

Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Primary Breast Cancer

Nadia Harbeck^{a*} Gunter von Minckwitz^{b*}

^a Frauenklinik der Technischen Universität München,

^b GBG Forschungs GmbH, Neu-Isenburg, Germany

Key Words

Chemotherapy, adjuvant · AGO · Anthracyclines · Breast cancer, early · Taxanes

Summary

Adjuvant systemic chemotherapy reduces the risk of relapse by about 25%. In hormone receptor-negative tumors, adjuvant chemotherapy is considered standard, independent of age or lymph node status. In hormone receptor-positive disease, an indication for adjuvant chemotherapy is given in patients at increased risk of relapse. Endocrine therapy should then be administered sequentially after chemotherapy. Anthracyclines are considered standard adjuvant therapy – superiority versus CMF (cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, 5-fluorouracil) was only demonstrated for anthracycline-containing polychemotherapy with 3 or more substances or for an anthracycline-CMF sequence. Several studies consistently indicate that addition of taxanes (docetaxel, paclitaxel) to anthracycline-containing chemotherapy results in a significant survival advantage. Since these data are so far only available for node-positive disease, taxanes should be an essential part of adjuvant chemotherapy in node-positive patients. Dose-dense chemotherapy is a valid option for node-positive patients, in particular for those with 10 or more involved axillary lymph nodes. Evidence-based therapy recommendations can be found in the annually updated guidelines of the AGO (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynäkologische Onkologie) breast cancer commission.

*As members of the Breast Commission, part of the AGO (Working Group Gynecologic Oncology, German Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology)

Schlüsselwörter

Chemotherapie, adjuvante · AGO · Anthrazykline · Mammakarzinom, frühes · Taxane

Zusammenfassung

Adjuvante Chemotherapie reduziert das relative Rezidivrisiko um etwa 25%. Bei hormonrezeptor-negativem Tumor gilt die Chemotherapie unabhängig von Alter oder Lymphknotenstatus als adjuvante Standardbehandlung. Bei hormonrezeptor-positivem Tumor wird die Indikation in Abhängigkeit vom individuellen Rezidivrisiko gestellt. Die endokrine Therapie sollte dann sequentiell im Anschluss an die Chemotherapie durchgeführt werden. Als adjuvante Standard-Chemotherapie gelten Anthrazykline. Eine Überlegenheit gegenüber CMF (Cyclophosphamid, Methotrexat, 5-Fluorouracil) konnte bisher nur für anthrazyklinhaltige Polychemotherapien mit mindestens 3 Substanzen oder für eine Anthrazyklin-CMF-Sequenz gezeigt werden. Durch Taxane (Docetaxel, Paclitaxel) kann zusätzlich zu anthrazyklinhaltigen Schemata eine signifikante Überlebensverbesserung erreicht werden. Eine einheitliche Datenlage zu anthrazyklin-taxanhaltigen Schemata gibt es ausschließlich beim nodalpositiven Mammakarzinom – hier sollten daher Taxane Bestandteil der adjuvanten Therapie sein. Dosisdichte Chemotherapie ist eine Option für nodalpositive Patientinnen, insbesondere für Hochrisikopatientinnen mit 10 und mehr befallenen axillären Lymphknoten. Aktuelle, evidenzbasierte Therapieempfehlungen finden sich in der jährlich aktualisierten Leitlinie der AGO (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynäkologische Onkologie), Organkommission «Mamma».

Introduction

In hormone receptor-negative tumors, adjuvant chemotherapy is considered standard, independent of age or lymph node status. In hormone receptor-positive disease, an indication for adjuvant chemotherapy is given in patients at increased risk of relapse. Endocrine therapy should then be administered sequentially after chemotherapy. Adjuvant chemotherapy should be started as soon as possible after definitive surgery and be completed before adjuvant radiotherapy.

Evidence-based therapy recommendations can be found in the annually updated guidelines of the AGO (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Gynäkologische Onkologie) breast cancer commission [1].

Anthracyclines

Anthracyclin-containing combination therapy is considered standard in the adjuvant setting (fig. 1) [2]. The Oxford meta-analysis 2000 [3] shows an absolute decrease of about 4% in the 10-year risk of relapse and mortality in favor of anthracyclines compared to CMF (cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, 5-fluorouracil). The minimum dose for epirubicin (E) is $\geq 30 \text{ mg/m}^2/\text{week}$ and $\geq 20 \text{ mg/m}^2/\text{week}$ for adriamycin (A), respectively. In single studies, a significant advantage for anthracyclines compared to CMF has only been shown for anthracycline-containing polychemotherapies with 3 or more substances (e.g. FE₁₂₀C or FE₁₀₀C) [4] or for an anthracycline-CMF sequence [5]. 4 cycles of A₆₀C (or 4 cycles of E₉₀C) are considered equally effective as classical CMF with regard to survival (NSABP B-15) [6].

Neither increase in dose nor in number of cycles leads to a significant increase in survival for an anthracycline-containing 2-drug combination, not even when compared to CMF [7, 8]. In choosing the adequate chemotherapy protocol, one should keep in mind that the equieffectivity for CMF and 4 × AC has only been demonstrated for 'classical' CMF with 6 cycles (days 1 and 8) and oral cyclophosphamide (100 mg orally) on days 1–14. Dose reduction or prolongation of therapy-free intervals, e.g. in concurrent or 'sandwich' administration of CMF and radiation therapy [9], do severely endanger the therapeutic success of adjuvant chemotherapy [10]. In patients who should not receive anthracyclines due to pre-existing co-morbidities, adequately dosed CMF or 4 × TC (docetaxel/cyclophosphamide) [11] may be considered as alternative therapy options.

Taxanes

In node-positive breast cancer, recent study data (e.g. CALGB 9344, NSABP B-28, BCIRG 001, PACS 01) suggest that a significant survival advantage is achievable by addition

		Oxford / AGO LOE / GR
>	Anthracyclines (instead of CMF)	1a A ++
>	FAC/FEC	1b A ++
>	Taxanes (node-positive disease)	1b B ++
>	Taxanes (node-negative disease)	4 D +/-*
>	Dose-dense (node-positive disease)	1b B +*
>	CMF (instead of no therapy)	1a A ++

* Study participation recommended

Fig. 1. Recommendations for adjuvant chemotherapy in primary breast cancer.

		Oxford / AGO LOE / GR
Taxane-based regimen		1b B ++
>	DAC (instead of FAC)	
>	FEC-D or FEC-P (instead of FEC)	
>	AC-P, AC-D (instead of AC or AC-P)	
>	AP-CMF (instead of A-CMF)	

Only 1 study (PACS 01) demonstrated superiority for OS when compared to adequately dosed anthracycline-based regimen (LOE 2b^a, B)

In the sequence AC-Taxane, there is no evidence of superiority of either taxane. Next to substance-specific side-effects, weekly administration was in general less toxic (LOE 2b^a, B)

A = Doxorubicin, D = Docetaxel; E = Epirubicin; P = Paclitaxel

Fig. 2. Taxanes in the therapy of node-positive breast cancer.

		Oxford / AGO LOE / GR
Node-Positive (10+) Disease		
>	Dose dense regimens	2b B +*
	Preferred regimen: E → P → C	
>	Tandem high dose – chemotherapy	1a(-) A +/-*

P = Paclitaxel * Study participation recommended or treatment in experienced centers

Fig. 3. Recommendations for adjuvant chemotherapy in node-positive patients, particularly with 10 or more positive axillary lymph nodes.

Table 1. Taxane-containing phase III adjuvant trials: results of taxane-containing experimental arm vs. anthracycline comparator

Trial (indication)	Trial design	Patients, n	Follow-up (median, months)	Results	Comments	Reference
CALGB 9344 (N+)	4×AC ^a vs. 4×AC ^a → 4×P ₁₇₅ q21	3,121	68	positive	DFS + OS significantly better	Henderson et al. [8]
NSABP B-28 (N+)	4×A ₆₀ C vs. 4×A ₆₀ C → 4×P ₁₇₅ q21	3,060	65	DFS positive	OS n.s.	Mamounas et al. [16]
BCIRG 001 (N+)	6×F ₅₀₀ A ₅₀ C ₅₀₀ vs. 6×D ₇₅ A ₅₀ C ₅₀₀ q21	1,491	55	positive	DFS + OS significantly better	Martin et al. [17]
PACS 01 Trial (N+)	6×FE ₉₀₀ C vs. 3×FE ₉₀₀ C → 3×D q21	1,999	60	positive	DFS + OS significantly better	Roche et al. [18]
MDA (N-/N+)b	6×F ₅₀ A ₅₀ C ₅₀₀ vs. 4×P ₂₅₀ → 4×FAC q21	524	60	negative	insufficient statistical power	Buzdar et al. [19]
GEICAM 9906 (N+)	6×FE ₉₀ C q21 vs. 4×FE ₉₀ C q21 → 8×P ₁₀₀ q7	1,248	46	DFS positive	OS n.s.	Martin et al. [20]
US Oncology (N0/N+)	4×A ₆₀ C vs. 4×D ₇₅ C q21	1,016	66	DFS positive	OS n.s.	Jones et al. [11]
E2197 (N0/N+)	4×A ₆₀ C vs. 4×A ₆₀ D ₆₀ q21	2,778	53	negative	DFS + OS n.s.	Goldstein et al. [21]

^aAC was evaluated in 3 different doses: 60 vs. 75 vs. 90 mg/m².

^b33% neoadjuvant therapy.

A = Adriamycin; C = cyclophosphamide; F = 5-fluorouracil; E = epirubicin; D = docetaxel; P = paclitaxel; q21 = every 21 days; q7 = every 7 days; DFS = disease-free survival; OS = overall survival; n.s. = not significant.

of taxanes (paclitaxel, docetaxel) to adjuvant anthracycline-containing chemotherapy (table 1). These data suggest anthracycline/taxane-containing regimens (e.g. 4 × AC/EC → 4 × paclitaxel; 6 × TAC; 3 × FEC → 3 × docetaxel) to be suitable as standard therapies in node-positive patients (fig. 2). Whether adequately dosed anthracyclines and taxanes are administered in combination or as sequential regimens does not seem to impact patient outcome. Use of dose-dense, growth factor-supported anthracycline-, taxane- (paclitaxel) and cyclophosphamide-containing chemotherapies seems to be advantageous in node-positive disease according to the CALGB 9741 [12, 13] and AGO ETC [14] trials. These regimens are thus valid therapy options in node-positive patients, particularly in high-risk patients with 10 or more involved axillary lymph-nodes (fig. 3). Longer follow-up as well as results

from currently ongoing studies will help to draw definite conclusions on whether dose-dense chemotherapy leads to permanent survival improvement and which patients derive particular benefit.

Data regarding anthracycline- and taxane-containing regimens in node-negative disease are still scarce and inconclusive. This indication is therefore evaluated in ongoing trials (e.g. NNBC-3, SUCCESS). Similarly, the impact of high-dose chemotherapy with stem cell support is still controversial, even though a recent trial showed a statistically significant survival advantage [15]. Control groups in published high-dose trials did not receive chemotherapy regimens that would be considered standard according to current guidelines. Therefore, high-dose chemotherapy should only be performed within clinical trials (fig. 3).

References

- 1 AGO-Organisationskommission 'Mamma': Leitlinien 2005 für die Behandlung des primären und des metastasierten Mammakarzinoms; www.ago-online.org.
- 2 Goldhirsch A, Glick JH, Gelber RD, Coates AS, Thurlimann B, Senn HJ, Panel members: Meeting highlights: international expert consensus on the primary therapy of early breast cancer 2005. *Ann Oncol* 2005;16(10):1569–83.
- 3 Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG): Effects of chemotherapy and hormonal therapy for early breast cancer on recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. *Lancet* 2005;365(9472):1687–717.
- 4 Levine MN, Bramwell VH, Pritchard KI, Norris BD, Shepherd LE, Abu-Zahra H, Findlay B, Warr D, Bowman D, Myles J, Arnold A, Vandenberg T, MacKenzie R, Robert J, Ottoway J, Burnell M, Williams CK, Tu D: Randomized trial of intensive cyclophosphamide, epirubicin, and fluorouracil chemotherapy compared with cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil in premenopausal women with node-positive breast cancer. *National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. J Clin Oncol* 1998;16(8):2651–2658.
- 5 Poole CJ, Earl HM, Dunn JA, Hiller L, Bathers S, Spooner D, Grieve R, Agrawal RK, Foster E, Twelves C, for the NEAT and SCTBG Investigators: NEAT (National Epirubicin Adjuvant Trial) and SCTBG BR9601 (Scottish Cancer Trials Breast Group) phase III adjuvant breast trials show a significant relapse-free and overall survival advantage for sequential ECMF. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 2003;22:abstr 13.

- 6 Fisher B, Brown AM, Dimitrov NV, Poisson R, Redmond C, Margolese RG, Bowman D, Wolmark N, Wickerham DL, Kardinal CG: Two months of doxorubicin-cyclophosphamide with and without interval reinduction therapy compared with 6 months of cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil in positive-node breast cancer patients with tamoxifen-nonresponsive tumors: results from the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project B-15. *J Clin Oncol* 1990;8(9):1483-96.
- 7 Piccart M, Di Leo A, Beauduin M, Vindevoghel A, Michel J, Focan C, Tagnon A, Ries F, Gobert P, Finet C, Closon-Dejardin MT, Dufrane JP, Kerger J, Liebens F, Beauvois S, Bartholomeus S, Dolci S, Lobelle J P, Paesmans M, Nogaret JM: Phase III trial comparing two dose levels of epirubicin combined with cyclophosphamide with cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil in node-positive breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2001;19(12):3103-3110.
- 8 Henderson IC, Berry DA, Demetri GD, Cirrincione CT, Goldstein JL, Martino S, Ingle JN, Cooper MR, Hayes DF, Tkaczuk KH, Fleming G, Holland JF, Duggan DB, Carpenter JT, Frei E 3rd, Schilsky RL, Wood WC, Muss HB, Norton L: Improved outcomes from adding sequential paclitaxel but not from escalating doxorubicin dose in an adjuvant chemotherapy regimen for patients with node-positive primary breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21(6):976-983.
- 9 Jackisch C, Jaber M, Burkamp U, Rody A, Rösel S, Raab G, Koch OM, Dame W, Gropp C, Gleumes L, Eiermann W, Schneider HPG: Maintenance of dose intensity in adjuvant chemotherapy of breast cancer in patients treated outside a clinical trial - results of a retrospective study. *Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd* 2003;63:333-341.
- 10 Bonadonna G, Valagussa P, Moliterni A, Zambetti M, Brambilla C: Adjuvant cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil in node-positive breast cancer: the results of 20 years of follow-up. *N Engl J Med* 1995;332(14):901-6.
- 11 Jones SE, Savin MA, Holmes FA, O'Shaughnessy JA, Blum JL, Vukelja SJ, George TK, McIntyre KJ, Pippen JE, Sandbach J, Kirby RL, Bordelon JH, Hyman WJ, Negron AG, Khandelwal P, Richards DA, Anthony S, Nugent JE, Mennel RG, Banerji M, Edelman G, Ruxer RL, Amare M, Kampe CE, Koutrelakos N, Meyer WG, Asmar L: Final analysis: TC (docetaxel/cyclophosphamide, 4 cycles) has a superior disease-free survival compared to standard AC (doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide) in 1,016 women with early stage breast cancer. *SABCS 2005;abstr 40*.
- 12 Citron ML, Berry DA, Cirrincione C, Hudis C, Winer EP, Gradishar WJ, Davidson NE, Martino S, Livingston R, Ingle JN, Perez EA, Carpenter J, Hurd D, Holland JF, Smith BL, Sartor CI, Leung EH, Abrams J, Schilsky RL, Muss HB, Norton L: Randomized trial of dose-dense versus conventionally scheduled and sequential versus concurrent combination chemotherapy as postoperative adjuvant treatment of node-positive primary breast cancer: first report of Intergroup Trial C9741/Cancer and Leukemia Group B Trial 9741. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21(8):1431-1439.
- 13 Hudis C, Citron M, Berry D, Cirrincione C, Gradishar W, Davidson N, Martino S, Livingston R, Ingle J, Perez E, Abrams J, Schilsky R, Ellis M, Muss H, Norton L, Winer E: Five year follow-up of INT C9741: dose-dense (DD) chemotherapy (CRx) is safe and effective. *SABCS 2005;abstr 41*.
- 14 Möbus VJ, Untch M, Du Bois A, Lueck HJ, Thomssen C, Kuhn W, Kurbacher C, Nitz U, Kreienberg R, Jackisch C: Dose-dense sequential chemotherapy with epirubicin(E), paclitaxel (T) and cyclophosphamide (C) (ETC) is superior to conventional dosed chemotherapy in high-risk breast cancer patients (≥ 4 +LN). First results of an AGO-trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22(14S):513.
- 15 Nitz UA, Mohrmann S, Fischer J, Lindemann W, Berdel WE, Jackisch C, Werner C, Ziske C, Kirchner H, Metzner B, Souchon R, Ruffert U, Schutt G, Pollmanns A, Schmoll HJ, Middecke C, Baltzer J, Schrader I, Wiebringhaus H, Ko Y, Rosel S, Schwenzer T, Wernet P, Hinke A, Bender HG, Frick M: West German Study Group. Comparison of rapidly cycled tandem high-dose chemotherapy plus peripheral-blood stem-cell support versus dose-dense conventional chemotherapy for adjuvant treatment of high-risk breast cancer: results of a multicentre phase III trial. *Lancet* 2005;366(9501):1935-44.
- 16 Mamounas EP, Bryant J, Lembersky B, Fehrenbacher L, Sedlacek SM, Fisher B, Wickerham DL, Yothers G, Soran A, Wolmark N: Paclitaxel after doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide as adjuvant chemotherapy for node-positive breast cancer: results from NSABP B-28. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(16):3686-96.
- 17 Martin M, Pienkowski T, Mackey J, Pawlicki M, Guastalla JP, Weaver C, Tomiak E, Al-Tweigeri T, Chap L, Juhos E, Guevin R, Howell A, Fornander T, Hainsworth J, Coleman R, Vinholes J, Modiano M, Pinter T, Tang SC, Colwell B, Prady C, Provencher L, Walde D, Rodriguez-Lescure A, Hugh J, Loret C, Rupin M, Blitz S, Jacobs P, Murawsky M, Riva A, Vogel C, Breast Cancer International Research Group 001 Investigators: Adjuvant docetaxel for node-positive breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352(22):2302-13.
- 18 Roché H, Fumoleau P, Spielmann M, Canon JL, Delozier T, Kerbrat P, Serin D, Lortholary A, de Ghislain C, Viens P, Bergerat JP, Genève J, Martin AL, Asselain B: Five years analysis of the PACS 01 trial: 6 cycles of FEC100 vs. 3 cycles of FEC100 followed by 3 cycles of docetaxel (D) for the adjuvant treatment of node positive breast cancer. *SABCS 2004;abstr 27*.
- 19 Buzdar AU, Singletary SE, Valero V, Booser DJ, Ibrahim NK, Rahman Z, Theriault RL, Walters R, Rivera E, Smith TL, Holmes FA, Hoy E, Frye DK, Manuel N, Kau SW, McNeese MD, Strom E, Thomas E, Hunt K, Ames F, Berry D, Hortobagyi GN: Evaluation of paclitaxel in adjuvant chemotherapy for patients with operable breast cancer: preliminary data of a prospective randomized trial. *Clin Cancer Res* 2002;8(5):1073-9.
- 20 Martín M, Rodríguez-Lescure A, Ruiz A, Alba E, Calvo L, Ruiz-Borrego M, Munárriz B, López-Vega JM, Rodríguez CA, Crespo C: Multicenter, randomized phase III study of adjuvant chemotherapy for node positive breast cancer comparing 6 cycles of FE90C versus 4 cycles of FE90C followed by 8 weekly paclitaxel administrations: interim efficacy analysis of GEICAM 9906 Trial. *SABCS 2005;abstr 39*.
- 21 Goldstein L, O'Neill A, Sparano J, Perez E, Shulman L, Martino S, Davidson N. E2197: Phase III AT (doxorubicin/docetaxel) vs. AC (doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide) in the adjuvant treatment of node positive and high risk node negative breast cancer. *ASCO Annu Meet Proc Part I, J Clin Oncol* 2005;23(16S):512.