Achievable Rates of Nonlinear Fourier Transform-based Optical Communication Systems

Javier García Technical University of Munich Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Institute for Communications Engineering November 2017



Motivation

• The nonlinearity of the fiber optic channel imposes a capacity peak on linear transmission systems



5 WDM channels @ 20 GHz Guardband: 5 GHz Distance: 2000 km RRC pulses, multi-ring modulation, 64 rings, 128 phases



Motivation

• The nonlinearity of the fiber optic channel imposes a capacity peak on linear transmission systems



5 WDM channels @ 20 GHz Guardband: 5 GHz Distance: 2000 km RRC pulses, multi-ring modulation, 64 rings, 128 phases

• The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT) provides a domain in which the noise-free channel is multiplicative



Motivation

• The nonlinearity of the fiber optic channel imposes a capacity peak on linear transmission systems



5 WDM channels @ 20 GHz Guardband: 5 GHz Distance: 2000 km RRC pulses, multi-ring modulation, 64 rings, 128 phases

- The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT) provides a domain in which the noise-free channel is multiplicative
- Instability of NFT algorithms and low spectral efficiency still make current NFT-based systems uncompetitive

ТШ

Motivation

• The nonlinearity of the fiber optic channel imposes a capacity peak on linear transmission systems



5 WDM channels @ 20 GHz Guardband: 5 GHz Distance: 2000 km RRC pulses, multi-ring modulation, 64 rings, 128 phases

- The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT) provides a domain in which the noise-free channel is multiplicative
- Instability of NFT algorithms and low spectral efficiency still make current NFT-based systems uncompetitive
- This talk: some mathematical and numerical insight to aid in the design of more efficient NFT-based systems



The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation (NLSE)

$$\frac{\partial Q(Z,T)}{\partial Z} = -j\frac{\beta_2}{2}\frac{\partial^2 Q(Z,T)}{\partial T^2} + j\gamma |Q(Z,T)|^2 Q(Z,T) + N(Z,T)$$



The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation (NLSE)



- Linear term
- Causes temporal broadening



The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation (NLSE)



 Causes temporal broadening

Dispersion



 $j\gamma |Q(Z,T)|^2 Q(Z,T) + N(Z,T)$

4,000

Z (km)

2,000

 Causes frequency mixing (spectral broadening, SPM, XPM, FWM)

 -20_{-40}

0



 $\partial Q(Z,T)$



The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation (NLSE)

F

(GHz)



Z (km)

T (ns)

Z (km)



Normalization of the NLSE (focusing case, $\beta_2 < 0$)

$$T = T_0 \cdot t$$

$$Z = 2 \frac{T_0^2}{|\beta_2|} \cdot z$$

$$Q(Z, T) = \frac{1}{T_0} \sqrt{\frac{|\beta_2|}{\gamma}} \cdot q(z, t)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[N(Z, T) N^*(Z', T') \right] = \frac{\beta_2^2}{2\gamma T_0^4} \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[n(z, t) n^*(z', t') \right]$$



Normalization of the NLSE (focusing case, $\beta_2 < 0$)

$$T = T_0 \cdot t$$

$$Z = 2 \frac{T_0^2}{|\beta_2|} \cdot z$$

$$Q(Z, T) = \frac{1}{T_0} \sqrt{\frac{|\beta_2|}{\gamma}} \cdot q(z, t)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[N(Z, T) N^*(Z', T') \right] = \frac{\beta_2^2}{2\gamma T_0^4} \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[n(z, t) n^*(z', t') \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}q(z,t) = j\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}q(z,t) + 2j|q(z,t)|^2q(z,t) + n(z,t)$$



Normalization of the NLSE (focusing case, $\beta_2 < 0$)

$$T = T_0 \cdot t$$

$$Z = 2 \frac{T_0^2}{|\beta_2|} \cdot z$$

$$Q(Z, T) = \frac{1}{T_0} \sqrt{\frac{|\beta_2|}{\gamma}} \cdot q(z, t)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[N(Z, T) N^*(Z', T') \right] = \frac{\beta_2^2}{2\gamma T_0^4} \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[n(z, t) n^*(z', t') \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}q(z,t) = j\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}q(z,t) + 2j|q(z,t)|^2q(z,t) + n(z,t)$$

 T_0 is a **free parameter**. Can be used to jointly set *power*, *duration* and *bandwidth* in pure soliton systems.

Javier García (TUM)



The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT)

• Motivation: find a domain in which the noise-free NLSE channel is multiplicative (similar to FT in LTIs):



The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT)

• Lax pair: two operators L and M

$$L = j \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} & q(z,t) \\ -q^*(z,t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} 2j\lambda^2 - j|q(z,t)|^2 & -2\lambda q(z,t) - jq_t(z,t) \\ 2\lambda q^*(z,t) - jq_t^*(z,t) & -2j\lambda^2 + j|q(z,t)|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that the condition:

$$L_z = ML - LM$$

implies the NLSE.

The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT)

• Lax pair: two operators *L* and *M*

$$L = j \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} & q(z,t) \\ -q^*(z,t) & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} 2j\lambda^2 - j|q(z,t)|^2 & -2\lambda q(z,t) - jq_t(z,t) \\ 2\lambda q^*(z,t) - jq_t^*(z,t) & -2j\lambda^2 + j|q(z,t)|^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that the condition:

$$L_z = ML - LM$$

implies the NLSE.

• Main idea: the eigenvalues λ of L are invariant under propagation along z

The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT)

• Step 1: solve the (linear, differential) eigenvalue equation:

$$Lv(t,\lambda) = \lambda v(t,\lambda); \quad v(t,\lambda) \xrightarrow[t \to -\infty]{} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) e^{-j\lambda t}$$

The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT)

• Step 1: solve the (linear, differential) eigenvalue equation:

$$Lv(t,\lambda) = \lambda v(t,\lambda); \quad v(t,\lambda) \xrightarrow[t \to -\infty]{} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) e^{-j\lambda t}$$

• Step 2: obtain the spectral amplitudes:

$$a(\lambda) = \lim_{t \to \infty} v_1 e^{j\lambda t}$$
$$b(\lambda) = \lim_{t \to \infty} v_2 e^{-j\lambda t}$$

The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT)

• Step 1: solve the (linear, differential) eigenvalue equation:

$$Lv(t,\lambda) = \lambda v(t,\lambda); \quad v(t,\lambda) \xrightarrow[t \to -\infty]{} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) e^{-j\lambda t}$$

• Step 2: obtain the spectral amplitudes:

$$a(\lambda) = \lim_{t \to \infty} v_1 e^{j\lambda t}$$
$$b(\lambda) = \lim_{t \to \infty} v_2 e^{-j\lambda t}$$

- Step 3: obtain the NFT as:
 - Continuous spectrum: $Q_c(\lambda) = \frac{b(\lambda)}{a(\lambda)}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$
 - Discrete spectrum: $Q_d(\lambda_k) = \frac{b(\lambda_k)}{a_\lambda(\lambda_k)}, \quad \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}^+, a(\lambda_k) = 0$

The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT)

• Step 1: solve the (linear, differential) eigenvalue equation:

$$Lv(t,\lambda) = \lambda v(t,\lambda); \quad v(t,\lambda) \xrightarrow[t \to -\infty]{} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) e^{-j\lambda t}$$

• Step 2: obtain the spectral amplitudes:

$$a(\lambda) = \lim_{t \to \infty} v_1 e^{j\lambda t}$$
$$b(\lambda) = \lim_{t \to \infty} v_2 e^{-j\lambda t}$$

- Step 3: obtain the NFT as:
 - Continuous spectrum: $Q_c(\lambda) = \frac{b(\lambda)}{a(\lambda)}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$
 - Discrete spectrum: $Q_d(\lambda_k) = \frac{b(\lambda_k)}{a_\lambda(\lambda_k)}, \quad \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}^+, a(\lambda_k) = 0$
- · Equivalent of Parseval's identity:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q(t)|^2 dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log\left(1 + |Q_c(\lambda)|^2\right) d\lambda + 4\sum_{k=1}^{K} \Im\left\{\lambda_k\right\}$$

Javier García (TUM)



Information transmission using the NFT





Modulation of the discrete spectrum: solitons





Modulation of the discrete spectrum: solitons



Javier García (TUM)



`



$$q(z,t) = -je^{-j\phi}e^{-4j(\xi^2 - \eta^2)z}e^{-2j\xi t}2\eta \operatorname{sech}\left(2\eta t + 8\xi \eta z - \ln\frac{Q}{2\eta}\right)$$





$$q(z,t) = -je + e^{-it} e^{-it} 2ijs \operatorname{con}\left(2ijt + \delta \zeta ijz - 1\right)$$

- Energy: $E = 4\eta$
- Duration: $T = 2.6467/\eta$
- Bandwidth: $B = 1.0726\eta$





- Energy: $E = 4\eta$
- Duration: $T = 2.6467/\eta$
- Bandwidth: $B = 1.0726\eta$

- Center frequency: $f_0 = -\xi/\pi$
- Group velocity: $v_g = 4\xi$





- Energy: $E = 4\eta$
- Duration: $T = 2.6467/\eta$
- Bandwidth: $B = 1.0726\eta$

- Center frequency: $f_0 = -\xi/\pi$
- Group velocity: $v_g = 4\xi$
- Constant phase shift: $-\frac{\pi}{2} \phi$





- Energy: $E = 4\eta$
- Duration: $T = 2.6467/\eta$
- Bandwidth: $B = 1.0726\eta$

- Center frequency: $f_0 = -\xi/\pi$
- Group velocity: $v_g = 4\xi$
- Constant phase shift: $-\frac{\pi}{2} \phi$
- Pulse delay: $t_0 = \frac{1}{2\eta} \ln \frac{Q}{2\eta}$



Perturbation analysis of a 1-soliton

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}q(z,t) = j\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}q(z,t) + 2j|q(z,t)|^2q(z,t) + \varepsilon n(z,t)$$

where $\varepsilon \ll 1$.

J. Yang, "Soliton Perturbation Theories and Applications," *Nonlinear Waves in Integrable and Nonintegrable Systems*, ch. 4, pp. 119–162, 2010 Javier García (TUM)



Perturbation analysis of a 1-soliton

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}q(z,t) = j\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}q(z,t) + 2j|q(z,t)|^2q(z,t) + \varepsilon n(z,t)$$

where $\varepsilon \ll 1$.

Multi-scale perturbation analysis:

$$q(z,t) = q_0(z,t) + \varepsilon q_1(z,t) + \varepsilon^2 q_2(z,t) + \cdots$$

J. Yang, "Soliton Perturbation Theories and Applications," *Nonlinear Waves in Integrable and Nonintegrable Systems*, ch. 4, pp. 119–162, 2010 Javier García (TUM)

Perturbation analysis of a 1-soliton

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}q(z,t) = j\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}q(z,t) + 2j|q(z,t)|^2q(z,t) + \varepsilon n(z,t)$$

where $\varepsilon \ll 1$.

Multi-scale perturbation analysis:

$$q(z,t) = q_0(z,t) + \varepsilon q_1(z,t) + \varepsilon^2 q_2(z,t) + \cdots$$

• Solution of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ equation:

$$q_0(z,t) = -je^{-j\phi}e^{-4j(\xi^2 - \eta^2)z}e^{-2j\xi t}2\eta \operatorname{sech}\left(2\eta \left(t - t_0\right) + 8\xi \eta z\right)$$

where the four parameters depend on the **slow distance** $Z = \varepsilon_{z}$:

$$\eta = \eta(Z)$$
 $\xi = \xi(Z)$ $\phi = \phi(Z)$ $t_0 = t_0(Z)$

J. Yang, "Soliton Perturbation Theories and Applications," *Nonlinear Waves in Integrable and Nonintegrable Systems*, ch. 4, pp. 119–162, 2010 Javier García (TUM)



Perturbation analysis of a 1-soliton

Substituting $q_0(z,t)$ into the $\mathscr{O}(\varepsilon)$ equation yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}Z} &\sim \mathscr{N}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(0, \eta/2\right) &\qquad \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}Z} &\sim \mathscr{N}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(0, \eta/6\right) \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}t_{0}}{\mathrm{d}Z} &\sim \mathscr{N}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(0, \frac{\pi^{2}}{96\eta^{3}}\right) &\qquad \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}Z} &\sim \mathscr{N}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(0, \frac{1}{72\eta}\left(12 + \pi^{2}\right) + \frac{\pi^{2}\xi^{2}}{24\eta^{3}}\right) \end{aligned}$$



Perturbation analysis of a 1-soliton

Substituting $q_0(z,t)$ into the $\mathscr{O}(\varepsilon)$ equation yields:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}Z} \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}}(0,\eta/2) \qquad \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}Z} \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}}(0,\eta/6) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}t_0}{\mathrm{d}Z} \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(0,\frac{\pi^2}{96\eta^3}\right) \qquad \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}Z} \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(0,\frac{1}{72\eta}\left(12+\pi^2\right)+\frac{\pi^2\xi^2}{24\eta^3}\right)$$

Assuming η and ξ do not change much along propagation:

$$\begin{split} \eta(\mathscr{L}) &\sim \mathscr{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\eta(0), \frac{\eta(0)}{2} N_{\text{ASE}} \mathscr{L} \right) \\ \xi(\mathscr{L}) &\sim \mathscr{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\xi(0), \frac{\eta(0)}{6} N_{\text{ASE}} \mathscr{L} \right) \\ t_0(\mathscr{L}) &\sim \mathscr{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(t_0(0), \frac{\pi^2}{96\eta(0)^3} N_{\text{ASE}} \mathscr{L} \right) \\ \phi(\mathscr{L}) &\sim \mathscr{N}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\phi(0), \left[\frac{1}{72\eta(0)} \left(12 + \pi^2 \right) + \frac{\pi^2 \xi(0)^2}{24\eta(0)^3} \right] N_{\text{ASE}} \mathscr{L} \right) \end{split}$$

Javier García (TUM)



Perturbation analysis of a 1-soliton (z = 0.9578)





Modulation of the continuous spectrum



• From Parseval, the signal

$$U(\lambda) = \log\left(1 + |Q_c(\lambda)|^2\right) e^{j \arg Q_c(\lambda)}$$

has energy E/2, where E is the energy of q(z,t)



Modulation of the continuous spectrum



• From Parseval, the signal

$$U(\lambda) = \log\left(1 + |Q_c(\lambda)|^2\right) e^{j \arg Q_c(\lambda)}$$

has energy E/2, where E is the energy of q(z,t)

• User channels are multiplexed in $U(\lambda)$





Continuous spectrum: simulation parameters

- 5 FDM channels, Root Raised Cosine pulses with roll-off $\beta = 0.25$



Continuous spectrum: simulation parameters

- 5 FDM channels, Root Raised Cosine pulses with roll-off $\beta = 0.25$
- 1 symbol per block



Continuous spectrum: simulation parameters

- 5 FDM channels, Root Raised Cosine pulses with roll-off $\beta = 0.25$
- 1 symbol per block
- Multi-ring modulation, 8 rings with 32 phases.



Continuous spectrum: simulation parameters

- 5 FDM channels, Root Raised Cosine pulses with roll-off $\beta = 0.25$
- 1 symbol per block
- Multi-ring modulation, 8 rings with 32 phases.

Parameter	Symbol	Value
Dispersion coefficient	β_2	$-21.667 \text{ ps}^2/\text{km}$
Nonlinearity parameter	γ	$1.2578 \text{ W}^{-1} \text{km}^{-1}$
Fiber length	L	250 km
Channel bandwidth	В	10 GHz
Guard band	B _{guard}	2.5 GHz
Noise spectral density	N _{ASE}	$6.4893 \cdot 10^{-19} \mathrm{W} \cdot \mathrm{s}$



Continuous spectrum: simulation results





Conclusions

The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT) provides a domain in which the NLSE channel is multiplicative

Conclusions

- The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT) provides a domain in which the NLSE channel is multiplicative
- Higher energy solitons in the presence of distributed noise have
 - less robust eigenvalue position λ_1
 - but more robust spectral amplitude $Q_d(\lambda_1)$

as compared to lower energy solitons.

Conclusions

- The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT) provides a domain in which the NLSE channel is multiplicative
- Higher energy solitons in the presence of distributed noise have
 - less robust eigenvalue position λ_1
 - but more robust spectral amplitude $Q_d(\lambda_1)$

as compared to lower energy solitons.

• With several eigenvalues, those with higher energy seem less robust at high power

Conclusions

- The Nonlinear Fourier Transform (NFT) provides a domain in which the NLSE channel is multiplicative
- Higher energy solitons in the presence of distributed noise have
 - less robust eigenvalue position λ_1
 - but more robust spectral amplitude $Q_d(\lambda_1)$ as compared to lower energy solitons.
- With several eigenvalues, those with higher energy seem less robust at high power
- Discrete spectrum modulation has too low spectral efficiency, but the addition of eigenvalues to a continuous spectrum signal could bring improvements

ЛШ

Perturbation analysis of a 1-soliton

$$j\frac{\partial}{\partial z}q(z,t) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}q(z,t) + 2|q(z,t)|^2q(z,t) = \varepsilon F(q(z,t))$$
$$F_0(z,t) = je^{j\phi}e^{4j(\xi^2 - \eta^2 z)}e^{2j\xi t}F(q_0(z,t))$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{\mathrm{d}Z} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Im\left\{F_0(z,t)\right\} \cdot 2\eta \operatorname{sech}(2\eta t) \,\mathrm{d}t \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\mathrm{d}Z} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Im\left\{F_0(z,t)\right\} \cdot 2\eta \operatorname{sech}(2\eta t) \operatorname{tanh}(2\eta t) \,\mathrm{d}t \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}t_0}{\mathrm{d}Z} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Im\left\{F_0(z,t)\right\} \cdot t \operatorname{sech}(2\eta t) \,\mathrm{d}t \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi_0}{\mathrm{d}Z} &= 2\xi \frac{\mathrm{d}t_0}{\mathrm{d}Z} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Re\left\{F_0(z,t)\right\} \cdot \operatorname{sech}(2\eta t) \left[1 - 2\eta t \operatorname{tanh}(2\eta t)\right] \,\mathrm{d}t \end{split}$$

J. Yang, "Soliton Perturbation Theories and Applications," *Nonlinear Waves in Integrable and Nonintegrable Systems*, ch. 4, pp. 119–162, 2010 Javier García (TUM)