



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Laura Medina-Puche,
University of Tübingen, Germany

REVIEWED BY

Walter Chitarra,
Council for Agricultural and Economics
Research (CREA), Italy
Ales Eichmeier,
Mendel University in Brno, Czechia

*CORRESPONDENCE

Florence Fontaine
✉ florence.fontaine@univ-reims.fr

[†]These authors share first authorship

[‡]These authors share last authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to
Plant Pathogen Interactions,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Plant Science

RECEIVED 10 January 2023

ACCEPTED 15 March 2023

PUBLISHED 11 April 2023

CITATION

Trouvelot S, Lemaitre-Guillier C, Vallet J,
Jacquens L, Douillet A, Harir M, Larignon P,
Roullier-Gall C, Schmitt-Kopplin P,
Adrian M and Fontaine F (2023) Sodium
arsenite-induced changes in the wood of
esca-diseased grapevine at cytological and
metabolomic levels.
Front. Plant Sci. 14:1141700.
doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1141700

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Trouvelot, Lemaitre-Guillier, Vallet,
Jacquens, Douillet, Harir, Larignon, Roullier-
Gall, Schmitt-Kopplin, Adrian and Fontaine.
This is an open-access article distributed
under the terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the original
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are
credited and that the original publication in
this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Sodium arsenite-induced changes in the wood of esca-diseased grapevine at cytological and metabolomic levels

Sophie Trouvelot^{1†}, Christelle Lemaitre-Guillier^{1†}, Julie Vallet²,
Lucile Jacquens¹, Antonin Douillet¹, Mourad Harir^{3,4},
Philippe Larignon⁵, Chloé Roullier-Gall⁴,
Philippe Schmitt-Kopplin⁴, Marielle Adrian^{1‡}
and Florence Fontaine^{2*‡}

¹Agroécologie, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Institut National de Recherche pour l'agriculture, l'alimentation et l'environnement (INRAE), Institut Agro Dijon, Univ. Bourgogne, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Dijon, France, ²Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Unité de recherche Résistance Induite et Bioprotection des Plantes (RIBP) USC Institut National de Recherche pour l'agriculture, l'alimentation et l'environnement (INRAE) 1488, Reims, France, ³Research Unit Analytical BioGeoChemistry, Helmholtz Munich, Neuherberg, Germany, ⁴Chair Analyt Food Chem, Technical University Munich, Freising, Germany, ⁵Institut Français de la Vigne et du Vin (IFV) Pôle Rhône-Méditerranée, Rodilhan, France

In the past, most grapevine trunk diseases (GTDs) have been controlled by treatments with sodium arsenite. For obvious reasons, sodium arsenite was banned in vineyards, and consequently, the management of GTDs is difficult due to the lack of methods with similar effectiveness. Sodium arsenite is known to have a fungicide effect and to affect the leaf physiology, but its effect on the woody tissues where the GTD pathogens are present is still poorly understood. This study thus focuses on the effect of sodium arsenite in woody tissues, particularly in the interaction area between asymptomatic wood and necrotic wood resulting from the GTD pathogens' activities. Metabolomics was used to obtain a metabolite fingerprint of sodium arsenite treatment and microscopy to visualize its effects at the histo-cytological level. The main results are that sodium arsenite impacts both metabolome and structural barriers in plant wood. We reported a stimulator effect on plant secondary metabolites in the wood, which add to its fungicide effect. Moreover, the pattern of some phytotoxins is affected, suggesting the possible effect of sodium arsenite in the pathogen metabolism and/or plant detoxification process. This study brings new elements to understanding the mode of action of sodium arsenite, which is useful in developing sustainable and eco-friendly strategies to better manage GTDs.

KEYWORDS

Chardonnay, trunk diseases, metabolites, toxins, histology, autofluorescence, vineyard, plant defenses

Introduction

Viticulture is of great economic importance worldwide with an estimated area of 7.3 million hectares and a market of nearly 30 billion euros (OIV, April 2020, <https://oiv.int/>). However, it faces major problems including climate change and protection against diseases that affect yield, wine quality, and the safeguarding of vineyards. Most cryptogamic diseases are controlled by fungicides, some of which are known for their health and environmental risks as recently reported by Dumitriu Gabur et al. (2022). Related to these risks, some fungicides are prohibited, leaving ineffective solutions available for some diseases, especially grapevine trunk diseases (GTDs). These diseases were controlled by a pesticide based on sodium arsenite, banned in 2001 in France and 2003 in other European countries (Spinosi et al., 2009). This pesticide was strongly effective against esca disease, one of the three main GTDs with *Botryosphaeria dieback* and *Eutypa dieback* (Larignon et al., 2008; Larignon, 2016). These GTDs are considered to be the most destructive grapevine diseases in the world, leading to an alteration of vineyard heritage and serious economic losses in the wine industry (De la Fuente et al., 2016). The estimate of their incidence over 6 years reaches more than 10% of the 329 French studied vineyards for both esca disease and *Botryosphaeria dieback* and 25% for *Eutypa dieback* (Bruez et al., 2013). Considering a replacement of only 1% of plants per year, the overall annual global financial cost was estimated in 2012 at 1.132 billion euros, for example (Hofstetter et al., 2012).

Esca disease is a complex of diseases caused by several fungi, such as *Phaeoacremonium minimum*, *Phaeomoniella chlamydospora*, and *Fomitiporia mediterranea* (Surico et al., 2008; Surico, 2009). These pathogens are localized in the woody tissues of perennial organs and, to a lesser extent, in lignified 1-year-old canes but absent in the leaves where symptoms are expressed (Larignon and Dubos, 1997; Graniti et al., 2000; Fourié and Halleen, 2002; Retief et al., 2006; Bortolami et al., 2021). Leaf external symptoms consist of a chronic form characterized by the appearance of typical tiger-like necrosis and chlorosis. Apoplectic form turns to sudden wilting of leaves followed by rapid death of one or more canes or even the entire plant (Mugnai et al., 1999; Mondello et al., 2018a). The most common wood symptoms include degradation, namely, white rot and multiple discoloration patterns, such as i) black streaks in the wood involving one or more xylem vessels and ii)

areas of brown and dark necrosis circumscribing the pith, which is most frequently observed (Larignon and Dubos, 2001; Lecomte et al., 2012; Mondello et al., 2018a).

Until 2003 in European countries, GTDs, especially esca disease, were limited in vineyards by the use of sodium arsenite (Spinosi et al., 2009). Since the banning of this fungicide, due to its high toxicity to human health (Saha et al., 1999; Spinosi et al., 2009), no effective treatment is now available to control them. Other chemical compounds such as triazoles (Dula et al., 2007; Gramaje et al., 2009) or Fosetyl-Al[®] (Di Marco et al., 2011; Díaz and Latorre, 2013) have been tested, but their effectiveness depends in particular on the application mode, location (nursery or vineyard), and the targeted pathogen (for review, see Gramaje et al., 2018; Mondello et al., 2018a). Recently, a copper-based product combined with a carrier, hydroxyapatite, and plant extracts have been evaluated (Battiston et al., 2021; Mondello et al., 2021; Reis et al., 2021; Mondello et al., 2022; Reis et al., 2022) as well as aqueous ozone (Romeo-Oliván et al., 2021). Meanwhile, preventive practices such as delayed pruning and application of pruning-wound protectants (Weber et al., 2007; Rolshausen et al., 2010), and “curative” practices such as trunk surgery by removing necrotic wood (Cholet et al., 2021; Pacetti et al., 2021) and re-grafting are being developed (for review Mondello et al., 2018b). Finally, biocontrol strategies including the use of species of *Trichoderma* (Di Marco et al., 2021; Leal et al., 2021), *Pythium oligandrum* (Gerborne et al., 2013; Yacoub et al., 2016; Yacoub et al., 2020), and *Bacillus subtilis* or other bacteria (Halleen et al., 2010; Haidar et al., 2016; Pinto et al., 2018; Leal et al., 2021) are also being investigated and are still in progress. However, to date, all these strategies remain insufficient to control GTDs, especially esca disease, compared to sodium arsenite. Therefore, a better understanding of the sodium arsenite actions is needed to provide alternative eco-friendly solutions to prevent or limit GTD development and incidence.

Previous studies on the mode of action of sodium arsenite are rather limited and mainly focused on its impact on GTD pathogens (Da Costa, 1971; Carbonell-Barrachina et al., 1997; Larignon et al., 2008) and dynamics *in planta* after application (Carbonell-Barrachina et al., 1997; Larignon et al., 2008). Between 2013 and 2016, a global project dedicated to the characterization of the action of sodium arsenite was carried out in order to propose a mimic strategy against GTDs, of similar effectiveness but with lower environmental risks. This project particularly focused on the impact of sodium arsenite on i) the wood microbiota including GTD pathogens and ii) plant physiology at cytological, transcriptomic, and metabolomic levels. Regarding wood microbiota, Bruez et al. (2020) reported a stronger effect of sodium arsenite treatment on the fungal community compared to the bacterial one and highlighted its effectiveness, especially against *F. mediterranea*—an esca pathogen responsible for the necrotic white-rot tissues (Mugnai et al., 1999). On grapevine physiology, Songy et al. (2019) observed first a decrease and then stimulation of photosynthesis with simultaneous activation of some grapevine defense responses. The objective of this paper focuses on the effect of sodium arsenite in woody tissues, particularly in the interaction area between healthy wood and necrotic wood resulting from the GTD pathogens’ activities. A combination of

Abbreviations: Asn, Vines treated with sodium arsenite and without Esca foliar symptoms (Asn = Arsenite); CH, Vines not treated with sodium arsenite and without Esca foliar symptom (CH = Control Healthy); CCh, Vines not treated with sodium arsenite, expressing chronic Esca foliar symptoms (CCh = Control Chronic); CA, Vines not treated with sodium arsenite, expressing apoplectic foliar symptoms (CA = Control Apoplectic); WH, Healthy wood, without necrosis; WS, Streaking wood, showing very localized (punctual) brown-black necrosis points; WI, Wood from the interaction area between unaltered and altered wood; GTD, Grapevine Trunk Disease; cv, cultivar; BBCH, Biologische Bundesanstalt, Bundessortenamt und Chemische Industrie; FT-ICR MS, Fourier Transform - Ion Cyclotron Resonance Mass Spectrometry; LSSIM, Leaf Stripe Symptoms-Inducing Molecules.

global and targeted approaches was used: metabolomics to obtain a metabolite fingerprint of sodium arsenite treatment and microscopy to visualize its effects at the histo-cytological level. Overall, these studies will provide useful insights into the process of protection by sodium arsenite against GTDs.

Materials and methods

Plant material

In 2013, spotting was performed in a 27-year-old vineyard of cv. Chardonnay grafted on SO4 rootstock planted at 7,575 plants/ha. Plants were vertically trained and pruned according to the Chablis method. The vineyard is located in the province of Epernay (Avize, France, GPS coordinates: 48°58'29"N, 04°00'46"E) and is owned by the professional school "Lycée VitiCampus". It is characterized by an average annual temperature of 10.8°C and 480 mm of annual precipitation, and the soil is clay and sandy loam. No treatment with sodium arsenite has been performed in this experimental plot in the past. Fifteen plants were selected and labeled: five were apparently healthy, without foliar esca disease symptoms, and 10 were diseased, with esca disease chronic foliar symptoms as described by Mugnai et al. (1999) and Mondello et al. (2018a). Among the latter, five were treated the next winter year (2014) with sodium arsenite. For both conditions, treated and not treated by sodium arsenite, a classical conventional phytosanitary itinerary was applied against downy mildew and powdery mildew. For the general diffusion of esca, the annual incidence was very low and close to 2% as globally observed in the Champagne vineyard (Mondello et al., 2022).

Treatment and sampling


The following year (2014), five plants that were chronically diseased in 2013 were selected and treated with sodium arsenite (Pyralesca RS) at 1,250 g/hL until streaming, at the end of winter

after pruning (10 March 2014), and before bud bursting, BBCH 00 (Meier, 2001).

In the middle of September 2014, the labeled vines were divided into four groups (Figure 1; Supplementary Material): i) "Asn" for diseased vines in 2013 treated with sodium arsenite (Asn) and without external symptom expression in September 2014; ii) "CCh" for diseased vines in 2013 not treated with sodium arsenite (as control, C) and with chronic (Ch) form of esca expression in 2014; iii) "CA" for diseased vines in 2013 not treated with sodium arsenite (as control, C) and with apoplectic (A) form of esca expression in 2014; and iv) "CH" for apparently healthy vines not treated with sodium arsenite (as control, C) and visually healthy (H) in both 2013 and 2014 (Figure 1). In addition, the leaves of CH and Asn samples were asymptomatic of esca, whereas CCh and CA leaves were symptomatic. All vines were uprooted 1 week before harvest, and, for each vine, the wood of the trunk was collected and divided into three sample types: visually healthy area (WH), streaked area (WS), and interaction area (WI) covering unaltered and altered wood tissues (Figure 1). As much as possible, the samples of the different modalities were taken from equivalent wood strata, the most peripheral possible (i.e., where functional healthy wood could be found).

For metabolomics, WH, WS, and WI wood samples were immediately frozen with liquid nitrogen after being cut at the laboratory and subsequently stored at -80°C. For each modality, two and three independent vines (for CA and Asn, and CH and CCh, respectively) were sampled.

For microscopy, WH and WI samples were either i) stored at -20°C before being observed under a stereomicroscope or a scanning electron microscope or ii) sliced in pieces of strips 0.1 to 0.3 cm wide before being immediately fixed overnight at 4°C (see below). For this study, we chose not to sample the apoplectic modality (CA) for fear of reading artefactual repercussions associated with cell death of aerial parts. In this context, for each studied modality (CH, CCh, and Asn), three independent vines were sampled. For each one, a minimum of seven wood fragments were collected in each area (WS and WI).



FTICR-MS analysis

In total, 26 wood samples ($n = 10$ WH, $n = 7$ WS, and $n = 9$ WI) were analyzed by Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry (FTICR-MS). They were all prepared with the same protocol. Samples were ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen with a Mixer Mill MM 400 (Retsch, Haan, Germany) before analysis, and 15 mg of each was added with 1 ml of methanol (Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) grade, Fluka Analytical; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). After sonication for 30 min and centrifugation (25,000 g, 10 min, room temperature), the supernatant was collected and re-diluted in methanol (1/50 v/v). Ultra-high-resolution mass spectra were acquired using an FTICR-MS (solariX, BrukerDaltonics GmbH, Bremen, Germany) equipped with a 12-Tesla superconducting magnet (Magnex Scientific Inc., Yarnton, UK) and an APOLO II ESI source (Bruker Daltonics GmbH, Bremen, Germany) operated in the negative ionization mode. Samples were introduced into the micro-electrospray source at a flow rate of 120 μ l/h. Spectra were acquired with a time domain of 4 mega words over a mass range of 100 to 1,000, and 300 scans were accumulated per sample.

Spectra were externally calibrated on arginine clusters (10 mg/L in methanol). Further internal calibration was performed for each sample by using a list of ubiquitous fatty acids and recurrent wine compounds, allowing mass accuracies of 0.1 ppm (Gougeon et al., 2009). Exact masses were then run through the Netcalc algorithm, an in-house software tool to obtain unambiguous chemical formulas (Tziotis et al., 2011) and were validated next by setting van Krevelen chemical constraints (O/C ratio ≤ 1 ; double bond equivalent (DBE): $0 < \text{DBE}/\text{C} < 5$ H/C ratio $\leq 2n + 2$ and aromaticity index (IA): $-20 < \text{IA} < 0.7$; element counts: C ≤ 100 , H ≤ 200 , O ≤ 80 , N ≤ 3 , S ≤ 3 and P ≤ 1) applied to exclude rare or impossible formulas. It also enabled the classification of formulas according to their atomic compositions (i.e., CHO, CHOS, CHON, CHONS, CHOP, and CHONP CHONSP). Mass annotations were obtained by KEGG, HMDB, and LipidMaps databases queries against *Vitis vinifera* organism (0.1 ppm tolerance error value) by Masstrix software facility (<https://metabolomics.helmholtz-muenchen.de/masstrix3/>). The raw formula of the m/z was used for functional categorization of the annotated compounds (lipids, peptides, amino sugars, carbohydrates, nucleotides, phytochemicals (i.e., secondary metabolites), and NM when not matching) using the multidimensional stoichiometric constraint classification (MSCC) described by Rivas-Ubach et al. (2018), based on the C/H/O/N/P stoichiometric ratios. Perseus software version 1.6.8.0 (<https://maxquant.net/perseus/>) was used to determine significant compounds (ANOVA multivariate statistical analysis ($p < 0.05$)) to perform additional t-test comparisons (false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05) between two sample groups and to draw heatmaps. The 20 most regulated annotated compounds between the two sample groups were sorted in the Top20 lists. Principal component analyses (PCAs) were performed, and illustrations were built with RStudio software (ade4 and vegan packages). Targeted fungal toxins and plant secondary metabolites were searched in the peak lists

based on their theoretical m/z but should be considered putative (Supplementary Table S1).

Cytological approaches

For stereomicroscopy observations

The slices of the wood fragments (1 cm side cubes) were first refreshed using a razor blade before being directly observed under a stereo microscope (SMZ25 Nikon). Those fresh samples were thus observed in a bright field and under epifluorescence (UV excitation at 330–380 nm and/or blue at 420–495 nm). In bright fields, this method made it possible to visualize the state of plant cells (healthy areas, areas obstructed by tyloses or gums, or tinder areas). Under epifluorescence, it was possible to reveal the autofluorescence of defense compounds and in particular phenolic compounds, which are excitable at the wavelengths used.

Scanning electron microscopy observations

The slices of the wood fragments (1 cm side and 0.5 cm thick) were first refreshed using a razor blade before being directly observed under a scanning electron microscope (Philips XL-30 ESEM LaB6). This method allowed us to characterize the wood surfaces.

In addition, in order to detect some traces of Asn in the tissues observed, the samples were analyzed using a scanning microscope (JEOL JSM 7600F) coupled with an X-ray detector (EDX 80 mm X-Max, Oxford Instruments, Abingdon, UK). X-ray microanalysis allows elemental analysis by detecting the characteristic X-rays of the elements present. It allows in particular specific analyses with a spatial resolution of the order of $1 \mu\text{m}^3$ and is qualitative as well as quantitative. In this sense, it is able to detect Asn of approximately 50 ppm.

All these observations were made at the DImaCell platform of the UMR Agroecology (INRAE, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Dijon, France).

Light microscopy observations of semi-thin sections

Wood samples were first fixed overnight at 4°C in 0.1% glutaraldehyde/4% paraformaldehyde prepared in 0.1 M of phosphate buffer with pH 7.2 and supplemented with 1% sucrose and 0.1% Tween 20. Then, samples were dehydrated by successive baths in ethanol (30%, 30 min at 4°C; 50%, 1 h at -20°C ; 70%, 1 h at -20°C ; 95%, 30 min at -20°C ; and 100%, 30 min at -20°C). The samples were then embedded in LR White resin (London Resin Company, London, UK) and underwent polymerization in Beem[®] capsules (Hemi-hyperbole beem capsule, Agar Scientific Limited, Stansted, UK) under UV at -20°C , as described in Trouvelot et al. (2008). Semi-thin transverse sections (500 nm thick) were then made using an UltraCut E ultra-microtome (Reichert-Jung) equipped with a “histo” diamond (6 mm, 45°). The semi-thin sections were placed on glass slides (76 × 26 mm, Knittel Glass; approximately 20 sections per slide) before being either i) stained

with toluidine blue (1% in aqueous solution) or ii) observed directly by epifluorescence. For each treatment, seven to nine distinct samples (i.e., woody fragments embedded in resin blocks) were studied, and at least two distant areas were observed per block.

Results and discussion

According to the first observations, the plants treated with sodium arsenite (Asn) did not express esca foliar symptoms, the five apparently healthy (CH) plants in 2013 retained the same status in 2014, and the five plants expressing esca symptoms (CCh) in 2013 re-expressed them in 2014, with three in chronic form and two apoplectic. The non-expression of esca foliar symptoms of diseased plants after sodium arsenite treatment confirms the healing and efficacy of this treatment against esca (Larignon et al., 2008; Songy et al., 2019; Del Frari et al., 2022).

Wood samples discriminate between sodium arsenite-treated and untreated grapevines


Principal component analyses of all wood samples (4,825 *m/z*) of asymptomatic (Asn and CH) and symptomatic vines (CCh and CA) were performed and compared (Figure 2). It showed a clear separation of healthy wood (WH) from streaked wood (WS)

samples and even further from the interaction area (WI) for the three comparisons (Figure 2A). Focus was therefore placed on the wood of the interaction zone (WI), and the hierarchical clustering analysis confirmed that this subgroup allowed clear discrimination between Asn-treated grapevines and the other two, CCh and CH, by 1,132 and 1,162 *m/z*, respectively (Figure 2B).

The treatment by sodium arsenite therefore strongly and locally modified the metabolome of the interaction zone, which is the intermediate area between the healthy wood (WH), not yet infected by GTD pathogens, and the infected necrotic central wood. The interaction area by its location may have a role in limiting the colonization of GTD pathogens and perhaps in controlling the expression of GTD symptoms. A thorough characterization of the response in this particular area was performed.

Sodium arsenite induced significant changes in the metabolome of the interaction zone

Statistical analysis was performed on WI samples to compare Asn, CCh, and CH groups. Analyses were first applied to all *m/z* data sets; characterizations (formula and functional categorizations) and annotations were then carried out if significant ($p < 0.05$) *m/z* (Supplementary Table S2). Throughout the analysis process, the output lists were up to 98% identical.



Although vines treated with sodium arsenite did not express leaf symptoms, the metabolome in the wood interaction area (WI-Asn) was quite different from that of the WI-CH group. The comparison between WI-Asn and WI-CH samples highlighted 1,132 *m/z* accumulated significantly differently (Supplementary Table S2). These *m/z* values led to the creation of a list of 1,071 raw formulas, of which 1,057 were chemically classified into compounds CHO (73%), CHON (14%), and CHONS (5%) (Figure 3A). The overall analysis ended with 1,037 unique raw formulas—when removing isotopic forms and adduct ions (Supplementary Table S2)—among which 1,033 were more accumulated in WI-Asn compared to WI-CH and four were less accumulated in WI-Asn than in WI-CH (Figure 3A). They were mainly phytochemicals (42%) and lipid-like (26%). From the 1,037 obtained raw formulas, 546 compounds could be identified in databanks. Among them, the Top20 most accumulated annotated compounds in WI-Asn samples were predicted to be phytochemicals (60%), lipids (20%), and carbohydrates (20%) (Supplementary Table S3A).

The metabolome of WI-Asn was also different from that of WI-CCh (Figure 2B). The comparison between these two sample sets highlighted 1,162 *m/z* significantly regulated, of which 1,121 were common to the comparison Asn versus CH (Supplementary Table S2). Of these, 1,087 *m/z* (distributed as 43 more accumulated in WI-CCh than in WI-Asn and 1,044 more accumulated in WI-Asn than in WI-CCh) were classified according to their raw formula. Those accumulated in WI-Asn (compared to WI-CCh) were mainly composed of CHO (71%), CHON (15%), and CHONS (6%), whereas those accumulated in WI-CCh (compared to WI-Asn) were mainly CHON (35%), CHO (23%), and CHONS (21%)

(Figure 3B). Overall, it led to 1,068 unique formulas (Supplementary Table S2), with 39 more accumulated in WI-CCh and 1,029 more accumulated in WI-Asn (Figure 3B). The compounds accumulated in WI-Asn were predicted to be mainly phytochemicals (42%) and lipids (27%), while those accumulated in WI-CCh were rather phytochemicals (38%), peptides (28%), and amino sugars (21%, Figure 3B). Among them, the Top20 annotated compounds lesser accumulated in WI-Asn, compared to WI-CCh, were phytochemicals (60%), lipids (20%), and carbohydrates (20%). One was annotated as piceatannol, a resveratrol derivative (Supplementary Table S3B). Among the Top20 annotated compounds more abundant in WI-Asn, 60% were phytochemicals, 20% were lipids, and 20% were carbohydrates (Supplementary Table S3B). Altogether, treatment with sodium arsenite has effects on the metabolome of the wood interaction zone and mainly results in the accumulation of phytochemicals and lipids. These two categories were previously shown as a discriminant of the brown stripe of the wood of vines infected by *Botryosphaeria dieback* and the adjacent asymptomatic white wood (Lemaître-Guillier et al., 2020).

Putative toxins are detected in all wood samples, including those of asymptomatic vines

As the GTD pathogens produce phytotoxins (Andolfi et al., 2011; Abou-Mansour et al., 2015; Cimmino et al., 2017; Masi et al., 2018; Revegilia et al., 2019; Trotel-Aziz et al., 2019), a focus on the *m/z* corresponding to putative ones (Supplementary Table S1) was




FIGURE 3 Comparison of the metabolome of the wood interaction area of vines treated or not by sodium arsenite. Comparison of the significantly regulated *m/z* of (A) healthy (CH) and Asn-treated (Asn) vines and (B) diseased (CCh) and Asn-treated (Asn) vines. Chemical classes of the corresponding raw formulas containing C, H, O, N, S, and P atoms (determined from 1,057 and 1,087 *m/z*), with the indication of the percentage of distribution in each class (left panels). Functional categorization (right panels) of the annotated compounds differently regulated in samples (1,037 and 1,068 compounds), with the indication of the number and percentage of distribution in each biochemical category. Functional categories were predicted from raw formulas according to Rivas-Ubach et al. (2018). NM, non-matched.

carried out on the wood samples WH, WS, and WI of CH, CCh, CA, and Asn-treated grapevines (Table 1).

Putative toxins were detected with different abundances, ranging from 10⁵ for OH-tyrosol to 10⁸ for OH-tyrosol 1-O-glucoside, in the different wood sample groups (Table 1). Indeed, some of them were detected preferentially in the wood of asymptomatic CH and Asn vines, compared to those of symptomatic vines, such as scopoletin, 6-methoxymellein, and OH-mellein. Six other toxins were rather more detected in the wood of apoplectic vines such as OH-tyrosol 1-O-glucoside, *cis*-4-hydroxy-scytalone, dimethylallyl-scopoletin, terremitin, tyrosol-4-sulfate, and mellein. Mellein and terremitin are especially produced by Botryosphaeriaceae species such as *Neofusicoccum parvum*, *Diplodia seriata*, or *Lasiodiplodia* (Andolfi et al., 2011; Abou-Mansour et al., 2015; Cimmino et al., 2017; Reveglieri et al., 2019; Reveglieri et al., 2021; Trotel-Aziz et al., 2022), and their detection in woody tissues was first reported by Abou-Mansour et al. (2015) in the brown-striped wood of *Botryosphaeria* dieback-diseased vines. Our results, therefore, are consistent with those of Bruez et al.

(2021), who reported that these esca-expressing vines were colonized by Botryosphaeriaceae species.

Putative toxins could be found in the healthy (CH) and esca-diseased vines developing the chronic form (CCh). As discussed by Del Frari et al. (2022), the role of toxins in explaining the occurrence and yearly fluctuations of leaf stripe symptoms is not always obvious: wood degradation by-products and microbe-induced metabolites probably also play a key role (Mugnai et al., 1999; Bruez et al., 2020; Schilling et al., 2021; Del Frari et al., 2022). Our results also further suggest that in the apoplexy process, which is a more pronounced expression than the chronic form, there is a higher amount of toxins produced by GTD fungi. Similar observations were described by Magnin-Robert et al. (2016), who reported significant production of (3*R*,4*R*)-hydroxymellein in the green stem, cordon, and trunk of esca-apoplectic vines compared to esca-chronic and asymptomatic grapevines. Toxins are one of the three possible factors triggering the leaf stripe symptoms (Mugnai et al., 1999).

The next biological question was as follows: how can we explain the accumulation of some phytotoxins in the wood of vines treated

TABLE 1 Peak intensities of putative phytotoxins detected into wood samples (WH, WS and WI) in asymptomatic: healthy (CH) and sodium arsenite-treated (Asn), and symptomatic: chronic form of disease (CCh) and apoplectic (CA) grapevines.

Fungal toxins	CH	Asn	CCh	CA
Scopoletin (×10 ⁷)	2.83	2.58	2.17	1.49
± Std	2.62	2.64	2.44	1.11
6-Methoxymellein (×10 ⁷)	1.55	2.02	1.78	1.43
± Std	0.73	1.46	0.77	0.47
OH-Mellein (scytalone) (×10 ⁶)	6.96	7.82	6.39	6.47
± Std	3.63	4.79	3.04	2.63
Resveratrol-sulfate (×10 ⁷)	1.08	0.72	1.15	1.09
± Std	0.98	0.76	1.27	0.71
OH-Tyrosol (×10 ⁵)	2.69	0.00	5.82	3.16
± Std	6.59	0.00	8.92	7.74
OH-Tyrosol 1-O-glucoside (×10 ⁸)	1.00	1.13	0.99	1.20
± Std	0.18	0.73	0.39	0.71
<i>cis</i> -4-Hydroxy-scytalone (×10 ⁷)	1.23	2.21	1.52	2.57
± Std	0.34	1.30	0.37	1.25
Dimethylallyl-scopoletin (×10 ⁶)	3.60	3.08	3.09	5.66
± Std	0.63	2.95	0.73	3.18
Terremutin (×10 ⁶)	0.81	1.11	0.57	2.54
± Std	0.89	1.02	0.87	2.46
Tyrosol 4-sulfate (×10 ⁶)	1.23	1.01	2.01	2.19
± Std	2.17	1.52	1.85	1.20
Mellein (×10 ⁶)	1.64	1.41	2.10	2.14
± Std	1.41	2.04	1.31	0.36

Colored gradient indicates intensities averaged levels (scale folds indicated in brackets). Std: standard deviation per wood sample group (CH: n=6, Asn: n=6; CCh: n=8 and CA: n=6).

with sodium arsenite and the no-emergence of foliar symptoms? Could this mean that sodium arsenite does not directly “kill” fungi? The hypothesis could be that sodium arsenite, as described by Songy et al. (2019), induced physiological changes in leaves and/or other organs, such as the green stem (Fontaine F., personal communication), that could counteract the negative effect of phytotoxins and finally avoid the foliar symptom expression. Moreover, Bruez et al. (2021) reported that sodium arsenite treatment strongly impacts the fungal microbiota and observed, among other things, a strong reduction in the abundance of *F. mediterranea* and an unexpected increase in the abundance of *P. chlamydospora* occurring at the borders of wood symptoms. They hypothesized that arsenite treatment contributes to making the host wood area colonizable again, providing newly available nutrients for the endophytic microbiota. Del Frari et al. (2022) also proposed the host vascular-based transport hypothesis of leaf stripe symptom-inducing molecules (LSSIMs) to explain the development of leaf stripe symptoms. They suggested that sodium arsenite could indirectly reduce LSSIMs and thus contribute to preventing symptom expression.

Some relevant secondary metabolites are in higher abundance in the wood of vines treated by sodium arsenite

Similarly, plant secondary metabolites (Supplementary Table S1) were searched in sample peak lists. We particularly focused on stilbene phytoalexins (resveratrol, the resveratrol-glucoside piceid, oxy-resveratrol, dihydro-resveratrol, epsilon-viniferin, delta-viniferin, and astringin), flavonoids (quercetin-*O*-glucuronide and daidzein), phytohormones (salicylic acid and methyl salicylic acid), and precursors of tanins (galloyl-glucose) and lignins (caffeic acid and caftaric acid). Most of the stilbene compounds (Table 2) and other compounds, namely, phenolic compounds, salicylic acid, and methyl salicylate (Table 3), were detected with more intensity in WI-Asn samples than in the others. Piceid and astringin were rather found in apoplectic CA wood samples. Stilbenes are well-known grapevine phytoalexins, and some of them have a high antimicrobial activity (Jeandet et al., 2002; Chong et al., 2009; Adrian et al., 2012; Lambert et al., 2012). They can be induced by microbial colonization or by toxins (Abou-Mansour et al., 2015; Rusjan et al., 2017; Stempien et al., 2017; Trotel-Aziz et al., 2019). Del Frari et al. (2022) suggested that sodium arsenite could improve the vines’ tolerance to LSSIMs by controlling the vines’ physiology and defense response. In this way, most of the putative toxins tend to be found in lower amounts in Asn vines, concomitant with a higher abundance of some stilbenes and other secondary metabolites, which ultimately leads to asymptomatic vines, contrary to what was observed in CA vines, leading to the emergence of the severe form of GTDs. Overall, these putative toxin results enhance the involvement of these toxic compounds in the GTD foliar symptom expression as summarized in the conceptual model proposed by Claverie et al. (2020).

Sodium arsenite did not modify the wood surface aspect in esca-diseased grapevine

In order to determine whether sodium arsenite could have repercussions on the structuring of woody tissues, we first analyzed the surface of woody samples taken from vines affected by esca and treated or not with sodium arsenite. In the WI, the wood surface appeared similar between the samples treated (Asn) and not treated (CH and CCh) with sodium arsenite (Figure 4). Overall, the observed results reflected the repercussions of fungal activities in woody cells, consistent with what had already been reported in other studies (Scheck et al., 1998; Pouzoulet et al., 2014). Indeed, in these WI (brown in color), the presence of tyloses and gums obstructing the vessels was observed. This probably reflected a defensive reaction of the xylem cells in response to their colonization by vascular fungi (Yadeta and Thomma, 2013, for review; Kassemeyer et al., 2022). These observations are consistent with the presence of stilbenes reported above. Consequently, the circulation of raw sap was significantly reduced. Moreover, whatever the treatment, the closer we were to symptomatic areas of white rot characterized by wood degradation, the more we observed the presence of fungal hyphae inside the vessels. It also suggested that these fungi were not directly affected by sodium arsenite, at least in their hyphal structure. At this resolution, it was then not possible to discriminate the wood esca-diseased samples treated with sodium arsenite from the untreated samples.

In order to determine whether, in the modalities treated with Asn, we could detect and quantify arsenic, we carried out observations by scanning microscopy coupled with X-ray microanalysis. Indeed, this technique makes it possible to simultaneously obtain morphological (surface images by scanning) and chemical (elemental composition) information from a sample. In this context, we revealed that in the interaction area, no trace of arsenic was detected (Supplementary Figure S1). The same result was obtained in the healthy tissues of Asn-treated vines (data not shown). It, therefore, seemed i) that the treatment with sodium arsenite, carried out at the beginning of March in the vineyard, did not accumulate (nor certain products resulting from its metabolism) in the secondary wood of the treated and protected samples or ii) if it was present in these tissues, it was in a quantity of less than 50 ppm (detection threshold of the X-ray detector). However, this did not exclude that an accumulation of arsenic could have taken place in other non-targeted tissue areas (tinder in particular) or even in other plant organs, leaves, or roots in particular (Larignon and Fontaine, 2018).

Sodium arsenite induced an increase in woody tissue autofluorescence

In order to assess whether a sodium arsenite treatment could improve the defensive state of woody tissues by increasing the impregnation of cell walls with phenolic compounds, we then observed the autofluorescence (under UV and blue excitation) of the samples.

TABLE 2 Intensities of targeted stilbenes secondary metabolites in wood samples (WH, WS and WI) in asymptomatic: healthy (CH) and sodium arsenite-treated (Asn) and symptomatic: chronic form of disease (CCh) and apoplectic (CA) grapevines.

Stilbenes	CH	Asn	CCh	CA
Resveratrol ($\times 10^{10}$)	3.38	3.16	1.60	0.74
\pm Std	3.76	4.15	2.73	0.67
Ellagic acid ($\times 10^8$)	3.44	2.87	1.35	0.74
\pm Std	4.48	3.66	2.87	0.89
Methyl-resveratrol-glucoside ($\times 10^7$)	1.47	1.16	0.90	1.32
\pm Std	1.97	0.95	0.27	0.85
epsilon-Viniferin ($\times 10^9$)	3.69	7.18	2.60	4.82
\pm Std	4.30	9.02	2.36	3.91
Dihydro-resveratrol ($\times 10^8$)	8.31	10.78	3.59	2.59
\pm Std	9.19	14.03	6.45	2.45
Oxy-resveratrol ($\times 10^8$)	7.59	8.72	4.75	4.94
\pm Std	8.47	10.43	6.01	3.51
delta-Viniferin-glucoside ($\times 10^7$)	1.99	3.84	1.36	2.46
\pm Std	2.31	4.71	1.47	1.86
Resveratrol-O-glucuronide ($\times 10^6$)	5.47	9.11	4.64	5.41
\pm Std	4.46	10.43	4.06	3.82
Resveratrol-galloylglucoside ($\times 10^6$)	6.16	6.71	3.36	1.47
\pm Std	6.41	3.26	5.07	3.59
epsilon-Viniferin-diglucoside ($\times 10^6$)	0.00	1.82	0.41	1.30
\pm Std	0.00	1.72	1.22	2.05
Resveratrol-glucoside-sulfate ($\times 10^6$)	0.70	1.48	1.67	1.54
\pm Std	1.08	1.41	1.31	1.75
Resveratrol-glucoside (Piceid) ($\times 10^9$)	1.67	2.09	1.99	2.52
\pm Std	0.60	0.78	0.57	1.44
Astringin ($\times 10^8$)	1.24	1.37	1.42	1.55
\pm Std	0.36	0.50	0.30	0.57

Colored gradient indicates intensities averaged levels (scale folds indicated in brackets). Std: standard deviation per wood sample group (CH: n=6, Asn: n=6; CCh: n=8 and CA: n=6).

Initially, the fresh samples were observed macroscopically under epifluorescence. Figure 5 shows next to each zone observed in bright-field optical microscopy, the combined image of the color spectra (bright field), UV excitation (excitation, 359–371; emission, 397 nm), and blue excitation (excitation, 455–495 nm; emission, 505–555 nm). At this resolution, no marked autofluorescence was detected in healthy tissues of asymptomatic (Figure 5A) and symptomatic vines (data not shown). In contrast, in the interaction zones (WI) of esca-diseased vines not treated with sodium arsenite (CCh, Figure 5B), an autofluorescence signal was detected under UV excitation (dark blue autofluorescence). However, we were able to observe that this signal appeared relatively weak and very punctual. Finally, in the interaction areas of esca-diseased vines treated with sodium arsenite (Asn, WI), the same autofluorescence signal (dark blue, in response to UV

excitation) could be detected but with a much higher abundance and representativeness (Figure 5C). This is consistent with the accumulation of secondary metabolites, especially the phenolic compounds highlighted by FTICR-MS.

In order to study more precisely the cell types affected by these defensive processes, we then observed these same types of samples after fixation and inclusion in the LRWhite resin. The results are presented in Figures 6 and 7. In the case of healthy woody tissues (Figure 6), we observed that whatever the type of modality considered (CH, CCh, and Asn), very little autofluorescence was detected. However, it appeared that in the modality where esca-diseased grapevines were treated with Asn, the signal of autofluorescence was slightly higher (Figures 6J–L) than what could be detected for the other two modalities (CH and CCh vines, not treated with Asn).

TABLE 3 Amounts of targeted other secondary metabolites in wood samples (WH, WS, and WI) in asymptomatic (healthy (CH) and sodium arsenite-treated (Asn)) and symptomatic (chronic form of the disease (CCh) and apoplectic (CA) grapevines.

Others	CH	Asn	CCh	CA
Linoleic acid ($\times 10^9$)	4.75	3.06	2.21	1.64
± Std	5.00	3.38	4.23	1.85
Galloyl-glucose ($\times 10^9$)	6.49	7.70	5.01	5.26
± Std	3.10	3.64	1.64	1.84
Caftaric acid ($\times 10^8$)	0.40	1.71	0.29	0.88
± Std	0.18	2.19	0.10	0.52
Quercetin ($\times 10^7$)	4.41	4.79	2.84	3.12
± Std	4.56	5.69	5.32	3.53
Quercetin-O-glucuronide ($\times 10^7$)	0.97	1.31	0.93	1.20
± Std	0.53	0.54	0.42	0.33
Caffeic acid ($\times 10^7$)	0.69	1.09	0.74	1.06
± Std	0.22	0.55	0.14	0.27
Daidzein ($\times 10^6$)	3.20	4.13	2.07	2.76
± Std	2.29	5.66	1.68	1.63
Salicylic acid ($\times 10^6$)	2.41	5.81	2.85	3.87
± Std	0.47	1.21	1.07	1.22
Methyl salicylate ($\times 10^6$)	1.17	2.41	1.62	1.51
± Std	1.32	1.18	0.98	1.87

The colored gradient indicates intensity averaged levels (scale folds indicated in brackets). Std, standard deviation per wood sample group (CH, n = 6; Asn, n = 5; CCh, n = 9; CA, n = 6).

In the case of woody tissues collected from the interaction area (Figure 7), the results were clearly discriminating. Indeed, while very little autofluorescence was detected in grapevines with esca-diseased symptoms (Figures 7J–L, N–P), this abundance increased considerably in the two modalities for which grapevines were asymptomatic (Figures 7B–D, F–H for CH modality; Figures 7R–T, V–X, Z–AB for Asn modality). Indeed, in the asymptomatic control vines (CH modality without leaf esca symptoms and untreated with sodium arsenite), an autofluorescence was detected in the cells of ligneous rays as well as in certain ligneous fibers. This resulted in the presence of intracellular precipitates and autofluorescence under blue (Figure 7F) and green (Figure 7G) excitations, which were more or less dispersed. Cell walls appeared also autofluorescent, suggesting the impregnation of the latter by some phenolic compounds. Concerning the modality treated with sodium arsenite (Asn), a generalized parietal autofluorescence was observed in the woody tissues (Figures 7R–T). It was also observed regardless of the excitation of wavelength tested, suggesting that different types of phenolic compounds might be involved in this response. As in the asymptomatic control vines (CH), an intracellular autofluorescence was also found, in particular for the cells of ligneous rays (Figures 7V, W). Finally and contrary to what was observed on untreated vines with esca leaf symptoms (CCh), the wall of the tyloses also appears fluorescent (Figures 7Z–AB), suggesting the establishment of a defensive barrier at this level.

As it is well known that defensive phenolic molecules, such as stilbene compounds, could be autofluorescent under UV light, our cytological and metabolomic results tended to suggest that in response to sodium arsenite, certain plant defense reactions were restored in esca-diseased vines and remained effective during the vegetative year of application. However, the biosynthesis of phenolic compounds is influenced by environmental factors and rootstock variety (Chitarra et al., 2017, Costa et al., 2020). As an example, rootstock variety impacts the scion’s defensive capacity (i.e., sap phenolic levels) and its resistance against microbial pathogens (Wallis et al., 2013). Chitarra et al. (2017) reported the influence of the rootstock genotype on defense gene upregulation and stilbene accumulation in leaves of the scion. SO4, the rootstock planted in the experimental plot of our study, was among those inducing the highest stilbene inducers. In this context, it could be important to keep in mind that our results concerning Asn effects might be the result of the combination of Asn-treatment, the rootstock (SO4 in the present study) and scion (Chardonnay) varieties, and the pruning conditions (Chablis).

Conclusion

Grapevine dieback linked to GTDs remains a sanitary and economic problem, especially since the ban on the use of sodium






FIGURE 4
 Observation of the surface of healthy woody tissues (WH) or of the interaction area (WI) by macroscopy (color photographs A, D, G, J) or by scanning electron microscopy (grayscale photographs B, C, E, F, H, I, K, L). Regardless of the modality observed (CH, CCh, and Asn), healthy woods have the same appearance (A–C, G–I). In the same way, it is not possible to discriminate the modalities according to the appearance of the interaction area (D–F, J–L). In this area, we observed, whatever the modality, vessels obstructed by tyloses (E, K) and/or gums (F), as well as the presence of hyphae (L) approaching the zone of white-rot necrosis (amadour).

arsenite (Asn). In this study, we sought to better understand the impact of Asn on the physiology of vines in wood tissues at two scales: metabolomic and histological. We showed that Asn treatment carried out in March had repercussions in the wood of vines sampled until September of the same year. By comparing wood samples taken from vines symptomatic or asymptomatic of esca disease, treated or not with Asn, and in areas of healthy or interaction tissues, we revealed that Asn impacted both metabolome and structural barriers in the plant. This was all the more marked in the tissues of the interaction area. Thereby, this work highlighted

for the first time that Asn enhanced the defensive pathway of the wood, especially in the interaction area where the confrontation with fungal pathogens occurred. Thus, in addition to its direct effect against certain pathogens or microbes, Asn also appeared to be able to act as a stimulator of plant secondary metabolites including defenses in the wood. The complex mode of action of Asn remains important to describe and understand with a view to developing new control techniques (phytosanitary treatments) that mimic its effects but are eco-friendly. Taking this into consideration, our recent work on the LC2017 product based on a low copper






FIGURE 7 Observation of the autofluorescence of woody tissues sampled in the interaction area (WI), according to different types of excitations (blue, green, or UV light) revealed by epifluorescence microscopy. The Asn modality (R–T, V–X, Z–AB) reveals an intensity of autofluorescence higher than the asymptomatic control modality (CH, B–D, F–H), and the wood of the CCh modality (with chronic symptoms, J–L, N–P) is the least autofluorescent. Bar: 100 μ m (A–D, I–L, Q–T) or 10 μ m (E–H, M–P, U–AB).

concentration with other substances showed a similar combined effect of Asn, fungistatic activity, and plant defense elicitor (Mondello et al., 2021; Mondello et al., 2022). Similarly, the combination of biocontrol agents to achieve both effects is possible (Leal et al., 2022). Nevertheless, the level of protection is lower compared to that of Asn, and the development of the best combination should be followed.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Author contributions

MA, FF, PL, and ST contributed to the experimental design. PL performed the Asn treatments in the vineyard. FF, PL, LJ, ST, and JV sampled the wood of vines for metabolomic analysis. LJ and ST also sampled the wood of vines for cytological approaches. ST managed the cytological approaches and the processing of corresponding data. ST and LJ performed the macroscopic analyses in collaboration with the DIMaCell Imaging Center. ST performed the scanning electron microscopic (with or without an X-ray detector) analyses in collaboration with the DIMaCell Imaging Center. ST and AD performed the epifluorescence microscopic analysis. MA, CL-G, and CR-G managed the metabolomic approach and the processing of corresponding data.

CL-G, CR-G, and MH performed the statistical analysis, and PS-K supervised the analysis. MA, FF, CL-G, and ST contributed to the draft of the manuscript. MA and FF managed the manuscript, and FF led the project. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The work included in the CASDAR V1301 project was funded by the French agriculture ministry and the interprofessional committee of the vine in France (CNIV).

Acknowledgments

We thank DImaCell Imaging Center (INRAE, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté) and especially Christine Arnould for allowing us to carry out stereomicroscopic analyses, Aline Bonnotte for supporting us in conducting scanning electron microscopy experiments (with or without X-ray detector), the RCEN platform and especially Frederic Herbst for giving us access to the scanning electron microscope with an X-ray detector, and Alessandro Spagnolo and Jean-François Guise for their help in uprooting and cutting the plants. The authors thank also the Lycée VitiCampus for the availability of the experimental plot to carry out the treatment.

References

- Abou-Mansour, E., Débieux, J. L., and Ramirez-Suero, M. (2015). Phytotoxic metabolites from *Neofusicoccum parvum*, a pathogen of botryosphaeria dieback of grapevine. *Phytochemistry* 115, 207–215. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2015.01.012
- Adrian, M., De Rosso, M., Bavaresco, L., Poinssot, B., and Héloir, M.-C. (2012). “Resveratrol from vine to wine,” in *Resveratrol sources, production and health benefits*. Ed. D. Delmas (New York: Nova Science Publishers Inc), 3–19.
- Andolfi, A., Mugnai, L., Luque, J., Surico, G., Cimmino, A., and Evidente, A. (2011). Phytotoxins produced by fungi associated with grapevine trunk diseases. *Toxins (Basel)* 3, 12. doi: 10.3390/toxins3121569
- Battiston, E., Compant, S., Antonelli, L., Mondello, V., Clément, C., Simoni, A., et al. (2021). In planta activity of novel copper(II) based formulations to inhibit the esca-associated fungus *Phaeoacremonium minimum* in grapevine propagation material. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.649694
- Bortolami, G., Gambetta, A. G., Cassan, C., Dayer, S., Farolfi, E., Ferrer, N., et al. (2021). Seasonal and long-term consequences of esca grapevine disease on stem xylem integrity. *J. Exp. Bot.* 72, 3914–3928. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2112825118
- Bruez, E., Larignon, P., Bertsch, C., Robert-Siegwald, G., Lebrun, M., Rey, P., et al. (2021). Impacts of sodium arsenite on wood microbiota of esca-diseased grapevines. *J. Fungi* 7 (498), 1–18. doi: 10.3390/jof7070498
- Bruez, E., Lecomte, P., Grosman, J., Doublet, B., Bertsch, C., Fontaine, F., et al. (2013). Overview of grapevine trunk diseases in France in the 2000s. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 52 (2), 262–275. doi: 10.14601/Phytopathol_Mediterr-11578
- Bruez, E., Vallance, J., Gautier, A., Laval, V., Compant, S., Maurer, W., et al. (2020). Major changes in grapevine wood microbiota are associated with the onset of esca, a devastating trunk disease. *Environ. Microbiol.* 22, 5189–5206. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.15180
- Carbonell-Barrachina, A., Burl.-Carbonell, F., and Mataix-Beneyto, J. (1997). Effects of sodium arsenite on arsenic accumulation and distribution in leaves and fruit of *Vitis vinifera*. *J. Plant Nutr.* 20 (2–3), 379–387. doi: 10.1080/01904169709365258
- Chitarra, W., Perrone, I., Avanzato, C. G., Minio, A., Boccacci, P., Santini, D., et al. (2017). Grapevine grafting: Scion transcript profiling and defense-related metabolites induced by rootstocks. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00654
- Cholet, C., Bruez, E., Lecomte, P., Barsacq, A., Martignon, T., and Giudici, M. (2021). Plant resilience and physiological modifications induced by curettage of esca-diseased grapevines. *OenoOne* 1, 153–169. doi: 10.20870/oeno-one.2021.55.1.4478
- Chong, J., Poutaraud, A., and Huguency, P. (2009). Metabolism and roles of stilbenes in plants. *Plant Sci.* 177, 143–155. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2009.05.012
- Cimmino, A., Cinelli, T., Masi, M., Reveglia, P., da Silva, M. A., Mugnai, L., et al. (2017). Phytotoxic lipophilic metabolites produced by grapevine strains of lasiodiplodia species in Brazil. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 65, 1102–1107. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.6b04906
- Claverie, M., Notaro, M., Fontaine, F., and Wery, J. (2020). Current knowledge on grapevine trunk diseases with complex etiology: a systemic approach. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 59 (1), 29–53. doi: 10.36253/phyto-11150
- Costa, R. R., Rodrigues, A., Vasconcelos, V., Costa, J., and Lima, M. (2020). Trellis systems, rootstocks and season influence on the phenolic composition of chenin blanc grape. *Scientia Agricola* 77 (3), e20180207. doi: 10.1590/1678-992X-2018-0207
- Da Costa, E. W. B. (1971). Variation in the toxicity of arsenic compounds to microorganisms and the suppression of the inhibitory effects by phosphate. *Appl. Microbiol.* 23 (1), 46–53. doi: 10.1128/am.23.1.46-53.1972
- De la Fuente, M., Fontaine, F., Gramaje, D., Armengol, J., Smart, R., Nagy, Z. A., et al. (2016). *Grapevine trunk diseases. A review. 1st Edition* (Paris, France: OIV Publications), 4–7. Available at: www.oiv.int/public/medias/4650/trunk-diseases-oiv-2016.pdf.
- Del Frari, G., Calzarano, F., and Boavida Ferreira, R. (2022). Understanding the control strategies effective against the esca leaf stripe symptom: the edge hypothesis. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 61 (1), 153–164. doi: 10.36253/phyto-13295
- Díaz, G. A., and Latorre, B. A. (2013). Efficacy of paste and liquid fungicide formulations to protect pruning wounds against pathogens associated with grapevine trunk diseases in Chile. *Crop Prot.* 46, 106–112. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2013.01.001
- Di Marco, S., Metruccio, E., Moretti, S., Nocentini, M., Carella, G., Pacetti, A., et al. (2021). Activity of *Trichoderma asperellum* strain ICC 012 and *Trichoderma gamsii* strain ICC 080 towards diseases of esca complex and associated pathogens. *Front. Microbiol.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.813410

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2023.1141700/full#supplementary-material>

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Observations of woody tissues sampled in the interaction area (WI) of Asn modality, by scanning microscopy coupled with X-ray microanalysis. By this method, we obtained simultaneously surface images (by scanning microscopy: **A, C, E, G**) and elemental composition information from a sample (**B, D, F, H**). The elements detected in the analyzed spectra were expressed in atomic percentages (**B, D, F, H**). This analysis revealed that no trace of Asn could be detected at this time and resolution.

- Di Marco, S., Osti, F., Calzarano, F., Roberti, R., Veronesi, A., and Amalfitano, C. (2011). Effects of grapevine applications of fosetyl-aluminium formulations for downy mildew control on "esca" and associated fungi. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 50 (SUPPL.), 285–299. doi: 10.2307/26458728
- Dula, T., Kappes, E. M., Horvath, A., and Rabai, A. (2007). Preliminary trials on treatment of esca-infected grapevines with trunk injection of fungicides. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 46 (1), 91–95. doi: 10.1128/am.23.1.46-53.1972
- Dumitriu Gabur, G. D., Gabur, I., Cucolea, E. I., Costache, T., Rambu, D., Cotea, V. V., et al. (2022). Investigating six common pesticides residues and dietary risk assessment of Romanian wine varieties. *Foods* 11 (15), 2225. doi: 10.3390/foods11152225
- Fourié, P. H., and Halleen, F. (2002). Investigation on the occurrence of *Phaeoaniella chlamydospora* in canes rootstock mother vines. *Australas. Plant Pathol.* 31, 425–427. doi: 10.1071/AP02049
- Gerbore, J., Benhamou, N., Vallance, J., Le Floch, G., Grizard, D., Regnault-Roger, C., et al. (2013). Biological control of plant pathogens: advantages and limitations seen through the case study of *Pythium oligandrum*. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 21, 4847–4860. doi: 10.1007/s11356-013-1807-6
- Gougeon, R. D., Lucio, M., De Boel, A., Frommberger, M., Hertkorn, N., Peyron, D., et al. (2009). Expressing forest origins in the chemical composition of cooperage oak woods and corresponding wines by using FTICR-MS. *Chemistry* 15, 600–611. doi: 10.1002/chem.200801181
- Gramaje, D., Armengol, J., Mohammadi, H., Banihashemi, Z., and Mostert, L. (2009). Novel phaeoacremonium species associated with Petri disease and esca of grapevine in Iran and Spain. *Mycologia* 101, 920–929. doi: 10.3852/08-222
- Gramaje, D., Urbez-Torres, J. R., and Sosnowski, M. R. (2018). Managing grapevine trunk diseases with respect to etiology and epidemiology: current strategies and future prospects. *Plant Dis.* 102 (1), 12–39. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-04-17-0512-FE
- Graniti, A., Surico, G., and Mugnai, L. (2000). Esca of grapevine, a disease complex or a complex diseases? *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 39, 16–20. doi: 10.14601/Phytopathol_Mediterr-1539
- Haidar, R., Deschamps, A., Roudet, J., Calvo-Garrido, C., Bruez, E., Rey, P., et al. (2016). Multi-organ screening of efficient bacterial control agents against two major pathogens of grapevine. *Biol. Control* 9, 55–65. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2015.09.003
- Halleen, F., Fourié, P. H., and Lombard, P. J. (2010). Protection of grapevine pruning wounds against *Eutypa lata* by biological and chemical methods. *South Afr. J. Enol. Vitic.* 31 (2), 125–132. doi: 10.21548/31-2-1409
- Hofstetter, V., Buyck, B., Croll, D., Viret, O., Couloux, A., and Gindro, K. (2012). What if esca disease of grapevine were not a fungal disease? *Fungal Divers.* 54 (1), 51–67. doi: 10.1007/s13225-012-0171-z
- Jeandet, P., Douillet-Breuil, A. C., Bessis, R., Debord, S., Sbaghi, M., and Adrian, M. (2002). Phytoalexins from the vitaceae: biosynthesis, phytoalexin gene expression in transgenic plants, antifungal activity, and metabolism. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 50, 2731–2741. doi: 10.1021/jf011429s
- Kassemeyer, H.-H., Kluge, F., Bieler, E., Ulrich, M., Grüner, J., Fink, S., et al. (2022). Trunk anatomy of asymptomatic and symptomatic grapevines provides insights into degradation patterns of wood tissues caused by esca-associated pathogens. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 61 (3), 451–471. doi: 10.36253/phyto-13154
- Lambert, C., Bisson, J., Waffo-Teguo, P., Papastamoulis, Y., Richard, T., Corio-Costet, M. F., et al. (2012). Phenolics and their antifungal role in grapevine wood decay, focus on the botryosphaeriaceae family. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 60 (48), 11859–11868. doi: 10.1021/jf303290g
- Larignon, P. (2016). *Maladies cryptogamiques du bois de la vigne : symptomatologie et agents pathogènes*. Available at: <http://vignevin.com>.
- Larignon, P., Darne, G., Menard, E., Desache, F., and Dubos, B. (2008). Comment agissait l'arsénite de sodium sur l'Esca de la vigne? *Progress Agricole Viticole* 125, 642–651.
- Larignon, P., and Dubos, B. (1997). Fungi associated with esca disease in grapevine. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 103, 147–157. doi: 10.1023/A:1008638409410
- Larignon, P., and Dubos, B. (2001). The villainy of black dead arm. *Wines Vines* 82, 86–89.
- Larignon, P., and Fontaine, F. (2018). *Comprendre le mode d'action de l'arsénite de sodium afin de proposer de nouveaux moyens de lutte* (France: Proceeding, Assise de Toulouse).
- Leal, C., Richet, N., Guise, J. F., Gramaje, D., Armengol, J., Fontaine, F., et al. (2021). Cultivar contributes to the beneficial effects of *Bacillus subtilis* PTA-271 and *Trichoderma atroviride* SC1 to protect grapevine against *Neofusicoccum parvum*. *Front. Microbiol.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.726132
- Leal, C., Gramaje, D., Fontaine, F., Richet, N., Trostel-Aziz, P., Armengol, J., et al. (2022). Evaluation of *Bacillus subtilis* PTA-271 and *Trichoderma atroviride* SC1 to control Botryosphaeria dieback and black-foot pathogens in grapevine propagatopn material. *Pest Manag. Sci.* doi: 10.1002/ps.7339
- Lecomte, P., Darrieutort, G., Liminana, J. M., Comont, G., Muruamendaraz, A., Legorburu, F. J., et al. (2012). New insights into esca of grapevine: the development of foliar symptoms and their association with xylem discoloration. *Plant Dis.* 96 (7), 924–934. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-09-11-0776-RE
- Lemaître-Guillier, C., Fontaine, F., Roullier-Gall, C., Harir, M., Magnin-Robert, M., Clément, C., et al. (2020). Cultivar-and wood area-dependent metabolomic fingerprints of grapevine infected by botryosphaeria dieback. *Phytopathology* 110 (11), 1821–1837. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-02-20-0055-R
- Magnin-Robert, M., Spagnolo, A., Boulanger, A., Joyeux, C., Clément, C., Abou-Mansour, E., et al. (2016). Changes in plant metabolism and accumulation of fungal metabolites in response to esca proper and apoplexy expression in the whole grapevine. *Phytopathology* 109, 6. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-09-15-0207-R
- Masi, M., Cimmino, A., Reveglia, P., Mugnai, L., Surico, G., and Evidente, E. (2018). Advances on fungal phytotoxins and their role in grapevine trunk diseases. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 66, 5948–5958. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.8b00773
- Meier, U. (2001). "Growth stages of mono-and dicotyledonous plants." in *BBCB monograph, 2nd ed* (Federal Biological Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry).
- Mondello, V., Battiston, E., Pinto, C., Coppin, C., Trostel-Aziz, P., Clément, C., et al. (2018a). Grapevine trunk diseases: A review of fifteen years of trials for their control with chemicals and biocontrol agents. *Plant Dis.* 102, 1189–1217. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-08-17-1181-FE
- Mondello, V., Fernandez, O., Guise, J.-F., Trostel-Aziz, P., and Fontaine, F. (2021). *In planta* activity of the novel copper product HA + Cu(II) based on a biocompatible drug delivery system on vine physiology and trials for the control of botryosphaeria dieback. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.693995
- Mondello, V., Larignon, P., Armengol, J., Kortekamp, A., Vaczy, K., Prezman, F., et al. (2018b). Management of grapevine trunk diseases: knowledge transfer, current strategies and innovative attempts adopted in Europe. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 57 (3), 369–383. doi: 10.14601/Phytopathol_Mediterr-23942
- Mondello, V., Lemaître-Guillier, C., Trostel-Aziz, P., Gougeon, R., Acedo, A., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., et al. (2022). Assessment of a new copper-based formulation to control esca disease in field and study on its impact on the vine microbiome, vine physiology and enological parameters of the juice. *J. Fungi* 8, 151. doi: 10.3390/jof8020151
- Mugnai, L., Graniti, A., and Surico, G. (1999). Esca (black measles) and brown wood-streaking: two old and elusive diseases of grapevines. *Plant Dis.* 83 (5), 404–418. doi: 10.1094/PDIS.1999.83.5.404
- Pacetti, A., Moretti, S., Pinto, C., Farine, S., Bertsch, C., and Mugnai, L. (2021). Trunk surgery as a tool to reduce foliar symptoms in diseases of the esca complex and its influence on vine wood microbiota. *J. Fungi* 7 (521), 1–25. doi: 10.3390/jof7070521
- Pinto, C., Custodio, V., Nunes, M., Songy, A., Rabenolelina, F., Courteaux, B., et al. (2018). Understand the potential role of *Aureobasidium pullulans*, a resident microorganism from grapevine, to prevent the infection caused by *Diplodia seriata*. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 3047. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03047
- Pouzoulet, J., Pivovarov, A. L., Santiago, L. S., and Rolshausen, P. (2014). Can vessel dimension explain tolerance toward fungal vascular wilt diseases in woody plant? lesson from Dutch elm disease and esca disease in grapevine. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5, 253. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00253
- Reis, P., Gaspar, A., Alves, A., Fontaine, F., and Rego, C. (2021).). combining an HA + Cu (II) site-targeted copper-based product with a pruning wound protection program to prevent infection with *Lasioidiplodia* spp. in grapevine. *Plants* 10, 2376. doi: 10.3390/plants10112376
- Reis, P., Mondello, V., Diniz, I., Alves, A., Rego, C., and Fontaine, F. (2022). Effect of the combined treatments with LC2017 and *Trichoderma atroviride* strain I-1237 on disease development and defense responses in vines infected by *Lasioidiplodia theobromae*. *MDPI Agron.* 12, 996. doi: 10.3390/agronmy12050996
- Retief, E., McLeod, A., and Fourié, P. H. (2006). Potential inoculum sources of *Phaeoaniella chlamydospora* in south African grapevine nurseries. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 115, 331–339. doi: 10.1007/s10658-006-9025-4
- Reveglia, P., Billones-Baaijens, R., Millera Niemi, J., Masi, M., Cimmino, A., Evidente, A., et al. (2021). Production of phytotoxic metabolites by botryosphaeriaceae in naturally infected and artificially inoculated grapevines. *Plants* 10, 802. doi: 10.3390/plants10040802
- Reveglia, P., Savocchia, S., Billones-Baaijens, R., Masi, M., Cimmino, A., and Evidente, A. (2019). Phytotoxic metabolites by nine species of botryosphaeriaceae involved in grapevine dieback in Australia and identification of those produced by *Diplodia mutila*, *Diplodia seriata*, *Neofusicoccum australe* and *Neofusicoccum luteum*. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 33, 2223–2229. doi: 10.1080/14786419.2018.1497631
- Rivas-Ubach, A., Liu, Y., Bianchi, T. S., Tolić, N., Jansson, C., and Paša-Tolić, L. (2018). Moving beyond the van krevelen diagram: A new stoichiometric approach for compound classification in organisms. *Anal. Chem.* 90 (10), 6152–6160. doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b00529
- Rolshausen, P. E., Urbez-Torres, J. R., Rooney-Latham, S., Eskalen, A., Smith, R. J., and Gubler, W. D. (2010). Evaluation of pruning wound susceptibility and protection against fungi associated with grapevine trunk diseases. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 61 (1), 113–119. doi: 10.5344/ajev.2010.61.1.113
- Romeo-Oliván, A., Pagès, M., Breton, C., Lagarde, F., Cros, H., Yobrégat, O., et al. (2021). Ozone dissolved in water: an innovative tool for the production of young plants in grapevine nurseries? *Ozone Sci. Eng.* 44 (6), 521–535. doi: 10.1080/01919512.2021.1984203
- Rusjan, D., Persic, M., Likar, M., Biniari, K., and Mikulic-Petkovsek, M. (2017). Phenolic responses to esca-associated fungi in differently decayed grapevine woods from different trunk parts of "Cabernet sauvignon". *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 65, 6615–6624. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.7b02188

- Saha, C., Dikshit, A. K., Bandyopadhyay, M., and Saha, K. C. (1999). A review of arsenic poisoning and its effects on human health. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 29 (3), 281–313. doi: 10.1080/10643389991259227
- Scheck, H., Vasquez, S., Fogle, D., and Gubler, W. D. (1998). Grape growers report losses to black foot and grapevine decline. *Calif. Agric.* 52 (4), 19–23. doi: 10.3733/ca.v052n04p19
- Schilling, M., Farine, S., Peros, J.-P., Bertsch, C., and Gelhaye, E. (2021). Wood degradation in grapevine diseases. *Adv. Bot. Res.* 99, 175–207. doi: 10.1016/bs.abr.2021.05.007
- Songy, A., Vallet, J., Gantet, M., Boos, A., Ronot, P., Tarnus, C., et al. (2019). Sodium arsenite effect in *Vitis vinifera* L. physiology. *J. Plant Phys* 238, 72–79. doi: 10.1007/s00425-019-03111-8
- Spinosi, J., Fevotte, J., and Vial, G. (2009). *Éléments techniques sur l'exposition professionnelle aux pesticides arsenicaux. matrice cultures - expositions aux pesticides arsenicaux* (Santé Travail), 1–22.
- Stempien, E., Goddard, M. L., Wilhelm, K., Tarnus, C., Bertsch, C., and Chong, J. (2017). Grapevine botryosphaeria dieback fungi have specific aggressiveness factor repertory involved in wood decay and stilbene metabolization. *PLoS One* 12 (12), 1–22. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0188766
- Surico, G. (2009). Towards a redefinition of the diseases within the esca complex of grapevine. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 48 (1), 5–10. doi: 10.14601/Phytopathol_Mediterr-287
- Surico, G., Mugnai, L., and Marchi, G. (2008). “The esca disease complex,” in *Integrated management of diseases caused by fungi, phytoplasma and bacteria*. Eds. A. Ciancio and K. Mukerji (Dordrecht: Springer), 119–136.
- Trotel-Aziz, P., Abou-Mansour, E., Courteaux, B., Rabenoelina, F., Clément, C., Fontaine, F., et al. (2019). *Bacillus subtilis* PTA-271 counteracts botryosphaeria dieback in grapevine, triggering immune responses and detoxification of fungal phytotoxins. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10, 25. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00025
- Trotel-Aziz, P., Robert-Siegwald, G., Fernandez, O., Leal, C., Villaume, S., Guise, J. F., et al. (2022). Diversity of *Neofusicoccum parvum* for the production of the phytotoxic metabolites (-)-terremutin and (R)-mellein. *J. Fungi* 8 (3), 319. doi: 10.3390/jof8030319
- Trouvelot, S., Varnier, A. L., Mercier, L., Allègre, M., Baillieux, F., Arnould, C., et al. (2008). A beta-1,3 glucan sulfate induces resistance in grapevine against *Plasmopara viticola* through priming of defense responses, including HR-like cell death. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 21 (2), 232–243. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-21-2-0232
- Tziotis, D., Hertkorn, N., and Schmitt-Kopplin, P. (2011). Kendrick-analogous network visualisation of ion cyclotron resonance Fourier transform mass spectra: improved options for the assignment of elemental compositions and the classification of organic molecular complexity. *Eur. J. Mass Spectrom.* 17, 415–421. doi: 10.1255/ejms.1135
- Wallis, C. M., Wallingford, A., and Chen, J. (2013). Grapevine rootstock effects on scion sap phenolic levels, resistance to xylella fastidiosa infection, and progression of pierce's disease. *Front. Plant Sci.* 4, 502. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00502
- Weber, E. A., Trouillas, F. P., and Gubler, W. D. (2007). Double pruning of grapevines: a cultural practice to reduce infections by *Eutypa lata*. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 58, 61–66. doi: 10.5344/ajev.2007.58.1.61
- Yacoub, A., Gerbore, J., Magnin, N., Chambon, P., Dufour, M. C., Corio-Costet, M. F., et al. (2016). Ability of *Pythium oligandrum* strains to protect *Vitis vinifera* L., by inducing plant resistance against *Phaeoaniella chlamydospora*, a pathogen involved in esca, a grapevine trunk disease. *Biol. Control* 9, 7–16. doi: 10.5897/AJB11.290
- Yacoub, A., Haidar, R., Gerbore, J., Masson, C., Dufour, M. C., Guyoneadu, R., et al. (2020). *Pythium oligandrum* induces grapevine defence mechanisms against the trunk pathogen *Neofusicoccum parvum*. *phytopathol. Mediterr.* 59 (3), 565–580. doi: 10.14601/Phyto-11270
- Yadeta, K. A., and Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2013). The xylem as battleground for plant hosts and vascular wilt pathogens. *Front. Plant Sci.* 4, 97. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00097