

### INVESTIGATION OF A TOPPING/BOTTOMING ORC BASED CHP CONFIGURATION USING A NEW EVAPORATOR CONCEPT FOR RESIDENTIAL APPLICATIONS

João S. Pereira\*, Ricardo Mendes, Jorge C. André and José B. Ribeiro

University of Coimbra, ADAI-LAETA, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Rua Luís Reis Santos, Pólo II, 3030-788 Coimbra, Portugal

\*Corresponding Author: joao.pereira@dem.uc.pt

### ABSTRACT

The development of ORC based micro-CHP systems to retrofit the current combi-boilers is receiving noticeable attention from research centres and companies due to the huge dimension of the potential market. Recognizing the importance that the evaporator has on the technological/ commercial success of these systems, and instead of avoiding the problem through the adoption of alternative restricting solutions (i.e. indirect vaporization process), it seems reasonable to face the challenges associated with the development of a specifically designed ORC-evaporator capable to directly use the hightemperature combustion gases to perform the vaporization of the working fluid (direct vaporization). In an attempt to overcome those challenges and fulfil this technological gap, that is believed to be preventing the widespread use of these systems, this paper presents and discuss some of its main design principles. From those principles emerged a hybrid (topping/bottoming) CHP configuration in which the thermal energy is produced stepwise: firstly in the ORC-condenser and then in a post-heater, that is integrated on the ORC-evaporator, directly with the combustion gases. A model of this configuration was developed to determine the fraction of the CHP water heating process performed in the post-heater that maximizes the primary energy savings (in more than 20%) and ORC net power output (in more than 5%) for a wide range of CHP operating conditions. When compared to a standard CHP configuration, this solution show benefits for the greater part of those conditions. Besides solving the safety issue posed by the ORC-evaporator requirements and the performance benefits shown, this configuration has an additional positive side effect: the decrease of the combustion gases' temperature before they reach the organic fluid heat-exchanger section in the ORC-evaporator that leads to a reduction of the risk of the working fluid thermal degradation.

### **1** INTRODUCTION

The combined production of heat and power (CHP) is one of the major alternatives to the traditional energy production systems in terms of energy savings and environmental conservation (Bianchi et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2021; International Energy Agency 2018). The most promising target for the micro-CHP systems lies in the residential sector given the huge dimension of the market (CODE 2 2014; Pezzutto et al. 2019). At this scale, and for solutions attempting to retrofit the wall-mounted combiboilers that are currently applied in residential dwellings, where the noise, vibrations, weight, dimension and reliability are crucial features, the Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) based technology appears to be the most promising (Galloni, Fontana, and Staccone 2015; Peris et al. 2015). Even if it may seem surprising, in its basic configuration and from a thermodynamic point of view, an ORC is almost identical to a reverse refrigeration cycle in which the throttling valve is replaced by a pump, the condenser works as an evaporator, the compressor works as an expander and the evaporator as a condenser. From a technological point of view, the ORC based micro-CHP system may share with the refrigeration devices their main component, the compressor (converted to an expander in the micro-CHP (Cambi et al. 2017; Declaye et al. 2013), while the pump and the condenser are off-the-shelf components of, e.g., coffee machines and HVAC systems, respectively (Quoilin, Lemort, and Lebrun

2010). This share of technology presents obvious benefits from the point of view of reliability, maintenance and cost that, partially due to the existence of alternative moving parts in Stirling Cycle and spark ignited internal combustion systems, are envisaged to present less noise, vibrations and maintenance requirements (Algieri and Morrone 2014; Bracco et al. 2016).

Among the ORC based micro-CHP main components, the evaporator is the only that, due to its specificities (e.g. working with a vaporizing fluid at relatively high pressures, typically above 10 bar), cannot be found directly on the market or easily adapted from a mass production part of other appliances and needs to be specially designed and analyzed (Pereira et al. 2017). To partially avoid this question, the overwhelming majority of manufacturers and research centers choose to perform an indirect vaporization of the working fluid. In those cases, an intermediate circuit with thermal oil, or slightly pressurized water, is commonly used to transfer the energy from the high temperature combustion gases to the organic fluid, being its vaporization performed in a plate-type heat-exchanger (Farrokhi, Noie, and Akbarzadeh 2014; Pereira et al. 2018). In this way, it is not only possible to reduce the pressure but also to ensure the existence of a continuous liquid phase in the heat-exchanger that is directly exposed to the high temperature combustion gases, from which a significant reduction in the design requirements is obtained. Moreover, such solution is expected to perform a better control of the temperature of the working fluid vaporization process that can reduce the risk of its thermal degradation (Dai et al. 2018; Invernizzi and Bonalumi 2016). In comparison with the direct vaporization, in which the phase change of the organic fluid occurs in the part of the evaporator directly exposed to the combustion gases, the aforementioned CHP configuration demands the inclusion of, at least, an additional heat-exchanger, a circulating pump, an expansion vessel, and the necessary tubes and accessories from which an increase of the system dimension and thermal inertial are expected. As an obvious consequence, such micro-CHP strongly reduces its ability to face the intermittence of the hot-water demands, with multiple shorttime requests, that characterize one of the operation modes of the combi-boiler (Bamgbopa and Uzgoren 2013). Eventually, this problem is solved coupling the CHP system to hot-water storage tanks but that solution, among other limitations, definitely compromises its ability to retrofit those kinds of appliances. Recognizing the importance that the evaporator has on the technological/commercial success of ORC based micro-CHP systems, and instead of avoiding the problem through the adoption of alternative restricting solutions, it seems reasonable to face the challenges associated with the development of a specifically designed ORC-evaporator capable to directly use the combustion gases to perform the vaporization of the working fluid (Pereira et al. 2021). In an attempt to overcome those challenges and fulfill this technological gap, that is believed to be preventing the widespread use of these systems, this paper presents a solution for such evaporators. This solution leads to a hybrid (topping/ bottoming) CHP configuration in which the useful thermal energy is produced stepwise, firstly in the ORC-condenser and then in a post-heater directly with hot combustion gases (Pereira et al. 2019). The benefits arising from its use, in what refers to the primary energy savings, cycle efficiency, net power output and risk of the organic fluid thermal degradation, are illustrated and discussed as function of several CHP operating (design) conditions such as the inlet and outlet water temperatures.

# 2 DIRECT VAPORIZATION ORC-EVAPORATOR

The gas-burner with the characteristics required for the ORC-evaporator was promptly found in the market and is shown in Figure 1-a. Contrarily, the heat-exchanger needs to be specifically designed. A schematic representation of its configuration can be seen in Figure 1-b. In this, the hot combustion gases flow around the tubes of the heat-exchanger, within which is the organic fluid, in what can be described as a mixed counter flow/cross-flow arrangement. The use of high temperature insulation material sleeves (presented in brown in Figure 1-b) prevents the energy transfer along the radial direction from the central combustion chamber to the helical coils in an effort to keep the counter-flow arrangement nature. Besides the mentioned characteristics, this configuration contains a water-cooled baffled sleeve to be used as a cold surrounding of the gas-burner head to ensure its proper and safe operation. In this, the water flows through the sleeve after being pre-heated in the ORC-condenser, reducing the organic fluid condensing temperature in this component, which is beneficial for the cycle efficiency. In addition, this baffle sleeve can also reduce the risk of organic fluid thermal degradation because it decreases the combustion gases temperature before they reach the ORC heat-exchanger part.



*Figure 1:* Photograph of the premix gas-burner head with the ignition spark and flame detector rod (a) and the schematic representation of the ORC-evaporator design with the water baffled sleeve (b)

# **3 HYBRID ORC BASED CHP CONFIGURATION**

The schematic configuration of the micro-CHP system, derived from the integration of the new designed ORC-evaporator, is shown in Figure 2. This can be described as a hybrid (topping/bottoming) CHP configuration (Pereira et al. 2019), since the useful thermal energy is transferred to the water stepwise - before and after the production of work in the prime mover (expander).



Figure 2: Schematic diagram of the hybrid CHP configuration with the new ORC-evaporator

The T-s diagrams of the hybrid and of a standard ORC based CHP configuration, including the combustion gases and water streams, can be found in Figure 3 using the organic fluid r245fa. In spite of using a high-temperature heat source, this fluid was selected because, for the pressure range selected (<= 15bara for a residential system), this promotes higher ORC efficiency values according to (Santos et al. 2018). For the same CHP outlet water temperature, the condensing pressure (and obviously the temperature) of the ORC in this hybrid configuration may be significant lower. The well-known advantages of such decrease of the condensing pressure are an increase of the ORC specific power (net power per unit of working fluid mass flow rate) and an increase of the cycle efficiency. However, these advantages need to be considered against an inevitable reduction of the ORC working fluid mass flow rate that can partially, or totally, hinder them.



Figure 3: T-s diagrams of R245fa ORC based CHP configurations: a) standard and b) hybrid

In this configuration, the amount of energy transferred to the water in the post-heating section is an additional design parameter that needs to be analyzed. This parameter can be defined by the equation (1) where  $\theta$  can be seen as the fraction of the water heating process that is done in the water post-heating section of the ORC-evaporator. To perform such analysis, a physical model, where all the main ORC components are consider working on their nominal conditions, was developed using Matlab<sup>®</sup> and REFPROP thermodynamic database (Lemmon, E.W., Huber, M.L., McLinden 2013). The model assumes no heat or pressure losses as well as neglects the electro-mechanical inefficiencies for both the pump and expander generator.

$$\theta = \frac{T_7 - T_6}{T_7 - T_5} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

The input parameters of this model, with the values used or the intervals within they are allowed to vary (in case of a parametric analysis), are listed in Table 1. Within the list of the input parameters is the water post-heating fraction ( $\theta$ ), defined by the equation (1), that can be seen as the fraction of the water heating process that is done in the ORC-evaporator water post-heating section. A value of  $\theta = 0\%$  refers to a standard CHP system in which all the water heating process occurs in the ORC-condenser, while a value of  $\theta = 100\%$  refers to a situation in which there is no cogeneration or the ORC system is not working.

Model parameter	Symbol	Units	Value
Working fluid	-	-	R245fa
Expander isentropic efficiency	ητ	%	0,75
Pump isentropic efficiency	ηP	%	0,5
Condenser efficiency	ηсне	%	0,98
Evaporator efficiency	ηене	%	0,9
Maximum ORC pressure	p <sub>max</sub>	kPa	1200
Working fluid superheating degree	$\Delta T_2$	°C	10
Water pressure	pw	kPa	300
CHP inlet water temperature	T5	°C	10 <sup>a)</sup>
CHP outlet water temperature	<b>T</b> 7	°C	65 <sup>a)</sup>
Water post-heating fraction	θ	%	[0, 50]
End-user thermal power demand	Qw	kW	25
Atmospheric pressure	P <sub>atm</sub>	kPa	101,325
Combustion gases adiabatic flame temperature	T <sub>flame</sub>	°C	1540 <sup>b)</sup>
Combustion gases mass flow rate	, m <sub>cg</sub>	kg/s	0,0137 <sup>b)</sup>
Combustion products mass fraction	m′ <sub>cgj</sub> <sup>c)</sup>	kg <sub>j</sub> /kg <sub>fuel</sub> <sup>c)</sup>	b, d)

Table 1: Input parameters of the CHP physical model.

<sup>a)</sup> Isolated values were used to simulate a specific CHP operating condition (in accordance with the standard (European Committee for Standardization 2016)) while the intervals were used to perform a system's parametric analysis. <sup>b)</sup> The presented values were obtained for a complete combustion of natural-gas with 30% of excess of air (according with the gas-burner manufacturer recommendation as showed in section 2.3). <sup>c)</sup>  $j = \{CO_2; H_2O; O_2; N_2\}$ . <sup>d)</sup>  $\{m'_{cg,CO_2} = 0,123; m'_{cg,H_2O} = 0,093; m'_{cg,N_2} = 0,734; m'_{cg,O_2} = 0,051\}$ .

The way how the properties of the working fluid, the water or the combustion gases are evaluated at each of the CHP key points is presented in Table 2. To avoid problems related with the pinch-point in the ORC-condenser, the model assumes that the condensing temperature is limited (inferiorly) by the water temperature at the ORC-condenser exit (see Table 2 where, for point 3, at the expander exit, the pressure is equal to the saturation value defined by the water temperature at the condenser exit, point 6). The knowledge of the enthalpy at those key points allows solving the energy balance equations as they are shown, for each of the CHP main components, in Table 3 from which the CHP behavior can be inferred and the usual performance indicators can be obtained.

<b>#</b> <sup>a)</sup>	p [kPa]	<b>T</b> [°C]	h [kJ/kg]	s [kJ/kg]	State <sup>b)</sup>
1 <sub>s</sub> <sup>c)</sup>	$p_{1i} = p_{max}$	-	$h_{1i} = h(p_{1i}, s_{1i})$	$s_{1i} = s_4$	-
1	$p_1 = p_{max}$	$T_1 = T(p_1, h_1)$	$h_1 = h_4 + \left(\frac{h_{1i} - h_4}{\eta_P}\right)$	$s_1 = s(T_1, h_1)$	CL
2	$p_2 = p_{max}$	$T_2 = T_{sat}(p_2) + \Delta T_2$	$h_2 = h(T_2, p_2)$	$s_2 = s(T_2, p_2)$	SH
3 <sub>s</sub> <sup>c)</sup>	$p_{3i} = p_3$	-	$h_{3i} = h(p_{3i}, s_{3i})$	$s_{3i} = s_2$	—
3	$p_3 = p_{sat}(T_6)$	$T_3 = T(p_3, h_3)$	$h_3 = h_2 - \eta_T \times (h_2 - h_{3i})$	$s_3 = s(h_3, p_3)$	SH
4	$p_{4} = p_{3}$	$T_4 = T(p_4, x_4)$	$h_4 = h(T_4, p_4)$	$s_4 = s(T_4, x_4)$	SL
5	$p_5 = p_w$	$T_5$	$h_5 = h(T_5, p_5)$	_	—
6	$p_6 = p_w$	$T_6 = T_7 - \theta \times (T_7 - T_5)$	$h_6 = h(T_6, p_6)$	_	_
7	$p_7 = p_w$	$T_7$	$h_7 = h(T_7, p_7)$	_	_
8	$p_8 = p_{atm}$	$T_8 = T_{flame}$	$h_8 = h(T_8, p_8)$	—	—
9	$p_9 = p_{atm}$	$T_9 = T(p_9, h_9)$	$h_9=h_8-\dot{Q}_{w_{PH}}/\dot{m}_{cg}$	_	—
10	$p_{10} = p_{atm}$	$T_{10} = T(p_{10}, h_{10})$	$h_{10} = h_9 - \dot{Q}_{in}/\dot{m}_{cg}$	_	_

Table 2: Evaluation of the thermodynamic properties at different CHP key points.

<sup>a)</sup> In accordance with Figure 2. <sup>b)</sup> CL – Compressed liquid; SL - Saturated liquid; SH – Superheated vapor. <sup>c)</sup> Correspond to an intermediate calculation for the isentropic thermodynamic condition.

Table 3:	Power	balance	equations	of the	CHP	system.
			1	./		~

CHP component <sup>a)</sup>	Stream	Power balance		
Pump	ORC working fluid	$\dot{W}_{in} = \dot{m}_f  imes (h_1 - h_4)$		
Working fluid heating section	ORC working fluid	$\dot{Q}_{in}=\dot{m}_f imes(h_2-h_1)$		
(ORC-evaporator)	Combustion gases	$\dot{Q}_{cg_f} = \left(\dot{m}_{cg} \times (h_9 - h_{10})\right) / \eta_{EHE}$		
Water post-heating section	Water	$\dot{Q}_{w_{PH}} = \dot{m}_w \times (h_7 - h_6)$		
(ORC-evaporator)	Combustion gases	$\dot{Q}_{cg_{PH}} = \left(\dot{m}_{cg} \times (h_8 - h_9)\right) / \eta_{EHE}$		
Expander	ORC working fluid	$\dot{W}_{out} = \dot{m}_f \times (h_2 - h_3)$		
Condenser	ORC working fluid	$\dot{Q}_{out} = \dot{Q}_{w_{CHE}} / \eta_{CHE}$		
	Water	$\dot{Q}_{w_{CHE}} = \dot{m}_w \times (h_6 - h_5)$		
	Natural-gas/air mixture	$\dot{Q}_{CHP} = \dot{Q}_{cg_f} + \dot{Q}_{cg_{PH}}$		
Hybrid CHP system	Water	$\dot{m}_w = \dot{Q}_w / (h_7 - h_5)$		
	ORC working fluid	$\dot{m}_f = \dot{Q}_{out}/(h_3 - h_4)$		

<sup>a)</sup> In accordance with Figure 2.

Among these indicators are the ORC efficiency ( $\eta_{ORC}$ ), defined as shown in equation (2), the ORC net power output ( $\dot{W}_{net}$ ), see equation (3), and the Primary Energy Savings (*PES*), as defined by the EU directive (European Parliament and European Council 2012), that can be calculated using the equation (4). In this,  $\eta_{REF_H}$  and  $\eta_{REF_E}$  are the harmonized efficiency reference value for separate production of heat (for the purpose of this paper was assumed 0,9 considering that the thermal energy produced is in the form of hot water from a natural-gas boiler manufactured before 2016 (European Commision 2015)) and the harmonized efficiency reference value for separate production of electricity (for the purpose of this paper was assumed 0,445, considering that the electrical energy is produced in a natural-gas fueled power plant built before 2012 and an aggregated correction factor that includes the climatic specificities and the grid losses for low-voltage level end-users (European Commision 2015)). The values of  $\eta_{CHP_H}$ and  $\eta_{CHP_E}$ , that represents the thermal and electrical efficiencies of the CHP systems, respectively, are calculated by equation (5). Besides that, two non-dimensional parameters, the *rPES* and the  $r\dot{W}_{net}$ , that

#### Paper ID: 59, Page 7

relate the values obtained for the hybrid configuration (CHP system with  $\theta \neq 0\%$ ) with those obtained for the standard configuration (CHP system with  $\theta = 0\%$ ), see equation (6), and the reduction of the combustion gases temperature associated with the energy transfer in the water post-heating section of the ORC-evaporator ( $T_8 - T_9$ ), are also retrieved from the model.

$$\eta_{ORC} = \frac{\dot{W}_{out} - \dot{W}_{in}}{\dot{Q}_{in}} \times 100 \tag{2}$$

$$\dot{W}_{net} = \dot{W}_{out} - \dot{W}_{in} \tag{3}$$

$$PES = \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{\eta_{CHP_H}}{\eta_{REF_H}} + \frac{\eta_{CHP_E}}{\eta_{REF_E}}\right)}\right) \times 100$$
(4)

$$\eta_{CHP_H} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{w_{PH}} + \dot{Q}_{w_{CHE}}}{\dot{Q}_{CHP}}, \qquad \eta_{CHP_E} = \frac{\dot{W}_{out} - \dot{W}_{in}}{\dot{Q}_{CHP}}$$
(5)

$$rPES = \frac{PES(\theta)}{PES(\theta=0)}, \qquad r\dot{W}_{net} = \frac{\dot{W}_{net}(\theta)}{\dot{W}_{net}(\theta=0)}$$
(6)

### 4 MODEL RESULTS

The variation's effect of  $\theta$  over the net specific work, the efficiency  $(\eta_{ORC})$  and the organic fluid mass flow rate  $(\dot{m}_f)$  is shown in Figure 4-a. As expected, mainly due to the increase of the pressure difference in the working fluid when passing through the expander, the net specific work and the efficiency increased with  $\theta$ . However, since an increasing part of the water heating process is shifted from the ORC-condenser to the post-heating section, an inevitable reduction of the working fluid mass flow rate is observed. The non-despicable result of these antagonistic variations is presented in Figure 4-b, where the values of the primary energy savings (*PES*) and the ORC net power output ( $\dot{W}_{net}$ ) are shown as function of  $\theta$ . The maximum positive variations of *PES* and  $\dot{W}_{net}$  are 23% and 7% for values of  $\theta$  equal to 33% and 21%, respectively. The shifting of part of the water heating process from the ORCcondenser to the post-heating section of the ORC-evaporator allows to solve a safety issue that will permit the adoption of a compact ORC-evaporator design and also induces positive effects in the CHP performance increasing not only the efficiency (increase of *PES*) and economic (increase of  $\dot{W}_{net}$ ) figures in comparison with the standard configuration (for which  $\theta = 0\%$ ).



**Figure 4:** a) ORC net specific work,  $\dot{m}_f$  and  $\eta_{ORC}$  as function of  $\theta$ , b) PES and  $\dot{W}_{net}$  as function of  $\theta$ .

Moreover, the proposed hybrid configuration presents an additional advantage of reducing the risk of organic fluid thermal degradation that arises from a significant temperature reduction of the combustion gases on their passage through the water post-heating section of the ORC-evaporator. The temperature reduction for the value of  $\theta$  that maximizes *PES* is about 420 °C and for the value of  $\theta$  that maximizes  $\dot{W}_{net}$  is about 260 °C. However, the value can be increased without any efficiency or net power output losses regarding the standard CHP configuration ( $\theta = 0\%$ ) to more than 500 °C if  $\theta$  is increased to around 41%, as shown in Figure 5.



*Figure 5:* Relative value of PES /  $\dot{W}_{net}$  and combustion gases temperature reduction as function of  $\theta$ .

### 5 CONCLUSION

The development of ORC based micro-CHP systems aiming to retrofit the current combi-boilers demands for small dimension, high efficiency and high turn-down ratio evaporators. To achieve such requirements, the design principles of those ORC-evaporators should include: i) direct vaporization, ii) counter-flow arrangement and iii) pre-mixed gas-burners. A solution of an ORC-evaporator accomplishing these specifications was presented. That solution showed the need of a cold surrounding on the gas-burner head. This last design principle led to the development of an ORC-evaporator where part of the energy contained in the combustion gases is transferred to the water before they reach the ORC working fluid heat-exchanger. The integration of such ORC-evaporator in the CHP system gave origin to a hybrid configuration in which the useful thermal energy transferred to the water is done stepwise: firstly, in the ORC-condenser and then in the water post-heating section of the ORCevaporator. Such configuration, since it reduces the average temperature at the ORC-condenser, increases the cycle efficiency and the net specific work mainly because it increases the pressure ratio of the ORC cycle. Even taking in consideration the negative effect of the associated working fluid mass flow rate reduction, for a significant part of the CHP typical operating conditions, an increase of the primary energy savings in 23% and of the ORC net power output in 7% for values of  $\theta$  equal to 33% and 21%, respectively, are shown for one of the most characteristic CHP operating condition ( $T_5 = 10$ °C,  $T_7 = 65$  °C). Furthermore, and for the same operating condition, the temperature reduction of the combustion gases in the water post-heating section of the ORC-evaporator can be as high as 520 °C without no losses in the primary energy savings or in the ORC net power output. This temperature reduction can help preventing, in a significant way, the occurrence of one of the major concerns associated to the direct vaporization of the ORC working fluid: the risk of thermal degradation. Therefore, the fraction of the CHP water heating process performed in the post-heater becomes a new design parameter that needs to be considered not only for the ORC-evaporator but to all of the CHP components which must be accordingly selected or designed.

The integration of part of the CHP water heating process in the ORC-evaporator led to a hybrid (topping/bottoming) configuration that not only solves a safety issue related with the gas-burner head overheating but also bring several (non-despicable) performance benefits and important reliability improvements for systems attempting to retrofit the current combi-boilers. Besides, this hybrid configuration also appears to be applicable to all the situations where the difference between the temperature of hot and cold sources is smaller as when water from non-pressurized biomass (or other fuels) boilers is used to power those CHP systems.

### REFERENCES

- Algieri, Angelo and Pietropaolo Morrone. 2014. "Energetic Analysis of Biomass-Fired ORC Systems for Micro-Scale Combined Heat and Power (CHP) Generation. A Possible Application to the Italian Residential Sector." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 71(2):751– 59.
- Bamgbopa, Musbaudeen O. and Eray Uzgoren. 2013. "Quasi-Dynamic Model for an Organic Rankine Cycle." *Energy Conversion and Management* 72:117–24.
- Bianchi, Michele, Lisa Branchini, Andrea De Pascale, and Antonio Peretto. 2014. "Application of Environmental Performance Assessment of CHP Systems with Local and Global Approaches." *Applied Energy* 130:774–82.
- Bracco, R., D. Micheli, R. Petrella, M. Reini, R. Taccani, and G. Toniato. 2016. *Micro-Organic Rankine Cycle Systems for Domestic Cogeneration*. Elsevier Ltd.
- Cambi, Maurizio, Roberto Tascioni, Luca Cioccolanti, and Enrico Bocci. 2017. "Converting a Commercial Scroll Compressor into an Expander: Experimental and Analytical Performance Evaluation." *Energy Procedia* 129:363–70.
- Chen, Yi kuang, Ida Græsted Jensen, Jon Gustav Kirkerud, and Torjus Folsland Bolkesjø. 2021. "Impact of Fossil-Free Decentralized Heating on Northern European Renewable Energy Deployment and the Power System." *Energy* 219:119576.
- CODE 2 Cogeneration Observatory and Dissemination Europe. 2014. "Micro-CHP Potential Analysis - European Level Report." (December).
- Dai, Xiaoye, Lin Shi, Qingsong An, and Weizhong Qian. 2018. "Thermal Stability of Some Hydrofluorocarbons as Supercritical ORCs Working Fluids." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 128:1095–1101.
- Declaye, Sébastien, Sylvain Quoilin, Ludovic Guillaume, and Vincent Lemort. 2013. "Experimental Study on an Open-Drive Scroll Expander Integrated into an ORC (Organic Rankine Cycle) System with R245fa as Working Fluid." *Energy* 55:173–83.
- European Commision. 2015. "COMMISSION DELEGATED REGULATION (EU) 2015/2402 of 12 October 2015 Reviewing Harmonised Efficiency Reference Values for Separate Production of Electricity and Heat in Application of Directive 2012/27/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council and Rep." Official Journal of the European Union L 333/54(February 2004):54–61.
- European Committee for Standardization. 2016. EN 13203: Gas-Fired Domestic Appliances Producing Hot Water.
- European Parliament and European Council. 2012. "Directive 2012/27/EU." *Official Journal of the European Union* L315/1(October):1–56.
- Farrokhi, M., S. H. Noie, and A. A. Akbarzadeh. 2014. "Preliminary Experimental Investigation of a Natural Gas-Fired ORC-Based Micro-CHP System for Residential Buildings." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 69(1–2):221–29.
- Galloni, E., G. Fontana, and S. Staccone. 2015. "Design and Experimental Analysis of a Mini ORC (Organic Rankine Cycle) Power Plant Based on R245fa Working Fluid." *Energy* 90:768–75.
- International Energy Agency. n.d. "Global CHP/DHC Data Current Baseline." Retrieved October 26, 2018 (https://www.iea.org/chp/data/globalchpdhcdata-currentbaseline/).
- Invernizzi, C. M. and D. Bonalumi. 2016. *Thermal Stability of Organic Fluids for Organic Rankine Cycle Systems*. Elsevier Ltd.
- Lemmon, E.W., Huber, M.L., McLinden, M. O. 2013. "NIST Reference Fluid Thermodynamic and Transport Properties (REFPROP) - Version 9.1." *National Institute of Standard Technology*.
- Pereira, João S., João Almeida, Jorge C. André, Ricardo Mendes, and José B. Ribeiro. 2021.

"Modelling and Experimental Validation of the Heat-Transfer Processes of a Direct Vaporization Micro-Scale ORC-Evaporator for Thermal Degradation Risk Assessment." *Energy Conversion and Management* 238(January):114130.

- Pereira, João S., José B. Ribeiro, Ricardo Mendes, and Jorge C. André. 2019. "Analysis of a Hybrid (Topping / Bottoming) ORC Based CHP Configuration Integrating a New Evaporator Design Concept for Residential Applications." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 160(April):113984.
- Pereira, João S., José B. Ribeiro, Ricardo Mendes, Gilberto C. Vaz, and Jorge C. André. 2017. "Development of a Direct Concept Helical-Coil Evaporator for an ORC Based Micro-CHP System." *Energy Procedia* 129:474–78.
- Pereira, João S., José B. Ribeiro, Ricardo Mendes, Gilberto C. Vaz, and Jorge C. André. 2018. "ORC Based Micro-Cogeneration Systems for Residential Application – A State of the Art Review and Current Challenges." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 92(March):728–43.
- Peris, Bernardo, Joaquín Navarro-Esbrí, Francisco Molés, and Adrián Mota-Babiloni. 2015. "Experimental Study of an ORC (Organic Rankine Cycle) for Low Grade Waste Heat Recovery in a Ceramic Industry." *Energy* 85:534–42.
- Pezzutto, Simon, Silvia Croce, Stefano Zambotti, Lukas Kranzl, Antonio Novelli, and Pietro Zambelli. 2019. "Assessment of the Space Heating and Domestic Hot Water Market in Europe—Open Data and Results." *Energies* 12(9).
- Quoilin, Sylvain, Vincent Lemort, and Jean Lebrun. 2010. "Experimental Study and Modeling of an Organic Rankine Cycle Using Scroll Expander." *Applied Energy* 87(4):1260–68.
- Santos, Márcio, Jorge André, Sara Francisco, Ricardo Mendes, and José Ribeiro. 2018. "Off-Design Modelling of an Organic Rankine Cycle Micro-CHP: Modular Framework, Calibration and Validation." *Applied Thermal Engineering* 137(March):848–67.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In association with the authors' host institutions, this research was also supported by the Institute for Systems Engineering and Computers at Coimbra (INESC Coimbra) and by the FEDER Funds through the Portuguese program COMPETE - Programa Operacional Factores de Competitividade. These financial supports are gratefully acknowledged.