Room temperature cavity electromechanics in the sideband-resolved regime

Cite as: J. Appl. Phys. **130**, 014301 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0054965 Submitted: 23 April 2021 • Accepted: 17 June 2021 • Published Online: 06 July 2021

២ Anh Tuan Le, ២ A. Brieussel and E. M. Weig





ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Active feedback cooling of a SiN membrane resonator by electrostatic actuation Journal of Applied Physics **130**, 014502 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0049721

Thermal stability of the electromechanical properties in acceptor-doped and composite-hardened ($Na_{1/2}Bi_{1/2}$)TiO₃-BaTiO₃ ferroelectrics

Journal of Applied Physics 130, 014101 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0052293

Electro-optic modulation in integrated photonics Journal of Applied Physics **130**, 010901 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0048712





J. Appl. Phys. **130**, 014301 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0054965 © 2021 Author(s).

Room temperature cavity electromechanics in the sideband-resolved regime

Cite as: J. Appl. Phys. **130**, 014301 (2021); doi: 10.1063/5.0054965 Submitted: 23 April 2021 · Accepted: 17 June 2021 · Published Online: 6 July 2021



Anh Tuan Le,^{1,2} (D) A. Brieussel,¹ (D) and E. M. Weig^{1,2,3,a})

AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Physics, University of Konstanz, 78457 Konstanz, Germany

²Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Technical University of Munich, 80333 Munich, Germany

³Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology (MCQST), 80799 Munich, Germany

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: eva.weig@tum.de

ABSTRACT

We demonstrate a sideband-resolved cavity electromechanical system operating at room temperature. It consists of a nanomechanical resonator, a strongly pre-stressed silicon nitride string, dielectrically coupled to a three-dimensional microwave cavity made of copper. The electromechanical coupling is characterized by two measurements, the cavity-induced eigenfrequency shift of the mechanical resonator and the optomechanically induced transparency. While the former is dominated by dielectric effects, the latter reveals a clear signature of the dynamical backaction of the cavity field on the resonator. This unlocks the field of cavity electromechanics for room temperature applications.

© 2021 Author(s). All article content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0054965

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, cavity (or circuit) electromechanics has been established as a powerful implementation of cavity optomechanics.¹ Rather than relying on a light field circulating inside an optical cavity, an electromagnetic circuit is employed to realize a cavity mode in the microwave regime which parametrically couples to a mechanical resonator.²⁻⁵ This seemingly simple modification has enabled a series of breakthroughs, including quantum ground state cooling,⁶ entanglement generation,^{7,8} long-lived quantum storage,^{9–11} microwave-to-optical conversion,^{12,13} non-reciprocal signal transduction,¹⁴ and ultrastrong coupling,¹⁵ just to name a few. Following recent developments in the field of superconducting qubits^{16,17} where three-dimensional microwave cavities have replaced coplanar waveguide architectures for their large mode volumes and remarkably high quality factors, three-dimensional superconducting microwave cavities have been adapted for cavity electromechanics by capacitive coupling to a mechanical resonator.¹⁸⁻²⁰ However, to date, the field of cavity electromechanics is limited to millikelvin temperatures since it relies on superconducting circuits. Room temperature cavity electromechanics is impeded by the non-zero resistance of normal conducting circuits, which gives rise to dissipation. Non-superconducting microwave cavities such as copper microstrip resonators have been

successfully employed for cavity-assisted displacement sensing of nanomechanical resonators at room temperature.^{21,22} Their use for cavity electromechanics²¹ is limited by a cavity quality factor of about 100 due to dielectric and conductor losses, which not only constrains the displacement sensitivity but also keeps the system deeply in the unresolved sideband, so-called bad cavity regime where the linewidth of the cavity $\kappa/2\pi$ exceeds the frequency of the mechanical mode $\Omega_m/2\pi$.

Here, we present a room temperature cavity electromechanical system capable of sideband-resolved operation ($\kappa < \Omega_m$). Inspired by the developments in the field of superconducting qubits^{16,17} and cavity electromechanics,^{18–20} we employ a three-dimensional, cylindrically shaped cavity rather than a microstrip to dielectrically probe the displacement of a strongly pre-stressed silicon nitride nanostring resonator.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1(a) depicts a photograph of the cylindrical cavity. It consists of two parts which have been machined from bulk copper (Cu > 99.90%) and which can be closed using screws. The cavity has a radius of 35 mm and a height of 70 mm; it supports both transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) modes.²³ As the modes reside in the hollow cylinder, they are only weakly



FIG. 1. Experimental setup of the cavity electromechanical system at room temperature. (a) Photograph of the (open) cylindrical microwave 3D cavity machined from bulk copper. The microwave signal is inductively injected into the cavity via a loop coupler. The sample holder including the antenna is visible on the cavity floor. (b) Reflection coefficient $|S_{11}|$ of the TM₁₁₀ mode (black) along with fit to the data (red), showing the response of both the empty cavity (left) and the cavity including the sample (right). Upon insertion of the sample, the frequency of the TM₁₁₀ mode shifts by ~80 MHz. Furthermore, an increase in the linewidth by a factor of 2 is observed. (c) Schematically visualizing the physical implementation of the cavity electromechanical system. The doubly clamped silicon nitride string resonator (blue) is situated between two elevated gold electrodes (yellow). The resonator chip (light green) is glued to a printed circuit board (green) and placed inside the cavity (beige). The circuit board also hosts a looped dipole antenna, which is bonded to one of the electrodes to inductively couple to the TM₁₁₀ mode. The other electrode is connected to a single layer capacitor (brown), which serves as a capacitive ground for frequencies in the microwave frequency range, and to a wire which is fed through a hole in the cavity wall. This allows one to apply DC voltages and RF signals to dielectrically drive the resonator inside the cavity. (d) Scanning electron micrograph of a doubly clamped pre-stressed nanomechanical resonator between two gold electrodes for dielectric actuation as well as coupling to the three-dimensional microwave cavity.

affected by dielectric and conductor losses, enabling high quality factor even at room temperature.¹⁷ The coupling to the cavity is realized by injecting the microwave signal from a coaxial line through a loop coupler via a 3.6 mm sized hole in the cavity top. In all our measurements, we use a circulator to physically separate the input and output signals of the single port reflection cavity. Figure 1(b) (left) displays the reflection coefficient $|S_{11}|$ of the TM₁₁₀ mode, which is found at $\omega_c/(2\pi) \approx 5.147$ GHz with a linewidth of $\kappa/(2\pi) = 2.824$ MHz for the empty cavity in good agreement with finite element simulations. This corresponds to a cavity quality factor of 1800, exceeding the state of the art in microwave cavity-assisted nanomechanical displacement sensing at room temperature by more than an order of magnitude.²¹ The nanomechanical resonator under investigation is a strongly pre-stressed nanostring fabricated from LPCVD silicon nitride on a fused silica wafer, which is flanked by two gold electrodes for dielectric transduction.^{21,22} The reflection coefficient of the cavity including the resonator chip is shown in Fig. 1(b) (right). Upon insertion of the resonator, the eigenfrequency of the TM₁₁₀ mode shifts to $\omega_c/(2\pi) = 5.226$ GHz. The linewidth increases by a factor of 2 to $\kappa/(2\pi) = 5.572$ MHz.

In addition, the fit of the amplitude and phase of the cavity's reflection coefficient reveals an external dissipation rate of $\kappa_{ex}/(2\pi) = 1.361$ MHz. This gives rise to a coupling efficiency $\eta = \kappa_{ex}/\kappa = 0.244$, indicating that the cavity is undercoupled. Figure 1(c) shows the physical realization of the cavity electromechanical system. The sample holder with the resonator chip is placed inside the cavity. One of the electrodes is connected to an antenna to inductively couple to the TM₁₁₀ of the cavity. It consists of a looped silver wire on top of a coaxial cable, which places the loop into the electrode is bonded across a single layer capacitor acting as capacitive ground²² and connected to an RF signal generator through a 1.5 mm wide hole in the cavity wall for dielectric actuation of the resonator.^{24–29} The nanostring under investigation is w = 250 nm wide, t = 100 nm thick, and $L = 57 \mu m \log$, similar to the one depicted in Fig. 1(d). While the cavity characterization is done under ambient conditions, the experiments discussed in the following involve the vibrational excitation of the nanostring and air damping needs to be excluded. Hence, the entire cavity electromechanical system consisting of the string resonator as well as the microwave cavity is placed inside a vacuum chamber below 5×10^{-4} mbar. As indicated above, all measurements are performed at room temperature. The nanomechanical resonator is characterized by dielectrically driving its fundamental out-of-plane mode with a vector network analyzer (VNA). The response of the nanomechanical resonator is characterized using heterodyne cavity-assisted displacement detection²¹ using the threedimensional microwave cavity. The microwave cavity is driven on resonance $\omega_d = \omega_c$ such that the periodic modulation of the capacitance induced by the dielectric nanostring vibrating between the two electrodes induces sidebands at $\omega_c \pm \Omega_m$ on the cavity response. The reflected signal of the cavity is demodulated by an in-phase quadrature (IQ) mixer, filtered, and amplified as previously described.²¹ The resulting signal is fed back into the VNA. The response of the fundamental out-of-plane mode of the resonator is displayed in Fig. 2. Figure 2(a) shows the Lorentzian resonance curve observed in the linear response regime for a drive power $P_m = -36$ dBm. Fitting allows to extract the resonance frequency $\Omega_m/(2\pi) = 6.4948$ MHz, as well as a linewidth $\Gamma/(2\pi) = 42$ Hz, which gives rise to a quality factor of $Q \approx 150.000$. Given the cavity linewidth $\kappa/(2\pi) = 5.572$ MHz, the condition for sideband resolution, $\Omega_m > \kappa$, is fulfilled for the TM₁₁₀ mode. The response of the resonator for increasing drive power between -36 and -14 dBm is depicted in Fig. 2(b). Clearly, the transition from the linear, Lorentzian response to an



FIG. 2. Characterization of the nanostring resonator. (a) Linear response of the fundamental out-of-plane mode of the nanostring (black) and Lorentzian fit (red) for a drive power of $P_m = -36$ dBm. (b) Response of the nanostring by increasing drive power from $P_m = -36$ to -14 dBm showing the transition to the nonlinear Duffing regime.

asymmetric response curve, which is well described by the cubic nonlinearity αx^3 of the Duffing model with a stiffening $\alpha > 0$, is observed.³⁰ This demonstrates that the cavity-assisted displacement detection is not impeded even under strong driving of the nanomechanical resonator inside the 3D cavity.

Following the characterization of the microwave cavity and the resonator, we discuss the electromechanical coupling between the two systems. First, we explore how the cavity detuning affects the mechanical eigenfrequency of the resonator. The radiation pressure of the power circulating in the microwave cavity acts back on the mechanical resonator, causing a mechanical eigenfrequency shift that depends on the microwave drive power P_d and detuning $\Delta = \omega_d - \omega_c$. This so-called optical spring effect leads to a detuning-dependent softening or hardening of the resonator. In the case of a high-Q mechanical oscillator with small linewidth, where $\Gamma \ll \kappa$, the frequency shift of the mechanical oscillator can be defined as¹

$$\delta\Omega_{m,\text{opt}} = g^2 \left(\frac{\Delta - \Omega_m}{\frac{\kappa^2}{4} + (\Delta - \Omega_m)^2} + \frac{\Delta + \Omega_m}{\frac{\kappa^2}{4} + (\Delta + \Omega_m)^2} \right).$$
(1)

The electromechanical coupling strength $g = g_0 \cdot \sqrt{n_d}$ depends on the single photon coupling rate g_0 and the number of photons circulating in the cavity n_d . Note that this quantity strongly depends on the detuning of the cavity. Hence, for a given power P_d , the intracavity photon number $n_d = P_d \kappa_{ex} / \left[\hbar \omega_d \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{4} + \Delta^2\right)\right]$ of the single-sided cavity can be significantly reduced for a finite cavity detuning. As the static mechanical displacement of the resonator arising from the radiation pressure force¹ is negligibly small, the detuning Δ rather than the effective detuning $\overline{\Delta}$ is employed in Eq. (1)

In addition to the optomechanical backacktion, we also expect a quasi-static dielectric force acting on the mechanical resonator. This force results from the root-mean-square (RMS) electrical field, which builds up inside the microwave cavity and contributes to the dielectric frequency tuning of the nanostring.²² As the RMS cavity field exhibits the same detuning dependence as the intracavity photon number n_d , this translates into a change in eigenfrequency of the mechanical oscillator as the cavity is detuned from its resonance

$$\delta\Omega_{m,\text{dielectric}} = c_{\mu w} \cdot \left(U_{\mu w}^{rms}(\Delta) \right)^2 = c_{\mu w} R \frac{\kappa \kappa_{ex}}{\frac{\kappa^2}{4} + \Delta^2} P_d.$$
(2)

The calibration factor $c_{\mu\nu}$ converts the change in the effective RMS voltage $U_{\mu\nu}^{rms}$ inside the cavity into a frequency change, and *R* is the impedance of the circuit allowing to express the RMS voltage in terms of the power circulating in the cavity. In total, the detuning dependence of the mechanical resonance is

$$\Omega'_{m} = \Omega_{m} + \delta \Omega_{m,\text{dielectric}} + \delta \Omega_{m,\text{opt}}, \qquad (3)$$

where Ω_m is the unperturbed mechanical eigenfrequency. In Fig. 3(a), the nanomechanical resonator's eigenfrequency is plotted as a function of the detuning (black circles) for a cavity drive power of $P_d = 15$ dBm. The data are well described by Eq. (3), which is apparent from a fit to the data (red line). However, the fit yields an overwhelming contribution of the dielectric frequency shift and only negligible optomechanical backaction such that the optomechanical coupling strength g cannot be determined. The inset of Fig. 3(a) shows the theoretical prediction of the eigenfrequency



FIG. 3. Cavity-induced eigenfrequency shift of the resonator for a cavity drive power of $P_d = 15$ dBm. (a) Experimental data are shown as black circles, the fit of Eq. (3) is indicated as a red solid line. The observed detuning dependence of the mechanical eigenfrequency is dominated by the dielectric shift, which overwhelms the contribution of the optomechanical backaction. Inset: Theoretical prediction of the eigenfrequency shift according to Eq. (3) for $g_0 = 0$ Hz (gray), 200μ Hz (light green), and 20 mHz (dark green). (b) Residual of the data shown in (a).

according to Eq. (3) using the parameters of the experiment for three different values of g_0 . The gray solid line illustrates the eigenfrequency shift for zero optomechanical coupling $g_0 = 0$ Hz. As Eq. (2) does not depend on the sign of Δ , it is mirror symmetric with respect to the y axis. The light green dashed line corresponds to $g_0 = 200 \,\mu$ Hz, which is the value of the single photon coupling rate estimated from the data in Fig. 4. The line completely coincides with the gray line, confirming that the optomechanical coupling has no measurable effect on the eigenfrequency shift. Minute deviations start becoming apparent from $g_0 = 2 \text{ mHz}$, which we thus estimate as an upper bound of the single photon coupling rate. The dark green dashed line for $g_0 = 20 \text{ mHz}$ already shows a sizable deviation of the eigenfrequency shift resulting from optomechanical coupling. Our observation of a negligible optomechanical eigenfrequency shift is supported by the nearly mirror-symmetric shape of the measured eigenfrequency shift of the resonator around zero detuning in Fig. 3(a). The slight deviation shifting the maximum to a small positive detuning is likely caused by a slight drift of the mechanical eigenfrequency from slow polarization effects within the nanostring during the cavity frequency sweep, the opposite effect would be expected from radiation pressure effects.



FIG. 4. Optomechanically induced reflection. (a) Cavity reflection coefficient $|S_{11}|$ showing an OMIR peak of the undercoupled cavity in response to a weak probe tone $\omega_p \approx \omega_c$ in the presence of an additional strong, red-detuned drive $\omega_d = \omega_c - \Omega_m$. The asymmetric shape of the OMIR feature reveals the nonlinearity of the mechanical resonator. The inset displays the full cavity resonance (the red box illustrates the area shown in the main panel). (b) Forward (blue) and reverse (red) sweeps of the probe tone reveal a hysteresis of the OMIR feature as a function of the probe power. For increasing probe power, the OMIR feature as a function of the probe power. For increasing probe power, the OMIR feature as a function of the drive detuning. For a drive tone red- or blue-shifted from the red sideband condition (red and blue traces, respectively), the OMIR feature shifts to the left or right of the cavity resonance. The situation for a drive on the red sideband is also included (black trace). Red and blue traces are vertically offset for clarity.

Figure 3(b) shows the residual of the data vs the fit. We attribute the observed pattern to the same slow polarization effects. The small value of g_0 is consistent with our observation that the mechanical linewidth does not yield any measurable detuning dependence in our experiment (not shown).

In order to obtain a better estimation of the electromechanical coupling strength, we apply a second technique to characterize the parametric coupling between the nanostring and the threedimensional cavity. It is based on the optomechanically induced transparency (OMIT),^{4,31,32} which arises from the coherent interaction of two microwave tones with the mechanical resonator in the resolved sideband regime.³³ In an OMIT experiment, the cavity is strongly pumped by a drive tone $\omega_d = \omega_c - \Omega_m$, which is red detuned from the cavity resonance by the frequency of the mechanical resonator. The cavity response is measured by a second, weak probe tone ω_p that is scanned across the cavity resonance. The beating between the two microwave tones induces a radiation pressure force coherently driving the mechanical resonator. In turn, the resonator imprints sidebands on the drive which interfere constructively with the probe. This opens a transparency window in the cavity transmission (or, as in our case, the cavity reflection which strictly speaking leads to optomechanically induced reflection (OMIR)³³). According to the standard theory of OMIT, the height of the transparency peak allows to directly extract the cooperativity $C = 4g^2/(\kappa\Gamma_m)$, rendering OMIT an important tool in cavity optoand electromechanics.

In Fig. 4(a), we plot the response of the microwave cavity as a function of the probe frequency ω_p for a drive applied at optimal detuning $\Delta = \omega_d - \omega_c = -\Omega_m$. The drive and probe power are 15 and -25 dBm, respectively. A transparency feature at ω_c is clearly apparent in the center of the cavity resonance. Note that the peak is not symmetric. Its asymmetry reflects the nonlinear response of the mechanical resonator to the radiation pressure drive exerted by the two microwave tones.^{10,34,35} In agreement with the standard model for OMIR for the case of an undercoupled cavity driven on the red sideband, the shape of the nonlinear transparency peak directly follows the response of the Duffing resonator.^{10,34} This is also reflected in the hysteretic behavior of the OMIR feature displayed in Fig. 4(b) for a forward (black) as well as a reverse (red) sweep of the cavity probe at a somewhat lower probe power to maximize the magnitude of the OMIR feature. Figure 4(c) illustrates the dependence of OMIR peak on the probe power. The curves (from left to right) correspond to an increasing probe power from -46 to -39 dBm. As expected, the width of the nonlinear feature broadens with increasing probe power while its amplitude slightly decreases.³⁴ Finally, the effect of a detuning δ of the cavity drive from the red sideband condition, $\Delta = -\Omega_m + \delta$, is explored in Fig. 4(d) for a constant probe power of -25 dBm. For a drive tone red- or blue-shifted from the red-sideband condition $(\delta = \pm 180 \text{ kHz}, \text{ red and blue traces, respectively})$, the OMIR peak moves away from the minimum of the cavity response at ω_c .³⁴ The data for the drive matched to the red sideband are also included $(\delta = 0$ Hz, black trace). For clarity, the red and blue traces are vertically offset from the black trace.

According to the standard theory of OMIR, the height of the transparency peak S_{11}^0 allows to quantify the cooperativity. For the case of an undercoupled cavity driven on the red sideband,

 $C = (2\eta)/(1 - S_{11}^0) - 1$. Neither the nonlinear regime nor a poor sideband resolution greatly affects the magnitude of the OMIR feature.^{34,36} Using the yellow trace in Fig. 4(c), extract an approximate value of $C \approx 0.025$. This translates into an optomechanical coupling strength of $g/(2\pi) = 1.2$ kHz and, given a cavity photon number of 4.0×10^{13} at a drive power of 15 dBm on the red sideband, to a single photon coupling rate of $g_0/(2\pi) = 200 \mu$ Hz.

It is noteworthy to mention that such a feeble single photon coupling can produce observable features in the OMIT experiment at all. This is enabled by the large number of photons supported by the three-dimensional microwave cavity, which exceeds photon numbers achieved in planar microwave resonators at low temperatures^{4,33} by at least four orders of magnitude and is at present only limited by the maximum output power of our microwave generator. The observed weak single photon coupling strength is attributed to our cavity design, as the loop antenna could not be precisely positioned in the cavity in our experiment and presumably only weakly couples to the TM₁₁₀ cavity mode. At the same time, the electromechanical coupling of the nanostring to the electrodes is limited by a relatively large electrode-electrode separation of approx. 600 nm for the sample under investigation. For future work on the room temperature cavity electromechanics platform, an improved control of the antenna position as well as a smaller electrode gap will enable to significantly increase g_0 .

III. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a cavity electromechanical system operating in the sideband resolved regime at room tem-This was accomplished by introducing perature. three-dimensional, non-superconducting microwave cavity made of copper which replaces the previously employed copper microstrip cavity, the quality factor of which is outperformed by more than an order of magnitude. In our experiment, a non-metallized silicon nitride nanostring resonator was dielectrically coupled to the TM₁₁₀ mode of the cavity, which offers almost perfect sideband resolution $\kappa \leq \Omega_m$. Electromechanical coupling was observed and characterized in one- and two-microwave tone experiments. While the mechanical eigenfrequency shift is dominated by dielectric frequency tuning, the optomechanically induced transparency (in reflection geometry) establishes a clear proof of dynamical backaction. Despite the minute single photon coupling rate of our first implementation of the room temperature cavity electromechanical platform in the sub-mHz regime, a measurable coupling is enabled by the large number of photons circulating in the threedimensional microwave cavity. As a result of the required strong red-detuned cavity drive, the response of the mechanical resonator is nonlinear in our proof-of-principle experiment.

Our results translate the thriving field of cavity electromechanics from the millikelvin realm to room temperature. For future exploitation, the electromechanical vacuum coupling rate $g_0/(2\pi)$ will need to be increased. This can be accomplished by an improved positioning of the loop antenna providing the coupling between the cavity mode and the control electrodes. Furthermore, the coupling can be enhanced by increasing the dielectric transduction efficiency, i.e., by reducing the lateral gap between the electrodes. Following these technical improvements, we expect to reveal the electromechanical cooling or pumping of the mechanical mode. Finally, the quality factor or the TE_{011} mode exceeds that of the TM_{110} mode by another order of magnitude, which offers the prospect of entering the deep-sideband-resolved regime of cavity electromechanics at room temperature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) via Project-ID 425217212 (Collaborative Research Center SFB 1432), the European Unions Horizon 2020 programme for Research and Innovation under Grant Agreement No. 732894 (FET Proactive HOT), and the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research through Contract No. 13N14777 funded within the European QuantERA cofund project QuaSeRT is gratefully acknowledged.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5032349.

REFERENCES

¹M. Aspelmeyer, T. J. Kippenberg, and F. Marquardt, "Cavity optomechanics," Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 1391–1452 (2014).

²C. A. Regal, J. D. Teufel, and K. W. Lehnert, "Measuring nanomechanical motion with a microwave cavity interferometer," Nat. Phys. 4, 555–560 (2008).

³J. D. Teufel, J. W. Harlow, C. A. Regal, and K. W. Lehnert, "Dynamical backaction of microwave fields on a nanomechanical oscillator," Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 197203 (2008).

⁴J. D. Teufel, D. Li, M. S. Allman, K. Cicak, A. J. Sirois, J. D. Whittaker, and R. W. Simmonds, "Circuit cavity electromechanics in the strong-coupling regime," Nature **471**, 204–208 (2011).

⁵M. Pernpeintner, T. Faust, F. Hocke, J. P. Kotthaus, E. M. Weig, H. Huebl, and R. Gross, "Circuit electromechanics with a non-metallized nanobeam," Appl. Phys. Lett. **105**, 123106 (2014).

⁶J. D. Teufel, T. Donner, D. Li, J. W. Harlow, M. S. Allman, K. Cicak, A. J. Sirois, J. D. Whittaker, K. W. Lehnert, and R. W. Simmonds, "Sideband cooling of micromechanical motion to the quantum ground state," Nature 475, 359–363 (2011).

⁷T. A. Palomaki, J. D. Teufel, R. W. Simmonds, and K. W. Lehnert, "Entangling mechanical motion with microwave fields," *Science* **342**, 710–713 (2013).

⁸S. Barzanjeh, E. S. Redchenko, M. Peruzzo, M. Wulf, D. P. Lewis, G. Arnold, and J. M. Fink, "Stationary entangled radiation from micromechanical motion," *Nature* 570, 480–483 (2019).

⁹T. A. Palomaki, J. W. Harlow, J. D. Teufel, R. W. Simmonds, and K. W. Lehnert, "Coherent state transfer between itinerant microwave fields and a mechanical oscillator," Nature **495**, 210–214 (2013).

¹⁰X. Zhou, F. Hocke, A. Schliesser, A. Marx, H. Huebl, R. Gross, and T. J. Kippenberg, "Slowing, advancing and switching of microwave signals using circuit nanoelectromechanics," Nat. Phys. 9, 179–184 (2013).

¹¹M. Pechal, P. Arrangoiz-Arriola, and A. H. Safavi-Naeini, "Superconducting circuit quantum computing with nanomechanical resonators as storage," Quantum Sci. Technol. 4, 015006 (2018).

¹²R. W. Andrews, R. W. Peterson, T. P. Purdy, K. Cicak, R. W. Simmonds, C. A. Regal, and K. W. Lehnert, "Bidirectional and efficient conversion between microwave and optical light," Nat. Phys. **10**, 321–326 (2014).

¹³M. Forsch, R. Stockill, A. Wallucks, I. Marinković, C. Gärtner, R. A. Norte, F. van Otten, A. Fiore, K. Srinivasan, and S. Gröblacher, "Microwave-to-optics conversion using a mechanical oscillator in its quantum ground state," Nat. Phys. 16, 69 (2019). ¹⁴S. Barzanjeh, M. Wulf, M. Peruzzo, M. Kalaee, P. B. Dieterle, O. Painter, and J. M. Fink, "Mechanical on-chip microwave circulator," Nat. Commun. 8, 953 (2017).
¹⁵G. A. Peterson, S. Kotler, F. Lecocq, K. Cicak, X. Y. Jin, R. W. Simmonds, J. Aumentado, and J. D. Teufel, "Ultrastrong parametric coupling between a superconducting cavity and a mechanical resonator," Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 247701 (2019).
¹⁶H. Paik, D. I. Schuster, L. S. Bishop, G. Kirchmair, G. Catelani, A. P. Sears, B. R. Johnson, M. J. Reagor, L. Frunzio, L. I. Glazman, S. M. Girvin, M. H. Devoret, and R. J. Schoelkopf, "Observation of high coherence in josephson junction qubits measured in a three-dimensional circuit QED architecture," Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 240501 (2011).

¹⁷M. Reagor, H. Paik, G. Catelani, L. Sun, C. Axline, E. Holland, I. M. Pop, N. A. Masluk, T. Brecht, L. Frunzio, M. H. Devoret, L. Glazman, and R. J. Schoelkopf, "Reaching 10 ms single photon lifetimes for superconducting aluminum cavities," Appl. Phys. Lett. **102**, 192604 (2013).

¹⁸M. Yuan, V. Singh, Y. M. Blanter, and G. A. Steele, "Large cooperativity and microkelvin cooling with a three-dimensional optomechanical cavity," Nat. Commun. 6, 8491 (2015).

¹⁹A. Noguchi, R. Yamazaki, M. Ataka, H. Fujita, Y. Tabuchi, T. Ishikawa, K. Usami, and Y. Nakamura, "Ground state cooling of a quantum electromechanical system with a silicon nitride membrane in a 3D loop-gap cavity," New J. Phys. **18**, 103036 (2016).

²⁰N. C. Carvalho, J. Bourhill, M. Goryachev, S. Galliou, and M. E. Tobar, "Piezo-optomechanical coupling of a 3D microwave resonator to a bulk acoustic wave crystalline resonator," Appl. Phys. Lett. **115**, 211102 (2019).

²¹T. Faust, P. Krenn, S. Manus, J. Kotthaus, and E. Weig, "Microwave cavity-enhanced transduction for plug and play nanomechanics at room temperature," Nat. Commun. **3**, 728 (2012).

²²J. Rieger, T. Faust, M. J. Seitner, J. P. Kotthaus, and E. M. Weig, "Frequency and q factor control of nanomechanical resonators," Appl. Phys. Lett. **101**, 103110 (2012).
 ²³D. M. Pozar, *Microwave Engineering* (John Wiley and Sons Ltd, 2011).

²⁴F. Chen, A. J. Sirois, R. W. Simmonds, and A. J. Rimberg, "Introduction of a dc bias into a high-q superconducting microwave cavity," Appl. Phys. Lett. **98**, 132509 (2011).

²⁵S. E. de Graaf, D. Davidovikj, A. Adamyan, S. E. Kubatkin, and A. V. Danilov, "Galvanically split superconducting microwave resonators for introducing internal voltage bias," Appl. Phys. Lett. **104**, 052601 (2014).

²⁶Y. Hao, F. Rouxinol, and M. D. LaHaye, "Development of a broadband reflective t-filter for voltage biasing high-q superconducting microwave cavities," Appl. Phys. Lett. **105**, 222603 (2014).

²⁷W.-C. Kong, G.-W. Deng, S.-X. Li, H.-O. Li, G. Cao, M. Xiao, and G.-P. Guo, "Introduction of DC line structures into a superconducting microwave 3D cavity," Rev. Sci. Instrum. **86**, 023108 (2015).

²⁸M. A. Cohen, M. Yuan, B. W. A. de Jong, E. Beukers, S. J. Bosman, and G. A. Steele, "A split-cavity design for the incorporation of a dc bias in a 3D microwave cavity," Appl. Phys. Lett. **110**, 172601 (2017).

²⁹M. Stammeier, S. Garcia, and A. Wallraff, "Applying electric and magnetic field bias in a 3D superconducting waveguide cavity with high quality factor," Quantum Sci. Technol. 3, 045007 (2018).

³⁰A. H. Nayfeh and D. T. Mook, Nonlinear Oscillations (Wiley, 1995).

³¹G. S. Agarwal and S. Huang, "Electromagnetically induced transparency in mechanical effects of light," Phys. Rev. A **81**, 041803(R) (2010).

³²S. Weis, R. Rivière, S. Deléglise, E. Gavartin, O. Arcizet, A. Schliesser, and T. J. Kippenberg, "Optomechanically induced transparency," *Science* 330, 1520–1523 (2010).

³³V. Singh, S. J. Bosman, B. H. Schneider, Y. M. Blanter, A. Castellanos-Gomez, and G. A. Steele, "Optomechanical coupling between a multilayer graphene mechanical resonator and a superconducting microwave cavity," Nat. Nanotechnol. 9, 820–824 (2014).

³⁴O. Shevchuk, V. Singh, G. A. Steele, and Y. M. Blanter, "Optomechanical response of a nonlinear mechanical resonator," Phys. Rev. B 92, 195415 (2015).
³⁵V. Singh, O. Shevchuk, Y. M. Blanter, and G. A. Steele, "Negative nonlinear

damping of a multilayer graphene mechanical resonator," Phys. Rev. B 93, 245407 (2016).
³⁶T. Bodiya, V. Sudhir, C. Wipf, N. Smith, A. Buikema, A. Kontos, H. Yu, and

N. Mavalvala, "Sub-hertz optomechanically induced transparency with a kilogram-scale mechanical oscillator," Phys. Rev. A **100**, 013853 (2019).