Thermo-Structure Interaction in Rocket Nozzles Caroline Danowski, Lena Yoshihara, and Wolfgang A. Wall

Institute for Computational Mechanics, Technische Universität München, Germany www.lnm.mw.tum.de



monolithic

partitioned

800

700

 $\mathbf{\Sigma}$

monolithic CTE = 10

Introduction

Project Goals

- Improved understanding of the complex problem of thermo-fluid-structure interaction (TFSI) for the design of future rocket nozzles
- Correct and precise prediction of mechanical and thermal loads acting on a rocket nozzle during flight conditions
- Development of a numerical model for TFSI [1] by coupling a complex turbulent flow model including shock-boundary-layer interaction using LES [2] with the fully coupled thermomechanical structure [3]

Numerical Examples

• Apply combined thermomechanical loading and one-step-heta time integration scheme

Material Parameters

	$k \left[\frac{W}{m \cdot K}\right]$	$C_{\rm V}$ $\left[\frac{\rm J}{\rm kg\cdot K}\right]$	$\alpha_T [\frac{1}{\mathrm{K}}]$	T_0 [K]	E [GPa]	$ \nu$ [-]	$ ho$ $\left[\frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{m}^3}\right]$
Copper alloy	310	$373 \mathrm{\ s}$	$1.72 \cdot 10^{-5}$	293.15	E = 148	0.3	9130
Nickel jacket	75	444	$1.22 \cdot 10^{-5}$	293.15	193	0.3	8910

Internally loaded Hollow Sphere

• Additional modified system with $\tilde{E} = 100E$ (left), and $\tilde{\alpha}_{T} = 10\alpha_{T}$ (right)

Objective

- Development of an overall 3D thermo-structure interaction (TSI) model enabling the realistic complete thermomechanical coupling
- \rightarrow In contrast to most literature, consider all coupling terms in the balance equations for structure and thermo field
- Development of a robust, highly efficient monolithic solution approach for TSI based on block-Gauss Seidel preconditioning combined with algebraic multigrid

Thermo-Structure Interaction [3]

Weak Form of Volume Coupled Problem

$$\begin{split} r_T &= \int_{\Omega_0} \rho_0 C_V \dot{T} \, \delta T \, \mathrm{d}V - \int_{\Omega_0} Q \cdot \nabla_0 \delta T \, \mathrm{d}V - \int_{\Gamma_{0,N,T} \setminus \Gamma_{0,c}} \hat{Q} \, \delta T \, \mathrm{d}A - \int_{\Gamma_{0,c}} \hat{Q}_c \, \delta T \, \mathrm{d}A - \\ &- \int_{\Omega_0} T \, m_0 \, I : \dot{E}^e \, \delta T \, \mathrm{d}V - \int_{\Omega_0} \mathcal{D}_{\text{mech}} \, \delta T \, \mathrm{d}V = 0 \\ r_d &= \int_{\Omega_0} \rho_0 \, \ddot{d} \cdot \delta d \, \mathrm{d}V + \int_{\Omega_0} S \cdot \delta E \, \mathrm{d}V - \int_{\Omega_0} \hat{b}_0 \cdot \delta d \, \mathrm{d}V - \int_{\Gamma_{0,N,d}} \hat{t}_0 \cdot \delta d \, \mathrm{d}V = 0 \\ \text{with} \qquad \mathcal{D}_{\text{mech}} = \tau : d^p + A_k * \dot{\alpha}_k \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \qquad \hat{Q}_c \, \mathrm{d}A_0 = \int_{\Gamma_{P,c}} h \left(T - T_\infty\right) |\tilde{n}| \, \mathrm{d}\xi^1 \, \mathrm{d}\xi^2 \end{split}$$

Available time integration schemes for TSI: generalised- α , one-step- θ scheme

$\rightarrow \text{ No convergence of partitioned TSI with full coupling and modified system} \overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\tilde{g}}}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}}{\overset{\tilde{g}$

 \rightarrow Solution times partitioned/monolithic TSI 434.2%

1.5

Fully 3D Rocket Configuration Including Cooling Channels



→ Solution times partitioned/monolithic TSI 141.25%

Partitioned TSI

- Default in literature for solving TSI problem
- Individual fields may be treated by existing field-specific software packages
- Loosely and strongly coupled partitioned algorithms
- → Drawbacks: loosely: only conditional stable, strongly: slow convergence

Monolithic TSI

Solving of the coupled nonlinear system simultaneously



• Exact fulfilment of coupling condition

Good preconditioning strategy is required

Robust, efficient solution strategy based on block-Gauss Seidel preconditioning combined with algebraic multigrid (AMG) for the approximation of diagonal blocks
Large linear block system of equations





Geometrically nonlinear analysis and thermoelastic material



- Geometrically nonlinear analysis and
 - Small strain thermo-elasto-plastic material for copper [4]
 - Thermoelastic material for nickel



Partitioned TSI vs. Monolithic TSI

- Partitioned TSI only applicable for specific choice of material parameters
- Monolithic TSI applicable for the whole range of material parameters
- Partitioned TSI much slower, even if acceleration techniques are considered

Outlook – Thermo-Fluid-Structure Interaction

Loosely coupling of TFSI based on Dirichlet-Neumann partitioning [1]

Step 1: Dirichlet values of the solid are

ana ana amin'ny fisiana amandra amin'ny tanàna amin'ny faritr'i Andrea. Ilay kaominina dia kaominina dia kaomini Jeografia

Materials for Thermo-Structure Interaction

Isotropic, linear Fourier's Law for the Heat Flux

 $\boldsymbol{Q} = -k_0 \boldsymbol{C}^{-1} \nabla_0 \boldsymbol{T}$

Thermomechanical Materials for the structure

Different temperature-dependent materials available
Additive split into mechanical and thermal parts

 $S = S(d, T, \alpha_k) = S_d + S_T$

• Thermoelastic materials with temperature-dependent Young's modulus

$S = 2 \mu(T) E + \lambda(T) (E \cdot I) I + m(T) \Delta T I$

• Thermo-elasto-plastic materials

- Small strain thermo-elasto-plastic material with von Mises plasticity including mixed hardening and temperature dependency [4]
- Small strain Robinson's visco-plastic material [5]

applied to the fluid Step 2: Fluid is advanced in time (including subcycling) Step 3: Neumann loads of the fluid are applied to the solid Step 4: TSI system is advanced in time

<u>Acknowledgement</u>



Support by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft - DFG) in the framework of the Collaborative Research Centre SFB/TRR 40 "Fundamental Technologies for the Development of Future Space-Transport-System Components under High Thermal and Mechanical Loads" and by the Graduate School of Technische Universität München is gratefully acknowledges.

References

- [1] Hammerl, G., Danowski, C., Grilli, M., Adams, N.A., Wall, W.A. (2012): A coupled thermo-fluid-structure interaction approach based on an immersed interface method and a monolithic thermo-structure interaction approach, Proceedings of 1st ECCOMAS YIC.
- [2] Grilli, M., Schmid, P., Hickel, S., Adams, N.A. (2012): Analysis of unsteady behavior in shockwave turbulent boundary layer interaction, J. Fluid. Mech., Vol. 700, pp.16-28.
- [3] Danowski, C., Gravemeier, V., Yoshihara, L., Wall, W.A. (2013): A monolithic computational approach to thermo-structure interaction, in press in IJNME.
- [4] Kuhl, D., Riccius J., Haidn O.J. (2002): Thermomechanical analysis and optimization of cryogenic liquid rocket engines. J. Propul. Power; 18:835–846.
- [5] Arya V.K., Arnold S.M. (1992): Viscoplastic analysis of an experimental cylindrical thrust chamber liner. AIAA Journal; 30:781–789.

