un système technologique. Toutefois, les données seront anonymisées et traitées de la même manière que celle décrite pour les patients dans le paragraphe précédent. De ce fait, le risque pour les proches-aidants et soignants apparaît quasi-nul. En revanche, les bénéfices attendus pour le développement de REACH sont importants.
ABBREVIATIONS

AVQ : Activités de la Vie Quotidienne
CCER : Commission Cantonale d'Ethique de la Recherche
HRO : Human Research Ordinance
HUG : Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève
IMAD : Institution genevoise de Maintien à Domicile
MIF : Mesure d’Indépendance Fonctionnelle
MMSE : Mini Mental State Examination
REACH : Responsive Engagement of the elderly promoting physical Activity and Customized Healthcare
STROBE : Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology
UE : Union Européenne
SCHEMA DU DEROULEMENT DE L'ETUDE

Identification de **patients** candidats par le personnel soignant et recrutement par l'équipe de recherche

Si manquant

Extraction des données du dossier médical

Bilan AVQ
Mesure MFI

Interview

 Interview ou groupe de discussion

Reprintemt de **soignants** par l'équipe de recherche

Reprintemt de **proches-aidants** par l'équipe de recherche

Reinscription

Stockage sur ordinateur dédié et disque dur

Analyse des résultats

**Données existantes**, collectées après consentement éclairé

**Données nouvelles**, principalement non médicales, collectées après consentement éclairé
1. STRUCTURE ADMINISTRATIVE

Organisme de financement : European Research Council, Horizon 2020 program.
Covent Garden, Place Charles Rogier 16, 1210 Saint-Josse-ten-Noode, Bruxelles, Belgique.

Coordination du consortium européen REACH : Prof. Thomas Bock, Chair of Building Realisation and Robotics, Department of Architecture, Technische Universität München.
Arcisstrasse 21, 80333 München, Allemagne.
Email: thomas.bock@br2.ar.tum.de
Tel : +49 89 289 22100

Chef de projet pour les HUG en charge de l’étude présentée dans cette demande : Prof. Antoine Geissbühler, chef du service de cybersanté et télémédecine, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève.
Rue Gabrielle-Perret-Gentil 4, 1205 Genève, Suisse.
Email: antoine.geissbuhler@hcuge.ch
Tel : +41 (0)22 372 62 01

Chercheur coordinateur : Dr. Damien Dietrich, service de cybersanté et télémédecine, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève,
Campus Biotech, chemin des Mines 9, 1202 Genève.
Email: damien.dietrich@hcuge.ch
Tel : +33 (0)7 87 19 99 01

Chercheuse collaboratrice : Mirana Randriambelonoro, service de cybersanté et télémédecine, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève,
Campus Biotech, chemin des Mines 9, 1202 Genève.
Email : mirana.randriambelonoro@etu.unige.ch

Chercheuse collaboratrice : Caroline Perrin, service de cybersanté et télémédecine, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève,
Campus Biotech, chemin des Mines 9, 1202 Genève.
Email : caroline.perrin@hcuge.ch

Leader du comité d’éthique de REACH : Prof. Henning Boje Andersen, Department of Management Engineering, Technical University of Denmark.
Anker Engelunds Vej 1 Bygning 101A, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Danemark.
Email : amai@dtu.dk
Tel : +45 4525 45444
Chef du service de médecine interne et réhabilitation de l'Hôpital des Trois-Chênes
Prof. Jean-Luc Reny, Hôpital des Trois-Chênes, Chemin du Pont-Bochet, 3, 1226, Thônex, Suisse
Email : jean-luc.reny@hcuge.ch

Chef du service de gériatrie de l'Hôpital des Trois-Chênes
Prof. Gabriel Gold, Hôpital des Trois-Chênes, Chemin du Pont-Bochet, 3, 1226, Thônex, Suisse
Email : gabriel.gold@hcuge.ch

Directeur de l'exploitation pour l'Institution genevoise de Maintien à Domicile
Dr. Yves Ligier, Institution genevoise de Maintien à Domicile, Avenue Cardinal-Mermillod 36, 1227 Carouge, Suisse.
Email : Yves.Ligier@imad-ge.ch
2. ASPECTS ETHIQUES ET LEGAUX

2.1 Conduite éthique de l’étude
(HRA Art. 45-49; HRO, Art. 14, 17-23, Annexe 2)
Ce projet de recherche débutera uniquement après acceptation par la CCER et sera conduit en accord avec la version actuelle de la déclaration d’Helsinki et la loi suisse. La CCER sera informée du début et de l’arrêt du projet.

2.2 Catégorie de risque
(HRO Art. 7, 33)
Cette étude, observationnelle et transversale comprend
- Étude de données existantes issues du dossier médical, qui seront anonymisées.
- Réalisation de bilans d’ergothérapie au cours desquels de nouvelles données seront collectées puis anonymisées.
- Interviews semi-structurées et groupes de discussion auprès de patients, proches-aidants et soignants dans lesquels de nouvelles données seront collectées et anonymisées.

Le risque est « A » pour les interviews semi-structurées, groupes de discussion et bilan d’ergothérapie et non applicable pour l’extraction de données issues du dossier médical.

2.3 Commission Cantonale d’Ethique de la Recherche
(HRO Art. 14-23, 34, 37, 41, 45)
Le présent projet sera débuté seulement après acceptation par la CCER. La récolte et l’analyse des données se feront sur une période de six mois au maximum. La CCER sera informée du début et de la fin du projet (dans les 90 jours au maximum après l’arrêt effectif).

2.4 Informations aux participants et formulaires de consentement
(HRO Art. 8, Annexe 2/1.3-1.5)
Avant la récolte de données, chaque participant recevra une information adaptée, par oral et par écrit, expliquant les motivations de l’étude et les éventuels risques encourus. Cette information sera compréhensible, formulée en termes simples, et permettra au patient de donner ou non son consentement éclairé pour la participation à l’étude. L’acceptation sera documentée au moyen d’un formulaire de consentement dédié.
A noter que nous avons décidé d’exclure les patients incapables de discernement.

2.5 Vie privée et sécurité des participants
(HRA Art. 1, Annexe 2/1.7)
La présente étude sera conduite en respect du droit à la dignité, la vie privée et la santé et respectera la législation en vigueur s’y rapportant.

Lors de la phase de collecte de données, l’équipe de recherche (Prof. Antoine Geissbühler, Dr. Damien Dietrich, Mirana Randriambelono et Caroline Perrin) sera confronté à des données médicales non anonymes, notamment lors de l’extraction de données du dossier médical. Ceci constitue le principal dommage pour le patient lors de cette étude.

Les données seront anonymisées immédiatement après la collecte et resteront anonymes durant toutes les phases ultérieures du projet, c’est-à-dire, l’analyse par l’équipe de recherche et le partage lors de meetings (scientifiques ou du consortium REACH) ou de publications (scientifiques ou internes au consortium). De plus, les données seront conservées sur un ordinateur et un disque dur dédiés, eux-mêmes gardés dans les locaux sécurisés du Campus Biotech. La clef d’anonymisation sera conservée sur un autre ordinateur, lui-même gardé également dans les locaux sécurisés du Campus Biotech. De ce fait, le risque d’identification des patients a posteriori apparaît faible.

Hormis l’accès aux données médicales par l’équipe de recherche, notre étude ne présente pas de risque notable pour le patient. En effet, les autres procédures dans lesquels ceux-ci sont impliqués consistent en des interviews et des bilans ergothérapeutiques (détailées au paragraphe 3.3).
Dans le but de vérifier le bon déroulement de l’étude, le comité d’éthique de REACH, la CCER ou toute autorité accréditée, pourront accéder aux données collectées.

Ces informations sont clairement stipulées dans le formulaire de consentement.

2.6 Arrêt prématuré du projet  
(HRO Art. 22)  
Dans le cas de notre étude observationnelle, n’impliquant pas d’intervention thérapeutique ou invasive, nous n’anticipons pas de situations dans lesquelles le projet devrait être stoppé en urgences.

Toutefois, si cela devrait se produire, la CCER en serait informé dans un délai maximal de 90 jours.

2.7 Amendements, changements du plan de recherche  
(HRO Art. 18, 22, Annexe 2)  
Dans le cas où des modifications du plan de recherche seraient souhaitées, les démarches suivantes seraient entreprises :
- Rédaction d’un amendement, expliquant de manière détaillée les changements envisagés pour chaque partie de l’étude (objectifs, critères d’inclusion, mesures, analyse, modification du risque-bénéfice du point de vue éthique, notamment) et les raisons de ces changements.
- Rédaction ou modifications des autres documents tels que mentionnés dans l’HRO Art. 18, 22, Annexe 2.
- Transmission des documents pour approbation à la CCER et éventuellement au consortium REACH selon l’adéquation ou non des modifications envisagées avec le plan d’étude cadre REACH.
- Les modifications seront appliquées uniquement après acceptation par la CCER.
3. INTRODUCTION

(STROBE 2; HRO Annex 2/1.2)

3.1 Contexte
La direction générale des affaires économiques et financières de l’Union Européenne (UE) prévoit une augmentation des coûts de la santé de 350% d’ici à 2050. Les hospitalisations de longue durée, de plus en plus fréquentes dans le contexte du vieillissement de la population, sont responsables d’une part importante de cette inflation.

Le projet REACH (Responsive Engagement of the elderly promoting Activity and Customized Healthcare), financé par le programme Horizon 2020 de l’UE, tente de répondre à cette problématique. Il vise à combiner les expertises de 18 partenaires afin de développer un produit technologique capable d’agir positivement sur l’état de santé général, la condition physique, l’autonomie et la littératie de la population gériatrique pour limiter les admissions en soins de longue durée.

Techniquement, tout reste à faire mais un concept est imposé : utiliser des signaux issus de capteurs insérés dans des meubles ou portés afin de fournir des recommandations de santé en temps réel aux patients et éventuellement de les accomplir. Les données pourraient être de toute sorte (mouvement, tension artérielle, quantité de nourriture, etc.) mais seraient collectées de manière non-invasive.

3.2 Justification du projet de recherche
Afin de guider la phase de développement technique, nous souhaitons dans un premier temps étudier notre population cible, notre service se focalisant particulièrement sur la transition hôpital-domicile et la limitation du phénomène des ré-hospitalisations.

Ainsi, notre étude comprendra :
- Collecte de données issues du dossier médical des patients, éventuellement complétée d’un bilan ergothérapeutique, afin de définir les caractéristiques médicales et démographiques globales de notre population.
- Interviews semi-structurées et groupes de discussion, afin de recueillir les attentes et inquiétudes des patients, proches-aidants et soignants vis-à-vis de REACH.
- Analyse statistique, afin de tenter de déterminer d’éventuels liens entre attentes, inquiétudes et données démographiques et médicales dans le but de créer des profils-types d’utilisateurs permettant un développement ciblé de REACH.

Ces différents items nous permettront de caractériser notre population cible et d’ainsi développer un produit répondant à ses besoins.

3.3 Risque-bénéfice
(HRA Art. 12; HRO Art. 15)
Lors de cette étude, les patients donneront, ou non, leur consentement éclairé pour la collecte de données issues de leur dossier médical et la réalisation d’un bilan d’ergothérapie et d’une interview (à noter que lors de cette dernière, les données collectées seront majoritairement non liées à la santé). Les données seront anonymisées et stockées sur un ordinateur dédié, gardé dans un lieu sécurisé (Campus Biotech, Genève). La clef d’identification sera stockée sur un ordinateur séparé, également gardé dans ce même lieu sécurisé. Le risque d’identification après anonymisation apparaît donc faible. Les bilans d’ergothérapie, effectués seulement s’ils n’ont pas été faits lors de l’hospitalisation en cours, sont constitués par un bilan des activités de la vie quotidienne et une mesure de la MIF. Ces tests sont non invasifs, durent environ 60 minutes pour le bilan des AVQ et 15 minutes pour la mesure de la MIF. Ils ne nécessitent de la part du patient que des réponses à des questions et une participation à des épreuves pratiques de la vie quotidienne (par exemple, transfert d’une chaise au lit, s’habiller, etc.). Une fois réalisé, les bilans seront disponibles pour les médecins en charge et pourront aider à adapter l’aide à domicile notamment.

Au final, le principal dommage pour le patient provient donc de la consultation du dossier médical par l’équipe de recherche. Ce dommage est clairement expliqué dans le formulaire de consentement. En contrepartie, les données recueillies seront extrêmement utiles afin de créer un produit correspondant aux besoins des patients.
Concernant les proches-aidants et les soignants, les données recueillies lors des interviews ne seront pas liées à la santé personnelle. Elles concerneront des points de vue généraux à propos d’un système technologique. Toutefois, les données seront anonymisées et traitées de la même manière que celle décrite pour les patients dans le paragraphe précédent. De ce fait, le risque pour les proches-aidants et soignants apparaît quasi-nul. En revanche, les bénéfices attendus pour le développement de REACH sont importants.
4. OBJECTIFS, CRITÈRES PRINCIPAUX, SECONDAIRES ET AUTRES VARIABLES DE L’ÉTUDE

4.1 Objectifs
(STROBE 3)
- Déterminer les caractéristiques démographiques et médicales de notre population-cible.
- Recueillir les attentes et inquiétudes des patients, proches-aidants et soignants vis-à-vis de REACH.
- Déterminer d’éventuels liens entre attentes, inquiétudes et données démographiques et médicales dans le but de créer des profils-types d’utilisateurs permettant un développement ciblé de REACH.

4.2 Critères principaux et secondaires
(STROBE #7)
La définition de critères d’évaluation principaux et secondaires (primary and secondary endpoints) au sens strict du terme correspond peu au design de l’étude. Toutefois, voici les critères qui seront évalués.

A partir d’une collecte de données issues du dossier médical des patients, éventuellement complétée d’un bilan ergothérapeutique, nous définirons les caractéristiques médicales et démographiques globales de notre population. Pour ce faire, les items suivants seront évalués :
- Âge
- Sexe
- Etat civil
- Type de logement
- Nombre de personnes dans le logement
- Profession
- Présence d’enfants,
- Diagnostics médicaux
- Traitements
- Paramètres cliniques et paracliniques dont MMSE et MIF
- Durée de la dernière hospitalisation
- Nature des professionnels de santé impliqués dans la prise en charge à l’hôpital
- Rapports des physiothérapeutes, ergothérapeutes, infirmières, infirmières de liaison
- Bilan des AVQ
- Nature et fréquence de l’aide à domicile instaurée.
- Rapports de l’IMAD.

A partir des interviews semi-structurées et groupes de discussion, nous recueillerons les attentes et inquiétudes des patients, proches-aidants et soignants vis-à-vis de REACH. De manière plus précise, les items suivants seront évalués :
- Attentes et inquiétudes des patients quant à la récolte de données par des capteurs.
- Attentes et inquiétudes des patients quant à l’encouragement à l’activité physique ou à d’autres actions visant à promouvoir la santé par REACH.
- Attentes et inquiétudes des patients quant aux fonctions que REACH devrait posséder pour faciliter la transition hôpital-domicile et le maintien à domicile.
- Besoins spécifiques des patients auxquels REACH pourrait répondre en fonction des différentes périodes d’une journée.
- Caractéristiques démographiques de la personne interviewée (cf. 7.2)

Enfin, par analyse statistique, nous tenterons de déterminer d’éventuels liens entre attentes, inquiétudes et données démographiques et médicales dans le but de créer des profils-types d’utilisateurs permettant un développement ciblé de REACH.

4.3 Autres variables
(STROBE #7)
Non applicable.
5. DESIGN DU PROJET

(STROBE 4,5, 9; HRO Annex 2/1.2)

5.1 Type de projet et design général

(STROBE 4)

Ce projet visant à mieux connaître la population-cible du projet européen REACH est une étude observationnelle transversale comprenant :

- Étude de données existantes issues du dossier médical, qui seront anonymisées.
- Réalisation de bilans d’ergothérapie au cours desquels de nouvelles données seront collectées puis anonymisées.
- Entretiens semi-structurés et groupes de discussion auprès de patients, proches-aidants et soignants dans lesquels de nouvelles données seront collectées et anonymisées.

Pratiquement, le personnel soignant de l’hôpital des Trois-Chênes identifiera les patients correspondant à nos critères d’inclusion et un membre de l’équipe de recherche (Antoine Geissbühler, Damien Dietrich, Mirana Randriambelono, Caroline Perrin) inclura les patients au moyen du formulaire de consentement. Les données du dossier médical des patients ayant acceptés l’étude seront alors collectées, et un bilan des activités de la vie quotidienne et une mesure de la MIF seront effectués par les ergothérapeutes si cela n’a pas déjà été fait lors de l’hospitalisation en cours. De plus, les patients participeront à une interview avec un membre de l’équipe de recherche.


Toutes ces informations sont schématisées dans la figure expliquant le déroulement de l’étude en page 8.

5.2 Procédures

(STROBE 5; swissethics 3a)

1. Les patients correspondant à nos critères d’inclusion (cf. 6.), seront identifiés par les soignants de l’hôpital des Trois-Chênes.
3. Si l’information n’est pas déjà disponible dans le dossier médical, le patient effectuera un bilan d’ergothérapie au moyen du formulaire de consentement.
4. En cas d’accord, l’équipe de recherche pourra consulter le dossier médical du patient et extraire de manière anonyme les informations détaillées au point 4.2. qui seront stockées et analysées sur un ordinateur du laboratoire de recherche et sur un disque dur (tous deux gardés sous clef dans les locaux sécurisés du campus biotech). Le code d’identification des patients sera stocké sur un ordinateur séparé, également gardé sous clef dans les locaux sécurisés du campus biotech.
6. Suite au recrutement des patients, l’équipe de recherche pourra proposer aux proches-aidants de ces patients de participer eux-aussi à une interview au moyen du formulaire de consentement.


5.3 Recrutement et screening
(swissethics 3b)
Comme détaillé dans la section 5.2. :
- Les patients correspondant aux critères d’inclusion seront identifiés par les soignants de l’Hôpital des Trois-Chênes lors de leurs activités quotidiennes. L’équipe de recherche inclura les patients à l’aide du formulaire de consentement dédié.
- Les proches-aidants des patients inclus seront recrutés par l’équipe de recherche à l’aide du formulaire de consentement dédié.
- Les critères d’inclusion de ces différentes populations sont décrits au point 6.1.

5.4 Méthodes utilisées pour minimiser les biais
(STROBE 9; swissethics 2)
L’information sur le recrutement des patients sera donnée à l’ensemble des unités du service de médecine interne, réhabilitation et gériatrie de l’Hôpital des Trois-Chênes afin de limiter un éventuel biais de sélection.

Les interviews de patients seront aléatoirement conduites à l’hôpital ou au domicile des patients afin de recueillir les attentes et inquiétudes vis-à-vis du développement de REACH dans un contexte ambulatoire et hospitalier.
6. POPULATION-CIBLE DU PROJET

(STROBE 6; HRO Annexe 2/1.2)
Le projet REACH se concentre sur des patients de 65 ans et plus avec une limitation de l’autonomie due à des troubles somatiques ou cognitifs, en situation de réhabilitation ou ambulatoire.

C’est donc en accord avec cette description et en prenant en compte notre localisation géographique et notre domaine d’intérêt particulier (transition hôpital-domicile), que nous avons défini nos critères d’inclusion.

6.1 Critères d’inclusion et d’exclusion
- Inclusion des patients : patients âgés de 65 ans et plus ET hospitalisés à l’hôpital des trois-chênes avec un retour à domicile prévu avec l’aide de l’IMAD ET une limitation de l’autonomie en raisons de facteurs somatiques ou cognitifs (défini par $21 \leq \text{MMSE} \leq 27$ OU $64 \leq \text{MIF} \leq 120$).
- Exclusion des patients : MMS$\leq 20$, MIF$\leq 63$, incapacité d’interagir avec une interface homme-machine, incapacité de discernement.
- Inclusion des proches-aidants : proches-aidants des patients recrutés, fournissant une aide au moins 1x/semaine pour les activités de la vie quotidienne, les activités instrumentales de la vie quotidienne ou les loisirs.
- Inclusion des soignants : soignants de l’hôpital des trois-chênes ou de l’IMAD en contact régulier (minimum 2x/semaine) avec des patients correspondant aux critères d’inclusion.

6.2 Exclusion de participants en cours d’étude
Dans le cas où un patient, un proche-aidant ou un soignant exerce le souhait de retirer sa participation à l’étude, les données seront effacées sur sa demande et le retrait sera pris en compte dans l’analyse des résultats.
7. DETAILLS SUR LES MESURES DU PROJET

(STROBE 7; HRO Annex 2/1.2)

7.1 Planning du projet
Nous nous référerons au schéma de déroulement de l'étude page 7 et aux parties 5.1 et 5.2 détaillant le design général et les procédures. Afin d'éviter une redondance, nous donnons ici le planning par type de participant.

- Concernant les patients : une fois identifiés par les soignants et recrutés par l'équipe de recherche, un système de randomisation décidera si l'interview aura lieu en ambulatoire ou à domicile. Une date sera ensuite convenue entre les deux parties. L'extraction des données médicales se fera à n'importe quel moment compris dans la durée de l'étude. Si le dossier médical n'en contient pas, un bilan d'indépendance et une mesure de la MFI sera effectué par les ergothérapeutes des HUG à une date dépendante de la planification de leur service mais incluse dans la période de l'étude et de l'hospitalisation du patient. La participation active du patient se fera donc uniquement lors de l'interview et éventuellement lors du bilan d'ergothérapie.
- Concernant les proches-aidants : le recrutement sera fait par l'équipe de recherche et l'interview sera réalisée à une date convenue entre les deux parties. La participation active des proches-aidants se limite à l'interview.
- Concernant les soignants : ils seront recrutés par l'équipe de recherche pour une interview ou un groupe de discussion à une date convenue entre les différentes parties. La participation active se limite à l'interview ou au groupe de discussion.
- La phase d'analyse débutera dès les premières récolte de données et se poursuivra jusqu'à la fin du projet. Elle comprendra l'ensemble du travail sur les données collectées (transformation, calculs, statistiques, rédaction de rapport).

7.2 Méthodes de mesure des critères d'évaluation
Le design de l'étude ne permettant pas de définir des critères primaires et secondaires, nous traiterons ici de l'ensemble des critères.

Pour l'extraction des données du dossier médical des patients, l'équipe de recherche se connectera au dossier du patient et les données relatives aux items listés en 4.2 seront collectées dans un fichier Excel à partir des informations issues de la lettre de sortie de l'hospitalisation la plus récente. Les données médicales manquantes dans la lettre de sortie seront recherchées dans le dossier patient informatisé ou le dossier de l'IMAD. Les données démographiques manquantes seront demandées lors de l'interview.

Pour les interviews semi-structurées et groupes de discussion de patients, proches-aidants et soignants, les différents items listés en 4.2 seront investigués au moyen de questions ouvertes et en suivant la trame d'interview correspondante (cf. fichiers trame d'interview patient, proche-aidant et soignant ci-jointe). En plus des items listés en 4.2, les données démographiques seront collectées afin de compléter les données issues du dossier médical. Ces données seront :

- Pour les patients : âge, sexe, état civil, type d'habitation, nombre de personne dans le logement, aide à domicile, utilisation d'internet.
- Pour les proches-aidants : âge, sexe, situation familiale, et profession du proche-aidant, lien avec le patient, fréquence et le type d'aide apportée, distance entre les domiciles du patient et du proche-aidant, utilisation d'internet.
- Pour les soignants : âge, profession, lieu d'exercice, utilisation d'internet.

Pratiquement, un code sera attribué au patient, au proche-aidant ou au soignant en début d'interview et son nom ne sera ensuite plus utilisé dans l'enregistrement. L'interview sera enregistrée au moyen d'un dictaphone puis retranscrite dans un fichier Word.

Concernant l'analyse statistique pour tenter de déterminer d'éventuels liens entre attentes, inquiétudes et données démographiques et médicales, nous nous référerons à la partie 8.3.
7.3 **Méthodes de mesure des critères d’évaluation secondaires**
Non applicable.

7.4 **Méthodes de mesure des autres variables**
Non applicable.

7.5 **Mesure de surveillance et rapport d’événements indésirables**
(HRO Art. 20. 21)
Etant donné la nature de notre étude, nous ne prévoyons pas d’événements indésirables. Toutefois, dans le cas où un patient, un proche-aidant ou un soignant exerce le souhait de retirer sa participation à l’étude, les données seront effacées sur sa demande et le retrait sera pris en compte dans l’analyse des résultats.
8. CONSIDERATIONS STATISTIQUES

8.1 Taille d’échantillons (STROBE 10)
Etant donné qu’il n’existe pas, à notre connaissance, d’étude similaire dans la littérature nous permettant d’estimer la taille d’échantillon nécessaire à l’obtention d’une image exacte de notre population cible, nous proposons d’inclure au minimum 20 patients, soignants et famille sur la durée de l’étude.
Nous pensons que cette taille d’échantillon devrait nous permettre d’arriver au but de cette étude qui est d’avoir une première idée sur les caractéristiques, attentes et inquiétudes des patients ciblés par REACH et de leurs proches-aidants et soignants.

8.2 Traitement des données
Avant analyse, les interviews seront retranscrites manuellement dans un fichier Word. Les autres données ne seront pas modifiées.

8.3 Analyse (STROBE 12a-d)
L’analyse consistera en premier lieu en des statistiques descriptives des différents items investigués pour lesquels un intervalle de confiance à 95% sera calculé si possible.

Par exemple, nous souhaitons, à partir des données issues du dossier médical, estimer la fréquence des différents diagnostics principaux, des différentes co-morbidités, déterminer l’âge moyen, etc.

De même, nous identifierons la nature et la fréquence des diverses attentes et inquiétudes des patients, proches-aidants et soignants relevées lors des interviews et groupe de discussion.

Sur cette base, nous tenterons d’établir des liens entre les caractéristiques intrinsèques du patient, de son environnement, et les attentes et inquiétudes quant à REACH. Dans cette démarche comparative, nous utiliserons éventuellement un test de t pour séries non appariées.

8.3.1 Types de données analysées
Les types de données analysées sont décrits en 8.3.

8.3.2 Procédures en cas de données manquantes
Notre protocole devrait nous permettre de limiter les données manquantes.

Les données démographiques pourront être obtenues via le dossier médical et les interviews, rendant improbable le fait qu’elles viennent à manquer.

En ce qui concerne les données médicales, celles-ci sont en grande majorité systématiquement rentrées dans le dossier patient informatisé. Les éléments particulièrement importants pour notre étude sont le MMSE, la MFI, et le bilan d’indépendance pour les activités de la vie quotidienne. Afin d’empêcher l’absence préjudiciable de ces mesures, deux d’entre elles font partie des critères d’inclusion. De plus, la MFI et le bilan d’indépendance pour les activités de la vie quotidienne seront effectués par les ergothérapeutes des HUG dans le cas où l’information manquerait. Enfin, le MMSE fait partie intégrante du bilan de routine lors de l’admission à l’hôpital des Trois-Chênes et il est improbable qu’il manque au dossier médical.

Si malgré ces précautions, un des éléments venait à manquer, l’analyse serait tout de même effectuée et l’absence d’un ou plusieurs patients signalés.

8.3.3 Analyse auxiliaire
Nous nous référerons au dernier paragraphe de l’introduction de la partie 8.3.
8.3.4 Changement par rapport au plan statistique initial
En cas d'analyse supplémentaire nécessaire, la CCER sera informée et si besoin, un amendement sera déposé. L'analyse supplémentaire ne sera effectuée qu'après aval de la CCER.
9. GESTION DES DONNÉES ET DE LA QUALITÉ

(HRO Art. 5, 25-27, Annexe 2/1.7)

9.1 Stockage et archivage des données

(HRO Art. 5, 26, 27, Annexe 2/1.7)
Comme décrit dans la partie 5.2., les données récoltées seront anonymisées et stockées sur un ordinateur et un disque dur dédiés du laboratoire, tous deux gardés sous clés dans les locaux sécurisés du campus biotech. L'ordinateur servira aussi à l'analyse des données. Le code sera conservé sur un ordinateur séparé, également gardé sous clé dans les locaux du campus biotech.

Seule l’équipe de recherche, soumise au secret professionnel, aura accès à l’ensemble des données (données anonymisées et code).

9.2 Confidentialité, protection des données

(HRO Art. 5)
La génération, la transmission, le stockage des données décrits dans cette partie du plan de recherche sont en accord avec la loi Suisse et particulièrement l’ordonnance HRO Art. 5.

Le stockage, le codage et l’archivage sont décrits dans les paragraphes 9.1, 9.3 et 9.4.

Les données anonymisées pourront faire l’objet de publications et présentation à visée scientifiques et de transmission interne (REACH, Université de Genève, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève).

Les données complètes seront en tout temps accessibles aux organismes de régulation accréditées à surveiller le bon déroulement du projet. Ceux-ci incluent la CCER et le comité d’éthique de REACH.

En dehors de ce cadre précis, les données de santé collectées durant ce projet sont strictement confidentielles et ne seront pas transmises à des tierce-parties.

9.3 Codage

(HRO Art. 25-27)
Comme mentionné dans la partie procédure (5.2), les données collectées seront anonymisées. Lors de la collecte, chaque participant se verra attribuer une lettre +/- un chiffre (ex : A jusqu’à Z, puis A1 jusqu’à Z1, puis A2, …) qui sera utilisé en lieu et place du nom et prénom. Un fichier Excel permettant de lier les données anonymes des patients à leur identité réelle sera conservé sur un ordinateur séparé, gardé sous clés dans les locaux du campus biotech.
Les données récoltées à partir des interviews des proches-aidants seront codées de manière à ce qu’un lien anonyme avec un patient précis puisse être visible. Par exemple, le proche-aidant du patient A1 sera codé PA-A1. Si un autre proche aidant du même patient est inclus dans l’étude, il sera codé PA2-A1, etc.

9.4 Archivage et destruction des données
10. POLITIQUE DE PUBLICATION ET DE PARTAGE DES DONNEES

(HRO Art. 15j; STROBE 22; HRO Annexe 2/1.10)

10.1 Publication des résultats
Les résultats (anonymes) feront l’objet de rapports et présentations internes (REACH, Université de Genève, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève), voire de publications scientifiques dans des journaux utilisant la revue par des pairs et de présentations scientifiques lors de meetings spécialisés.

10.2 Partage des données
Nous ne prévoyons pas de partager nos données à d’autres personnes physiques ou morales que celles décrites en 9.2 et 10.1.
11. FINANCEMENT ET SUPPORT

(HRO Art. 15j; STROBE 22; HRO Annexe 2/1.10)

Ce projet est financé par le programme européen Horizon 2020.

Les signataires du présent document ne déclarent aucun conflit d’intérêt.

12. ASSURANCE

(HRO Annex 1; HRO Annexe 2/1.6)
En accord avec le risque de catégorie A, ce projet ne bénéficie d’aucune assurance.

13. REFERENCES

- Verordnung über die Humanforschung mit Ausnahme der klinischen Versuche (Humanforschungsverordnung, HFV) / Ordonnance relative à la recherche sur l’être humain à l'exception des essais cliniques (Ordonnance relative à la recherche sur l'être humain, ORH) / Ordinance on Human Research with the Exception of Clinical Trials (Human Research Ordinance, HRO) (http://www.admin.ch/opc/en/classified-compilation/20121177/index.html)
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ETUDE DE LA POPULATION CIBLE DU PROJET EUROPEEN REACH

Ce projet est organisé par : le service de cybersanté et télémédecine des Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève (HUG) dans le cadre du projet de recherche européen REACH (Responsive Engagement of the elderly promoting physical Activity and Customized Healthcare).

Madame, Monsieur,

Nous vous proposons de participer à notre projet de recherche. Cette feuille d’information décrit le projet de recherche.

1. Objectifs du projet de recherche
Notre étude se déroule dans le cadre du projet de recherche européen REACH et vise à guider le développement d’un produit technologique permettant d’améliorer la condition physique, l’autonomie et l’éducation thérapeutique des patients d’âge gériatrique, afin de faciliter la transition entre l’hôpital et le domicile, d’éviter les réadmissions à l’hôpital et de favoriser le maintien à domicile. Pour ce faire, cette étude a pour but :

- D’étudier les caractéristiques médicales (par exemple, diagnostics, traitements) et démographiques (par exemple, âge, sexe, statut familial) de la population ciblée par REACH.
- D’étudier les attentes et inquiétudes des patients, de leurs proches-aidants et de leurs soignants quant aux fonctionnalités futures de REACH.

2. Sélection des personnes pouvant participer au projet
La participation est ouverte à toutes les personnes de 65 ans et plus, ayant une limitation légère à modérée de leur autonomie en raison d’une problématique physique ou cognitive (des scores cliniques utilisés de routine à l’hôpital permettent de caractériser cette perte d’autonomie). Ces personnes doivent de plus être hospitalisées à l’hôpital des Trois-Chênes avec un retour à domicile prévu avec l’aide de l’institution genevoise de maintien à domicile (IMAD).

L’étude est également ouverte aux proches-aidants de ces patients. Nous définissons comme proches-aidants les personnes aidant au minimum 1x/semaine les patients décrits ci-dessous. L’aide apportée peut-être de toute sorte.

Enfin, l’étude est aussi ouverte aux soignants de ces patients. Les soignants doivent avoir un contact régulier (minimum 2x/semaine) avec des patients correspondant à la description ci-dessus.

3. Informations générales sur le projet
Dans les années à venir, les systèmes de santé suisse et européen s’attendent à une augmentation très importante des coûts de la santé dans le contexte du vieillissement de la population. L’augmentation des hospitalisations de longue durée est une cause majeure de cette inflation en plus d’être une source importante de souffrance pour les patients concernés.

C’est afin de lutter contre ce phénomène que le projet REACH (Responsive Engagement of the elderly promoting Activity and Customized Healthcare), financé par le programme Horizon 2020 de l’UE, a été créé. Il vise à combiner les expertises de 18 partenaires afin de développer un produit technologique qui permettrait d’améliorer la condition physique, l’autonomie et l’éducation thérapeutique des patients d’âge gériatrique, afin de faciliter la transition entre l’hôpital et le domicile, d’éviter les réadmissions à l’hôpital et de favoriser le maintien à domicile.
Techniquement, tout reste à faire, seul un concept est imposé : utiliser des signaux issus de capteurs insérés dans des meubles ou portés afin de fournir des recommandations de santé en temps réel aux patients et éventuellement de les accompagner. Les données pourraient être de toute sorte (mouvement, tension artérielle, quantité de nourriture, etc.) et seraient collectées de manière non-invasive.

Afin de développer un produit utile, nous souhaitons dans un premier temps étudier la population cible de REACH à travers une étude descriptive comprenant :
- Une analyse de données issues du dossier médical, qui sera complétée, dans le cas où l'information manquante, par un bilan réalisé avec les ergothérapeutes.
- Des interviews et groupes de discussion avec des patients, des proches-aidants et des soignants afin d'identifier leurs attentes et inquiétudes vis-à-vis d'un système tel que REACH.

Pratiquement, nous souhaitons recruter au minimum 20 patients, 20 proches-aidants et 20 soignants de différentes catégories professionnelles.

Nous effectuons ce projet dans le respect des prescriptions de la législation suisse. La commission cantonale d'éthique compétente a contrôlé et autorisé le projet.

4. Déroulement pour les participants

Si vous acceptez de participer à notre étude en tant que patient, voici les événements dans lesquels vous serez impliqués directement ou indirectement :

- Participation à une interview durant entre 30 et 45 minutes qui aura lieu de manière aléatoire, soit à l'hôpital, soit à votre domicile. Hormis ce facteur imposé, la date sera convenue avec vous. Le but est d'étudier vos points de vue, attentes et inquiétudes quant au développement de REACH. Vos données démographiques de base (âge, sexe, profession, logement, etc.) seront également collectées. Toutes les informations collectées le seront de manière anonyme.

- L'équipe de recherche extraira de manière anonyme les données suivantes de votre dossier médical : âge, état civil, type de logement dans lequel vous habitez, nombre de personnes dans le logement, profession, présence d'enfants, de petits-enfants, diagnostics médicaux, traitements, paramètres cliniques et paracliniques, durée de la dernière hospitalisation, nature des professionnels de santé impliqués dans la prise en charge à l'hôpital, rapports des physiothérapeutes, ergothérapeutes, infirmières, infirmières de liaison, bilan des activités de la vie quotidienne, nature et fréquence de l'aide à domicile instaurée, rapports de l'IMAD.

- Dans le cas où cette information ne se trouverait pas déjà dans le dossier médical, les ergothérapeutes des HUG effectueraient avec vous un bilan des Activités de la Vie Quotidienne (AVQ) et une mesure de la Mesure d'Indépendance Fonctionnelle (MIF). Ces tests non invasifs durent environ 60 minutes pour le bilan des AVQ et 15 minutes pour la mesure de la MIF. Ils sont constitués de questions et de périodes d'observations lors d'épreuves pratiques (par exemple se lever d'une chaise).

- Votre participation active se limitera donc à une interview de 30 à 45 minutes et éventuellement à un ou deux tests non invasifs avec les ergothérapeutes d'une durée maximale d'1h15.
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En tant que proche-aidant ou soignant, votre participation se limiterait uniquement à une interview de 30-45 minutes telle que décrite ci-dessus. Pour les soignants, l'équipe de recherche se réserve le droit de remplacer les interviews par un groupe de discussion de même durée regroupant plusieurs soignants.

La durée totale du projet sera elle de 6 mois, correspondant au temps nécessaire pour récolter les données et effectuer les analyses.

5. Bénéfices pour les participants
Concernant les patients, votre participation au projet ne vous apportera aucun bénéfice direct hormis la réalisation éventuelle d’un bilan des AVQ et d’une mesure de la MIF qui pourraient servir à mieux adapter votre aide à domicile. En revanche, le développement de REACH sera grandement aidé par votre participation et nous espérons que vous ou vos proches pourront en bénéficier dans un futur proche.

Concernant les proches-aidants et soignants, la participation au projet ne vous apportera aucun bénéfice direct mais nous permettra de développer un produit au plus près de vos attentes.

6. Droits des participants
Vous ne devez prendre part à ce projet que selon votre propre volonté. Si vous choisissez de ne pas participer ou si vous choisissez de participer et revendez sur votre décision pendant le déroulement du projet, vous n’aurez pas à vous justifier. La participation ou non au projet ne changera rien à votre prise en charge médicale habituelle. Vous pouvez à tout moment poser toutes les questions nécessaires au sujet de l’étude. Veuillez-vous adresser pour ce faire à la personne indiquée à la fin de la présente feuille d’information.

7. Obligations des participants
En tant que patient participant ou projet, vous serez tenu :

- De participer à l’interview précédemment décrite.
- D’effectuer le bilan des AVQ et la mesure de la MIF avec les ergothérapeutes, si nécessaire.

En tant que proche-aidant ou soignant, vous serez tenu de participer à l’interview ou au groupe de discussion.

8. Risques et confidentialité
En tant que patient participant au projet, le principal dommage auquel vous êtes soumis consiste en la consultation de votre dossier médical par l’équipe de recherche lors de la phase de collecte.

Par la suite, vos données seront anonymisées par un code et stockées sur un ordinateur et un disque dur gardé dans des locaux sécurisés. Le code sera stocké sur un autre ordinateur dans des locaux sécurisés. Ainsi, les seules personnes ayant accès à vos données médicales non anonymisées sont les membres de l’équipe de recherche qui les utiliseront uniquement afin de pouvoir accomplir le projet de recherche et qui sont tenues au secret professionnel. De plus, l’accès aux données non anonymisées par l’équipe de recherche sera nécessaire uniquement lors de la collecte. Lors de la phase d’analyse, le travail s’effectuera sur les données anonymisées. Ceci permet de respecter le plus possible votre confidentialité. Vous avez de plus à tout moment le droit de consulter vos données.
A noter que le codage signifie que toutes les données permettant de vous identifier (p. ex. le nom, la date de naissance, etc.) sont remplacées par un code, de sorte que les personnes ne connaissant pas ce code ne peuvent pas lier ces données à votre personne.

Durant son déroulement, le projet peut faire l’objet d’inspections. Celles-ci peuvent être effectuées par la commission d’éthique qui s’est chargée de son contrôle initial et l’a autorisé, mais aussi être mandatées par l’organisme qui l’a initié. Il se peut que la direction du projet doive communiquer vos données personnelles et médicales pour les besoins de ces inspections.

Enfin, les résultats de l’étude utilisant les données codées (donc anonymes), peuvent faire l’objet de publications et présentations à visée scientifiques ou internes (HUG, université de Genève, consortium REACH).

En tant que proche-aidant ou soignant participant à l’étude, votre dossier médical ne sera pas consulté et seules les données issues des interviews seront collectées. Toutefois, celles-ci seront traitées de la même façon que pour les patients, garantissant par là-même un risque minimum pour votre confidentialité et celle des patients que vous aidez.

9. **Retrait du projet**
Vous pouvez à tout moment vous retirer du projet si vous le souhaitez. Les données recueillies seront alors supprimées.

12 **Rémunération des participants**
Aucune rémunération n’est prévue pour cette étude.

13 **Réparation des dommages subis**
Les dommages de santé que vous pourriez subir du fait de cette étude relèvent de la responsabilité de l’organisme qui l’a initiée et est en charge de sa réalisation. Toutefois, au vu de la nature de l’étude, nous ne prévoyons aucun dommage.

14 **Financement du projet**
L’étude est financée par le programme Horizon 2020 de l’Union Européenne.

15 **Interlocuteur(s)**
En cas de doute, de craintes ou d’urgences pendant ou après l’étude, vous pouvez vous adresser à :

**Chercheur coordinateur**
Dr. Damien Dietrich, service de cybersanté et télémédecine, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève, Campus Biotech, chemin des Mines 9, 1202 Genève.
Email: damien.dietrich@hcuge.ch
Tel : +33 (0)7 87 19 99 01
DECLARATION DE CONSENTEMENT ECRITE POUR LA PARTICIPATION A UN PROJET DE RECHERCHE

- Veuillez lire attentivement ce formulaire.
- N'hésitez pas à poser des questions lorsque vous ne comprenez pas quelque chose ou que vous souhaitez avoir des précisions.

Numéro BASEC du projet :
(après soumission à la commission d’éthique compétente):

Titre de l’étude :
(titre scientifique et titre usuel):

Institution responsable :
(adresse complète):

Lieu de réalisation du projet :

Directeur / directrice du projet sur le site :
(nom et prénom en caractères d'imprimerie):

Participant / participante :
(nom et prénom en caractères d'imprimerie):
Date de naissance :

- Je déclare avoir été informé, par le médecin responsable du projet soussigné, oralement et par écrit, des objectifs et du déroulement du projet ainsi que des effets présumés, des avantages, des inconvénients possibles et des risques éventuels.
- Je prends part à cette étude de façon volontaire et j’accepte le contenu de la feuille d’information qui m’a été remise sur le projet précité. J’ai eu suffisamment de temps pour prendre ma décision.
- J’ai reçu des réponses satisfaisantes aux questions que j’ai posées en relation avec ma participation au projet. Je conserve la feuille d’information et reçois une copie de ma déclaration de consentement écrite.
- J’accepte que les spécialistes compétents de l’institution, du mandataire du projet, de la Commission d’éthique compétente pour cette étude, puissent consulter mes données brutes afin de procéder à des contrôles, à condition toutefois que la confidentialité de ces données soit strictement assurée.
- Je sais que mes données personnelles peuvent être transmises à des fins de recherche dans le cadre de ce projet uniquement et sous une forme codée.
- Je peux, à tout moment et sans avoir à me justifier, révoquer mon consentement à participer à l’étude, sans que cela n’ait de répercussion défavorable sur la suite de ma prise en charge médicale usuelle.
- Je suis informé que la responsabilité civile de la direction du projet couvre les improbables dommages imputables au projet que je pourrais subir.
- Je suis conscient que les obligations mentionnées dans la feuille d’information destinée aux participants doivent être respectées pendant toute la durée de l’étude. La direction de l’étude peut m’en exclure à tout moment dans l’intérêt de ma santé.
### Attestation du médecin-investigateur

Par la présente, j’atteste avoir expliqué au participant / à la participante la nature, l’importance et la portée du projet. Je déclare satisfaire à toutes les obligations en relation avec ce projet conformément au droit en vigueur. Si je devais prendre connaissance, à quelque moment que ce soit durant la réalisation du projet, d’éléments susceptibles d’influer sur le consentement du participant / de la participante à prendre part au projet, je m’engage en l’en informer immédiatement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lieu, date</th>
<th>Signature du médecin-investigateur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. DIETRICH DAMIEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature du médecin-investigateur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TRAME D’INTERVIEW SEMI-STRUCTURÉE VERSION PATIENT

1. OBJECTIF
Recueillir les attentes et inquiétudes des patients quant à un système technologique nommé REACH visant à favoriser le maintien à domicile et limiter les ré-hospitalisations.

2. INTRODUCTION DESTINE AU PATIENT
Cette interview se déroule dans le cadre d’un projet de recherche européen nommé REACH (Responsive Engagement of the elderly promoting physical Activity and Customized Healthcare). Ce projet implique divers centres européens dont les HUG font partie. Le but est de développer un produit technologique qui permettrait de promouvoir l’autonomie, l’éducation thérapeutique et la condition physique des patients d’âge gériatrique afin de favoriser le maintien à domicile et d’éviter les ré-hospitalisations.

Techniquement, tout reste à faire, seul un concept est imposé : utiliser des signaux issus de capteurs insérés dans des meubles ou portés afin de fournir des recommandations de santé en temps réel aux patients et éventuellement de les accomplir (Cf. illustrations). Les données pourraient être de toute sorte (mouvement, tension artérielle, quantité de nourriture, etc.) et seraient collectées de manière non-invasive.

Afin de guider la phase de développement technique, nous devons définir les fonctions souhaitées de notre produit. C’est dans ce contexte que cette interview est réalisée. Elle vise à recueillir points de vue, attentes et inquiétudes quant au développement de REACH.

3. DÉROULEMENT
- Se présenter.
- Attribuer un numéro de code au patient et le noter sur le fichier Excel dédié (fichier comprenant la clef de l’anonymisation).
- Démarrer l’enregistrement par le dictaphone. Pour le reste de l’interview, ne pas utiliser le nom du patient dans les dialogues enregistrés
- Réaliser le questionnaire en suivant la trame d’entretien tout en étant ouvert à d’autres questionnements intéressants amenés par la discussion.
- A la fin de l’entretien, copier le fichier du dictaphone sur l’ordinateur de recherche et effectuer la retranscription.
4. QUESTIONNAIRE
4.1. Données démographiques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Réponse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Enfants / Petits-enfants ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexe</td>
<td>Ancienne profession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etat civil</td>
<td>Aide à domicile (nature et fréquence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type d’habitation et escalier OUI-NON</td>
<td>Utilisation d’internet régulière OUI-NON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nombre de personnes dans le logement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Collecte de données par des capteurs fixes ou portés pour mesurer l’état de santé

Donner l’exemple de types de données pouvant être captées : mouvement, pression artérielle, poids, etc. Donner un exemple d’utilisation de ces données, par exemple, une absence de mouvement pourrait déclencher une alerte pour une chute. Eventuellement s’aider des illustrations (partie 5.). Puis demander :

- Pensez-vous que les données collectées par ces capteurs puissent être utiles pour vous ? Expliquez pourquoi.
- Comment ce système devrait-il se présenter idéalement ?
- A l’inverse, quelles fonctions d’un tel système jugeriez vous inutiles voire dérangeantes ?
- Pensez-vous que le fait de partager sur une base volontaire des données issues de ce système avec les membres de la famille ou les soignants soit utile, et si oui, en quoi ?
- Trouvez-vous un tel système acceptable du point de vue de votre vie privée ?

4.3. Motivation à la promotion de l’activité physique ou d’autres activités de santé

Donner l’exemple au patient que le système détecte qu’il n’effectue pas assez d’activité physique et qu’il le motive à travers une recommandation auditive ou visuelle (par exemple « je vous propose d’effectuer une demi-heure d’activité physique cette après-midi ») à en faire. Cette activité pourrait s’effectuer à travers du mobilier connecté (type vélo d’appartement ou autre) permettant de mesurer l’effort. Des stratégies innovantes en termes de motivation pourrait être utilisée comme par exemple la réalité virtuelle ou les jeux sérieux. Enfin, d’autres exemples de motivation à des comportements sains peuvent être donnés (adaptation de l’hygiène alimentaire par exemple). Suite à cet exemple, demander :

- Actuellement, souhaitez-vous augmenter votre activité physique (donner des exemples : danse, bowling, marche, nage, vélo, Tai-chi,....) ? Si non, pourquoi ? Si oui, comment ?
- Pensez-vous qu’il soit utile de recevoir des encouragements par des moyens technologiques tel que REACH et un feedback sur votre activité ?
- Trouvez-vous acceptable, du point de vue de votre vie privée, de partager les données se rapportant à ces activités avec votre famille et/ou vos soignants ? Trouveriez-vous cela utile ?
- Afin de respecter votre autonomie, qu’est-ce que le système devrait faire ou ne pas faire ?
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4.4. Transition hôpital-domicile et éviction des ré-hospitalisations

Quelles fonctions REACH devrait posséder pour faciliter votre transfert entre l’hôpital et le domicile ?

Imaginez-vous des fonctions de REACH permettant d’éviter un retour à l’hôpital ?

En regard de ces aspects, qu’est-ce que REACH ne devrait pas faire ?

4.5. REACH et les phases de la journée

Quelles seraient vos besoins spécifiques auxquels REACH pourrait éventuellement répondre :

- Le matin ?
- A midi ?
- L’après-midi ?
- Le soir ?
- La nuit ?

4.6. Autres remarques éventuelles

Avez-vous d’autres commentaires concernant REACH à formuler ?

5. ILLUSTRATIONS

Capteurs de mouvement

Capteur porté type « Fitbit »
Exemple imaginaire de mobilier connecté constituant REACH. En fonctionnant ensemble, ces modules seraient capables de mesurer des données de santé, de les analyser et de formuler sur cette base des recommandations de santé que l’utilisateur pourrait effectuer.

REACH serait capable de mesurer les données de santé (1), les analyser et fournir sur cette base des recommandations (2) et éventuellement de les appliquer (3).
TRAME D’INTERVIEW SEMI-STRUCTURÉE VERSION PROCHE-AIDANT

1. OBJECTIF

Recueillir les attentes et inquiétudes des proches-aidants quant à un système technologique nommé REACH visant à favoriser le maintien à domicile et limiter les ré-hospitalisations des patients gériatriques.

2. INTRODUCTION DESTINE AU PARTICIPANT

Cette interview se déroule dans le cadre d’un projet de recherche européen nommé REACH (Responsive Engagement of the elderly promoting physical Activity and Customized Healthcare). Ce projet implique divers centres européens dont les HUG font partie. Le but est de développer un produit technologique qui permettrait de promouvoir l’autonomie, l’éducation thérapeutique et la condition physique des patients d’âge gériatrique afin de favoriser le maintien à domicile et d’éviter les ré-hospitalisations.

Techniquement, tout reste à faire, seul un concept est imposé : utiliser des signaux issus de capteurs insérés dans des meubles ou portés afin de fournir des recommandations de santé en temps réel aux patients et éventuellement de les accompagner (Cf. illustrations). Les données pourraient être de toute sorte (mouvement, tension artérielle, quantité de nourriture, etc.) et seraient collectées de manière non-invasive.

Afin de guider la phase de développement technique, nous devons définir les fonctions souhaitées de notre produit. C’est dans ce contexte que cette interview est réalisée. Elle vise à recueillir points de vue, attentes et inquiétudes quant au développement de REACH.

3. DÉROULEMENT

- Se présenter.
- Attribuer un numéro de code au proche-aidant et le noter sur le fichier Excel dédié (fichier comprenant la clé de l’anonymisation).
- Démarrer l’enregistrement par le dictaphone. Pour le reste de l’interview, ne pas utiliser le nom du proche-aidant dans les dialogues enregistrés
- Réaliser le questionnaire en suivant la trame d’entretien tout en étant ouvert à d’autres questionnements intéressants amenés par la discussion.
- A la fin de l’entretien, copier le fichier du dictaphone sur l’ordinateur de recherche et effectuer la retranscription.
4. QUESTIONNAIRE

4.1. Données démographiques du proche-aidant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Nature du lien avec le patient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sexe</td>
<td>Aide apportée (nature et fréquence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Situation familiale</td>
<td>Distance entre domicile patient et aidant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession</td>
<td>Utilisation d’internet régulière OUI-NON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Collecte de données par des capteurs fixes ou portés pour mesurer l’état de santé

Donner l’exemple de types de données pouvant être captées : mouvement, pression artérielle, poids, etc. Donner un exemple d’utilisation de ces données, par exemple, une absence de mouvement pourrait déclencher une alerte pour une chute. Eventuellement s’aider des illustrations (partie 5.). Puis demander :

- Pensez-vous que les données collectées par ces capteurs puissent être utiles ? Expliquez pourquoi.
- Comment ce système devrait-il se présenter idéalement ?
- A l’inverse, quelles fonctions d’un tel système jugeriez vous inutiles voire dérangeantes ?
- Pensez-vous que le fait de partager sur une base volontaire des données issues de ce système avec les membres de la famille ou les soignants soit utile, et si oui, en quoi ?
- Trouvez-vous un tel système acceptable du point de vue de la vie privée de la personne aidée ?

4.3. Motivation à la promotion de l’activité physique ou d’autres activités de santé

Donner l’exemple que le système détecte que le patient n’effectue pas assez d’activité physique et qu’il le motive à travers une recommandation auditive ou visuelle (par exemple « je vous propose d’effectuer une demi-heure d’activité physique cette après-midi ») à en faire. Cette activité pourrait s’effectuer à travers du mobilier connecté (type vélo d’appartement ou autre) permettant de mesurer l’effort. Des stratégies innovantes en termes de motivation pourrait être utilisée comme par exemple la réalité virtuelle ou les jeux sérieux. Enfin, d’autres exemples de motivation à des comportements sains peuvent être donnés (adaptation de l’hygiène alimentaire par exemple). Suite à cet exemple, demander :

- Pensez-vous qu’il soit utile pour la personne aidée de recevoir des encouragements par des moyens technologiques tel que REACH et un feedback sur son activité ?
- Trouvez-vous acceptable, du point de vue de la vie privée de la personne aidée, de partager les données se rapportant à ces activités avec la famille et/ou les soignants ? Trouveriez-vous cela utile ?
- Afin de respecter l’autonomie de la personne aidée, qu’est-ce que le système devrait faire ou ne pas faire ?
4.4. Transition hôpital-domicile et éviction des ré-hospitalisations

Quelles fonctions REACH devrait posséder pour faciliter le transfert entre l’hôpital et le domicile de la personne que vous aidez ?

Imaginez-vous des fonctions de REACH permettant d’éviter un retour à l’hôpital de la personne que vous aidez ?

En regard de ces aspects, qu’est-ce que REACH ne devrait pas faire ?

4.5. REACH et les phases de la journée

Quelles seraient les besoins spécifiques de la personne que vous aidez auxquels REACH pourrait éventuellement répondre :

- Le matin ?
- A midi ?
- L’après-midi ?
- Le soir ?
- La nuit ?

4.6. Autres remarques éventuelles

Avez-vous d’autres commentaires concernant REACH à formuler ?

5. ILLUSTRATIONS

Capteurs de mouvement

Capteur porté type « Fitbit »
Exemple imaginaire de mobilier connecté constituant REACH. En fonctionnant ensemble, ces modules seraient capables de mesurer des données de santé, de les analyser et de formuler sur cette base des recommandations de santé que l’utilisateur pourrait effectuer.

REACH serait capable de mesurer les données de santé (1), les analyser et fournir sur cette base des recommandations (2) et éventuellement de les appliquer (3).
TRAME D’INTERVIEW SEMI-STRUCTURÉE VERSION SOIGNANT

1. OBJECTIF
Recueillir les attentes et inquiétudes des soignants quant à un système technologique nommé REACH visant à favoriser le maintien à domicile et limiter les ré-hospitalisations des patients gériatriques.

2. INTRODUCTION DESTINE AU PARTICIPANT
Cette interview se déroule dans le cadre d’un projet de recherche européen nommé REACH (Responsive Engagement of the elderly promoting physical Activity and Customized Healthcare). Ce projet implique divers centres européens dont les HUG font partie. Le but est de développer un produit technologique qui permettrait de promouvoir l’autonomie, l’éducation thérapeutique et la condition physique des patients d’âge gériatrique afin de favoriser le maintien à domicile et d’éviter les ré-hospitalisations.

Techniquement, tout reste à faire, seul un concept est imposé : utiliser des signaux issus de capteurs insérés dans des meubles ou portés afin de fournir des recommandations de santé en temps réel aux patients et éventuellement de les accomplir (Cf. illustrations). Les données pourraient être de toute sorte (mouvement, tension artérielle, quantité de nourriture, etc.) et seraient collectées de manière non-invasive.

Afin de guider la phase de développement technique, nous devons définir les fonctions souhaitées de notre produit. C’est dans ce contexte que cette interview est réalisée. Elle vise à recueillir points de vue, attentes et inquiétudes quant au développement de REACH.

3. DÉROULEMENT
- Se présenter.
- Attribuer un numéro de code au soignant et le noter sur le fichier Excel dédié (fichier comprenant la clef de l’anonymisation).
- Démarrer l’enregistrement par le dictaphone. Pour le reste de l’interview, ne pas utiliser le nom du soignant dans les dialogues enregistrés
- Réaliser le questionnaire en suivant la trame d’entretien tout en étant ouvert à d’autres questionnements intéressants amenés par la discussion.
- À la fin de l’entretien, copier le fichier du dictaphone sur l’ordinateur de recherche et effectuer la retranscription.
4. QUESTIONNAIRE

4.1. Données démographiques du soignant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Profession et lieu d'exercice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sexe</td>
<td>Utilisation d'internet régulière OUI-NON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Collecte de données par des capteurs fixes ou portés pour mesurer l’état de santé

Donner l’exemple de types de données pouvant être captées : mouvement, pression artérielle, poids, etc. Donner un exemple d’utilisation de ces données, par exemple, une absence de mouvement pourrait déclencher une alerte pour une chute. Eventuellement s’aider des illustrations (partie 5.). Puis demander :

- Pensez-vous que les données collectées par ces capteurs puissent être utiles ? Expliquez pourquoi.
- Comment ce système devrait-il se présenter idéalement ?
- A l’inverse, quelles fonctions d’un tel système jugeriez vous inutiles voire dérangeantes ?
- Pensez-vous que le fait de partager, sur une base volontaire, des données issues de ce système avec les membres de la famille ou les soignants soit utile, et si oui, en quoi ?
- Trouvez-vous un tel système acceptable du point de vue de la vie privée de la personne aidée ?

4.3. Motivation à la promotion de l’activité physique ou d’autres activités de santé

Donner l’exemple que le système détecte que le patient n’effectue pas assez d’activité physique et qu’il le motive à travers une recommandation auditive ou visuelle (par exemple « je vous propose d’effectuer une demi-heure d’activité physique cette après-midi ») à en faire. Cette activité pourrait s’effectuer à travers du mobilier connecté (type vélo d’appartement ou autre) permettant de mesurer l’effort. Des stratégies innovantes en termes de motivation pourrait être utilisée comme par exemple la réalité virtuelle ou les jeux sérieux. Enfin, d’autres exemples de motivation à des comportements sains peuvent être donnés (adaptation de l’hygiène alimentaire par exemple). Suite à cet exemple, demander :

- Pensez-vous qu’il soit utile pour les patients de recevoir des encouragements par des moyens technologiques tel que REACH et un feedback sur leur activité ?
- Trouvez-vous acceptable, du point de vue de la vie privée de votre patient, de partager les données se rapportant à ces activités avec la famille et/ou les soignants ? Trouveriez-vous cela utile ?
- Afin de respecter l’autonomie de votre patient, qu’est-ce que le système devrait faire ou ne pas faire ?
4.4. Transition hôpital-domicile et éviction des ré-hospitalisations

Quelles fonctions REACH devrait posséder pour faciliter le transfert entre l’hôpital et le domicile de vos patients ?

Imaginez-vous des fonctions de REACH permettant d’éviter un retour à l’hôpital de vos patients ?

En regard de ces aspects, qu’est-ce que REACH ne devrait pas faire ?

4.5. REACH et les phases de la journée

Quelles seraient les besoins spécifiques des patients-types que vous aidez auxquels un système technologique tel que REACH pourrait éventuellement répondre :

- Le matin ?
- A midi ?
- L’après-midi ?
- Le soir ?
- La nuit ?

4.6. Autres remarques éventuelles

Avez-vous d’autres commentaires concernant REACH à formuler ?

5. ILLUSTRATIONS

Capteurs de mouvement

Capteur porté type « Fitbit »
Exemple imaginaire de mobilier connecté constituant REACH. En fonctionnant ensemble, ces modules seraient capables de mesurer des données de santé, de les analyser et de formuler sur cette base des recommandations de santé que l’utilisateur pourraIT effectuer.

REACH serait capable de mesurer les données de santé (1), les analyser et fournir sur cette base des recommandations (2) et éventuellement de les appliquer (3).
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