


# Benefits and Risks of Preventing Thromboembolism With Enoxaparin in Patients With General Surgery in Real World—The CLEVER Study

Clinical and Applied  
Thrombosis/Hemostasis  
19(3) 282-288  
© The Author(s) 2012  
Reprints and permission:  
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav  
DOI: 10.1177/1076029612461067  
cath.sagepub.com  


Sebastian M. Schellong, MD<sup>1</sup>, Albrecht Encke, MD<sup>2</sup>,  
Artur-Aaron Weber, MD<sup>3</sup>, Peter Bramlage, MD<sup>4</sup>,  
W. Dieter Paar, MD<sup>5</sup>, and Sylvia Haas, MD<sup>6</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** We aimed to document enoxaparin use in real world and identify the risk factors for bleeding complications. **Methods:** Postauthorization study in 448 surgical patients receiving enoxaparin prophylaxis. Complete compression ultrasound (CCUS) was performed at day 10 ± 3. **Results:** During treatment, 11 of 448 patients had suspected deep venous thrombosis (DVT) but none confirmed. One patient had symptoms of pulmonary embolism ([PE] 0.22%; 95% confidence interval [CI] −0.21-0.66). There were no asymptomatic cases detected upon CCUS. At the 90-day follow-up, 4 (0.9%) of the 440 patients had DVT symptoms (95% CI 0.02-1.80) and none had PE; 5.4% had major and 11.6% any type of bleeding complications. Major bleeding was more frequent in those with kidney disease (odds ratio [OR] 5.53), those who are bedridden (OR 5.49), those with peridural indwelling catheters (OR 4.01), and those on nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (OR 3.33). **Conclusions:** Enoxaparin is effective and safe in surgical patients to prevent venous thromboembolism.

## Keywords

enoxaparin, complete compression ultrasound, major bleeding, predictors, venous thromboembolism, surgery

## Introduction

Venous thromboembolism (VTE) is a frequent complication in patients after surgical treatment.<sup>1</sup> Randomized controlled trials investigating the low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) enoxaparin in patients with general surgery<sup>2-12</sup> may be perceived to have limitations in addressing practical issues that may impact on the benefits and bleeding risk of patients assessed in “real-world” clinical practice. First, patients with renal insufficiency, in whom there is a potential for bioaccumulation of LMWH, were usually excluded from these clinical trials as were patients with severe obesity. Second, the associated bleeding risk was usually not addressed in the context of coadministered platelet inhibition (aspirin or thienopyridines) or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Third, these randomized trials enrolled selected patients and LMWH was administered in a closely monitored clinical setting. Consequently, the incidence of bleeding complications in these studies may not be representative of the bleeding risk in a real-world clinical setting.

Therefore, we performed a 90-day audit of clinical practice relating to the treatment of patients in general surgery. The objectives of this study were (1) to assess the incidence of symptomatic VTE and asymptomatic deep venous thrombosis

(DVT) by complete compression ultrasound (CCUS), (2) to assess the incidence of bleeding complications, and (3) to identify the risk factors for bleeding complications.

## Methods

CLexane Prophylaxe nach systematischer Evaluation des Thrombo-Embolie-Risikos (CLEVER) was an observational study. Participating physicians were recruited at random in clinics providing surgical care from all regions defined by the Institute of Medical Statistics throughout Germany. The study

<sup>1</sup> Klinikum Friedrichstadt, Dresden, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Chirurgische Universitätsklinik Frankfurt/Main, Frankfurt, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Universitätsklinikum Düsseldorf, Klinik für Allgemeine Pädiatrie und Neonatologie, Düsseldorf, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Institut für Pharmakologie und präventive Medizin, Mahlow, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Sanofi Aventis Deutschland GmbH, Berlin, Germany

<sup>6</sup> Institut für Experimentelle Onkologie und Therapieforchung, Technische Universität München, Germany

## Corresponding Author:

Sebastian M. Schellong, Municipal Hospital of Dresden Friedrichstadt, 01067 Dresden, Germany.

Email: schellong-se@khdf.de

**Table 1.** The AWMF S2 Guideline Resulting into an Assignment of Low, Medium, and High Risk.<sup>13</sup>

Low risk	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• minor or moderate surgery with little trauma;</li> <li>• injuries with little or no soft tissue damage;</li> <li>• no additional or low dispositional risk</li> </ul>
Medium risk	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• surgery of longer duration;</li> <li>• immobilization across joints with plaster cast;</li> <li>• low surgery or trauma related thromboembolic risk and additional dispositional thromboembolic risk</li> </ul>
High risk	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• major surgery of the abdominal or pelvic region for malignant tumors or inflammatory disease;</li> <li>• polytrauma, severe injuries of the spinal column, of the pelvis and/or the lower extremity;</li> <li>• major surgery of the spinal column, pelvis, hip, or knee joint;</li> <li>• major surgery of the thoracic, abdominal, or pelvic cavity;</li> <li>• moderate surgery or trauma-related risk and additional dispositional risk;</li> <li>• patients with prior thrombosis or pulmonary embolism</li> </ul>

was conducted according to German Medicines Law (§ 67 (6) Arzneimittelgesetz, AMG) and was duly notified to the federal authorities (Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel und Medizinprodukte, BfArM). Because of the noninterventional character of the study, no ethics committee approval was required. Patients' written informed consent was obtained before enrollment.

### Patients

Patients of at least 18 years receiving a peri- or postoperative prophylaxis with 20 or 40 mg enoxaparin for a recommended duration of  $10 \pm 3$  days because of an in-hospital surgical intervention were consecutively included into this observational study. Applicable exclusion criteria were based on contraindications outlined in the summary of product characteristics of enoxaparin (Clexane, Sanofi-Aventis, Paris): hypersensitivity against one of the components of Clexane; recent (6 weeks) injury or surgery to the central nervous system, eye, or ear; recent (within 30 days) clinically relevant bleeding; acute or hemorrhagic stroke or other intracranial bleeding less than 6 months ago; acute or anamnestic intracranial disease; clinically relevant coagulation disorder; gastric or gut ulcers; imminent abort; severe liver or pancreatic disease; uncontrolled severe hypertension; endocarditis; acute or anamnestic type-2 heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; suspected vascular retinopathy, vitreous hemorrhage, or other intraocular bleeding.

### Enoxaparin Dosing Regimen

A stratification of risk was carried out according to the national guideline for the prevention of VTE and resulted in an assignment of low, medium, and high risk (Table 1).<sup>13</sup> Based on this assignment, the physician decided on the choice of thromboprophylaxis. In patients with a low or moderate risk the

recommended dose is 20 mg/d and in patients with high risk the recommended dose is 40 mg/d.

### Documentation

At enrollment, patient characteristics, surgical procedures, and data on predisposing risk factors and concomitant medications were obtained. During follow-up a number of variables were obtained including the use of compression methods, interruption of therapy, a change in enoxaparin dose, immobilization, bleeding complications, and any evidence of VTE. In case of unexpected adverse events, these were recorded and announced to the responsible regulatory body.

### Documentation of VTE Events

In case of symptomatic DVT or PE, events had to be documented in the case report form. On day  $10 \pm 3$ , all patients underwent a compression ultrasound screening for asymptomatic VTE. In case a patient was discharged from the hospital prior to day  $10 \pm 3$  he or she was contacted and asked to return to the hospital for the scheduled investigation within the previously defined time frame. The CCUS investigation was conducted according to a predefined protocol and performed on either leg.<sup>14</sup> Furthermore, D-dimer testing, phlebography, computer tomography, and magnetic resonance tomography were performed where considered necessary by the treating physician to verify the diagnosis.

### Definition of Bleeding Complications

Major bleeding included serious, life-threatening bleeding or death, a decrease in hemoglobin  $\geq 2$  g/dL, blood transfusions of at least 2 units, retroperitoneal, intracranial or intraocular bleeding as well as bleeding complications causing an additional intervention. All other bleeding events were classified as minor.

### Statistical Analysis

PASW Statistics version 17 was used for the statistical analysis. For categorical data absolute and relative frequencies and for continuous variables average and standard deviations were calculated. The incidence rates for all patients and for both risk groups (20 and 40 mg enoxaparin) were determined. Odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined from univariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis.

## Results

### Patient Characteristics

This study was performed between September 2006 and July 2007. A total of 448 patients (mean age  $59.0 \pm 15.0$  years; 48.3% male) were documented. In all, 200 patients (44.6%) were bedridden with an average duration of  $3.9 \pm 6.1$  days. In all, 25.0% of patients had an American Society of Anesthesiologists score of 1, 51.7% had a score of 2, and 23.3% had a

**Table 2.** Patient Characteristics.

	n		Variable
	Available	N	
Age, mean $\pm$ SD	448		59.0 $\pm$ 15.0
Gender male, %	447	216	48.3
Weight, kg, mean $\pm$ SD	444		77.99 $\pm$ 15.03
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup> , mean $\pm$ SD	443		27.01 $\pm$ 4.73
Bedridden, %	448	200	44.6
Duration, days, mean $\pm$ SD	192		3.89 $\pm$ 6.05
Almost completely, %	254	65	33.5
Mostly, not fully, %	254	129	66.5
ASA score (%)	424		
1		106	25.0
2		219	51.7
3		99	23.3
ICD-10, %	448		
Malignant tumors		101	22.5
Benign tumors		12	2.7
Uncertain tumors		10	2.2
Gastrointestinal diseases		135	30.1
Hernias		66	14.7
Abdomen, misc		151	33.7
Wounds, infections, and injuries		11	2.5
Stoma		10	2.2
Miscellaneous		22	4.9
Duration of surgery (minutes), mean $\pm$ SD	442		109.7 $\pm$ 71.4
Type of anesthesia (%)	448		
Peridural indwelling catheter		77	17.2
Peridural anesthesia		8	1.8
Spinal anesthesia		5	1.1
Endotracheal anesthesia		438	97.8
Others		2	0.4

Abbreviations: ASA score, American Society of Anesthesiologists score; ICD-10, *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision*; SD, standard deviation.

score of 3 (Table 2). According to *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision*, most patients were classified as miscellaneous abdominal surgery (33.7%), gastrointestinal (30.1%), and malignant tumors (22.5%). The mean duration of surgery was 109.7  $\pm$  71.4 minutes. The majority of patients (97.8%) received endotracheal anesthesia; 32.6% of patient had cancer as a predisposing risk factor, 24.6% a medical history of venous disorders or thrombophilic conditions, and 16.5% had cardiopulmonary comorbidity (Table 3).

### Pharmacotherapy

In all, 43.6% of patients received 20 mg enoxaparin and 54.6% received 40 mg enoxaparin (1.8% other). The mean duration of prophylaxis was 8.9  $\pm$  7.5 days (Table 4). While interruptions of therapy were infrequent (2.0%), there was a considerable degree of early termination (<7 days; 42.0%). Specific comedication (anticoagulants, platelet inhibitors) was infrequent with aspirin (9.2%) and NSAID (10.7%) being the most often used.

**Table 3.** Predisposing Risk Factors.

	n		Variable
	Available	N	
Medical history of the venous system and thrombophilic diathesis, %	448	110	24.6
Deep vein thrombosis	448	21	4.7
Pulmonary embolism in anamnesis	448	6	1.3
Thrombosis in family members	448	20	4.5
PE in family members	448	7	1.6
Varicosis	448	80	17.9
Chronic venous insufficiency	448	35	7.8
Known thrombophilia	448	1	0.2
Polycythemia	448	1	0.2
Thrombocytosis	448	2	0.4
Cardiopulmonary comorbidity, %	448	74	16.5
Heart failure NYHA III	448	30	6.7
Heart failure NYHA IV	448	0	0
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	448	27	6
Other pulmonary disease	448	8	1.8
Cancer, %	448	146	32.6
Early cancer	448	46	10.3
Active cancer	448	92	20.5
Chemotherapy	448	16	3.6
Hormone therapy	448	3	0.7
Radiotherapy	448	13	2.9
Hormone therapy, %	448	36	8.0
Oral contraceptives	448	20	4.5
Hormone replacement therapy	448	16	3.6
Disease of the kidney, %	448	45	10
Kidney insufficiency	448	22	4.9
Nephrotic syndrome	448	0	0
Pregnancy, %	448	0	0
Postpartal period, %	448	2	0.4

Abbreviations: NYHA, New York Heart Association; PE, pulmonary embolism.

### Incidence of DVT/PE

During the treatment phase, 11 of 448 patients had suspected symptomatic DVT, but none was confirmed upon D-dimer testing or ultrasound examination (Table 5). Of the 448 patients, 1 had symptoms of PE with shortness of breath and thoracic oppression (0.22%; 95% CI -0.21%-0.66%). There were no asymptomatic cases detected upon CCUS screening. At the 90-day follow-up visit, of the 440 patients, there were 4 with symptoms of DVT (0.9%; 95% CI 0.02-1.80), and none with symptoms of PE. Asymptomatic DVT was not sought after at the follow-up. Overall 7 patients (1.6%; 95% CI 0.41-2.71) died during the course of the study, none of these during the treatment phase.

### Adverse Events and Bleeding Complications

In all, 52 patients had any adverse event during the treatment period (11.6%; 95% CI 8.64-14.57) of which 10 were considered to be serious (Table 6). Of all, 28 (6.3%) had adverse drug reactions (2 serious), of which general disorders and administration site conditions were most frequent (n = 21). In all, 24 patients had major bleeding at a mean of 1.5  $\pm$  3.7 days after surgery and 52 had any bleeding complications.

**Table 4.** Pharmacotherapy.

	n Available	N	Variable
Prophylaxis, %	445		
Enoxaparin 20 mg at medium risk		194	43.6
Enoxaparin 40 mg at high risk		243	54.6
Other		8	1.8
Start of prophylaxis postoperatively, days, mean $\pm$ SD	446		-1.20 $\pm$ 2.88
Duration of prophylaxis, days, mean $\pm$ SD	444		8.89 $\pm$ 7.54
Interruption of enoxaparin, %	448	9	2.0
Interruption, days, mean $\pm$ SD		7	2.29 $\pm$ 2.06
Early termination, %	448	188	42.0
Duration of treatment, days, mean $\pm$ SD		444	8.9 $\pm$ 7.5
Other nondrug prophylaxis, %	448		
Compression stockings		426	95.1
Compression bandage		3	0.7
Other		6	1.3
Comedication, %			
Aspirin	448	41	9.2
Ticlopidin	448		0
Clopidogrel	448	6	1.3
GPIIb/IIIa receptor antagonists	448		0
Dipyridamol	448		0
Dipyridamol with ASS	448	1	0.2
Fibrinolytics	448		0
NSAID	448	48	10.7

Abbreviations: ASS, acetylsalicylsäure; GP, glycoprotein; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SD, standard deviation.

Patients with major bleeding complications usually received the higher enoxaparin dose (Table 7). Further predictors were complete bedridden (OR 10.24), kidney disease (OR 9.71), and other chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (OR 6.33). These predictors also applied to patients with any bleeding complications, but the association with enoxaparin dose was not significant. Based on multivariable analyses major bleeding was more frequent in those bedridden (OR 5.49), with kidney disease (OR 5.53), peridural indwelling catheters (OR 4.01), and those using NSAID (OR 3.33).

## Discussion

Enoxaparin is highly effective in preventing VTE after surgical treatment. The present analysis of 448 patients that included a CCUS protocol to detect asymptomatic DVT identified no case of symptomatic or asymptomatic DVT and only 1 patient with PE during the treatment period. Four cases with symptomatic DVT (no case of PE) were observed during a 90-day follow-up. A total of 24 patients experienced major (5.4%) and 28 nonmajor bleeding complications (6.2%). Major bleeding was more frequent in those bedridden, with kidney disease, peridural indwelling catheters, and those using NSAID.

**Table 5.** Venous Thromboembolism.

	n Available	N	%	95% CI
During treatment				
Suspected	448	1	0.22	-0.21-0.66
symptomatic PE, %				
Shortness of breath	1	1		-
Thoracic oppression	1	1		-
Hemoptysis	1	0		-
Syncope	1	0		-
Shock	1	0		-
Suspected symptomatic DVT, %	448	11	2.46	1.02-3.89
Asymptomatic DVT (CCUS) %	448	0	0.0	-
Left leg, %		0	0.0	
Right leg, %		0	0.0	
Diagnostic evaluation, %	11	0	-	-
D-dimer	11	0		-
Ultrasound examination	11	0		-
Phlebography		0		-
Computer tomography		0		-
MR tomography		0		-
Confirmed symptomatic or asymptomatic VTE, %	448	1	0.22	-0.21-0.66
DVT		0	0.0	-
PE		1	0.22	-0.21-0.66
Death during treatment, %	448	0	0.0	-
FU by telephone call on day 90	n Available	N	Variable	95%CI
Symptoms of PE, %	440	0		-
Symptomatic DVT, %	440	4	0.91	0.02-1.76
Days since surgery, days, mean $\pm$ SD			57.3 $\pm$ 22.3	
Death during follow-up, %	448	7	1.56	0.41-2.71

Abbreviations: CCUS, complete compression ultrasound; CI, confidence interval; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; FU, follow-up; MR, magnet resonance; PE, pulmonary embolism; SD, standard deviation; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

## Patient Population and Incidence of Thromboembolic Events

Rates of VTE in patients undergoing general surgery without prophylaxis have been determined to be about 14.5%, (asymptomatic DVT) 0.5% for symptomatic PE, 0.9% for symptomatic VTE, and 0.9% for death in patients receiving placebo or no treatment.<sup>15</sup> The introduction of LMWH resulted in a risk reduction of VTE of up to 75% in these patients (relative risk [RR] 0.25-0.54).<sup>15</sup>

The enoxaparin trials available for this indication show a large variability in the incidence of DVT ranging from 0% for

**Table 6.** Number of Patients With Adverse Events (AEs) Without Casual Relationship or Adverse Drug Reaction (ADR) in the Observation Period and Adverse Drug Reactions Coded According to MedDRA.

	n	%	95% CI
Total population, n = 448 (multiple answers possible)			
Patients without AE	396	88.4	85.43-91.36
Patients with AE	52	11.6	8.64-14.57
Serious	10	19.2	
Not serious	42	80.8	
AEs (all)	83		14.93-22.12
Serious	13	15.7	
Not serious	70	84.3	
Patients with ADR	28	6.3	4.01-8.49
Serious	2	7.1	
Not serious	26	92.9	
MedDRA primary system organ classes (SOC)	n	%	
Patients with ADR	28	6.3	
ADR (all)	32		
Blood and lymphatic system disorders	2	6.3	
Cardiac disorders	1	3.1	
General disorders and administration site conditions	21	65.6	
Injury, poisoning, and procedural complications	1	3.1	
Vascular disorders	7	21.9	
Patients without ADR	420	93.8	
AEs of special interest	n	Variable	95% CI
Major bleeding, %	24	5.4	3.27-7.44
Day after surgery, days, mean $\pm$ SD		1.5 $\pm$ 3.7	
Minor bleeding, %	28	6.3	4.01-8.49
Duration of bleeding, days, mean $\pm$ SD		5.3 $\pm$ 4.4	
Any bleeding, %	52	11.6	8.64-14.57
Duration of bleeding, days, mean $\pm$ SD		5.3 $\pm$ 4.4	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation.

symptomatic and 0.4% for asymptomatic DVT to as high as 1.3% (symptomatic) and 14.4% (asymptomatic).<sup>2-12,16</sup> The broad range of event rates might be explained by a variety of disease conditions leading to surgery such as cancer in 100% of the Enoxaparin and Cancer (ENOXACAN) study patients which were having the highest risk and the longest exposure.<sup>6</sup> Pulmonary embolism risk is low with the highest rate reported by Gazzaniga at 0.5%.<sup>4</sup>

The population in our analysis might be classified as having an intermediate risk because of 34% being almost completely bedridden, 25% having a medical history indicating increased risk, and 33% any type of cancer. Despite this, the risk of symptomatic DVT was low (0% during treatment and about 1% thereafter) and is compatible with the low-risk trials such as the ones by Samama<sup>9-11,16</sup> (general surgery), Kaaja<sup>7</sup> (gynecology), and Gazzaniga<sup>4</sup> (general and vascular surgery). Risk was substantially lower compared to trials published by Nurmohamed<sup>3</sup> (general surgery), McLeod<sup>12</sup> (colorectal surgery), and the

**Table 7.** Odds Ratio of patient profiles for Bleeding Events (Any or Major Bleedings in Comparison to No Events) During the Study.

	Any Versus No, OR (95% CI)	Major Versus No, OR (95% CI)
Univariable analysis		
Bedridden	<b>1.81 (1.01-3.25)</b>	<b>9.58 (2.82-32.61)</b>
Almost completely	<b>4.88 (2.57-9.24)</b>	<b>10.24 (4.32-24.26)</b>
Rectum surgery	1.60 (0.71-3.59)	<b>2.87 (1.11-7.41)</b>
Peridural indwelling catheter	<b>3.36 (1.79-6.30)</b>	<b>5.52 (2.38-12.83)</b>
Medical history of the vein system and thrombophilic diathesis		
Anamnestic	<b>6.00 (1.30-27.60)</b>	NA
pulmonary embolism		
Thrombosis in family members	<b>3.56 (1.30-9.71)</b>	NA
Chronic venous insufficiency	<b>2.49 (1.06-5.81)</b>	<b>5.82 (2.23-15.21)</b>
Cardiopulmonary comorbidities		
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	2.33 (0.89-6.07)	<b>4.81 (1.64-17.08)</b>
Other pulmonary diseases	<b>4.79 (1.11-20.65)</b>	<b>6.33 (1.21-33.20)</b>
Active tumor disease	1.34 (0.68-2.63)	<b>2.98 (1.28-6.95)</b>
Kidney disease	<b>3.79 (1.84-7.82)</b>	<b>9.71 (4.04-23.31)</b>
Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	<b>5.72 (2.88-11.35)</b>	<b>4.80 (1.93-11.91)</b>
Prophylaxis		
Enoxaparin 20 mg at medium risk	0.65 (0.36-1.20)	<b>0.32 (0.12-0.88)</b>
Enoxaparin 40 mg at high risk	1.66 (0.91-3.04)	<b>3.34 (1.23-9.12)</b>
Kidney function		
Serum creatinine >150 $\mu$ mol/L	5.63 (0.89-35.39)	3.62 (0.64-20.41)
Creatinine clearance <30 mL/min	1.03 (0.15-7.19)	1.41 (0.20-9.96)
Multivariable logistic regression analysis <sup>a</sup>		
Bedriddenness		<b>5.49 (1.50-20.07)</b>
Peridural indwelling catheter	<b>3.37 (1.71-6.63)</b>	<b>4.01 (1.28-12.54)</b>
Kidney disease	<b>2.80 (1.22-6.41)</b>	<b>5.53 (1.87-16.35)</b>
Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	<b>5.07 (2.44-10.54)</b>	<b>3.33 (1.05-10.61)</b>

Abbreviations: CCUS, complete compression ultrasound; CI, confidence interval; NA, not applicable; OR, odds ratio.

<sup>a</sup> Considering only variables showing significant differences in the upper part of the table.

ENOXACAN<sup>6</sup> study (abdominopelvic surgery). We had only 1 patient experiencing PE during the treatment period (0.2%).

### Predictors of Bleeding

In clinical trials, the benefits of LMWH have been shown to be accomplished at an acceptable risk of (major) bleeding.<sup>15,17</sup> The risk of major hemorrhage is about doubled versus placebo (RR 2.03; 95% CI 1.37-3.01), accounting for an estimated incidence rate of 2.8%.<sup>15</sup> In our observation, the risk documented was 5.4% which is almost twice as high as

compared to the aforementioned meta-analysis.<sup>15</sup> Positive predictors for major bleeding complications were bedriddenness (OR 5.49; 95% CI 1.50-20.07), peridural indwelling catheters (OR 4.01; 95% CI 1.28-12.54), kidney disease (OR 5.53; 95% CI 1.87-16.35), and the concomitant use of NSAIDs (OR 3.33; 95% CI 1.05-10.61). A 40-mg dose was predicting major bleeding complications only in univariable but not in multivariable analyses.

For enoxaparin, a dose reduction of up to 50% has been suggested for patients with renal insufficiency needing prophylaxis.<sup>1,18</sup> This is of clinical importance because enoxaparin is widely used in patients with acute venous thrombosis and those at risk of developing VTE. Of those, approximately 50% have impaired renal function and a substantial fraction has severe renal insufficiency.<sup>19-21</sup> Our results strengthen the recommendation that renal function, usually assessed via serum creatinine, has to be checked in patients requiring anticoagulation undergoing general surgery and doses need to be adjusted appropriately. Furthermore, NSAID use appears to be frequent in patients undergoing general surgery (about 10% of patients in our real-world setting). This is usually an avoidable concomitant medication that can easily be reduced for the limited period requiring anticoagulation.

### Limitations

The CLEVER was a postauthorization survey in a real-world general surgery setting focussing on documenting actual treatment patterns. This implies a high external validity with direct relevance of the results for physicians treating these patients.<sup>22</sup> Compared to randomized controlled trials, a number of critical patients are included in noninterventional studies (NIS) that may have renal insufficiency, a high body weight, a number of coadministered platelet inhibitors or NSAIDs. On the other hand, these NIS are usually limited such as a lack of a control group and by the fact than unknown biases, for example, through patient selection, may have interfered with the results, making comparisons to other data more difficult. Further, the validity of CCUS has been questioned recently based on the finding of the VENography versus UltraSound (VENUS) validation study.<sup>23</sup> The VENUS results were surprisingly disappointing with an overall sensitivity of 31% (proximal < distal DVT) and a specificity of 93% in the patient-based comparison. However, the results seem to be characteristic for the orthopedic setting. By contrast, this strategy has been shown to be reliable in internal medicine, with trials like the Prospective Evaluation of Dalteparin Efficacy for Prevention of VTE in Immobilized Patients Trial (PREVENT),<sup>24</sup> the Extended Prophylaxis for Venous ThromboEmbolism (VTE) in Acutely Ill Medical Patients With Prolonged Immobilization (EXCLAIM) study,<sup>25,26</sup> and the Apixaban Dosing to Optimize Protection from Thrombosis (ADOPT) study,<sup>27</sup> even in the setting of multicenter studies that tend to be prone to interoperator variability.<sup>28</sup>

### Conclusions

Enoxaparin is highly effective in patients to prevent VTE after surgical treatment. Clinical predictors may be used to identify patients at high risk for treatment-related complications. Particular caution appears warranted in patients with kidney disease and those receiving potentially avoidable concomitant medications such as NSAIDs.

### Acknowledgment

The support of the participating physicians, their staff, and patients is acknowledged.

### Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Sebastian Schellong, Albrecht Encke, Peter Bramlage, and Sylvia Haas report to have received compensation for consulting and lecture honoraria from Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH. W. Dieter Paar is an employee of Sanofi Aventis.

### Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### References

- Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Crowther M, Gutterman DD, Schunemann HJ, American College of Chest Physicians Antithrombotic, Prevention of Thrombosis. Executive summary: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis. 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest*. 2012;141(2 suppl):7S-47S.
- Simonneau G, Laporte S, Mismetti P, et al. A randomized study comparing the efficacy and safety of nadroparin 2850 IU (0.3 mL) vs. enoxaparin 4000 IU (40 mg) in the prevention of venous thromboembolism after colorectal surgery for cancer. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2006;4(8):1693-1700.
- Nurmohamed MT, Verhaeghe R, Haas S, et al. A comparative trial of a low molecular weight heparin (enoxaparin) versus standard heparin for the prophylaxis of postoperative deep vein thrombosis in general surgery. *Am J Surg*. 1995;169(6):567-571.
- Gazzaniga GM, Angelini G, Pastorino G, Santoro S, Lucchini M, Dal Pra ML. Enoxaparin in the prevention of deep venous thrombosis after major surgery: multicentric study. The Italian Study Group. *Int Surg*. 1993;78(3):271-275.
- Ho YH, Seow-Choen F, Leong A, Eu KW, Nyam D, Teoh MK. Randomized, controlled trial of low molecular weight heparin vs. no deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis for major colon and rectal surgery in Asian patients. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 1999;42(2):196-202.
- Efficacy and safety of enoxaparin versus unfractionated heparin for prevention of deep vein thrombosis in elective cancer surgery: a double-blind randomized multicentre trial with venographic assessment. [ENOXACAN Study Group]. *Br J Surg*. 1997; 84(8):1099-1103.

7. Kaaja R, Lehtovirta P, Venesmaa P, et al. Comparison of enoxaparin, a low-molecular-weight heparin, and unfractionated heparin, with or without dihydroergotamine, in abdominal hysterectomy. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 1992;47(2):141-145.
8. Samama M, Bernard P, Bonnardot JP, Combe-Tamzali S, Lanson Y, Tissot E. Low molecular weight heparin compared with unfractionated heparin in prevention of postoperative thrombosis. *Br J Surg.* 1988;75(2):128-131.
9. Combe S, Samama MM. Prevention of thromboembolic disease in general surgery with clexane (enoxaparin). *Semin Thromb Hemost.* 1991;17(suppl 3):291-295.
10. Samama M, Combe S. Prevention of thromboembolic disease in general surgery with enoxaparin (Clexane). *Acta Chir Scand Suppl.* 1990;556:91-95.
11. Samama M, Combe-Tamzali S. Prevention of thromboembolic disease in general surgery with enoxaparin. *Br J Clin Pract Suppl.* 1989;65:9-15.
12. McLeod RS, Geerts WH, Sniderman KW, et al. Subcutaneous heparin versus low-molecular-weight heparin as thromboprophylaxis in patients undergoing colorectal surgery: results of the canadian colorectal DVT prophylaxis trial: a randomized, double-blind trial. *Ann Surg.* 2001;233(3):438-444.
13. AWMF [S3-Guideline: Prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism (VTE)]. <http://www.awmf.org/leitlinien/detail/ll/003-001.html>. Accessed [December 14, 2011].
14. Schellong S, Hesselschwerdt HJ, Paar WD, von Hanstein KL. Rates of proximal deep vein thrombosis as assessed by compression ultrasonography in patients receiving prolonged thromboprophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin after major orthopedic surgery. *Thromb Haemost.* 2005;94(3):532-536.
15. Mismetti P, Laporte S, Darmon JY, Buchmuller A, Decousus H. Meta-analysis of low molecular weight heparin in the prevention of venous thromboembolism in general surgery. *Br J Surg.* 2001; 88(7):913-930.
16. Samama MM. Prevention of postoperative thromboembolism in general surgery with enoxaparin. *Eur J Surg Suppl.* 1994;(571): 31-33.
17. Haas S, Wolf H, Kakkar AK, Fareed J, Encke A. Prevention of fatal pulmonary embolism and mortality in surgical patients: a randomized double-blind comparison of LMWH with unfractionated heparin. *Thromb Haemost.* 2005;94(4):814-819.
18. Lim W, Dentali F, Eikelboom JW, Crowther MA. Meta-analysis: low-molecular-weight heparin and bleeding in patients with severe renal insufficiency. *Ann Intern Med.* 2006;144(9):673-684.
19. Collet JP, Montalescot G, Agnelli G, et al. Non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome in patients with renal dysfunction: benefit of low-molecular-weight heparin alone or with glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors on outcomes. The Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events. *Eur Heart J.* 2005;26(21):2285-2293.
20. Gibson CM, Dumaine RL, Gelfand EV, et al. Association of glomerular filtration rate on presentation with subsequent mortality in non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome; observations in 13,307 patients in five TIMI trials. *Eur Heart J.* 2004;25(22):1998-2005.
21. Buller HR, Davidson BL, Decousus H, et al. Subcutaneous fondaparinux versus intravenous unfractionated heparin in the initial treatment of pulmonary embolism. *N Engl J Med.* 2003; 349(18):1695-1702.
22. Brown ML, Gersh BJ, Holmes DR, Bailey KR, Sundt 3rd TM. From randomized trials to registry studies: translating data into clinical information. *Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med.* 2008; 5(10):613-620.
23. Schellong SM, Beyer J, Kakkar AK, et al. Ultrasound screening for asymptomatic deep vein thrombosis after major orthopaedic surgery: the VENUS study. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2007;5(7):1431-1437.
24. Leizorovicz A, Cohen AT, Turpie AG, Olsson CG, Vaitkus PT, Goldhaber SZ. Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of dalteparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism in acutely ill medical patients. *Circulation.* 2004;110(7):874-879.
25. Hull RD, Schellong SM, Tapson VF, et al. Extended-duration venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in acutely ill medical patients with recently reduced mobility: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2010;153(1):8-18.
26. Hull RD, Schellong SM, Tapson VF, et al. Extended-duration thromboprophylaxis in acutely ill medical patients with recent reduced mobility: methodology for the EXCLAIM study. *J Thromb Thrombolysis.* 2006;22(1):31-38.
27. Goldhaber SZ, Leizorovicz A, Kakkar AK, et al. Apixaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis in medically ill patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365(23):2167-2177.
28. Schellong SM. Venous ultrasonography in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients: an updated review. *Curr Opin Pulm Med.* 2008;14(5):374-380.