A New Reference Genome Shows the One-Speed Genome Structure of the Barley Pathogen *Ramularia collo-cygni*

Remco Stam^{1,*†}, Martin Münsterkötter^{2,3,†}, Saurabh Dilip Pophaly^{4,7}, Like Fokkens⁵, Hind Sghyer¹, Ulrich Güldener⁶, Ralph Hückelhoven¹, and Michael Hess¹

¹Chair of Phytopathology, School of Life Sciences Weihenstephan, Technische University Munich, Germany

²Functional Genomics and Bioinformatics, Research Centre for Forestry and Wood Industry, University of Sopron, Hungary

³Institute of Bioinformatics and Systems Biology, Helmholtz Centre Munich, Germany

⁴Section of Population Genetics, School of Life Sciences Weihenstephan, Technische Universität München, Germany

⁵Molecular Plant Pathology, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁶Department of Bioinformatics, School of Life Sciences Weihenstephan, Technische University Munich, Germany

⁷Present address: Department of Evolutionary Biology, Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Sweden and Division of Evolutionary Biology, Faculty of Biology II, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: stam@wzw.tum.de.

Accepted: October 26, 2018

Data deposition: The genome assembly, annotation data of this project have been deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive under accession number FJUY01000001-FJUY01000078. The RNA-seq of the project have been deposited at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus under GEO Series accession number GSE109135.

Abstract

Ramularia leaf spot has recently emerged as a major threat to barley production world-wide, causing 25% yield loss in many barley growing regions. Here, we provide a new reference genome of the causal agent, the Dothideomycete *Ramularia collo-cygni*. The assembly of 32 Mb consists of 78 scaffolds. We used RNA-seq to identify 11,622 genes of which 1,303 and 282 are coding for predicted secreted proteins and putative effectors respectively.

The pathogen separated from its nearest sequenced relative, *Zymoseptoria tritici* ~27 Ma. We calculated the divergence of the two species on protein level and see remarkably high synonymous and nonsynonymous divergence. Unlike in many other plant pathogens, the comparisons of transposable elements and gene distributions, show a very homogeneous genome for *R. collo-cygni*. We see no evidence for higher selective pressure on putative effectors or other secreted proteins and repetitive sequences are spread evenly across the scaffolds. These findings could be associated to the predominantly endophytic life-style of the pathogen. We hypothesize that *R. collo-cygni* only recently became pathogenic and that therefore its genome does not yet show the typical pathogen characteristics. Because of its high scaffold length and improved CDS annotations, our new reference sequence provides a valuable resource for the community for future comparative genomics and population genetics studies.

Key words: fungi, phytopathology, genome, dothideomycetes, barley pathogen.

Introduction

The filamentous ascomycete fungus *Ramularia collo-cygni* was first described in 1893 as *Ophiocladium hordei* (Cavara 1893). It is the biotic agent of ramularia leaf spot (RLS) (Oxley and Havis 2004), a disease typically occurring late in the growing season on the upper canopy (Salamati and Reitan 2006). Since the mid-1980s it has become the major pathogen in

many barley growing regions worldwide and quickly developed resistance to major fungicides (Matusinsky et al. 2011; Havis et al. 2015; Piotrowska et al. 2017). It can now be detected in barley samples worldwide (Havis et al. 2015) and in infected fields it is estimated to cause losses around 25% of the yield potential through a significant decrease of kernel size and quality (Harvey 2002).

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Molecular Biology and Evolution.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

GBE

A draft genome assembly of *R. collo-cygni* strain DK05, isolated in Denmark, had been published previously (McGrann et al. 2016). Like its closest sequenced relative *Zymoseptoria tritici*, *R. collo-cygni* has few plant cell wall degrading enzyme genes and a large number of gene clusters associated with secondary metabolite production. These findings are thought to be linked to the relatively long period of asymptomatic growth of both pathogens inside the host.

We present an independent draft genome of a strain isolated in southern Uruguay. The significantly increased scaffold size enabled several analyses related to genome architecture. Moreover, our improved annotation allows for more reliable identification of genes that are under positive selection and may be involved in pathogenicity.

Materials and Methods

Genome Assembly and Annotation

A detailed description of the genome sequencing strategy, assembly and annotation can be found in the supplementary files. In short: sequencing was done by Eurofins Genomics GmbH, Germany, using a short distance library (SD) (insert size, 500 bp, paired-end sequencing 2×150 bp) and a LD (jumping distance 8-kb, paired-end sequencing 2×300 bp). RNA-seq was performed using TruSeq Rapid PE Cluster (PE-402-4001) and the TruSeq Rapid SBS (FC-402-4001) Kits.

The assembly was performed by ALLPATHS-LG (Gnerre et al. 2011) using ~100-fold SD and ~30-fold LJD coverage. Gene models were generated by 1) Fgenesh (Salamov and Solovyev 2000), 2) GeneMark-ES (Ter-Hovhannisyan et al. 2008), and 3) AUGUSTUS (Stanke et al. 2006). RNA-seq transcripts were assembled using Trinity (Grabherr et al. 2011). Gene models were visualized in Gbrowse (Donlin 2009), allowing manual validation of coding sequences. The best fitting model per locus was selected manually and gene structures were adjusted by splitting or fusing of gene models or redefining exon–intron boundaries if necessary.

The protein coding genes were analyzed and functionally annotated using the PEDANT system (Walter et al. 2009). We combined SecretomeP1.1 (Bendtsen, Jensen, et al. 2004), SignalP3 (Bendtsen, Nielsen, et al. 2004), and SignalP4.0 (Petersen et al. 2011) with TargetP1.1 (Emanuelsson et al. 2007), TMHMM2.0 (Krogh et al. 2001) to predict secreted proteins. For effector prediction, this set was submitted to EffectorP 2.0 (Sperschneider et al. 2016). Repetitive elements were identified using the RepeatModeler pipeline (smith 2013), which is based on RepeatScout (Price et al. 2005).

Further Analyses

Orthologous genes for 10 main housekeeping genes we selected from the proteomes of *Urug2*, 15 closely related species and three more distal species that are available from the FunyBASE (Marthey et al. 2008). We performed a ClustalW pairwise alignment and multiple alignment with standard parameters for the combined proteins of all species (An, Aspergillus nidulans; Bc, Botrytis cinerea; Bg, B. graminis; Cb, Cercospora beticola; Cq, Colletotrichum graminicola; Ds, Dothistroma septosporum; Fq, Fusarium graminearum; Ff, Fusarium fujikuroi; Mf, Pseudocercospora fijiensis; Mo, Magnaporthe grisea; Pi, Piriformospora indica; Pr, P. triticirepentis; Pt, P. teres f. teres; Rc, R. collo-cygni; Sn, P. nodorum; Sm, Sphaerulina musiva; Um, Ustalago maydis; Zt, Z. tritici; Outgroup, Laccaria bicolor) using Mega X (Kumar et al. 2018) Dating of the Zt–Rc split was done using the RelTime method (Tamura et al. 2012), where Lb was used as an outgroup and the data were calibrated using the Um to An split (Taylor and Berbee 2006).

Orthologous genes to all single copy genes were identified in the Zt proteome (BLASTP e-value: 10^{-10} , only reciprocal matches were used and globally aligned using t-coffee (default parameters) (supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). Amino acids were replaced by codons from the CDS sequence using pal2nal (Suyama et al. 2006). The dN/dS ratios were calculated with PAML (Yn00 command) (Yang and Nielsen 2000). Intergenic distances and TE distances were calculated using bedtools (closest) (Quinlan and Hall 2010). Genome alignments between the assembly of Urug2 and that of DK05 were inferred using nucmer (with –maxmatch, otherwise default options) from the MUMmer package (version 3.23) and alignments that span >1 kb and are >90% identical in sequence were plotted using Gnuplot.

Results

Genome Properties

Combining short distance with long jumping distance libraries (LJDs), we obtained a ~32-Mb assembly of R. collo-cygni isolate Urug2, in 78 scaffolds with an N50 scaffold size of 2.1 Mb, compared with 576 scaffolds and an N50 of 0.21 in the previous DK05 assembly (table 1). Dot plot analysis comparing both Urug2 to DK05, shows strong linearity suggesting little to no overassembly (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). To bolster gene annotations, we sequenced mRNA isolated from *R. collo-cyani* grown under six axenic conditions to generate a diverse set of transcripts. Using these data mapped to the genome, the annotation was manually corrected gene by gene, yielding a more reliable set of gene models (example shown in supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). The curated R. collo-cygni genome contains 11,622 protein coding genes of which 11,125 show expression evidence (95.7%, supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). We predicted 1,303 secreted proteins ranging in length from 41 to 3,256 amino-acids, representing around 9% of the predicted proteome, among which 282 effector candidates genes (putative effectors) (2%) (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). These numbers are an increase over the previous *R. collo-cygni* assembly that contained 1,053 putative secreted proteins and 150 effector candidates.

The general genome features are comparable to other Dothideomycete plant pathogens with available genome sequences (*Parastagonospora nodorum* SN15, Hane et al. 2007; Syme et al. 2013, *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis* BFP-ToxAC, Manning et al. 2013, *Pyrenophora teres f. teres* 0-1, Wyatt et al. 2018, and *Z. tritici* IPO323, Goodwin et al. 2011; table 1). We estimated genome completeness using BUSCO (version 3.0.1). 96.8% of the genes were detected as complete and single-copy in the *R. collo-cygni* Urug2 assembly. This exceeds the completeness of *R. collo-cygni* DK05 (93.9%) and *Z. tritici* IPO323 (92.5%) and is similar to *P. teres f. teres* 0-1 (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online).

Gene Expression Analysis

We saw similar levels of gene expression in all six tested media, with a slightly larger fraction of genes expressed in Barley Straw Agar (BSA), a host-mimicking agar medium compared with neutral or pH-adjusted media (supplementary table S1, fig. S3A, Supplementary Material online). We found a larger number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (>4-fold change) in BSA (supplementary fig. S3B, Supplementary Material online). We expected that gene expression in BSA most closely resembles infection of the plant. Indeed, we found that the fraction of putative secreted proteins and effector candidates is two times higher in the BSA differentially expressed genes than in the genome as a whole (resp. 22% and 5%).

Table 1

Summary Statistics for Our Urug2 Genome, as well as Other Related Fungi

Strong Divergence from Z. tritici

We reconstructed a phylogenetic tree of *R. collo-cygni*, with 15 more closely and three more distantly related species (fig. 1) and confirm that R. collo-cygni falls within the Mycosphaerellaceae clade of the Dothideomycete class. Ramularia collo-cygni (Rc) diverged from the closest seguenced relative, Z. tritici (Zt) 27 Ma. To gain insight in the differentiation of R. collo-cygni from Z. tritici, we calculated the ratio of non-synonymous over synonymous substitutions (dN/dS) (fig. 2). The very high dS indicate that these two species have significantly diverged since the split. When comparing the dN/dS between the two species for the putative secreted proteins and putative effectors, we find that the putative secreted proteins show a slightly higher dN/dS ratio than nonsecreted proteins (Dunn's multiple comparisons Kruskal–Wallis test, $P = 4.7 \times 10^{-16}$). For the effectors this difference is not significant (P = 0.16). Effectors and secreted proteins also show no significant differences (P = 0.2). In terms of absolute values and outliers, there are no putative effectors that stand out. Similar results can be observed when comparing differentially expressed genes on BSA. As mentioned above, these genes are hypothesized to be important for virulence on barley, yet there are no significant differences in dN/dS between these BSA up- or down regulated genes and nonDEGs or between up or down regulated genes in general (fig. 3C, Dunn's multiple comparisons Kruskal-Wallis test, P > 0.01).

The *R. collo-cycni* Genome Is Relatively Repeat Poor and Homogeneous

We compared the content of noncoding sequences and repeat sequences like DNA transposons and other transposable elements (TEs) (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online). In terms of repeat sequence content, *R. collo-cygni* is placed at the low end of the spectrum amongst

	Ramularia collo-cygni	Ramularia collo-cygni	Phaeosphaeria nodorum	Pyrenophora tritici-repentis	Pyrenophora teres-teres	Zymoseptoria tritici
Isolate	Urug2	DK05 Rcc001	SN15	BRP-ToxAC	0-1	IPO323
Genome size (Mb)	32.3	30.3	37.2	37.8	46.5	39.7
Chromosomes	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	21
Scaffolds	78	576	107	47	86	21
N50 scaffold (Mb)	2.1	0.21	0.17	1.9	1.73	Finished
GC-content (%)	49.7	51.4	50.2	51	46	51.7
Protein coding genes	11,622	11,617	14,885	12,141	11,541	10,933
Gene density (number of genes per Mb)	383	384	402	321	248	276
Total secreted Protein	1,303	1,053ª	2,172	1,433	1,357	1,252
Number of predicted effectors ^a	282	150 ^a	625	382	337	380

Note.—Genome statistics were taken from the respective publications for each organism. The number of putative secreted proteins and effector candidates was recalculated for each species except DK05, using our newest pipeline.

^aDK05 gene/protein models were not publicly available.



Fig. 1.—Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship between *Ramularia collo-cygni* and 16 other fungi. (A) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree based on the analysis of 10 housekeeping genes. Reported on the nodes are bootstrap values for 1,000 bootstraps. (B) Timetree results. Reported on the nodes are the divergence times in mya. *Bc, Botrytis cinerea; Bg, Blumeria graminis; Cg, Colletotrichum graminicola; Cb, Cercospora beticola; Ds, Dothistroma septosporum; Fg, Fusarium graminearum; Ff, Fusarium fujikuroi; Mf, Pseudocercospora fijiensis; Mo, Magnaporthe grisea; Pr, Pyrenophora tritici-repentis; Pt, Pyrenophora teres f. teres; Rc, R. collo-cygni; Sn, Parastagonospora nodorum; Sm, Sphaerulina musiva; Zt, Zymoseptoria tritici; An, Aspergillus nidulans; Um, Ustalago maydis; Pi, Piriformospora indica; Outgroup, Laccaria bicolor.*



Fig. 2.—dN/dS between Ramularia collo-cygni and Zymoseptoria tritici. (A) Scatter plot of the dS (x axis) against dN (y axis) for each predicted protein in a pairwise comparison between R. collo-cygni and Z. tritici. (B) Violin diagrams of the dN/dS ratio for predicted proteins in a pairwise comparison between R. collo-cygni and Z. tritici. (B) Violin diagrams of the dN/dS ratio for predicted proteins in a pairwise comparison between R. collo-cygni and Z. tritici. (A) Scatter plot of the dS (x axis) against dN (y axis) for each predicted protein in a pairwise comparison between R. collo-cygni and Z. tritici. Data colored based on whether the proteins are predicted to be putatively secreted proteins, putative effectors or other, nonsecreted, proteins. Horizontal bar depicts the median.

Dothideomycetes. Only 6% of the genome consists of TEs, whereas in *P. tritici-repentis*, *P. teres f. teres*, and *Z. tritici* this is 21%, 38%, and 17%, respectively. Next, we compared the distance of predicted genes to its nearest repeat sequence as well as the general intergenic distance. Close association of genes to TEs and large intergenic distance for regions with high effector content are features of a so-called

"two-speed-genome" and often associated with accelerated evolution (Raffaele and Kamoun 2012). Figure 3A shows that intergenic distances are not differently distributed between putative effectors genes or other genes and the mean values for the difference to the nearest TE for putative effectors and putative secreted proteins are not significantly different from the distances for not secreted proteins (effector: 3': 1,739 bp,



Fig. 3.—Intergenic distance and TEs in *R. collo-cygni*. (*A*) Density plot of the intergenic distances on the 5' end (*x* axis) against the 3' end (*y* axis) for each predicted gene on the genome. Distances for all genes are binned in hexagons and colored using a blue to red scale, red indicating the largest amount of genes per hexagon. Putative effectors are plotted as separate black dots. (*B*) Box plots of the distance to the nearest TE for each gene coding for predicted nonsecreted (grey), putative secreted (yellow), or effector (green) proteins. (*C*) Density of putative effector encoding genes (top, green) and transposable elements (bottom, grey) plotted in 10-kb nonoverlapping sliding windows along the genome (*x* axis). Density is defined as number of basepairs that is part of a putative effector or TE in the window (*y* axis). A close-up of scaffolds 21-35 is provided in supplementary Fig. S4.

5': 1,646 bp, secreted 3': 1,659 bp, 5': 1,715 bp, nonsecreted: 3': 1724, 5': 1727) (Dunn's multiple comparisons Kruskal– Wallis test, P > 0.1). Lastly, we also do not find a significant correlation between the number of TEs per kb/scaffold or the number of effectors or secreted proteins (Spearman rho, P > 0.01, fig. 3C; supplementary fig. S4).

Discussion

A first draft genome of *R. collo-cygni* (isolate DK05) had been available since 2016. The data suggested a genetic composition that might at least partially explain the lifestyle of *R. collo-cygni*, which is characterized by a long endophytic phase

throughout the life cycle of the host and an intense parasitic phase during crop senescence (McGrann et al. 2016). We generated an independent draft genome and annotation for another isolate (Urug2) to get better insights in the *R. collo-cygni* genome structure. We assembled the 32-Mb genome into only 78 scaffolds with *11,622* high confidence genes. Our expression data greatly helped with gene annotations and provide interesting insights in genes expressed under different axenic conditions. This will help researchers to verify target gene candidates for functional studies, yet to truly understand gene expression during the infection process, additional RNA-Seq from infected plant tissue will be required. Our sequencing approach allowed for comparative studies and confirmed that unlike many other pathogens *R. collocygni* did not undergo any genome expansions since it diverged from its nearest sequenced sister species 27 Ma. Unlike what can be seen between certain fungal and oomycete species, where the numbers of genes in some effector families differ up to an order of magnitude (Stam et al. 2013) the numbers of putative effectors in *R. collo-cygni* are comparable with that of related fungi.

We performed pairwise comparisons of the coding sequences of R. collo-cygni and the related wheat pathogen Z. tritici. The dN/dS ratio has a simple and intuitive interpretation of selection pressure, but comes with limitations, especially when dS is high (Kryazhimskiy and Plotkin 2008). However, our analyses provide interesting insights. Contrary to the phenomenon observed in a large number of other plant pathogens, we see little evidence for accelerated evolution of secreted proteins, putative effectors or genes that are likely differentially expressed during infection, between R. collo-cygni and Z. tritici. This is in stark contrast to for example *Colletotrichum species*. where high dN/dS of effectors was associated with the switch from endophytic to parasitic lifestyle (Hacquard et al. 2016). This however, leaves the possibility that this switch is still ongoing in R. collo-cygni. The species can also infect other graminaceous hosts, but with less severe symptoms it often appears endophytic (Kaczmarek et al. 2017).

From Z. tritici, R. collo-cygni's nearest sequenced relative, we know that rapid pathogen evolution can often be associated with high repeat content of the genome (Poppe et al. 2015), or close physical association of TEs with putative effector genes, which results in a so-called "two-speed" genome architecture. Also for other Dothideomycetes like P. nodorum (Richards et al. 2018) and P. teres f. teres (Wyatt et al. 2018) this two speed genome is evident. In P. tritici-repentis TE content has even be directly associated with the pathogenicity of the strains (Manning et al. 2013). In R. collo-cygni repeat content is low and secreted proteins or putative effectors are not closely associated with TEs. Other examples of typical "one-speed-genome" pathogen is the biotrophic barley pathogen Blumeria graminis (Frantzeskakis et al. 2018). However, that species is relatively unrelated and has a very different lifestyle. Comparing the mechanisms that drive evolution of pathogenicity in these two diverse onespeed-genome barley pathogens will be particularly interesting. Also, additional investigation is TEs and the relatedness to host, host specificity and aggressiveness pathogen in R. collo-cygni and other as а Dothideomycetes will likely teach us more on how this diverse class of cereal pathogens arose and became successful. Our new reference genome and improved annotation provides a starting point for doing so.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at *Genome Biology and Evolution* online.

Acknowledgments

We thank C. Wurmser (Chair of Animal Breeding TUM) for support with sequencing. Special thanks goes to Carolin Hutter and Regina Dittebrand for technical assistance. This work was in part financially supported by grants from the Bavarian State Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Forestry (Projects KL/12/07 and KL/08/07) (to M.H., R.H.), the Bavarian State Ministry of the Environment and Consumer Protection in frame of the project network BayKlimaFit (to R.H., subproject 10), the Hungarian Széchenyi 2020 Programme (grant number GINOP-2.3.2-15-2016-00052) (to M.M.). This work was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the Technical University of Munich within the Open Access Publishing Funding Programme.

Literature Cited

- Bendtsen JD, Jensen LJ, et al. 2004. Feature-based prediction of nonclassical and leaderless protein secretion. Protein Eng Des Sel PEDS. 17(4):349–356.
- Bendtsen JD, Nielsen H, von Heijne G, Brunak SR, Bendtsen JD. 2004. Improved prediction of signal peptides: signalP 3.0. J Mol Biol. 340(4):783–795.
- Cavara F. 1893. Über einige parasitische Pilze auf dem Getreide. Z Für Pflanzenkrankh. 3:16–26.
- Donlin MJ. 2009. Using the generic genome browser (GBrowse). Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. Chapter 9: Unit 9.9.
- Emanuelsson O, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2007. Locating proteins in the cell using TargetP, SignalP and related tools. Nat Protoc. 2(4):953–971.
- Frantzeskakis L, et al. 2018. Signatures of host specialization and a recent transposable element burst in the dynamic one-speed genome of the fungal barley powdery mildew pathogen. BMC Genomics. 19:381.
- Gnerre S, et al. 2011. High-quality draft assemblies of mammalian genomes from massively parallel sequence data. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 108(4):1513–1518.
- Goodwin SB, et al. 2011. Finished genome of the fungal wheat pathogen *Mycosphaerella graminicola* reveals dispensome structure, chromosome plasticity, and stealth pathogenesis. PLoS Genet. 7(6):e1002070.
- Grabherr MG, et al. 2011. Trinity: reconstructing a full-length transcriptome without a genome from RNA-Seq data. Nat Biotechnol. 29(7):644–652.
- Hacquard S, et al. 2016. Survival trade-offs in plant roots during colonization by closely related beneficial and pathogenic fungi. Nat Commun. 7:11362.
- Hane JK, et al. 2007. Dothideomycete plant interactions illuminated by genome sequencing and EST analysis of the wheat pathogen *Stagonospora nodorum*. Plant. Cell 19(11):3347–3368.
- Harvey IC. 2002. Epidemiology and control of leaf and awn spot of barley caused by *Ramularia collo-cygni*. N Z Plant Prot. 55:331–335.
- Havis ND, et al. 2015. *Ramularia collo-cygni* an emerging pathogen of barley crops. Phytopathology 105(7):895–904.

- Kaczmarek M, et al. 2017. Infection strategy of *Ramularia collo-cygni* and development of ramularia leaf spot on barley and alternative graminaceous hosts. Plant Pathol. 66(1):45–55.
- Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL. 2001. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J Mol Biol. 305(3):567–580.
- Kryazhimskiy S, Plotkin JB. 2008. The population genetics of dN/dS. PLoS Genet. 4(12):e1000304.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol. 35(6):1547–1549.
- Manning VA, et al. 2013. Comparative genomics of a plant-pathogenic fungus, *Pyrenophora tritici-repentis*, reveals transduplication and the impact of repeat elements on pathogenicity and population divergence. G3 Genes Genomes Genet. 3:41–63.
- Marthey S, et al. 2008. FUNYBASE: a FUNgal phYlogenomic dataBASE. BMC Bioinformatics. 9:456.
- Matusinsky P, et al. 2011. Impact of the seed-borne stage of *Ramularia collo-cygni* in barley seed. J Plant Pathol. 93:679–689.
- McGrann GR, et al. 2016. The genome of the emerging barley pathogen *Ramularia collo-cygni*. BMC Genomics. 17:584.
- Oxley SJP, Havis ND. 2004. Development of *R*amularia collo-cygni on spring barley and its impact on yield. Vol. 2004. p. 147–152.
- Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2011. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. Nat Methods. 8(10):785–786.
- Piotrowska MJ, Fountaine JM, Ennos RA, Kaczmarek M, Burnett FJ. 2017. Characterisation of *Ramularia collo-cygni* laboratory mutants resistant to succinate dehydrogenase inhibitors. Pest Manage Sci. 73(6):1187–1196.
- Poppe S, Dorsheimer L, Happel P, Stukenbrock EH. 2015. Rapidly evolving genes are key players in host specialization and virulence of the fungal wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* (*Mycosphaerella graminicola*). PLOS Pathogens. 11(7):e1005055.
- Price AL, Jones NC, Pevzner PA. 2005. De novo identification of repeat families in large genomes. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 21(Suppl. 1):i351–i358.
- Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics 26(6):841–842.
- Raffaele S, Kamoun S. 2012. Genome evolution in filamentous plant pathogens: why bigger can be better. Nat Rev Microbiol. 10(6):417–430.

- Richards JK, Wyatt NA, Liu Z, Faris JD, Friesen TL. 2018. Reference quality genome assemblies of three *Parastagonospora nodorum* isolates differing in virulence on wheat. G3 Genes Genomes Genet. 8:393–399.
- Salamati S, Reitan L. 2006. Ramularia collo-cygni on spring barley, an overview of its biology and epidemiology. p. 19–35.
- Salamov AA, Solovyev VV. 2000. Ab initio gene finding in Drosophila genomic DNA. Genome Res. 10(4):516–522.
- Smit AFA. 2013. RepeatMasker Open-4.0. http://www.repeatmasker.org, last accessed November 6, 2018.
- Sperschneider J, et al. 2016. EffectorP: predicting fungal effector proteins from secretomes using machine learning. New Phytol. 210(2):743–761.
- Stam R, et al. 2013. Identification and characterisation of CRN effectors in *Phytophthora capsici* shows modularity and functional diversity. PLoS ONE. 8(3):e59517.
- Stanke M, et al. 2006. AUGUSTUS: ab initio prediction of alternative transcripts. Nucleic Acids Res. 34(Web Server issue):W435–W439.
- Suyama M, Torrents D, Bork P. 2006. PAL2NAL: robust conversion of protein sequence alignments into the corresponding codon alignments. Nucleic Acids Res. 34(Web Server):W609–W612.
- Syme RA, Hane JK, Friesen TL, Oliver RP. 2013. Resequencing and comparative genomics of *Stagonospora nodorum*: sectional gene absence and effector discovery. G3 Genes Genomes Genet. 3:959–969.
- Tamura K, et al. 2012. Estimating divergence times in large molecular phylogenies. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 109(47):19333–19338.
- Taylor JW, Berbee ML. 2006. Dating divergences in the Fungal Tree of Life: review and new analyses. Mycologia 98(6):838–849.
- Ter-Hovhannisyan V, Lomsadze A, Chernoff YO, Borodovsky M. 2008. Gene prediction in novel fungal genomes using an ab initio algorithm with unsupervised training. Genome Res. 18(12):1979–1990.
- Walter MC, et al. 2009. PEDANT covers all complete RefSeq genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 37(Database issue):D408–D411.
- Wyatt NA, Richards JK, Brueggeman RS, Friesen TL. 2018. Reference assembly and annotation of the *Pyrenophora teres f. teres* isolate 0-1. G3 Genes Genomes Genet. 8:1–8.
- Yang Z, Nielsen R. 2000. Estimating synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates under realistic evolutionary models. Mol Biol Evol. 17(1):32–43.

Associate editor: Bill Martin