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Abstract
The accuracy of ocean tide models has largely improved over the last decades as a result of enhanced modelling techniques and the use of satellite altimetry. In the latest comprehensive assessment on ocean tide models by Stammer et al. (2014), the DGFI-TUM’s altimetry-based model (the EOT11a, Savcenko and Bosch 2012) showed performances in line with the available consistent global models. A new intermediate version (t) of the EOT model – namely the EOT11t – was recently implemented, and takes advantage of the latest progresses in altimetry. The method used to derive the single tidal constituents is a least-squares based harmonic analysis, performed on Sea Level Anomalies corrected for the FES2014 tide model. Fifteen tidal constituents are computed on a regular grid with resolution of 1°/8. For each grid node, altimetric observations are selected within a radius of 330 km, and weighted with a Gaussian function dependent on the distance to the node. The data used for this purpose are taken from NASA and Esa missions and cover a period of circa 25 years. In this work we present the first regional assessment of the EOT11t. The region chosen for this purpose is the North Sea, characterized by a large number of in-situ observations, which allow an analysis of the model’s open-ocean and coastal performances. A direct comparison with other tide models (such as FES2014, TPXO8, GOT4.8, DTU10, and the former EOT11a) will also be shown, in order to highlight the differences at the coast, where larger discrepancies are expected.

Results – comparison with other models
The different models were directly compared by computing the standard deviation of the amplitudes of the single constituents. As expected, the largest differences are found for M2, for which the standard deviation can reach values ≥ 2 cm at the coast, figure 5a. Another comparison was made between EOT11t and its background model, FES2014. In figure 5b the differences for the in-phase component of M2 (background color) are shown together with the difference in the Root-Mean Square (RMS) against in-situ data. Larger discrepancies between the models occur at the coast. In this area, improvements with EOT11t are observed for most of the tide-gauge sites (green dots). However, a loss of performance is still found in narrow coastlines (e.g. English Channel). A summary of the performance of each model against in-situ data is shown in table 1, in terms of RMS and RSS errors. The results are displayed for all the major constituents available from the in-situ measurements. Each value represents the average computed over all the locations. The EOT11t results are in line with the other models. A performance enhancement in EOT11t with respect to its former version is observed for all constituents. In particular, M2, S2, and M4 are improved by more than 1 cm. A lower performance was expected with GOT4.8, because of its spatial resolution of 0.5° (Ray, personal communication).

Data and study area
The new EOT model was derived using the 1-Hz sea level data available on DGFI-TUM’s open Altimetry Database (openADB). The following missions were included in the computation, reaching a temporal coverage of ca. 25 years: Topex/Poseidon, Jason-1, Jason-2, Envisat, ERS-2, ERS-1. The area of study ranges between 49°N and 61°N in latitude and from -12° to 4° in longitude. The shelf-sea surrounding Great Britain and Ireland is characterized by a complex tidal regime and sea state. The in-situ data used to compare the different models are taken from two sources: the GESLA dataset (see poster: A new set of in-situ tidal constants based on the GESLA dataset) and the measurements used in Stammer et al. 2014 (Ray personal communication). Their location is shown in figure 1. In figure 2 ESA and NASA tracks are shown.

Results – differences with former EOT model
A direct comparison between EOT11t and EOT11a was obtained by computing the Root Sum Squared (RSS) differences against in-situ data. In most of the sites improvements can be observed, figure 3. This result is primarily due to the use of updated altimetry data in the exploitation of EOT2014 model, which is characterized by enhanced bathymetry and refined mesh at the coast. For this reason, larger differences between the models were expected in coastal areas, as it is shown in figure 4. In general, large discrepancies in the in-phase component difference of the M2 tide are found in proximity of narrow seas with complex coastal areas, such as the Irish Sea and the English Channel.

Conclusions
• EOT11t is in line with the results of the most recent tide models and can bring improvements for single constituents at coastal areas.
• An enhanced performance is expected with respect to EOT11a for all constituents, with an overall improvement of ≥ 1 cm
• The geographical comparison of the models shows that the amplitude’s standard deviation of the major tidal constituents can reach ~ 2 cm at the coast

Outlook
• EOT11t will be extended globally
• Data from Jason-3 and Sentinel-3 will be combined in the model
• A new method for measurement selection at the coast will be tested
• Coast-tailored products and processing techniques are currently evaluated to be integrated in the future
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