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## 1. Introduction and goals

In past decades, sophisticated statistical methods for breeding value estimation were established and enabled impressive genetic gain. However, when selection relies on statistical methods, genetic gain is limited in traits with low heritability, in traits with phenotypes evolved late in animals' life, or in traits that are not expressed in the gender of interest, e.g. lactation traits.

DNA is in fact available at any age and from both genders, so that efforts to decipher the molecular architecture of quantitative traits have been initiated in order to alleviate some of these limitations. Genome scans have identified markers that affect quantitative traits, and the bovine chromosome 6 (BTA6) turned out to harbour more quantitative trait loci (QTL) for milk traits than other chromosomes.

Milk synthesis is an excellent quantitative trait for molecular dissection. It is characterised by a great deal of phenotypic variation and the amount of existing phenotype data is immense and of high quality. For analysing the molecular architecture, milk synthesis has to be partitioned into the synthesis of its components, such as milk fat, milk protein and lactose. Milk components are physiologically correlated due to interconnected metabolic pathways and common precursors for the synthesis of different milk components, such as glucose, which is required for fat- and lactose synthesis. Apart from this, correlation among milk components relies on genetic sources and is caused by pleiotropic effects and temporarily also by linkage between loci.
Livestock species in particular are known for a high level of non-random association between different genetic loci (linkage disequilibrium), so that a molecular dissection of quantitative traits is complicated. Under the prevailing circumstances, it might not be opportune to analyse genes in isolation, so this thesis investigates six candidate genes together in an association study in three breeds.

The specific goals of this thesis were

1) Characterisation of candidate genes for milk synthesis on bovine chromosome 6 by sequence and structure analysis
2) Systematic screening for polymorphisms
3) Identification of associated and causative polymorphisms for milk synthesis by an association study in three different breeds.

## 2. Literature review

### 2.1. Analysis of quantitative traits

Milk production traits are quantitative traits showing a continuous distribution of phenotypic values. An infinitesimal number of genes with minute effects specify in their sum the continuous distribution of the heritable traits (Fisher 1930). Fisher's model had to be modified when studies of Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) started (Geldermann 1976). QTLs are individual genes with quantifiable measurable effects and are traceable by DNA markers. These results are consistent with Robertson's theorem (Robertson 1969) and Orr's model (Orr 1998) that the distribution of allelic effects might be exponential and the quantitative variation is determined by few QTLs of large effect and many genes of small effect (see Figure 2.1) (Hayes and Goddard 2001).


Figure 2.1 Distribution of QTL in dairy cattle (HAYES and Goddard 2001)

Milk from cattle contains on average $87.3 \%$ water, $4.2 \%$ fat, $3.3 \%$ protein and $4.6 \%$ lactose but variation is seen between and within breeds. Since it is of central economic interest in dairy cattle breeding, QTL studies have been performed in past decades. Searching for a QTL starts with the association between a trait and a chromosomal position, represented by at least one polymorphic DNA marker. The marker alleles must be co-inherited with the alleles of the target gene. Markers that were available in the last decades were satellite-markers, minisatellites or variable number of tandem repeat markers (Wyman and White 1980) and microsatellites (Weber and May 1989).

Mapping studies in cattle were first implemented with daughter designs (DD) (WELLER et al. 1990), but DDs were replaced in the following by granddaughter designs (GDD). GDDs are easily available in cattle populations, but more importantly, it has proved more effective
to use GDDs than DDs. The power of mapping studies in increased with a larger number of sons per grandsire also when using GDDs. For this reason, QTL mapping studies were commonly carried out with Holstein populations.

Later, and principally based on information about the genomic localization arising from QTL studies, candidate gene analyses were set up for a molecular dissection of the quantitative trait. During candidate gene analyses, the genomic region of QTLs is screened for functional candidate genes, genes that show a physiological context to the trait the QTL was identified for. In the context of association studies with candidate genes, polymorphisms of the selected gene are analysed for a putative effect on the quantitative trait to identify the causative mutation(s), so called QTN(s). However, the molecular situation remains complex, since several allelic effects of one gene may be coupled to operate as 'super-alleles' and show in their optimal ensemble the effect of the QTL (STam and Laurie 1996).

### 2.2. QTL mapping approaches on cattle chromosome 6 (BTA6)

A cattle QTL database gathering QTLs that were published during the past decade is available at http://www.animalgenome.org/cattle/. A consistent finding across all studies on BTA6 suggests a primary QTL for milk yield close to marker BM143 (Khatkar et al. 2004) (Olsen et al. 2002) (Ron et al. 2001). The position of marker BM143 corresponds to a chromosomal position on BTA6 at 40.57 Mb based on the bovine draft sequence Btau 3.1 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), which became available very recently. Apart from this, a QTL for milk yield was mapped to the casein gene cluster (Velmala et al. 1999) (Lien et al. 1995) (Velmala et al. 1995) (Bovenhuis and Weller 1994), which is located in Btau 3.1 at about 75 Mb on BTA6.

For fat yield, QTLs were identified on BTA6 at markers BM143 (Ron et al. 2001) (Olsen et al. 2004), TGLA37A (Kuhn et al. 1999). The corresponding region in Btau 3.1 is the region on BTA6 from 39.85 Mb to 46.13 Mb , in which also a QTL for protein yield as assumed (Kuhn et al. 1999) (Ron et al. 2001) (Zhang et al. 1998) (Olsen et al. 2004).

Most mapping studies identified QTLs for content traits. A QTL for fat content is assumed to be located close to the fat- and protein yield QTL at about 40 Mb on BTA6 in Btau 3.1 (Zhang et al. 1998) (Ron et al. 2001) (Olsen et al. 2004). QTLs for protein percentage were discussed in two consensus regions on BTA6, one in the centre of BTA6 at several markers but approximately at 42 Mb (Btau 3.1) (Georges et al. 1995) (Zhang et al. 1998) (Velmala et al. 1999) (Spelman et al. 1996) (Ron et al. 2001) (Olsen et al. 2002) (Olsen et al. 2004) and
the second one more distal at the casein gene cluster (Velmala et al. 1999) (Velmala et al. 1995) (Boichard et al. 2003).

Along with single QTL studies, multi QTL models were also estimated. Two separate approaches suggested more than one segregating QTL. The first multi QTL model postulated the first QTL close to marker BM143 at 40.57 Mb and the second QTL close to marker BM415 at 67.26 Mb (Ron et al. 2001). The second multi QTL existence was reported for a much smaller chromosomal segment between markers BMS2508 at 39.8 Mb and BMS690 at 42.99 Mb (Olsen et al. 2004).

Due to inconsistencies in traits, chromosomal position and number of identified QTLs, numerous QTL mapping studies haven't been followed up or confirmed. However in summary for BTA6, it is most likely that three QTLs for milk traits reside in two distinct chromosomal regions. The proximal region between approximately 30 Mb and 45 Mb is most likely to harbour a QTL affecting milk yield and content traits (Olsen et al. 2005) besides a QTL with effects on fat and protein yield (Kuhn et al. 1999; Olsen et al. 2004). The third QTL is most likely to be located at the casein gene cluster and affects milk yield and content traits (Velmala et al. 1999).

### 2.3. Previous association studies with candidate genes on cattle chromosome 6 (BTA6)

The second step during a molecular dissection of quantitative traits is the search for the causative mutation(s) (QTN) and its gene(s) underlying the QTL effect. Candidate gene identification is often carried out using comparative gene maps. These are based on the conservation of synteny between species. Hence well-characterized model organisms and their chromosomal gene order were used to predict the chromosomal position of genes in nonmodel organisms. Whole genome comparative maps for humans and cattle (Hayes 1995) (Solinas-Toldo et al. 1995) and later radiation hybrid maps (Band et al. 2000) were available and were used for the identification of genes in the target species.

When selecting candidate genes, it is important to study the physiology influencing the trait of interest. The synthesis of milk components is a highly complex physiological process and for closer consideration, milk synthesis has to be divided into the synthesis of its main components, fat, protein and lactose. Lactose and caseins are mammary specific products and are synthesised de novo in the mammary epithelial cells after the precursors are bloodtransported to the mammary system. Milk fat and its short and middle chain fatty acids are
synthesised de novo, with acetate and $\beta$-hydroxybutyrate as the main origins, but long chain fatty acids derive from body fat (see Figure 2.2).
De novo synthesised milk proteins need blood-transported amino acids as precursors. Caseins represent about $80 \%$ of the milk proteins and can be fractioned into $\alpha-\mathrm{S} 1, \alpha-\mathrm{S} 2, \beta$ and кcasein. Therefore it was logical that the first work on candidate gene analyses was done on genes of the casein cluster. In HF, no significant effects of casein variants were identified on milk-, fat- and protein yield (Sabour et al. 1996), but an association between a CSN1S1variant and protein content was found (Prinzenberg et al. 2003). The casein genes were also investigated in cattle breeds where a high milk protein content is a breed characteristic. In Norwegian Red, significant associations were identified for milk and protein yield (Lien et al. 1995). In Brown Swiss, however, two separate studies have not shown consistent results (Braunschweig et al. 2000) (Boettcher et al. 2004).


Figure 2.2 Synthesis of milk fat and lactose (http://classes.ansci.uiuc.edu/ansc438/)

Glucose is the essential precursor for the synthesis of lactose since lactose is a disaccharide composed of $\beta 1-4$ glycosidic-linked galactose and glucose. Galactose occurs from the conversion of glucose to UDP-galactose via UDP glucose (see Figure 2.2) and the mammary gland cannot synthesize glucose from other precursors because of the lack of glucose-6phosphatase (Threadgold and Kuhn 1979) (Scott et al. 1976).

The lactose content in milk is relatively insensitive to environmental influences but it plays an important role in milk chemistry. Lactose is synthesised in the mammary epithelial cells' Golgi lumen. Due to a non-permeable character, diffusion from the Golgi lumen to the cell cytosol is not possible. Instead, water diffuses into the Golgi lumen and increases milk yield
to maintain the osmotic equilibrium. Since lactose is the main osmole in milk, lactose synthesis is the major factor influencing the volume and yield of milk (Cant et al. 2002) (Holt 1983). Considering a constant fat and protein yield synthesis, an increase in lactose synthesis ends in reduced milk fat and protein content due to an increased milk yield (Olsen et al. 2002). The negative correlation between milk yield and fat or protein yield is explained and it is shown that the several milk sub-traits depend on each other. It also becomes evident that glucose and the entire energy metabolism are crucial for milk synthesis, especially in ruminants where $40-60 \%$ of the blood glucose has to be synthesized via gluconeogenesis, from propionate and gucogenic amino acids in the liver (Kirchgeßner 1997). Therefore several candidate genes, which are known to be involved in different energy metabolic pathways were analysed in order to identify QTNs on BTA6.
Because of its chromosomal position and the key function in energy metabolism, PPARGC1A was discussed as a positional and functional candidate gene for a fat yield QTL on BTA6 (Kuhn et al. 1999). Statistical analyses revealed a significant association between a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in intron 9 and fat yield (Weikard et al. 2005). Two other genes, $O P N$ and $A B C G 2$, were proposed to harbour a QTN for the proximal milk content QTL on BTA6 (Ron et al. 2001). OPN encodes a glycoprotein, which is involved in calcium metabolism, and $A B C G 2$ is a member of the ATP-binding cassette subfamily. Both were postulated by separate studies. For OPN and its insertion - deletion variant (INDEL) upstream of the transcription start site, a significant effect on fat and protein content was reported (Schnabel et al. 2005). The observed insertion deletion variant was described as a poly-T tract producing alleles of either nine (T9) or ten (T10) thymine nucleotides where the T9 variant decreased fat and protein content significantly (Schnabel et al. 2005). In the second study, eight genes within the chromosomal region of $A B C G 2$ were analysed for gene expression in the mammary gland. $O P N$ and $A B C G 2$ showed significantly increased expression in the mammary gland from parturition through lactation (Ron et al. 2007) and were screened together with other genes in this region for variants in the bovine genomic sequence. Only one identified SNP showed co-segregation with the QTL marker. It was an SNP in exon 14 of $A B C G 2$, encoding a tyrosine to serine mutation. The tyrosine variant has a frequency in the investigated population of 0.805 and was associated with an increase in content traits and an antagonistic decrease in milk yield (Cohen-Zinder et al. 2005). Both, $O P N$ and $A B C G 2$ are anchored in the same chromosomal region, but only the approach in Israeli Holstein (Cohen-Zinder et al. 2005) involved DNA-variation of both genes. However the INDEL upstream of the $O P N$ transcription start site was not included.

Finally, the molecular architecture of milk synthesis is complex so that previous association studies on BTA6 come to controversial conclusions since genes and DNA polymorphisms were analysed in isolation in most cases. A causative mutation however should be confirmed in other populations and breeds, as it was reported for the association of DGAT1 (Winter et al. 2002) (Thaller et al. 2003). Hence, it is expected that the simultaneous observation of several genes located on BTA6 in more than one dairy cattle breed contribute to a molecular dissection of BTA6 in the context of milk synthesis.

## 3. Animals, Materials and Methods

### 3.1. Animal panels for SNP screening and association studies

There were two separate animal panels. The first was used for SNP screening and the second for the association studies. Both animal panels included sires in artificial insemination of three dairy cattle breeds, German Brown (BV), German Fleckvieh (FV) and German Holstein (HF). The panel for SNP screening contained 12 sires, 4 of BV, FV and HF, respectively. Prepared DNA ( $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) of the SNP screening panel was available from an earlier master thesis (see Table 3.1) (Giessler 2006).

Table 3.1 Animal panel for polymorphism screening

| Identification code | Breed | Name | Year of birth | PS $^{\text {a } / \text { MGS }^{\text {b }} / \text { MGGS }^{\text {c }}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 276000934216432 | BV | Vinstor | 2000 | Vinaut / Stor / Simon |
| 276000934856703 | BV | Humor | 2000 | Hussli / Pete-Rose / Videlus |
| 276000934442734 | BV | James | 2001 | Jemstone / Monopoly / Emory |
| 276000935377641 | BV | Efast | 2001 | Even / Strifast / Vigate |
| 276000936199323 | FV | Eibsee | 2003 | Einser / Horb / Romit |
| 276000937578788 | FV | Honduras | 2002 | Hippo / Horramor /Balist |
| 276000937638989 | FV | Pomal | 2003 | Poldi / Malhax / Halling |
| 276000936995477 | FV | Rügen | 2003 | Rumba / Samurai / Morten |
| 276000937712020 | HF | Renegade | 2004 | Reno / Picasso / - d |
| 276000662206477 | HF | Lionel | 2003 | Lheros / Bestow / - d |
| 276000938688368 | HF | Tartan | 2003 | Talent / Durham / Formation |
| 250001450822003 | HF | Koldy | 2003 | Courier / Manfred / Celsius |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Paternal sire
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Maternal grand sire
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Maternal grand grand sire
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Sire unknown

The panel that was used for the association studies contained 3124 animals in all, of which 332 sires ( $62 \mathrm{BS}, 197 \mathrm{FV}, 73 \mathrm{HF}$ ) were available at the Chair of Animal Breeding (Winter et al. 2003) as prepared DNA ( $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ). Additionally, semen straws of BV and FV sires were obtained from German artificial insemination stations and genomic DNA was prepared. Genomic DNA ( $20 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) from HF sires was obtained from The Research Institute for the Biology of Farm Animals (FBN), Dummerstorf, so that the final animal panel contained 742 BV sires born between 1997 and 2001, 964 FV sires born between 1997 and 2000 and 1418 HF sires, born between 1979 and 2000. BV and FV samples are each organized into 141 different paternal half-sib groups. HF comprise 107 different groups. The mean family size is 5.26 in $\mathrm{BV}, 6.79$ in FV and 13.25 in HF .

### 3.2. Materials and Methods

### 3.2.1. In-silico analyses

### 3.2.1.1 Selection of candidate genes

Recent QTL-mapping studies indicate that BTA6 plays an important role with regard to milk production traits. Six functional and positional candidate genes on BTA6 were selected for association studies with milk production traits. Four of the genes of interest, ABCG2, OPN, PPARGC1A and CSN1S1 have been analysed in previous studies. Two functional and positional candidate genes, not yet investigated for milk production traits in dairy cattle, were added to this list. These genes were identified by geneScore (Bininda-Emonds et al. 2004), an in-house tool for candidate gene identification. geneScore search is based on physiological keywords and screens the pubmed database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) for genes that contain the keyword in their gene ontology description. The search was confined to the part of the human chromosome 4 (HSA4), which is known to be homologue to BTA6. geneScore output is a list that orders the identified genes by their identification frequency in pubmed.

### 3.2.1.2. Gene identification in the bovine genomic sequence

In June 2005, the second version of the bovine draft sequence (Btau 2.0) became open source. The bovine draft sequence is a preliminary assembly of the cow (Bos taurus), and Btau 2.0, an updated version of Btau 1.0, which became available in September 2004 (Havlak et al. 2004) (http://www.hgsc.bcm.tmc.edu/projects/bovine/). Btau 2.0 is organised in contigs, which are contiguous lengths of genomic sequence in which the order of bases is known at a high confidence level. Contigs show overlapping regions and can be organised by creating an assembly (scaffold). Gaps can occur where reads from the two sequenced ends of at least one fragment overlap with other reads in two different contigs (http://genome.jgipsf.org/help/scaffolds.html). $50 \%$ of the bovine contigs span more than 19.9 kb and more than half of the created bovine scaffolds are bigger than 434.7 kb . Btau 2.0 consists of 4409 chromosomally allocated and 98058 chromosomally unanchored scaffolds.
Btau 3.1 is the third assembly of the Bos taurus genome. It was produced with a combination of Whole Genome Shotgun Reads (WGS) from Btau 2.0 and BAC sequences. Btau 3.1 is a set of contigs and scaffolds, which include sequence contigs oriented with respect to each other. This reciprocal scaffold orientation leads to chromosomal stringed scaffolds. The total length of all contigs is 48.7 kb and $50 \%$ of the assembled genome lies in blocks of more than
997.5 kb . Btau 3.1 is like Btau 2.0, still a draft version of the bovine genomic sequence (http://www.hgsc.bem.tmc.edu/projects/bovine/) and may contain errors, such as missassemblies of repeated sequences, collapses of repeated regions and unmerged overlaps.
Both, Btau 2.0 and Btau 3.1 were used for the identification of the candidate genes in the bovine genome. The files Btau_20050310.contigs.fa.gz for Btau 2.0 and Btau20060815.contigs.fa.gz for Btau 3.1 were downloaded from the ftp server to a local server from the Human Genome Sequencing Centre at the Baylor College of Medicine (http://www.hgsc.bcm.tmc.edu/projects/bovine/).
Applying a python script (python v.2.4.1), the human coding sequences of the candidate genes were downloaded as fasta-files from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) was used for the in-silico identification of the candidate genes in Btau 2.0 and Btau 3.1. BLAST is an algorithm for the comparison of biological sequence information (Altschul et al. 1990). BLAST offers the identification of a sub-sequence in the library sequence that is similar to a sub-sequence of the target sequence. Since coding sequence shows a high sequence similarity between species (Andersson et al. 2001), BLAST enabled an in-silico identification of the human reference sequence in the bovine draft sequences. BLAST was run on a local server.

### 3.2.1.3. Gene annotation

The GenomeThreader software (http://www.GenomeThreader.org/) was applied (Gremme et al. 2005) for a semi-automated annotation of the selected candidate genes in the bovine draft sequence. GenomeThreader applies an algorithm to compute gene structure predictions by using a similarity-based approach. It requires a query sequence and aligns this with a database sequence, which contains cDNA and EST sequences from humans, cattle, mice, rats, pigs and chickens. In the present study, subsets of Btau 2.0 and Btau 3.1, identified previously by BLAST (see 3.2.1.2 Gene identification in the bovine genomic sequence) were taken as query sequences in GenomeThreader. For an identification of repetitive sequence, GenomeThreader runs RepeatMasker (http://www.repeatmasker.org/). The GenomeThreader output file was converted to an xml-file and was read into the Apollo Annotation and Curation Tool v.6.1 (Lewis et al. 2002) (http://www.fruitfly.org/annot/apollo/) for visualisation and editing.

### 3.2.1.4 $\quad$ Genomic characterisation of candidate genes

A genomic characterisation was done for the selected candidate genes. The conservation of the peptide sequence between species was observed with ClustalW v.1.83 (Thompson et al. 1997) and the bovine peptide sequence was aligned with the peptide sequence of human, mouse and chicken. The visualisation of the protein sequence alignment was carried out on the BoxShade server, available at http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/BOX_form.html. To calculate the peptide similarity, alignments were repeated in pairs using the alignment tool from the European Bioinformatics Institute (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/emboss/align/index.html) (Larsen et al. 1992).

The transcription start site in the bovine sequence was predicted with an online prediction tool (http://www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/promoter.html) (Reese 2001). 500 bp upstream of the $3^{\prime}$ end of the first exon were used without changing the standard settings of the online tool. A second prediction result was indirectly obtained from GenomeThreader annotation and results were compared.

The 5 '-end sequence, the region upstream of the transcription start site, harbours regulatory elements for gene expression. The prediction of putative CpG-islands was run with the program cpgplot (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/emboss/cpgplot/) (Larsen et al. 1992) from the European Bioinformatics Institute. To analyse TATA-box enriched promoter regions, Consite tool (http://mordor.cgb.ki.se/cgi-bin/CONSITE/consite) was used and the bovine sequence was screened for a subset of transcription factor binding sites, namely NF-Y, TBP, SP1, NFkappaB, MYF, HNF, GATA and cEBP. Transcription factor binding sites were only predicted when the similarity between human and bovine 5 '-end sequences was at least 0.80 . All insilico identifications of regulatory elements in the 5 '-end were conducted within a sequence fragment of 1 kb , upstream of the 3 ' splice site of exon 1 .

The $3^{\prime}$ untranslated region (UTR) is known to contain post-transcriptional regulation elements, such as miRNA binding sites (Clop et al. 2006). Putative binding sites were predicted with the web-tool available at http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/sequences/ and 1 kb genomic sequence downstream of the stop codon triplet was screened.

The effect of SNPs in translated sequence on the primary structure of the peptide was observed with the online tool Transeq from the European Bioinformatics Institute (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/emboss/transeq/).

### 3.2.2. Primer design

Primers were designed using the Primer3 software available at http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgibin/primer3/primer3_www.cgi. Estimated melting temperatures of primers were set between 57 and $63^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the optimum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Optimal primer size was set at 20 bases and the optimal content of GC bases was at $50 \%$. All primers were designed based on genomic sequence from Btau 2.0 and Btau 3.1, respectively.

### 3.2.3. Preparation of genomic DNA

The genomic DNA was prepared (Buitkamp 1999) from the semen of the animals from the panel used for association studies. The content of the semen straws, containing a defined volume of the ejaculate but also glycerol and other additives, was transferred to 1.5 ml tubes. For washing, $1,000 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 1 x PBS $\left(8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KCl}, 1.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, 0.24 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH}\right.$ 7.4) was added and centrifuged at 16000 G for 5 minutes. The pellet was re-suspended after removal of the supernatant. Cell lysis was carried out by adding $750 \mu \mathrm{l}$ x PKS ( 20 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}, 4 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \%$ SDS, pH 7.4 ), $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Proteinase K ( $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ DTT (1M) and by incubation at $56{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours including moderate shaking. After transferring the lysate to Vaccutainer Blood Collection Tubes (BD-368510; Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ), $2000 \mu \mathrm{l}$ phenol/chlorophorm/isoamlyalcohol (25:24:1) was added and gently mixed while inverting the tubes. Afterwards this was centrifuged for 10 minutes at $600 \mathrm{G} .1000 \mu \mathrm{l}$ chlorophorm/isoamylalcohol (24:1) was added and centrifugation at 600 G for 10 minutes was repeated. The supernatant containing the DNA was transferred to a 15 ml Falcon tube and was mixed with $3000 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ethanol ( $99 \%$ ) for DNA precipitation while inverting the tube. The precipitated DNA was transferred to a 1.5 ml tube, and centrifuged for 3 minutes at 16000 G . After eliminating the supernatant, the DNApellet was stored in an incubator at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes to evaporate residual ethanol and 100 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ TE buffer was added.

To measure the concentration of the prepared DNA, a 1:5 dilution was made. The measurement was realised in a fluorometer (DyNA Quant 200, Hoefer Pharmacia Biotech Inc.; San Francisco, CA, USA) and with Bisbenzimide H33258 dye (Sigma-Aldrich; Steinheim; GER). 20 samples were added, together with lambda DNA (SD0011, MBI Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany), to a $0.8 \%$ agarose gel containing TBE buffer to control the measurement result. Afterwards the 1:5 dilutions were adjusted with TE buffer to a
concentration of $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ and transferred to 96 -well plates for storage. Each plate included two positive and two blank controls.

The $25 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ working solution was diluted with TE buffer to achieve the required DNAsolution of $1 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ for genotyping with matrix-assisted laser-desorption / ionization time-offlight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF). For storage, $8 \mu 1$ were transferred to 384 -well plates by using a Tecan robotic pipetting device (Genesis RSP 150 Work Station, Tecan Trading AG, Switzerland). DNA was dried over night, covered with a plastic film and stored.

### 3.2.4. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

### 3.2.4.1 $\quad$ Standard PCR

PCR systems amplified 50 ng of genomic DNA in a Temperature Gradient Thermocycler (Biometra, Göttingen, Germany). The PCR under standard conditions was done in a reaction volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$, containing 0.5 units Quiagen Taq DNA Polymerase (201203; Quiagen, Hilden, Germany), 1x Quiagen PCR buffer, $1.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each nucleotide and $0.125 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for both forward and reverse primer under the following conditions: initial denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three minutes, followed by 30 cycles consisting of 40 seconds at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for denaturation, 50 seconds at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for primer annealing and 90 seconds at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for extension. This was followed by a final extension step for seven minutes.

To control PCR amplification, the product was applied to a $2 \%$ agarose gel, together with a DNA size marker (Genruler 100bp DNA Ladder, SM0241; MBI Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot; Germany).

### 3.2.4.2. $\quad P C R$ optimisation steps

If necessary the standard PCR system was modified by adding additive reagents. The reaction was completed by 1x Quiagen Q-Solution and 5\% DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxid), respectively. Next, a temperature gradient $\left(52.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-67.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ was applied during primer annealing of the standard PCR reaction. For some PCR systems it was necessary to optimise the content of $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ and $2.5 \mathrm{mM}, 3.5 \mathrm{mM}$ or $4.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$ was tested in separate assays. Some PCR systems amplified with HotStarTaq DNA Polymerase (203205; Quiagen, Hilden, Germany) and an initial denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The PCR system supplying the best results was used for further experiments.

### 3.2.5. DNA sequencing

DNA sequencing was performed according to the Sanger method (Sanger et al. 1977) by base specific dye-labelled didesoxynucleotides. The mixture of didesoxynucleotides and unmodified nucleotides generates randomly distributed termination positions over the given template sequence. After denaturation, electrophoresis fractionation and dye detection, the distribution of the termination positions is shown in the newly synthesised DNA. Sequencing was performed on an ABI 377 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

### 3.2.5.1. PCR cleaning

The PCR products were purified of unincorporated nucleotides and reagents before using them as templates during sequencing reaction. $50 \mu 1$ double distilled water was loaded together with the complete PCR product to MultiScreen ${ }_{\text {HTS }}$ PCR $_{96}$ Filter Plates (MANU03050; Millipore S.A., Moisheim, France) and vacuum suction cleaning (14 inch Hg, 5 min.) followed on a Millipore vacuum manifold (MAVM0960R; Millipore S.A., Moisheim, France). After vacuum cleaning, PCR samples were re-suspended in $35 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Tris buffer ( $10 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0$ ) and separated, together with a size standard (Genruler 100bp DNA Ladder, SM0241; MBI Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot; Germany) on a $2.0 \%$ agarose gel.

### 3.2.5.2. Sequencing reaction

Sequencing reaction was performed in a volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$, containing $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each of forward and reverse primer and $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of BigDye ${ }^{\circledR}$ Terminator v1.1 Sequencing Kit (4337449; Perkin Elmer Applied Biosystems Division, Foster City, CA, USA). $10-20 \mathrm{ng}$ of purified PCR product was supplemented for the sequencing reaction applying the following temperature and time profile: initial denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 seconds, followed by 35 cycles consisting of 10 seconds at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for denaturation, 5 seconds at $51^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for primer annealing and 6 minutes at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for extension.

### 3.2.5.3. $\quad$ Sequencing on an ABI377 automated sequencer

Sequencing reaction was purified of unincorporated nucleotides with Sephadex columns. MultiScreen HV Plates (MAHVN4510; Millipore S.A., Moisheim, France) were loaded with 25 mg Sephadex G-50 (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Deisenhofen, Germany) and $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$
double distilled water. After incubation for 2 hours at room temperature, columns were formed by centrifugation at 920 G for 5 min . Sequencing reaction was added to the sephadex columns, purified while centrifuging ( $920 \mathrm{G}, 5 \mathrm{~min}$ ) and afterwards vacuum-dried by centrifugation at 0.3 inch Hg in a vacuum centrifuge (Speed vac plus, SC 110A; Thermo Savant, Holbrook, NY, USA). The purified sequencing product was re-suspended in $2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of ABI loading buffer (formamid coloured with dextran blue, 47670 and 31393; Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland), denaturated at $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes, loaded to a $5 \%$ Polyacrylamid/7M Urea gel and separated by electrophoresis using an ABI Prism® 377 Sequencer (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). DNA Sequencing Analysis Software v3.4.1 (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA) was used to process the obtained data.

### 3.2.5.4 Detection of DNA variants

DNA variants were identified by comparative sequencing of the animal panel for SNP screening (see 3.1 Animal panels for SNP screening and association studies). The obtained sequence was analysed to detect genomic variation using Phred/Phrap/Polyphred/Consed software suite (Ewing and Green 1998; Ewing et al. 1998; Gordon et al. 1998; Nickerson et al. 1997). Each detected SNP was archived in a local database and assigned an in-house identification code (SNP_id). The databases contained information as follows: nucleotide exchange, forward and reverse primer, locus name and the upstream and downstream sequence as obtained from comparative sequencing.

### 3.2.6. Selection of SNPs for association studies

Among all identified DNA variants a subset was selected for genotyping and association studies. To execute a breed-comparative association, the set of selected DNA variants was genotyped across breeds. Due to the small number of animals used during SNP-screening, DNA variants were selected without considering SNP-tagging software. However, functional features, as well as technical features with respect to the genotyping method were considered.

### 3.2.7. Genotyping with iPLEX Assay for Matrix-assisted Laser-Desorption / Ionisation Time-of-Flight Mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF)

The selected DNA variants were genotyped with iPLEX chemistry on a MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometer (Sequenom Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). iPLEX-method requires first a PCR-
amplification of the genomic region of the DNA variant. A treatment with shrimp alakaline phosphatase (SAP) purifies the PCR product from unincorporated nucleotides to avoid that they are built in the extension products and falsify the results. In general, iPLEX-reaction follows the principles of Primer Extension Reaction (see Figure 3.1). The extension primers anneal adjacent to the SNP and the addition of a DNA polymerase along with a mixture of nucleotides and a terminator nucleotide allows extension through the polymorphic site and generates allele specific extension products having different masses depending on the incorporated nucleotide at the SNP.


Figure 3.1 Principle of the iPLEX method (Juling 2007)
After PCR-amplification with tagged primers the unbound nucleotides are dephosphorylated by SAP-digestion. The iPLEX reaction contains chain terminating nucleotides. Extension primers are elongated by one base depending on the SNP-allele. The two extension products are discriminated by mass differences of the incorporated bases in MALDI-TOF MS.

In fact, mass differences between the terminator nucleotides are small, 9-40 Daltons, so iPLEX Assay uses mass modified terminators to increase the mass difference (http://www.sequenom.com). For Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption / Ionisation (MALDI)
iPLEX products are transferred to a matrix, which is a low molecular mass organic acid. After this, samples are exposed under vacuum conditions to laser impulses (Karas and Hillenkamp 1988). The matrix is required for the absorption of the laser energy and sample ionisation. During energy transfer to the matrix fixed sample, ions become free and change to vapour (Hillenkamp et al. 1991). Ions are extracted in an electric field and are separated by their mass charge-ratio before they arrive on the detector. Ions with a higher ratio need more time to run through the duct than ions with low ratio and the time-of-flight (TOF) (SAUER and Gut 2002) is specific for each product. MALDI-TOF mass array system is highly sensitive and is applicable for SNP analysis (Storm et al. 2003).

### 3.2.7.1 $\quad$ Primer design for iPLEX Assay

Every SNP was stored on an in-house database including the upstream and downstream sequence as obtained by comparative sequencing (see 3.2.5.4 Detection of DNA variants). Modification steps were necessary. Upstream and downstream sequence of the selected SNPs, was aligned with repetitive sequence from RepBase Update 20060129 using RepeatMasker v.3.1.3. Identified repetitive sequence was replaced by $N s$ '. Polymorphic sites in the up- or downstream sequence from the SNP of interest were replaced by $N$ to inhibit primer design in polymorphic region. Both steps were carried out with a python script (v.2.4.1).

Primer design and assay design were carried out applying MassARRAY® Typer 3.4 Software (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA). Two separate primer assays were made for each SNP: primers for the initial amplification and primers for iPLEX reaction. For a uniform amplification, the initial PCR product for each SNP should contain a comparable number of nucleotides (100 bp) (http://www.sequenom.com) for each SNP. The primers for the initial amplification contain, next to the sequence specific part, an additional sequence motif (Tagmotif) of 10 bp to increase the primer mass (see Figure 3.1) in order that the primer mass does not correspond to the mass of any extinction product later. Specific mass differences among the extinction primers of all SNPs and iPLEX chemistry allowed an amplification of more than one single base extension product in one assay (multi-plex). MassARRAY® Typer 3.4 Software (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) was used for the assay design and plexing of extension products.

### 3.2.7.2. PCR for iPLEX Assay

8 ng of genomic DNA was amplified in the initial PCR reaction. PCR was done in a reaction volume of $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$, containing per $\mu \mathrm{l}$ master mix 0.1 U of Quiagen HotStarTaq DNA Polymerase (203205; Quiagen, Hilden, Germany), $1.25 x$ Quiagen PCR buffer, $3.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ of each nucleotide and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ each for the forward and reverse primer under the following conditions: initial denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, followed by 44 cycles consisting of 20 seconds at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for denaturation, 30 seconds at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for primer annealing and 60 seconds at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for extension. This was followed by a final extension step at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes.
The master mix was added to the cured genomic DNA by using a Tecan robotic pipetting device (Genesis RSP 150 Work Station, Tecan Trading AG, Switzerland). The amplification products of 24 samples, including negative controls, of each 382 -well plate were applied to a $3 \%$ agarose gel, together with a DNA size marker (Genruler 100bp DNA Ladder, SM0241; MBI Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot; Germany).

### 3.2.7.3. Treatment with shrimp alakaline phosphatase (SAP)

Unincorporated dNTPs can increase contaminant peaks during data analysis; therefore it is necessary to dephosphorylate the PCR product of all unincorporated nucleotides by a treatment with shrimp alkaline phosphatase (SAP). The SAP treatment is divided into two steps, the SAP digestion and the SAP-enzyme deactivation. SAP assay had a volume of $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$, added, with the aid of a Tecan robotic pipetting device (Genesis RSP 150 Work Station, Tecan Trading AG, Switzerland), to the PCR volume of $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$. SAP assay contained per $\mu 10.3$ U of SAP - Enzyme (\#10002, Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) and 0.85x MassEXTEND ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Buffer (\#10055, Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) and was performed under the following conditions: SAP-digestion at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes, followed by 5 minutes at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for enzyme deactivation.

### 3.2.7.4 iPLEX reaction

iPLEX reaction was carried out in a volume of $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$, added to the $7 \mu \mathrm{l}$ assay after SAP treatment after preparing the extension primer mix. Extension primer dependent concentration adjustments for primers joined in one assay are crucial. MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry is characterised by the inverse relationship between analyte signal-to-noise ratio and increased mass of the analyte. Therefore larger extension products would result in smaller signal-to-
noise ratios without concentration adjustments (http://www.sequenom.com). To compensate this effect, it is suggested that all oligos of one multiplex assay are divided into four almost equal parts, depending on the mass of the extension products. The quarter of oligos characterised by the largest mass portion among all oligos in one multiplex-assay is recommended for loading 2 x the concentration of the quarter characterised by the lowest mass proportion. Similarly, the third and second quarter of oligos, respectively are recommended for loading $1,51 \mathrm{x}$ and $1,24 \mathrm{x}$. Thus the final concentration ratios in the total assay for extension reaction for each quarter were adjusted as follows: $0.625 \mu \mathrm{M}: 0.780 \mu \mathrm{M}$ : $0.96 \mu \mathrm{M}: 1,25 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The assay for iPLEX reaction contained: 0.222 x pPLEX buffer (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA), 1X iPLEX extension mix (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) and $0.03 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ iPLEX enzyme (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA). Primer extension reaction was amplified using the temperature time profile shown in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2 Time and temperature profile for iPLEX reaction

| iPLEX step | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Period | $\begin{aligned} & \text { go } 4 \text {-times to step } 3 \\ & \text { go } 39 \text {-times to step } 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Initial denaturation | 94 | 30 sec |  |
| 2. Denaturation | 94 | 5 sec |  |
| 3. Oligo annealing | 52 | 5 sec |  |
| 4. Strand extension | 80 | 5 sec |  |
| 5. Final extension | 72 | 3 min |  |
| 6. Sample storing | 4 | $\infty$ |  |

### 3.2.7.5. Desalting the iPLEX reaction

Desalting of the iPLEX reaction products is necessary to optimize mass spectrometric analysis. If samples would not have been desalted properly, sodium ( 22 Da ) and potassium (38 Da) adducts could complicate the heterozygote allele discrimination for A/C (24 Da) and C/G (40 Da) polymorphisms. 6 mg of MassEXTEND Clean Resin kit (\#10053, Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) was dispensed on 6 mg dimple microplates (\#11235, Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) and, after incubation at room temperature for 30 minutes, was added to the samples. $16 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of nanopure water was added and samples were gently mixed on a rotator for 10 minutes.

### 3.2.7.6. $\quad$ Chip dispensing and MALDI-TOF Analysis

The desalted samples were spotted to SpectroCHIPs (\#00601, Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA), which contain the matrix for laser absorption. Using a nanoplotter (SpectroJET, Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA), 15 nl of each sample was dispensed on the SpectroCHIP ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$
by piezoelectric pipetting. The SpectroCHIP is a silicon chip that incorporates a high-density photo resistant array of mass spectrometry analysis sites. Samples for calibrating the system are required on each chip: 3-Oligo Calibrant Mix (\#00335, Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) contains a mixture of oligonucleotides of known molar mass. After charging the chip with samples and calibrant mixture, the chip is delivered to a carrier and introduced into the vacuum sluice of MassARRAY mass spectrometer.

### 3.2.8. Data processing

### 3.2.8.1 Phenotypic data

Phenotypic data of BV and FV were supplied by the Bayerischen Landesanstalt für Landwirtschaft, Institute of Animal Breeding (http://www.lfl.bayern.de). The Vereinigte Informationssysteme Tierhaltung w.V. (http://www.vit.de) supplied HF-data. Daughter Yield Deviations (DYD) (Mrode and Swanson 2004) (Liu et al. 2004) served as phenotypic data. Apart from DYD, information about the number of daughters per sire, separately for each lactation, and genetic parameters, namely the heritability and phenotypic variance of a trait for all breeds and each lactation (see Table 3.3) were obtained and stored on an in-house database system. DYD for the following milk production traits were received separately for each of the first three lactations: milk yield (MY1, MY2, MY3), fat yield (FY1, FY2, FY3) and protein yield (PY1, PY2, PY3). DYD are average phenotypes of daughters corrected for fixed effects, herd, season and calving interval and corrected for the daughters' dams' genetic contributions.

Table 3.3 Genetic parameters for the weighted least squares analysis
Traits represent first, second and third lactation for milk yield (MY1, MY2, MY3), fat yield (FY1, FY2, FY3), protein yield (PY1, PY2, PY3), fat content (FC1, FC2, FC3) and protein content (PC1, PC2, PC3)

| Breed | Genetic <br> parameter | MY1 | MY2 | MY3 | FY1 | FY2 | FY3 | PY1 | PY2 | PY3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BV | $h^{2}$ | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.29 |
|  | $\sigma_{p}{ }^{2}$ | 585928 | 921114 | 1034988 | 921 | 1528 | 1690 | 644 | 1053 | 1139 |
| FV | $h^{2}$ | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
|  | $\sigma_{p}{ }^{2}$ | 680549 | 1010029 | 1104146 | 1065 | 1747 | 1924 | 701 | 1124 | 770 |
| HF | $h^{2}$ | 0.53 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.51 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
|  | $\sigma_{p}{ }^{2}$ | 661091 | 918266 | 1037747 | 1010 | 1503 | 1803 | 578 | 771 | 882 |

The minimum number of daughters per lactation for DYD estimation was set at 10. Table 3.4 shows means and standard deviations for the DYD of each breed. For milk content traits, fat content (FC1, FC2, FC3) and protein content (PC1, PC2, PC3), no direct DYD estimates could be obtained due to the indirect estimation in random regression test day models.

Consequently, the DYD for milk content traits were calculated similarly to the procedure applied during estimations of breeding values (VIT 2004) (BLT 2007). The formula includes estimated DYD for milk, fat or protein yield as well as the population means for milk yield and respective fat or protein yield trait. Population means for yield traits were obtained from breeding evaluation centres.

Exemplary demonstration for protein content:

$$
D Y D_{\text {proteieincontent }}=\frac{D Y D_{\text {fatyjield }} * 100-\left(D Y D_{\text {millyield }} * P M_{\text {proteincontent }}\right)}{D Y D_{\text {milkyjeld }}+P M_{\text {milkyield }}}
$$

Linear regression models were carried out weighting each observation. The weight was proportional to one over the residual variance as shown in the following chapter 3.2.9.4 Linear regression models. For HF, the estimates from marker assisted estimation of breeding values were delivered by VIT and used for the derivation of DGAT1 genotype (Winter et al. 2002).

Table 3.4 Phenotypic data
Total number of sons ( n ), mean and standard deviation (sd) of daughter yield deviations (DYD) of sons for milk production traits representing first, second and third lactation for milk yield (MY1, MY2, MY3), fat yield (FY1, FY2, FY3), protein yield (PY1, PY2, PY3), fat content (FC1, FC2, FC3) and protein content (PC1, PC2, PC3) for BV, FV and HF. DYD for content traits were calculated as described.

| Trait | BV |  | FV |  | HF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | Mean ( $\pm$ sd) | n | Mean ( $\pm$ sd) | n | Mean ( $\pm$ sd) |
| MY1 | 409 | 116 ( $\pm 194)$ | 896 | 166 ( $\pm 256$ ) | 1304 | 441 ( $\pm 535$ ) |
| MY2 | 363 | 141 ( $\pm 251$ ) | 779 | 187 ( $\pm 286)$ | 1304 | 518 ( $\pm 591)$ |
| MY3 | 269 | $121( \pm 252)$ | 446 | $162( \pm 305)$ | 1209 | 547 ( $\pm 615)$ |
| FY1 | 402 | 5.64 ( $\pm 7.38)$ | 917 | 5.84 ( $\pm 9.08)$ | 1304 | 11.94 ( $\pm$ 19.06) |
| FY2 | 363 | 6.55 ( $\pm 9.79)$ | 779 | 6.16 ( $\pm 10.93)$ | 1304 | 14.75 ( $\pm 22.84)$ |
| FY3 | 269 | 5.79 ( $\pm 9.18)$ | 446 | 8.21 ( $\pm 11.54)$ | 1209 | 16.85 ( $\pm 24.79)$ |
| PY1 | 402 | 4.17 ( $\pm 5.53)$ | 912 | 5.05 ( $\pm 7.19)$ | 1304 | 13.17 ( $\pm 14.25)$ |
| PY2 | 363 | $5.24( \pm 7.52)$ | 779 | 5.45 ( $\pm 8.71)$ | 1304 | 15.66 ( $\pm 16.80)$ |
| PY3 | 269 | 4.25 ( $\pm 7.54)$ | 446 | 4.89 ( $\pm 8.69)$ | 1209 | 15.34 ( $\pm 17.90)$ |
| FC1 | 402 | $0.016( \pm 0,104)$ | 896 | $-0.002( \pm 0.136)$ | 1304 | $-0.098( \pm 0.290)$ |
| FC2 | 363 | $0.011( \pm 0.110)$ | 779 | -0.021 ( $\pm 0.140)$ | 1304 | -0.096 ( $\pm 0.302)$ |
| FC3 | 269 | $0.010( \pm 0.105)$ | 446 | -0.024 ( $\pm 0.153)$ | 1209 | $-0.062( \pm 0.309)$ |
| PC1 | 402 | $0.004( \pm 0.064)$ | 896 | $-0.015( \pm 0,076)$ | 1304 | $-0.024( \pm 0.115)$ |
| PC2 | 363 | $0.004( \pm 0.071)$ | 779 | $-0.015( \pm 0,077)$ | 1304 | $-0.027( \pm 0.121)$ |
| PC3 | 269 | $0.001( \pm 0.073)$ | 446 | $-0.008( \pm 0.084)$ | 1209 | $-0.038( \pm 0.125)$ |

### 3.2.8.2 $\quad$ Genotypic data

Genotypes from MALDI-TOF MS were transferred to an in-house database.

### 3.2.9. Statistical analyses

### 3.2.9.1. Testing for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium

Within each breed, genotypes were observed for deviations from the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (Falconer and Mackay 1996). Tests were carried out using Fisher-Exact test as implemented in R (www.r-project.org). Results were adjusted for multiple testing by Bonferroni correction.

### 3.2.9.2. Analysing Linkage Disequilibrium between candidate genes

$D^{\prime}$ and $r^{2}$ were used to analyse Linkage Disequilibrium (LD) (Falconer and Mackay 1996). Haploview program v. 4.0 was used for the visualization of linkage disequilibrium (LD) (Barrett et al. 2005).

For a distance dependent estimation of $\operatorname{LD}, D^{\prime}$ was fitted in the Malecot model (Malécot 1948):

$$
D_{i}^{\prime}=(1-L) M \exp \left(-\kappa d_{i}\right)+L+\varepsilon_{i}
$$

$D_{i}^{\prime}$ is the observed LD for the $i$ th pair of loci distance $d_{i}$ apart, $L(0 \leq \mathrm{L} \leq 1)$ is the residual association at large distances, $M(0 \leq \mathrm{M} \leq 1)$ is the proportion of alleles transmitted from founders, $\kappa(>0)$ is the exponential decay rate of $D^{\prime}$ with physical distance $d$, and $\varepsilon_{i}$ is the random error deviation for the $i$ th pair of loci. The marker distances were calculated based on the assembly of the bovine genomic sequence Btau 3.1, which is a draft version of the bovine genomic sequence. Therefore genomic positions of markers have approximate character.

The Malecot model (Malécot 1948) was modified, henceforth termed modified Malecot model, in order to ascertain, taking into account the physical distance, whether LD for marker pairs located in the same gene is higher than for marker pairs of different genes:

$$
D_{i}^{\prime}=(1-L) M \exp \left(-\kappa_{1} d_{i}+\left(-k_{2} d_{i}\right) * z_{i}\right)+L+\varepsilon_{i}
$$

where $D_{i}^{\prime}$ is the observed LD for the $i$ th pair of syntenic loci distance $d_{i}$ apart, $L(0 \leq \mathrm{L} \leq 1)$ is the residual association at large distances, $M(0 \leq \mathrm{M} \leq 1)$ is the proportion of alleles
transmitted from founders, $\kappa_{l}(>0)$ is the exponential decay rate of $D^{\prime}$ with physical distance $d$ for markers within a gene, $\kappa_{2}(>0)$ is the exponential decay rate of $D^{\prime}$ with physical distance $d$ for markers of different genes, $z_{i}$ is an indicator variable that takes the value 0 if both markers are in the same gene and 1 if both markers are in a different gene and $\varepsilon_{i}$ is the random error deviation for the $i$ th pair of loci. Both Malecot models were fitted in a non-linear regression model using the nls function as obtained by $R$-\{stats\} package (www.r-project.org).

### 3.2.9.3 Haplotype construction

Haplotypes were constructed separately for breeds applying two software packages. SimWalk2 v.2.91 (Sobel and Lange 1996) constructs the haplotypes with a Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm including parental genotypes. Parental genotypes were not available, but grandsires' genotypes were inferred by SimWALK2 for grandsires with $\geq 12$ genotyped sons. fastPHASE does haplotype construction based on linkage disequilibrium and allele frequencies (Scheet and Stephens 2006) and was applied for animals in parental half sib groups counting < 12 bulls.

### 3.2.9.4 Linear regression models

3.2.9.4.1. Linear regression models in single marker analysis (SMA)
$R$ environment (www.r-project.org) was used for data analyses. Models for single marker analyses (SMA) were applied as linear regression models on the number of alleles at each marker on DYD of the trait under consideration. Models included the sire as fixed effect. Paternal half-sib families counting less than 2 members were pooled. In case of HF, models included the DGAT1-genotype since DGAT1-variation is known to explain phenotypic variation in milk production traits of HF (Winter et al. 2002). Linear regression models fitting DYD derived from breeding value estimation do not allow estimation of dominance effects, and regression coefficients represent half of the gene substitution effect ( $\alpha / 2$ ) (Falconer and MaCKAY 1996). Allele substitution effects were estimated with the following model:

$$
y_{i j k}=\mu+b * S N P+g s_{i}+D G A T 1_{j}+e_{i j k}
$$

where $y_{i j k}$ is the DYD of son $k$ within sire $i$, DGAT1 genotype $j . \mu$ is the overall mean, $g s_{i}$ is the fixed effect of sire $i$. SNP is the copy-number of allele $1(0,1$, or 2$)$ at each SNP and $b$ is
the regression coefficient representing half of the gene substitution effect ( $\alpha / 2$ ) (FALCONER and MACKAY 1996). $D G A T 1_{j}$ is the fixed effect of the DGAT1-genotype and $e_{i j k}$ is the random residual effect. In SMA each yield trait was weighted proportional to one over $\operatorname{var}\left(e_{i j k}\right)$ :

$$
\operatorname{var}\left(e_{i j k}\right)=\left[\frac{1}{4} h^{2}+\frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{4} h^{2}\right)}{n_{i j}}\right] \sigma_{p}^{2}
$$

where $h^{2}$ is the heritability, $\sigma_{p}^{2}$ the phenotypic variance of the trait under consideration and $n_{i j}$ is the number of daughters of sire $j$ within sire $i$ included for the calculation of the DYD. Due to the indirect estimation of milk content traits in random regression test day models, the calculation of $\operatorname{var}\left(e_{i j k}\right)$ was not possible and SMA was performed without weighting the phenotypic value.
Multiple testing was accounted for by estimation of the false discovery rate (FDR) for each trait $x$ SNP combination (Storey and Tibshirani 2003). The false discovery rate is estimated as follows:

$$
F \hat{D} R(t)=\frac{\hat{\pi}_{0} m * t}{S(t)}
$$

where $m$ represents the number of tests and $t$ the threshold calling features significant with $0<$ $\mathrm{t} \leq 1 . S(t)$ is the number of features with $p$-values below $t . \hat{\pi}_{0}$ is the estimated proportion of null hypotheses and quantified as follows:

$$
\hat{\pi}_{0}(\lambda)=\frac{\#\left\{p_{i}>\lambda ; i=1, \ldots, m\right\}}{m(1-\lambda)}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the parameter derived from the $p$-value distribution from $m$ tests.

### 3.2.9.4.2. Linear regression models in haplotype analysis (HA)

Constructed haplotypes were analysed, fitting the number of haplotypes of each animal in linear regression models. Models included the grandsire as a fixed effect with half-sib groups counting less than 2 members being pooled. Models for HF also included the DGAT1genotype as a fixed effect. Each haplotype was fitted separately, regressing the trait value on the number of haplotypes while pooling the remaining haplotypes. Substitution effects for a certain haplotype were estimated while pooling all other haplotypes applying the following model:

$$
y_{i j k}=\mu+b * H A T+g s_{i}+D G A T 1_{j}+e_{i j k}
$$

where $y_{i j k}$ is the DYD of son $k$ within sire $i$, DGAT1 genotype $j . \mu$ is the overall mean, $g s_{i}$ is the fixed effect of sire $i$ and $H A T$ is the copy-number ( 0,1 , or 2 ) of each haplotype-allele (HAT), $b$ is the regression coefficient representing half of the gene substitution effect ( $\alpha / 2$ ) (FalCONER and Mackay 1996), DGAT1 $_{j}$ is the fixed effect of the DGAT1-genotype and $e_{i j k}$ is the random residual effect. Each yield trait was weighted proportional to one over $\operatorname{var}\left(e_{i j k}\right)$ in HA, as shown in SMA (see 3.2.9.4.1 Linear regression models in single marker analysis (SMA)).

False Discovery Rate (FDR) (Storey and Tibshirani 2003) for each trait $x$ haplotype combination was applied to account for multiple testing, as shown in 3.2.9.4.1 Single marker analysis (SMA).

Each significantly associated haplotype was inspected for SNPs that discriminate the significantly associated haplotype from all others. Discriminating SNPs capture information of significantly associated haplotypes, so that it is sufficient to genotype the discriminating SNP only. In cases where no SNP allowing the discrimination of the significantly associated haplotype from all others was identified, haplotypes were grouped according to the effect direction in the trait of interest. Subsequently haplotype groups were screened for discriminating SNPs.

### 3.2.9.4.3. Linear regression models in multi marker analysis (MMA)

Multi marker analysis (MMA) was carried out applying linear regression models fitting the number of alleles of several markers. Models included the sire as a fixed effect, while paternal half sib groups counting less than 2 animals were grouped. The DGAT1 genotype was fitted as fixed effect in models with data from HF:

$$
y_{i j k}=\mu+b_{1} * S N P 1+\ldots+b_{n} * S N P n+g s_{i}+D G A T 1_{j}+e_{i j k}
$$

where $y_{i j k}$ is the DYD of son $k$ within sire $i, D G A T 1$ genotype $j . \mu$ is the overall mean, $g s_{i}$ is the fixed effect of sire $i, S N P 1$ the copy-number of allele $1(0,1$ or 2$)$ at SNP $1, S N P n$ the copy-number of allele $1(0,1$ or 2$)$ at SNP $n$. $b_{l}$ is the regression coefficient representing half of the gene substitution effect $(\alpha / 2)$ of SNP $1, b_{n}$ is the regression coefficient representing half of the gene substitution effect ( $\alpha / 2$ ) of SNP n (FALCONER and MACKAY 1996), $D G A T 1_{j}$ is the fixed effect of the DGAT1-genotype and $e_{i j k}$ is the random residual effect. Each yield trait was weighted proportional to one over $\operatorname{var}\left(e_{i j k}\right)$ (see 3.2.9.4.1 Linear regression models in single marker analysis (SMA)).

MMA was carried out fitting markers of one gene and fitting markers of several genes to detect correlated effects due to linkage disequilibrium among significantly associated markers in SMA and HA. The initial multi marker model includes markers of one gene that are significantly associated in SMA and that are identified as discriminating significantly associated haplotypes. To detect correlated effects among the fitted markers, the initial multi marker model is stepwise reduced following the model optimization criteria of AIC-criterion (Akaike 1974). Following these steps separately for gene $x$ trait combinations with more than one significantly associated marker after SMA and HA, the initial multi marker model resulted in a model, fitting a set of markers, explaining the data in the best possible way. After the initial multi marker model was optimized, model optimization according to AIC-criteria was repeated including two-way marker interactions. Models were compared to the models without interaction and interaction terms were dropped if $p$ values for the F-tests were $\geq 0.05$. The final marker set was created after completing MMA separately for all breeds including markers that were significantly associated in SMA, that discriminated significantly associated haplotypes and that were identified in MMA to be associated with marker specific effects. Haplotypes were constructed with these markers for each breed as described in 3.2.9.3 Haplotype construction.

### 3.2.9.4.4 Haplotype analysis with final marker set

Haplotypes constructed with markers of the final marker set were used to calculate the proportion of explained additive genetic variance. This is a quotient of the additive genetic variance explained by the haplotypes, divided by the total additive genetic variance. The total additive genetic variance was calculated for yield traits using heritability and phenotypic variance as obtained from breeding evaluation centres (see 3.2.8.1 Phenotypic data).
Multiple alleles occur in all breeds and were considered during estimation of the substitution effects. The additive genetic variance of loci with multiple alleles under non-random mating is calculated as (Lynch and WaLSH 1998):

$$
\sigma_{A}^{2}=2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \alpha_{i}^{2}
$$

where $p$ is the frequency of haplotype $i, \alpha$ is the allele substitution effect of haplotype $i$, summarized from haplotype 1 to haplotype n with an estimation of $\alpha$ according to:

$$
\alpha_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} G_{i j}-\mu_{G}
$$

where $p_{j}$ is the frequency of the haplotype allele $j, G$ is the genotypic value of diplotype $i j$ of haplotype $j$ and $\mu_{G}$ is the population mean of the trait of interest (LYNCH and Walsh 1998). Least square means estimation of $G_{i j}$ considered the grandsire, in HF also the DGAT1genotype, as fixed effects. $\mu_{\mathrm{G}}$ was calculated using $G_{i j}$ and $p_{j}$.

## 4. Results

### 4.1. Selection of candidate genes

Six candidate genes were selected on BTA6 for the association studies. Four genes were selected by literature review: ABCG2 (Cohen-Zinder et al. 2005), OPN (Schnabel et al. 2005), PPARGC1A (Weikard et al. 2005) and CSNIS1 (Prinzenberg et al. 2003). The identification of additional candidate genes was realised with geneScore, an in-house tool for candidate gene identification. In total, 14 genes were identified on HSA4 to have 'glucose', the keyword that was used in geneScore search, in their gene ontology description. Two out of these 14 genes, PGM2 and SLC2A9, were selected based on their chromosomal position. PGM2 is located within the region of a previously identified QTL (Kuhn et al. 1999). SLC2A9 is located at a distance of approximately 18 Mb in distal orientation of the casein gene cluster. However a QTL has not been mapped to this part of BTA6 so far, SLC2A9 is selected as a functional candidate gene for milk synthesis. Table 4.1 lists the selected candidate genes.

Table 4.1 Selected candidate genes

| Gene | Gene name | Accession number ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Genomic <br> localisation ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| ABCG2 | ATP-binding cassette subfamily G member 2 | NM_004827 | 4 q 22 |
| CSN1S1 | Casein alpha-S1 | NM_001890 | 4 q 21.1 |
| OPN | Osteopontin | NM_000582 | $4 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{q} 25$ |
| PGM2 | Phosphoglucomutase-2 | NM_018290 | 4 p 14 |
| PPARGC1A | Peroxisome proliferate activated receptor alpha | NM_013261 | 4 p 15.1 |
| SLC2A9 | Solute carrier family 2 member 9 | NM_001001290 | 4p16-p15.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Human mRNA reference sequence number (Acc. from NCBI)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Genomic localisation in humans

### 4.2. In-silico gene structure derivation

### 4.2.1. $\quad$ Positioning of candidate genes in bovine draft sequences

Complete bovine annotations of the selected genes were not available. Therefore, local BLAST searches used the reference sequences, as downloaded from the National Center of Biotechnology Information (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) to localise the candidate genes in the bovine genome. The first BLAST search was run against Btau 2.0. Results are shown in Table 4.2. All exons of PPARGC1A and CSN1S1 were identified in the bovine sequence, applying a significance level of 0.10 for the sequence alignment. In the case of $A B C G 2, O P N$,

PGM2 and SLC2A9, respectively, one exon remained unidentified. Human SLC2A9 exon counts 102 bp . Scaffold 6.200 includes only 70 bp from the 5' part of SLC2A9 exon 10 due to unknown sequence. However, the gap could be closed by comparative sequencing during SNP-screening: Acc. EU295941 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

Table 4.2 BLAST result against Btau 2.0
For each BLAST template, the scaffold number in Btau 2.0, the number of exons of the gene in humans and the corresponding bovine exons as identified by BLAST is displayed.

| Gene | BLAST template ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number of exons (HSA) | Scaffold | Identified exons in Btau 2.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABCG2 | NM_004827 | 16 | ChrUn. 7178 | 2 to 8, 10 to 13 |
|  |  |  | Chr6.46 | 14 to 16 |
|  |  |  | ChrUn. 82793 | 9 |
| OPN | NM_000582 | 6 | Chr6.46 | 1, 2, 4 to 6 |
| PPARGC1A | NM_013261 | 13 | Chr6.67 | 1 to 12 |
|  |  |  | ChrUn. 84773 | 13 |
| PGM2 | NM_018290 | 14 | Chr6.82 | 1 to 4, 6 to 14 |
| CSN1S1 | NM_181029 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 19 | ChrUn. 7178 | 1 to 19 |
| SLC2A9 | NM_001001290 | 13 | ChrUn. 2195 | 12,13 |
|  |  |  | Chr6. 200 | 2 to 6,9 to $11^{\text {c }}$ |
|  |  |  | Chr6.174 | 7,8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Human mRNA reference sequence number (Acc. from NCBI)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The bovine reference sequence was taken for the positioning in Btau 2.0 (Acc. from NCBI).
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Chr6. 200 harbours Exon 10 only partially, Btau 2.0 updated with sequence from comparative sequencing during SNP-screening, EU295941 (Acc. from NCBI).

Gene positioning was repeated when Btau 3.1 became available. Due to a linear chromosomal anchorage of the scaffolds in Btau 3.1, the BLAST results provide information about the chromosomal position and BLAST searches map the gene in-silico. All exons of PPARGC1A, PGM2 and CSN1S1 were identified at a significance level of 0.10 for the sequence alignment (see Table 4.3.) The first exon of $A B C G 2$, the third exon of $O P N$ and exon 1 , exon 9 and exon 10 of $S L C 2 A 9$ remained undetected. The missing exons of $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$, respectively, could be identified by manual annotation in Btau 3.1. SLC2A9 exon 11 and exon 12 were identified in chromosomally unanchored scaffold sequence in Btau 3.1, but exon 2 to exon 8 anchor in scaffold sequence allocated to BTA6. Surprisingly, the BLAST output for exon 2 to exon 8 showed inconsistent sequence orientation in Btau 3.1. The second, third and fourth exon were identified in $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ orientation, exon 5 to exon 8 in reverse complement orientation. SLC2A9 exon 9 and exon 10 have not been identified in Btau 3.1.

BLAST searches identified $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$ in the chromosomal region at 33 Mb . PPARGC1A is positioned in Btau 3.1 on BTA6 at 41 Mb, CSN1S1 at 79 Mb and SLC2A9 at 97 Mb . As it was detected during the chromosomal positioning of SLC2A9, the corresponding BTA6-region in Btau 3.1 is inaccurate. Btau 3.1 was only taken for the rough localisation of

SLC2A9 on BTA6 while Btau 2.0 sequence was taken for the genomic annotation. A position on the minus strand is assumed, and the $5^{\prime}$ splice donor of exon 3 is assumed at 97157422 bp on BTA6 for further analyses.

Table 4.3 BLAST result against Btau 3.1
For each BLAST template, the number of exons of the gene in humans and the corresponding location in Btau 3.1 and strand is displayed.

| Gene | BLAST template ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number of exons (HSA) | Identified exons in Btau 3.1 | Localisation in Btau 3.1 (bp) | Strand in Btau 3.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABCG2 | NM_004827 | 16 | 2 to 16 | BTA6 (33575490 to 33620247 ) | + |
| OPN | NM_000582 | 7 | 1, 2, 4 to 7 | BTA6 (33710591 to 33717339 ) | - |
| PPARGC1A | NM_013261 | 13 | 1 to 13 | BTA6 (41139556 to 41242941) | - |
| PGM2 | NM_018290 | 14 | 1 to 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chr.Un.003.335 } \\ & \text { (77487 to 113728) } \end{aligned}$ | - |
| CSN1S1 | NM_181029 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 19 | 1 to 19 | BTA6 (79752087 to 79769150 ) | ${ }^{-}$ |
| SLC2A9 | NM_001001290 | 13 | 2 to 8 11 to 12 | BTA6 <br> (97178174 to 102566754) <br> Chr.Un003.893 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ <br> (2708 to 28864) | $+/-$ + |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Human mRNA reference sequence number (Acc. from NCBI)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The bovine reference sequence was taken for the positioning in Btau 2.0 (Acc. from NCBI).
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Chromosomal unanchored scaffold
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Orientation of coding sequence is inconsistent in Btau 3.1

The coding sequence of PGM2 was identified in Btau 3.1 on a chromosomally unanchored scaffold, Chr.Un.003.335. In contrast, the annotation with Btau 2.0 identified PGM2 on BTA6. Since it is unlikely that the chromosomal position of a single gene differs between Btau 2.0 and Btau 3.1, five PGM2-neighboured genes in the human genome assembly were taken for additional BLAST searches against Btau 3.1. Two adjacent genes were localized in the human genome proximally of PGM2 and three distally (see Table 4.4.).

BLAST searches anchored PCDH7, CENTD1, KLF3 and KLHL5 in Btau 3.1 to BTA6 (see Table 4.4). PGM2 and its closest neighbour in the human genome assembly, PTTG2 were identified on chromosomally unanchored sequence in Btau 3.1. PCDH7 and CENTD1, the two genes located proximally to $P G M 2$ in the human genome assembly were identified in Btau 3.1 on BTA6 at 47 Mb and 51 Mb , respectively. KLF3 and KLHL5, localized distally to PGM2 in the human genomic sequence, were mapped by BLAST in Btau 3.1 on BTA6 to 53 Mb and 54 Mb , respectively. Hence, comparing the BLAST result of PCDH7 and CENTD1 with the result of KLF3 and KLHL5 indicates a gap of unknown sequence from approximately 51.7 Mb to 53.8 Mb on BTA6 in Btau 3.1.

Table 4.4 BLAST result against Btau 3.1 for genes adjacent of PGM2 in humans
For each BLAST template, the chromosomal position and strand in humans, the corresponding location in Btau 3.1 and strand is displayed

| Gene | BLAST template ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Location on HSA4 in bp (strand) | Localisation on BTA6 (Btau 3.1) in bp (strand) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCDH7 | NM_032457.1 | $\begin{gathered} 30278000 \text { to } 30807000 \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | $46617089 \text { to } 47101758$ <br> (-) |
| CENTD1 | NM_015230.2 | 35722000 to 35945000 <br> (-) | $\begin{aligned} & 51261150 \text { to } 51730680 \\ & (+) \end{aligned}$ |
| PGM2 | NM_013261 | $\begin{aligned} & 37544200 \text { to } 37500200 \\ & (+) \end{aligned}$ | Chr.Un.003.335 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| PTTG2 | NM_006607.1 | $\begin{gathered} 37638379 \text { to } 37639098 \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | Chr.Un.003.101 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> Chr.Un.003.127 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| KLF3 | NM_016531.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 38337900 \text { to } 38381100 \\ & (+) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53831438 \text { to } 53841603 \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ |
| KLHL5 | NM_001007075.1 | $\begin{gathered} 38713000 \text { to } 38810000 \\ (+) \end{gathered}$ | 54195293 to 54264293 <br> (+) |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Human mRNA reference sequence number (Acc. from NCBI)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Chromosomal unanchored scaffold, unknown orientation in the bovine genomic sequence

However, a high conservation level of gene order between human and cattle (Solinas-Toldo et al. 1995; Weikard et al. 2007) supports a chromosomal localisation of PGM2 in the bovine genomic sequence on BTA6 at approximately 52 Mb . The annotation in the reverse complement sequence of Chr.Un.003.335 was taken for further analyses, while the first nucleotide of the start codon was set to a position of 52180182 on BTA6. In fact, the genomic orientation of PGM2 relative to the centromer could not be resolved by these methods. The neighbouring genes of PGM2 do not show similar orientation between the strand in humans and cattle (see Table 4.4), but the $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ orientation is assumed in cattle, since PGM2 is located on the plus strand in the human genomic sequence.

### 4.2.2. Annotation of candidate genes

The annotation of the candidate genes was done semi-automatically using GenomeThreader (Gremme et al. 2005) after results from manual positioning by BLAST were available. The GenomeThreader output file was converted to an xml-file before it was visualised by the Apollo Annotation and Curation Tool v.6.1 (Lewis et al. 2002). The subset of bovine sequence identified previously by BLAST was taken as query sequence for the GenomeThreader alignment (see 4.2.1 Positioning of candidate genes in bovine draft sequences). Since $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$ are positioned closely in the bovine genome assembly, both genes were annotated in one GenomeThreader run using the chromosomal region of BTA6 from 33.50 Mb to 33.63 Mb (see Table 4.5). For the annotation of PPARGC1A, the BTA6-region from 40.8 Mb to 41.4 Mb was used. PGM2 was identified on a chromosomally
unanchored scaffold, Un.003.335, whereof the reverse complement sequence between 60.0 kb and 130.0 kb was run in GenomeThreader. CSNISI was annotated with GenomeThreader together in one run with the other four genes of the casein cluster and within the BTA6-region from 79.4 Mb to 79.9 Mb . As shown in 4.2.1 Positioning of candidate genes with bovine draft sequences, in-silico identification of SLC2A9 by BLAST resulted in a consistent annotation only with Btau 2.0 sequence. Hence, Btau 2.0 sequence was taken for the GenomeThreader annotation of SLC2A9. As shown in Table 4.2, exon 2 to exon 6, and exon 9 to exon 11 were identified in Btau 2.0 on scaffold 6.200 , but exon 7 and exon 8 were encompassed by scaffold 6.174. Hence, scaffold 6.200 was cut into two parts, to insert the sequence of scaffold 6.174. Additionally, exon 10 was included incompletely in scaffold 6.200 due to missing sequence within this region. By comparative sequencing of this region in cattle, the unknown sequence could be replaced and the scaffold sequence was updated manually within this region. To complete the annotation of SLC2A9 with GenomeThreader, Chr.Un. 2195 was attached to the rearranged sequence of scaffold 6.200 and 6.147. The GenomeThreader annotations resulted, apart from SLC2A9, in complete gene annotations for the candidate genes. Compared to the manual annotations by BLAST, where the first exon of $A B C G 2$ and exon 3 of $O P N$ did not result in a BLAST hit, the GenomeThreader annotation revealed complete gene annotations. In contrast, the detection of the first exon of SLC2A9 also failed when using GenomeThreader. For details of the gene annotations, see chapter below (4.3 Characterisation of candidate genes).

Table 4.5 Results from semi-automated gene annotation with GenomeThreader (Gremme et al. 2005)

| Gene | Number of exons in (HSA) | Localisation in Btau 3.1 and sequence (Mb) selected for annotation |  | Identified exons by GenomeThreader |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABCG2 | 16 | BTA6 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (33.50 to 33.63) | 1 to 16 |
| OPN | 7 | BTA6 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (33.50 to 33.63) | 1 to 7 |
| PPARGC1A | 13 | BTA6 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ( 40.80 to 41.40) | 1 to 13 |
| PGM2 | 14 | Chr.Un.003.335 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | (0.06 to 0.13) | 1 to 14 |
| CSN1S1 | 19 | BTA6 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | (79.40 to 79.90) | 1 to 19 |
| SLC2A9 | 13 | ChrUn. $2195{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 12, 13 |
|  |  | Chr6.200 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | d | 2 to 6,9 to $11^{\text {e }}$ |
|  |  | Chr6.174 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | d | 7, 8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Scaffold anchors in Btau 3.1 to BTA6, as identified by BLAST
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Chromosomal unanchored scaffold in Btau 3.1
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Sequence from Btau 2.0
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Entire sequence of Btau 2.0 scaffold was taken for annotation
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Chr6.200 harbours from Exon 10 only 70 bp (see 4.2.1 Positioning of candidate genes in bovine draft sequences), Btau 2.0 updated with sequence from comparative sequencing during SNP-screening (EU295941, Acc. from NCBI)

### 4.3. Characterisation of candidate genes

### 4.3.1. ATP-binding cassette sub-family G member 2 gene (ABCG2)

$A B C G 2$ is a member of the ATP binding cassette (ABC) subfamily, which has five genes: $A B C G 1, A B C G 2, A B C G 4, A B C G 5$ and $A B C G 8$ (Kusuhara and Sugiyama 2007). The proposed topology model of human ABCG2 protein represents an N-terminal nucleotide binding domain and the C-terminal transmembrane domain with six transmembrane segments. Between the fifth and sixth transmembrane domain is a large extracellular loop, which includes three cysteines of which one is involved in the formation of an intramolecular disulfide bond. The other two cysteines form an intermolecular disulfide bond (Henriksen et al. 2005). ABCG2 accepts a broad ligand spectrum of drugs (Breedveld et al. 2004) (Hirano et al. 2005) (Jonker et al. 2005) (Merino et al. 2005) (Merino et al. 2006), among them drugs which are involved in ATP-dependent processes (Litman et al. 2000). ABCG2 is expressed in different tissues whereas the highest expression among 61 investigated organs was detected in the mammary gland (Ron et al. 2007; Su et al. 2002). In mice as representatives for mammals, ABCG2 was recently reported to be the first active efflux transporter, mediating riboflavin concentration in milk (van Herwaarden et al. 2007). This study raises the possibility that nutrients can be transported into milk by ABC transporters. Therefore it is possible that $A B C G 2$ has an impact on milk synthesis and milk composition.

Using BLAST searches, $A B C G 2$ was mapped in silico on BTA6 at 33.5 Mb . It is interesting to note that in Israeli Holstein, a QTL affecting protein content was mapped to marker BM143 (Weller et al. 2002) which corresponds in Btau 3.1 to the chromosomal position at 40.5 Mb and explains the role of $A B C G 2$ as positional candidate gene.

Based on the GenomeThreader annotation, the bovine $A B C G 2$, as well as the human, is partitioned into 14 exons (see Figure 4.1). The coding sequence of $A B C G 2$ encompasses in humans 68.8 kb and in cattle 70.52 kb and a large intron 1 , counting about 25.5 kb in humans and cattle (see Table 4.6), is characteristic for this gene.


Figure 4.1 Graphical overview of the bovine ABCG2
The blue bars represent translated sequence. White bars represent untranslated sequence. Intronic sequence is represented by the black line. Size information based on annotation in Btau 3.1 is given below the bars.

Table 4.6 Intron / exon organisation of ABCG2 in Btau 3.1

| No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exon } \\ & \text { Size } \\ & \text { (bp) } \end{aligned}$ | Position in cDNA | Localisation on BTA6 (bp) | 3' splice acceptor <br> 5' splice donor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | No. | Intron Size (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 135 | 1-135 | 34049793 to 34049927 | agtctAAAGC ... CGCCGgtgag | 1 | 25468 |
| $2^{\text {b }}$ | 219 | 136-355 | 34075396 to 34075614 | ggaagGCGGA ... ATCAAgtatg | 2 | 7235 |
| 3 | 60 | 356-416 | 34082850 to 34082909 | tacagTGGAG ... TCTTCgtgag | 3 | 870 |
| 4 | 115 | 417-532 | 34083780 to 34083894 | tatagGTTGT ... TACAAgtaag | 4 | 812 |
| 5 | 153 | 533-686 | 34084707 to 34084859 | ttaagGATGA ... CCAAGgtaat | 5 | 2630 |
| 6 | 158 | 687-845 | 34087489 to 34087646 | tttagGTTGG ... AAGAGgtaaa | 6 | 7543 |
| 7 | 152 | 846-998 | 34095190 to 34095341 | tttagGATGT ... CATAGgtatg | 7 | 2089 |
| 8 | 105 | 999-1104 | 34097430 to 34097534 | tgcagGTTTC ... TGAAGgtaag | 8 | 1130 |
| 9 | 251 | 1105-1356 | 34098665 to 34098915 | cacagCTAAC ... CTCAGgtaac | 9 | 5621 |
| 10 | 83 | 1357-1440 | 34104537 to 34104619 | aaagattait ... AACAGgtgag | 10 | 4829 |
| 11 | 90 | 1441-1531 | 34109449 to 34109538 | cacagAGCCG ... TTTATgtgag | 11 | 1466 |
| 12 | 125 | 1532-1657 | 34111005 to 34112129 | tctagACATG ... GTTAGgtaag | 12 | 522 |
| 13 | 155 | 1658-1813 | 34112652 to 34112506 | ctaagGACTG ... TGATGgtaag | 13 | 4141 |
| 14 | 90 | 1814-1904 | 34116648 to 34116737 | tgtagATATT ... ATGCGgtatg | 14 | 832 |
| 15 | 83 | 1905-1988 | 34117568 to 34117650 | tatagGCTTT ... GCCATgtaag | 15 | 2446 |
| $16^{\text {c }}$ | 219 | 1989-2208 | 34120097 to 34120316 | ttcagATGTA ... AAGTTttttt |  |  |

[^0]The translated sequence consists of 1965 bp in the bovine genome, corresponding to a protein sequence of 655 residues. In bovine and human, the translation start site is in exon 2, the stop codon in exon 16. The organisation of the protein motifs in cattle is comparable to the organisation in humans. Walker A motif was found in exon 3, while exon 6 contains the nucleotide binding domain ABC signature motif, as well as the Walker B motif. Together, Walker A and Walker B motif form the ATP-binding cassette and are responsible for ATP binding (Langmann et al. 2000). The transmembrane regions of the ABCG2 are coded by exons 10, 13, 14, 15 and 16 (Bailey-Dell et al. 2001).

ClustalW (v.1.83) (Thompson et al. 1997) was used to examine the similarity of the amino acid sequence between human, bovine, murine and galline species. Figure 4.2 shows the alignment displayed by BoxShade v.3.21 (http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/BOX_fo $\mathrm{rm} . \mathrm{html})$. The similarity between the human and the bovine peptide sequence is $90.1 \%$. The similarity between the murine and human sequence is $71.9 \%$ and $76.2 \%$ between chicken and human.


Figure 4.2 Alignment for $\boldsymbol{A B C G} 2$ amino acid sequences of different species
Sequence alignment of $A B C G 2$ from Homo sapiens (ABCG2-HSA) (Acc. NP_004818.2, NCBI), Bos taurus (ABCG2-BTA) as translated from predicted mRNA sequence, Gallus gallus (ABCG2-GGA) (Acc. XP_421638.2, NCBI) and Mus musculus (ABCG2-MMU) (Acc. NP_036050.1). Numbers represent protein residues. Black and grey marked fonts show identical and conservative amino acids, respectively.

Transcription start site prediction failed using the online tool Promoter Prediction (http://www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/promoter.html). The predicted transcription start site in GenomeThreader annotation (Gremme et al. 2005) did not correspond to the human transcription start site.

Therefore, a sequence fragment of 1 kb upstream of the $3^{\prime}$ end of exon 1 was screened for CpG islands with the program cpgplot from the Emboss web-service (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/em
boss/cpgplot/) (Larsen et al. 1992). A CpG island was identified from 202 bp to 491 bp upstream of the 3 ' splice site of exon 1 (see Figure 4.3). This prediction was confirmed in the human sequence, where a CpG-island with a length of 729 nucleotides was detected.
Screening for putative transcription factor binding sites at a minimum conservation cut-off level of 0.80 failed between human and cattle sequence failed. A miRNA binding site has not been identified in $3^{\prime}$ UTR sequence.


Figure 4.3 CpG island prediction for $A B C G 2$ in cattle
Upper box represents ratios of observed versus expected CpG content. The lower box shows the relative C+G content and the predicted CpG-island by the blue box. Horizontal axes show nucleotide numbers in relation to the 3 ' end of first exon.

In conclusion, $A B C G 2$ can be described as a gene that belongs to the class of genes characterised by CpG-rich promoter regions (Carninci et al. 2006). As was observed during the genomic characterisation, the sequence similarity in the 5 ' region is low. No transcription factor binding sites were detected, but the CpG-island prediction resulted in a putative CpGisland counting 289 bp so the promoter region of $A B C G 2$ can be described as a region having a high GC content but lacking canonical TATA and CAAT boxes. Genes that are regulated by CpG-islands are known to show low sequence similarity and their expression is known to be relatively tissue unspecific (Carninci et al. 2006).

### 4.3.2. Osteopontin gene ( $O P N$ )

$O P N$ encodes a highly acidic phosphoprotein. It is involved in cell to cell communication but also in interactions between cell and extracellular matrix components (DEnHARDT and Guo 1993). Potentially relevant against the background of milk synthesis are the effects of OPN on gene expression, $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-regulation, production of nitric oxides and effects on an involvement in cell migration (DEnHARDT and Guo 1993). OPN is expressed in the mammary gland, whereas expression in the murine mammary gland depends on postnatal development (Rittling and Novick 1997). Nemir et. al (2000) have shown, that OPN is essential for the development of the mammary gland and for lactation. They generated transgenic mice that expressed OPN antisense-RNA in the mammary gland. These mice showed a lack of mammary gland structure, lactation deficiency and a reduced synthesis of $\beta$-casein (Nemir et al. 2000).

Based on the result of a local BLAST search of human $O P N$ cDNA (NM_000582) against the bovine genomic sequence Btau 3.1 the position of $O P N$ on BTA6 is close to $33,7 \mathrm{Mb}$. As already shown in 2.2 QTL mapping approaches on cattle chromosome 6 (BTA6), several QTL mapping studies for milk production traits have focused upon the QTL on BTA6 near BM143 for milk yield and milk content traits. Comparing the chromosomal position of OPN with the BM143-position in Btau 3.1, OPN is localised proximally to BM143 at a distance of approximately 6.8 Mb . Knowledge about the function and position on BTA6 of OPN underlines the role as a functional and positional candidate gene for milk synthesis.
The bovine gene structure of OPN was obtained with GenomeThreader. (Gremme et al. 2005). OPN shows a similar intron / exon structure in cattle and in humans. The coding sequence of $O P N$ encompasses 7.9 kb in humans and 7.0 kb in cattle. The bovine translated sequence consists of 1965 bp , corresponding to a protein sequence of 655 residues. The bovine $O P N$, as well as the human $O P N$ include the translation start site in exon 2 and the stop codon in exon 7 (see Figure 4.4 and Table 4.7).


Figure 4.4 Graphical overview of the bovine $O P N$
The blue bars exhibit translated sequence. White bars exhibit untranslated sequence. Intronic sequence is represented by the black line. Size information based on annotation in Btau 3.1 is given below the bars.

Table 4.7 Intron / exon organisation of OPN in Btau 3.1

| No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exon } \\ & \text { Size } \\ & \text { (bp) } \end{aligned}$ | Position in cDNA | Position on BTA6 (bp) | 3' splice acceptor <br> 5 'splice donor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | No. | Intron Size (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 86 | 1-86 | 34217297 to 34217212 | tcagcAGCAG ... CCAAGgtaag | 1 | 1091 |
| $2^{\text {b }}$ | 68 | 87-155 | 34216120 to 34216053 | tgcagGAAAA ... TTCCAgtgag | 2 | 118 |
| 3 | 39 | 156-195 | 34215934 to 34215896 | caaagGttan ... AGCAGgtaag | 3 | 2462 |
| 4 | 81 | 196-277 | 34213433 to 34213353 | tgtagCTTAA ... CACAGgtatt | 4 | 493 |
| 5 | 42 | 278-320 | 34212859 to 34212818 | ttcagAATTC ... AAAATgtgag | 5 | 770 |
| 6 | 303 | 621-724 | 34212047 to 34211745 | tctagACCCT ... TTCAGgtaaa | 6 | 698 |
| $7^{\text {c }}$ | 759 | 625-1384 | 34211046 to 34210288 | ttcagAGTCC ... TCTGGtgcta |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Intron sequence is indicated by lowercase letters, exon sequence by capital letters, the splice site sequence is in bold print. Information follows GenomeThreader annotation (see 4.2.2 Annotation of candidate genes).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Exon contains start codon.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Exon contains stop codon.

The conservation level of peptide sequence between the human, bovine, murine and galline species was analysed using the software ClustalW v.1.83 (Thompson et al. 1997) (see Figure
4.5). Similarities of $69.1 \%$ and $71.2 \%$ were detected between humans and cattle and between humans and mice. The galline peptide sequence indicated a lower similarity to its mammalian orthologs. Comparing the peptide sequence between humans and chicken lead to a similarity of $42.5 \%$.


Figure 4.5 Alignment for $O P N$ amino acid sequences of different species
Sequence alignment of OPN from Homo sapiens (OPN-HSA) (Acc. NP_000573.1, NCBI), Bos taurus (OPNBTA) as translated from predicted mRNA sequence, Gallus gallus (OPN-GGA) (ENSGALP00000017754, www.ensembl.org) and Mus musculus (OPN-MMU) (Acc. NP_033289.1, NCBI). Numbers represent protein residues. Black and grey marked fonts show identical and conservative amino acids, respectively.

The putative bovine transcription start was predicted applying the open source software Promoter Prediction (http://www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/promoter.html). The larger font in Figure 4.6 shows the putative transcription start, which was identical to the predicted transcription start by GenomeThreader (Gremme et al. 2005). The transcription start in humans is almost identical with the observed result in cattle, suggesting a high sequence similarity between humans and cattle within this region.

| Start | End | Score | Promoter Sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 161 | 211 | 0.97 |  |

TGGAGCAGCCTTTAAATTCTGGGAGATCCTGGTTGTCAGCAGCAGGGAGA
Figure 4.6 Transcription start site prediction of bovine $O P N$
The putative transcription start site is shown by heightened font. Start and end position is relative to the $3^{\prime}$ end of exon 1 . The score value indicates the prediction accuracy with values ranging from minimal 0 to maximal 1 .

The identification of CpG-islands using cpgplot at (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/emboss/cpgplot/) (Larsen et al. 1992) failed in cattle as well as in human.
The analyses for putative transcription factor binding sites within the bovine and human 5' region demonstrated partially a sequence similarity higher than $80 \%$. Three putative transcription factor binding sites were postulated by the Consite tool (see Figure 4.7), along with two SP1 binding sites and one CAAT enhancer binding protein (cEBP). One SP1
binding site starts at 179 bp , the other at 198 bp upstream of the 3 ' splice site of exon 1 . The CAAT enhancer binding protein (cEBP) is located 306 bp upstream of the $3^{\prime}$ splice site of exon 1 (see Figure 4.7).
The observation of the $3^{\prime}$ UTR in humans and cattle with regard to miRNA binding sites did not lead to a successful identification.


Figure 4.7 Conservation profile of the aligned bovine and human $5^{\prime}$-region of $O P N$
The blue line represents bovine sequence; the green, human sequence. The red line shows sequence identity between human (blue line) and bovine sequence (green line). Transcription factor binding sites located in conserved regions ( $\geq 86 \%$ identity) are displayed. SP1 represents the SP1-binding site, cEBP represents the CAAT enhancer binding protein site.

Based on the results from transcription factor site prediction, $O P N$ can be assigned to the group of genes regulated by transcription factor binding sites. Genes belonging to this category usually show high tissue specific gene expression (Carninci et al. 2006).

### 4.3.3. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha gene (PPARGC1A)

PPARGC1A encodes a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily PGC-1 (Muller et al. 2003). All three members of this family, PPARGC1A, PRC and PGC-1 $\beta$ have a related modular structure. PPARGC1A is a transcription coactivator interacting with a broad range of transcription factors that are involved in a wide variety of biological responses including mitochondrial biogenesis, glucose and fatty acid metabolism as well as in adaptive thermogenesis (LIANG and WARD 2006). PPARGC1A is expressed in tissues of high metabolic activity, such as liver, heart and muscle fibre (Franks et al. 2007) (HANDSCHIN and Spiegelman 2006). Recently strong evidence for a mitochondrial dysregulation in type 2 diabetes has been found, and the identified influencing genes are transcriptional targets of

PPARGC1A and PGC-1 $\beta$ (Song et al. 2004) (Zhang et al. 2004) (HANDSCHIN and Spiegelman 2006). Lactation requires a very complex regulation of glucose metabolism, so that PPARGC1A lends itself as a functional candidate gene for lactation related traits.
The local BLAST search using Btau 3.1 as subject sequence positioned PPARGC1A on BTA6 at about 41.0 Mb . A QTL with effects on fat- and protein yield was previously mapped within a chromosomal interval bracketed by markers TGLA37 and FBN13 (Kuhn et al. 1999). The identification of these markers in Btau 3.1 mapped TGLA37 on BTA6 at 46.1 Mb . Finally, PPARGC1A is 5.1 Mb proximally to TGLA37 supporting the role of PPARGC1A as a positional candidate gene.
The candidate gene hypothesis has already been tested and a previous association study detected a significant association between an SNP in intron 9 and milk fat yield (Weikard et al. 2005).

Both annotations, the manual annotation by BLAST and the semi-automated annotation with GenomeThreader (Gremme et al. 2005) detected all human exons in the bovine genomic sequence. The whole coding sequence of PPARGC1A counts 103.1 kb in cattle and 98.26 kb in humans. PPARGC1A is composed of 13 exons in both species (see Figure 4.8 and Table 4.8). The translated sequence in cattle includes 2388 bp , corresponding to a protein sequence of 796 residues. Characteristic for the intron / exon structure of PPARGC1A is a large exon 2, which encompasses 53.0 kb in humans and 59.1 kb in cattle. There is also a remarkably big difference in the exon size. The shortest exon is exon comprising 46 nucleotides in humans and cattle. Exon 8 encompasses 919 nucleotides and human assembly 916 nucleotides. Start codon of PPARGC1A was identified in the first exon, stop codon triplet in exon 13.


Figure 4.8 Graphical overview of the bovine PPARGC1A
The blue bars exhibit translated sequence. White bars exhibit untranslated sequence. Intronic sequence is represented by the black line. Size information based on annotation in Btau 3.1 is given below the bars.

Table 4.8 Intron / exon organisation of PPARGC1A in Btau 3.1

| No. | Exon Size <br> (bp) | Position in cDNA | Position on BTA6 (bp) | 3' splice acceptor <br> 5' splice donor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | No. | Intron Size (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {b }}$ | 138 | 1-137 | 41242911 to 41242774 | ctctcAGTAA ... TCGAGgtgag | 1 | 5362 |
| 2 | 180 | 138-318 | 41237412 to 41237233 | tgcagTGTGC ... TTGAGgtaag | 2 | 59052 |
| 3 | 195 | 319-514 | 41178181 to 41177987 | tgcagAAGAT ... CTCTAgtaag | 3 | 1819 |
| 4 | 123 | 515-638 | 41176168 to 41176046 | tctagCTTAA ... TTAAGgtaca | 4 | 861 |
| 5 | 205 | 639-844 | 41175185 to 41174981 | agaacGAGAA ... ACAAGgtagg | 5 | 3886 |
| 6 | 46 | 845-891 | 41171094 to 41171049 | tttagCCAAA ... CCAAAgtaag | 6 | 109 |
| 7 | 74 | 892-966 | 41170939 to 41171866 | tccagTGACC ... TGCAGgtaag | 7 | 12680 |
| 8 | 919 | 967-1886 | 41159185 to 41158267 | cttagGCCTA ... TCAAGgtaaa | 8 | 564 |
| 9 | 102 | 1887-1989 | 41157702 to 41157601 | ctcagATCTT ... CCCAGgtaat | 9 | 151 |
| 10 | 121 | 1990-2111 | 41157449 to 41157329 | ctcagGTATG ... CAATTgtaag | 10 | 9793 |
| 11 | 122 | 2112-2234 | 41147535 to 41147414 | tccagGAAGA ... GATGGgtgag | 11 | 331 |
| 12 | 152 | 2235-2387 | 41147082 to 41146931 | tgcagAGACA ... CCTAGgtatg | 12 | 6539 |
| $13{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 577 | 2388-2965 | 41140391 to 41139825 | ttcagATTCA ... AAGGAaaaaa |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Intron sequence is indicated by lowercase letters, exon sequence by capital letters, the splice site sequence is in bold print. Information follows GenomeThreader annotation (see 4.2.2 Annotation of candidate genes).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Exon contains start codon.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Exon contains stop codon.

Figure 4.9 shows the bovine $\operatorname{PPARGC1A}$ amino acid sequence aligned with the peptide sequence of humans, cattle, mice and chickens. The peptide sequences show substantial similarity between each of the four observed species. Each observed species showed a similarity higher than $90 \%$ with the human ortholog.

However, the promoter prediction with the online tool did not result in an unambiguous identification of a transcription start site http://www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/promoter.html. In contrast, the semi-automated gene annotation identified a transcription start site, which corresponds with the human transcription start site (Gremme et al. 2005).
5 ' end sequence of 1 kb length was analysed for CpG islands with the programme cpgplot from the Emboss web-service (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/emboss/cpgplot/) (Larsen et al. 1992). Human and bovine 5 ' end sequences were analysed. A successful prediction was not achieved in humans or cattle.
The analyses for transcription factor binding sites marked four predicted sites. The bovine region illustrates a substantial sequence similarity to the human orthologue and subsets of the regarded region showed almost complete identity. Therefore the conservation cut-off was set at $99 \%$ during the prediction of transcription factor binding sites. Three sites were predicted to be SP1 binding sites; the fourth was identified as a TATA-box binding site (TBP) (see Figure 4.10). One SP1 binding site was marked in Consite within the 5' UTR region, at nucleotide position 5 of the first exon. The other two lay in 5 ' end sequence 168 nucleotides and 202 nucleotides, respectively upstream of the $3^{\prime}$ splice site of exon 1 . The TATA-box lay 191 nucleotides upstream of the 3 ' splice site of exon 1 .


Figure 4.9 Alignment for PPARGC1A amino acid sequences of different species
Sequence alignment of PPARGC1A from Homo sapiens (PPARGC1A-HSA) (Acc. NP_037393.1, NCBI), Bos taurus (PPARGC1A -BTA) as translated from predicted mRNA sequence, Gallus gallus (PPARGC1A -GGA) (Acc. NP_001006457.1, NCBI) and Mus musculus (PPARGC1A -MMU) (Acc. NP_032930.1, NCBI). Numbers represent protein residues. Black and grey marked fonts show identical and conservative amino acids, respectively.


Figure 4.10 Conservation profile of the aligned bovine and human 5'-region of PPARGC1A
The blue line represents bovine sequence, the green human sequence. The red line shows sequence identity between human (blue line) and bovine sequence (green line). Transcription factor binding sites located in conserved regions ( $\geq 99 \%$ identity) are displayed. SP1 represents the SP1-binding site, TBP represents the TATA box binding protein site.

A miRNA binding site has not been detected in the human and bovine 3 ' sequence.
In conclusion, PPARGC1A belongs to the group of genes with highly conserved promotor sequence and transcription factor binding site regulated gene expression (Carninci et al. 2006).

### 4.3.4. Phosphoglucomutase-2 gene (PGM2)

In humans, four members represent the family of phosphoglucomutase (PGM) enzymes: PGM1, PGM2, PGM3 and PGM5 (Whitehouse et al. 1998) (Edwards et al. 1995). The PGM enzymes initially were known in humans for their enzymatic activity while a genomic isolation by molecular and immunological approaches failed for PGM2 and PGM3. However, PGM2 and PGM3 were identified later by a phylogenetic approach using 47 highly divergent prokaryotic and eukaryotic PGM-like database sequences (Whitehouse et al. 1998). PGMs have key functions in glycogen metabolism and gluconeogenesis. Lactose is a disaccharide that consists of $\beta$-D-galactose and $\beta$-D-glucose molecules bonded through a $\beta 1-4$ glycosidic linkage. PGM enzymes are involved in lactose synthesis by the PGM2-catalysed interconversion of glucose 1-phosphate to glucose 6-phosphate (Levin et al. 1999). PGM2 is expressed in all organisms from bacteria to plants and animals (Whitehouse et al. 1998).

BLAST searches against Btau 3.1 mapped PGM1 on BTA3, PGM3 on BTA9 and PGM5 on BTA8 but PGM2 harbours in sequence that is chromosomally unanchored. However, in sequence of Btau 2.0, PGM2 was identified to anchor on BTA6. As already mentioned in 4.2.1 Positioning of candidate genes with bovine draft sequences, the most likely position of PGM2 is presumed to be at approximately 52.0 Mb on BTA6. QTL mapping studies identified a QTL for fat- and protein yield bracketed by markers TGLA37 and FBN13 (Kuhn et al. 1999), which corresponds in Btau 3.1 to the BTA6-localisation at 46.1 Mb . Accepting the PGM2-position on BTA6 at 52.0 Mb , it is located distally to this QTL.

With their fundamental role in metabolic pathways of energy metabolism, the genes of the PGM family in general can be considered as functional candidate genes for milk synthesis. Among all PGMs, PGM2 is the most promising candidate gene since it is located on BTA6 within a region that has been identified as harbouring a QTL for milk production traits.

PGM2 was annotated manually by local BLAST searches, but also semi-automatically by using the GenomeThreader algorithm (Gremme et al. 2005). The entire gene spans 36.6 kb in cattle and 36.4 kb in humans. In both species, PGM2 counts 14 exons (see Figure 4.11 and

Table 4.9). The translated sequence in cattle includes 1812 bp , corresponding to a protein sequence of 604 residues. Exon 1 contains the translation start site; translation stop codon was identified within exon 14.


Figure 4.11 Graphical overview of the bovine PGM2
The blue bars represent translated sequence. White bars represent untranslated sequence. Intronic sequence is represented by the black line. Size information based on annotation in Btau 3.1 is given below the bars.

Table 4.9 Intron / exon organisation of PGM2 in Btau 3.1

| No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exon } \\ & \text { Size } \\ & \text { (bp) } \end{aligned}$ | Position in CDNA | Position on Chr.Un. $003.335{ }^{\text {d }}$ (bp) | 3' splice acceptor <br> 5' splice donor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | No. | Intron Size (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {b }}$ | 76 | 1-76 | 113804 to 113728 | acgagGGCTC ... ACAAGgtgag | 1 | 4434 |
| 2 | 168 | 77-245 | 109292 to 109126 | tgcagAATCC ... CACAGgtacc | 2 | 6049 |
| 3 | 107 | 246-353 | 103076 to 102966 | tttagGGATT ... AGAAGgtacg | 3 | 3185 |
| 4 | 85 | 354-439 | 99784 to 99700 | tccagAttTG ... TTGTGgtaag | 4 | 1754 |
| 5 | 84 | 440-524 | 97945 to 97862 | tacagCCCTA ... ATAAGgtatt | 5 | 112 |
| 6 | 194 | 525-719 | 97749 to 97556 | tccagGTCTA ... CACAGgtaaa | 6 | 3879 |
| 7 | 190 | 720-910 | 93676 to 94487 | tctagGGCTG ... TCTTGgtaac | 7 | 896 |
| 8 | 98 | 911-1009 | 92591 to 92494 | ttcagACTTT ... GACAGgtaca | 8 | 2441 |
| 9 | 181 | 1010-1191 | 90053 to 89873 | ttcagTGGCA ... TCGAGgtata | 9 | 89 |
| 10 | 94 | 1192-1286 | 89784 to 89690 | tttagGAAAC ... TATTGgtaag | 10 | 2632 |
| 11 | 130 | 1287-1417 | 87057 to 86928 | tgcagGATAT ... GTTGAgtaag | 11 | 714 |
| 12 | 190 | 1418-1608 | 86213 to 86020 | gatagGTATG ... AAGCTgtaag | 12 | 3668 |
| 13 | 140 | 1609-1749 | 82355 to 82216 | cctagATTCT ... TATGGatggc | 13 | 4731 |
| $14^{\text {c }}$ | 296 | 1750-2046 | 77484 to 77188 | tgcagTGATC ... TCACAactgg |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Intron sequence is indicated by lowercase letters, exon sequence by capital letters, the splice site sequence is in bold print. Information follows GenomeThreader annotation (see 4.2.2 Annotation of candidate genes).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Exon contains start codon.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Exon contains stop codon.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Scaffold chromosomally unanchored in Btau 3.1.

Pair-wise comparisons between humans and the other investigated species resulted in similarity levels of more than $90 \%$. As shown in Figure 4.12, differences between species are almost exclusively located in the region of the peptides' N termini.

The prediction of the transcription start site with the online program failed but the result corresponds with the low similarity in the N termini of the peptide. In humans, two different transcription start sites are described (http://www.ensembl.org). One shows substantial similarity with the predicted transcription start site identified in the GenomeThreader annotation. Therefore, and based on the failed prediction with Promoter Prediction, one can assume that several transcription start sites for PGM2 exist in the bovine genome as well.

Human and bovine 5 ' sequences of $P G M 2$ were analysed for CpG islands with the programme cpgplot from the Emboss web-service (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/emboss/cpgplot/) (Larsen et al. 1992). The prediction resulted in the identification of a putative CpG-island in the bovine $5^{\prime}$ end sequence (see Figure 4.13). The predicted CpG-island encompasses 231 nucleotides, and its genomic position starts 105 nucleotides upstream of the 3 ' splice site of exon 1 . The prediction in the bovine genomic sequence found confirmation in the complementary region of humans, where a CpG-island with a length of 259 nucleotides was identified.


Figure 4.12 Alignment for PGM2 amino acid sequences of different species
Sequence alignment of PGM2 from Homo sapiens (PGM2-HSA) (Acc. NP_060760.2, NCBI), Bos taurus (PGM2-BTA) as translated from predicted mRNA sequence, Gallus gallus (PGM2-GGA) (Acc. XP_001236252.1, NCBI) and Mus musculus (PGM2-MMU) (Acc. NP_082408.2, NCBI). Numbers represent protein residues. Black and grey marked fonts show identical and conservative amino acids, respectively.

During the prediction of putative transcription factor sites, a low sequence similarity was observed between the PGM2-promoter region of humans and cattle. Only short sequence fragments reach a similarity of more than $80 \%$. Consequently, it was not possible to assign putative transcription factor binding sites in the submitted sequence.

The search for miRNA binding sites (Clop et al. 2006) in the human and bovine 3' UTR region was without success.


Figure 4.13 CpG island prediction for PGM2 in cattle
Upper box represents ratios of observed versus expected CpG content. The lower box shows the relative C+G content and the predicted CpG-island by the blue box. Horizontal axes show nucleotide numbers in relation to the $3^{\prime}$ end of first exon.

Conclusively all obtained results from the genomic characterisation underline PGM2 as a gene that can be allocated in the class of CpG-rich genes (Carninci et al. 2006). The 5' sequence of PGM2 showed low similarity between the bovine and human species. No ciselements were predicted. In contrast, the CpG island prediction in the bovine genome was confirmed in the $5^{\prime}$ end sequence of humans and mice. Therefore it is presumable, that PGM2 does not show a tissue specific regulation of the gene expression (Carninci et al. 2006).

### 4.3.5. Alpha-s1-casein gene (CSN1S1)

Six proteins, which together comprise $95 \%$ of the total protein in bovine milk, have been classified according to their biochemical characteristics into caseins and whey proteins. Four different casein genes have been described: CSN1S1 encodes $\alpha$ S1-casein and CSN1S2 the $\alpha$ S2-casein. $\beta$-casein is encoded by CSN2 and $\kappa$-casein by CSN3 (Threadgill and Womack 1990). As the caseins represent the main part of the bovine milk protein fraction, their common basic function is the supply of the offspring with amino acids. All casein peptides are characterised by low solubility at pH 4.6 and calcium dependent precipitation. Caseins are organised by clusters of protein chains, called micelles and show similar sites of phosphorylation (Ramunno et al. 2004). This information supports the hypothesis of a common evolutionary root (Rijnkels 2002) and a development by gene duplication. All milk proteins, apart from colostral immunoglobulins, are synthesised de novo in the mammalian
epithelial tissue, which explains the expression of all casein genes in the mammary gland (Kuss et al. 2005). Milk casein consists of 35 to $45 \% ~ \alpha S 1$-casein, so that CSNIS1 encodes the major part of milk casein.

Due to a strong interest in production traits in dairy cattle, the four casein genes were the subject of early molecular genetic approaches. In situ hybridisations mapped the casein genes to BTA6 at $\mathrm{q} 31-33$ (Threadgill and Womack 1990) and the four casein genes were reported to reside on less than 300 kb on BTA6 (Threadgill and Womack 1990). BLAST searches and Btau 3.1 identified CSN1S1 on BTA6 at 79 Mb approximately. With GenomeThreader (Gremme et al. 2005), each of the casein genes was annotated within the chromosomal fragment of BTA6 bracketed between 79.4 Mb and 79.9 Mb . Hence, the results of Threadgill et. al (1990) were confirmed, while the region on BTA6 where the caseins are encoded could be accurately measured at precisely 242.9 kb . Of all casein genes, CSN3 occupies the most proximal position. In distal orientation, CSN3 is followed by CSN1S2 at a distance of 110 kb and more distally $C S N 2$ follows at a distance of approximately 80 kb . The most distal position on BTA6 of all casein genes is taken by CSN1S1, which is located in a distal distance of approximately 40 kb to CSN1S2. Several QTLs were mapped to this chromosomal region and QTLs were mostly identified for protein content (ASHWELL and Van Tassell 1999; Boichard et al. 2003; Mosig et al. 2001; Velmala et al. 1999). However, QTL affecting yield traits near the casein region were also reported (Wiener et al. 2000) (Nadesalingam et al. 2001).

The gene annotations by BLAST and GenomeThreader (Gremme et al. 2005) showed similar gene structures for all casein genes with several small exons and a low intron / exon ratio. The entire bovine CSN1S1 extends over a chromosomal distance of 17.5 kb . The human CSN1S1 encompasses 15.7 kb . The bovine translated sequence of CSNIS1 is assembled by 642 bp , which corresponds to a peptide sequence of 214 residues. The size in cattle is comparable to the human orthologue, where the coding sequence is assembled by 561 bp and the peptide consists 187 residues. In cattle, the coding sequence of CSN1S1 is partitioned into 19 exons (see Figure 4.14 and Table 4.10) and in humans into 15 exons. In cattle as well as in humans, the first exon is untranslated sequence and the start codon has been identified in exon 2. Characteristic for CSN1S1 in humans and in cattle is the structure of the stop codon: the final nucleotides TG of exon 17 are coupled with the first nucleotide A of exon 18.


Figure 4.14 Graphical overview of the bovine CSN1S1
The blue bars represent translated sequence. White bars represent untranslated sequence. Intronic sequence is represented by the black line. Size information based on annotation in Btau 3.1 is given below the bars.

Table 4.10 Intron /exon organisation of CSN1S1 in Btau 3.1

| No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exon } \\ & \text { Size } \\ & \text { (bp) } \end{aligned}$ | Position in cDNA | Position on BTA6 (bp) | 3' splice acceptor <br> 5' splice donor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | No. | Intron Size (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 88 | 1-88 | 79769237 to 79769150 | taatcAGTAG ... TCAAGgtatt | 1 | 1378 |
| $2^{\text {b }}$ | 63 | 89-152 | 79767771 to 79767709 | catagATCTT ... GGCCTgtgag | 2 | 1963 |
| 3 | 33 | 153-168 | 79765745 to 79765713 | aacagAAACA ... CTCAAgtaag | 3 | 893 |
| 4 | 39 | 169-226 | 79764819 to 79764781 | tacagGAAGT ... TGGCAgtaag | 4 | 1440 |
| 5 | 24 | 227-251 | 79763340 to 79764718 | tgtagCCTTT ... GAAAGgtaag | 5 | 377 |
| 6 | 24 | 252-276 | 79764340 to 79763652 | ttaagGAGAA ... GCAAGgtaag | 6 | 602 |
| 7 | 24 | 277-301 | 79763049 to 79763026 | ggcagGATAT ... CTGAGgtaag | 7 | 1418 |
| 8 | 24 | 302-326 | 79762233 to 79762310 | ctaagGATCA ... TTAAGgtaag | 8 | 2215 |
| 9 | 33 | 327-360 | 79760810 to 79760778 | tttagCAAAT ... GTGAGgtata | 9 | 772 |
| 10 | 24 | 361-385 | 79760005 to 79759982 | ttaagGAAAT ... TTGAGgtgag | 10 | 90 |
| 11 | 54 | 386-440 | 79759891 to 79759838 | tttagCAGAA ... ATCTGgtaaa | 11 | 1533 |
| 12 | 42 | 440-483 | 79758305 to 79758264 | aatagGAACA ... AGCTGgtaat | 12 | 648 |
| 13 | 24 | 484-508 | 79757615 to 79757592 | ttaagGAAAT ... CTGAGgtgag | 13 | 1203 |
| 14 | 42 | 509-551 | 79756388 to 79756347 | tttagGAACG ... AACAGgtaat | 14 | 894 |
| 15 | 27 | 552-579 | 79755452 to 79755426 | tccagAAAGA ... ATCAGgtaag | 15 | 947 |
| 16 | 24 | 580-604 | 79754479 to 79754456 | ttaagGAACT ... CTGAGgtgaa | 16 | 795 |
| $17^{\text {c }}$ | 155 | 605-760 | 79753660 to 79753505 | tctagCTTTT ... TGGTGgtaag | 17 | 584 |
| 18 | 44 | 761-805 | 79752920 to 79752877 | ttcagAAGAG ... CTTTGgtaag | 18 | 790 |
| 19 | 398 | 806-1204 | 79752086 to 79751689 | tacagATGGT ... GCACTattcc |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Intron sequence is indicated by lowercase letters, exon sequence by capital letters, the splice site sequence is bolded. Information follows GenomeThreader annotation (see 4.2.2 Annotation of candidate genes).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Exon contains start codon.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Exon contains stop codon.

The similarity analysis of the amino acid sequence between species was carried out without the galline species since chicken are avian. The detected level of interspecies peptide sequence conservation was surprisingly small. Only the first residues of the peptide revealed a high level of similarity (see Figure 4.15). Pair-wise species comparisons detected levels of similarity of around $40 \%$. The lowest similarity level, $39.5 \%$, was observed between cattle and mice. The alignment between the human and bovine amino acid sequence was similar at $44.0 \%$ and the alignment between humans and mice was $41.3 \%$.


Figure 4.15 Alignment for CSN1S1 amino acid sequences of different species
Sequence alignment of CSN1S1 from Homo sapiens (CSN1S1-HSA) NP_001881.1, Bos taurus (CSN1S1-BTA) as translated from predicted mRNA sequence and Mus musculus (CSN1S1-MMU) NP_031810.1. Numbers represent protein residues. Black and grey marked fonts show identical and conservative amino acids, respectively.

The web-based tool Promoter Prediction http://www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/promoter.html was used to identify the putative transcription start site. The genomic sequence of a length of 1 kb upstream of $3^{\prime}$ splice site of the first exon was examined. Larger font in Figure 4.16 shows the putative transcription start at position 59. The result from transcription start site prediction with GenomeThreader (Gremme et al. 2005) was identified within the same region, but was not identical. However, the GenomeThreader transcription start site corresponds to the human site (http://www.ensembl.org).

| Start | End | Score | Promoter Sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | 68 | 0.72 |  |

TCAGTAGGTTTAAATAGCTTGGAAGCAAAAGTCTGCCATCAcctTGATCA
Figure 4.16 Transcription start site prediction of bovine CSN1S1
The putative transcription start site is shown by heightened font. Start and end position are relative to the 3 ' end of exon 1. The score value indicates the accuracy of the prediction with values ranging from minimum 0 to maximum 1 .

CSN1S1 5' end sequence of cattle, humans and mice were screened for the presence of a CpG-island with cpgplot, but no CpG island was identified.

Afterwards, 1 kb upstream of the 3 ' splice site of exon 1 was screened for transcription factor binding sites. A low sequence similarity between species, which was already seen in the amino acid alignment, was also observed for the genomic sequence of the 5 ' region. However, it was possible to identify a TATA-box (TBP) (see Figure 4.17) in the bovine 5 ' end sequence. It is located at a distance of 128 nucleotides upstream of the 3 ' splice site of exon 1 . In addition, the bovine 5 ' end showed a 16 -bp Milk Box motif that was previously described in goats. It is positioned with reference to the $3^{\prime}$ splice site of exon 1 at a distance of 194 nucleotides (Ramunno et al. 2004) (Schmitt-Ney et al. 1991).


Figure 4.17 Conservation profile of the aligned bovine and human 5'-region of CSN1S1
The blue line represents bovine sequence, the green, human sequence. The red line shows sequence identity between human (blue line) and bovine sequence (green line). Transcription factor binding sites located in conserved regions ( $\geq 82 \%$ identity) are displayed. TBP represents the site of the TATA-box binding protein

The 3' UTR of humans and cattle were scanned for miRNA binding sites (Clop et al. 2006), but no site was detected.

However the amino acid similarity between species was observed to be low, based on the results from transcription factor binding site prediction, CSN1S1 can be assigned to the class of genes regulated by TATA-rich promoter regions. Genes regulated by TATA-enriched promoter regions are usually known for a high tissue specific regulation of the gene expression (Carninci et al. 2006). This seems to be obvious due to the mammary specific gene expression (Schmitt-Ney et al. 1991). The interspecies differences that were detected by the peptide alignment lead to the expectation that the amino acid constitution of CSN1S1 is species specific.

### 4.3.6. Facilitated glucose transporter member 9 gene (SLC2A9)

SLC2A9 is a member of the facilitated transporter protein family 2 . Proteins of this family have 12 putative transmembrane domains and carry two highly conserved motifs between domain 2 and 3 and between domain 8 and 9 (Phay et al. 2000). Glucose, the crucial precursor for lactose, crosses biologic membranes in mammals through two families of glucose transporters. It is transported either by active transporters, which establish a sodium co-transport induced concentration gradient (HEDIGER and Rhoads 1994) or passively (Mueckler 1994) (Thorens 1996). SLC2A9 belongs to the passive transporters (ZHAO and Keating 2007). SLC2A9 was shown to be expressed in various tissues, like liver, kidney and placenta (Augustin et al. 2004) (Richardson et al. 2003). In induced diabetic mice, the
expression of SLC2A9 in kidney and liver tissue increased significantly compared to nondiabetic animals (Keembiyehetty et al. 2006).
Local BLAST searches with Btau 3.1 did not result in a precise localisation on BTA6, but the region distal to 95 Mb is most probable (see 4.2.1 Positioning of candidate genes in bovine draft sequences), so that the closest QTLs for milk production traits are those mapped to markers BP7 (Wiener et al. 2000), BM415 (Mosig et al. 2001), INRAK (Boichard et al. 2003) and to the casein gene cluster (Velmala et al. 1999). These markers correspond in Btau 3.1 to a genomic sequence on BTA6 from 67.3 Mb for marker BM415 to 84.5 Mb for marker BP7, so that $S L C 2 A 9$ is a functional candidate gene for milk related traits.

A two-sided gene annotation, manually by BLAST searches and semi-automated with GenomeThreader (Gremme et al. 2005) was applied. As already shown in 4.2.1 Positioning of candidate genes in bovine draft sequences, the annotation in Btau 2.0 sequence was more complete than in Btau 3.1. However, exon 1 was identified neither by manual BLAST searches nor in the GenomeThreader annotation. Human exon 1 contains untranslated sequence, so that all translated sequence was detected in the bovine genome assembly. In cattle, translated sequence encompasses 1566 nucleotides, corresponding to a peptide sequence of 522 residues. Translated sequence of human SLC2A9 counts 1582 nucleotides, and the peptide sequence 527 residues. A correct size - determination of all bovine introns was not possible due to the fact that exonic sequence of SLC2A9 was identified on more than one Btau 2.0 scaffold. The bovine peptide is encoded by exons 2 to 13 (see

Figure 4.18 and Table 4.11).


Figure 4.18 Graphical overview of the bovine SLC2A9
The blue bars represent translated sequence. White bars represent untranslated sequence. Intronic sequence is represented by the black line. Size information based on annotation in Btau 2.0 is given below the bars.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The size information of intron $1,6,8$ and 11 is from humans.

Sequence alignments of the amino acid sequence with human, bovine, murine and galline species resulted in conservation levels between $65.0 \%$ and $83.1 \%$. The similarity between the species is shown by the BoxShade v.3.21 output in Figure 4.19. Among all investigated species, the bovine peptide shows the highest sequence similarity to the human peptide: $83.1 \%$. Murine and galline peptide indicate lower similarities: $65.0 \%$ and $66.7 \%$.

Table 4.11 Intron / exon organisation of SLC2A9 in Btau 2.0

| No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exon } \\ & \text { Size } \\ & \text { (bp) } \end{aligned}$ | Position in cDNA | Scaffold | Scaffold position (Btau 2.0) | 3' splice acceptor <br> 5' splice donor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | No. | Intron Size (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Not identified |  |  |  | 1 | - |
| $2^{\text {b }}$ | 73 | 1-73 | 6.200 | 205408 to 205480 | ggctgACAAG ... AACTGgtcag | 2 | 8825 |
| 3 | 99 | 74-173 | 6.200 | 214306 to 214404 | cccagGACTG ... CTCCGgtgat | 3 | 20749 |
| 4 | 161 | 174-335 | 6.200 | 235154 to 235314 | ttcagTACAT ... GGGAGgtcag | 4 | 11111 |
| 5 | 125 | 336-458 | 6.200 | 246426 to 246550 | tccagGAAAA ... TGGAGgtgag | 5 | 7849 |
| 6 | 146 | 459-605 | 6.174 | 254400 to 254546 | cacagGCATC ... GAAAGgtaag | 6 |  |
| 7 | 133 | 606-739 | 6.174 | 27823 to 27968 | ttcagGAGAG ... GAAAGgtagg | 7 | 27224 |
| 8 | 188 | 740-927 | 6.200 | 55193 to 55326 | ggtagCCTTC ... ATGCGgtgag | 8 |  |
| 9 | 111 | 928-1039 | 6.200 | 376383 to 376493 | tatagATTTG ... TCTCTgtaat | 9 | 21303 |
| 10 | $102{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1040-1141 | 6.200 | 397797 to $397866^{\text {e }}$ | cccagGGCTT ... TGCAGgtgag | 10 | 2509 |
| 11 | 76 | 1142-1218 | 6.200 | 401408 to 401483 | cacagGATCA ... GCCAGgtaag | 11 |  |
| 12 | 128 | 1219-1347 | Un. 2195 | 2712 to 2838 | tgcagGTGGC ... TTCAGgtgag | 12 | 25841 |
| $13^{\text {c }}$ | 276 | 1348-1624 | Un. 2195 | 28679 to 28955 | cacagCAAAG ... TTTTAatacc |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Intron sequence is indicated by lowercase letters, exon sequence by capital letters, the splice site sequence is in bold print. Information follows GenomeThreader annotation (see 4.2.2 Annotation of candidate genes).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Exon contains start codon.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Exon contains stop codon.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Length determination by resequencing due to incomplete anchorage in Btau 2.0 (see 4.2.2 Annotation of candidate genes): Acc. EU295941, NCBI.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Btau 2.0 contains only 70 bp from the 5 ' part of exon 10 .
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Size determination not possible due to adjacent exons anchored on different scaffolds.


Figure 4.19 Alignment for SLC2A9 amino acid sequences of different species
Sequence alignment of SLC2A9 from Homo sapiens (SLC2A9-HSA) (Acc. NP_001001290.1, NCBI), Bos taurus (SLC2A9-BTA) as translated from predicted mRNA sequence, Gallus gallus (SLC2A9-GGA) (Acc. XP_420789.2, NCBI) and Mus musculus (SLC2A9-MMU) (Acc. NP_663534.1, NCBI). Numbers represent protein residues. Black and grey marked fonts show identical and conservative amino acids, respectively.

Since the first exon was not identified in the bovine genomic sequence, no genomic characterisation for the bovine 5 ' region was done.

The human and bovine $3^{\prime}$ regions were scanned for miRNA binding sites (Clop et al. 2006). The web tool available at http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/sequences/ was used for miRNA binding analyses, but searches in each observed species were without success.

### 4.3.7. Summary of candidate gene characterisation

ABCG2, OPN, PPARGC1A, PGM2 and SLC2A9 are involved in metabolic pathways that are related to milk synthesis (see Table 4.12). CSNISI encodes a milk component, so that all selected genes are functional candidate genes for milk synthesis. Apart from SLC2A9, all selected genes are located within confidence intervals of QTLs for milk production traits, thus ABCG2, OPN, PPARGC1A, PGM2 and CSN1S1 are both functional and positional candidate genes for milk synthesis.

Varying data was obtained concerning the intron / exon ratio. In relation to the exonic sequence, $O P N$ has the shortest introns. PGM2 and PPARGC1A are characterized by relatively long intronic sequence.

Table 4.12 Functional and positional gene characterisation in terms of milk synthesis

| Gene | Functional relevance |  | Positional relevance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peptide function | Gene expression | Localisation on BTA6 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Mb) | QTL marker bracket and localisation on BTA6 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Mb) |
| ABCG2 | Transporter for ATP-related ligands | Mammary gland | 33.5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { BM1329 / BM143 }{ }^{\text {C }} \\ (26.6 \text { to } 40.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| OPN | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ regulation, Mammary gland differentiation | Mammary gland | 33.7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { BM1329 / BM143 }^{\text {c }} \\ (26.6 \text { to } 40.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| PPARGC1A | Regulation of transcription factors | Liver, kidney, heart, muscle | 41.0 | BM143 / BM4528 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ (46.1 to 58.5) |
| PGM2 | Interconversion of glucose | Muscle | $52.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ | BM143 / BM4528 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ <br> (46.1 to 58.5) |
| CSN1S1 | Milk protein component | Mammary gland | 79.7 | BM415 / AFR227 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ <br> (67.2 to 86.2) |
| SLC2A9 | Glucose transport | Liver, kidney | $97.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |

[^1]A large variation was obtained for the conservation of the peptide sequence between species (see Table 4.13). Three genes indicate a fundamental metabolic importance due to a high
peptide sequence similarity between species: $A B C G 2, P P A R G C 1 A$ and $P G M 2$ (see Figure 4.2, Figure 4.9, Figure 4.12). The expression of $O P N, P P A R G C 1 A$ and CSNIS1 is expected to be regulated by transcription factor binding sites and to be highly tissue specific (Carninci et al. 2006). The latter was reported for CSNISI (Vonderhaar and Ziska 1989; Yoshimura and OKA 1990). miRNA binding sites have not been discovered.

Table 4.13 Summary of genomic characterisation of the candidate genes

| Gene | No. of <br> exons | Intron <br> / <br> exn ratio | Size of bovine <br> peptide (No. of <br> amino acids) | Peptide sequence <br> similarity between HSA <br> and BTA (\%) | Regulatory elements <br> in 5'- region |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABCG2 | 14 | 30.8 | 655 | 90.1 | CpG island $^{\text {OPN }}$ |
| 7 | 4.1 | 278 | 69.1 | TFBs $^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| PPARGC1A | 13 | 34.5 | 796 | 96.6 | TFBs ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| PGM2 | 14 | 17.0 | 604 | 94.5 | CpG island $^{\text {TFBs }}$ a |
| CSN1S1 | 19 | 15.6 | 214 | 44.0 | b $^{\text {a }}$ |
| SLC2A9 | 13 | $a$ | 522 | 83.1 |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Transcription factor binding sites
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Prediction not possible, due to unidentified genomic region in bovine draft sequences

### 4.4. Polymorphism analysis

### 4.4.1. Identified polymorphisms

In all, 206 DNA variants, 191 SNPs and 15 INDELs were discovered. Identified DNA variants mostly have intronic position $(\mathrm{n}=134)$ or are located upstream of the transcription start site $(\mathrm{n}=40)$ (see Table 4.14). Translated regions of all six candidate genes contain 23 SNPs whereof SLC2A9 harboured almost half of these $(\mathrm{n}=11)$. No SNP was detected in translated sequence of $O P N$.

Table 4.14 Localisation of identified sequence variation

| Gene | Ups | tream |  | UTR ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | slated ion |  | ronic <br> gion |  | JTR ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { own- } \\ & \text { eam } \end{aligned}$ | $\Sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SNP | INDEL | SNP | INDEL | SNP | INDEL | SNP | INDEL | SNP | INDEL | SNP | INDEL |  |
| ABCG2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | - | 22 | - | - | - |  |  | 33 |
| OPN | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - |  |  | 11 |
| PPARGC1A | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 16 | 2 | - | - |  |  | 20 |
| PGM2 | 10 | 2 | - | - | 5 | - | 34 | 4 | 1 | - |  |  | 56 |
| CSN1S1 | 17 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 28 | 2 | - | 1 |  | d | 52 |
| SLC2A9 |  | b | c | c | 11 | - | 20 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 34 |
| $\Sigma$ | 35 | 5 | 5 | - | 23 | - | 125 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 206 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Untranslate | dregio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In total 69.2 kb genomic sequence was re-sequenced during screening for DNA variants. A fragment with the size of 30 nucleotides from the tenth exon of SLC2A9 was re-sequenced de novo, because Btau 2.0 contained a short gap-region of unknown sequence, depicted by 220 Ns '. The main focus during polymorphism screen was on the re-sequencing of sequence that was annotated in 4.2.2 Annotation of candidate genes as coding. Apart from SLC2A9, approximately 1.5 kb of sequence upstream of the transcription start site were also resequenced in each gene. $3^{\prime}$ UTR region was screened partially. Downstream sequence of $3^{\prime}$ UTR was screened exclusively in SLC2A9. Primers for the SNP screen of the coding regions were designed in intronic sequence, so that parts of intronic sequence were screened for DNA variants automatically. The entire intron was re-sequenced in case of short intronic sequence (see Table 4.15) since exons framing the intron were amplified in a single PCR product. The number of re-sequenced nucleotides per gene ranges from 7.0 kb for $S L C 2 A 9$ to 13.9 kb for PGM2. 2.97 DNA variants per 1 kb genomic sequence were identified on average across genes. Comparing the DNA-variant frequency between the genes divides the candidate genes into two categories. In ABCG2, OPN and PPARGC1A less than 3 DNA variants per 1 kb sequence were discovered but more than 3 SNPs per 1 kb were discovered in PGM2, CSNIS1 and SLC2A9.

Table 4.15 Frequency of polymorphisms as calculated from information in Table 4.14

| Gene | No. of exons | Introns completely re-sequenced | Re-sequenced nucleotides (kb) |  | Identified DNA variants per kb |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Genomic sequence | Translated sequence | Genomic sequence | Translated sequence |
| ABCG2 | 16 | - | 13.8 | 1.98 | 2.39 | 2.02 |
| OPN | 7 | 1, 2, 4 to 6 | 8.6 | 0.91 | 1.28 | 0.00 |
| PPARGC1A | 13 | 6,9 | 12.9 | 2.39 | 1.55 | 0.84 |
| PGM2 | 14 | 5,9 | 13.9 | 1.80 | 4.03 | 2.78 |
| CSN1S1 | 19 | 5,10 | 13.0 | 0.64 | 4.00 | 1.56 |
| SLC2A9 | 13 | - | 7.0 | 1.56 | 4.86 | 7.05 |
| $\Sigma$ | 82 | - | 69.2 | 9.28 |  |  |
| Average |  |  |  |  | 2.97 | 2.47 |

Confining the result of SNP-screening to translated sequence, 2.34 DNA variants were found per 1 kb over all six candidate genes (see Table 4.15). Most of the genes, like $A B C G 2$, PPARGC1A, PGM2 and CSN1S1, contain within translated sequence between one and 2.5 DNA variants per 1 kb . However, the differences between the candidate genes were immense and ranged from zero in $O P N$ to 7.05 in SLC2A9, so that SLC2A9 is characterised by a disproportionately high figure of DNA variants in translated regions. SLC2A9 was the only
gene showing a higher frequency of DNA variants in translated sequence than in nontranslated sequence.

Ten of the SNPs from translated regions were non-synonymous (see Table 4.16). Nonsynonymous SNPs were seen most frequently in SLC2A9 and three of these are located in exon 8. $A B C G 2$ and PGM2 contain two non-synonymous SNPs each. Both exchanges in $A B C G 2$ have conservative character both in PGM2 are non-conservative. The previously suggested causative mutation for milk production traits in exon 14 (Cohen-Zinder et al. 2005) was not identified by comparative sequencing of the animal panel used for SNP-screening.

Table 4.16 Identified non-synonymous SNPs
SNPs are labelled by the in-house identification code (SNP_id).

| SNP | Gene | Exon | Nucleotide exchange in translated sequence ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Amino acid exchange | Exchange character |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1293 | ABCG2 | 8 | AGGTTTCCC (A/G) CTGTGAGCC | IGF (H/R) CEP | Conservative |
| 1294 | ABCG2 | 9 | TTCCATCCT (A/G) CAAGGAGGT | LPS (Y/C) KEV | Conservative |
| 878 | PGM2 | 2 | GCAGGTAAT (A/G) CAGAAGAAC | AGN (T/A) EEL | Nonconservative |
| 858 | PGM2 | 7 | GAGGCTGTT ( $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{T}$ ) CCGAACAGA | FVP (P/S) EAV | Nonconservative |
| 1191 | CSN1S1 | 17 | AGAACAGTG (A/G) AAAGACTAC | ENS (E/G) KTT | Nonconservative |
| 1213 | SLC2A9 | 8 | TTGGGCAAA ( $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{G}$ ) AGGACNTCT | LGK (E/Q) DIS | Nonconservative |
| 1214 | SLC2A9 | 8 | AAANAGGAC (A/G) TCTCCCGNG | KED (I/V) SRE | Conservative |
| 1215 | SLC2A9 | 8 | CGNGAGATG ( $/ \mathrm{C}$ ) AGGAGGTCC | REM (Q/E) EVL | Nonconservative |
| 1226 | SLC2A9 | 11 | AGGATCATG ( $/$ / T) GCCCTGGAC | QDH (A/V) PWI | Conservative |
| 1230 | SLC2A9 | 13 | TTTGCTGCA (A/G) TCTGCTTCG | FAA ( I/V) CFA | Conservative |

### 4.4.2. Polymorphisms selected for association studies

65 polymorphisms were selected for the association studies according to the following priority order: non-synonymous SNP - SNP from untranslated sequence - SNP from the region upstream of the transcription start site- SNP with synonymous character - SNP with intronic position. The SNPs were submitted to the MassARRAY® Typer 3.4 Software (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) for primer design. This software identified six SNPs whose genotyping with MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry is not possible. Among them was SNP1214, a non-synonymous SNP of SLC2A9. Three polymorphisms are located in untranslated sequence, one in CSN1S1 (SNP1150) and two in OPN (SNP1314, SNP1315). One SNP is in intronic sequence of ABCG2 (SNP1289) and one in CSN1S1 (SNP1164). The 59 remaining SNPs were combined in four separate iPLEX assays for genotyping, the fourth assay containing one SNP only. This polymorphism, SNP1320, has intronic position in CSN1S1 and was not suitable for one of the other three assays so that it was not genotyped.

## Results

Primer design for the final set of 58 polymorphisms, 57 SNPs and one INDEL, was carried out. For detailed information about the position of each polymorphism, see Figure 4.20.
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Figure 4.20 Position of the selected polymorphisms in the candidate genes
The blue bars represent translated sequence. White bars represent untranslated sequence. Intronic sequence is represented by the black line. Size information based on annotation in Btau 3.1 is given below the bars. SNPs are labelled with the in-house identification code.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Size information from humans.

### 4.5. Genotyping result and test for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium

The final set of DNA variants for the association studies contains 58 DNA variants, 57 SNPs and one insertion - deletion polymorphism (INDEL). 50 of these variants were successfully genotyped with MALDI-TOF MS. Table 4.17 lists all SNPs for which a genotyping result could not be obtained.

Table 4.17 SNPs with failed genotyping

| SNP | Gene | SNP position | Reason for failed genotyping |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1198 | OPN | Intron 1 | Clusters not well differentiated |
| 1253 | PPARGC1A | Intron 12 | Primer amplification failed |
| 1255 | PPARGC1A | Intron 11 | Primer amplification failed |
| 1151 | CSN1S1 | Upstream | Primer amplification failed |
| 1155 | CSN1S1 | Upstream | Primer amplification failed |
| 1178 | CSN1S1 | Intron 13 | Primer amplification failed |
| 1230 | SLC2A9 | Exon 13 | Primer amplification failed |

The number of genotyped animals per marker is on average 2852.9 and ranges from 2620 (SNP1302) to 2920 (SNP1247). Accepting the common rule of a minor allele frequency
(MAF) for SNPs of more than $1 \%$, BV and FV are monomorphic at five markers, respectively. In BV, the MAF is lower than 0.01 at SNP1293, SNP1294, SNP1331, SNP1239 and SNP1209 and lower than 0.01 in FV at SNP1293, SNP1294, SNP1223, SNP1209 and SNP1206 (see Table 4.18). HF is monomorphic at nine SNPs: SNP1287, SNP1302, SNP1191, SNP1188, SNP1175, SNP1165, SNP1223, SNP1213 and SNP1206.

## Table 4.18 Results from genotyping

Polymorphisms are described by SNP_id, the in-house SNP-identification code. In addition, the gene, the position in the gene and the nucleotide exchange is listed. Columns five, six and seven comprehend for each breed the minor allele with frequency. The SNP position on BTA6 (Btau 3.1) is given by nucleotide position (bp).

| SNP | SNP position | Nucleotide exchange | Minor allele (frequency) |  |  | Localisation on BTA6 (bp) in Btau 3.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BV | FV | HF |  |
| ABCG2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1303 | Upstream | A $>$ G | G (0.474) | G (0.278) | A (0.437) | 33549254 |
| 1310 | 5' UTR | A $>$ T | A (0.113) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | A (0.395) | A (0.074) | 33549828 |
| 1279 | Intron 3 | A > T | T (0.030) | T (0.190) | A (0.441) | 33583613 |
| 1287 | Exon 5 | $C>T$ | C (0.474) | C (0.183) | C (0.001) | 33584710 |
| 1292 | Intron 7 | A $>$ G | A (0.117) | A (0.449) | A (0.472) | 33595516 |
| 1293 | Exon 8 | A $>\mathrm{G}$ | A (0.000) | A (0.001) | A (0.243) | 33597436 |
| 1294 | Exon 9 | A $>\mathrm{G}$ | G (0.001) | G (0.000) | G (0.153) | 33598812 |
| 1299 | Intron 11 | $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ | G (0.030) | G (0.040) | G (0.158) | 33610928 |
| 1302 | Intron 15 | A $>\mathrm{G}$ | A (0.455) | G (0.287) | G (0.006) | 33620004 |
| MAF average |  |  | 0.188 | 0.203 | 0.221 |  |


| OPN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1331 | Intron 5 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | C (0.001) | C (0.073) | C (0.477) | 33712386 |
| 1197 | Intron 1 | A $>\mathrm{G}$ | A (0.067) | A (0.406) | A (0.277) | 33716486 |
| 1195 | 5' UTR | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | G (0.067) | G (0.484) | A (0.076) | 33717226 |
| 1194 | 5' UTR | $C>T$ | T (0.495) | T (0.279) | T (0.035) | 33717228 |
| 1193 | 5 ' end | $C>T$ | T (0.066) | T (0.409) | T (0.278) | 33717256 |
| MAF average |  |  | 0.139 | 0.330 | 0.237 |  |
| PPARGC1A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1247 | Intron 12 | A $>$ C | C (0.324) | A (0.420) | C (0.107) | 41143032 |
| 1246 | Intron 9 | $C>T$ | T (0.060) | T (0.132) | T (0.219) | 41157582 |
| 1244 | Exon 8 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | T (0.294) | T (0.392) | C (0.170) | 41158848 |
| 1242 | Intron 7 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | A (0.481) | A (0.231) | A (0.483) | 41170736 |
| 1239 | Intron 4 | $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ | T (0.000) | T (0.015) | T (0.071) | 41175394 |
| 1238 | Intron 2 | A $>\mathrm{G}$ | G (0.494) | A (0.490) | G (0.371) | 41178281 |
| MAF average |  |  | 0.276 | 0.280 | 0.237 |  |


| PGM2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1321 | Upstream | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $\mathrm{A}(0.211)$ | $\mathrm{A}(0.079)$ | $\mathrm{A}(0.340)$ | $52179988^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 878 | Exon 2 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $\mathrm{G}(0.185)$ | $\mathrm{G}(0.287)$ | $\mathrm{G}(0.096)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $52184812^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 837 | Exon 3 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $\mathrm{C}(0.213)$ | $\mathrm{C}(0.089)$ | $\mathrm{C}(0.409)$ | $52190478^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 843 | Intron 4 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $\mathrm{A}(0.214)$ | $\mathrm{A}(0.089)$ | $\mathrm{A}(0.408)$ | $52194174^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 858 | Exon 7 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $\mathrm{T}(0.213)$ | $\mathrm{T}(0.090)$ | $\mathrm{T}(0.407)$ | $52199253^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 1379 | Intron 7 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{G}$ | $\mathrm{C}(0.213)$ | $\mathrm{C}(0.088)$ | $\mathrm{C}(0.407)$ | $52200022^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 851 | Intron 8 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $\mathrm{A}(0.211)$ | $\mathrm{A}(0.089)$ | $\mathrm{A}(0.408)$ | $52200411^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 874 | Exon 11 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $\mathrm{C}(0.214)$ | $\mathrm{C}(0.089)$ | $\mathrm{C}(0.407)$ | $52205780^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 877 | Intron 12 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | $\mathrm{T}(0.156)$ | $\mathrm{T}(0.470)$ | $\mathrm{T}(0.433)$ | $52210430^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 875 | 3' UTR | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | $\mathrm{A}(0.216)$ | $\mathrm{A}(0.088)$ | $\mathrm{A}(0.408)$ | $52215454^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| MAF average |  | 0.205 | 0.146 | 0.372 |  |  |


| CSN1S1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1191 | Exon 17 | A $>\mathrm{G}$ | G (0.068) | G (0.076) | G (0.003) | 79753530 |
| 1188 | Intron 15 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | G (0.050) | G (0.076) | G (0.003) | 79754369 |
| 1176 | Intron 12 | $C>T$ | T (0.333) | T (0.270) | T (0.454) | 79758171 |
| 1175 | Intron 8 | $C>T$ | C (0.050) | C (0.076) | C (0.003) | 79760940 |
| 1174 | Intron 7 | A $>$ T | T (0.194) | T (0.162) | T (0.308) | 79762464 |
| 1170 | Intron 5 | $\mathrm{D}>\mathrm{T}$ | T (0.357) | D (0.416) | T (0.456) | 79763772 |
| 1166 | 5' UTR | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | T (0.050) | T (0.090) | T (0.050) | 79767761 |
| 1165 | Intron 1 | A $>$ C | A (0.047) | A (0.089) | A (0.002) | 79767876 |
| 1161 | 5' UTR | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | C (0.050) | C (0.092) | C (0.050) | 79769175 |
| MAF average |  |  | 0.133 | 0.150 | 0.148 |  |
| SLC2A9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1232 | 3' UTR | A $>$ C | A (0.470) | C (0.373) | C (0.369) | $96990000{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1226 | Exon 11 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | T (0.038) | T (0.074) | T (0.053) | $97048880^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1225 | Intron 10 | A $>\mathrm{G}$ | G (0.116) | G (0.328) | G (0.358) | $97051880{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1223 | Intron 9 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | G (0.216) | G (0.003) | G (0.000) | $97068880{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1215 | Exon 8 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{G}$ | G (0.399) | G (0.486) | G (0.361) | $97081380{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1213 | Exon 8 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{G}$ | C (0.221) | C (0.197) | C (0.002) | $97081400{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1210 | Exon 7 | $\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{G}$ | A (0.087) | A (0.092) | A (0.220) | $97102400{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1209 | Intron 6 | $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ | $\mathrm{T}(0.007){ }^{\text {c }}$ | T (0.003) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{T}(0.017){ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $97141229^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1208 | Intron 4 | A $>$ G | A (0.340) | G (0.404) | A (0.116) | $97157129^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1206 | Exon 4 | $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{T}$ | T (0.047) | T (0.007) | T (0.003) | $97157399^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1199 | Intron 1 | $\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{T}$ | G (0.252) | G (0.263) | G (0.267) | $97181400{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| MAF average |  |  | 0.199 | 0.203 | 0.161 |  |
| MAF average across all genes |  |  | 0.190 | 0.204 | 0.227 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Chromosomal positions of PGM2 polymorphisms are calculated using the annotation in Chr.Un.003.335 (Btau |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positioning of candidate genes in bovine draft sequences) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Chromosomal positions of SLC2A9 polymorphisms are calculated using the annotation in Btau 2.0 (see 4.2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positioning of candidate genes in bovine draft sequences) and assuming a chromosomal anchorage of the 5 splice donor of exon 3 at 97157422 bp on BTA6 in Btau 3.1 (see 4.2.1 Positioning of candidate genes in bovine draft sequences) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) departure from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The MAF average within breeds calculated with all markers is comparable across breeds. BV has a total MAF average of 0.190, ranging from fixation (SNP1293, SNP1239) to 0.495 (SNP1194). FV has a total MAF average of 0.204, with MAFs between zero (SNP1294) and 0.490 (SNP1238). HF shows the highest total MAF mean, 0.227 (see Table 4.18) and MAFs range from fixation (SNP1223) to 0.483 (SNP1242). A subset of 40 among all 50 genotyped markers shows identical minor alleles across breeds whereas PGM2 presents for each marker x breed combination the same minor allele. CSN1S1 is characterised by the lowest MAF average across breeds (0.143) and exhibits the highest level of allelic fixation. PPARGC1A has a mean MAF of 0.264 across breeds showing the lowest level of allelic fixation among all observed genes.

However, when regarding genes separately, there are differences in the allele frequencies between breeds. BV has the lowest intra-gene MAF average in CSN1S1 (0.133) and the highest in PPARGC1A (0.276). FV shows the minimal MAF average in PGM2 (0.146) and the maximal average in $\operatorname{OPN}(0.330)$. CSN1S1 is the gene with the lowest MAF average in

HF (0.148), the highest mean MAF in HF appears in PGM2 (0.372), so that differences in the MAF averages per gene were obtained between breeds. The allele frequency of neutral variants is pushed either upwards or downwards by selection on a linked beneficial mutation (Kim 2006). An excess of high frequency derived alleles can be a signature of single selective sweeps. Selective sweeps generate a local reduction of sequence variation (KIM and STEPHAN 2002) and DNA affected by selective sweeps contains fewer segregating alleles of intermediate frequency (Braverman et al. 1995). CSN1S1 has a low MAF average across breeds. This fact might indicate that the casein gene cluster has been under intense selective pressure in all breeds.
Markers were tested within breeds for a departure from the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE). Results were adjusted to multiple testing according to the Bonferroni correction method and markers that depart from HWE expectation are marked in Table 4.18. SNP1209 does not fit HWE expectation in all breeds, showing a deficit in heterozygous animals in BV, FV and HF. Additionally in both BV and HF, one marker does not comply with the HWE expectation. SNP1310 deviates in BV with a heterozygous deficit. SNP878 deviates in HF showing excess in homozygous GG animals.
The test for HWE is a useful indicator for genotype frequencies within populations and whether the frequencies are based on a valid definition of alleles. Calculations during association studies presume that the observed population is in HWE. HWE assumes stable populations under random mating and without selective pressure, migration or genetic drift (Falconer and Mackay 1996). Therefore, departure from the HWE expectation can be an indication that one of these assumptions is not true. One the other hand, a departure from HWE might indicate technical problems during genotyping. Since SNP1209 departs from HWE expectation in all breeds, problems during genotyping, such as an undetected polymorphism in the genomic region of primer annealing is likely. Both the other polymorphisms appear breed-specific and might occur for breed-specific, population genetical reasons, rather than from technical problems during genotyping.

### 4.6. Linkage Disequilibrium between candidate genes

Two measures are commonly used to evaluate LD: $D^{\prime}$ and $r^{2}$. Neither is completely independent of allele frequency (Lewontin 1988). However, the $D^{\prime}$ coefficient was used since the estimation of its variation does not depend on allele frequency (Hedrick 1987; Hedrick 1988; LEWONTIN 1988). $D^{\prime}$ averages for marker pairs within genes are $>0.80$ across all gene $x$
breed combinations, apart from SLC2A9 in FV and HF and from ABCG2 in HF (see Table 4.19).

Table 4.19 Breed average of $D^{\prime}$ for gene $\boldsymbol{x}$ gene combinations
Diagonal values represent $D^{\prime}$ averages for markers if both markers are located in the same gene, off-diagonal values are $D^{\prime}$ averages for maker pairs of different genes.

|  | ABCG2 | OPN | PPARGC1A | PGM2 | CSN1S1 | SLC2A9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BV |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABCG2 | 0,801 | 0,496 | 0,443 | 0,446 | 0,320 | 0,353 |
| OPN |  | 0,817 | 0,410 | 0,219 | 0,201 | 0,292 |
| PPARGC1A |  |  | 0,893 | 0,262 | 0,366 | 0,227 |
| PGM2 |  |  |  | 0,970 | 0,249 | 0,311 |
| CSN1S1 |  |  |  |  | 0,972 | 0,587 |
| SLC2A9 |  |  |  |  |  | 0,808 |
| FV |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABCG2 | 0,826 | 0,846 | 0,346 | 0,224 | 0,300 | 0,323 |
| OPN |  | 0,999 | 0,254 | 0,153 | 0,100 | 0,262 |
| PPARGC1A |  |  | 0,843 | 0,279 | 0,135 | 0,268 |
| PGM2 |  |  |  | 0,936 | 0,086 | 0,306 |
| CSN1S1 |  |  |  |  | 0,971 | 0,310 |
| SLC2A9 |  |  |  |  |  | 0,611 |
| HF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABCG2 | 0,772 | 0,570 | 0,427 | 0,240 | 0,350 | 0,254 |
| OPN |  | 0,998 | 0,543 | 0,171 | 0,213 | 0,219 |
| PPARGC1A |  |  | 0,860 | 0,209 | 0,276 | 0,214 |
| PGM2 |  |  |  | 0,893 | 0,364 | 0,167 |
| CSN1S1 |  |  |  |  | 0,919 | 0,360 |
| SLC2A9 |  |  |  |  |  | 0,649 |

Across breeds, SLC2A9 has the lowest $D^{\prime}$ average while CSN1S1 and PGM2 show the highest level of LD among all observed genes. In BV, ABCG2 and CSN1S1 show the lowest and highest intra-gene LD respectively. SLC2A9 and OPN show the lowest and highest LD within genes (see Table 4.19) in FV and HF. Only in FV, between ABCG2 and OPN, does LD between genes reach a level that otherwise appears for marker-pairs within a gene ( $D^{\prime}=$ 0.846). The average $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ for marker-pairs with $<5 \mathrm{cM}$ distance is 0.816 in $\mathrm{BV}, 0.832$ in FV and 0.777 in HF, which is approximately equivalent to the $D^{\prime}$ average for markers within genes (see 4.1 Selection of candidate genes). Markers that are located $>20 \mathrm{cM}$ apart show, on average, $D^{\prime}$ values of 0.296 in BV, 0.228 in FV and 0.261 in HF. Haploview (Barrett et al. 2005) was used to visualize the breed-specific pattern of LD. The MAF threshold for SNPs to be included in the Haploview analysis was set at 0.01 to eliminate monomorphic markers. HWE-expectation was tested and markers were excluded when $\mathrm{p}<0.001$. The higher number of marker-pairs between CSN1S1 and SLC2A9 showing a $D^{\prime}>0.80$ in BV (see Figure 4.21), as well as the higher number of marker-pairs of $A B C G 2$ and $P P A R G C 1 A$ showing a $D^{\prime}>0.80$
in HF (see Figure 4.23) are examples for the breed-specific pattern of LD. The LD plot for FV is shown in Figure 4.22.


Figure 4.21 LD plot for BV across the chromosome segments
Each diamond contains the level of LD, measured in $D^{\prime}$, between the markers specified, visualized using Haploview (Barrett et al. 2005). The intensity of the colours corresponds to levels of $D^{\prime}$. Markers belonging to the same gene are framed by a black triangle. The SNPs are identified by the in-house database code (SNP_id), represented above the plot in vertical orientation.


Figure 4.22 LD plot for FV across the chromosome segments
Each diamond contains the level of LD, measured in $D^{\prime}$, between the markers specified, visualized using Haploview (Barrett et al. 2005). The intensity of the colours corresponds to levels of $D^{\prime}$. Markers belonging to the same gene are framed by a black triangle. The SNPs are identified by the in-house database code (SNP_id), represented above the plot in vertical orientation.


Figure 4.23 LD plot for HF across the chromosome segments
Each diamond contains the level of LD, measured in $D^{\prime}$, between the markers specified, visualized using Haploview (Barrett et al. 2005). The intensity of the colours corresponds to levels of $D^{\prime}$. Markers belonging to the same gene are framed by a black triangle. The SNPs are identified by the in-house database code (SNP_id), represented Figure the plot in vertical orientation.
$D^{\prime}$ was analysed by means of a Malecot model to study the pattern of LD in the breeds relative to the marker distance. The decay of $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ with increasing distance (see Figure 4.24) is highly significant ( $p<0.001$ ) across breeds (see Table 4.20). The parameter $k$ represents the expected decay of $D^{\prime}$ when the marker distance increases by the value of 1 Mb (see Table 4.20).

Table 4.20 Parameter estimates from Malecot model

| Breed | Parameter | Estimate $\pm$ Standard error | $p$-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| BV | $L$ | $0.319 \pm 0.009$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $M$ | $0.731 \pm 0.020$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $k$ | $0.158 \pm 0.017$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| FV | $L$ | $0.233 \pm 0.007$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $M$ | $0.793 \pm 0.016$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $k$ | $0.281 \pm 0.038$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| HF | $L$ | $0.254 \pm 0.008$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $M$ | $0.710 \pm 0.017$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $k$ | $0.171 \pm 0.018$ | $p<0.001$ |

The background $D^{\prime}$ for loosely linked markers ( $>20 \mathrm{Mb}$ apart) is about 0.30 across breeds, while the level of background $D^{\prime}$ is marginally higher in BV than in FV and HF. The decay of $D^{\prime}$ with marker distance is most rapid in FV and least rapid in BV (see Figure 4.24).


Figure 4.24 Malecot model estimated dependence of $D^{\prime}$ on physical marker distance

The modified Malecot model was applied in order to ascertain, taking physical distance into account, whether LD within genes is more extensive than LD between genes. LD is significantly higher within genes than between genes in BV and HF, but not in FV, as parameterised by $k_{2}$ (see Table 4.21).

Table 4.21 Parameter estimates from the modified Malecot model

| Breed | Parameter | Estimate $\pm$ Standard error | $p$-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| BV | $L$ | $0.270 \pm 0.030$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $M$ | $0.838 \pm 0.020$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $k_{1}$ | $0.038 \pm 0.015$ | $p<0.05$ |
|  | $k_{2}$ | $1.018 \pm 0.104$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| FV | $L$ | $0.234 \pm 0.007$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $M$ | $0.786 \pm 0.017$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $k_{1}$ | $0.291 \pm 0.040$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $k_{2}$ | $0.058 \pm 0.053$ | $p>0.05$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| HF | $L$ | $0.250 \pm 0.009$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $M$ | $0.764 \pm 0.018$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $k_{1}$ | $0.123 \pm 0.018$ | $p<0.001$ |
|  | $k_{2}$ | $0.510 \pm 0.089$ | $p<0.001$ |

### 4.7. Constructed haplotypes

SimWalk2 v2.91 was used for haplotype construction including parental information. Only sires of paternal half-sib families counting of $\geq 10$ sons were included in SimWalk2 haplotype estimation. In case of smaller half-sib family groups, haplotypes were derived with fastPHASE, which does not use pedigree information. Gabriel et. al (2002) proposed obtaining haplotypes with marker pairs showing a $D^{\prime}>0.90$ (Gabriel et al. 2002). In most cases, results from LD analysis show $D^{\prime}>0.85$ for markers within genes (see 4.6 Linkage Disequilibrium between candidate genes). SLC2A9 showed $D^{\prime}<0.70$ in FV and HF, but a different block definition than that incorporating all SLC2A9-markers does not appear more obvious (see Figure 4.22). Thus, haplotypes were constructed separately for each gene by incorporating all markers, except for $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$ in FV. $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$ are almost in complete LD in FV, so haplotypes were constructed that incorporate markers of both genes. Only haplotypes that occurred more frequently than $2.5 \%$ were considered.

In total, 62 haplotypes were constructed (see Table 11.3), most of them in SLC2A9 as a consequence of relatively low LD. As a consequence of extensive LD, CSN1S1 and PGM2 are the loci that have the highest amount of haplotypes that are shared between breeds (see Table 4.22).

Table 4.22 Haplotype sharing between breeds

|  | Number of constructed haplotypes |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene | Total | Shared between all breeds | Shared between two breeds |
| $A B C G 2$ | 10 | $a$ | 2 |
| OPN | 5 | $a$ | 3 |
| PPARGC1A | 8 | 5 | 0 |
| PGM2 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| CSN1S1 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| SLC2A9 | 18 | 5 | 2 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Haplotypes in FV were constructed with markers of $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$

### 4.8. Association studies with milk production traits

### 4.8.1. $\quad$ Single marker analysis (SMA)

### 4.8.1.1 $\quad$ Markers of ABCG 2 in single marker analysis

ABCG2 markers show associations with milk production traits only in HF, with two markers (SNP1293, SNP1294) being significantly associated (see Figure 4.25). Both are nonsynonymous polymorphisms causing conservative amino acid exchanges (see 4.4.1 Identified
polymorphisms). SNP1293 is associated significantly with FY3 and PY2, whereas SNP1294 exhibits a significant association with PY2. The p-values for SNP1293 on FY2 and PY3 and for SNP1294 on FY3 are marginally above the threshold of significance ( $p<0.01, F D R>$ $10 \%$ ) (see Figure 4.25). Suggestive effects ( $p<0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ) on MY2 and MY3 were identified for both markers. The significant effects $(\alpha / 2)$ for the G allele of SNP1293 range from +2.58 kg in PY2 to +3.56 kg in FY3 (see Table 11.8).


Figure 4.25 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) of $A B C G 2$-markers with $F D R<10 \%$

### 4.8.1.2. $\quad$ Markers of OPN in single marker analysis

OPN, namely SNP1331, exhibits significant effects on milk production traits exclusively in HF. SNP1331 is located in the fifth intron and significant associations were discovered on FY3, PY2 and PY3. The estimated effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) for the T variant of SNP1331 vary between 2.41 kg in PY2 and -3.83 kg in FY3 (see Table 11.8). Suggestive associations ( $p<0.05, F D R$ $>10 \%$ ) were observed with MY3, FY1, FY2 and PY1 (see Figure 4.26).


Figure 4.26 Significance level and effects $(\alpha / 2)$ of $O P N$-markers with $F D R<10 \%$

### 4.8.1.3. $\quad$ Markers of PPARGC1A in single marker analysis

PPARGC1A markers show significant effects on milk production traits in each breed and at three intronic polymorphisms: SNP1238, SNP1239 and SNP1242. Significant associations were detected in BV for SNP1238 and SNP1242 with MY2 and FY2 (see Table 11.5), in FV for SNP1238 with FC1 (see Table 11.7) and in HF for SNP1239 with FY3, PY2 and PY3 (see Table 11.8). None of the associated markers shows significant effects through all three lactations (see Figure 4.27). In HF the effects of SNP1239 on MY3, FY1, FY2, and PY1 are suggestive ( $p<0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ). In FV the $p$-value of SNP1238 is marginally above the threshold of significance ( $p<0.01, F D R>10 \%$ ) for FC2 and suggestive for FC3 ( $p<0.05$, $F D R>10 \%$ ). In BV, only MY2 and FY2 are significantly associated with SNP1238 and the effects on MY1 and FY1 are suggestive ( $p<0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ). The effects $(\alpha / 2)$ for the T allele of SNP1239 range from +4.38 kg in PY2 and +7.17 kg in FY3 in HF (see Table 11.8) to +1.01 kg on FY2 for SNP1238 in BV. In FV, the G variant of SNP1238 is significantly associated with an effect ( $\alpha / 2$ ) of $-0.023 \%$ in FC1.


Figure 4.27 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) of PPARGC1A-markers with $F D R<10 \%$

### 4.8.1.4 $\quad$ Markers of PGM2 in single marker analysis

Among the markers of PGM2, only SNP877 is significantly associated in FV. This polymorphism, located in intron 12, exhibits a significant effect on FC3 (see Figure 4.28) and the estimated effect ( $\alpha / 2$ ) for its T variant is $-0.029 \%$ (see Table 11.7). SNP877 does not show any association with FC1 and FC2 (see Table 11.7).


Figure 4.28 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) of PGM2-markers with $F D R<10 \%$

### 4.8.1.5. $\quad$ Markers of CSN1S1 in single marker analysis

CSN1S1 has nine significantly associated markers across all breeds and traits and associations have mainly been detected with protein content. In BV and FV however, CSN1S1 markers also show significant effects on fat content and milk yield (see Figure 4.29). Among the significantly associated markers is SNP1191, the non-synonymous polymorphism in exon 17. SNP1191 exhibits significant effects on MY1, FC2, PC1 and PC2 in BV and on PC1, PC2 and PC3 in FV. The associations of CSN1S1 markers with protein content are, for the most part, significant in all lactations. If not, the $p$-values for the non-significant lactations are just above the threshold of significance ( $p<0.01, F D R>10 \%$ ) (see Figure 4.29). The effect directions, increasing or decreasing, are comparable across breeds at each SNP, although effect sizes differ between breeds. In BV the effects $(\alpha / 2)$ range from $+0.033 \%$ for the G allele of SNP1191 in FC1 to $+0.064 \%$ for the G allele of SNP1188 in FC1 (see Table 11.4). FV effects vary from $+0.019 \%$ for the T variant of SNP1176 on FC1 and FC2 to $+0.39 \%$ for
the T variant of INDEL1170 in FC3 (see Table 11.6), whereas the HF effects on protein content are between $+0.13 \%$ for the T allele of INDEL1170 in PC1 and $+0.19 \%$ for the T allele of SNP1 174 in PC2 (see Table 11.8). SMA in HF revealed suggestive associations ( $p<$ $0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ) on MY1 and MY2 for SNP1174. Antagonistic effects between milk yield and content traits were observed across all CSN1S1 markers and breeds, showing that significant effects on fat- and protein yield are minor.


Figure 4.29 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) of CSN1S1-markers with $F D R<10 \%$

### 4.8.1.6. $\quad$ Markers of SLC2A9 in single marker analysis

Six markers of SLC2A9 are significantly associated in BV. Three of the significantly associated markers are located in a translated region (see Figure 4.20). SNP1213 and SNP1215 are non-synonymous polymorphisms and SNP1206 is a synonymous polymorphism. SNP1223, SNP1208 and SNP1199 are significantly associated and have intronic position. SNP1206 exhibits significant effects on MY1, FC2, PC1 and PC2 (see Table 11.5) and suggestive effects ( $p<0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ) on MY2, FY1, PY1, PC1 and PC3
(see Figure 4.30). The T variant has an estimated effect $(\alpha / 2)$ of $+132.2 \mathrm{~kg},-0.036 \%$ and $-0.060 \%$ on MY1, PC1 and FC2, respectively. The effects $(\alpha / 2)$ for the T allele of SNP1215 range from +1.59 kg in PY1 to +3.23 kg in FY2 and for the C allele of SNP1213 from +2.33 kg in FY1 to +3.88 kg in FY2 (see Table 11.5). The $p$-values for SNP1213 on MY2, FY3, PY1 and PY3 are marginally above the threshold of significance ( $p<0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ) and a suggestive association ( $p<0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ) was found for SNP1213 in MY1. SNP1215 is significantly associated with fat- and protein yield across all lactations.


Figure 4.30 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) of SLC2A9-markers with $F D R<10 \%$

### 4.8.1.7. Summary of single marker analysis

Across breeds and traits 22 polymorphisms show significant associations and usually more than one marker of a gene is associated. Depending on breed and trait, two markers of $A B C G 2$ and PPARGC1A, respectively, one OPN-marker, six SLC2A9-markers and all
observed CSN1S1-markers are significantly associated. Significant associations of ABCG2, PPARGC1A and SLC2A9 are seen mainly with fat- and protein yield. CSN1S1 markers are significantly associated with protein content in each breed as well as significant effects on milk yield and fat content in BV and FV . The PPARGC1A-region is significantly associated across breeds, but significant trait $x$ marker combinations are breed-specific. In BV and FV, no $A B C G 2$ - / $O P N$-marker shows an association, but BV is monomorphic at each marker significantly associated in HF. FV is monomorphic at ABCG2-markers significantly associated in HF. Fewer CSN1S1-markers are significantly associated in HF than in BV or FV, but HF is monomorphic at four CSN1S1-markers (SNP1191, SNP1188, SNP1175, SNP1165) significantly associated in BV and FV.
CSN1S1 and PGM2 show the most extensive LD among all six genes but the two differ in the frequency of significantly associated SNPs (see Table 4.23): one PGM2-marker versus all CSN1S1-markers. Thus it is more likely that a QTN will be located in CSN1S1 than in PGM2.

Table 4.23 Linkage disequilibrium ( $D^{\prime}$ average) for gene $\boldsymbol{x}$ breed combinations and number of significantly associated markers $(F D R<10 \%)$ in single marker analysis

|  | ABCG2 |  |  |  | OPN |  | PPARGC1A |  |  | PGM2 |  |  | CSN1S1 |  |  | SLC2A9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BV | FV | HF | BV | FV | HF | BV | FV | HF | BV | FV | HF | BV | FV | HF | BV | FV | HF |
| $D^{\prime}$ | 0,80 | 0,83 | 0,77 | 0,82 | 0,99 | 0,99 | 0,89 | 0,84 | 0,86 | 0,97 | 0,94 | 0,89 | 0,97 | 0,97 | 0,92 | 0,81 | 0,61 | 0,65 |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| MY2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| MY3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| FY1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| FY2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| FY3 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| PY1 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| PY2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| PY3 | - | - |  | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| FC1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| FC2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |
| FC3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| PC1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 8 | 2 | - | - | - |
| PC2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 8 | 3 | - | - | - |
| PC3 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | - |

SNPs with significant effects on fat- and protein content have effects on milk yield in opposite effect sign, so that effects on fat- and protein yield are nor significant.

Associated markers in one breed were compared in their effect directions with other breeds in case of a MAF above $1 \%$. SNPs with significant effects in a given trait in more than one breed exhibited similar effect directions across all breeds. In most cases this was also observed for SNPs that are significantly associated in one breed.

No significantly associated SNP is located in a promoter region, but seven significantly associated SNPs are located within the translated sequence. Five significantly associated SNPs are non-synonymous (SNP1293, SNP1294, SNP1191, SNP1215, SNP1213).
Finally, significantly associated markers were identified across all breeds. In most cases several markers of a gene are significantly associated. Therefore haplotypes were constructed and analysed in linear regression models.

### 4.8.2. Haplotype analysis (HA)

Haplotype analysis (HA) was carried out as described (see 3.2.9.4.2 Linear regression models in haplotype analysis (HA)) and each significantly associated haplotype was inspected for SNPs that allow discrimination of the significantly associated haplotype from all others. In cases where no SNP was identified allowing the discrimination of the significantly associated haplotype from all others, haplotypes were grouped according to the effect direction in the trait of interest and haplotype groups were screened for discriminating SNPs. SNPs that are significantly associated in SMA but do not discriminate a significantly associated haplotype can be excluded as QTNs, while discriminating SNPs or at least markers in LD with a discriminating SNP could represent a QTN. Furthermore, discriminating SNPs could be used in selection schemes. In the context of selection it would be sufficient to genotype the discriminating SNP only.

### 4.8.2.1 $\quad$ Haplotypes of ABCG2 in haplotype analysis

Haplotypes constructed with $A B C G 2$ markers were analysed in BV and HF. Four breed specific significantly associated haplotypes were discovered. ABC_HT3 shows in BV a significant effect ( $\alpha / 2$ ) of -154.61 kg on MY3 (see Table 11.9 and Figure 4.31) and nonsignificant antagonistic effects on content traits, but no specific nucleotide was identified.


Figure 4.31 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) in BV of $A B C G 2$ haplotypes with FDR $<\mathbf{1 0 \%}$

Three $A B C G 2$-haplotypes are significantly associated in HF. ABC_HT2 is significantly associated with content traits (FC1, FC2, FC3, PC1, PC2, PC3) (see Figure 4.32) and exhibits a non-significant decrease in MY1, MY2 and MY3. However, no specific nucleotide could be detected in ABC_HT2. This is in accordance with SMA, which failed to detect an association for any $A B C G 2$ marker on any milk content trait (see 4.8.1.1 Markers of $A B C G 2$ in single marker analysis). ABC_HT6 and ABC_HT8 are associated with protein yield and the effects $(\alpha / 2),-2.89 \mathrm{~kg}$ on PY2 for ABC_HT6 and +2.74 kg on PY3 for ABC_HT8, are significant (see Table 11.17). ABC_HT6 shows suggestive associations ( $p<0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ) with MY3, FY2, FY3 and PY2, and for ABC_HT8 suggestive associations ( $p<0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ) were observed with MY2, FY2, FY3, PY1 and PY3 (see Figure 4.32). Both haplotypes are frequent in HF , but were not detected in BV .


Figure 4.32 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) in HF of $A B C G 2$ haplotypes with FDR $<10 \%$

SNP1294 tags ABC_HT8 since ABC_HT8 is the only haplotype that carries the G variant of SNP1294. This is the rare variant of SNP1294, which is associated significantly in SMA with a decline of 2.91 in PY2. ABC_HT6 does not carry any specific nucleotide itself, but together with other haplotypes whose effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) on PY1, PY2 and PY3 have a positive effect sign, the tagging role of SNP1293 becomes evident as Tag-position. Haplotypes whose effects $(\alpha / 2)$ on PY1, PY2 and PY3 are trait increasing carry the G variant, haplotypes that carry the A variant of SNP1293 are trait decreasing. This corresponds well to the result from SMA, where a significant increase was detected for the G variant of SNP1293 in PY2. Consequently, both non-synonymous SNPs of ABCG2, which were significantly associated in SMA, were identified tagging significantly associated haplotypes.

### 4.8.2.2. $\quad$ Haplotypes of OPN in haplotype analysis

Haplotype OP_HT4 and haplotype OP_HT5 are significantly associated in HF. OP_HT5 shows significant associations with PC2 $(\alpha / 2=-0.017 \%)$ and PC3 $(\alpha / 2=-0.016)$. The decline in MY1, MY2 and MY3 is not significant. Compared with trait-increasing OPNhaplotypes in PC2 and PC3, OP_HT5 is not specific at any marker. OP_HT4 is the most
frequent haplotype in HF and significantly associated with FY2, PY2 and PY3 (see Figure 4.33). The effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) range from +1.95 kg in PY2 to +3.18 kg in FY3 (see Table 11.18). OPN-haplotypes with negative effects on FY3, PY2, and PY3 differ from OP_HT5 in the T allele at SNP1331. In SMA, the C allele of SNP1331 is significantly associated with an increase in FY3, PY2 and PY3.


Figure 4.33 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) in HF of $O P N$ haplotypes with FDR $<10 \%$
4.8.2.3. Haplotypes constructed with ABCG2- and OPN-polymorphisms in haplotype analysis

Haplotypes constructed with markers of $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$ were analysed exclusively in FV (see 4.7 Constructed haplotypes). Haplotype ABOP_HT1 exhibits a significant decrease in PC1 and PC2 of $-0.038 \%$ and $-0.040 \%$, respectively (see Table 11.13). Suggestive associations ( $p<0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ) on MY1, MY2 and MY3 are antagonistic and diminish effects on fat- or protein yield (see Figure 4.34). ABOP_HT1 is the only haplotype in FV with a decline in PC1, PC2 and PC3, but no SNP was identified that tags ABOP_HT1. SMA in FV yielded no significant association on protein content for any $A B C G 2$ - or $O P N$-marker.


Figure 4.34 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) in FV of haplotypes incorporating $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$ markers with $F D R<10 \%$

### 4.8.2.4. $\quad$ Haplotypes of PPARGC1A in haplotype analysis

Eight haplotypes were constructed with PPARGC1A-markers of which six are significantly associated (see Table 11.10, Table 11.14 and Table 11.19). PPARG_HT4 exhibits significant effects in HF on FC1 $(\alpha / 2=+0.039 \%)$ (see Table 11.19 and Figure 4.37) and in FV on FC1 $(\alpha / 2=+0.027 \%)$, FC2 $(\alpha / 2=+0.028 \%)$ and FC3 $(\alpha / 2=+0.036 \%)$ (see Table 11.14 and Figure 4.36). Antagonistic effects on MY1, MY2 and MY3 were observed in both breeds but were only significant on MY1 in FV. Identical results from HA in two breeds however, did not result in an identical SNP being discriminatory for PPARG_HT4 in both breeds. SNP1238 tags PPARG_HT4 in FV, while SNP1247 tags PPARG_HT4 in HF. The A allele at SNP1238 is specific for PPARG_HT4 in FV, the C allele at SNP1247 is specific for PPARG_HT4 in HF. Both polymorphisms showed comparable effects on FC1, FC2 and FC3 in SMA, but only SNP1238 was significantly associated.

Haplotype PPARG_HT2 was identified with a significant effect of $+2.23 \mathrm{~kg}(\alpha / 2)$ in FY2 in BV (see Table 11.10 and Figure 4.35). The identification of an SNP tagging PPARG_HT2 was ambiguous. Regarding only the frequent haplotypes, PPARG_HT2 was the only
haplotype in BV carrying the A variant at SNP1242 and the G variant at SNP1238 (see 11.3 Haplotypes). Both polymorphisms were identified significantly in SMA of BV with an effect of +1.23 kg on FY2 for the G allele of SNP1238 and +1.01 kg for the G allele of SNP1242.


Figure 4.35 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) in BV of PPARGC1A haplotypes with FDR $<10 \%$

PPARG_HT6 shows significant effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) on FC2 ( $-0.024 \%$ ) and on FC3 ( $-0.021 \%$ ) (see Table 11.14 and Figure 4.36) in FV. No nucleotide is specific for PPARG_HT6, but PPARG_HT6 carries the G variant at SNP1238 and has the largest effect deviation in FC2 and FC3 from the PPARG_HT4-effect among all haplotypes with the G allele at SNP1238. This explains the significant association of PPARG_HT6.


Figure 4.36 Significance level and effects $(\alpha / 2)$ in FV of PPARGC1A haplotypes with FDR $<10 \%$

In HF, haplotype PPARG_HT7 shows significant effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) on FY3 ( +7.25 kg ), PY2 ( +4.32 kg ), PY3 ( +6.27 kg ) and PC3 ( $+0.031 \%$ ). Associations with MY3, FY2 and PY1 are suggestive ( $p<0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ) (see Figure 4.37 and Table 11.19). The T nucleotide at SNP1239 is haplotype-discriminating, and was also significantly associated in SMA with significantly increased FY2, PY2 and PY3. The significant effect of PPARG_HT8 on FC1 and FC2 in HF is explained by the largest effect deviation in FC1 and FC2 from the effects of PPARG_HT4. PPARG_HT8 carries the A allele and PPARG_HT4 the C allele at SNP1247.


Figure 4.37 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) in HF of PPARGC1A haplotypes with FDR $<\mathbf{1 0 \%}$

### 4.8.2.5. $\quad$ Haplotypes of PGM2 in haplotype analysis

In HF, HA found PGM2_HT6 to be significantly associated with a decreased PC3 ( $\alpha / 2=$ -0.028\%) (see Table 11.20). PGM2_HT6 and PGM2_HT2 carry the A allele at SNP1321, but PGM2 haplotypes with increasing effects on PC1, PC2, and PC3 carry the G allele. SNP1321 is an A-G polymorphism located in a previously identified CpG-island in the $5^{\prime}$ end region of PGM2. SNP1321 is not significantly associated in SMA, but associations with PC1, PC2 and PC3 are suggestive ( $p<0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ) (see Figure 4.38).


Figure 4.38 Significance level and effects $(\alpha / 2)$ in HF of $P G M 2$ haplotypes with FDR < 10\%

### 4.8.2.6. $\quad$ Haplotypes of CSN1S1 in haplotype analysis

Across all breeds and traits, five among six CSN1S1-haplotypes exhibit significant effects. Three haplotypes show significant associations in more than one breed: CSN1S_HT2 in all breeds and CSN1S_HT4 and CSN1S_HT5 in BV and FV, respectively.

CSN1S_HT2 indicates significant associations on MY1, MY2, FC1, FC2 and FC3 in BV (see Figure 4.39), in FV on all content traits (FC1, FC2, FC3, PC1, PC2, PC3) (see Figure 4.40) and in HF on PC1, PC2 and PC3 (see Figure 4.41). The effects on fat percentage vary in BV from $-0.036 \%$ in FC3 to $-0,040 \%$ in FC1 (see Table 11.11) and from $-0,024 \%$ in FC2 to $0.035 \%$ in FC3 in FV. The FV-effects on protein content range from $-0.023 \%$ in PC1 to $-0.026 \%$ in PC3 (see Table 11.15), and HF-effects range from $-0,013 \%$ in PC1 to $-0.016 \%$ in PC2 (see Table 11.21). Antagonistic effects on MY1, MY2 and MY3 are observed across all breeds, but are significant (MY1, MY2) in BV, only. Across all breeds, INDEL1170 tags CSN1S_HT2. The deletion variant of SNP1170 is significantly associated in SMA with a decrease across all content traits and breeds (see Figure 4.29).
CSN1S_HT4 exhibits significant effects in BV on MY2, FC2, PC1 and PC2 and in FV on PC1, PC2, and PC3, (see Figure 4.39 and Figure 4.40). CSN1S_HT4 is rare in BV and FV and in HF the frequency is below $2.5 \%$ (see 11.3 Haplotypes). The effects range in FV from $+0.030 \%$ in PC 2 to $+0.037 \%$ in PC3 (see Table 11.15) and in BV from $+0.035 \%$ in PC1 to $+0.056 \%$ in FC2 (see Table 11.11). Antagonistic effects on milk yield are significant
exclusively in MY2 (-134,36 kg) in BV. CSN1S_HT4 is tagged by each of the following six CSN1S1 markers: SNP1191, SNP1188, SNP1175, SNP1166, SNP1165, SNP1161.

The significant effects of CSN1S_HT5 on FC1, FC2 in FV and on FC1, FC2, FC3, PC2, PC3 in BV do not correspond with any specific nucleotide.


Figure 4.39 Significance level and effects $(\alpha / 2)$ in BV of CSN1S1 haplotypes with FDR $<10 \%$


Figure 4.40 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) in FV of CSN1S1 haplotypes with FDR $<\mathbf{1 0 \%}$

CSN1S_HT3 appears across all breeds, but shows significant associations in HF exclusively and with the traits PC1, PC2 and PC3 (see Figure 4.41). Effects range from $+0.018 \%$ on PC1 to $+0.020 \%$ on PC2 (see Table 11.21) and CSN1S_HT3 is tagged by the T allele of SNP1174. SMA revealed that the T variant of SNP1174 increases PC1, PC2 and PC3 significantly in HF. Both significantly associated CSN1S1 haplotypes in HF are associated with PC1, PC2 and PC3.


Figure 4.41 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) in HF of CSN1S1 haplotypes with FDR < 10\%

### 4.8.2.7 $\quad$ Haplotypes of SLC2A9 in haplotype analysis

SLC2A9-markers yielded 18 inferred haplotypes, of which eight show significant associations. SLC2_HT8 exhibits significant effects in BV on PC1 (+0.013\%) and in FV on PC1 ( $+0.013 \%$ ) and PC2 ( $+0.014 \%$ ). The effects on milk yield are antagonistic but not significant in both breeds (see Table 11.12 and Table 11.16). In neither of these breeds was it possible to identify markers that tag SLC2_HT8.
HA in BV showed significant effects of the BV-specific SLC2_HT1 on MY2, FY1, FY2 and PY2 (see Figure 4.42) with the following effects: +2.37 kg on FY1, +4.29 kg on FY2, +3.09 kg on PY2 and +92.27 kg on MY2 (see Table 11.12). SNP1213 (C allele) and SNP1223 (G allele) tag SLC2_HT1. The C allele of SNP1213 significantly increased FY1, FY2 and PY2 and the G variant of SNP1223 significantly increased MY2, FY1, FY2 and PY2 in SMA (see 4.8.1.6 Markers of SLC2A9 in single marker analysis). SLC2_HT7 exhibits significant effects in BV on MY1 ( +118.83 kg ) and on FC2 ( $-0.058 \%$ ) (see Figure 4.42). SLC2A_HT7 appears in BV at a frequency of 4\%, and is tagged by SNP1206. An association of SNP1206 was
observed in SMA on MY1, FC1, PC1 and PC2. Haplotype SLC2_HT2 exhibits a significantly declining effect on MY2. The effects of SLC2_HT2 and SLC2_HT1 on MY2 differ most among all haplotypes: both carry opposite alleles at SNP1223 and SNP1223. The situation for SLC2_HT8 is similar, causing increased PC1. SLC2_HT8 carries the T allele at SNP1206 and its PC1-effect differs most from the effect of SLC2_HT7. Both carry opposite nucleotides at SNP1206.


Figure 4.42 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) in BV of SLC2A9 haplotypes with FDR $<10 \%$

Four SLC2A9-haplotyoes are significantly associated in FV (SLC2_HT8, SLC2_HT3, SLC2_HT12, SLC2_HT16) (see Figure 4.43) but no SNP was identified as tagging one of these haplotypes.


Figure 4.43 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) in FV of SLC2A9 haplotypes with FDR $<10 \%$

In HF, SLC2_HT2 is associated significantly with FY3 (see Table 11.22 and Figure 4.44) but a haplotype discriminating SNP was not identified.


Figure 4.44 Significance level and effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) in HF of SLC2A9 haplotypes with FDR $<10 \%$

### 4.8.2.8. Summary of haplotype analysis

Across all breeds and traits 25 haplotypes are significantly associated, of which 18 significantly associated haplotypes can be tagged by haplotype discriminating SNPs. Similar effects of the same haplotype in different breeds provide evidence for a causative mutation in the gene. In contrast, if a haplotype is significantly associated only in one breed it is more likely that the effects result from closely linked genes.
CSN1_HT2, which is discriminated from other CSN1S1-haplotypes with the deletion variant of INDEL1170, is significantly associated with content traits across all breeds. CSN1S_HT4 is associated with protein percentage in BV and FV and is tagged in both breeds by one of the following SNPs: SNP1191, SNP1188, SNP1175, SNP1166, SNP1165, SNP1161. PPARG_HT4 is associated with fat content in FV and HF, but haplotype discriminating markers are breed-specific. CSN1S_HT5 is significantly associated with fat content in BV and FV, and SLC2A_HT8 has significant effects on protein content in BV and FV but CSN1S_HT5 and SLC2A_HT8 are not tagged by a discriminating marker. Associations of other haplotypes were discovered in a single breed only.

Effects of alleles that tag a significantly associated haplotype were compared with allele effects from SMA with regard to magnitudes and directions. Across breeds and traits, 17 markers significantly associated in SMA are discriminatory for a significantly associated haplotype (see Table 4.24).

Table 4.24 Markers with significant association in single marker analysis (SMA) while being discriminatory for significantly associated haplotypes in haplotype analysis (HA)

| Breed | SNP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Associated traits (FDR < 10\%) } \\ & \text { in SMA } \end{aligned}$ | Discriminated haplotype | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Associated traits (FDR < 10\%) } \\ & \text { in HA } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BV | 1242 | MY2, FY2 | PPARG_HT2 | MY2, FY2 |
|  | 1238 | MY2, FY2 | PPARG_HT2 |  |
|  | 1191 | MY2, FC2, PC1, PC2 | CSN1S_HT4 |  |
|  | 1188 | FC1, FC2, PC1, PC2 | CSN1S_HT4 |  |
|  | 1175 | FC1, FC2, PC1, PC2 | CSN1S_HT4 | MY2, FC2, PC1, PC2 |
|  | 1166 | PC1, PC2 | CSN1S_HT4 |  |
|  | 1165 | PC2 | CSN1S_HT4 |  |
|  | 1161 | PC1, PC2 | CSN1S_HT4 |  |
|  | 1170 | MY2, FC1, FC2, FC3 | CSN1S_HT2 | MY1, MY2, FC1, FC2, FC3 |
|  | 1223 | MY2, FY1, FY2, PY2 | SLC2_HT1 | MY2, FY1, FY2, PY2 |
|  | 1213 | FY1, FY2, PY2 | SLC2_HT1 |  |
|  | 1206 | MY1, FC2, PC1, PC2 | SLC2_HT7 | MY1, FC2 |
| FV | 1238 | FC1 | PPARG_HT4 | MY1, FC1, FC2, FC3 |
|  | 1191 | PC1, PC2, PC3 | CSN1S_HT4 |  |
|  | 1188 | PC1, PC2 | CSN1S_HT4 |  |
|  | 1175 | PC1, PC2 | CSN1S_HT4 | PC1, PC2, PC3 |
|  | 1166 | PC1, PC2 | CSN1S_HT4 |  |
|  | 1165 | PC1, PC2 | CSN1S_HT4 |  |
|  | 1161 | PC1, PC2, PC3 | CSN1S_HT4 | FC1, FC2, FC3, PC1, PC2, PC3 |
|  | 1170 | MY1, FC1, FC2, FC3, PC1, PC2, PC3 | CSN1S_HT2 |  |
| HF | 1293 | FY3, PY2 | ABC_HT6 | PY3 |
|  | 1294 | PY2 | ABC_HT8 | PY2 |
|  | 1331 | FY3, PY2, PY3 | OP_HT4 | FY3, PY2, PY3 |
|  | 1239 | FY3, PY2, PY3 | PPARG_HT7 | FY3, PY2, PY3, PC3 |
|  | 1170 | PC1, PC2, PC3 | CSN1S_HT2 | PC1, PC2, PC3 |
|  | 1174 | PC1, PC2, PC3 | CSN1S_HT3 | PC1, PC2, PC3 |

Six markers significantly associated in SMA do not tag a significantly associated haplotype (see Table 4.25). Associations of these SNPs due to incomplete LD with (a) causative mutation(s) are more probable than a causative function of the marker itself.

Table 4.25 Markers with significant association in single marker analysis (SMA) while being non-discriminatory for significantly associated haplotypes

| Breed | Gene | SNP | Associated traits (FDR < 10\%) in SMA |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| BV | CSN1S1 | 1176 | FC1 |
|  | SLC2A9 | 1215 | MY2, FY1, FY2, FY3, PY1, PY2, PY3 |
|  | SLC2A9 | 1208 | FY1 |
|  | SLC2A9 | 1199 | MY2 |
| FV | PGM2 | 877 | FC3 |
|  | CSN1S1 | 1176 | FC1, FC2 |
| HF | CSN1S1 | 1176 | PC2 |

HA in HF also identified markers, SNP1247 and SNP1321, that discriminate a significantly associated haplotype but that are without significant association in SMA (see Table 4.26). However, $p$-values in SMA are either marginally above the threshold of significance ( $p<$ $0.01, F D R>10 \%$ ) or suggestive ( $p<0.05, F D R>10 \%$ ) (see Figure 4.27 and Figure 4.28).

Table 4.26 Discriminatory markers of significantly associated haplotypes in haplotype analysis (HA) without significant association in single marker analysis

| Breed | SNP | Discriminated haplotype | Significantly associated trait in HA |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| HF | 1247 | PPARG_HT4 | FC1 |
|  | 1321 | PGM2_HT6 | PC3 |

In conclusion, nine haplotypes showed significant associations but the identification of specific markers failed (see Table 4.27). Haplotypes capture information about the entire chromosomal region spanned by the incorporated markers. Therefore significantly associated haplotypes, indicate a causative mutation in the region although not being discriminated by a single polymorphism. Such a causative mutation is expected to be in strong LD with the haplotype, but LD to each single marker is not extensive enough to appear in SMA at one of the analysed markers. ABC_HT2 in HF and SLC_HT12 in FV are significantly associated with all content traits without being tagged by a single marker. None of the $A B C G 2$ - or SLC2A9-markers shows significant association with a content trait in SMA either in HF or FV. Extensive LD was seen within genes (see 4.6 Linkage Disequilibrium between candidate genes). Due to this the causative mutation is more likely to be located in an adjacent gene than in the gene that is investigated.

Table 4.27 Associated haplotypes (FDR $<\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ ) in haplotype analysis (HA) without discriminating polymorphism

| Breed | Haplotype | Significantly associated traits in HA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BV | ABC_HT3 | MY3 |
|  | CSN1S_HT1 | FC1 |
|  | CSN1S_HT5 | FC1, FC2, FC3, PC2, PC3 |
| FV | ABOP_HT1 | PC2, PC3 |
|  | CSN1S_HT5 | FC1, FC2 |
|  | SLC2_HT3 | $\mathrm{FY}_{2 \mathrm{FV},} \mathrm{PY} 2_{\text {FV }}$ |
|  | SLC2_HT12 | $\mathrm{FC1}_{\text {FV }}, \mathrm{FC2}_{\text {FV }}, \mathrm{FC3}_{\text {FV }}, \mathrm{PC1}_{\text {FV }}, \mathrm{PC2}_{\text {FV }}, \mathrm{PC}_{\text {FV }}$ |
|  | SLC2_HT16 | $\mathrm{FC1}_{\text {FV }}, \mathrm{PC1}_{\text {FV }}, \mathrm{PC2}_{\text {FV }}, \mathrm{PC}_{\text {FV }}$ |
| HF | ABC_HT2 | FC1, FC2, FC3, PC1, PC2, PC3 |
|  | OP_HT5 | PC1, PC2 |

More than one marker for $A B C G 2$, PPARGC1A, CSN1S1 and SLC2A9 discriminate a significantly associated haplotype and are significantly associated in SMA. Thus it is uncertain whether markers within the same gene exhibit separate effects or represent correlated effects due to LD. To identify correlated effects between markers of the same gene, MMA was carried out.

### 4.8.3. Multi marker analysis (MMA)

Multi Marker Analysis (MMA) and AIC-optimisation was applied to identify whether markers are associated due to correlated effects caused by extensive LD. MMA was used to descry distinct effects of markers that are significantly associated in SMA and discriminate a significantly associated haplotype in HA. Therefore the threshold of significance was set at $p$ $<0.05$.

In the first step and in case of several markers of a same gene that are significant associated in SMA and have a discriminating feature of a significantly associated haplotype, MMA was carried out within genes by fitting markers of the same gene. MMA within genes was performed in traits and breeds in which the markers were obtained in SMA to be significantly associated. Table 4.28 lists investigated breed $x$ marker $x$ trait combinations.

Table 4.28 Polymorphisms fitted in multi marker models within genes

| Gene | SNPs | Breed(s) | Trait |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ABCG2 | 1293,1294 | HF | FY, PY |
| PPARGC1A | 1242,1238 | BV | MY, FY |
| CSN1S1 | $1191,1188,1170,1166,1165,1161$ | BV, FV | MY, FC, PC |
|  | 1174,1170 | HF | PC |
| SLC2A9 | 1213,1206 | BV | MY, FY, PY, FC, PC |

Secondly, MMA was performed across genes in order to detect correlated effects due to LD between genes. Models in MMA across genes included markers that were identified in MMA within genes to be associated with distinct effects. MMA across genes was performed in breeds for milk production traits and for the total merit index for milk production, so-called MW in BV and FV (BLT 2007), and RZM in HF (VIT 2004). In the following in this work, the total merit index for milk production is called MW across breeds.

### 4.8.3.1. Markers of ABCG2 in multi marker analysis

SNP1293 and SNP1294 were fitted with HF-data on fat- (FY1, FY2, FY3) and protein yield (PY1, PY2, PY3). SNP1294 dropped out as non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ) (see Figure 4.45) in model selection, suggesting that the effect of SNP1294 is correlated to the effect of SNP1294 due to LD being suggested by $r^{2}=0.54$. SNP1293 is used in further analysis of HF.


Figure 4.45 Significantly associated markers of $A B C G 2$ in multi marker analysis after backward model selection

### 4.8.3.2. $\quad$ Markers of PPARGC1A in multi marker analysis

SNP1242 and SNP1238 were fitted with BV-data on milk- (MY1, MY2, MY3) and fat yield (FY1, FY3, FY3). In model selection, SNP1242 dropped out as non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ) (see Figure 4.46). This suggests that the effects of SNP1242 and SNP1238 are correlated due to LD. The $r^{2}=0.92$ between both markers. SNP1238 is taken for further analysis in BV.


Figure 4.46 Significantly associated markers of PPARGC1A in multi marker analysis after model optimisation

### 4.8.3.3 $\quad$ Markers of CSN1S1 in multi marker analysis

In BV and FV, each of SNP1191, SNP1188, SNP1175, SNP1166, SNP1165 and SNP1161 discriminates itself the significantly associated haplotype CSN1S_HT4. INDEL1170 tags CSN1S_HT2. Multi marker analyses with these markers were carried out in BV and FV on milk yield (MY1, MY2, MY3) and all content traits. 5 of the six SNPs that discriminate CSN1S_HT4 dropped out in model selection while the sixth SNP is significantly associated (see Figure 4.47). This suggests that the 6 SNPs each of which discriminates CSN1S_HT4, represent one effect and markers are significantly associated in SMA due to extensive LD (see Table 4.29). A reliable selection of one marker was not possible across traits and breeds because markers are almost in complete LD (see Table 4.29, Figure 4.21, Figure 4.22 and Table 4.29), and the marker that remained in optimised models differed between traits. However, SNP1191 is chosen to represent the effect of CSN1S_HT4 in further analyses of $B V$ and $F V$.


Figure 4.47 Significantly associated markers of CSN1S1 in multi marker analysis within genes after model optimisation

Table 4.29 Linkage disequilibrium ( $r^{2}$ ) in BV and FV for CSN1S1-markers fitted in multi marker analysis within genes

|  |  | 1188 | 1175 | 1170 | 1166 | 1165 | 1161 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BV (FV) | 1191 | $0.79(0.99)$ | $0.79(0.99)$ | $0.01(0.03)$ | $0.06(0.82)$ | $0.74(0.81)$ | $0.76(0.82)$ |
| BV (FV) | 1188 |  | $0.99(0.99)$ | $0.01(0.03)$ | $0.04(0.82)$ | $0.94(0.81)$ | $0.95(0.81)$ |
| BV (FV) | 1175 |  |  | $0.04(0.03)$ | $0.94(0,82)$ | $0.93(0,82)$ | $0,95(0,81)$ |
| BV (FV) | 1170 |  |  |  | $0.44(0.04)$ | $0.01(0.04)$ | $0.01(0.04)$ |
| BV (FV) | 1166 |  |  |  |  | $0.04(0.99)$ | $0.04(0.99)$ |
| BV (FV) | 1165 |  |  |  |  |  | $0.99(0.99)$ |

However, models for MY2 and FC3 in BV and models for FC2, PC1 and PC2 in FV included, along with one of the six CSN1S_HT4 discriminating markers, INDEL1170. A
separate effect of INDEL1170 can be assumed and is supported by low LD between INDEL1170 and markers that discriminate CSN1S_HT4 (see Table 4.29).

In HF, SNP1174 and INDEL1170 were fitted in MMA on all protein content traits. INDEL1170 dropped out during model selection as non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ), suggesting that INDEL1170 represents in HF a correlated effect of SNP1174. Both markers show extensive LD in HF: $r^{2}=0.55$ and SNP1174 is chosen for further analysis in HF.

### 4.8.3.4 $\quad$ Markers of SLC2A9 in multi marker analysis

The significant effects of SNP1223, SNP1213 and SNP1206 in SMA in BV were confirmed because SNP1206 discriminates SLC2_HT7 and each of SNP1223 and SNP1213 discriminates SLC2_HT1 in HA. Multi marker models with SNP1223, SNP1213 and SNP1206 were computed for all traits. In content traits, only SNP1206 remained after model selection, while for MY1, MY2, FY1 and PY2 two markers were selected: SNP1206 together with SNP1223 or SNP1213 (see Figure 4.48). SNP1223 and SNP1213, however, are in extensive LD $\left(r^{2}=0.96\right)$ and no reduced model included both, showing that their effects are correlated. Thus, based on results of MMA it can be concluded that SLC2A9 encompasses two markers with separate effects. One effect is represented by SNP1206, the other either by SNP1213 or by SNP1223. A definite selection of either SNP1223 or SNP1213 was not possible. SNP1213 is taken in further analysis of BV to represent the effect of SLC2_HT1 because SNP1213 was included in optimised models of the first lactation traits in which most phenotypic data is available (see Table 3.4).


Figure 4.48 Significantly associated markers of SLC2A9 in multi marker analysis within genes after model optimisation

### 4.8.3.5. $\quad$ Multi marker analysis across genes

MMA across genes analysed markers that were identified in MMA within genes to be associated with distinct effects (see Table 4.30). Models were optimised according to the AICcriteria and included the sire, and in the case of HF also the DGAT1-genotype, as fixed effects.

Table 4.30 Markers fitted in multi marker analysis across genes

| Breed | ABCG2 | OPN | PPARGC1A | PGM2 | CSN1S1 | SLC2A9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BV | - | - | 1238 | - | 1191,1170 | 1213,1206 |
| FV | - | - | 1238 | - | 1191,1170 | - |
| HF | 1293 | 1331 | 1239 | - | 1174 | - |

The effect of the DGAT1-genotype on MW is not significant. Results for markers are shown in Figure 4.49. All markers listed in Table 4.30 were identified as exhibiting distinct effect.


Figure 4.49 Significantly associated markers in multi marker analysis across genes
The significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) interaction in BV between INDEL1170 and SNP1206 in FC1 and FC2 is not displayed.

Extensive (long-range) LD between markers from Table 4.30 was not detected (see Table 4.31), which indicates the absence of correlated effects between markers from different genes. In BV, interaction between INDEL1170 and SNP1206 on FC1 and FC2 was significant ( $p<$ 0.05 ) but the interaction is based on less than 30 observations and therefore ignored in Figure 4.49 and in further analysis.

Table 4.31 Linkage disequilibrium ( $r^{2}$ ) between markers included in multi marker analysis across genes

| Breed | Gene | SNP | 1331 | 1239 | 1238 | 1191 | 1174 | 1170 | 1213 | 1206 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BV | PPARGC1A | 1238 | a | a | a | 0.01 | a | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | CSN1S1 | 1191 | a | a | a | a | a | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 1170 | a | a | a | a | a | a | 0.01 | 0.02 |
|  | SLC2A9 | 1213 | a | a | a | a | a | a | a | 0.01 |
| FV | PPARGC1A | 1238 | a | a | a | 0.00 | a | 0.00 | a | a |
|  | CSN1S1 | 1191 | a | a | a | a | a | 0.03 | a | a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HF | ABCG2 | 1293 | 0.03 | 0.01 | a | a | 0.00 | a | a | a |
|  | OPN | 1331 | a | 0.06 | a | a | 0.00 | a | a | a |
|  | PPARGC1A | 1239 | a | a | a | a | 0.03 | a | a | a |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Marker combination no fitted in multi marker analysis in this breed

Significant effects on MW were identified for SNP1191 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) and SNP1213 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) in BV (see Figure 4.49). SNP1293 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) and SNP1239 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) are significantly associated with the total merit index for milk production in HF (see Figure 4.49). Effects $(\alpha / 2)$, shown in Table 4.32, are estimated in single marker models.

Table 4.32 Regression coefficients on the number of copies of allele ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects $(\alpha / 2)$ and standard error for the total merit index of milk production (MW) of significantly associated polymorphisms ( $p<0.05$ )

|  | BV |  | HF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CSN1S1 | SLC2A9 | ABCG2 | PPARGC1A |
| Marker | 1191 | 1213 | 1293 | 1239 |
| Allele ' $x$ ' | G | G | G | T |
| MW | $-2.422 \pm 1.196$ | $-1.760 \pm 0.649$ | $1.741 \pm 0.560$ | $2.928 \pm 0.986$ |

### 4.8.4. Summary of association studies

SMA identified 22 trait- and breed-specific significantly associated SNPs (FDR < 10\%), of which 18 discriminate a significantly associated haplotype. Among these, MMA allowed in most cases the identification of those markers that exhibit distinct effects (see Table 4.30).
Haplotypes where constructed with the markers listed in Table 4.30, except SNP1238 in BV and SNP1331 in HF. SNP1238 is significantly associated in SMA of BV only in second lactation of milk- and fat yield, but not in first lactation for which most phenotypic data is available (see Table 3.4). SNP1331 is significantly associated in HF with several traits in SMA and was also confirmed in HA. However, MMA across genes included SNP1331 in the final marker set only in four traits, while SNP1293 and SNP1239 explain the major part of the SNP1331-effect in fat- and protein yield, since SNP1293 and SNP1239 are included in most cases in models for fat-and protein yield after optimisation.

The proportion of genetic variance, which is explained by the haplotypes, was calculated after haplotype construction and substitution effect estimation. Results from effect estimation are shown in Table 11.23, Table 11.24 and Table 11.25. Haplotypes were constructed as described in 3.2.9.3 Haplotype construction and substitution effects were estimated as described in 3.2.9.4.4 Haplotype analysis with haplotypes constructed with markers from the final marker set. Results from haplotype construction are shown in Table 4.33.

Table 4.33 Haplotypes constructed for calculation of explained additive genetic variance
Haplotypes were constructed separately for each breed. Only haplotypes with a frequency $>0.025$ are included. Numbers in third column indicate in vertical orientation the SNP by the in-house identification code SNP_id.

| Breed | Haplotype name | Haplotype ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BV |  | SNP |  |
|  |  | 1111 |  |
|  |  | 1122 |  |
|  |  | 9710 |  |
|  |  | 1036 |  |
|  | HT1_BV | A T C C | 0.073 |
|  | HT2_BV | A D C C | 0.120 |
|  | HT3_BV | A D G C | 0.499 |
|  | HT4_BV | A T G C | 0.227 |
|  | HT5_BV | G T G C | 0.045 |
|  | HT6_BV | A D G T | 0.036 |
| FV |  | SNP |  |
|  |  | 111 |  |
|  |  | 211 |  |
|  |  | 397 |  |
|  |  | 810 |  |
|  | HT1_FV | G A D | 0.218 |
|  | HT2_FV | A A D | 0.193 |
|  | HT3_FV | G A T | 0.262 |
|  | HT4_FV | A A T | 0.272 |
|  | HT5_FV | A G T | 0.027 |
|  | HT6_FV | G G T | 0.028 |
| HF |  | SNP |  |
|  |  | 111 |  |
|  |  | $221$ |  |
|  |  | 937 |  |
|  |  | 394 |  |
|  | HT1_HF | G G A | 0.497 |
|  | HT2_HF | A G A | 0.194 |
|  | HT3_HF | A G T | 0.052 |
|  | HT4_HF | G G T | 0.219 |
|  | HT5_HF | G T T | 0.038 |

[^2]The proportion of explained genetic variation depends on effect size and the frequency of haplotypes (see 3.2.9.4.4 Haplotype analysis with final marker set) (Lynch and Walsh 1998). Effects with larger magnitude explain more genetic variation, but a medial allele

## Results

frequency distribution is crucial for the proportion of explained genetic variation. The proportion additive genetic variance explained by the haplotype listed in Table 4.33 varies from $0.1 \%$ in fat and protein yield traits of FV to $3.3 \%$ in MY2 and PY2 of HF, and MY2 of BV (see Table 4.34). The associated markers in FV only had significant effects in milk yield and fat- and protein percentage. Antagonistic effect directions resulted in non-significant effects on fat- and protein yield. Thus the proportion of explained genetic variance in fat- and protein yield is low in FV.

Table 4.34 Proportion (\%) of genetic variance explained by haplotypes constructed with markers that are associated with distinct effects

| Trait | BV | FV | HF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MY1 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| MY2 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 3.3 |
| MY3 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| FY1 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 1.8 |
| FY2 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 2.5 |
| FY3 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 3.2 |
| PY1 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 1.6 |
| PY2 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 3.3 |
| PY3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 3.0 |

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1. General approach

Whole genome scans identified several markers on bovine chromosome 6 (BTA6) that affect milk production traits, so that this chromosome has been the focus of studies searching for causative polymorphisms for milk synthesis. However, previous studies analysed candidate genes in isolation, while in this work six genes were characterised and screened for polymorphisms. DNA-variants were analysed in association studies in various cattle breeds in order to assess the possibility of a causative site among associated polymorphisms by the breed-comparative approach.

### 5.2. Breed-comparative linkage disequilibrium analysis

Several studies reported extensive linkage disequilibrium (LD) in cattle (FarNir et al. 2000; Khatkar et al. 2006a; Khatkar et al. 2006b; Khatkar et al. 2007; Tenesa et al. 2003). Across studies, which calculated $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ from microsatellite data, the $D^{\prime}$ was approximately 0.50 between markers < 5 cM apart (Farnir et al. 2000; Khatkar et al. 2006b; Tenest et al. 2003). This work focuses mainly on association studies, although LD was analysed since it is relevant in terms of association studies. $D^{\prime}$ is, across breeds, about 0.80 for markers separated by $<5 \mathrm{cM}$, which shows that $D^{\prime}$ from SNP-data in this study is higher than has been concluded in previous studies with microsatellite data. In general, $D^{\prime}$ is upwards biased when analysing multi-allelic loci (ArdLie et al. 2002; Nsengimana and Baret 2004), so that our result is surprising. Otherwise, in the present work, $D^{\prime}$ for markers within a distance of 5 cM is, apart from $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$, equivalent to the calculated $D^{\prime}$ within genes. Accounting for the physical distance, the modified Malecot model pointed out that $D^{\prime}$ is significantly higher within than between genes in BV and HF, which is in line with recent results from the HapMap project (http://www.hapmap.org) (Frazer et al. 2007) and with results from a haplotype analysis in goats (Hayes et al. 2006). Hence, the higher $D^{\prime}$ within genes might explain the $D^{\prime}$-bias between markers $<5 \mathrm{cM}$ apart in the present work.

The Malecot model quantifies the exponential decay of $D^{\prime}$ with increasing marker distance and detected, across breeds, a $D^{\prime}$-decrease to a level of about 0.30 for loosely linked loci (> 20 Mb ). This is in agreement with results from previous studies (Khatkar et al. 2006a; Khatkar et al. 2006b) with regard to the marker distance. The magnitude $D^{\prime}$ between loosely linked loci,
however, is elevated in this study as compared to Khatkar et. al (2006). LD is governed by numerous factors, such as inbreeding (NSENGIMANA and BARET 2004) or selection (HARTL and Clark 2007; Parsch et al. 2001), but this contrast is most likely to originate from differences in the SNP-coverage on BTA6 between both studies. Khatkar et. al (2006) investigated 220 evenly spaced SNPs, while in this work the SNPs are located in a small subset of selected candidate genes.

The decay rate of $D^{\prime}$ with marker distance and the distribution of LD differed between breeds. The decay proceeded less rapidly in FV and most rapidly in BV while the latter is hardly explainable. Studies analysing inbreeding were not subject in the present work. However it is known that HF has lower estimates for the effective population size than other breeds (Hagger 2005; Mc Parland et al. 2007; Sorensen et al. 2005; Zenger et al. 2007), so that a delayed decay rate was expected in HF. The approach in three breeds exhibited a breedspecific pattern of LD. This is consistent with a previous breed-comparative approach in cattle (Barendse et al. 2007), and with a study that analysed several dog breeds (Sutter et al. 2004).

### 5.3. Is one marker causative?

Several QTLs for milk production traits have been mapped on BTA6, but QTL-confidence intervals span tens of map units and encompass hundreds of genes. Methods for an identification of a causative mutation (QTN) such as inbred lines, transgenic organisms or knock-out organisms are applicable in model organisms but are not readily applicable in livestock species, so that the identification of causative mutations in livestock populations more closely resembles a collection of multiple evidence than a proof (Mackay 2001). As a result of these limitations, only few postulated QTNs in livestock species, e.g. DGAT1 in cattle (Winter et al. 2002), GDF8 in sheep (Clop et al. 2006) and IGF2 in pigs (Van Laere et al. 2003) come close to the status of a verified QTN (RoN and Weller 2007).

Two distinct regions on BTA6 are most likely to harbour three QTLs for milk production traits (Khatkar et al. 2004). The proximal region is located between 30 Mb and 45 Mb and the distal region is located at about 70 Mb and it is probable that two distinct QTLs exist in the proximal region. One QTL affects milk yield and content traits with opposite effect signs (Olsen et al. 2005) and the second QTL was detected for fat- and protein yield (Kuhn et al. 1999). Thus both are differentiated by traits rather than by chromosomal position since confidence intervals overlap and different mapping studies have used different animal panels,
populations and marker sets. However, $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$ are located closer to the QTL with effects on milk yield and content traits (Olsen et al. 2005) and PPARGC1A and PGM2 are located within the confidence interval for the fat- and protein yield QTL (Kuhn et al. 1999). The third QTL on BTA6 has effects on milk yield and content traits in antagonistic direction and is mapped to the region of the casein gene cluster (Velmala et al. 1999). Consequently $A B C G 2, O P N, P P A R G C 1 A, P G M 2$ and CSN1S1 are functional and positional candidate genes.

Association studies were carried out in a three-stage procedure: single marker analysis, haplotype analysis and multi marker analysis. Multi marker models included markers that were significantly associated in single marker analysis while being discriminatory for significantly associated haplotypes and were fitted by backwards selection using the AICcriterion to identify whether the effects of different markers are correlated due to extensive LD. One may conclude that markers with distinct effects present strong evidence for being causal (see Table 4.30).

Apart from statistical support, a strong QTN-candidate should be characterised by functional features. The present work is an association study where functional studies such as expression analyses or studies in knockouts of model organisms are not included. Therefore one might argue that a phenotype is a priori affected by non-synonymous exchanges (Ron and Weller 2007), such as SNP1293, SNP1213 and SNP1191. However, this is not always the case. It is also possible that intronic markers are causative since it is known that introns can encompass regulative elements (Greenwood and Kelsoe 2003; Le Hir et al. 2003).

LD governs the identification of associated markers since only a subset of DNA-variants is genotyped. LD within genes was extensive and comparable between breeds, but LD between genes differed in level and distribution between breeds. The level was higher in BV and HF, and both breeds showed a larger number of markers associated with distinct effects than FV. HF had higher LD between $A B C G 2$ and PPARGC1A than other breeds and BV had more CSN1S1- and SLC2A9-markers in $D^{\prime}>0.80$ than other breeds. Both breeds had the majority of markers with distinct effects in regions with breed-specific enhanced LD, so that the breedspecific pattern of LD was reflected in the number of significantly associated markers. In addition, PGM2, which contains across breeds the smallest number of associated markers and haplotypes, is isolated from other genes in the context of LD, since very few PGM2-markers are in extensive $\mathrm{LD}\left(D^{\prime}>0.80\right)$ with markers from other genes. Therefore, results from this study support the relevance of LD in identifying associated markers, while also underlining how LD can complicate the question of whether an associated marker is causative. However,
causative polymorphisms are expected to be confirmed in different populations and breeds, so that the breed-comparative approach in this study yields information that can be used to discuss associated SNPs as QTN-candidates, although functional studies are missing. Markers associated with distinct effects (see Table 4.30) are strong QTN-candidates if the conditions listed below are fulfilled:

1) The SNP segregates with significant association in all breeds and shows comparable effect magnitudes and effect signs across breeds.
2) The SNP is significantly associated in a breed across all lactations of a trait.
3) The SNP was identified with significant effects in a previous association study.
4) The significantly associated traits of the SNP correspond to the traits affected by the QTL in the corresponding region.

### 5.3.1. Are markers significantly associated with the same trait across breeds?

No marker is significantly associated with distinct effects in all breeds but allelic fixation has to be accounted for. SNP1293 is associated in HF and SNP1206 is associated in BV, but both SNPs are monomorphic in breeds without association. However, BV and FV carry at SNP1293 the allele associated in HF with a yield increasing effect. This is unexpected on the one hand, since BV and FV exhibit lower yield traits as compared to HF, and on the other hand since Red-Holstein sires have been introduced into FV in past decades to increase yield traits. Due to fixation in FV, it has to be assumed that the introduced Red-Holstein sires have been monomorphic for the preferred allele of SNP1293. This is unexpected since RedHolstein sires in the analysed data and the entire HF sample are similar in terms of the allele frequency at SNP1293 (results not shown). FV and HF carry at SNP1206 the allele that is associated in BV with increased content traits. This is not in line with performance differences between breeds, since BV has higher milk content than FV and HF.
SNP1331, SNP1238 and SNP1213 are significantly associated in one breed only, although they are polymorphic in at least one additional breed.

### 5.3.2. Are markers significantly associated across all lactations of a trait?

Across breeds, no SNP is significantly associated with all lactations of all milk production traits. CSN1S1-markers, SNP1191, SNP1174 and INDEL1170 are in most cases significantly associated in all lactations of content traits (see Figure 4.29). SNP1293, SNP1239, SNP1331,

SNP1238 and SNP1213 are associated with some lactations of significantly associated traits. This may be due to effect magnitudes changing from lactation to lactation. However, this argument is less applicable in HF, because the HF-effects in distinct lactations are comparable in magnitude and in the number of animals providing phenotypic data. In contrast, the number of animals for which phenotypic data was available decreases from first to third lactation in BV and FV, so that results such as SNP1238 in BV, which is not significantly associated with the first lactation of a trait, have to be interpreted with scepticism.

### 5.3.3. Do significantly associated markers agree with previous association studies?

Proximal QTL-region of BTA6
ABCG2, OPN, PPARGC1A and PGM2 are located in the proximal QTL-region of BTA6 and previous studies reported markers of $A B C G 2, O P N$ and $P P A R G C 1 A$ to be associated with milk traits. DNA variants of $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$ were analysed in Israeli (Cohen-Zinder et al. 2005) and U.S. HF (Leonard et al. 2005; Schnabel et al. 2005) and Norwegian Red (Olsen et al. 2007). In these studies, markers showed the strongest effects on milk yield and content traits. Studies that included markers from both genes (Cohen-Zinder et al. 2005; Olsen et al. 2007) postulated a missense mutation in exon 14 of $A B C G 2$ (SNPex14) (Cohen-Zinder et al. 2005) as a strong QTN-candidate.

In the present study, $A B C G 2$ - and $O P N$-markers are significantly associated in HF , but the effects are significant on fat- and protein yield without exhibiting significant effects on milk yield and content traits. In addition, no $A B C G 2$ - or $O P N$-marker is significantly associated with a milk production trait in BV and FV. The present study does not confirm the findings of previous studies, which were however conducted without accounting for DGAT1 (COHENZinder et al. 2005; Leonard et al. 2005; OlSEN et al. 2007; SCHNABEL et al. 2005). Ron et. al (2006) report that SNPex14 segregates in German FV, which was not confirmed in the present SNP-screen. On the other hand, markers of $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$ are almost in complete LD in FV, so that, although not genotyped directly, one would expect the effect of SNPex 14 to be identified in FV among the analysed $A B C G 2$ - or $O P N$-markers. Previous studies with PPARGC1A identified SNP1246 and a SNP in UTR of exon 13 as being significantly associated with fat-yield in German HF (Weikard et al. 2005), while in American HF the UTR-SNP in exon 13 was significantly associated with protein percentage (Khatib et al. 2007). In the present study, markers of PPARGC1A are significantly associated with fat- and protein yield in HF (SNP1239) and BV (SNP1238), and with fat content in FV (SNP1238).

Hence the HF-result from this study agrees with the previous study in German HF with regard to the associated trait, but both studies are not in line in terms of the associated marker (Weikard et al. 2005), because SNP1246 is without any significant association despite being polymorphic in all three breeds.

## Distal QTL-region on BTA6

CSN1S1 and SLC2A9 are located in the distal part of BTA6, while SLC2A9 is not anchored within a QTL confidence interval and has not been analysed in previous association studies. CSNIS1 is a member of the casein gene cluster and numerous casein gene variants have been analysed with regard to milk production traits. CSN1S1-variants were identified in previous studies as associated with milk production traits in Norwegian Red (Lien et al. 1995) and HF (Sabour et al. 1996), while in Finish Ayrshire (Ikonen et al. 1999) and Brown Swiss (Boettcher et al. 2004) no significant associations were identified. In HF, a four-allelic INDEL in the promoter region of CSN1S1 showed a significant association with protein percentage (Prinzenberg et al. 2003). In a second study in HF, the CSN1S1-B-allele, which is analogous to the A variant of SNP1191 (Farrell et al. 2004) had significant effects on milk yield (Lin et al. 1986). In Norwegian Red however significant effects on protein yield were observed (Lien et al. 1995), suggesting that previous studies investigating genes from the casein cluster are not in line. CSN1S1-markers in the present work are significantly associated with protein percentage across breeds, showing opposite effect signs between milk yield and content traits, so that significant effects on fat- and protein yield were not detected. In HF, less CSNS1-markers are significantly associated than in BV or FV. This is explained by an enhanced level of allelic fixation in HF, while at each marker the milk yield increasing allele is predominant, which is in line with performance differences between HF and other breeds. Hence results from this study confirm previous studies that reported effects on milk yield and content traits, but do not agree with the CSN1S1-effect on protein yield in Norwegian Red (Lien et al. 1995).

### 5.3.4. Are marker-associated traits in correspondence with QTL- affected traits?

PPARGC1A-markers, in particular SNP1239 in HF and SNP1238 in BV and CSN1S1markers (SNP1191, SNP1170, INDEL1170), are associated with traits that correspond with the QTL-affected traits in the region of relevance (Khatkar et al. 2004; Kuhn et al. 1999).

SNP1293 in ABCG2 and SNP1331 in OPN are associated with fat- and protein yield but a QTL for milk yield and content traits is more likely in this region (Olsen et al. 2005).

### 5.4 Appraisal of the breed-comparative approach

The molecular dissection of milk production with four selected genes located in a region harbouring most likely two QTLs is complicated since milk traits are correlated. However, previous studies claimed QTN-identification. As discussed in the previous chapter 5.3 Is one marker causative?, it is more likely that neither the present work, nor any of the previous studies have identified a polymorphism with causative function, or that the molecular background in the analysed regions is too complex to be deciphered by investigating selected genes.
Nevertheless, our results are compatible with two segregating QTLs between 30 and 45 Mb on BTA6. The fat- and protein yield causing variant (Kuhn et al. 1999) is probably in LD with SNP1293, SNP1331 and SNP1239. This marker-trio affects identical traits. The traits affected by SNP1293 are not in correspondence with those affected by the QTL (Olsen et al. 2005) and the effects of SNP1331 in all lactations on fat yield and in first lactation on protein yield are correlated with the effects of SNP1293 and SNP1239 due to LD between markers. Therefore, it appears more likely that each of this marker-trio is in incomplete LD with one causative site than with different sites. This is supported by an extensive level of LD ( $D^{\prime}>$ 0.80 ) between several marker pairs of $A B C G 2$ and $P P A R G C 1 A$ in HF. Single $A B C G 2$ - or $O P N$-markers that affect milk yield and content traits, the traits of the second QTL in this region (Olsen et al. 2005) are missing in this study. However, haplotype ABC_HT2 is associated with these traits. Haplotypes capture information about the entire chromosomal region that is spanned by incorporated markers, so beneficial information is expected when haplotypes are investigated in addition to analysing SNPs in isolation. Therefore, it is likely that the QTL for milk yield and content traits (Olsen et al. 2005) is in LD with ABC_HT2.

Marker selection after multi marker analysis was indefinite in both genes selected from the distal part of BTA6: CSN1S1 and SLC2A9. Markers in final multi marker models changed from lactation to lactation within a trait. Thus, it is not justified to prefer one of these markers as a strong QTN-candidate. Recently, it has been shown in goats that the expression of CSN1S2 is influenced by CSN1S1-variants (Leroux et al. 2003), so that epistatic effects are likely to complicate a QTN-identification in this region. As a consequence of the clusterorganisation and due to extensive LD, it is innately complicated to postulate a casein polymorphism as causative as long as functional analyses are missing. However, SLC2A9-
markers show significant effects on fat- and protein-yield, which are not affected by CSN1S1markers. This suggests, apart from one or several causative sites in the casein cluster, an additional causative polymorphism although no QTL has been mapped distally to the casein cluster so far.

LD is one main factor that complicates a QTN-call. However, combining different breeds facilitates a QTN-call since it increases the number of potentially informative recombination elements and shortens linkage disequilibrium distances (Parker et al. 2007). The statement 'Markers from this study are most probably not causative' depends solely on results from the breed-comparative approach. Therefore this work illustrates the advantage of combining cattle breeds in studies that aim to identify causative sites. This is most important since previous studies that investigated BTA6-regions claimed a QTN-identification without considering different breeds. Breed-comparative approaches exist rarely in cattle, but are state of the art in other species, for example dogs (Karlsson et al. 2007) (Lindblad-Toh et al. 2005). Therefore, based on this and base on a genome-wide breed-comparative study in cattle (Barendse et al. 2007), it is recommended that breed-comparative approaches in cattle are followed up in the future. This is of particular importance since present results from BTA6 lead to the conclusion that the genetic regulation of milk synthesis is more complex than was assumed in previous studies on this chromosome.

### 5.5. Including identified markers in breeding programmes

It is most likely that the identified markers are not causative but markers that represent distinct effects could be used in breeding programmes. In general, three types of genetic loci are distinguished in terms of a usage in marker-assisted selection (MAS): direct markers, LDmarkers and LE-markers (Dekkers 2004). Direct markers are single loci that influence the associated trait directly as causative mutation (QTN). LD-markers have no causative function themselves, but are single markers that are in extensive population-wide LD with a causative site. LE-markers are loci that are in population-wide linkage equilibrium with the functional mutation. The three marker types differ in application in MAS, in the work required for genotyping and in the amount of extra genetic gain. Linkage phases differ between families, so that the effects of LE-markers have to be assessed on a within-family basis. QTNs, and to a lesser degree also LD-markers, allow a direct selection on the genotype due to a consistent population-wide association between genotype and phenotype (Dekkers 2004). Genotyping efforts are much higher for LE-markers than for direct or LD-markers, because LE-markers
require large families to be genotyped. For QTNs and LD-markers, it is sufficient to genotype only selection candidates. Genotypic data can be incorporated as fixed genotype effects into marker-assisted BLUP (MA-BLUP) (Dekkers 2004). Prospects for extra genetic gain are higher for QTNs and LD-markers than for LE-markers because of the limited information and uncertainty in terms of the inheritance of the QTL-loci.
Markers obtained in this study are family-independent. Milk production traits are quantitative genetic traits, so benefits from marker-assisted BLUP are defined by the proportion of additive genetic variation that is explained by marker information (Meuwissen et al. 2001). This proportion depends on allele frequency and effect size (Lynch and Walsh 1998). Depending on lactation and breed, markers from this study explain between $1.1 \%$ and $3.0 \%$ of additive genetic variation in milk-, fat- and protein yield. Hence markers from this study are less important than DGAT1, which explains proportions of additive genetic variation in yield traits between 5\% in protein yield and $12 \%$ in milk yield (Bennewitz et al. 2004). However, it would be beneficial to integrate markers from this study in MA-BLUP (Villanueva et al. 2004).

MA-BLUP is most useful for animals that have inaccurately estimated breeding values from BLUP (BLUP-EBV), e.g. young sires before entering progeny testing and bull dams. MABLUP allows pre-selection of selection candidates, which is especially beneficial among full sibs with identical BLUP-EBVs.

## 6. Conclusion and outlook

The following major conclusion can be drawn from the results of this study:

- The breed-comparative approach was helpful for collecting evidence about a causative function of associated polymorphisms.

It is very likely that markers identified in this study are associated due to extensive LD with causative sites. This follows from the results of the breed-comparative approach used in this study. The study demonstrated the advantage that can be obtained by combining several breeds in studies aiming to identify causative polymorphisms. Despite the fact that markers identified by this study are most likely non-causative, the associations noted confirm anew the anchorage of causative polymorphisms for milk traits on BTA6. Even though the present research analysed a few tens of markers located in several candidate genes, the achieved chromosomal coverage appears insufficient to uncover the molecular background for milk synthesis anchoring in the regions analysed. Only very recently, bovine SNP-sets containing several thousands of genome-wide spread markers were made available. In spite of this fact, the expectation that genome-wide association studies will decipher the molecular architecture of quantitative traits has to be lowered since dominance and epistatic effects, recently exemplified for milk traits in cattle (Kuehn et al. 2007) and goats (Leroux et al. 2003), complicate molecular dissection. Nevertheless, these upcoming studies will achieve an enhanced SNP-coverage in order to refine positional indication of chromosomal regions anchoring causative variants, and could lead to elementary changes in livestock selection schemes, such as genomic selection (Schaeffer 2006).

## 7. Summary

Various mapping experiments in cattle resulted in the detection of several markers affecting milk production traits on chromosome 6 (BTA6). The aim of this study was to identify association and causality of polymorphisms for milk production traits. Six candidate genes were characterised, screened for polymorphisms and analysed in association studies.

Some of the analysed genes; namely $A B C G 2, O P N, P P A R G C 1 A$ and CSN1S1 were analysed in previous association studies, and causal sites were declared for each of them. However, previous studies led to distinct conclusions concerning the candidate genes, as the genes were analysed in isolation and in a single breed only. In contrast, this study employed a breedcomparative approach analysing the four genes mentioned above together with PGM2 and SLC2A9 in order to find out the relative importance of each of these genes for milk production traits. In total, 206 DNA-variants were discovered, of which 50 were genotyped for association study in 742 sires of German Brown, 964 sires of German Fleckvieh and 1418 sires of German Holstein. Association studies were carried out separately for each breed. The traits milk-, fat- and protein yield, as well as fat- and protein content were investigated for each of the first three lactations using a three-stage procedure - single marker analysis, haplotype analysis and multi marker analysis.

A total of 22 polymorphisms were found to be significantly associated with milk production traits in single marker analysis, whereas across breeds most genes harboured more than one associated marker due to extensive linkage disequilibrium. Furthermore, significant associations with various milk production traits were found for 25 haplotypes. In addition, 18 polymorphisms were found to be significantly associated in single marker analysis while being discriminatory for significantly associated haplotypes. Multi marker models were applied to investigate whether these markers are associated with correlated effects. Overall, five markers represent distinct effects in German Brown, that is: one PPARGC1A-marker and two markers of each of the two genes CSN1S1 and SLC2A9, respectively. Apart from missing SLC2A9-effects, the result of German Fleckvieh is similar to that of German Brown. In German Holstein, one marker each of ABCG2-, OPN, PPARGC1A and CSN1S1, respectively turned out to be associated with distinct effects. Markers associated with milk traits can be grouped into two categories: (a) Markers, significantly associated with milk yield and content traits showing opposite effect signs, exhibit non-significant effects on fat and protein yield. (b) Markers have significant effects on fat and protein yield without being significantly associated with milk yield and content traits. PPARGC1A and SLC2A9 encompass markers of
both categories. Markers of $A B C G 2$ and $O P N$ are exclusively associated with fat and protein yield. CSN1S1-markers belong to the category of antagonistic markers. Depending on trait, lactation and the breed under consideration, markers of this study explain up to $3.3 \%$ of genetic variation in milk, fat and protein yield. Marker associations vary depending on breed and trait. Hence, it is likely that markers identified in this study are not causative. Nonetheless, the identified markers can be used in selection schemes of the breeds analysed in this research.

Linkage disequilibrium in livestock species hinders molecular dissection of quantitative traits. The breed-comparative approach proved to be advantageous with regard to finding evidence about a causative function of associated polymorphisms and is suggested for further studies. It can also be concluded from this study that the molecular background of QTLs on BTA6 for milk traits is too complex to be clarified by investigating a few selected genes. Therefore, it is recommended that future studies on BTA6 consider an increased marker density and evenly spaced polymorphisms to decipher the molecular architecture of QTLs for milk related traits.

## 8. Zusammenfassung

In den vergangenen Jahren wurden mittels QTL-Studien Genomregionen auf dem Rinderchromosom 6 (BTA6) identifiziert, die Milchleistungsmerkmale beeinflussen. Ziel der hier vorliegenden Arbeit war es, für diese Effekte kausale DNA-Polymorphismen zu finden. Dafür wurden zunächst sechs Kandidatengene auf BTA6 ausgewählt und charakterisiert, sowie durch Resequenzierung auf DNA-Polymorphismen untersucht. Anschließend wurden die Polymorphismen in drei Rassen genotypisiert und die Ergebnisse in einer vergleichenden Assoziationsstudie analysiert.

Vier der sechs ausgewählten Gene (ABCG2, OPN, PPARGC1A und CSN1S1) wurden bereits in früheren Studien untersucht. Die Autoren dieser Studien erhoben den Anspruch kausale Varianten entdeckt zu haben, obwohl die Gene meist getrennt voneinander und nur in einer Rasse untersucht wurden. Die vorliegende Arbeit ist eine Assoziationsstudie, in der diese Gene neben zwei weiteren Genen, PGM2 und SLC2A9, gleichzeitig analysiert wurden, um so die relative Bedeutung der einzelnen Gene in Bezug auf Milchleistungsmerkmale genauer ermitteln zu können. Von den insgesamt 206 identifizierten DNA Variationen wurden 50 ausgewählt und in 742 Braunvieh-Bullen, 942 Fleckvieh-Bullen und 1414 Holstein-Bullen genotypisiert. Die Assoziationsstudie wurde innerhalb der Rassen getrennt für die ersten drei Laktationen der Milchleistungsmerkmale Milch-, Fett- und Eiweißmenge, sowie Fett- und Eiweißgehalt durchgeführt und umfasste Einzelmarker-, Haplotypen- und Mehrmarkeranalysen.
Im Rahmen der Einzelmarkeranalyse konnten 22 signifikant assoziierte Marker nachgewiesen werden. Hohes Kopplungsungleichgewicht innerhalb der Gene führte in den meisten Fällen zu mehr als einem assoziierten Marker pro Gen. Die Haplotypenanalyse identifizierte 25 signifikant assoziierte Haplotypen. Ein Vergleich zwischen der Einzelmarkeranalyse und der Haplotypenanalyse ergab 18 Marker, die neben einer signifikanten Assoziation als Marker auch spezifisch für einen assoziierten Haplotypen sind. Mit diesen wurde die Mehrmarkeranalyse durchgeführt, um korrelierte Effekte der verschiedenen Marker zu finden. Beim Braunvieh konnten insgesamt fünf getrennte Effekte nachgewiesen werden, ein Effekt im PPARGC1A, sowie jeweils zwei in CSN1S1 und SLC2A9. Mit Ausnahme der SLC2A9Effekte wurde bei der Rasse Fleckvieh die gleichen Effekte festgestellt. Bei der Rasse Holstein wiesen ABCG2, OPN, PPARGCA und CSN1S1 jeweils einen Marker auf, dessen Effekte mit den Effekten anderer Marker nicht korrelieren.

Die assoziierten Marker können unabhängig von Rasse und Gen in zwei Kategorien eingeteilt werden. (a) Marker mit signifikanten Effekten in den Merkmalen Milchmenge, Milchfettbzw. Milcheiweißgehalt zeigten in diesen Merkmalen antagonistische Effektrichtungen, so dass keine Assoziation zu den Merkmalen Fett- und Eiweißmenge nachgewiesen werden konnte. (b) Marker, deren Effekte in den Merkmalen Fett- und Eiweißmenge signifikant sind, zeigen keine signifikante Assoziation zur Milchmenge, sowie zu den Milchgehalten. Die Gene PPARGC1A und SLC2A9 enthalten Marker beider Kategorien, dagegen sind Marker von $A B C G 2$ und $O P N$ ausschließlich mit den Merkmalen Fett- und Eiweißmenge assoziiert. Unter den assoziierten CSN1S1-Markern wurden nur Marker gefunden, die der Kategorie der Marker mit antagonistischen Effektrichtungen zuzuordnen sind. Je nach Merkmal, Laktation und Rasse erklären die Marker dieser Studie in den Merkmalen Milch, Fett- und Eiweißmenge bis zu 3,3\% der additiv genetischen Varianz. Als Folge der je nach Rasse, Marker und Merkmal unterschiedlich identifizierten Assoziationen ist es unwahrscheinlich, dass einer der identifizierten Marker eine kausale Variante für Milchleistungsmerkmale darstellt. Die Marker können dennoch im Rahmen von Selektionsentscheidungen der betreffenden Rasse verwendet werden, da hierfür der Nachweis der Kausalität nicht erforderlich ist.

Kopplungsungleichgewicht in Nutztierpopulationen erschwert wesentlich die Aufklärung molekulargenetischer Zusammenhänge quantitativer Merkmale. Diesbezüglich stellte sich der Rasse vergleichende Ansatz der vorliegenden Studie als vorteilhaft heraus und sollte daher in Folgestudien berücksichtigt werden. Diese Studie zeigt auch, dass der molekulargenetische Hintergrund von QTLs in den betrachteten Regionen auf BTA6 zu komplex ist, um ihn durch eine simultane Betrachtung weniger Gene aufklären zu können. Zukünftige Studien erfordern daher auf BTA6 neben einer deutlich höheren Markerdichte eine gleichmäßigere Markerverteilung, um zur Klärung der molekulargenetischen Zusammenhänge der QTLs für Milchleistungsmerkmale beizutragen.
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## 11.Appendices

### 11.1. Buffers

TE ( 10 mM Tris-Cl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 )
TBE $10 \mathrm{x} \quad(900 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris-Cl, 900 mM Borate, 20 mM EDTA, pH 8.3 )

### 11.2. Primers

Table 11.1 Primers for SNP-screening

| Gene | Primer | Pair | Lab-Name | Sequence | Region |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABCG2 | 4532 | 4533 | ABCG2_4532up | CAGGGCATTTGAAAATAGTTGG | Upstream |
|  | 4533 | 4532 | ABCG2_4533dn | ACGCTACAAATCCTGCTTCC | Upstream |
|  | 4530 | 4531 | ABCG2_4530up | CCCTCCGAACACCCTTAGAT | Exon 1 |
|  | 4531 | 4530 | ABCG2_4531dn | CTCTGGCTCCTTTCCTCCTT | Exon 1 |
|  | 3898 | 3899 | ABCG2_3898up | CTGGAAGTTTGTACCAAAGCA | Exon 2 |
|  | 3899 | 3898 | ABCG2_3899dn | TGATCCAACCCTTTCACTGC | Exon 2 |
|  | 3900 | 3901 | ABCG2_3900up | TCAACAATTCAGTTTCTCCTTCC | Exon 3 |
|  | 3901 | 3900 | ABCG2_3901dn | GACGGCCTGACTTATGATGG | Exon 3 |
|  | 4025 | 4026 | ABCG2_4025up | CCCAAGGTCAGACAACCAAG | Exon 4 |
|  | 4026 | 4025 | ABCG2_4026dn | GCACACTCAACTATCAGCCAAG | Exon 4 |
|  | 4029 | 4030 | ABCG2_4029up | ACCTCGACCTGCCAATTTTA | Intron 4 |
|  | 4030 | 4029 | ABCG2_4030dn | TGGGCTGCTGAGAACTGTAA | Intron 4 |
|  | 4027 | 4028 | ABCG2_4027up | CTTGGGTATCTTATTTTTGTGGA | Exon 5 |
|  | 4028 | 4027 | ABCG2_4028dn | GAACACATTGCCACACTTGC | Exon 5 |
|  | 4033 | 4034 | ABCG2_4033up | AACTCTTTTAGGGCTGGAACA | Exon 6 |
|  | 4034 | 4033 | ABCG2_4034dn | CCACGCAGTAGATCAGTGAAA | Exon 6 |
|  | 4035 | 4036 | ABCG2_4035up | GGAATAAATGATCTAAAGGCAGGA | Exon 7 |
|  | 4036 | 4035 | ABCG2_4036dn | CACGGGCATGTACTCAAACA | Exon 7 |
|  | 4037 | 4038 | ABCG2_4037up | TGTCTTCCCTAGCAGCACCT | Exon 8 |
|  | 4038 | 4037 | ABCG2_4038dn | GGCACTTCTTTTAAAATGGGAAC | Exon 8 |
|  | 3912 | 3913 | ABCG2_3912up | AGACCGAAGAGCCTTCCAA | Exon 9 |
|  | 3913 | 3912 | ABCG2_3913dn | GAGCTATAGAAGCCTGGGGATT | Exon 9 |
|  | 3914 | 3915 | ABCG2_3914up | ACTGGCAGTGCCCGCTAA | Exon 10 |
|  | 3915 | 3914 | ABCG2_3915dn | GCTTTCAGTTCACTGACTTGTCC | Exon 10 |
|  | 3916 | 3917 | ABCG2_3916up | GCTCATGCTTCCCTCTCTGT | Exon 11 |
|  | 3917 | 3916 | ABCG2_3917dn | GACGGTGCCCTAATCTTGAA | Exon 11 |
|  | 4039 | 4040 | ABCG2_4039up | CCTCTGGTAGCAGTGGAACTC | Exon 12 |
|  | 4040 | 4039 | ABCG2_4040dn | CCCTCCCATCCAAGACTAAA | Exon 12 |
|  | 3920 | 3921 | ABCG2_3920up | AGTCCCTGATAGACAGTGTTGG | Exon 13 |
|  | 3921 | 3920 | ABCG2_3921dn | CAGCCTCCTTAAAGCAGAGTC | Exon 13 |
|  | 3922 | 3923 | ABCG2_3922up | ACAGCCTCAGCTCCAGAGAG | Exon 14 |
|  | 3923 | 3922 | ABCG2_3923dn | ATCTCCCAATCCTTCAGCTC | Exon 14 |
|  | 4041 | 4042 | ABCG2_4041up | TGTATCAGAGCCCCAAATCC | Exon 15 |
|  | 4042 | 4041 | ABCG2_4042dn | AAAGGATTCCTCAGCCCAGT | Exon 15 |
|  | 3926 | 3927 | ABCG2_3926up | CATGCACATACACACACCACA | Exon 16 |
|  | 3927 | 3926 | ABCG2_3927dn | GGCAAGTGAAAAGAAGACAACC | Exon 16 |
| OPN | 4358 | 4359 | OPN_4358up | GGTGCTGCCAAAGAAAAGTT | Upstream |
|  | 4359 | 4358 | OPN_4359dn | AAAATCCCCAGTCACGTTCA | Upstream |
|  | 4356 | 4357 | OPN_4356up | CCCTGCTCGGTCATAAACTG | Upstream |
|  | 4357 | 4356 | OPN_4357dn | AAGAGTCCAGTCCCCTGTGA | Upstream |
|  | 3862 | 3863 | OPN_3862up | GGCTGGGTAGTGGCAAAAT | Exon 1 |
|  | 3863 | 3862 | OPN_3863dn | AAAATAACCGGCCACCTTTC | Exon 1 |
|  | 4600 | 4601 | OPN_4600up | TGCCTCATTTCATTGGGAAG | Intron 1 |


|  | 4601 | 4600 | OPN_4601dn | TTTTGTGTGGAAACACTCCA | Intron 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3864 | 3865 | OPN_3864up | TTCCTCTCTCCCTTGCCTAA | Exon 2+3 |
|  | 3865 | 3864 | OPN_3865dn | GAAGCATAGCAGGCACACAA | Exon 2+3 |
|  | 4007 | 4008 | OPN_4007up | TCACTTAGAGACCCCTGTTTCTTT | Exon 4 |
|  | 4008 | 4007 | OPN_4008dn | ACAGGCAGGGAAGATCCATT | Exon 4 |
|  | 4602 | 4603 | OPN_4602up | GGCTAAAGCCTGACCCATCT | Intron 4 |
|  | 4603 | 4602 | OPN_4603dn | TTGTTGTCATCAGTTTCCTCAGA | Intron 4 |
|  | 3868 | 3869 | OPN_3868up | TCTTCCGGCTTAATCCTTCC | Exon 5 |
|  | 3869 | 3868 | OPN_3869dn | CACCTCCCTATTAGCCTGGA | Exon 5 |
|  | 4691 | 4692 | OPN_4691up | CCTCTGAGGAAACTGATGACAA | Intron 5 |
|  | 4692 | 4691 | OPN_4692dn | TCAGGGCTTTCATTGGACTT | Intron 5 |
|  | 3870 | 3871 | OPN_3870up | GCCATTAAGTGCTTTGTTGTGA | Exon 6 |
|  | 3871 | 3870 | OPN_3871dn | ATGGACTTGAGCGCAAAAAC | Exon 6 |
|  | 4604 | 4605 | OPN_4604up | TCGCAGTTTTCACTCCGTTT | Intron 6 |
|  | 4605 | 4604 | OPN_4605dn | TGTGTGATGTGAAGTCCTCCTC | Intron 6 |
|  | 3872 | 3873 | OPN_3872up | GAGATGACATAATGAAAGAACTTGG | Exon 7 |
|  | 3873 | 3872 | OPN_3873dn | GGTGTTACCATGAAGCCACA | Exon 7 |
| PPARGC1A | 4596 | 4597 | PPARGC1A_4596up | TTGCGCTTTCAAACACTCC | Upstream |
|  | 4597 | 4596 | PPARGC1A_4597dn | TCCATACAGAGTCCTGGTTGC | Upstream |
|  | 4009 | 4010 | PPARGC1A_4009up | TGACGTCACAAGTTTGAGCA | Exon 1 |
|  | 4010 | 4009 | PPARGC1A_4010dn | CCAGGCCACTAAACACCACT | Exon 1 |
|  | 4011 | 4012 | PPARGC1A_4011up | AAACCAGCTTTGGTGGTGTC | Exon 2 |
|  | 4012 | 4011 | PPARGC1A_4012dn | TGGTGACAGCAAAGCAAGAA | Exon 2 |
|  | 4013 | 4014 | PPARGC1A_4013up | GACCAAAAGGGAGTTTGGAA | Exon 3 |
|  | 4014 | 4013 | PPARGC1A_4014dn | TTGGGGATTATTTTGGCATC | Exon 3 |
|  | 4146 | 4147 | PPARGC1A_4146up | ATTGAGGGGAAAATGCACAC | Exon 4 |
|  | 4147 | 4146 | PPARGC1A_4147dn | TGGCACTGCAGCATCTTAAA | Exon 4 |
|  | 4148 | 4149 | PPARGC1A_4148up | GGCGCTGTAGGGTCTTGTTA | Exon 5 |
|  | 4149 | 4148 | PPARGC1A_4149dn | TCAGGAGCCTTTTGGTGATT | Exon 5 |
|  | 4150 | 4151 | PPARGC1A_4150up | TTCGTGTCTAAACAAACCGTGT | Exon $6+7$ |
|  | 4151 | 4150 | PPARGC1A_4151dn | TGTCTTAAGTGGGAGGAGGTTT | Exon 6+7 |
|  | 4021 | 4022 | PPARGC1A_4021up | AACCATTGACTGAAATCTTAGGG | Exon 8 |
|  | 4022 | 4021 | PPARGC1A_4022dn | GCGGTCTCTCTCAGGTAGCA | Exon 8 |
|  | 3887 | 3888 | PPARGC1A_3887up | AGGAGCTCCATGACTCCAGA | Exon 8 |
|  | 3888 | 3887 | PPARGC1A_3888dn | GCTGGTGGGTTTTAAATGCT | Exon 8 |
|  | 3889 | 3890 | PPARGC1A_3889up | TTCCAGGGGCTACTCAGTCA | Exon 9+10 |
|  | 3890 | 3889 | PPARGC1A_3890dn | CCCCCAAAATGAGAGAGAGA | Exon 9+10 |
|  | 3891 | 3892 | PPARGC1A_3891up | TGGGGGTTCTTGTTATGCAA | Exon 11 |
|  | 3892 | 3891 | PPARGC1A_3892dn | TGGGACAGGAAATCTCAACTG | Exon 11 |
|  | 4023 | 4024 | PPARGC1A_4023up | CGATAGCATTGGCATCATTG | Intron 11 |
|  | 4024 | 4023 | PPARGC1A_4024dn | ACGACCCAGAGGGATGGTAT | Intron 11 |
|  | 4688 | 4754 | PPARGC1A_4688up | TTTTCCTCTTTGTCTGTTGCAG | Exon 12 |
|  | 4754 | 4688 | PPARGC1A_4754dn | TCCATTTTGAACTCAACATTATGG | Exon 12 |
|  | 4378 | 4379 | PPARGC1A_4378up | TCCATTTGAAAGAGCTTGATGA | Intron 12 |
|  | 4379 | 4378 | PPARGC1A_4379dn | ACCAGCTTTCATGGGCATAC | Intron 12 |
|  | 4380 | 4381 | PPARGC1A_4380up | TCCCAGATCCCAACACAAAT | Intron 12 |
|  | 4381 | 4380 | PPARGC1A_4381dn | GCCCATCTTTCATCACCAAA | Intron 12 |
|  | 4384 | 4385 | PPARGC1A_4384up | TCATCACTCCTTACCCTCTGC | Exon 13 |
|  | 4385 | 4384 | PPARGC1A_4385dn | TGTCTCTTGCCTCTTCAGCA | Exon 13 |
| PGM2 | 4296 | 4297 | PGM2_4296up | GCTGCCTCTCTTCCTTCTCA | Upstream |
|  | 4297 | 4296 | PGM2_4297dn | CACTGATGCCATGACACTGAT | Upstream |
|  | 4614 | 4615 | PGM2_4614up | AGCGCCACCTCTGAAATAAA | Upstream |
|  | 4615 | 4614 | PGM2_4615dn | AAGCCATTCATTCAGGTCCTA | Upstream |
|  | 4288 | 4289 | PGM2_4288up | AACACACACACACACGTCCA | Upstream |
|  | 4289 | 4288 | PGM2_4289dn | CTTGTCCCATCTCAGCCACT | Upstream |
|  | 4689 | 4690 | PGM2_4689up | TGCCTGGAGCTCAGTAGGAC | Upstream |
|  | 4690 | 4689 | PGM2_4690dn | GTCTGAGCCGTCTCCTGGT | Upstream |
|  | 3504 | 3505 | PGM2_3504up | TAAGGCGAGCGAGTCATTTT | Exon 1 |


|  | 3505 | 3504 | PGM2_3505dn | GAGGGAAGGAAGCACTTTCA | Exon 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3590 | 3591 | PGM2_3590up | ATTGTGGGTTGGTTGGTTGT | Exon 2 |
|  | 3591 | 3590 | PGM2_3591dn | GCCTGATCCTGAAAGAGAGC | Exon 2 |
|  | 3323 | 3324 | 3323_PGM2_e3f | TCAATTTTTGGTTTGGTGTGTT | Exon 3 |
|  | 3324 | 3323 | 3324_PGM2_e3r | GTGGGCCTTACAAAGCACTG | Exon 3 |
|  | 3399 | 3400 | 3399_PGM2_i3f | GCATCATCCTTGAGGGTTTT | Intron 3 |
|  | 3400 | 3399 | 3400_PGM2_i4r | CTGATCCTGGGACAGGAAAT | Intron 3 |
|  | 3518 | 3519 | PGM2_3518up | AAGCCAGATGCTGTGGAAAT | Exon 4 |
|  | 3519 | 3518 | PGM2_3519dn | ACTAGGGTGTGGGAGGAACA | Exon 4 |
|  | 3401 | 3403 | 3401_PGM2_i4f | TTCTCAGCTACCGCAATTAGG | Intron 4 |
|  | 3403 | 3401 | 3403_PGM2_i4r | CATTGTTTGTACCATTTTCCCTTT | Intron 4 |
|  | 3325 | 3326 | 3325_PGM2_e5r | TGAAACTTTGTGCTGGAATCA | Exon $5+6$ |
|  | 3326 | 3325 | 3326_PGM2_e6r | GAAGCGCTTGGGTCATGTAG | Exon $5+6$ |
|  | 3588 | 3589 | PGM2_3588up | GTGTTGTGGGAGCGTGTATG | Exon 7 |
|  | 3589 | 3588 | PGM2_3589dn | GGCACAATGAAAACACACAAA | Exon 7 |
|  | 3349 | 3350 | 3349_PGM2_e8f_p | ATCTTTTGCTTTGGCTGACA | Exon 8 |
|  | 3350 | 3349 | 3350_PGM2_e8r_p | TGTCTTGCTTTTCTGCCACA | Exon 8 |
|  | 3405 | 3408 | 3405_PGM2_i7f | TGTGCTCAGTTCTTGGTTGG | Intron 8 |
|  | 3408 | 3405 | 3408_PGM2_e9r | CCCATCCACTTAAAGCCAGT | Intron 8 |
|  | 3508 | 3509 | PGM2_3508up | TGCCGCTTACAGTTAAGCAC | Exon 9+10 |
|  | 3509 | 3508 | PGM2_3509dn | CTTTGCCCGTTTATTTGCAT | Exon 9+10 |
|  | 3510 | 3511 | PGM2_3510up | CTCATGCAATAGGCAGGATG | Exon 11 |
|  | 3511 | 3510 | PGM2_3511dn | CGGGTGCACAAGAAGAGAGT | Exon 11 |
|  | 3522 | 3523 | PGM2_3522up | TTGGACCTCTTTATTTAATGCTGA | Exon 12 |
|  | 3523 | 3522 | PGM2_3523dn | GCACAGTGAAAAAGTAAATCGAGA | Exon 12 |
|  | 3524 | 3525 | PGM2_3524up | CCGAGTACATTTTCTAACTTCCTCA | Exon 13 |
|  | 3525 | 3524 | PGM2_3525dn | ACATACTGGACAGATGAGCTATTTT | Exon 13 |
|  | 3516 | 3517 | PGM2_3516up | CATGCTCCAGAATAATCCACTG | Exon 14 |
|  | 3517 | 3516 | PGM2_3517dn | TCAAACAGGAGATGGCAAGA | Exon 14 |
| CSN1S1 | 4336 | 4337 | CSN1S1_4336up | GGATTTTTGTTCTCAGCTCCTT | Upstream |
|  | 4337 | 4336 | CSN1S1_4337dn | GGACAAAATGGGGTCTTCAA | Upstream |
|  | 4334 | 4335 | CSN1S1_4334up | CAACTAGTACACCCAAAATGAACAA | Upstream |
|  | 4335 | 4334 | CSN1S1_4335dn | GGTGATGGCAGACTTTTGCT | Upstream |
|  | 4396 | 4397 | CSN1S1_4396up | TGGGAGACGAACTGAACAGA | Exon 1 |
|  | 4397 | 4396 | CSN1S1_4397dn | CACAAAATAAAAATGGCCTTGA | Exon 1 |
|  | 4160 | 4161 | CSN1S1_4160up | CTGAAAGAGCAGGCTAAAGGA | Exon 2 |
|  | 4161 | 4160 | CSN1S1_4161dn | TGATCAAAATGTGAAGGATTACCA | Exon 2 |
|  | 4162 | 4163 | CSN1S1_4162up | CAGGGGAACTTGGTGTCAAA | Exon 3 |
|  | 4163 | 4162 | CSN1S1_4163dn | ACACCTCAGCGAATGTGAAA | Exon 3 |
|  | 4164 | 4165 | CSN1S1_4164up | CCTTCATTTCAATTGTATCCAGA | Exon 4 |
|  | 4165 | 4164 | CSN1S1_4165dn | TGCACAAAACAGCGTAAGTG | Exon 4 |
|  | 4166 | 4167 | CSN1S1_4166up | TGATAGGCAACCCAATTTAGC | Exon $5+6$ |
|  | 4167 | 4166 | CSN1S1_4167dn | TCCCTCAAAAATTCTCTTTGTCA | Exon $5+6$ |
|  | 4168 | 4169 | CSN1S1_4168up | TGCAACCATGAGGAGACAAG | Exon 7 |
|  | 4169 | 4168 | CSN1S1_4169dn | TTGTCCTTAGAGAATTGTTCATTCA | Exon 7 |
|  | 4063 | 4064 | CSN1S1_4063up | GGTCATGGAAACTGGACACA | Exon 8 |
|  | 4064 | 4063 | CSN1S1_4064dn | GGGTATGATAGCACTGCTTTAGG | Exon 8 |
|  | 4170 | 4171 | CSN1S1_4170up | TTGGGAACAGAAATCATATCCA | Exon 9 |
|  | 4171 | 4170 | CSN1S1_4171dn | TGGACACCACAGATATACAGATAGG | Exon 9 |
|  | 4172 | 4173 | CSN1S1_4172up | CCCAGGAATTTGTGGCTAAA | Exon 10+11 |
|  | 4173 | 4172 | CSN1S1_4173dn | CCACATTTACCGAGGGCTTA | Exon 10+11 |
|  | 4069 | 4070 | CSN1S1_4069up | GATGGTATCCACGAAATTGACA | Exon 12 |
|  | 4070 | 4069 | CSN1S1_4070dn | GGGATTAGGGGAAGGAGAGA | Exon 12 |
|  | 4174 | 4175 | CSN1S1_4174up | TGCTATGTTCATGAGACCTTTGA | Exon 13 |
|  | 4175 | 4174 | CSN1S1_4175dn | CATTATTGACTTCCTCCTTCCTACA | Exon 13 |
|  | 4176 | 4177 | CSN1S1_4176up | GTGGATTATTTTCCCCCAAA | Exon 14 |
|  | 4177 | 4176 | CSN1S1_4177dn | CAGCTCCCATTCCAAAGAAG | Exon 14 |
|  | 4178 | 4179 | CSN1S1_4178up | CAGCCTTAAAAGATCACCCCTA | Exon 15 |


|  | 4179 | 4178 | CSN1S1_4179dn | GCTCTCTTCACTCAGGGATGG | Exon 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4180 | 4181 | CSN1S1_4180up | TCATGGGCCAAAGTAAGAGAA | Exon 16 |
|  | 4181 | 4180 | CSN1S1_4181dn | GGAGAGTCCTCGTCCCAGA | Exon 16 |
|  | 4182 | 4183 | CSN1S1_4182up | TTGATTTCTCTGTTTTCCTCACA | Exon 17 |
|  | 4183 | 4182 | CSN1S1_4183dn | TTAGCAAAAGTGCAAGGAGGA | Exon 17 |
|  | 4184 | 4185 | CSN1S1_4184up | TGCTGGGAAAATTAGTGCTCA | Exon 18 |
|  | 4185 | 4184 | CSN1S1_4185dn | GAGGACCAGCAAAACAACTTT | Exon 18 |
|  | 4186 | 4187 | CSN1S1_4186up | TCAGGAGCAGTGGGTATGTG | Exon 19 |
|  | 4187 | 4186 | CSN1S1_4187dn | GGATCAGCTAGAAGTGAACATTTG | Exon 19 |
| SLC2A9 | 4047 | 4048 | SLC2A9_4047up | ACACAGCAGTGGTCCAACCT | Exon 2 |
|  | 4048 | 4047 | SLC2A9_4048dn | GTGGTCATAGCCCAGCATTC | Exon 2 |
|  | 4156 | 4157 | SLC2A9_4156up | CTGAGCTCCCTGGACTGG | Exon 3 |
|  | 4157 | 4156 | SLC2A9_4157dn | GAGGCACTCACCGGAGTC | Exon 3 |
|  | 3930 | 3931 | SLC2A9_3930up | GCTTAGCGAAATGCCTGGTA | Exon 4 |
|  | 3931 | 3930 | SLC2A9_3931dn | GCTCCTCCTTGTTTGGACAG | Exon 4 |
|  | 3932 | 3933 | SLC2A9_3932up | ACACCAGGGAAATCCACAGA | Exon 5 |
|  | 3933 | 3932 | SLC2A9_3933dn | CCCAGTCATGTGACAACCAG | Exon 5 |
|  | 3934 | 3935 | SLC2A9_3934up | ACAGAAAGCGTTCCCTGAAG | Exon 6 |
|  | 3935 | 3934 | SLC2A9_3935dn | AAACGAAAGGCTTGAGTGCT | Exon 6 |
|  | 3942 | 3943 | SLC2A9_3942up | AACAAATCCAGTGTCCAGTCC | Exon 7 |
|  | 3943 | 3942 | SLC2A9_3943dn | ACAGATGGGAAGACGAAACG | Exon 7 |
|  | 3944 | 3945 | SLC2A9_3944up | GTCTGGATGGTGGGACAGAC | Exon 8 |
|  | 3945 | 3944 | SLC2A9_3945dn | TCTGGGCTAGCTGCTTCATT | Exon 8 |
|  | 3936 | 3937 | SLC2A9_3936up | CAAATCATCACCTTGGCTCA | Exon 9 |
|  | 3937 | 3936 | SLC2A9_3937dn | AAGAAGACAACAGGGAAACAAGA | Exon 9 |
|  | 3938 | 3939 | SLC2A9_3938up | GAGCCATCCTTTAGCCATGA | Exon 10 |
|  | 3939 | 3938 | SLC2A9_3939dn | CCAGTCCAAGGACCCATTAC | Exon 10 |
|  | 3940 | 3941 | SLC2A9_3940up | GCATCATGCCACTTCACTGT | Exon 11 |
|  | 3941 | 3940 | SLC2A9_3941dn | CGACCATCAGTTGGATGAAA | Exon 11 |
|  | 3946 | 3947 | SLC2A9_3946up | GGACCAGGTCAGAGAAATGG | Exon 12 |
|  | 3947 | 3946 | SLC2A9_3947dn | AAGGGCAACTCCCAAAAGAT | Exon 12 |
|  | 3948 | 3949 | SLC2A9_3948up | GTGCACCTCATGTGAGAACG | Exon 13 |
|  | 3949 | 3948 | SLC2A9_3949dn | GGGGATTCAGCTGTGAAGAA | Exon 13 |

Table 11.2 Primers for genotyping


|  | abcg2_1293 | ACGTTGGATGATGTCCAGGAAGAAGTCTGC | ACGTTGGATGTGTGTATCTGAGTTACCTTC | CAGGGTTATTATAGGGCTCACAG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | csn1s1_1175 | ACGTTGGATGATTTTATTGGCCCTGAATC | ACGTTGGATGCACACTTTCATACTGGGTTG | CTTTATTGGCCCTGAATCTTTTTA |
|  | slc2a9_1230 | ACGTTGGATGTGGACACCTACTGTTTCCTG | ACGTTGGATGTGTTTTTGGTCTCAGGCAGG | tcCTGTtTCCTGGTTTTTGCTGCA |
|  | csn1s1_1188 | ACGTTGGATGATGGAAAGGTGAGGATAGTG | ACGTTGGATGTCTTCACTCATGGGCCAAAG | ggGAGGATAGTGTACTCTTTAGAA |
|  | abcg2_1292 | ACGTTGGATGACGGGCATGTACTCAAACAG | ACGTTGGATGCATCTTCGGTTAGTTTGGTG | CAAAGAGACATCAATAACAAACTGG |
|  | slc2a9_1232 | ACGTTGGATGAGAGTCCCCTGCAAGTTTAG | ACGTTGGATGAGAAATCCCAAATGGGTTGC | CCCATATTTAAAAATAAGCCTTTGCT |
|  | ppargc1a_1255 | ACGTTGGATGTAGCAGGTTTATTTTCTTC | ACGTTGGATGCCTACAAATTATACTCAGAGA | TCTTCTTTTGTTTTAAAGAAAGTATA |
|  | opn_1197 | ACGTTGGATGGAAGAAAAACATGAAGAGAG | ACGTTGGATGCACATTTGAAATGCATGTTGG | CGATAAAAATAAGAAAGAGAAACTTG |
|  | abcg2_1299 | ACGTTGGATGTAAGACCAGGAGATTAAACC | ACGTTGGATGTTGCAGGCGGATTCTTTACC | cccTTAAACCATTTGCTATACAGATGT |
| $3^{c}$ | slc2a9_1215 | ACGTTGGATGATACCAGCCGGATGTTCCTC | ACGTTGGATGTAGCCTTCCGGACCTTCTTG | TCCGCCAGGACCTCCT |
|  | abcg2_1310 | ACGTTGGATGCTAAAGCTTGCGAAGTGAGG | ACGTTGGATGTTACCGTCACGTCGGAGAGA | TGAAGTCGGTGCTGCC |
|  | slc2a9_1199 | ACGTTGGATGGAAACAGGCCAGAGAGGAAC | ACGTTGGATGATAAGGAACCCAGGGGAGGA | AGAGAGGAACAGAGGC |
|  | opn_1193 | ACGTTGGATGTGACAACCAGGATCTCCCAG | ACGTTGGATGAATGCCCCATGACACATCTC | gGCTCTCCACCTACACAG |
|  | abcg2_1279 | ACGTTGGATGGGTAAGGGAGCTTTACTTTC | ACGTTGGATGATATTGTAAATCTTACCTTC | ACTTTCATATTTTGGGGC |
|  | slc2a9_1226 | ACGTTGGATGATGATGGCCAGAATGCACAG | ACGTTGGATGATGACTGCTTCCTCATCTGC | gGGTAGGGGATCCAGGGC |
|  | slc2a9_1210 | ACGTTGGATGTCGTGCTTCTCAAGTAGCAG | ACGTTGGATGTGATTGTCATCCCTGCCTTG | TCTCAAGTAGCAGGTAGTG |
|  | slc2a9_1223 | ACGTTGGATGAACCCAGGGTTATTCCTGAG | ACGTTGGATGAAAGGCATGCTGGTTTGTCC | AGAACATCATGGGGTTTAA |
|  | opn_1194 | ACGTTGGATGAGCACTGCATCAGCATCACA | ACGTTGGATGAGTCTGTAGCAAACTGCAGG | ccctGGGACTGGACTCTTCT |
|  | pgm2_1379 | ACGTTGGATGAGAGGTCAGATAACGAGAGC | ACGTTGGATGTTGGAAATAACCAGGCCAGC | ggggAACGAGAGCATCAACG |
|  | pgm2_878 | ACGTTGGATGTGGCGTCAGTGAAACAACTC | ACGTTGGATGGTCCCAAATTCCATTCGAGC | gccaTCATTGCAGCAGGTAAT |
|  | pparg1a_1239 | ACGTTGGATGGTTGCTGAGTAGGAACATTG | ACGTTGGATGGCAGAACAAGGAAAGAAAA | gAGGAACATTGAAATGTAGGA |
|  | ppargc1a_1242 | ACGTTGGATGCTAAGAGTATAAACAACATTG | ACGTTGGATGGCCCAAGTCTGACAAACAGT | GTATAAACAACATTGAACAAGAA |
|  | ppargc1a_1247 | ACGTTGGATGGAAGGATGGATCAGGATTAAG | ACGTTGGATGCCTTTTTCTTGCTTAAGTAT | AGAATATGATCATTTAAATCAGGA |
|  | pgm2_875 | ACGTTGGATGTTAGTTGTAGGTAAATGTA | ACGTTGGATGGCCACAAAAGTATAACCTCC | TTAGTTGTAGGTAAATGTAATTATG |
|  | opn_1198 | ACGTTGGATGATGGAAAATAGAGGTGGCAG | ACGTTGGATGGTGTGGAAACACTCCACTAA | GCAGTACAAATATTAACTCAAAAGAT |
|  | csn1s1_1165 | ACGTTGGATGTGAAGAGCAAAATTAAAAAC | ACGTTGGATGCCAACAAAGGAGTATATTATG | AATGAATAAAAATATTACTTTCAAGTG |

${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Well contains in addition primers of 9 SNPs that are not subject of this thesis.
${ }^{c}$ Well contains in addition primers of 1 SNP that is not subject of this thesis.

### 11.3. Haplotypes

Table 11.3 Constructed haplotypes
Haplotypes were constructed separately for each breed and separately for each gene. Only haplotypes with a frequency $>0.025$ are included. Numbers in the third column indicate in vertical orientation the SNP by the inhouse identification code SNP_id.

| Gene | Haplotype name | Haplotype | Frequency |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABCG2 |  | SNP | BV | $\mathrm{FV}^{\text {b }}$ | HF |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 332222223 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 017899990 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 309723492 |  |  |  |
|  | ABC_HT1 | G T A C G G A T G | 0.45 |  | a |
|  | ABC_HT2 | A T A T G G A T A | 0.49 |  | 0.07 |
|  | ABC_HT3 | A A A T A G A T A | 0.03 |  | 0.07 |
|  | ABC_HT4 | ATTTA GAGA | 0.03 |  | a |
|  | ABC_HT5 | A A ATAGATG | 0.05 |  | a |
|  | ABC_HT6 | G T T T G G A T A | a |  | 0.22 |
|  | ABC_HT7 | GTTTA GATA | a |  | 0.09 |
|  | ABC_HT8 | G T T T G A G G A | a |  | 0.14 |
|  | ABC_HT9 | GTTTGAATA | a |  | 0.09 |
|  | ABC_HT10 | ATATAGATA | a |  | 0.29 |


| OPN | SNP | 0.49 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 111111 |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{llllll}3 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | 39999 |  |  |
|  | 17543 |  |  |
| OP_HT1 | T G A C C |  | 0.04 |
| OP_HT2 | T A G C T | 0.44 | 0.27 |
| OP_HT3 | T G A T C | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| OP_HT4 | C G G C C | a | 0.48 |
| OP_HT5 | T G G C C | a | 0.17 |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
33222222331111
01789999039999
30972349217543
ABOP_HT1 A T A T A G A T A T G A C C 0.03
ABOP_HT2 G T A C G G A T G T G A T C 0.16
ABOP_HT3 A T A T G G A T A T G A C C 0.21
ABOP HT4
A A A TAGATATAGCT 0.35
ABOP_HT5
G T T T A GA GACGGCC 0.08
ABOP_HT6
A T T T G G A T G T G A T C 0.03
ABOP_HT7
G T T T G G A T A C G G C C 0.03

| PPARGC1A | SNP | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 222222 |  |  |  |
|  | 444433 |  |  |  |
|  | 764298 |  |  |  |
| PPARG_HT1 | A T T A G G |  |  |  |
| PPARG_HT2 | A C C A G G | 0.38 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| PPARG_HT3 | A CTGGA | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.38 |
| PPARG_HT4 | C C C G G A | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.10 |
| PPARG_HT5 | A C TAGG | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| PPARG_HT6 | C C C G G G | a | 0.28 | a |
| PPARG_HT7 | A CTATG | a | a | 0.07 |
| PPARG_HT8 | A CTAGA | a | a | 0.11 |
| PGM2 | SNP |  |  |  |
|  | $1 \quad 1$ |  |  |  |
|  | 38888838888 |  |  |  |
|  | 2734575777 |  |  |  |
|  | 1873891475 |  |  |  |
| PGM2_HT1 | G A T G C G G T C G | 0.61 | 0.43 | 0.15 |
| PGM2_HT2 | A A CATCACCA | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.27 |
| PGM2_HT3 | G G T G C G G T T G | 0.15 | 0.26 | 0.07 |
| PGM2_HT4 | G A T G C G G T T G | a | 0.20 | 0.31 |
| PGM2_HT5 | G A CATCACCA | a | a | 0.12 |
| PGM2_HT6 | A A TGCGGTTG | a | a | 0.06 |
| CSN1S1 | SNP ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 987777666 |  |  |  |
|  | 186540651 |  |  |  |
| CSN1S_HT1 | A A T T A T C C T | 0.08 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.10 |
| CSN1S_HT2 | A A CTADCCT | 0.64 | 0.41 | 0.53 |
| CSN1S_HT3 | A A T T T T C C T | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.29 |
| CSN1S_HT4 | GGTCATTAC | 0.05 | 0.06 | a |
| CSN1S_HT5 | A A T TATCCT | 0.03 | 0.33 | a |
| CSN1S_HT6 | A ATCATTCC | a | a | 0.04 |


| SLC2A9 | SNP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 22222222221 |  |  |  |
|  | 3222211100009 |  |  |  |
|  | 26535309869 |  |  |  |
| SLC2_HT1 | C C A G G C G G A C T | 0.18 | a | a |
| SLC2_HT2 | C CAA CGGGGCG | 0.22 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| SLC2_HT3 | C C A A G GAGGCT | 0.06 | 0.03 | a |
| SLC2_HT4 | A CAACGGGGCT | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.19 |
| SLC2_HT5 | A T A A CGGGACT | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| SLC2_HT6 | C CAACGGGGCT | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.09 |
| SLC2_HT7 | A C GAGGGGATT | 0.04 | a | a |
| SLC2_HT8 | A C GAGGGGACT | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.03 |
| SLC2_HT9 | ACAACGGGGCG | a | 0.05 | 0.19 |
| SLC2_HT10 | A CAAGGAGGCT | a | 0.06 | a |
| SLC2_HT11 | ACAACGGGACT | a | 0.06 | a |
| SLC2_HT12 | C C A A CGGGACT | a | 0.08 | a |
| SLC2_HT13 | ACGACGGGGCT | a | 0.06 | a |
| SLC2_HT14 | C CAA GCGGGCT | a | 0.04 | a |
| SLC2_HT15 | C CAA GCGGACG | a | 0.09 | a |
| SLC2_HT16 | A CAAGGGGGCT | a | 0.03 | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| SLC2_HT17 | C C GAGGAGGCT | a | a | 0.19 |
| SLC2_HT18 | A C GAGGGGGCT | a | a | 0.08 |

[^3]11.4. Substitution effects
Table 11.4 Regression coefficients on the number of copies of allele ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of significantly associated ( $F D R<\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ ) CSN1S1-polymorphisms in BV

| Gene | CSN1S1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SNP | 1191 | 1188 | 1176 | 1175 | 1170 | 1166 | 1165 | 1161 |
| Allele ' $\chi^{\prime}$ ' | G | G | T | T | T | T | C | T |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $-65.27 \pm 33.46$ | $-68.24 \pm 37.60$ | $-32.00 \pm 15.47$ | $68.24 \pm 37.59$ | $-38.37 \pm 15.40$ | $-59.17 \pm 37.17$ | $54.35 \pm 42.20$ | $57.53 \pm 37.28$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $-140.48 * \pm 46.19$ | $-143.22 \pm 50.95$ | $-47.65 \pm 21.76$ | $143.212 \pm 50.95$ | $-66.23 * \pm 21.99$ | $-129.61 \pm 50.40$ | $127.32 \pm 58.80$ | $127.47 \pm 50.64$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $-123.20 \pm 47.54$ | $-131.58 \pm 52.86$ | $-11.06 \pm 25.30$ | $131.58 \pm 52.86$ | $-22.65 \pm 25.31$ | $-114.26 \pm 52.17$ | $130.72 \pm 58.95$ | $111.70 \pm 52.51$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $0.11 \pm 1.31$ | $0.55 \pm 1.48$ | $0.20 \pm 0.61$ | $-0.54 \pm 1.48$ | $0.46 \pm 0.61$ | $0.53 \pm 1.46$ | $0.16 \pm 1.72$ | $-0.56 \pm 1.46$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $-1.81 \pm 1.81$ | $-1.56 \pm 2.00$ | $-0.49 \pm 0.85$ | $1.56 \pm 2.00$ | $-0.55 \pm 0.86$ | $-1.42 \pm 1.98$ | $1.97 \pm 2.35$ | $1.38 \pm 1.97$ |
| FY3 (kg) | $-1.13 \pm 1.85$ | $-1.44 \pm 2.07$ | $1.36 \pm 0.97$ | $1.44 \pm 2.07$ | $1.54 \pm 0.97$ | $-1.25 \pm 2.04$ | $1.87 \pm 2.31$ | $1.22 \pm 2.03$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $-0.38 \pm 1.00$ | $0.34 \pm 1.13$ | $-0.99 \pm 0.46$ | $-0.34 \pm 1.13$ | $-0.95 \pm 0.46$ | $0.58 \pm 1.11$ | $0.11 \pm 1.28$ | $-0.61 \pm 1.11$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $-1.58 \pm 1.46$ | $-0.60 \pm 1.61$ | $-1.27 \pm 0.68$ | $0.60 \pm 1.61$ | $-1.44 \pm 0.68$ | $-0.24 \pm 1.59$ | $1.06 \pm 1.85$ | $0.20 \pm 1.59$ |
| PY3 (kg) | $-1.86 \pm 1.56$ | $-1.45 \pm 1.75$ | $0.09 \pm 0.83$ | $1.46 \pm 1.75$ | $0.55 \pm 0.82$ | $-0.96 \pm 1.73$ | $2.03 \pm 1.95$ | $0.91 \pm 1.724$ |
| FC1 (\%) | $0.049 \pm 0.018$ | $0.058 * \pm 0.020$ | 0.027* $\pm 0.008$ | $-0.058 * \pm 0.020$ | 0.036* $\pm 0.008$ | $0.051 \pm 0.020$ | $-0.038 \pm 0.022$ | $-0.050 \pm 0.020$ |
| FC2 (\%) | 0.060* $\pm 0.019$ | 0.064* $\pm 0.021$ | $0.023 \pm 0.009$ | $-0.064 * \pm 0.021$ | 0.034* $\pm 0.009$ | $0.057 \pm 0.021$ | $-0.049 * \pm 0.024$ | $-0.057 \pm 0.021$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $0.053 \pm 0.021$ | $0.054 \pm 0.023$ | $0.023 \pm 0.011$ | $-0.054 \pm 0.022$ | 0.033* $\pm 0.011$ | $0.046 \pm 0.023$ | $-0.048 \pm 0.025$ | $-0.045 \pm 0.023$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $0.033 * \pm 0.011$ | $0.047 * \pm 0.013$ | $0.004 \pm 0.005$ | $-0.047 * \pm 0.013$ | $0.008 \pm 0.006$ | $0.045 * \pm 0.012$ | $-0.032 \pm 0.014$ | $-0.044 * \pm 0.012$ |
| PC2 (\%) | 0.047* $\pm 0.013$ | 0.063* $\pm 0.014$ | $0.006 \pm 0.006$ | $-0.063 * \pm 0.014$ | $0.014 \pm 0.006$ | $0.061 * \pm 0.014$ | $-0.049 \pm 0.016$ | $-0.061 * \pm 0.014$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $0.032 \pm 0.014$ | $0.042 \pm 0.016$ | $0.006 \pm 0.008$ | $-0.042 \pm 0.016$ | $0.017 \pm 0.008$ | $0.041 \pm 0.016$ | $-0.0343 \pm 0.0177$ | $-0.040 \pm 0.0157$ |

[^4]Table 11.5 Regression coefficients on the number of copies of allele ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of significantly associated $(F D R<10 \%) P P A R G C 1 A-$ and $S L C 2 A 9$-polymorphisms in BV

| Gene | PPARGC1A |  | SLC2A9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marker | 1242 | 1238 | 1223 | 1215 | 1213 | 1208 | 1206 | 1199 |
| Allele ' $x$ ' | G | G | G | T | G | G | T | T |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $-23.31 \pm 14.20$ | 29,12 $\pm 13,82$ | $37,80 \pm 18,13$ | 39,39 $\pm 15,32$ | $-40,30 \pm 17,81$ | $-38,60 \pm 15,07$ | 132,20* $\pm 32,13$ | $32,65 \pm 19,21$ |
| MY2 (kg) | -60,19* $\pm 19,37$ | 63,00* $\pm 18,80$ | 72,07* $\pm 24,70$ | 64,13* $\pm 21,11$ | $-67,34 \pm 24,21$ | $-39,15 \pm 20,82$ | 97,67 $\pm 45,24$ | 76,67* $\pm 25,75$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $-38,68 \pm 22,69$ | $38,73 \pm 21,53$ | $59,27 \pm 26,51$ | 63,58 $\pm 22,50$ | $-55,64 \pm 26,23$ | $-30,43 \pm 22,80$ | 91,55 $\pm 54,69$ | $40,63 \pm 30,17$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $-1,01 \pm 0,54$ | 1,15 $\pm 0,53$ | 2,17* $\pm 0,69$ | 2,06* $\pm 0,59$ | $-2,33 * \pm 0,68$ | $-2,10 * \pm 0,58$ | 2,58 $\pm 1,26$ | 0,83 $\pm 0,75$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $-2,26 * \pm 0,75$ | 2,33* $\pm 0,73$ | 3,91* $\pm 0,94$ | 3,23* $\pm 0,80$ | $-3,88 * \pm 0,92$ | $-2,16 \pm 0,80$ | $-0,37 \pm 1,75$ | 2,34 $\pm 1,00$ |
| FY3 (kg) | $-1,23 \pm 0,86$ | 1,01 $\pm 0,83$ | $2,81 \pm 1,00$ | 2,83* $\pm 0,85$ | $-2,68 \pm 0,99$ | $-1,79 \pm 0,86$ | 1,61 $\pm 2,09$ | 1,84 $\pm 1,15$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $-0,55 \pm 0,42$ | 0,77 $\pm 0,41$ | $1,19 \pm 0,53$ | 1,59* $\pm 0,45$ | $-1,39 \pm 0,52$ | $-1,25 \pm 0,44$ | 2,25 $\pm 0,96$ | 0,35 $\pm 0,57$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $-1,40 \pm 0,60$ | 1,65 $\pm 0,59$ | 2,68* $\pm 0,75$ | 2,57* $\pm 0,64$ | $-2,63 * \pm 0,74$ | $-1,44 \pm 0,64$ | 0,71 $\pm 1,41$ | 1,73 $\pm 0,80$ |
| PY3 (kg) | $-0,79 \pm 0,74$ | 0,94 $\pm 0,70$ | $2,21 \pm 0,85$ | $2,71 * \pm 0,72$ | $-2,31 \pm 0,84$ | $-1,27 \pm 0,73$ | 0,22 $\pm 1,78$ | 0,90 $\pm 0,98$ |
| FC1 (\%) | $-0,001 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,008$ | 0,007 $\pm 0,010$ | 0,004 $\pm 0,008$ | $-0,008 \pm 0,010$ | $-0,007 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,045 \pm 0,018$ | $-0,010 \pm 0,010$ |
| FC2 (\%) | 0,004 $\pm 0,008$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,008$ | 0,012 $\pm 0,011$ | 0,008 $\pm 0,009$ | $-0,013 \pm 0,011$ | $-0,007 \pm 0,009$ | $-0,060 * \pm 0,019$ | $-0,012 \pm 0,011$ |
| FC3 (\%) | 0,004 $\pm 0,010$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,010$ | 0,002 $\pm 0,012$ | 0,007 $\pm 0,010$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,010$ | $-0,030 \pm 0,024$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,013$ |
| PC1 (\%) | 0,005 $\pm 0,005$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,005$ | $-0,004 \pm 0,006$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,005$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,006$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,005$ | $-0,036 * \pm 0,011$ | $-0,013 \pm 0,007$ |
| PC2 (\%) | 0,010 $\pm 0,006$ | $-0,008 \pm 0,005$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,007$ | 0,004 $\pm 0,006$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,007$ | 0,002 $\pm 0,006$ | $-0,038 * \pm 0,013$ | $-0,015 \pm 0,007$ |
| PC3 (\%) | 0,007 $\pm 0,007$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,007$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,008$ | 0,006 $\pm 0,007$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,007$ | $-0,040 \pm 0,017$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,009$ |

[^5]Table 11.6 Regression coefficients on the number of copies of allele ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of significantly associated ( $F D R<10 \%$ ) CSN1S1-polymorphisms in FV

| Gene | CSN1S1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marker | 1191 | 1188 | 1176 | 1175 | 1170 | 1166 | 1165 | 1161 |
| Allele ' $x$ ' | G | G | T | T | T | T | C | T |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $-17,62 \pm 23,87$ | $-18,05 \pm 23,94$ | $-25,12 \pm 14,91$ | $20,38 \pm 24,13$ | $-37,45 * \pm 12,83$ | $-22,41 \pm 22,52$ | $18,07 \pm 22,97$ | 19,93 $\pm 22,34$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $-17,02 \pm 29,18$ | $-15,25 \pm 29,28$ | $-4,66 \pm 18,24$ | 15,68 $\pm 29,58$ | $-28,67 \pm 15,76$ | $-21,34 \pm 27,16$ | $18,83 \pm 27,64$ | $22,20 \pm 26,86$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $-54,41 \pm 39,73$ | $-48,97 \pm 40,09$ | $-26,37 \pm 24,73$ | 53,65 $\pm 40,87$ | $-50,80 \pm 21,69$ | $-41,21 \pm 39,25$ | $47,73 \pm 39,47$ | $41,51 \pm 38,34$ |
| FY1 (kg) | 0,37 $\pm 0,85$ | 0,32 $\pm 0,86$ | $-0,43 \pm 0,53$ | $-0,16 \pm 0,86$ | 0,41 $\pm 0,47$ | 0,33 $\pm 0,80$ | $-0,34 \pm 0,82$ | $-0,51 \pm 0,80$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $0,11 \pm 1,18$ | $0,17 \pm 1,18$ | 0,35 $\pm 0,74$ | $-0,03 \pm 1,19$ | 0,69 $\pm 0,65$ | 0,32 $\pm 1,10$ | $-0,28 \pm 1,12$ | $-0,38 \pm 1,09$ |
| FY3 (kg) | $-1,32 \pm 1,66$ | $-1,27 \pm 1,67$ | 0,29 $\pm 1,03$ | 1,72 $\pm 1,70$ | 0,53 $\pm 0,91$ | $-0,63 \pm 1,63$ | 1,00 $\pm 1,64$ | 0,29 $\pm 1,59$ |
| PY1 (kg) | 1,17 $\pm 0,69$ | $1,11 \pm 0,69$ | 0,09 $\pm 0,43$ | $-1,02 \pm 0,69$ | 0,14 $\pm 0,37$ | 0,87 $\pm 0,64$ | $-0,96 \pm 0,66$ | $-1,01 \pm 0,64$ |
| PY2 (kg) | 1,46 $\pm 0,94$ | 1,46 $\pm 0,94$ | 1,07 $\pm 0,59$ | $-1,42 \pm 0,95$ | $0,62 \pm 0,51$ | 1,28 $\pm 0,87$ | $-1,27 \pm 0,89$ | $-1,32 \pm 0,86$ |
| PY3 (kg) | 0,28 $\pm 1,20$ | 0,29 $\pm 1,20$ | 0,29 $\pm 0,74$ | $-0,11 \pm 1,23$ | 0,31 $\pm 0,66$ | 0,61 $\pm 1,18$ | $-0,39 \pm 1,18$ | $-0,75 \pm 1,15$ |
| FC1 (\%) | 0,020 $\pm 0,013$ | 0,019 $\pm 0,013$ | 0,012 $\pm 0,008$ | $-0,018 \pm 0,013$ | 0,033* $\pm 0,007$ | 0,022 $\pm 0,012$ | $-0,019 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,023 \pm 0,012$ |
| FC2 (\%) | 0,014 $\pm 0,014$ | 0,014 $\pm 0,014$ | 0,009 $\pm 0,009$ | $-0,012 \pm 0,014$ | 0,028* $\pm 0,007$ | 0,019 $\pm 0,013$ | $-0,017 \pm 0,013$ | $-0,020 \pm 0,013$ |
| FC3 (\%) | 0,018 $\pm 0,019$ | 0,015 $\pm 0,019$ | 0,020 $\pm 0,012$ | $-0,012 \pm 0,020$ | 0,039* $\pm 0,010$ | $0,019 \pm 0,019$ | $-0,017 \pm 0,019$ | $-0,023 \pm 0,019$ |
| PC1 (\%) | 0,031* $\pm 0,007$ | 0,030* $\pm 0,007$ | 0,019* $\pm 0,004$ | $-0,030 * \pm 0,007$ | 0,025* $\pm 0,004$ | 0,029* $\pm 0,007$ | $-0,027 * \pm 0,007$ | $-0,030 * \pm 0,007$ |
| PC2 (\%) | 0,030* $\pm 0,008$ | 0,029* $\pm 0,008$ | 0,019* $\pm 0,005$ | $-0,029 * \pm 0,008$ | 0,025* $\pm 0,004$ | 0,029* $\pm 0,007$ | $-0,028 * \pm 0,007$ | $-0,030 * \pm 0,007$ |
| PC3 (\%) | 0,032* $\pm 0,011$ | 0,029 $\pm 0,011$ | 0,017 $\pm 0,007$ | $-0,029 \pm 0,011$ | 0,030* $\pm 0,006$ | 0,030 $\pm 0,011$ | $-0,030 \pm 0,011$ | $-0,032 * \pm 0,010$ |

[^6]Table 11.7 Regression coefficients on the number of copies of allele ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of significantly associated ( $F D R<10 \%$ ) PPARGC1A-, PGM2- and SLC2A9-polymoprhisms in FV | Gene | PPARGC1A | PGM2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Marker | 1238 | 877 |
| Allele ' $x$ ' | G |  |
| Trait |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $33,23 \pm 13,26$ | $13,94 \pm 12,41$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $31,96 \pm 16,26$ | $14,56 \pm 15,05$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $38,68 \pm 21,88$ | $9,14 \pm 21,12$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $0,05 \pm 0,48$ | $-0,02 \pm 0,45$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $0,06 \pm 0,66$ | $0,31 \pm 0,61$ |
| FY3 (kg) | $0,07 \pm 0,91$ | $-1,84 \pm 0,87$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $0,76 \pm 0,38$ | $0,18 \pm 0,36$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $0,72 \pm 0,52$ | $0,42 \pm 0,48$ |
| PY3 (kg) | $0,72 \pm 0,66$ | $-0,30 \pm 0,63$ |
| FC1 (\%) | $-0,023 * \pm 0,007$ | $-0,008 \pm 0,007$ |
| FC2 (\%) | $-0,020 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,007$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $-0,023 \pm 0,011$ | $-0,029 * \pm 0,010$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $-0,008 \pm 0,004$ | $-0,004 \pm 0,004$ |
| PC2 (\%) | $-0,007 \pm 0,004$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,004$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $-0,011 \pm 0,006$ | $-0,008 \pm 0,006$ |

Table 11.8 Regression coefficients on the number of copies of allele ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of significantly associated (FDR <10\%) ABCG2-, OPN-, PPARGC1A- and CSN1S1-polymoprhisms in HF

| 1170 |
| :---: |
| T |

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
-6,22 \pm 20,59 & -55,51 \pm 22,74 & -14,64 \pm 20,38 \\
-10,04 \pm 22,64 & -50,87 \pm 25,03 & -17,43 \pm 22,33 \\
1,05 \pm 24,66 & -42,11 \pm 27,87 & -13,14 \pm 24,43 \\
0,22 \pm 0,78 & -1,62 \pm 0,86 & -0,10 \pm 0,77 \\
0,34 \pm 0,91 & -1,03 \pm 1,00 & 0,08 \pm 0,90 \\
0,64 \pm 1,04 & -0,19 \pm 1,18 & 0,40 \pm 1,05 \\
0,62 \pm 0,59 & -0,48 \pm 0,65 & 0,49 \pm 0,58 \\
0,90 \pm 0,70 & -0,04 \pm 0,78 & 0,86 \pm 0,69 \\
1,04 \pm 0,79 & 0,24 \pm 0,90 & 0,89 \pm 0,79 \\
0,008 \pm 0,009 & 0,009 \pm 0,010 & 0,007 \pm 0,009 \\
0,010 \pm 0,009 & 0,013 \pm 0,010 & 0,009 \pm 0,009 \\
0,009 \pm 0,010 & 0,019 \pm 0,011 & 0,012 \pm 0,010 \\
0,011 \pm 0,004 & 0,018^{*} \pm 0,005 & 0,013^{*} \pm 0,004 \\
0,014 * \pm 0,004 & 0,019 * \pm 0,005 & 0,017^{*} \pm 0,004 \\
0,012 \pm 0,005 & 0,018^{*} \pm 0,006 & 0,015^{*} \pm 0,005
\end{array}
$$

Table 11.9 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of $\boldsymbol{A B C G} 2$-haplotypes in BV

| Haplotype name | ABC_HT1 | ABC_HT2 | ABC_HT3 | ABC_HT4 | ABC_HT5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ ' | GTACGGATG | ATATGGATA | AAATAGATA | ATTTAGAGA | AAATAGATG |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $9,80 \pm 14,34$ | $15,73 \pm 15,56$ | $-49,34 \pm 31,92$ | $-8,78 \pm 39,51$ | 5,82 $\pm 35,21$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $15,47 \pm 20,20$ | $41,04 \pm 22,05$ | $-106,41 \pm 45,59$ | $-15,19 \pm 52,99$ | $-33,44 \pm 50,61$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $40,85 \pm 21,85$ | $15,91 \pm 24,05$ | $-154,61^{*} \pm 55,03$ | $-48,65 \pm 55,31$ | $12,62 \pm 52,80$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $-0,08 \pm 0,55$ | 0,93 $\pm 0,59$ | $-2,01 \pm 1,22$ | $2,38 \pm 1,49$ | $-1,07 \pm 1,35$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $0,13 \pm 0,77$ | $1,65 \pm 0,84$ | $-3,81 \pm 1,75$ | $1,87 \pm 2,02$ | $-1,59 \pm 1,94$ |
| FY3 (kg) | 1,03 $\pm 0,83$ | 0,78 $\pm 0,91$ | $-5,33 \pm 2,09$ | $1,49 \pm 2,10$ | $-0,35 \pm 2,00$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $-0,22 \pm 0,42$ | 0,73 $\pm 0,45$ | $-1,37 \pm 0,93$ | 0,88 $\pm 1,14$ | 0,33 $\pm 1,03$ |
| PY2 (kg) | 0,27 $\pm 0,63$ | 1,25 $\pm 0,68$ | $-2,51 \pm 1,42$ | 0,92 $\pm 1,64$ | $-0,09 \pm 1,57$ |
| PY3 (kg) | 0,25 $\pm 0,71$ | 0,68 $\pm 0,78$ | $-3,62 \pm 1,79$ | $-0,04 \pm 1,79$ | 1,19 $\pm 1,70$ |
| FC1 (\%) | $-0,009 \pm 0,008$ | 0,004 $\pm 0,009$ | 0,000 $\pm 0,017$ | 0,045 $\pm 0,021$ | $-0,020 \pm 0,019$ |
| FC2 (\%) | $-0,009 \pm 0,009$ | 0,000 $\pm 0,010$ | 0,011 $\pm 0,020$ | 0,035 $\pm 0,022$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,022$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $-0,010 \pm 0,010$ | 0,002 $\pm 0,011$ | 0,016 $\pm 0,024$ | 0,043 $\pm 0,024$ | $-0,013 \pm 0,023$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $-0,009 \pm 0,005$ | 0,002 $\pm 0,005$ | 0,006 $\pm 0,011$ | 0,019 $\pm 0,013$ | 0,004 $\pm 0,012$ |
| PC2 (\%) | $-0,006 \pm 0,006$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,006$ | 0,020 $\pm 0,013$ | 0,020 $\pm 0,015$ | 0,015 $\pm 0,014$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $-0,016 \pm 0,007$ | 0,002 $\pm 0,007$ | 0,023 $\pm 0,017$ | $0,020 \pm 0,017$ | 0,008 $\pm 0,016$ |

[^7]Table 11.10 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of PPARGC1A-haplotypes in BV

| Haplotype name | PPARG_HT1 | PPARG_HT2 | PPARG_HT3 | PPARG_HT4 | PPARG_HT5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ ' | ATTAGG | ACCAGG | ACTGGA | CCCGGA | ACTAGG |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | 2,33 $\pm 30,95$ | 26,38 $\pm 15,03$ | $-21,03 \pm 17,71$ | $-26,78 \pm 15,77$ | $4,18 \pm 40,34$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $18,38 \pm 41,35$ | 59,97* $\pm 20,45$ | $-49,04 \pm 24,47$ | $-41,58 \pm 22,19$ | $34,67 \pm 56,78$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $23,04 \pm 41,29$ | $33,61 \pm 23,32$ | $-28,59 \pm 26,52$ | $-21,20 \pm 24,95$ | $25,36 \pm 78,78$ |
| FY1 (kg) | 0,81 $\pm 1,17$ | 1,12 $\pm 0,57$ | $-0,88 \pm 0,68$ | $-0,93 \pm 0,61$ | $-0,25 \pm 1,52$ |
| FY2 (kg) | 0,79 $\pm 1,58$ | $2,23 * \pm 0,78$ | $-2,05 \pm 0,94$ | $-1,31 \pm 0,85$ | $2,15 \pm 2,17$ |
| FY3 (kg) | 1,79 $\pm 1,56$ | 1,03 $\pm 0,88$ | $-0,73 \pm 1,01$ | $-0,57 \pm 0,94$ | $-0,20 \pm 2,97$ |
| PY1 (kg) | 0,36 $\pm 0,89$ | 0,66 $\pm 0,44$ | $-0,64 \pm 0,52$ | $-0,41 \pm 0,46$ | $-0,31 \pm 1,16$ |
| PY2 (kg) | 0,73 $\pm 1,28$ | $1,44 \pm 0,64$ | $-1,20 \pm 0,76$ | $-0,69 \pm 0,69$ | 0,88 $\pm 1,75$ |
| PY3 (kg) | 0,51 $\pm 1,33$ | 0,60 $\pm 0,76$ | $-0,80 \pm 0,86$ | $-0,36 \pm 0,81$ | 1,22 $\pm 2,54$ |
| FC1 (\%) | 0,013 $\pm 0,017$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,008$ | $-0,001 \pm 0,010$ | 0,005 $\pm 0,009$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,022$ |
| FC2 (\%) | 0,001 $\pm 0,018$ | $-0,004 \pm 0,009$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,011$ | 0,006 $\pm 0,010$ | 0,010 $\pm 0,025$ |
| FC3 (\%) | 0,008 $\pm 0,018$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,010$ | 0,005 $\pm 0,012$ | $0,002 \pm 0,011$ | $-0,019 \pm 0,035$ |
| PC1 (\%) | 0,006 $\pm 0,010$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,005$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,006$ | 0,011 $\pm 0,005$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,014$ |
| PC2 (\%) | 0,001 $\pm 0,012$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,006$ | 0,007 $\pm 0,007$ | 0,011 $\pm 0,006$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,016$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $-0,005 \pm 0,013$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,007$ | 0,002 $\pm 0,008$ | 0,005 $\pm 0,008$ | 0,002 $\pm 0,025$ |

[^8]Table 11.11 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of CSN1S1-haplotypes in BV

| Haplotype name | CSN1S_HT1 | CSN1S_HT2 | CSN1S_HT3 | CSN1S_HT4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ ' | AATTATCCT | AACTADCCT | AATTTCCT | GGTCATTAC |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $-41,39 \pm 25,76$ | $41,43^{*} \pm 15,06$ | $-5,88 \pm 17,93$ | $-42,01 \pm 37,39$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $-32,33 \pm 36,08$ | $60,37^{*} \pm 21,06$ | $-5,05 \pm 24,73$ | $-134,63^{*} \pm 50,59$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $-21,58 \pm 40,16$ | $19,66 \pm 23,93$ | $38,30 \pm 27,41$ | $-120,99 \pm 54,26$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $0,64 \pm 0,98$ | $-0,56 \pm 0,59$ | $0,15 \pm 0,68$ | $-108,08 \pm 48,13$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $0,21 \pm 1,38$ | $-0,01 \pm 0,82$ | $0,21 \pm 0,95$ | $-19 \pm 1,44$ |
| FY3 (kg) | $0,67 \pm 1,52$ | $-1,91 \pm 0,90$ | $2,17 \pm 1,03$ | $-1,34 \pm 2,08$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $-1,47 \pm 0,74$ | $0,94 \pm 0,45$ | $-0,46 \pm 0,52$ | $0,58 \pm 1,10$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $-1,59 \pm 1,11$ | $1,12 \pm 0,66$ | $-0,21 \pm 0,76$ | $-1,00 \pm 1,58$ |
| PY3 (kg) | $-0,78 \pm 1,30$ | $-0,58 \pm 0,77$ | $1,20 \pm 0,88$ | $-1,54 \pm 1,77$ |
| FC1 (\%) | $0,040 * \pm 0,014$ | $-0,040 * \pm 0,008$ | $0,007 \pm 0,010$ | $0,050 \pm 0,020$ |
| FC2 (\%) | $0,024 \pm 0,015$ | $-0,038^{*} \pm 0,009$ | $0,006 \pm 0,011$ | $0,10 \pm 1,37$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $0,023 \pm 0,018$ | $-0,036 * \pm 0,010$ | $0,005 \pm 0,012$ | $0,056^{*} \pm 0,021$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $0,001 \pm 0,009$ | $-0,010 \pm 0,005$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,006$ | $0,049 \pm 0,023$ |
| PC2 (\%) | $-0,007 \pm 0,010$ | $-0,015 \pm 0,006$ | $-0,001 \pm 0,007$ | $0,035^{*} \pm 0,013$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $0,001 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,016 \pm 0,007$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,009$ | $0,055^{*} \pm 0,014$ |

Table 11.12 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and

| Haplotype name | SLC2_HT1 | SLC2_HT2 | SLC2_HT3 | SLC2_HT4 | SLC2_HT5 | SLC2_HT6 | SLC2_HT7 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ ' | CCAGGCGGACT | CCAACGGGGCG | CCAAGGAGGCT | ACAACGGGGCT | ATAACGGGACT | CCAACGGGGCT | ACGAGGGGATT | ACGAGGGGACT |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $47,27 \pm 20,02$ | $-28,43 \pm 19,04$ | $14,26 \pm 31,76$ | $-18,96 \pm 15,24$ | $17,61 \pm 36,09$ | $-25,49 \pm 40,35$ | $118,83 * \pm 37,42$ | $-43,33 \pm 31,36$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $92,72^{*} \pm 27,61$ | $-67,05 * \pm 25,64$ | $56,06 \pm 43,14$ | $-7,99 \pm 21,02$ | $-22,97 \pm 49,06$ | $-9,03 \pm 54,79$ | $83,34 \pm 52,18$ | $-35,96 \pm 44,06$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $72,92 \pm 30,66$ | $-42,06 \pm 29,53$ | $78,19 \pm 43,43$ | $-22,39 \pm 22,34$ | $-32,40 \pm 56,17$ | $-69,70 \pm 60,49$ | $58,16 \pm 61,09$ | $-53,97 \pm 51,57$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $2,37 * \pm 0,76$ | $-0,91 \pm 0,73$ | $0,38 \pm 1,22$ | $-1,07 \pm 0,58$ | $1,25 \pm 1,36$ | $-1,00 \pm 1,52$ | $1,68 \pm 1,45$ | $0,50 \pm 1,19$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $4,29 * \pm 1,05$ | $-2,30 \pm 0,98$ | $1,57 \pm 1,65$ | $-0,66 \pm 0,80$ | $-0,80 \pm 1,88$ | $-0,44 \pm 2,10$ | $-0,81 \pm 2,00$ |  |
| FY3 (kg) | $2,96 \pm 1,16$ | $-2,20 \pm 1,11$ | $2,48 \pm 1,65$ | $-0,88 \pm 0,85$ | $-0,64 \pm 2,13$ | $-1,80 \pm 2,29$ | $-0,10 \pm 2,31$ | $1,84 \pm 1,68$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $1,33 \pm 0,58$ | $-0,30 \pm 0,56$ | $0,82 \pm 0,93$ | $-1,10 \pm 0,44$ | $0,15 \pm 1,04$ | $0,23 \pm 1,16$ | $2,14 \pm 1,10$ | $0,38 \pm 0,91$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $3,09 * \pm 0,85$ | $-1,44 \pm 0,80$ | $1,59 \pm 1,34$ | $-0,85 \pm 0,65$ | $-0,98 \pm 1,52$ | $1,82 \pm 1,69$ | $0,63 \pm 1,62$ | $0,91 \pm 1,36$ |
| PY3 (kg) | $2,39 \pm 0,99$ | $-1,43 \pm 0,95$ | $2,81 \pm 1,40$ | $-1,27 \pm 0,72$ | $-2,35 \pm 1,81$ | $0,67 \pm 1,96$ | $-0,97 \pm 1,97$ | $0,74 \pm 1,67$ |
| FC1 (\%) | $0,003 \pm 0,011$ | $0,004 \pm 0,010$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,017$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,008$ | $0,008 \pm 0,019$ | $0,004 \pm 0,022$ | $-0,049 \pm 0,020$ | $0,036 \pm 0,017$ |
| FC2 (\%) | $0,005 \pm 0,012$ | $0,006 \pm 0,011$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,018$ | $-0,004 \pm 0,009$ | $0,001 \pm 0,021$ | $0,002 \pm 0,024$ | $-0,058 * \pm 0,022$ | $0,045 \pm 0,019$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $-0,004 \pm 0,014$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,013$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,019$ | $0,001 \pm 0,010$ | $0,007 \pm 0,025$ | $0,018 \pm 0,027$ | $-0,033 \pm 0,027$ | $0,035 \pm 0,022$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $-0,008 \pm 0,007$ | $0,011 \pm 0,007$ | $0,001 \pm 0,011$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,005$ | $-0,008 \pm 0,012$ | $0,023 \pm 0,014$ | $-0,030 \pm 0,013$ | $0,031 * \pm 0,011$ |
| PC2 (\%) | $-0,005 \pm 0,008$ | $0,013 \pm 0,007$ | $-0,004 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,008 \pm 0,006$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,014$ | $0,033 \pm 0,015$ | $-0,032 \pm 0,015$ | $0,031 \pm 0,012$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $-0,004 \pm 0,010$ | $0,002 \pm 0,009$ | $0,003 \pm 0,014$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,007$ | $-0,018 \pm 0,017$ | $0,044 \pm 0,018$ | $-0,040 \pm 0,019$ | $0,035 \pm 0,016$ |

[^9]Table 11.13 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of haplotypes including $A B C G 2$ - and $O P N$-polymorphisms in FV

| Haplotype name | ABOP_HT1 | ABOP_HT2 | ABOP_HT3 | ABOP_HT4 | ABOP_HT5 | ABOP_HT6 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ | ATATAGATATGACC | GTACGGATGTGATC | ATATGGATATGACC | AAATAGATATAGCT | GTTTAGAGACGGCC | ATTTGGATGTGATC | GTTTGGATACGGCC |  |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $67,64 \pm 34,42$ | $13,48 \pm 16,25$ | $-10,09 \pm 14,44$ | $-8,61 \pm 12,04$ | $-5,72 \pm 21,31$ | $-10,63 \pm 36,36$ | $-32,62 \pm 35,74$ |  |
| MY2 (kg) | $90,14 \pm 42,14$ | $-0,40 \pm 19,07$ | $-23,59 \pm 17,17$ | $0,26 \pm 14,40$ | $-5,71 \pm 24,95$ | $-2,43 \pm 44,09$ | $8,36 \pm 42,98$ |  |
| MY3 (kg) | $115,20 \pm 53,88$ | $-23,86 \pm 24,85$ | $15,85 \pm 24,78$ | $-13,98 \pm 20,37$ | $4,44 \pm 34,79$ | $-55,81 \pm 52,25$ | $-4,98 \pm 80,96$ |  |
| FY1 (kg) | $0,74 \pm 1,24$ | $-0,07 \pm 0,58$ | $-0,14 \pm 0,51$ | $-0,04 \pm 0,43$ | $-0,10 \pm 0,75$ | $-0,30 \pm 1,27$ | $-1,07 \pm 1,28$ |  |
| FY2 (kg) | $1,81 \pm 1,69$ | $-1,03 \pm 0,76$ | $-0,55 \pm 0,69$ | $0,52 \pm 0,58$ | $-0,24 \pm 1,00$ | $-0,17 \pm 1,76$ |  |  |
| FY3 (kg) | $1,37 \pm 2,19$ | $-1,82 \pm 1,00$ | $0,88 \pm 1$ | $0,82 \pm 0,82$ | $0,63 \pm 1,41$ | $-1,40 \pm 2,12$ | $0,52 \pm 1,72$ |  |
| PY1 (kg) | $0,78 \pm 1,00$ | $0,46 \pm 0,47$ | $-0,18 \pm 0,41$ | $-0,19 \pm 0,35$ | $-0,03 \pm 0,60$ | $0,87 \pm 1,02$ | $-1,09 \pm 1,02$ | $0,21 \pm 3,27$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $0,61 \pm 1,35$ | $-0,18 \pm 0,61$ | $-0,40 \pm 0,55$ | $0,25 \pm 0,46$ | $0,05 \pm 0,80$ | $1,40 \pm 1,41$ | $0,25 \pm 1,37$ |  |
| PY3 (kg) | $1,10 \pm 1,59$ | $-0,65 \pm 0,73$ | $0,73 \pm 0,73$ | $-0,48 \pm 0,60$ | $0,52 \pm 1,02$ | $0,99 \pm 1,54$ |  |  |
| FC1 (\%) | $-0,030 \pm 0,018$ | $-0,011 \pm 0,008$ | $0,005 \pm 0,008$ | $0,008 \pm 0,006$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,011$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,019$ | $-0,18 \pm 2,38$ |  |
| FC2 (\%) | $-0,028 \pm 0,020$ | $-0,016 \pm 0,009$ | $0,007 \pm 0,008$ | $0,007 \pm 0,007$ | $0,000 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,020$ | $0,002 \pm 0,020$ |  |
| FC3 (\%) | $-0,045 \pm 0,026$ | $-0,013 \pm 0,012$ | $0,009 \pm 0,012$ | $0,016 \pm 0,010$ | $0,007 \pm 0,017$ | $0,007 \pm 0,025$ | $0,006 \pm 0,038$ |  |
| PC1 (\%) | $-0,025 \pm 0,010$ | $0,000 \pm 0,005$ | $0,004 \pm 0,004$ | $0,002 \pm 0,004$ | $0,000 \pm 0,006$ | $0,017 \pm 0,011$ | $0,001 \pm 0,011$ |  |
| PC2 (\%) | $-0,038 * \pm 0,011$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,005$ | $0,006 \pm 0,005$ | $0,003 \pm 0,004$ | $0,003 \pm 0,007$ | $0,021 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,001 \pm 0,011$ |  |
| PC3 (\%) | $-0,040 * \pm 0,015$ | $0,002 \pm 0,007$ | $0,004 \pm 0,007$ | $0,000 \pm 0,006$ | $0,005 \pm 0,010$ | $0,036 \pm 0,014$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,022$ |  |

[^10]Table 11.14 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of PPARGC1A-haplotypes in FV

| Haplotype name | PPARG_HT1 | PPARG_HT3 | PPARG_HT4 | PPARG_HT6 | PPARG_HT5 | PPARG_HT2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ ' | ATTAGG | ACTGGA | CCCGGA | CCCGGG | ACTAGG | ACCAGG |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $-0,81 \pm 18,23$ | 0,54 $\pm 14,90$ | $-38,00^{*} \pm 13,74$ | $27,11 \pm 14,73$ | 54,61 $\pm 36,29$ | $-23,51 \pm 36,21$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $-4,24 \pm 21,59$ | $11,13 \pm 18,41$ | $-38,81 \pm 16,72$ | $19,63 \pm 17,46$ | 77,91 $\pm 42,84$ | $-46,56 \pm 45,54$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $-55,23 \pm 28,48$ | $-10,34 \pm 26,26$ | $-39,82 \pm 24,17$ | $41,93 \pm 24,53$ | 176,25 $\pm 69,22$ | 103,77 $\pm 75,10$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $-0,12 \pm 0,65$ | $-0,13 \pm 0,53$ | 0,10 $\pm 0,49$ | $-0,24 \pm 0,53$ | 2,19 $\pm 1,29$ | $-0,95 \pm 1,28$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $-0,29 \pm 0,86$ | $-0,15 \pm 0,74$ | 0,23 $\pm 0,67$ | $-0,74 \pm 0,70$ | $3,67 \pm 1,71$ | $-1,04 \pm 1,82$ |
| FY3 (kg) | $-2,87 \pm 1,15$ | $-1,10 \pm 1,06$ | 0,89 $\pm 0,98$ | 0,38 $\pm 0,99$ | $4,38 \pm 2,82$ | $3,41 \pm 3,04$ |
| PY1 (kg) | 0,55 $\pm 0,52$ | 0,05 $\pm 0,43$ | $-0,94 \pm 0,39$ | $0,40 \pm 0,42$ | 0,92 $\pm 1,05$ | $-0,53 \pm 1,03$ |
| PY2 (kg) | 0,25 $\pm 0,69$ | $0,23 \pm 0,59$ | $-0,86 \pm 0,53$ | 0,03 $\pm 0,56$ | $2,02 \pm 1,37$ | $-0,40 \pm 1,45$ |
| PY3 (kg) | $-1,11 \pm 0,84$ | $-0,43 \pm 0,77$ | $-0,51 \pm 0,71$ | $0,64 \pm 0,72$ | 3,47 $\pm 2,05$ | $2,62 \pm 2,21$ |
| FC1 (\%) | $-0,002 \pm 0,009$ | $-0,001 \pm 0,008$ | 0,027* $\pm 0,007$ | $-0,025 \pm 0,008$ | 0,008 $\pm 0,019$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,019$ |
| FC2 (\%) | $-0,002 \pm 0,010$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,009$ | 0,028* $\pm 0,008$ | $-0,024 * \pm 0,008$ | 0,006 $\pm 0,020$ | 0,013 $\pm 0,021$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $-0,009 \pm 0,014$ | $-0,008 \pm 0,013$ | 0,036* $\pm 0,011$ | $-0,021 * \pm 0,012$ | $-0,041 \pm 0,033$ | $-0,013 \pm 0,036$ |
| PC1 (\%) | 0,008 $\pm 0,005$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,004$ | $0,007 \pm 0,004$ | $-0,011 \pm 0,004$ | $-0,015 \pm 0,011$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,011$ |
| PC2 (\%) | 0,005 $\pm 0,006$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,005$ | 0,009 $\pm 0,004$ | $-0,011 \pm 0,005$ | $-0,011 \pm 0,011$ | 0,018 $\pm 0,012$ |
| PC3 (\%) | 0,011 $\pm 0,008$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,007$ | 0,013 $\pm 0,007$ | $-0,013 \pm 0,007$ | $-0,038 \pm 0,019$ | $-0,012 \pm 0,021$ |

[^11]Table 11.15 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects (a/2) and standard error for milk production traits of CSN1S1-haplotypes in FV

| Haplotype name | CSN1S_HT2 | CSN1S_HT5 | CSN1S_HT3 | CSN1S_HT4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ ' | AACTADCCT | AACTATCCT | AATITTCCT | GGTCATTAC |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $30,52 \pm 12,30$ | $-15,32 \pm 13,53$ | $-38,78 \pm 16,97$ | $-20,16 \pm 24,95$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $19,61 \pm 14,81$ | $-17,92 \pm 16,08$ | $-13,38 \pm 20,39$ | $-20,44 \pm 30,46$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $36,63 \pm 20,73$ | $-21,57 \pm 22,62$ | $-41,88 \pm 27,97$ | $-60,32 \pm 41,72$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $-0,39 \pm 0,44$ | 0,76 $\pm 0,48$ | $-1,33 \pm 0,60$ | 0,46 $\pm 0,88$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $-0,78 \pm 0,59$ | $0,58 \pm 0,64$ | $-0,29 \pm 0,82$ | 0,14 $\pm 1,22$ |
| FY3 (kg) | $-0,84 \pm 0,84$ | 0,46 $\pm 0,92$ | $-0,26 \pm 1,13$ | $-0,68 \pm 1,69$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $-0,27 \pm 0,35$ | 0,16 $\pm 0,39$ | $-0,83 \pm 0,48$ | $1,11 \pm 0,71$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $-0,84 \pm 0,47$ | $0,02 \pm 0,51$ | 0,14 $\pm 0,65$ | 1,31 $\pm 0,97$ |
| PY3 (kg) | $-0,53 \pm 0,61$ | 0,23 $\pm 0,67$ | $-0,41 \pm 0,82$ | 0,39 $\pm 1,23$ |
| FC1 (\%) | $-0,027 * \pm 0,006$ | 0,022* $\pm 0,007$ | 0,007 $\pm 0,009$ | 0,023 $\pm 0,013$ |
| FC2 (\%) | $-0,024 * \pm 0,007$ | 0,020* $\pm 0,008$ | 0,004 $\pm 0,010$ | 0,017 $\pm 0,014$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $-0,035 * \pm 0,010$ | 0,021 $\pm 0,011$ | 0,020 $\pm 0,013$ | 0,030 $\pm 0,020$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $-0,023 * \pm 0,004$ | 0,010 $\pm 0,004$ | 0,012 $\pm 0,005$ | 0,032* $\pm 0,007$ |
| PC2 (\%) | $-0,023 * \pm 0,004$ | 0,010 $\pm 0,004$ | 0,010 $\pm 0,005$ | 0,030* $\pm 0,008$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $-0,026 * \pm 0,006$ | 0,015 $\pm 0,006$ | 0,014 $\pm 0,008$ | 0,037* $\pm 0,011$ |

[^12]Table 11.16 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of SLC2A9-haplotypes in FV

| Haplotype name | SLC2_HT9 | SLC2_HT10 | SLC2_HT11 | SLC2_HT8 | SLC2_HT6 | SLC2_HT3 | SLC2_HT5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ ' | ACAACGGGGCG | ACAAGGAGGCT | ACAACGGGACT | ACGAGGGGACT | CCAACGGGGCT | CCAAGGAGGCT | ATAACGGGACT |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $-20,64 \pm 28,01$ | $-23,37 \pm 37,76$ | $-24,02 \pm 26,79$ | $-6,90 \pm 15,39$ | $33,70 \pm 33,00$ | $52,46 \pm 34,68$ | $-32,21 \pm 29,91$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $-8,54 \pm 31,79$ | $-2,76 \pm 45,06$ | 28,03 $\pm 34,74$ | $-27,51 \pm 18,66$ | 50,28 $\pm 37,41$ | $89,45 \pm 42,15$ | $-13,73 \pm 36,95$ |
| MY3 (kg) | 20,01 $\pm 43,36$ | $-32,75 \pm 65,77$ | $-25,53 \pm 56,99$ | $-23,40 \pm 25,30$ | 2,25 $\pm 51,66$ | $-19,82 \pm 58,17$ | $-0,84 \pm 48,15$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $-0,11 \pm 0,99$ | 0,44 $\pm 1,34$ | $-1,37 \pm 0,94$ | $0,35 \pm 0,55$ | $2,49 \pm 1,19$ | $1,83 \pm 1,23$ | $-0,50 \pm 1,07$ |
| FY2 (kg) | 0,46 $\pm 1,27$ | $-0,12 \pm 1,80$ | 0,29 $\pm 1,39$ | $-0,72 \pm 0,75$ | $3,07 \pm 1,49$ | 5,45* $\pm 1,68$ | $-1,49 \pm 1,48$ |
| FY3 (kg) | $-0,67 \pm 1,75$ | $-0,54 \pm 2,66$ | $-0,92 \pm 2,30$ | $-0,14 \pm 1,02$ | 3,84 $\pm 2,07$ | $2,04 \pm 2,35$ | 0,37 $\pm 1,95$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $-0,59 \pm 0,80$ | $-0,72 \pm 1,10$ | $-0,44 \pm 0,76$ | $0,49 \pm 0,44$ | 1,21 $\pm 0,95$ | $1,81 \pm 1,00$ | $-0,80 \pm 0,86$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $-0,09 \pm 1,01$ | $-0,95 \pm 1,43$ | 0,82 $\pm 1,11$ | $-0,09 \pm 0,60$ | $1,99 \pm 1,19$ | 4,34* $\pm 1,34$ | $-1,00 \pm 1,18$ |
| PY3 (kg) | 0,29 $\pm 1,27$ | $-0,11 \pm 1,93$ | $-1,67 \pm 1,67$ | 0,06 $\pm 0,75$ | 0,03 $\pm 1,52$ | 0,49 $\pm 1,71$ | 0,33 $\pm 1,42$ |
| FC1 (\%) | 0,012 $\pm 0,015$ | 0,018 $\pm 0,020$ | $-0,008 \pm 0,014$ | 0,013 $\pm 0,008$ | 0,022 $\pm 0,017$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,018$ | 0,013 $\pm 0,016$ |
| FC2 (\%) | 0,009 $\pm 0,015$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,021$ | $-0,013 \pm 0,016$ | 0,008 $\pm 0,009$ | 0,018 $\pm 0,018$ | 0,024 $\pm 0,020$ | $-0,010 \pm 0,017$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $-0,023 \pm 0,021$ | 0,011 $\pm 0,031$ | 0,004 $\pm 0,027$ | 0,017 $\pm 0,012$ | 0,059 $\pm 0,025$ | 0,040 $\pm 0,027$ | 0,008 $\pm 0,023$ |
| PC1 (\%) | 0,002 $\pm 0,008$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,011$ | 0,007 $\pm 0,008$ | 0,013* $\pm 0,005$ | 0,002 $\pm 0,010$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,010$ | 0,005 $\pm 0,009$ |
| PC2 (\%) | 0,003 $\pm 0,008$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,001 \pm 0,009$ | 0,014* $\pm 0,005$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,010$ | 0,016 $\pm 0,011$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,010$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $-0,008 \pm 0,012$ | 0,013 $\pm 0,018$ | $-0,007 \pm 0,016$ | 0,015 $\pm 0,007$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,015$ | 0,016 $\pm 0,016$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,013$ |

[^13]| Haplotype name | SLC2_HT2 | SLC2_HT12 | SLC2_HT4 | SLC2_HT13 | SLC2_HT14 | SLC2_HT15 | SLC2_HT16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ ' | CCAACGGGGCG | CCAACGGGACT | ACAACGGGGCT | ACGACGGGGCT | CCAAGCGGGCT | CCAAGCGGACG | ACAAGGGGGCT |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $-23,99 \pm 27,63$ | $35,77 \pm 22,65$ | $-11,17 \pm 25,46$ | $-16,51 \pm 25,22$ | $36,54 \pm 32,01$ | $-12,28 \pm 21,56$ | 79,98 $\pm 32,60$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $-3,40 \pm 33,07$ | $39,81 \pm 27,60$ | $-15,79 \pm 29,43$ | $-23,46 \pm 30,22$ | $3,91 \pm 37,51$ | $-35,89 \pm 25,45$ | 105,41* $\pm 39,15$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $60,61 \pm 45,14$ | 65,14 $\pm 37,07$ | $-7,91 \pm 37,95$ | $-49,47 \pm 47,68$ | $-12,53 \pm 52,45$ | $-46,04 \pm 38,56$ | 121,13 $\pm 49,39$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $-0,75 \pm 1,00$ | $-1,29 \pm 0,81$ | $-0,70 \pm 0,90$ | $-0,08 \pm 0,89$ | 1,65 $\pm 1,14$ | 0,78 $\pm 0,76$ | 0,28 $\pm 1,18$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $-0,48 \pm 1,32$ | $-0,45 \pm 1,11$ | $-0,40 \pm 1,18$ | $-0,21 \pm 1,21$ | 1,15 $\pm 1,50$ | $-0,25 \pm 1,02$ | 1,48 $\pm 1,57$ |
| FY3 (kg) | 0,97 $\pm 1,83$ | $-0,78 \pm 1,50$ | $1,19 \pm 1,53$ | $-1,24 \pm 1,93$ | $2,30 \pm 2,11$ | $-1,12 \pm 1,56$ | 1,22 $\pm 2,01$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $-1,18 \pm 0,80$ | $-0,29 \pm 0,65$ | $-0,09 \pm 0,72$ | $-0,22 \pm 0,72$ | 0,70 $\pm 0,92$ | 0,53 $\pm 0,62$ | 0,96 $\pm 0,94$ |
| PY2 (kg) | 0,11 $\pm 1,05$ | $-0,66 \pm 0,88$ | 0,04 $\pm 0,94$ | $-0,16 \pm 0,96$ | 0,30 $\pm 1,20$ | $-0,18 \pm 0,81$ | $1,31 \pm 1,25$ |
| PY3 (kg) | $1,58 \pm 1,33$ | $-0,19 \pm 1,10$ | $1,04 \pm 1,11$ | $-1,10 \pm 1,41$ | $0,47 \pm 1,54$ | $-0,29 \pm 1,14$ | $1,41 \pm 1,46$ |
| FC1 (\%) | 0,004 $\pm 0,014$ | $-0,044^{*} \pm 0,012$ | $-0,007 \pm 0,013$ | 0,008 $\pm 0,013$ | 0,005 $\pm 0,017$ | 0,016 $\pm 0,011$ | $-0,047 * \pm 0,017$ |
| FC2 (\%) | $-0,006 \pm 0,015$ | $-0,034^{*} \pm 0,013$ | 0,004 $\pm 0,014$ | 0,012 $\pm 0,014$ | 0,015 $\pm 0,018$ | 0,018 $\pm 0,012$ | $-0,041 \pm 0,018$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $-0,023 \pm 0,021$ | $-0,051 * \pm 0,017$ | 0,020 $\pm 0,018$ | 0,015 $\pm 0,022$ | 0,036 $\pm 0,025$ | 0,012 $\pm 0,018$ | $-0,052 \pm 0,024$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $-0,006 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,025 * \pm 0,007$ | 0,007 $\pm 0,008$ | 0,007 $\pm 0,008$ | $-0,007 \pm 0,010$ | 0,013 $\pm 0,006$ | -0,029* $\pm 0,010$ |
| PC2 (\%) | 0,002 $\pm 0,009$ | $-0,032 * \pm 0,007$ | 0,010 $\pm 0,008$ | 0,010 $\pm 0,008$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,010$ | 0,015 $\pm 0,007$ | $-0,035^{*} \pm 0,010$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $-0,008 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,035^{*} \pm 0,010$ | 0,019 $\pm 0,011$ | 0,009 $\pm 0,013$ | 0,012 $\pm 0,015$ | 0,018 $\pm 0,011$ | -0,039* $\pm 0,014$ |

[^14]Table 11.17 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of $A B C G 2$-haplotypes in HF

| Haplotype name | ABC_HT2 | ABC_HT3 | ABC_HT6 | ABC_HT7 | ABC_HT8 | ABC_HT9 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $X$ ' | ATATGGATA | AAATAGATA | GTTGGATA | GTTTAGATA | GTTTGAGGA | GTTTGAATA |  |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $-88,24 \pm 40,09$ | $29,42 \pm 22,46$ | $33,59 \pm 25,82$ | $27,96 \pm 36,27$ | $-57,10 \pm 29,25$ | $-3,32 \pm 36,70$ | $29,42 \pm 22,46$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $-52,62 \pm 43,82$ | $23,27 \pm 24,62$ | $49,57 \pm 28,24$ | $13,61 \pm 39,76$ | $-82,12 \pm 32,01$ | $-11,35 \pm 40,19$ | $23,27 \pm 24,62$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $-105,78 \pm 50,70$ | $20,31 \pm 26,62$ | $76,11 \pm 30,95$ | $18,93 \pm 43,03$ | $-60,89 \pm 34,97$ | $-31,36 \pm 43,52$ | $20,31 \pm 26,62$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $0,92 \pm 1,52$ | $0,69 \pm 0,85$ | $1,61 \pm 0,97$ | $-1,48 \pm 1,37$ | $-1,97 \pm 1,10$ | $-1,31 \pm 1,39$ | $0,69 \pm 0,85$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $2,51 \pm 1,75$ | $0,30 \pm 0,98$ | $2,31 \pm 1,12$ | $-2,32 \pm 1,58$ | $-2,95 \pm 1,28$ | $-1,93 \pm 1,60$ |  |
| FY3 (kg) | $2,13 \pm 2,14$ | $0,28 \pm 1,12$ | $2,94 \pm 1,30$ | $-1,61 \pm 1,81$ | $-3,32 \pm 1,47$ | $-2,61 \pm 1,83$ |  |
| PY1 (kg) | $-1,11 \pm 1,15$ | $0,28 \pm 0,64$ | $1,35 \pm 0,74$ | $1,16 \pm 1,03$ | $-1,93 \pm 0,83$ | $-0,27 \pm 1,05$ | $0,30 \pm 0,98$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $0,27 \pm 1,35$ | $0,00 \pm 0,76$ | $2,19 \pm 0,87$ | $0,84 \pm 1,22$ | $-2,89 * \pm 0,98$ | $-0,76 \pm 1,24$ | $0,28 \pm 1,12$ |
| PY3 (kg) | $-0,48 \pm 1,6248$ | $-0,19 \pm 0,8508$ | $2,74 * \pm 0,9884$ | $0,83 \pm 1,3741$ | $-2,32 \pm 1,1156$ | $-1,30 \pm 1,39$ | $-0,19 \pm 0,8508$ |
| FC1 (\%) | $0,054 * \pm 0,018$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,010$ | $0,003 \pm 0,011$ | $-0,032 \pm 0,016$ | $0,004 \pm 0,013$ | $-0,014 \pm 0,016$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,010$ |
| FC2 (\%) | $0,052 * \pm 0,018$ | $-0,007 \pm 0,010$ | $0,003 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,030 \pm 0,016$ | $0,003 \pm 0,013$ | $-0,018 \pm 0,016$ | $-0,007 \pm 0,010$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $0,067 * \pm 0,021$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,011$ | $0,000 \pm 0,013$ | $-0,025 \pm 0,017$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,014$ | $-0,015 \pm 0,017$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,011$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $0,024 * \pm 0,008$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,005$ | $0,003 \pm 0,005$ | $0,003 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,001 \pm 0,006$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,005$ |
| PC2 (\%) | $0,023 * \pm 0,009$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,005$ | $0,006 \pm 0,006$ | $0,005 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,006$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,005$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $0,031 * \pm 0,010$ | $-0,010 \pm 0,005$ | $0,004 \pm 0,006$ | $0,004 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,004 \pm 0,007$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,009$ | $-0,010 \pm 0,005$ |

[^15]Table 11.18 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of $O P N$-haplotypes in HF

| Haplotype name | OP_HT1 | OP_HT2 | OP_HT3 | OP_HT4 | OP_HT5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ ' | TGACC | TAGCT | TGATC | CGGCC | TGGCC |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $-7,63 \pm 51,01$ | $-14,33 \pm 25,66$ | $-1,07 \pm 53,63$ | 18,63 $\pm 21,60$ | $-6,98 \pm 27,91$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $-7,66 \pm 55,60$ | $-34,71 \pm 28,11$ | $-23,67 \pm 58,72$ | 35,33 $\pm 23,64$ | $-7,81 \pm 30,58$ |
| MY3 (kg) | 2,14 $\pm 61,86$ | $-34,20 \pm 30,40$ | $-17,56 \pm 62,97$ | 50,31 $\pm 25,74$ | $-36,20 \pm 33,14$ |
| FY1 (kg) | 0,03土1,93 | $-0,01 \pm 0,97$ | 0,83 $\pm 2,02$ | 1,22 $\pm 0,82$ | $-2,15 \pm 1,05$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $-0,24 \pm 2,22$ | $-0,73 \pm 1,12$ | $-0,29 \pm 2,34$ | 2,05 $\pm 0,94$ | $-2,38 \pm 1,22$ |
| FY3 (kg) | 1,73 $\pm 2,60$ | $-1,72 \pm 1,28$ | $-1,10 \pm 2,65$ | $3,18 * \pm 1,08$ | $-3,36 \pm 1,39$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $-0,79 \pm 1,45$ | $-0,23 \pm 0,73$ | 0,30 $\pm 1,53$ | 1,23 $\pm 0,62$ | $-1,42 \pm 0,80$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $-1,11 \pm 1,71$ | $-0,94 \pm 0,87$ | $-0,05 \pm 1,81$ | $1,95 * \pm 0,73$ | $-1,72 \pm 0,94$ |
| PY3 (kg) | $-0,88 \pm 1,98$ | $-1,37 \pm 0,97$ | 0,00 $\pm 2,012$ | $2,76 * \pm 0,82$ | $-2,58 \pm 1,06$ |
| FC1 (\%) | 0,007 $\pm 0,022$ | 0,007 $\pm 0,011$ | 0,013 $\pm 0,024$ | 0,006 $\pm 0,010$ | $-0,025 \pm 0,012$ |
| FC2 (\%) | 0,004 $\pm 0,023$ | 0,008 $\pm 0,011$ | 0,009 $\pm 0,024$ | 0,007 $\pm 0,010$ | $-0,025 \pm 0,012$ |
| FC3 (\%) | 0,017 $\pm 0,025$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,025$ | 0,013 $\pm 0,010$ | $-0,022 \pm 0,013$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $-0,006 \pm 0,011$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,005$ | 0,005 $\pm 0,011$ | 0,008 $\pm 0,005$ | $-0,016 * \pm 0,006$ |
| PC2 (\%) | $-0,010 \pm 0,011$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,006$ | 0,009 $\pm 0,012$ | 0,009 $\pm 0,005$ | $-0,017 * \pm 0,006$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $-0,014 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,001 \pm 0,006$ | 0,008 $\pm 0,012$ | 0,012 $\pm 0,005$ | $-0,016 \pm 0,007$ |

[^16]Table 11.19 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of PPARGC1A-haplotypes in HF

| Haplotype name | PPARG_HT1 | PPARG_HT4 | PPARG_HT3 | PPARG_HT5 | PPARG_HT2 | PPARG_HT7 | PPARG_HT8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ | ATTAGG | CCCGGA | ACTGGA | ACTAGG | ACCAGG |  |  |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $-7,33 \pm 27,49$ | $-25,38 \pm 34,04$ | $2,86 \pm 21,45$ | $-4,60 \pm 39,64$ | $-29,98 \pm 59,61$ | $42,30 \pm 43,12$ | $32,09 \pm 32,89$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $-21,50 \pm 30,06$ | $-25,09 \pm 37,23$ | $-8,53 \pm 23,45$ | $32,65 \pm 43,47$ | $-5,16 \pm 65,26$ | $70,59 \pm 47,19$ | $25,80 \pm 36,03$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $-37,12 \pm 32,60$ | $-35,81 \pm 40,99$ | $-13,90 \pm 25,15$ | $-6,92 \pm 47,12$ | $23,19 \pm 70,11$ | $105,84 \pm 51,16$ | $72,76 \pm 39,02$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $-0,40 \pm 1,04$ | $2,04 \pm 1,28$ | $-0,15 \pm 0,81$ | $-1,29 \pm 1,50$ | $0,01 \pm 2,25$ | $2,81 \pm 1,63$ |  |
| FY2 (kg) | $-0,34 \pm 1,20$ | $2,18 \pm 1,48$ | $-0,49 \pm 0,93$ | $-0,71 \pm 1,73$ | $1,13 \pm 2,60$ | $4,78 \pm 1,88$ |  |
| FY3 (kg) | $-0,99 \pm 1,37$ | $1,70 \pm 1,72$ | $-0,78 \pm 1,06$ | $-2,19 \pm 1,98$ | $1,53 \pm 2,95$ | $-2,27 \pm 1,43$ |  |
| PY1 (kg) | $-0,51 \pm 0,78$ | $-0,15 \pm 0,97$ | $-0,11 \pm 0,61$ | $-0,43 \pm 1,13$ | $0,11 \pm 1,70$ | $2,68 \pm 1,23$ | $0,52 \pm 0,94$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $-1,22 \pm 0,92$ | $-0,23 \pm 1,15$ | $-0,39 \pm 0,72$ | $0,56 \pm 1,34$ | $1,21 \pm 2,01$ | $4,32 * \pm 1,45$ | $0,20 \pm 1,11$ |
| PY3 (kg) | $-1,48 \pm 1,04$ | $-0,55 \pm 1,31$ | $-0,90 \pm 0,80$ | $-0,61 \pm 1,50$ | $1,50 \pm 2,24$ | $6,27 * \pm 1,63$ | $1,89 \pm 1,25$ |
| FC1 (\%) | $-0,002 \pm 0,012$ | $0,039 * \pm 0,015$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,009$ | $-0,015 \pm 0,017$ | $0,020 \pm 0,026$ | $0,014 \pm 0,019$ | $-0,031 \pm 0,014$ |
| FC2 (\%) | $0,006 \pm 0,012$ | $0,037 \pm 0,015$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,010$ | $-0,024 \pm 0,018$ | $0,018 \pm 0,027$ | $0,023 \pm 0,019$ | $-0,038 * \pm 0,015$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $0,006 \pm 0,013$ | $0,035 \pm 0,017$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,010$ | $-0,022 \pm 0,019$ | $0,012 \pm 0,028$ | $0,035 \pm 0,021$ | $-0,042 * \pm 0,016$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $-0,004 \pm 0,006$ | $0,009 \pm 0,007$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,005$ | $-0,004 \pm 0,008$ | $0,015 \pm 0,013$ | $0,015 \pm 0,009$ | $-0,007 \pm 0,007$ |
| PC2 (\%) | $-0,006 \pm 0,006$ | $0,008 \pm 0,007$ | $-0,001 \pm 0,005$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,009$ | $0,016 \pm 0,013$ | $0,022 \pm 0,009$ | $-0,008 \pm 0,007$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $-0,004 \pm 0,006$ | $0,006 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,004 \pm 0,005$ | $-0,004 \pm 0,009$ | $0,009 \pm 0,014$ | $0,031 * \pm 0,010$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,008$ |

[^17]Table 11.20 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of PGM2-haplotypes in HF

| Haplotype name | PGM2_HT2 | PGM2_HT4 | PGM2_HT1 | PGM2_HT5 | PGM2_HT3 | PGM2_HT6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ ' | AACATCACCA | GATGCGGTTG | GATGCGGTCG | GACATCACCA | GGTGCGGTTG | AATGCGGTTG |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $-16,39 \pm 22,89$ | $15,82 \pm 21,64$ | $-14,75 \pm 28,51$ | $30,16 \pm 30,67$ | $-27,51 \pm 41,41$ | $-30,53 \pm 45,68$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $-4,50 \pm 25,07$ | $-10,37 \pm 23,70$ | $4,11 \pm 31,20$ | $51,53 \pm 33,59$ | $-38,33 \pm 45,33$ | $-20,39 \pm 50,06$ |
| MY3 (kg) | 29,37 $\pm 27,01$ | $-32,13 \pm 25,69$ | 10,64 $\pm 33,79$ | $37,70 \pm 36,23$ | $-54,70 \pm 50,45$ | $-32,99 \pm 54,43$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $-1,64 \pm 0,86$ | 0,70 $\pm 0,82$ | 0,27 $\pm 1,08$ | 0,22 $\pm 1,16$ | 0,98 $\pm 1,56$ | 0,92 $\pm 1,72$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $-1,25 \pm 1,00$ | $-0,12 \pm 0,94$ | 1,23 $\pm 1,24$ | 0,37 $\pm 1,34$ | 0,68 $\pm 1,81$ | 1,80 $\pm 1,99$ |
| FY3 (kg) | $-0,70 \pm 1,14$ | $-0,34 \pm 1,08$ | 1,97 $\pm 1,42$ | 0,20 $\pm 1,52$ | $-0,42 \pm 2,12$ | 0,25 $\pm 2,29$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $-0,78 \pm 0,65$ | 0,99 $\pm 0,62$ | $-0,32 \pm 0,81$ | 1,23 $\pm 0,87$ | $-1,00 \pm 1,18$ | $-2,11 \pm 1,30$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $-0,56 \pm 0,77$ | 0,18 $\pm 0,73$ | 0,38 $\pm 0,96$ | $1,93 \pm 1,03$ | $-0,62 \pm 1,40$ | $-2,28 \pm 1,54$ |
| PY3 (kg) | 0,54 $\pm 0,86$ | $-0,33 \pm 0,82$ | 0,65 $\pm 1,08$ | $1,60 \pm 1,16$ | $-1,63 \pm 1,61$ | $-3,61 \pm 1,74$ |
| FC1 (\%) | $-0,012 \pm 0,010$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,010$ | 0,011 $\pm 0,013$ | $-0,012 \pm 0,013$ | 0,026 $\pm 0,018$ | 0,024 $\pm 0,020$ |
| FC2 (\%) | $-0,013 \pm 0,010$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,010$ | 0,013 $\pm 0,013$ | $-0,018 \pm 0,014$ | 0,024 $\pm 0,018$ | 0,027 $\pm 0,020$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $-0,021 \pm 0,011$ | 0,010 $\pm 0,010$ | 0,018 $\pm 0,014$ | $-0,014 \pm 0,015$ | 0,022 $\pm 0,020$ | 0,015 $\pm 0,022$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $-0,003 \pm 0,005$ | 0,006 $\pm 0,005$ | 0,002 $\pm 0,006$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,007$ | 0,000 $\pm 0,009$ | $-0,015 \pm 0,010$ |
| PC2 (\%) | $-0,005 \pm 0,005$ | 0,006 $\pm 0,005$ | 0,003 $\pm 0,006$ | 0,002 $\pm 0,007$ | 0,009 $\pm 0,009$ | $-0,019 \pm 0,010$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $-0,005 \pm 0,005$ | 0,008 $\pm 0,005$ | 0,004 $\pm 0,007$ | 0,004 $\pm 0,007$ | 0,005 $\pm 0,010$ | $-0,028^{*} \pm 0,011$ |

[^18]Table 11.21 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of CSN1S1-haplotypes in HF

| Haplotype name | CSN1S_HT1 | CSN1S_HT2 | CSN1S_HT3 | CSN1S_HT6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ ' | AATTATCCT | AACTADCCT | AATITTCCT | AATCATTCC |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $45,75 \pm 34,56$ | $16,75 \pm 19,86$ | $-46,04 \pm 22,34$ | 75,73 $\pm 53,48$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $64,09 \pm 37,73$ | $18,61 \pm 21,73$ | $-45,07 \pm 24,44$ | $24,87 \pm 58,72$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $62,76 \pm 41,85$ | $11,49 \pm 23,57$ | $-32,88 \pm 27,19$ | $24,80 \pm 65,54$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $2,60 \pm 1,30$ | 0,20 $\pm 0,75$ | $-1,23 \pm 0,84$ | 0,55 $\pm 2,02$ |
| FY2 (kg) | 2,94 $\pm 1,50$ | 0,04 $\pm 0,87$ | $-0,73 \pm 0,97$ | $-1,25 \pm 2,34$ |
| FY3 (kg) | 1,98 $\pm 1,76$ | $-0,20 \pm 0,99$ | 0,21 $\pm 1,14$ | $-1,03 \pm 2,75$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $1,11 \pm 0,99$ | $-0,39 \pm 0,57$ | $-0,15 \pm 0,64$ | $2,71 \pm 1,52$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $1,81 \pm 1,16$ | $-0,71 \pm 0,67$ | $0,24 \pm 0,75$ | $1,71 \pm 1,81$ |
| PY3 (kg) | 1,80 $\pm 1,34$ | $-0,75 \pm 0,75$ | 0,64 $\pm 0,87$ | 0,86 $\pm 2,09$ |
| FC1 (\%) | 0,010 $\pm 0,015$ | $-0,008 \pm 0,009$ | 0,008 $\pm 0,010$ | $-0,032 \pm 0,023$ |
| FC2 (\%) | 0,005 $\pm 0,015$ | $-0,010 \pm 0,009$ | 0,013 $\pm 0,010$ | $-0,029 \pm 0,024$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $-0,005 \pm 0,017$ | $-0,010 \pm 0,010$ | 0,019 $\pm 0,011$ | $-0,027 \pm 0,026$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $-0,005 \pm 0,007$ | $-0,013 * \pm 0,004$ | 0,018* $\pm 0,005$ | 0,001 $\pm 0,011$ |
| PC2 (\%) | $-0,003 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,016 * \pm 0,004$ | 0,020* $\pm 0,005$ | 0,008 $\pm 0,012$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $-0,003 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,014^{*} \pm 0,005$ | 0,019* $\pm 0,005$ | $-0,001 \pm 0,013$ |

[^19]Table 11.22 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / 2$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of SLC2A9-haplotypes in HF

| Haplotype name | SLC2_HT6 | SLC2_HT17 | SLC2_HT4 | SLC2_HT18 | SLC2_HT9 | SLC2_HT2 | SLC2_HT5 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $x$ ' | CCAACGGGGCT | CCGAGGAGGCT | ACAACGGGGCT | ACGAGGGGGCT | ACAACGGGGCG | CCAACGGGGCG | ATAACGGGACT | ATAACGGGACT |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | $-6,56 \pm 34,66$ | $-2,92 \pm 26,06$ | $36,21 \pm 24,78$ | $-85,00 \pm 39,03$ | $-18,00 \pm 25,35$ | $32,19 \pm 49,85$ | $61,03 \pm 53,68$ | $-36,17 \pm 57,25$ |
| MY2 (kg) | $0,41 \pm 37,98$ | $28,43 \pm 28,54$ | $42,53 \pm 27,12$ | $-99,96 \pm 42,68$ | $-24,63 \pm 27,75$ | $35,85 \pm 54,58$ | $-12,11 \pm 58,77$ | $-91,39 \pm 62,64$ |
| MY3 (kg) | $-6,44 \pm 40,88$ | $26,33 \pm 31,05$ | $47,11 \pm 29,55$ | $-100,49 \pm 46,50$ | $-32,86 \pm 30,48$ | $53,25 \pm 59,20$ | $-50,90 \pm 63,37$ | $-96,50 \pm 67,76$ |
| FY1 (kg) | $-0,30 \pm 1,31$ | $-0,51 \pm 0,98$ | $0,71 \pm 0,94$ | $-1,85 \pm 1,48$ | $-1,08 \pm 0,96$ | $4,11 \pm 1,88$ | $2,73 \pm 2,03$ | $-1,96 \pm 2,16$ |
| FY2 (kg) | $-0,13 \pm 1,51$ | $0,54 \pm 1,14$ | $0,85 \pm 1,08$ | $-1,90 \pm 1,70$ | $-1,29 \pm 1,11$ | $4,40 \pm 2,17$ | $0,61 \pm 2,34$ | $-4,05 \pm 2,50$ |
| FY3 (kg) | $-0,17 \pm 1,72$ | $0,01 \pm 1,31$ | $1,62 \pm 1,24$ | $-1,09 \pm 1,96$ | $-1,92 \pm 1,28$ | $6,71 * \pm 2,48$ | $-0,22 \pm 2,67$ | $-4,94 \pm 2,85$ |
| PY1 (kg) | $-0,09 \pm 0,99$ | $-0,37 \pm 0,74$ | $1,11 \pm 0,71$ | $-2,15 \pm 1,11$ | $-0,35 \pm 0,72$ | $0,70 \pm 1,42$ | $2,36 \pm 1,53$ | $-1,55 \pm 1,63$ |
| PY2 (kg) | $0,36 \pm 1,17$ | $0,72 \pm 0,88$ | $1,41 \pm 0,83$ | $-2,61 \pm 1,31$ | $-0,97 \pm 0,85$ | $0,39 \pm 1,68$ | $0,76 \pm 1,81$ | $-2,84 \pm 1,93$ |
| PY3 (kg) | $-0,25 \pm 1,31$ | $0,45 \pm 0,99$ | $1,68 \pm 0,94$ | $-2,18 \pm 1,49$ | $-1,26 \pm 0,97$ | $1,30 \pm 1,89$ | $-0,20 \pm 2,03$ | $-3,40 \pm 2,16$ |
| FC1 (\%) | $0,001 \pm 0,015$ | $-0,004 \pm 0,011$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,011$ | $0,022 \pm 0,017$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,011$ | $0,035 \pm 0,022$ | $0,002 \pm 0,024$ | $-0,011 \pm 0,025$ |
| FC2 (\%) | $-0,001 \pm 0,015$ | $-0,007 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,010 \pm 0,011$ | $0,027 \pm 0,017$ | $-0,001 \pm 0,011$ | $0,033 \pm 0,022$ | $0,012 \pm 0,024$ | $-0,007 \pm 0,026$ |
| FC3 (\%) | $0,003 \pm 0,016$ | $-0,010 \pm 0,012$ | $-0,004 \pm 0,012$ | $0,034 \pm 0,019$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,012$ | $0,046 \pm 0,024$ | $0,021 \pm 0,026$ | $-0,018 \pm 0,027$ |
| PC1 (\%) | $0,002 \pm 0,007$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,006$ | $-0,002 \pm 0,005$ | $0,011 \pm 0,008$ | $0,004 \pm 0,005$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,011$ | $0,002 \pm 0,011$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,012$ |
| PC2 (\%) | $0,004 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,006$ | $0,000 \pm 0,005$ | $0,011 \pm 0,009$ | $-0,001 \pm 0,006$ | $-0,009 \pm 0,011$ | $0,012 \pm 0,012$ | $0,001 \pm 0,012$ |
| PC3 (\%) | $0,000 \pm 0,008$ | $-0,005 \pm 0,006$ | $0,001 \pm 0,006$ | $0,014 \pm 0,009$ | $-0,001 \pm 0,006$ | $-0,006 \pm 0,012$ | $0,015 \pm 0,013$ | $-0,003 \pm 0,013$ |

[^20]| Haplotype name | HT1_BV | HT2_BV | HT3_BV | HT4_BV | HT5_BV | HT6_BV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype 'x' | ATCC | ADCC | ADGC | ATGC | GTGC | ADGT |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | -73,86 | 56,62 | -11,54 | -73,26 | -102,72 | 86,15 |
| MY2 (kg) | -100,60 | 108,53 | -12,78 | -73,25 | -191,10 | 14,74 |
| MY3 (kg) | -29,51 | 56,93 | -35,87 | -28,07 | -141,27 | 55,04 |
| FY1 (kg) | 1,21 | 0,10 | -1,46 | -1,60 | -0,15 | 0,08 |
| FY2 (kg) | 0,81 | 2,14 | -1,57 | -1,50 | -1,83 | -4,91 |
| FY3 (kg) | 2,38 | -1,47 | -2,39 | 1,61 | 0,29 | -1,44 |
| PY1 (kg) | -1,56 | 1,73 | -0,28 | -2,48 | -2,27 | 0,00 |
| PY2 (kg) | -2,45 | 3,03 | -0,60 | -2,15 | -3,51 | -2,98 |
| PY3 (kg) | 0,84 | 1,22 | -1,40 | 0,18 | -2,58 | -2,90 |


| Standera eror | 0 | M0 |  | - | (ticsis fv |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heobere |  |  | car |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{51,37}$ | ${ }_{5.13}$ | ${ }_{6,46}$ | 5434 | -190, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -10258 |
|  | ${ }_{46,61}$ | -17,93 | -254 | 31,49 | -156,50 | -10970 |
| mms (4) | 4,430 | 40,28 | 8,10 | ${ }_{-5,56}$ | ${ }^{3050,38}$ | .56,5 |
| Fil(e) | -0,91 | -0,53 | 0.22 | ${ }^{0,39}$ | ${ }^{2} 480$ | ${ }_{4,11}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fr}_{2}(\underline{4})$ | ${ }^{-1,13}$ | ${ }_{-1,18}$ | 0.21 | 1.21 | $-1,10$ | -5,4 |
| ${ }^{\text {F3, }}$ (6) | $-247$ | 0.92 | ${ }^{1,38}$ | 0,01 | 8,11 | -480 |
| Pricte) | 0,30 | -1,4, | ${ }^{0.58}$ | -0,62 | -2,12 | -0,90 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { Pr } \\ \text { Prase (e) }}}$ | -0,099 | - | (0,48 | -0, | - | ${ }_{\substack{\text { - } 1.30 \\ 1.41}}$ |

Table 11.25 Regression coefficients on the copy number of haplotype ' $x$ ' representing half of the allele substitution effects ( $\alpha / \mathbf{2}$ ) and standard error for milk production traits of haplotypes including polymorphisms associated with distinct effects in HF

| Haplotype name | HT1_HF | HT2_HF | HT3_HF | HT4_HF | HT5_HF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haplotype ' $X^{\prime}$ | GGA | AGA | AGT | GGT | GTT |
| Trait |  |  |  |  |  |
| MY1 (kg) | 51,93 | $-21,35$ | $-200,51$ | $-55,53$ | $-69,80$ |
| MY2 (kg) | 56,94 | $-46,15$ | $-228,42$ | $-39,19$ | $-86,20$ |
| MY3 (kg) | 52,19 | $-56,34$ | $-175,87$ | $-51,43$ | $-10,40$ |
| FY1 (kg) | 1,56 | $-1,93$ | $-6,04$ | $-1,64$ | 3,17 |
| FY2 (kg) | 1,67 | $-3,75$ | $-5,99$ | $-0,71$ | 3,87 |
| FY3 (kg) | 1,14 | $-5,03$ | $-5,86$ | 0,55 | 8,63 |
| PY1 (kg) | 0,67 | $-1,74$ | $-5,11$ | 0,19 | 2,02 |
| PY2 (kg) | 0,77 | $-3,32$ | $-5,79$ | 1,23 | 2,74 |
| PY3 (kg) | 0,59 | $-3,65$ | $-3,75$ | 5,78 |  |

## Curriculum vitae

Name:
Date of birth:
Place of birth:
Marital status:
Nationality:

## Education

Sep. 1984 - Sep. 1988 Primary School, Konrad Adenauer Grundschule Kempten /Allgäu
Sep. 1988 - Jul. 1997 Secondary School, Hildegardis Gymnasium Kempten / Allgäu

## Studies

Sep. 1998 - Jul. 2004

Jul. 2004

Parents: Dipl. Ing. (FH) Reinhold Seefried, Maria Seefried
Franz Reinhold Seefried
23.04.1978

Kempten / Allgäu
single
German

Postgraduate education
Since Aug. 2004
Ph.D. studies at the Chair of Animal Breeding, Technical University of Munich,

Topic: Characterisation and polymorphism analysis of candidate genes for milk production traits and association studies with milk production traits in three cattle breeds

## Trainings

Aug. 1998 - Sep. 1998 Agricultural farm training, Armin Schönmetzler GbR, Betzigau,
Nov. 2000 - Mar. 2001 Student assistant at the Chair of Animal Breeding, Technical University of Munich

Apr. 2001 - Dec. 2001 Agricultural farm training, Schwayer - Hofer GbR, Lauchdorf
Jan. 2002- Mar. 2002 Training at the German Brown Cattle Association AHG Kempten and at RBG Memmingen


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Intron sequence is indicated by lowercase letters, exon sequence by capital letters, the splice site sequence is in bold print. Information follows GenomeThreader annotation (see 4.2.2 Annotation of candidate genes).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Exon contains start codon.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Exon contains stop codon.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Localisation as identified by BLAST against Btau 3.1
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Approximate position on BTA6, see 4.2.1 Positioning of candidate genes in bovine draft sequences
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (Viitala et al. 2003)
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ (Kuhn et al. 1999)
    ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ (Viitala et al. 2003)
    ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Not located in a confidence interval of a QTL for milk production traits.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 'D' represents the deletion variant of INDEL1170

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Frequency < 0.025
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ FV-haplotypes were constructed across ABCG2 and OPN
    ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ ' D ' represents the deletion variant of INDEL1170

[^4]:    * FDR $<10 \%$

[^5]:    * FDR $<10 \%$

[^6]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^7]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^8]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^9]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^10]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^11]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^12]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^13]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^14]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^15]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^16]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^17]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^18]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^19]:    * FDR < 10\%

[^20]:    * FDR < 10\%

