Lehrstuhl für Organische Chemie und Biochemie der Technischen Universität München

Transcription of the human plasma prekallikrein gene: demonstration of alternative promoters, multiple initiation sites, and alternative splicing and identification of *cis*-acting DNA elements

Viktoryia Sidarovich

Vollständiger Abdruck der von der Fakultät für Chemie der Technischen Universität München zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades eines

Doktors der Naturwissenschaften

genehmigten Dissertation.

Vorsitzende:	UnivProf. Dr. S. Weinkauf
Prüfer der Dissertation:	1. UnivProf. Dr. Dr. A. Bacher, i.R.
	2. UnivProf. Dr. E. Fink,
	Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München
	3. UnivProf. Dr. M. Groll

Die Dissertation wurde am 21.02.2008 bei der Technischen Universität München eingereicht und durch die Fakultät für Chemie am 07.05.2008 angenommen.

Dedicated to mum and Andrei

CONTENTS

	Abbı	reviation	ns	V
А	SUM	IMARY	7	1
B INTRODUCTION			CTION	8
	B .1	The pl	asma kallikrein in the kallikrein-kinin cascade	8
		B.1.1	The kallikrein-kinin system	8
		B.1.2	Properties of plasma kallikrein	10
		B.1.3	Sites of synthesis of plasma prekallikrein	11
		B.1.4	Activation of the plasma prekallikrein	11
		B.1.5	Biological functions	12
		B.1.6	Involvement in pathologic disorders	14
		B.1.7	Plasma kallikrein inhibitors for therapeutic use	15
	B.2	Insigh	ts into the transcriptional control network	15
		B.2.1	Transcription initiation by RNA polymerase II	16
			B.2.1.1 The general transcription machinery	16
			B.2.1.2 Core promoter architecture	16
		B.2.2	Regulatory promoters and enhancers	18
		B.2.3	Chromatin structure in transcriptional control	. 19
		B.2.4	Regulatory proteins	. 19
	B.3	Aims	of the work	20
С	MAT	ΓERIAI	S AND METHODS	23
	C.1	Mater	als	23
		C.1.1	Equipment	23
		C.1.2	Chemicals and materials	24
		C.1.3	Strains and cell lines	26
			C.1.3.1 E. coli strains	26
			C.1.3.2 Mammalian cell lines	27
		C.1.4	Vectors	28
			C.1.4.1 pSEAP2-Basic	28
			C.1.4.2 pSEAP2-Control	28
			C.1.4.3 pCR4-TOPO vector	29
			C.1.4.4 Flp-In expression system	31
			C.1.4.5 NF1 expression vectors	33
		C.1.5	Computer programs	33

C.2	Metho	ds		34
	C.2.1	Molecul	ar biological methods	34
		C.2.1.1	Polymerase chain reaction	34
		C.2.1.2	Design of PPK promoter constructs	34
		C.2.1.3	Amplification of novel exons in the 5'-UTR of the human PPK	
			gene	39
		C.2.1.4	Site-directed mutagenesis	40
		C.2.1.5	DNA cleavage with restriction endonucleases	43
		C.2.1.6	Agarose gel electrophoresis	44
		C.2.1.7	Extraction of DNA fragments from low-melting agarose gel	44
		C.2.1.8	Ligation of DNA fragments	45
		C.2.1.9	TOPO TA cloning system	45
		C.2.1.10	Preparation of <i>E. coli</i> competent cells	45
		C.2.1.11	Culture of <i>E. coli</i> strains	45
		C.2.1.12	Transformation of <i>E. coli</i>	46
		C.2.1.13	PCR screening of <i>E. coli</i> clones	46
		C.2.1.14	Plasmid preparation from E. coli	47
		C.2.1.15	Determination of DNA and RNA concentration	47
	C.2.2	Cell cult	ure methods	48
		C.2.2.1	Culture of mammalian cells	48
		C.2.2.2	Cell freezing and thawing	48
		C.2.2.3	Transient transfection of cells	49
		C.2.2.4	Stable transfection of HEK-293 cells	49
		C.2.2.5	siRNA transfection of HepG2 cells	50
	C.2.3	Chemilu	minescent assays	50
		C.2.3.1	SEAP assay	50
		C.2.3.2	Luminescent β-galactosidase assay	51
	C.2.4	Isolation	of total RNA	52
	C.2.5	cDNA sy	ynthesis	52
	C.2.6	RNA lig	ase-mediated amplification of 5'-cDNA ends (RLM-RACE)	53
	C.2.7	Quantita	tive real-time PCR	56
	C.2.8	.8 DNA pull-down method		59
		C.2.8.1	Preparation of DNA affinity beads	59
		C.2.8.2	Purification of transcription factors by DNA affinity beads	60
	C.2.9	TranSig	nal Protein/DNA Array	61

		C.2.10) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay	62
			C.2.10.1 Nuclear extract preparation	62
			C.2.10.2 Preparation of radiolabeled DNA probes	63
			C.2.10.3 Protein-DNA binding reaction and electrophoresis of protein-DNA complexes	66
		C.2.11	Chromatin immunoprecipitation	67
		C.2.12	2 Western blotting	69
		C.2.13	Bioinformatic tools	70
D	Utili PPK	zation of gene	of multiple transcription start sites and alternative promoters for the expression	71
	D.1	RESU	LTS	71
		D.1.1	Identification of two distal exons and splice variants of the human PPK gene	71
		D.1.2	The human PPK gene utilizes transcription initiation sites analogous to mouse and <i>vice versa</i>	74
		D.1.3	Identification of alternative promoters for the human PPK gene	76
	D.2	DISC	USSION	79
E	Role hum	of the an PPK	nuclear factor 1 transcription factor for the expression of the gene	84
	E.1	RESU	LTS	84
		E.1.1	Site-directed mutagenesis of the <i>Prox</i> promoter delineates three regions of critical <i>cis</i> -acting elements	84
		E.1.2	Multiple DNA-binding proteins interact with regions 1 and 3 of the basic control region	85
		E.1.3	Nuclear factor 1 binds <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> to the region 2 of critical <i>cis</i> -acting elements of the <i>Prox</i> promoter	87
		E.1.4	NF1-B expression elevates the level of human PPK mRNA	90
		E.1.5	NF1-C and NF1-X are involved in negative and positive regulation of the human PPK gene expression	91
	E.2	DISCU	USSION	93
F	Iden [®] that	tificatio causes 1	n of a 13-bp segment at the 3'-end of intron 1 of the human PPK gene recruitment of alternative promoters	99
	F.1	RESU	LTS	99
		F.1.1	<i>E1i1E2</i> causes TSS displacement in HepG2 and IHKE1 cells	99
		F.1.2	The proximal upstream region of the PPK gene does not prevent the TSS displacing effect	100
		F.1.3	The TSS displacement effect is also observed in stably transfected cells	101

		F.1.4	Deletion-mutation analysis defined a minimal sequence with transcriptional and TSS displacing activity	105
		F.1.5	Identification of critical basepairs of the 13-bp segment	108
	F.2	DISC	USSION	109
G	OUT	LOOK		111
Н	APPENDIX			112
	H.1	The in	nportance of examining the plasmid DNA quality in promoter studies	112
	H.2	Inadeo factors	quacy of a protein/DNA array analysis for identification of transcription s binding to a promoter region of interest	115
Ι	REF	ERENC	CES	120
• •				100

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
CURRICULUM VITAE	
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS	

ABBREVIATIONS

AP4	activator protein 4
ВК	bradykinin
BSA	bovine serum albumin
CAGE	cap-analysis gene expression
ChIP	chromatin immunoprecipitation
CIP	calf intestinal phosphatase
CMV	cytomegalovirus
DMSO	dimethylsulfoxid
E4BP4	E4 binding protein 4
EB	elution buffer
EMSA	electrophoretic mobility shift assay
ENCODE	encyclopedia of DNA Elements
FXII(a)	coagulation factor XII (activated)
FCS	fetal calf serum
FRT	Flp recombinase target
GAPDH	glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
НА	hemagglutinin
HEK cells	human embryonic kidney cells
НК	high molecular weight kininogen
HRP	horse radish peroxidase
HSP90	heat shock protein 90
IHKE cells	immortalized human kidney epithelial cells
Inr	initiator
LCR	locus control region
LNA	locked nucleic acid
MCS	multiple cloning site
NF1	nuclear factor 1
NFY	nuclear factor Y
NKXH	Nkx-homeodomain family
ORF	open reading frame
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PIC	preinitiation complex

ABBREVIATIONS

РК	plasma kallikrein
PPK (h/m)	plasma prekallikrein (human/mouse)
PRCP	prolylcarboxypeptidase
RLM-RACE	RNA ligase-mediated amplification of 5'-cDNA ends
RNApolII	RNA polymerase II
RT	room temperature
SDS-PAGE	sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
SEAP	secreted form of the placental alkaline phosphatase
siRNA	small interfering RNA
SV	simian virus 40
TAF	TBP-associated factor
TAP	tobacco acid pyrophosphatase
ТВ	transcription blocker
TBP	TATA-binding protein
TF	transcription factor
TGIF	thymine guanine interacting factor
TSS	transcription start site
UTR	untranslated region

A SUMMARY

The gene KLKB1 encodes the zymogen plasma prekallikrein (PPK) which is synthesized as a single chain glycoprotein in hepatocytes and secreted into the blood where its activated form, the protease plasma kallikrein (PK), participates in the surface-dependent activation of blood coagulation, fibrinolysis, kinin generation and inflammation. Recently it was demonstrated that the PPK gene is transcribed and the PPK protein synthesized not only in the liver, but in nonhepatic tissues as well. Since PPK in the blood originates essentially or totally from the liver, the extrahepatically synthesized PPK is believed not to contribute to the PPK plasma pool, but to have special functions at or near the site of its synthesis. Involvement of PPK in various cellular events and pathways reported over the last years fits this concept. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that all steps from transcription of the PPK gene to synthesis of the proenzyme and its activation are under careful temporal and tissue-/cell-specific control. Up to now all investigations on the control of PPK/PK function have been limited to those in the circulation and to the protein level. Therefore, as a part of the long-term project to unravel the physiological and pathophysiological role of the extrahepatically synthesized PPK, the studies for this thesis focused on the investigation of the molecular mechanisms of the transcriptional control of the human PPK (hPPK) gene.

At the time when the experimental work was started, comparison of the genomic and mRNA sequences of the mouse PPK gene had just revealed that the sequence segment formerly identified as exon 1 consists of three exons (mE1a, mE1b, mE1c), with intervening sequences of 1271 and 11852 bp, respectively. A subsequent alignment of these newly identified first three mouse exons to human chromosome 4 revealed that also in human a PPK gene transcript might exist initiating at a distant upstream start site and containing two additional upstream exons with sequences homologous to mE1a and mE1b. Up to that moment, transcription start sites of the hPPK gene had been found in liver, pancreas, kidney and testis at or near the position +1 of the known mRNA (proximal start sites) and, in addition, in kidney and testis within intron 1 and exon 2 (intronic start sites).

In the studies for this thesis the presence of two distal exons hE1a and hE1b analogous to mouse was verified by PCR for hPPK mRNA from liver, kidney, and testis (Figure A-1). In addition, the experiments demonstrated that by alternative splicing of the region between hE1a and the conventional hE1 transcripts with two to five exons in different combinations and lengths are produced. Subsequent transcription start determination revealed that transcription of the PPK gene in human and mouse tissues can be initiated in exon E1a and E1a-2 (distal start

sites). Conversely, also in mouse short transcripts were detected starting with mE1c which is analogous to human E1 (Figure A-1). In both human and mouse tissues the proximal start sites were highly preferred over the distal ones.



Figure A-1. Organization of the 5'-region of the human PPK gene.

The 5'-upstream region of the hPPK gene is drawn to scale. Boxes represent exons and lines introns. Exons undergoing alternative splicing are against a shaded background. The boxes above the map line represent regions with putative promoter activity.

Altogether, transcription start determination demonstrated that transcription of the hPPK gene can be initiated not only in the proximal region (*Prox*), but also in three distal regions (*Dist1*, *Dist2*, *Dist3*) and the intronic region (*E1i1E2*) (Figure A-1). Examination of these regions for promoter activity by reporter-gene analysis in the cell lines HepG2 (hepatocytes) and IHKE1 (kidney epithelial cells) demonstrated the functionality of *Prox*, *E1i1E2* and *Dist3*. The *Prox* promoter showed the highest activity in HepG2, whereas *E1i1E2* was most active in IHKE1 cells indicating a cell-type dependent utilization of these two promoters for the transcription of the hPPK gene. The *Dist3* promoter was active in both cell lines, whereas *Dist1* and *Dist2* were inactive.

The *Prox* promoter being the principal promoter utilized for the hPPK gene transcription in hepatocytes (primary site of PPK synthesis) was further examined to identify important *cis*-acting elements. Screening of the 3'-terminal 150 bp of the *Prox* promoter by substitution mutations revealed a series of important control elements. The most critical sequence segments, namely those resulting in reduction of promoter activity to less than 25 % when mutated, were grouped to three regions, regions 1, 2, and 3. Examination of the three regions for binding sites of known transcription factors led to the identification of a TATA-box motive in region 1 and a binding site for nuclear factor 1 (NF1) in region 2. EMSA experiments with oligonucleotides encompassing the region 1 rejected however a specific binding of the TATA-box binding protein to this region indicating that the *Prox* promoter is TATA-less. In contrast, EMSA experiments with oligonucleotides encompassing the region 2 demonstrated that NF1 proteins interact with this region *in vitro*. Subsequently performed ChIP assays confirmed association of NF1 with the *Prox* promoter *in vivo*. Mammalian NF1 proteins include the NF1-A, NF1-B, NF1-C, and NF1-X isoforms. Therefore it was investigated by overexpression and downregulation which isoforms

are important for hPPK gene transcription. Overexpression of NF1-B substantially induced whereas overexpression of NF1-A and NF1-X led to a slight increase of the hPPK mRNA level, and NF1-C overexpression had no effect. Down-regulation of NF1 factors by RNA interference revealed negative and positive contributions of the NF1-C and NF1-X proteins to the expression of the hPPK gene, respectively. Thus, the highly homologous members of the NF1 family display functional diversity regarding regulation of the hPPK gene transcription.

In order to study the transcription of the hPPK gene starting with TSSs localized in intron 1 and exon 2 it was envisaged to analyze the putative promoter region *E1i1E2*. This region, spanning exon 1, intron 1 and exon 2 of the hPPK gene, showed significant promoter activity in reporter gene assays. Surprisingly, determination of the transcription start sites (TSSs) recruited by the *E1i1E2* promoter for transcription of the reporter gene revealed that only a small number of transcripts initiated within the insert, whereas the majority of TSSs was located in the vector sequence up to about 2000 bp upstream of the insert, indicating that *E1i1E2* causes TSS displacement by recruiting alternative upstream promoters. Deletion of exons 1 and 2 and progressive 5'-deletions of intron 1 confined both the transcription promoting and TSS displacement activity of *E1i1E2* to the 3'-terminal 13 bp of intron 1. The results demonstrated that an up to now not described 13-bp *cis*-acting element can aid TSS displacement/alternative promoter recruitment.

Taken together, a multitude of transcripts of the human plasma prekallikrein gene is produced in the various tissues resulting from recruitment of alternative, distantly apart promoters, from a variation in the initiation sites utilized by each promoter, and from alternative splicing. Such generation of numerous transcripts of a single gene occurs in the majority of mammalian genes as has become evident from recent large scale studies. It has been concluded that this phenomenon reflects the need of fine-tuned temporal and spatial control of the expression of a gene. Thus, the finding that numerous alternative transcripts of the PPK gene are generated argues for the concept that plasma kallikrein plays divers functional roles at various developmental stages and/or in various tissues and cell types. Exploration of the alternative promoters and the splicing of the different transcript types will contribute to unraveling the precise roles of PPK synthesized in the various tissues.

A ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Das Zymogen Plasmapräkallikrein (PPK), kodiert durch das Gen KLKB1, wird in den Hepatocyten als einkettiges Glycoprotein synthetisiert und in den Blutkreislauf sezerniert. Im Blut ist das aktivierte Enzym, die Protease Plasmakallikrein (PK), beteiligt an der Kontaktphasenaktivierung der Blutgerinnung, der Fibrinolyse, der Kinin-Freisetzung und an Entzündungsvorgängen. Vor kurzem wurde gezeigt, dass die Transkription des PPK-Gens und die Synthese des PPK-Proteins nicht nur in der Leber, sondern auch in nicht-hepatischen Geweben erfolgen kann. Da das PPK im Blut vorwiegend oder ausschließlich aus der Leber stammt ist anzunehmen, dass extrahepatisch synthetisiertes PPK nicht zum PPK-Plasmapool beiträgt, sondern dass es spezielle Funktionen am Synthesort oder in dessen unmittelbaren Nachbarschaft erfüllt. Die Richtigkeit dieses Konzepts wird unterstützt durch mehrfache Berichte der letzten Jahre über die Beteiligung des PPK an zellulären Reaktionen und Reaktionswegen in unterschiedlichen Geweben und Zellen. Demnach ist zu folgern, dass alle Schritte von der Transkription des Gens bis hin zur Synthese des Zymogens und seiner Aktivierung einer präzisen zeitlichen und Gewebs- bzw. Zelltyp-spezifischen Kontrolle unterliegen. Bisher konzentrierten sich die Untersuchungen über die Kontrolle von PPK/PK-Funktionen vor allem auf die im Blutkreislauf und somit auf die Proteinebene. Als Teil des langfristigen Projekts, die physiologische und pathophysiologische Funktion des extrahepatisch gebildeten PPK aufzuklären, sollten daher in der vorliegenden Doktorarbeit die molekularen Mechanismen der Transkriptionskontrolle des humanen PPK-Gens untersucht werden.

Kurz vor dem Beginn der experimentellen Arbeiten hatte bei der Maus ein Vergleich der PPK-mRNA-Sequenz mit der genomischen DNA gezeigt, dass der mRNA-Sequenzabschnitt, der als Exon 1 betrachtet wurde, tatsächlich aus drei Exons (mE1a, mE1b, mE1c) besteht, die durch Introns von 1271 bp und 11852 bp voneinander getrennt sind. Ein nachfolgender Vergleich der Sequenzen der drei neu identifizierten PPK-Exons der Maus mit der Sequenz des humanen Chromosoms 4 hatte zeigte, dass es auch beim Menschen Transkripte mit Transkriptionsstarts stromauf des bekannten Exons 1 geben könnte, die zwei zusätzliche Exons analog zu E1a und E1b der Maus enthalten. Bis zu diesem Zeitpunkt waren für humanes PPK (hPPK) nur Transkriptionsstarts im Bereich der Position +1 der bekannten mRNA identifiziert worden, und zwar in Leber, Pancreas, Niere und Testis (proximale Startpunkte), außerdem in Niere und Testis in Intron 1 und in Exon 2 (intronische Startpunkte).

In den Untersuchungen zur vorliegenden Doktorarbeit wurde für hPPK die Existenz zweier distaler Exons, hE1a und hE1b, analog denen der Maus durch PCR-Experimente mit mRNA aus Leber, Niere und Testis bestätigt (Abbildung A-1). Zusätzlich zeigten die Experimente, dass

durch alternatives Spleißen der Region zwischen hE1a und dem konventionellen hE1 Transkripte mit weiteren zwei bis fünf Exons in unterschiedlicher Kombination und Länge entstehen. Nachfolgende Bestimmung der Transkriptionsstarts in humanem und in Mausgewebe erbrachte, dass die Transkription des PPK-Gens in Exon E1a und außerdem weiter stromauf initiiert werden kann, wobei Transkripte mit einem oder zwei weitere Exons, E1a-1 und E1a-2 (distale Startpunkte) entstehen. Umgekehrt wurden auch bei der Maus verkürzte Transkripte gefunden, die im Bereich analog dem des menschlichen Exons 1 (Abbildung A-1) beginnen. Bei beiden Spezies sind die proximalen Transkriptionsstarts gegenüber den distalen stark bevorzugt.



Abbildung A-1. Organisation der 5'-Region des humanen PPK-Gens.

Exons sind durch Rechtecke, Introns durch Linien dargestellt. Die Region, in der alternatives Spleißen gefunden wurde, ist hellgrau unterlegt. *Dist3*, *Dist2*, *Dist1*, *Prox* und *E1i1E2* geben die hinsichtlich Promoteraktivität untersuchten Bereiche an. Die Darstellung ist maßstabsgerecht.

Insgesamt zeigten die Bestimmungen der Transkriptionsstarts, dass die Transkription des hPPK-Gens nicht nur in der proximalen Region (*Prox*), sondern auch in drei distalen Regionen (*Dist1, Dist2, Dist3*) und in einer intronischen Region (*E1i1E2*) initiiert werden kann (Abbildung A-1). Durch Reportergen-Analyse in den Zelllinien HepG2 (Hepatocyten) und IHKE1 (Nierenepithelzellen) konnte für die potentiellen Promotoren *Prox, E1i1E2* und *Dist3* signifikante Aktivität nachgewiesen werden. Der Promotor *Prox* war am aktivsten in HepG2-, *E1i1E2* dagegen in IHKE1-Zellen, ein Befund, der eine Zelltyp- (Gewebe-) abhängige Aktivität dieser beiden Promotoren anzeigt. Der Promotorbereich *Dist3* war in beiden Zelllinien aktiv, während *Dist1* und *Dist2* jeweils inaktiv waren.

In Hepatocyten, dem Hauptsyntheseort des hPPK, ist in erster Linie der Promotor *Prox* für die Transkription des hPPK-Gens verantwortlich. Er wurde daher eingehender untersucht mit dem Ziel, wichtige *cis*-aktive Elemente zu identifizieren. Die Analyse der 3'-terminalen 150 bp des *Prox*-Promotors durch Substitutionsmutationen zeigte, dass in diesem Abschnitt eine Reihe maßgeblich wirksamer Kontrollelemente vorhanden sind. Die funktionell wirksamsten Sequenzabschnitte, nämlich jene, deren Mutation die Promotoraktivität auf unter 25 % reduzierte, waren in drei Bereichen lokalisiert, Region 1, 2 und 3. Bei der Suche nach Konsensussequenzen für Transkriptionsfaktoren in den drei Regionen wurden ein TATA-Box-Motiv in Region 1 und eine Bindungsstelle für NF1 (Nuclear Factor 1) in Region 2 identifiziert.

EMSA-Experimente mit Oligonucleotiden der Region 1 schlossen jedoch eine spezifische Bindung des TATA-Box-bindenden Proteins an diese Region aus, *Prox* ist somit ein "TATAfreier" Promotor. Im Gegensatz dazu ergaben EMSA-Experimente mit Region-2-Oligonucleotiden, dass NF1-Proteine *in vitro* mit Region 2 interagieren. Nachfolgende ChIP-Experimente bestätigten dann diese Wechselwirkung auch *in vivo*. Da NF1 in vier Isoformen vorkommt, NF1-A, NF1-B, NF1-C und NF1-X, wurde durch Überexpression sowie Herabregulierung der Synthese untersucht, welche der Isoformen an der Regulation der Expression des hPPK-Gens beteiligt sind. Überexpression der einzelnen NF1-Isoformen in HepG2-Zellen zeigte unterschiedliche Wirkungen auf die Expression von hPPK-mRNA. Für NF1-B ergab sich eine beträchtliche und für NF1-A und NF1-X eine geringfügige Erhöhung der PPK-mRNA-Expression, während bei NF1-C-Überexpression kein Effekt zu beobachten war. Herabregulierung der Synthese der NF1-Isoformen durch RNA-Interferenz führte bei NF1-C zu negativen, bei NF1-X zu positiven Effekten auf die Expression des hPPK-Gens. Insgesamt zeigte sich somit, dass die einzelnen NF1-Isoformen trotz ihrer ausgeprägten Homologie die Transkription des hPPK-Gens in funktionell unterschiedlicher Weise regulieren.

Mit dem Ziel, die mit Transkriptionsstartpunkten in Intron 1 und Exon 2 beginnende Transkription des hPPK-Gens zu untersuchen, sollte die potentielle Promotorregion *E1i1E2* analysiert werden. Die Region *E1i1E2*, die den Bereich Exon 1, Intron 1 und Exon 2 des hPPK-Gens umfasst, wies in Reportergenanalysen starke Promotoraktivität auf. Überraschenderweise zeigte sich bei der Bestimmung der Transkriptionsstartpunkte, die bei der Expression des Reportergens rekrutiert werden, dass diese nur bei wenigen der Transkripte innerhalb des inserierten *E1i1E2*-Fragments lagen, während die Mehrzahl der Startpunkte in der Sequenz des Vektors bis zu etwa 2000 bp stromauf des Inserts gefunden wurde. Es ergab sich somit, dass die *E1i1E2*-Region eine Dislozierung des Transkriptionsstarts durch Rekrutierung eines alternativen, stromauf gelegenen Promotors bewirkt. Deletion von Exon 1 und 2 sowie schrittweise Deletion des 5'-Bereichs von Intron 1 zeigten, dass sowohl die signifikante Promotoraktivität wie auch der Effekt der Dislozierung des Transkriptionsstarts durch Bekrutierung eines alternativen, 1 zuzuschreiben sind. Offenbar kann ein bisher nicht beschriebenes, 13 bp langes *cis*-aktives Element eine Transkriptionsstart-Dislozierung bzw. Rekrutierung eines alternativen Promotors bewirken.

Insgesamt wurde gezeigt, dass in den verschiedenen Geweben eine Vielfalt an Transkripten des humanen Präkallikrein-Gens gebildet wird, was auf die Rekrutierung alternativer, weit auseinander liegender Promotoren, auf die von den einzelnen Promotoren benützten unterschiedlichen Transkriptionsstarts sowie auf alternatives Spleißen zurückzuführen ist. Die Generierung einer derartigen Vielfalt an Transkripten eines einzelnen Gens tritt bei der Mehrzahl der Gene der Mammalia auf wie vor kurzem in groß angelegten Studien gezeigt wurde. Daraus wurde geschlossen, dass dieses Phänomen die Notwendigkeit der zeitlich und räumlich exakt regulierten Expression eines Gens widerspiegelt. Somit spricht der Nachweis der vielfältigen alternativen Transkripte des PPK-Gens für die Richtigkeit des Konzepts, dass Plasmakallikrein bei diversen physiologischen Vorgängen in unterschiedlichen Entwicklungsstadien und/oder Geweben und Zelltypen eine Rolle spielt. Die Erforschung der molekularen Mechanismen, die der Transkription des hPPK-Gens durch die einzelnen alternativen Promotoren und dem Spleißen der unterschiedlichen Transkript-Typen zugrunde liegen, sollte dazu beitragen, die genauen Funktionen des in den unterschiedlichen Geweben gebildeten Plasmakallikreins aufzuklären.

B INTRODUCTION

B.1 The plasma kallikrein in the kallikrein-kinin cascade

B.1.1 The kallikrein-kinin system

The discovery of the kallikrein-kinin system can be traced back to 1909 when two French surgeons observed hypotension upon intravenous injection of an alcohol-insoluble fraction of human urine into anesthetized dogs (Webster, 1970). In 1926, Frey and coworkers confirmed this first observation by injection of human urine into dogs and subsequently attributed the hypotensive effect to a bioactive substance which they isolated from human urine and named F-substance (Werle, 1970). Looking for a presumed producing gland, Kraut et al. (1930) found large amounts of F-substance in pancreas and named the substance "kallikrein" after the Greek synonym kallikreas for pancreas. Later the kallikrein found in urine was identified as a glandular kallikrein mainly derived from the kidney (Webster, 1970). Today, the substance is known as tissue kallikrein. In 1937 Werle disclosed that kallikrein liberates a labile vasoactive decapeptide kallidin from an inactive precursor in plasma termed kininogen (Werle et al., 1937). Ten years later Rocha e Silva et al. (1949) identified another bioactive nonapeptide bradykinin (BK) released from kininogen by trypsin. A kinin-liberating enzyme from blood originally thought to be identical with pancreatic kallikrein (Kraut et al., 1928, 1933) was ultimately identified as a separate entity, namely, plasma kallikrein, the active form of its precursor plasma prekallikrein (reviewed by Movat, 1979). Finally, the characterization of the first kinin degrading enzymes kininase I (Erdös et al., 1963) and kininase II (Erdös & Yang, 1967; Yang & Erdös, 1967) and later additional kininases completed the system.

The kallikrein-kinin system consists of four major components: the kallikreins (plasma or tissue kallikrein), the kininogens (low and high molecular weight), the kinins, and the kininases. The interplay of these components is summarized in Figure B-1. The serine peptidases plasma and tissue kallikrein are activated through proteolytic cleavage of the zymogens plasma prekallikrein (by the coagulation factor XII or prolylcarboxypeptidase) and tissue prekallikrein (by an as yet unknown peptidase), respectively. The activity of the two kallikreins is tightly controlled by various inhibitors (C1-inhibitor, α_2 -macroglobulin, antithrombin III, kallistatin, α_1 -antitrypsin). Plasma and tissue kallikreins liberate kinins (BK and kallidin) from high and low molecular weight kininogen. Kinins and the modified forms desArg-kinins exert their biological activities by binding to the cellular receptors B₁ and B₂ before being metabolized by various peptidases.



Figure B-1. Schematic representation of the kallikrein-kinin system. HK, high molecular weight kininogen; LK, low molecular weight kininogen; BK, bradykinin; KD, kallidin; B1R, B₁ receptor; B2R, B₂ receptor; CPN, carboxypeptidase N; CPM, carboxypeptidase M; APN, aminopeptidase N.

Plasma and tissue kallikreins share the name kallikrein because of their characteristic kininliberating activity, but are basically different molecules: they release different types of kinins and differ significantly in gene structure, amino acid sequence, substrate specificity, and physiological functions. Plasma kallikrein is encoded by a single gene on chromosome 4q35 (Yu et al., 2000), tissue kallikrein by a gene on chromosome 19q13.3 (Evans et al., 1988). In contrast to the multidomain structure of plasma kallikrein (Chung et al., 1986; cf. B.1.2), tissue kallikrein is composed of a protease domain alone (Fukushima et al., 1985). The degree of sequence identity of tissue kallikrein and the protease domain of plasma kallikrein is about 34 %. Finally, plasma kallikrein acts only upon high molecular weight kininogen (HK) and releases the nanopeptide BK, whereas tissue kallikrein liberates kallidin (Lys-BK) from both high and low molecular weight kininogen.

Recently 14 closely related serine proteases encoded by a tightly clustered multigene family around the human tissue kallikrein locus on chromosome 19q13.3-19q13.4 have been identified and became known as tissue kallikrein family (Paliouras & Diamandis, 2006). However, one has to discriminate between the "real" tissue kallikrein (or kallikrein 1 according to the new nomenclature) and the related serine proteases (kallikrein 1-related peptidases), since the latter are known to display no kinin-releasing activity (Lundwall et al., 2006).

B.1.2 Properties of plasma kallikrein

Plasma prekallikrein (PPK), the zymogen of the serine protease plasma kallikrein (PK, EC 3.4.21.34), is synthesized as a single chain glycoprotein. It is encoded by the gene *KLKB1* of about 31 kb in length composed of 15 exons and 14 introns (Yu et al., 2000).

The human PPK mRNA codes for a signal peptide of 19 amino acids and a mature polypeptide chain of 619 amino acids (Figure B-2) (Chung et al., 1986). The PPK synthesized in hepatocytes is secreted into the bloodstream where it exists in two forms with molecular masses of 85 and 88 kDa due to different carbohydrate content. The concentration is in the range of 35-50 mg/l (Colman & Schmaier, 1997) and at least 75 % of PPK circulates in blood as an equimolar complex with the non-enzymatic HK (Mandle et al., 1976).



Figure B-2. Representation of the primary structure of PPK.

 A_1 through A_4 indicate the apple domains of plasma prekallikrein's heavy chain. The arrow between arginine 371 and isoleucine 372 indicates the activation cleavage site. Histidine 415, aspartic acid 464, and serine 559 form the catalytic triad of the light chain. The sequence of the signal peptide is indicated by shaded circles. (Figure adapted from Colman & Schmaier, 1997.)

Conversion of PPK to PK occurs through cleavage of the peptide bond Arg371-Ile372, producing a two-chain protein with a heavy and a light chain, held together by a disulfide bond between Cys364 and Cys484 (McMullen et al., 1991). The C-terminal light chain represents the

peptidase domain with the catalytic triad of His-415, Asp-464, and Ser-559 (Mandle & Kaplan, 1977; van der Graaf et al., 1982). The amino-terminal heavy chain comprises 4 tandem apple domains of 90 or 91 residues followed by a short connecting region of 9 amino acids (Chung et al., 1986). The apple domain A2 and two flanking sequence segments of A1 and A4 form a discontinuous binding platform for HK (Page et al., 1994; Renne et al., 1999), whereas apple domains 3 and 4 are involved in binding to the coagulation factor XII (FXII).

Both the light and heavy chains are glycosylated. PK from human blood has 15 % carbohydrate by weight and five potential sites for N-glycosylation including three in the light and two in the heavy chain (Chung et al., 1986). Because of heterogeneity in the carbohydrate moiety, the light chain can exist as 36- and 33-kDa isoforms. The N-linked glycosylation does not affect the enzymatic activity of PK and its detailed function is still unknown (Tang et al., 2005).

B.1.3 Sites of synthesis of plasma prekallikrein

It had been accepted for several decades that PPK is synthesized exclusively in the liver and secreted into the bloodstream. Investigations by Ciechanowicz et al. (1993) and Hermann et al. (1996, 1999) revealed that PPK mRNA is not only expressed in the liver but also in several non-hepatic tissues and cells. Recently, expression of the mRNA of PPK has been determined quantitatively in liver and 15 non-hepatic human tissues; the highest mRNA levels were detected in pancreas (68 % compared to liver), kidney (24.6 %), and testis (9.5 %) (Neth et al., 2001; Neth, 2002). In addition, evidence that the protein is also produced extrahepatically was provided recently by immunocytochemical studies where PK/PPK has been specifically visualized in several human tissues such as pancreas, kidney, testis, stomach and others (Fink et al., 2007).

B.1.4 Activation of the plasma prekallikrein

Contact activation. The concept of contact activation was originally developed based on the observation that contact of plasma with artificial negatively charged surfaces resulted in coagulation. Three proteins are involved in contact activation, the zymogens FXII and PPK as well as the non-enzymatic HK (Colman, 1998). Both FXII and HK bind with high affinity to negatively charged surfaces such as kaolin, dextran sulfate or bacterial membranes. Upon binding to a negatively charged surface, the HK-PPK complex circulating in plasma positions the zymogen in proximity to surface-bound FXII. Surface-bound FXII is thought to go through conformational changes resulting in the expression of endogenous activity sufficient to activate a small number of PPK molecules through cleavage of the peptide bond Arg371-Ile372. In a

feedback activation loop, the PK formed activates surface-bound FXII to FXIIa, thus triggering the intrinsic pathway of blood coagulation (reviewed by Joseph & Kaplan, 2005). In addition, upon activation, PK releases BK from HK by cleaving two peptide bonds.

Activation on endothelial cells. The concept of contact activation has been accepted in the plasma kallikrein/kinin field for the last several decades. Recently, alternative pathways for PPK activation *in vivo* have been found, which operate independently of FXII. It was observed that when the PPK-HK complex assembles on endothelial cells, the PPK is rapidly converted to the active form (Shariat-Madar et al., 2002a). A major PPK activating enzyme on cultured endothelial cells was identified to be the serine protease prolylcarboxypeptidase (PRCP) (Shariat-Madar et al., 2002b, 2004). However, the mechanism of PRCP-mediated PPK activation is not fully understood. Overexpression of human PRCP increases the endogenous PPK activation, and there is an absolute requirement for HK to serve as an adapter molecule for PPK to be activated by PRCP (Shariat-Madar et al., 2005). It is not known yet if the actual PPK activation peptide bond for PRCP is identical to the Arg-Ile bond that FXIIa is cleaving.

Additionally, heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) was shown *in vitro* to have the potency to activate PPK in solution independently of FXII (Joseph et al., 2002). Similar to PRCP, HSP90 requires the presence of native HK and zinc ions. Although the cytoplasmic HSP90 binds to HK, the surface exposure of HSP90 on intact cells is still a matter of discussion. Though HSP90 has been shown to be secreted from cells in response to oxidative stress, the mechanism, by which the intracellular protein that lacks a signal peptide sequence is secreted, remains unknown (Liao et al., 2000). Besides, HSP90 is not known to possess proteolytic activity, therefore the mechanism by which it activates PPK remains an open question.

B.1.5 Biological functions

The biological effects of PK to a great extent ensue from cleavage of the two principal substrates, HK and FXII, resulting in liberation of BK and formation of activated FXII, respectively.

Bradykinin. Cellular effects of BK are mediated via kinin B1 and B2 receptors, members of G-protein coupled receptor family. BK is a pharmacologically highly active nonapeptide that exerts its cellular actions by releasing cytokines (e.g., interleukin 1, tumor necrosis factor) and many second-generation mediators, for example, platelet-activating factor, leukotrienes, prostaglandins, substance P, calcitonin gene-related peptide, acetylcholine, noradrenaline, etc (Bhoola et al., 1992). BK can cause vasodilatation, enhanced vascular permeability, constriction of smooth muscles, activation of phospholipase A_2 to liberate arachidonic acid (Joseph & Kaplan, 2005). BK contributes to vasodilatation by elevating plasma prostacyclin (Hong, 1980),

inducing the release of nitric oxide by endothelial cells via the B2 receptor (Palmer et al., 1987; Zhao et al., 2001), stimulating superoxide formation (Holland et al., 1990). BK is also a powerful mediator involved in the pain response mainly through two mechanisms: by the direct stimulation of sensory neurons (likely $A\delta$ - and C-fibers) and by sensitization of nociceptors to physical and chemical stimuli (Couture et al., 2001). BK can also work as an anti-inflammatory or neuroprotective mediator within the central nervous system through its effect on glial cells (Noda et al., 2007). In addition, in the nervous system BK is involved in the central regulation of blood pressure and increasing sympathetic nervous activity (de Wardener, 2001). BK is a proangiogenic molecule. It promotes angiogenesis by upregulation of endogenous basic fibroblast growth factor through the B1 receptor or vascular endothelial growth factor through the B2 receptor, by increasing vascular permeability or by promoting endothelial cell proliferation via the B2 receptor (Guo & Colman, 2005).

Activated coagulation factor XII. The physiological relevance of PK-mediated activation of FXII in blood coagulation pathway had been questionable for a long time, since deficiencies of both proteins in plasma do not result in a bleeding phenotype. Recently, the biological role of FXIIa was reevaluated. Although FXIIa contributes little to the initial phase of thrombus formation, it is essential for thrombus stabilisation (Renne et al., 2005).

Among other biological activities attributed to PK in blood are stimulation of neutrophils to aggregate and release their lysosomal contents such as elastase, activation of the C3 convertase of the alternative pathway, participation in fibrinolysis by activating single-chain urokinase plasminogen activator and subsequent plasminogen activation. Thus, PK circulating in blood has many important physiological functions, including modulation of blood pressure, complement activation, mediation of inflammatory responses, and maintenance of the balance between thrombus stabilization and fibrinolysis.

Novel biological roles. It was shown that PPK in the blood originates essentially or totally from the liver (Wong et al., 1972; Colman & Wong, 1979); therefore, it has been concluded that the extrahepatically synthesized PPK does not significantly contribute to the PPK pool in plasma, but rather that it has special functions at or near the cellular site of its synthesis (Neth et al., 2001; Fink et al., 2007). Recently, involvement of PK in several cellular events and pathways fitting into the concept of such local PPK functions has been demonstrated. PK along with other proteases (tissue kallikreins, trypsin, cathepsin G) activates bradykinin B2 receptor directly, independent of kinin release, by an as yet unknown mechanism (Hecquet et al., 2000, 2002; Biyashev et al., 2006). PK is required during adipogenesis; the enzyme mediates a plasminogen cascade presumably via direct activation of plasminogen, which in turn fosters adipocyte

differentiation by degrading the fibronectin-rich stromal matrix of preadipocytes (Selvarajan et al., 2001). PK, as well as coagulation factor XI, can activate pro-hepatocyte growth factor and thus may be involved in the regulation of processes dependent on the hepatocyte growth factor/c-Met signaling pathway (Peek et al., 2002). PK is involved in impaired liver regeneration by circulating endotoxin after partial hepatectomy (Akita et al., 2002). The process is triggered by elevated levels of endotoxin stimulating secretion of tumor necrosis factor α , which in turn provokes PK-dependent proteolytic activation of latent transforming growth factor β resulting in inhibited liver regeneration. PK plays a significant role in skin wound healing most likely through plasminogen-dependent fibrinolysis (Lund et al., 2006). Establishment of an animal model deficient for PPK may shed light on the exact functions of the extrahepatically synthesized PPK.

B.1.6 Involvement in pathologic disorders

PPK was identified as the protein whose deficiency is responsible for the autosomal-recessive Fletcher trait (Hattersley & Hayse, 1970; Saito et al., 1974). PPK deficiency causes significantly prolonged activated partial thromboplastin time, but despite this fact it is not connected to any abnormal bleeding tendency.

Involvement of blood PPK in pathological processes are mainly determined by its excess activation or an imbalance between the active enzyme and its naturally occurring plasma inhibitors C1-inhibitor, α_2 -macroglobulin and antithrombin III (Bhoola et al., 1992). C1-inhibitor together with α_2 -macroglobulin account for 90 % of the PK inhibitory activity in plasma, antithrombin III takes over the remainder (Joseph & Kaplan, 2005).

Deficiency of the major physiological inhibitor of PK in plasma, C1-inhibitor, leads to abnormal PK activity resulting in overproduction of BK. The mechanism is implicated in the symptoms of hereditary angioedema (reviewed in Davis, 2005; Levy & O'Donnell, 2006). During acute attacks of this disease, increased levels of bradykinin cause an increase in vascular permeability and subsequently localized oedema. The symptoms can be suppressed by replacement therapy with C1-inhibitor or recombinant inhibitor DX-88 (B.1.7).

Septic shock is another pathological state involving plasma kallikrein. In this case, endotoxininduced activation of PPK results in the overproduction of BK which is implicated in the pathogenesis of septic shock through its ability to lower blood pressure (reviewed in Shariat-Madar & Schmaier, 2004). In addition, PPK is involved in pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease, systemic lupus, rheumatoid arthritis, allergic rhinitis, complications in cardiopulmonary bypass, and others (Colman, 1999; Isordia-Salas et al., 2005; Stadnicki, 2005).

B.1.7 Plasma kallikrein inhibitors for therapeutic use

Since PK is involved in multiple pathologic disorders, it is regarded as a potential therapeutic target (B.1.6), and investigations on potent and highly specific inhibitors for PK are continually carried on. The resulting inhibitors can subsequently contribute to a better understanding of the physiological and pathophysiological roles of PK *in vivo*.

Recently a new recombinant inhibitor DX-88 or Ecallantide (Dyax Corp, Cambridge, Mass) was shown to be highly potent and specific for PK. In contrast to endogenous C1-inhibitor which has nearly the same inhibitory activity against PK, plasmin, FXIIa, FXIa, and the components of the complement system C1r and C1s, the inhibitory activity of DX-88 is several orders of magnitude greater against PK as compared to the other proteinases. DX-88 is currently undergoing phase III of clinical trials (Levy & O'Donnell, 2006).

The synthetic inhibitor P8720, which has been demonstrated to be potent and reasonably selective for PK, was used to study PK-mediated inflammatory reactions in rats, but was not pursued therapeutically because of its toxic effects (Stadnicki et al., 1996, 1998).

Another PK inhibitor was engineered using ecotin, a macromolecular inhibitor of serine proteases from *E. coli*, as a scaffold (Stoop & Craik, 2003). The ecotin-PK inhibitor is more selective for PK by four to seven orders of magnitude when compared with binding to coagulation factors Xa, XIa, uPA, thrombin and membrane-type serine protease 1. However, FXIIa demonstrated cross-reactivity with the ecotin-PK inhibitor.

An important step toward the design of inhibitors with enhanced selectivity for PK was crystallization of the PK catalytic domain and determination of its structure (Tang et al., 2005). Based on the crystal structure construction of new small molecules inhibitors is ongoing (Young et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006).

B.2 Insights into the transcriptional control network

Transcriptional initiation is a key step at which gene activity is controlled. Regulation of transcriptional initiation is carried out by a complex network of interactions between *cis*-acting DNA elements and DNA-binding transcription factors (TFs). The DNA sequences that specify the transcriptional program of each gene include several functionally distinct regions: the core promoter, regulatory promoters, enhancers, silencers, and boundary/insulator elements. Besides, coordination of chromatin modifications plays an important role for transcription initiation, mainly through the control of post-translational modifications of histones.

B.2.1 Transcription initiation by RNA polymerase II

The initiation of mRNA synthesis in eukaryotic cells is a complex and highly regulated process that requires the assembly of the transcription preinitiation complex (PIC) at the core promoter.

B.2.1.1 The general transcription machinery

Assembly of a PIC usually begins with TFIID, which consist of TATA-binding protein (TBP) and thirteen TBP-associated factors (TAFs) (Lodish et al., 2003b). Binding of TFIID to the TATA box, initiator (Inr) element and/or other sites (see below) leads to bending DNA through a 90° angle. This is followed by the entry of other general transcription factors and RNA polymerase II (RNApolII) through either a sequential assembly or a preassembled RNApolII holoenzyme pathway. In the sequential assembly pathway binding of TFIID is followed by the entry of TFIIA and TFIIB that help stabilize promoter-bound TFIID, and the recruitment of a preformed complex of tetrameric TFIIF and RNApolII. Then TFIIE is recruited, with the subsequent entry of TFIIA bind first to the core promoter and are thought to facilitate the entry of RNApolII holoenzyme complex which contains RNApolII, TFIIB, TFIIE, TFIIF, TFIIH, and some other factors (the latter can vary depending on the methods of purification). Transcription initiation begins then with phosphorylation of the C-terminal domain of the largest subunit of RNApolII by TFIIH.

Formation of the stable promoter-bound complex is sufficient for a basal level of transcription *in vitro*. However, regulated transcription initiation involves interactions of the PIC with additional components (the TAFs, the mediator, positive and negative cofactors).

B.2.1.2 Core promoter architecture

The core promoter is defined as the minimal DNA region that is sufficient to direct low levels of activator-independent transcription by RNApolII *in vitro*. *In vivo*, however, in the absence of regulatory proteins, the core promoter is generally inactive and fails to bind the general transcription machinery. The core promoter typically extends approximately 35 bp upstream and/or downstream from the +1 position (Smale & Kadonaga, 2003). It encompasses the transcription start site (TSS), i.e. the first nucleotide that is copied at the 5' end of the corresponding mRNA, and several distinct *cis*-regulatory elements which contribute to proper assembly and orientation of the PIC.

Studies on promoters of eukaryotic protein-coding genes have thus far identified the following core promoter elements (Figure B-3): TATA box, Inr element, downstream promoter

element, TFIIB recognition element, downstream core element (reviewed in Smale & Kadonaga, 2003; Gröss & Oelgeschlager, 2006; Thomas & Chiang, 2006), motif ten element (Lim et al., 2004), X core promoter element 1 (Tokusumi et al., 2007). Each of these motifs is present only in a subset of core promoters; different elements can co-occur in the same promoter, although certain combinations are more likely than others, and some patterns complement each other. The Inr element, TFIIB recognition element, and downstream core element have been shown to modulate the activity of TATA-containing core promoters, whereas motif ten element and downstream promoter element function has up to now only been demonstrated in TATA-independent promoters containing an Inr element.



Figure B-3. Schematic diagram of the core promoter elements. Inr, initiator element; DPE, downstream promoter element; BRE^u and BRE^d, TFIIB recognition element (upstream/downstream); XCPE1, X core promoter element 1; MTE, motif ten element; DCE, downstream core element. DCE consists of three discontinuous subelements. It is possible that there are other core promoter elements that remain to be discovered.

The best studied element is the TATA box, an A/T-rich sequence located approximately 24– 31 nucleotides upstream of the TSS. Its consensus sequence, TATA(A/T)AA(A/G), is recognized by TBP, which is part of the PIC. TATA boxes are associated with strong tissuespecific promoters. The Inr element is defined by the pyrimidine-rich consensus sequence PyPyA₊₁N(A/T)PyPy surrounding the TSS. TAF1/TAF2 components of the general transcription factor TFIID have been shown to specifically interact with the Inr element. The Inr element can function independently of the TATA box, although the two can occur together and act synergistically if separated by no more than 30 bp. So far, only the TATA box and the Inr element have been shown to be capable of recruiting the PIC and initiate transcription independent of other core promoter elements (Smale & Kadonaga, 2003; Thomas & Chiang, 2006).

Another widespread characteristic of promoters are CpG islands which represent 0.5-2 kbp stretches of DNA in which CG dinucleotides are overrepresented (Smale & Kadonaga, 2003). It has been estimated that 50 % of human promoters are associated with CpG islands. CpG-island-associated promoters are most often associated with housekeeping genes, although there are many exceptions. Only a fraction of CpG-associated promoters have TATA-like elements. They usually lack downstream promoter or Inr elements and are typically characterized by the presence of multiple TSSs spanning a region of 100 bp or more. The elements that are

responsible for the core promoter function of CpG-associated promoters still remain poorly defined.

B.2.2 Regulatory promoters and enhancers

The promoter, commonly defined as a region of approximately 500 bp upstream of the TSS, represents a set of DNA *cis*-acting elements, i.e., DNA sequences recognized by specific TFs. These *cis*-acting elements are typically 6-10 bp in length and are defined by consensus sequences based on experimentally derived high-affinity recognition sequences for a given TF. However, the binding sites that are functionally relevant *in vivo* might diverge substantially from the known consensus sequences. Binding of activators to the regulatory elements in the promoter, in cooperation with the core promoter, results in activated transcription. Some of the *cis*-acting elements in the promoter interact with TFs that confer tissue-specificity for gene expression (Delgado & Leon, 2006).

The activity of most promoters *in vivo* is influenced by more distal sequences classified operationally as either enhancers, which increase transcription of a linked gene, or silencers, which repress it. Enhancers/silencers are similar to promoter regions in that they are organized as a series of regulatory sequences that are bound by TFs. However, they have no promoter activity on their own. Enhancers/silencers function at a distance (sometimes 50 kb or more) irrespective of orientation with regard to the gene they control. Thus, enhancers/silencers can be found either upstream or downstream of the gene or within an intron. Like promoters, many enhancers/silencers are cell-type specific (Szutorisz et al., 2005; Delgado & Leon, 2006). Notwithstanding observations that direct interactions between enhancers and linked genes are important for activation, it is yet unclear how enhancers find their targets. Currently, several models for enhancer action are proposed (tracking, hopping models), however none of them is conclusive (Valenzuela & Kamakaka, 2006).

In some instances a single gene or a locus of related genes might spread over 100 kb or more. In these cases, genes might be under control of complex transcriptional enhancers called locus control regions (LCRs), which remodel chromatin and control global access of activators over an extended region. LCRs have strong enhancer activity but are distinguished from enhancers by their ability to confer position-independent, copy number–dependent expression on a linked gene. These two criteria are considered by many to be the minimal defining feature of an LRC (Li et al., 2002).

To focus the action of the enhancer or LCR on the appropriate gene(s) and protect the other gene(s) from inadvertent regulatory influences by their neighbours, the gene and its regulatory regions are flanked by insulator (or boundary) elements from both sides. Insulators function in a

position dependent-manner displaying enhancer blocking and barrier activity. Elements with enhancer blocking activity interfere with enhanced transcription when placed between an enhancer element and the promoter; barrier elements halt the propagation of silenced chromatin when positioned between DNA sequences that are packaged in heterochromatin and the gene promoter vulnerable to silencing (West & Fraser, 2005).

B.2.3 Chromatin structure in transcriptional control

The control of transcription initiation is complicated by the fact that DNA is densely packaged into chromatin which regulates access to DNA binding sites. Chromatin is composed of repeating units, the nucleosomes, each consisting of 146 bp of DNA wrapped around an octamer of histones and linker DNA. The nucleosomes themselves are arranged in fibers that are further folded into loops. Remodelling and opening of the compact chromatin is fulfilled through recruitment of co-activators. They are ATP-dependent chromatin-remodelling complexes that alter the structure, composition and positioning of nucleosomes in a non-covalent manner, thus regulating the accessibility of DNA. Another class of co-activators, histone-modifying complexes, adds or removes covalent modifications (acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and glycosylation) from extending amino-terminal tails of histones. However it is not simply the overall level of, e.g., histone acetylation that controls the condensation of chromatin. Rather the precise amino acids in the tails that are acetylated or otherwise modified constitute a "histone code" that is recognized by specific proteins promoting condensation or decondensation of chromatin (Delgado & Leon, 2006).

B.2.4 Regulatory proteins

The various *cis*-acting elements found in promoters and enhancers are the binding sites for TFs. These regulatory proteins, activators or repressors, are usually present in very small amounts in a cell, but their levels can increase dramatically in response to environmental stimuli. Activators stimulate transcription initiation, whereas repressors mediate down-regulation of a previously active gene.

Transcription factors are modular proteins consisting of a single DNA-binding domain and one or few activation (for activators) or repression (for repressors) domains. The DNA-binding domain targets the regulatory protein to a specific *cis*-acting element in a given promoter or enhancer. TFs can be grouped into families according to the structural motifs of their DNAbinding domains. Some common motifs include the homeodomain, zinc-finger, leucine zipper, basic helix-loop-helix. An activation domain interacts either directly with the general transcription machinery to recruit it to the promoter or alternatively with coactivators. Similarly, repression domains function by interacting with other proteins, however, in contrast to activators, a complex formed inhibits transcription initiation. Activation/repression domains are less conserved than DNA-binding domains; they often have multiple interaction partners. Some TFs also include a regulatory domain, which prevents DNA binding under certain conditions (Lodish et al., 2003a).

Only few TFs have any effect on transcription on their own, first of all, because the affinities of individual factors for the particular DNA are often too low to form a stable complex with DNA. The affinity of binding can be greatly enhanced by cooperative interactions with proteins that recognize adjacent sites. Besides, TFs form homo- or heterodimers, where each monomer has either equivalent or different DNA-binding specificity. A major advantage of these combinatorial modes of action is that a given TF can have different effects, depending on what other proteins are present in the same cell; it also expands the number of DNA sites at which the factor can affect transcription (Carey & Smale, 2000b).

TFs bound at the distal regulatory sequences transmit their signals to the general transcriptional machinery via the mediator, a highly conserved complex of approximately 25 proteins (reviewed in Kornberg, 2005). However, some recent observations indicate that the mediator is not absolutely required for transcription *in vivo* (Fan et al., 2006).

Thus, assembly of PIC depends on multiple protein-DNA and protein-protein interactions. Activation of a gene begins with binding of an activator to a transcription-control region (usually an enhancer). The bound activator recruits chromatin remodeling and histone-modifying complexes which open the chromatin. Once the chromatin is decondensed, further TFs bind to proximal *cis*-acting elements. Subsequently, the mediator complex is recruited to bridge transcriptional activators with the general transcription factors and RNApoIII to form the PIC at the core promoter (Delgado & Leon, 2006).

B.3 Aims of the work

At the time when the experimental work for this thesis was started both the mRNA sequence (Chung et al. 1986; NM_000892) and the exon-intron organization (Yu et al., 2000) of the human PPK (hPPK) gene had been published, for the mouse PPK (mPPK) gene only the mRNA sequence was known (Seidah et al., 1990; NM_008455). Based on the structure of the hPPK gene which consists of 15 exons, the mouse mRNA sequence was assumed to have 15 exons as well. The alignment of the mouse and human PPK mRNAs indicated that the murine exon 1 with 604 bp was 534 bp longer than the human exon 1. This finding evoked the question if the known

human mRNA represented a full-length transcript of the hPPK gene. To examine this aspect, the transcription start sites were determined with RLM-RACE using mRNA from human liver, pancreas, kidney, and testis, the four tissues with the highest PPK mRNA expression (Neth et al., 2001; Neth, 2002). In all four tissues transcription start sites were detected at or near position +1 of the known mRNA, thus proving that the known hPPK represents a full-length transcript (Table B-1). However, in the kidney and testis additional transcription start sites predominantly within intron 1 and exon 2 of the hPPK gene were found. This fact indicated that the segment comprising exon 1, intron 1, and exon 2 might be included in a yet unknown promoter region. Furthermore, this potential alternative promoter might be utilized in a tissue-dependent manner, since the downstream TSSs in intron 1 and exon 2 were identified only in kidney and testis.

Position of TSS		Liver	Pancreas	Kidney	Testis
upstr	-127			1	
upstr	-80				1
upstr	-36			1	
upstr	-27				1
E1	+1	5	3	2	
E1	+3	1			
E1	+4	1			
E1	+6	3	3	1	
I1	136			1	
I1	243			1	
I1	248			1	
I1	265			1	
I1	325				2
I1	334			1	
I1	392				1
I1	436			1	
I1	535				1
E2	+75			1	
E2	+76			2	
E2	+77			1	

Table B-1. Transcription start sites in human liver, pancreas, kidney, and testis.

When the mouse genomic sequence became available, mapping of the mouse mRNA sequence to it showed that what was previously regarded as mouse exon 1 consists of three exons (mE1a, mE1b and mE1c) (Neth et al., 2005). An alignment of the newly identified first two mouse exons to human chromosome 4 revealed two segments of high similarity (hE1a,

TSS, transcription start site; upstr, region upstream to the known mRNA; E1, E2, conventional exons 1 and 2; I1, intron 1. The numbering of positions in the upstream region and in exons 1 and 2 is relative to the 5'-end of the known mRNA sequence; in intron 1 the numbering refers to the 5'-end of intron 1. Numbers in columns 3–6 indicate the number of analyzed clones with the respective transcription start site.

hE1b) upstream of the hPPK gene. This fact raised the assumption that transcripts of the PPK gene containing two additional upstream exons might also exist in human. Conversely, it seemed possible that transcription of the mPPK gene can also be initiated within exon mE1c at start sites analogous to those of human.

Taking into account the versatile functions of PPK synthesized in the various tissues (B.1.5) one may conclude that all steps from transcription of the PPK gene to synthesis of the enzyme and its activation are under careful, tissue-specific control. Up to now, investigations on this issue have been limited to the protein level. Therefore, the principal goal of this work was to get a deeper insight into the molecular mechanisms of the transcriptional control of the hPPK gene. In particular, the following questions should be addressed:

- Are the distal TSSs corresponding to those of mouse PPK used for transcription initiation in human, and are the proximal TSSs corresponding to those of human PPK used for transcription initiation in mouse?
- Are alternative and/or tissue-specific promoters involved in transcriptional regulation of the hPPK gene?
- > What are critical *cis*-acting control elements within the main promoter of the hPPK gene?
- ➤ Which transcription factors are involved in the transcriptional control of the hPPK gene?
- During the characterization of putative alternative promoters of the hPPK gene it was found that one of the alternative promoters when cloned into reporter gene plasmid utilized TSSs within the vector backbone about 2000 bp upstream of the insertion site. This phenomenon of TSS displacement was further investigated.

C MATERIALS AND METHODS

C.1 Materials

C.1.1 Equipment

Balances: Analytic Balance, A 120 S (0-12 g range) Top Pan Balance, 1404 004 (0.01-2220 g range) β-Counter Liquid Scintillation Analyzer, Mod. 2300TR β-γ-Counter, LB122 CO₂-Cell Incubator: Model IG 150 Centrifuges: Eppendorf Centrifuge 5402 (rotor F 45-18-11) Eppendorf Centrifuge 5415C (rotor F 45-18-11) Heraeus Sepatech Megafuge 1.0 R (rotor 3360) Kontron Centrikon H-401 (rotors A6.9, A8.24) Clean Bench: BDK 7419, Mod. UVF 6.18 S Herasafe type HS18/2 **Electroporation System:** Gene Pulser[®] Apparatus, Model No. 1652077 Capacitance Extender, Model No. 1652087 Pulse Controller, Model No. 1652098 Fluorescence Microsocope: Olympus IX50-S8F Gel Dryer: Gel Stab Dryer (Model 224) Refrigerated Condensation Trap (Model KF) Rotary Vane Pump (Model RE 2) Hybridization Oven: Mini - Hybi LightCycler Instrument: LightCyler II PCR Thermal Cycler: Mastercycler gradient Phosphorimager: Storm 840 Plate Luminometer: Safire² Power Supply: Phero-Stab. 500 Pharmacia (Model ECPS 3000/150) Protein Transfer Apparatus: Fastblot B43 PROTEAN[®] II xi Cell SE 250 Mighty Small II Sonifier: Type B12 Spectrophotometer: GeneQuant, Mod. 80-2103-98

Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany Packard, Meriden, CT Berthold Technology, Canada Jouan, Unterhaching, Germany Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany Heraeus Sepatech, München Kontron Instruments, Eching, Germany BDK, Sonnenbühl-Genkingen, Germany Heraeus Instruments, München, Germany Bio-Rad, Ismaning, Germany Bio-Rad, Ismaning, Germany Bio-Rad, Ismaning, Germany Olympus Optical Co., Tokyo, Japan Bio-Rad, Ismaning, Germany Bachofer, Reutlingen, Germany Bachofer, Reutlingen, Germany H.Saur Laborbedarf, Reutlingen Germany Roche, Mannheim, Germany Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA Tecan, Crailsheim, Germany Bachofer, Reutlingen, Germany Pharmacia LKB Biochrom, Cambridge Biometra, Göttingen, Germany Bio-Rad, Ismaning, Germany Hoefer, San Francisco, CA Branson Sonic Power Company, Danburg Pharmacia LKB Biochrom, Cambridge

C.1.2 Chemicals and materials

Chemicals and materials for the molecular biology techniques

Biotin-16-dUTP Blue/Orange 6×Loading Dye dCTP 100bp DNA Ladder DNA Molecular Weight Marker VII DNA Molecular Weight Marker VIII DNA-polymerisation mix GeneRacerTM Core kit GeneRacerTM SuperScriptTM III RT Module LightCycler[®] TaqMan[®] Master MinElute[™] Gel Extraction kit QIAquick[®] II Gel Extraction kit QIAfilterTM Plasmid Midi kit QIAGEN[®] Plasmid Mini kit **QIAshredder**TM QuantiTect[®] Reverse Transcription kit RNeasy[®] Mini kit TOPO TA Cloning[®] kit for Sequencing Universal Probe #11, #20, #42, #56, #60, #139

Enzymes

Klenow Fragment $(3' \rightarrow 5' \text{ exo}^-)$ Platinum[®] *Taq* DNA Polymerase High Fidelity Platinum[®] *Taq* DNA Polymerase Restrictase *Bgl*II Restrictase *Bgl*II Restrictase *Mlu*I Restrictase *Nhe*I Restrictase *Nhe*I Restrictase *Sal*I Restrictase *Sal*I Restrictase *Xba*I RNase/DNase free T4-DNA Ligase T4 Polynucleotide Kinase

DNA and RNA

Human MTC Panel I Human MTC Panel II Human Genomic DNA Human Liver Total RNA Mouse Liver Poly A⁺ RNA Mouse Kidney Poly A⁺ RNA

Cell culture

Dimethylsulfoxide DPBS Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium Roche (Mannheim, Germany) Promega (Mannheim, Germany) Roche (Mannheim, Germany) Promega (Mannheim, Germany) Roche (Mannheim, Germany) Roche (Mannheim, Germany) Amersham Biosciences (Freiburg, Germany) Invitrogen (Karlsruhe, Germany) Invitrogen (Karlsruhe, Germany) Roche (Mannheim, Germany) Qiagen (Hilden, Germany) Invitrogen (Karlsruhe, Germany) Roche (Mannheim, Germany)

NE BioLabs (Frankfurt am Main, Germany) Invitrogen (Karlsruhe, Germany) Invitrogen (Karlsruhe, Germany) NE BioLabs (Frankfurt am Main, Germany) Roche (Mannheim, Germany) NE BioLabs (Frankfurt am Main, Germany)

BD Biosciences (Heidelberg, Germany) BD Biosciences (Heidelberg, Germany) BD Biosciences (Heidelberg, Germany) BioCat GmbH (Heidelberg, Germany) BD Biosciences (Heidelberg, Germany) BD Biosciences (Heidelberg, Germany)

Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) PAN Biotech GmbH (Aidenbach, Germany) Biochrom AG (Berlin, Germany) PAA (Cölbe, Germany) Epidermal Growth Factor Fetal Bovine Serum Insulin-Transferrin-Sodium selenite HAM's F12, with L-Glutamin Hepes-buffer (1M) Hydrocortisone Hygromycin B L-Glutamine Penicillin/Streptomycin RPMI 1640 medium Trypsin/EDTA

Enzyme inhibitors

AEBSF Antipain Aprotinin Benzamidine Chymostatin Leupeptin

Detergents

Deoxycholic acid Nonidet P-40 SDS Triton X-100 Tween 20

Antibodies

NF-1 (H300)X, rabbit polyclonal Anti-HA high affinity, rat monoclonal Anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked antibody HRP-labeled rabbit anti-rat antibody Rabbit Immunoglobulin fraction (normal)

Radioactive chemicals

[γ-³²P] ATP (>6000 Ci/mmol, 10mCi/ml)

Miscellaneous

Ampicillin Bacto-tryptone Bacto-yeast extract Dynabeads[®] M-280 Streptavidin Dynal MPC[®]-S Eppendorf UVette FuGENE 6 Transfection Reagent Glycogen (20mg/ml) Great EscAPe[™] SEAP Detection kit HiPerFect Transfection Reagent HyperFilm ECL Kodak BioMax MS Film (18cm×24cm) Calbiochem (Darmstadt, Germany) PAN Biotech GmbH (Aidenbach, Germany) Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany) Gibco (Paisley, Scotland, UK) Biochrom AG (Berlin, Germany) Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany) PAA (Cölbe, Germany) PAN Biotech GmbH (Aidenbach, Germany) Gibco (Paisley, Scotland, UK) PAN Biotech GmbH (Aidenbach, Germany) PAN Biotech GmbH (Aidenbach, Germany)

Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany) Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany) Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany) Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany) Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany) Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany)

Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany) Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany) Serva (Heidelberg, Germany) Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany) Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany)

Santa Cruz (Heidelberg, Germany) Roche (Mannheim, Germany) Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA USA) DAKO (Glostrup, Denmark) DAKO (Glostrup, Denmark)

Hartmann Analytic (Braunschweig, Germany)

Roche (Mannheim, Germany) Difco (Detroit, MI USA) Difco (Detroit, MI USA) Dynal Biotech (Hamburg, Germany) Dynal Biotech (Hamburg, Germany) Eppendorf (Hamburg, Germany) Roche (Mannheim, Germany) Fermentas (St. Leon-Rot, Germany) BD Biosciences (Heidelberg, Germany) Qiagen (Hilden, Germany) Amersham Biosciences (Freiburg, Germany) FS Medical Imaging GmbH (Jena, Germany) LightCyclerTM Capillaries Lumi-Light^{PLUS} Western Blotting Substrate Luminescent β -galactosidase Detection kit II MACS[®] MultiStand MACS[®] Separation Columns (µ columns) µMACS[™] Separation Unit µMACS Protein A MicroBeads Micro BCATM protein Assay Reagent MicroSpinTM G-25 Columns MicroSpin[™] S-200 HR Columns Nitrocellulose Transfer Membrane PROTRAN Nuclear Extract kit NucTrap Probe Purification Column Nunc 96F White Maxisorp MicroWell Plates $Poly(dI-dC) \cdot Poly(dI-dC)$ Precision Plus Protein Kaleidoscope Standards Proteinase K (20mg/ml) Push Column Beta Shield Device Rotiphorese Gel 40 (37.5:1) Streptavidin TranSignal[™] Protein/DNA Array I, II, III

Services

DNA oligonucleotide synthesis DNA sequencing MWG (Ebersberg, Germany) MediGenomix (Martinsried, Germany)

BioCat GmbH (Heidelberg, Germany)

Roche (Mannheim, Germany)

Roche (Mannheim, Germany)

Pierce (Bonn, Germany)

BD Biosciences (Heidelberg, Germany)

Miltenyi Biotec (Bergisch Gladbach, Germany)

Amersham Biosciences (Freiburg, Germany)

Amersham Biosciences (Freiburg, Germany)

Schleicher & Schuell (Dassel, Germany)

Stratagene (Amsterdam, The Netherlands)

Amersham Biosciences (Freiburg, Germany)

Stratagene (Amsterdam, The Netherlands) Carl Roth GmbH (Karlsruhe, Germany)

Active Motif (Rixensart, Belgium)

Nunc (Wiesbaden, Germany)

Bio-Rad (Ismaning, Germany)

Sigma (Taufkirchen, Germany)

Fermentas (St. Leon-Rot, Germany)

The antibodies that are not listed above were purchased from Santa Cruz (Heidelberg, Germany) and are summarized in Table C-13.

All other reagents were of analytical grade and are commercially available from Merck, Sigma or Roth.

For the detailed content of individual reagents and/or solutions in quotes one has to refer to the respective kit.

C.1.3 Strains and cell lines

C.1.3.1 E. coli strains

E. coli Top10 (Invitrogen)

Genotype: $F^{-}mcrA \Delta(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) \phi 80lacZ\Delta M15 \Delta lacX74 recA1 araD139 \Delta(ara-leu)7697 galU galK rpsL (Str^R) endA1 nupG$

E. coli **B834(DE3)** (Novagen)

Genotype: F - ompT hsdS B (r B - m B -) gal dcm met
C.1.3.2 Mammalian cell lines

<u>HepG2</u>

Cell type:	Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma
Collected from:	Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen
DSMZ Nr.:	ACC 180
Origin:	tumor tissue of a 15-year-old Argentine boy with hepatocellular carcinoma
Cytogenetics:	human hyperdiploid karyotype: 52(47-54)<2n>XY, +2, +14, +17, +20,
	+2mar, t(1;21) (p22.2;p11-12), i(17q)/der(17)t(17;17)(p11;q11)

Flp-InTM T-RExTM-293 (Invitrogen)

Cell type:	Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) cells			
Collected from:	American Type Culture Collection			
ATCC Nr.:	CRL-1573			
Origin:	293 human embryonic kidney cells			
Cytogenetics:	hypotriploid human karyotype: der(1)t(1;15) (q42;q13), der(19)t(3;19)			
	(q12;q13), der(12)t(8;12) (q22;p13)			

This cell line contains a single stably integrated FRT site at a transcriptional active genomic locus.

<u>IHKE1</u>

Cell type:	Immortalized Human Kidney Epithelial
Origin:	fetal human kidneys, proximal tubules
Cytogenetics:	human triploid karyotype

The IHKE1 cell line (Tveito et al., 1989; Jessen et al., 1994) was kindly provided by Prof. Dr. Eberhard Schlatter (Münster, Germany).

C.1.4 Vectors

C.1.4.1 pSEAP2-Basic

pSEAP2-Basic vector (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) allows for expression of the reporter gene secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP). This vector lacks eukaryotic promoter and enhancer sequences and has a multiple cloning site (MCS) that allows putative promoter DNA fragments to be inserted upstream of the SEAP gene (Figure C-1). Enhancers can be cloned into either the MCS or unique downstream sites. The SEAP coding sequence is followed by the simian virus 40 (SV40) late polyadenylation signal to ensure proper, efficient processing of the SEAP transcript in eukaryotic cells. A synthetic transcription blocker (TB), composed of adjacent polyadenylation and transcription pause sites, located upstream of the MCS reduces background transcription. The vector backbone also contains an f1 origin for single-stranded DNA production, a pUC origin of replication, and an ampicillin resistance gene for propagation and selection in *E. coli*. (From instruction manual.)

Figure C-1. Restriction map and multiple cloning site of pSEAP2-Basic.

Unique restriction sites are in bold. Multiple cloning site: 1–72 Secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) gene: 75–1636 SV40 late mRNA polyadenylation signal: 1750–1769 pUC plasmid replication origin: 2148–2791 Ampicillin resistance gene: 3869–2942 f1 single-strand DNA origin (packages the coding strand of SEAP): 3931–4386 Transcription blocker: 4517–4670



C.1.4.2 pSEAP2-Control

pSEAP2-Control vector (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) is a positive control vector. It has the same backbone as the pSEAP2-Basic vector with the exception of the SV40 early promoter and the SV40 enhancer that are inserted upstream and downstream of the SEAP gene,

respectively (Figure C-2). Fusion of the strong viral promoter and the enhancer to the SEAP reporter gene allows controlling transfection efficiency of cells.



C.1.4.3 pCR4-TOPO vector

The pCR4-TOPO vector (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) allows a highly efficient, 5 minute, one-step cloning strategy for direct insertion of *Taq* polymerase-amplified PCR products into plasmid backbone for sequencing. *Taq* polymerase has a nontemplate-dependent terminal transferase activity, which adds a single deoxyadenosine (A) to the 3' ends of PCR products. In its turn, the linearized pCR4-TOPO vector has single, overhanging 3' deoxythymidine (T) residues (Figure C-3). This allows PCR inserts to ligate efficiently with the vector.

Besides, the pCR4-TOPO (referred to as "activated" vector) contains a covalently bound topoisomerase. Topoisomerase I from *Vaccinia* virus binds to duplex DNA at specific sites and cleaves the phosphodiester backbone after 5'-CCCTT in one strand (Shuman, 1991). The energy from the broken phosphodiester backbone is conserved by formation of a covalent bond between the 3' phosphate of the cleaved strand and a tyrosyl residue (Tyr-274) of topoisomerase I. The phosphor-tyrosyl bond between the DNA and enzyme can subsequently be attacked by the 5' hydroxyl of the original cleaved strand, reversing the reaction and releasing topoisomerase (Shuman, 1994). TOPO cloning exploits this reaction to efficiently clone PCR products (Figure C-4).

Figure C-3. pCR4-TOPO vector's sequence surrounding the TOPO cloning site labeled with vector features and restriction sites.

lac promoter region: 2–216 Start of transcription: 179 M13 Reverse priming site: 205–221 LacZ α -*ccdB* gene fusion: 217–810 T3 priming site: 243–262 TOPO cloning site: 294-295 T7 priming site: 328-347 M13 Forward (-20) priming site: 355-370 Kanamycin promoter: 1021-1070 Kanamycin resistance gene: 1159-1953 Ampicillin (*bla*) resistance gene: 2309-3061 (c) Ampicillin (*bla*) promoter: 3062-3158 (c) pUC origin: bases 3159-3832 (c) = complementary strand



Finally, pCR4-TOPO allows direct selection of recombinants via disruption of the lethal *E. coli* gene, *ccdB*. The vector contains the *ccdB* gene fused to the C-terminus of the LacZ α fragment. Ligation of a PCR product disrupts expression of the *lacZ\alpha-ccdB* gene fusion permitting growth of only positive recombinants upon transformation in competent *E. coli* cells. Cells that contain non-recombinant vector are killed upon plating; therefore, blue/white screening is not required. (From instruction manual, modified.)



Figure C-4. Outline of the TOPO cloning strategy.

C.1.4.4 Flp-In expression system

С

The pcDNA5/FRT expression vector and the pOG44 plasmid along with a cell line containing a single integrated <u>Flp Recombination Target</u> (FRT) site are three main components of the Flp-InTM system (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany). The main advantage of this system is the possibility to generate isogenic, inducible stable cell lines.



The pcDNA5/FRT plasmid (Figure C-5) contains the gene of interest under the control of a human cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter. The vector also contains the hygromycin resistance gene with a FRT site embedded in the 5' coding region. Noticeably, the hygromycin resistance gene lacks a promoter and the ATG initiation codon. The pOG44 plasmid (Figure C-6) constitutively expresses the Flp recombinase under the control of the human CMV promoter. Flp recombinase is a member of the integrase family of recombinases, which mediates a site-specific recombination reaction between interacting DNA molecules via pairing of interacting FRT sites.

Figure C-6. Schematic representation of the pOG44 vector.

CMV promoter: 234-821 Synthetic intron: 871-1175 FLP gene: 1202-2473 SV40 early polyadenylation signal: 2597-2732 pUC origin: 3327-3993 Ampicillin resistance gene: 4138-4998



The principle of the method is outlined on the Figure C-7. pOG44 plasmid and the pcDNA5/FRT vector with a gene of interest are co-transfected into the Flp-InTM T-RExTM-293 cell line. Upon cotransfection, the Flp recombinase expressed from pOG44 mediates a homologous recombination between the FRT sites (integrated into the genome and on pcDNA5/FRT). As a result the pcDNA5/FRT construct is inserted into the genome at the integrated FRT site, thus bringing the SV40 promoter and the ATG initiation codon (integrated in the Flp-InTM cell line) into proximity and frame with the hygromycin resistance gene. Thus, stable Flp-InTM expression cell lines can be selected for hygromycin resistance.



Figure C-7. Diagram of the Flp-In[™] system.

pUC ori: replication origin. Ampicillin: ampicillin resistance gene. SV40 pA: simian virus polyadenylation sites. P_{CMV} : cytomegalovirus promoter. GOI: gene of interest. T7: T7 promoter and binding site. BGH pA and SV40 pA: bovine growth hormone and simian virus polyadenylation sites, respectively.

C.1.4.5 NF1 expression vectors

The NF1 expression vectors were a generous gift from Ph.D. Richard M. Gronostajski, the State University of New York at Buffalo, New York, USA. The vectors are:

- pCH expressing no protein;
- pCHNFI-A1.1 expressing HA-tagged mouse NF1-A1.1 protein;
- pCHNFI-B2 expressing HA-tagged mouse NF1-B2 protein;
- pCHNFI-C2 expressing HA-tagged mouse NF1-C2 protein;
- pCHNFI-X2 expressing HA-tagged mouse NF1-X2 protein.

The parent pCMV vector from which the beta-gal gene was deleted is from MacGregor and Caskey (1989). The maps of the sequences can be downloaded from http://elegans.swmed.edu/Worm_labs/Gronostajski/vectorlink.html.

C.1.5 Computer programs

- Adobe Acrobat Writer 5.0 (Adobe Systems Incorporated)
- Chromas 1.45 (Conor McCarthy)
- Clone Manager Professional Suite 8 Users (Scientific & Educational Software)
- Photoshop 7.0, CS (Adobe Systems Incorporated)
- Prism 3.03 (GraphPad Software Incorporated)
- Reference Manager 10 (ISI ResearchSoft)

C.2 Methods

C.2.1 Molecular biological methods

C.2.1.1 Polymerase chain reaction

DNA fragments were amplified and mutagenized by polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Primers were designed by the Clone Manager Professional Suite program. The default criteria for PCR primer design were: GC content between 40 and 60 %; Tm values between 55 and 80 °C (Freier et al., 1986); runs of less than 4 identical bases or repeats of less than 3 dinucleotide pairs; any dimers and dimers involving the 3' end of a primer less than 7 or 3 bases, respectively; one or two G's or C's at the 3' end of the primer and the 5' end to be at least 1.2 kcal more stable than the 3' end (SantaLucia energy parameters) (SantaLucia, 1998). If a search produced no results, less stringent parameters were used. The designed primers were synthesized by MWG (Ebersberg, Germany). In all reactions (apart from PCR screening of *E. coli* clones; C.2.1.13) *Pfu* polymerase was used.

C.2.1.2 Design of PPK promoter constructs

Fragments of the putative promoter regions of the PPK gene were generated by nested PCR.

Final constructs – PPK promoter regions in pSEAP2-Basic vector – were named according to the position of the respective promoter region relative to the published transcription initiation site: *Prox* for proximal, *Dist* for distal, *E1i1E2* for exon 1 + intron 1 + exon 2, and *Joint* for the joint promoters *Prox* and *E1i1E2*.

Prox promoter and its deletion variant D3 were synthesized previously; they correspond to -668/+22 and -152/+22, respectively, in Neth et al., 2005.

Eli1E2, *Joint*, *Dist1*, *Dist2*, and *Dist3* as well as *Int1* promoter and its deletion variants $(Int1_D2 - Int1_D9 \text{ and } Int1_D(1-13))$ were amplified by PCR using the respective primer pairs (Table C-1). *Int1_D10 - Int1_D13* and *Int1_D13_mut1 - Int1_D13_mut4* fragments were synthesized as plus and minus DNA strands with overhangs (Table C-1) and then annealed. The resulting inserts contained 5'- and 3'-protruding ends representing *Mlu*I and *Nhe*I restriction half sites.

Promoter designation	Position	Size, bp	Primers	Sequence
		736	E1a-1 of4128	TTCTTCTTTGAAGGGTATTTGATGGA
Dist1	7904569-7905304		E1a-or71	GCCAGCAGAAAGGGCACGTAG
			E1a-if-Mlu4569	<u>ACGCGT</u> AGTACCTTGATCCACGTGTTCTTTG
			E1a-ir-Nhe5304	<u>GCTAGC</u> GCATATGGATGGCGCCCTTG
		782	E1a-1 of4128	TTCTTCTTTGAAGGGTATTTGATGGA
Dist2	7904304-7905085		E1a-or71	GCCAGCAGAGAAGGGCACGTAG
2.000			E1a-1 if-Mlu4304	ACGCGT TGAAGGGTATTTGATGCGTATTTG
			E1a-1 ir-Nhe5085	<u>GCTAGC</u> GGTATAGCATACTTACCCACTTCAC
Dist3	7900321–7901170	850	UpE1a-2 of196	TCAAATGGGTGGGAAAGAAGG
			UpE1a-2 or1231	ATGCTGCGATGATTAGGAGTG
			E(1a-2) if-Mlu321	<u>ACGCGT</u> AGACGCTACGCTGGCGTAAGAC
			E(1a-2) ir-Nhe1170	<u>GCTAGC</u> GCACTGTGACTGCAAAC
			E(1a-2)-if-SalI	<u>GTCGAC</u> AGACGCTACGCTGGCGTAAGAC
			E(1a-2)-ir-SalI	<u>GTCGAC</u> GCACTGTGACTGCAAAC
E1i1E2			P-I1-of-878	GTTGGCAGAAACCCAAAG
	7923578-7924330	753	P-I1-or-1776	CCCGAAGCTGTATGTTCA
	1723310 1721330		I1-if-Mlu929	<u>ACGCGT</u> GTGCCACATTAGAACAGC
			I1-ir-Nhe1682	<u>GCTAGC</u> CACTTACCACAGGAAACT

Table C-1. Primers used to generate PPK promoter constructs.

Ω

Promoter designation	Position	Size, bp	Primers	Sequence
Joint	7922920-7924330	1411	PPK-F-1034	<u>ACGCGT</u> GCTAACGTGGAGGCTAGATAGA
			I1-ir-Nhe1682	<u>GCTAGC</u> CACTTACCACAGGAAACT
Int1	7923658-7924264	607	I1-if-start-MluI	ACGCGTGTAGCAAATTTTTATTATTCTGATTGT
			I1full-rev-NheI	<u>GCTAGC</u> CTAAAAAAGAAAACAATACATAAC
Int1_D2	7923817-7924264	448	I1full-f-23817-MluI	ACGCGTGATTTTAAATCAGAAAGAATATTTG
Int1_D3	7923956-7924264	309	I1full-f-23956MluI	<u>ACGCGT</u> GAGGTTTCATAATTGTTTACTCTCTCTAC
Int1_D4	7924028-7924264	237	I1full-f-24028-MluI	<u>ACGCGT</u> GAACAATAAACACAACAGTAG
Int1_D5	7924096–7924264	169	I1full-f-24096-MluI	<u>ACGCGT</u> CTCCCTAGACACAAATGTACC
Int1_D6	7924128-7924264	137	I1full-f-24128-MluI	<u>ACGCGT</u> CTTGTTTTCTATACCAGTAATTG
Int1_D7	7924164–7924264	102	I1full-f-24164-MluI	<u>ACGCGT</u> GCAATTCAGACATTTACAAG
Int1_D8	7924197–7924264	68	I1full-f-24197-MluI	<u>ACGCGT</u> CCTTAAATTAAGATTTATGTTAAATG
Int1_D9	7924228-7924264	37	I1full-f-24228-MluI	ACGCGTTAAAACCAGCAGAGTTATG
Int1 D10	7924234-7924264	31	Int1_D10 MluI plus	\underline{CGCGT} CAGCAGAGTTATGTATTGTTTTCTTTTTAG \underline{G}
			Int1_D10 NheI minus	<u>CTAGC</u> CTAAAAAAGAAAACAATACATAACTCTGCTG <u>A</u>
Int1 D11	7924240-7924264	25	Int1_D11 MluI plus	<u>CGCGT</u> AGTTATGTATTGTTTTCTTTTTAG <u>G</u>
_			Int1_D11 NheI minus	<u>CTAGC</u> CTAAAAAAGAAAACAATACATAACT <u>A</u>
Int1_D12	7924246-7924264	19	Int1_D12 MluI plus	<u>CGCGT</u> GTATTGTTTTCTTTTTAG <u>G</u>
			Int1_D12 NheI minus	<u>CTAGC</u> CTAAAAAAGAAAACAATAC <u>A</u>

Ω

Promoter designation	Position	Size, bp	Primers	Sequence
Int1 D13	7924252-7924264	13	Int1_D13 MluI plus	<u>CGCGT</u> TTTTCTTTTTAG <u>G</u>
			Int1_D13 NheI minus	<u>CTAGC</u> CTAAAAAAGAAAA <u>A</u>
Int1 D(1-13)	7923658-7924251	594	I1-if-start-MluI	<u>ACGCGT</u> GTAGCAAATTTTTATTATTCTGATTGT
(=)			I1-24251-rev-NheI	<u>GCTAGC</u> CAATACATAACTCTGCTGGTTTTAGAC
Int1 D13 mut1	7924252-7924264	13	Int1_D13 mut1 plus	<u>CGCGT</u> gggTCTTTTTAG <u>G</u>
			Int1_D13 mut1 minus	<u>CTAGC</u> CTAAAAAAGAcccc <u>A</u>
Int1 D13 mut2 7924252–7924264		13	Int1_D13 mut2 plus	<u>CGCGT</u> TTT gag TTTTTAG <u>G</u>
			Int1_D13 mut2 minus	<u>CTAGC</u> CTAAAAActcAAA <u>A</u>
Int1 D13 mut3 7924252–7924264		13	Int1_D13 mut3 plus	<u>CGCGT</u> TTTTCT ggg TTAG <u>G</u>
			Int1_D13 mut3 minus	<u>CTAGC</u> CTAA ccc AGAAAA <u>A</u>
Int1 D13 mut4 7924252-7924264		13	Int1_D13 mut4 plus	<u>CGCGT</u> TTTTCTTTT ggct <u>G</u>
	///	10	Int1_D13 mut4 minus	<u>CTAGC</u> agccAAAAGAAAA <u>A</u>

The position numbers for the PPK fragments refer to the contig NT_022792.12. For the $Int1_D2 - Int1_D9$ constructs only the respective forward primers are indicated; the reverse primer for all mentioned fragments is the same as for Int1, namely, Ilfull-rev-NheI. The mutated nucleotides are lower case and in bold. Enzyme recognition sequences are italicized and underlined. For the $Int1_D10 - Int1_D13$ constructs and the mutants of the $Int1_D13$ construct plus and minus DNA-strand sequences contain five nucleotides of the respective enzyme recognition site at the 5'-end and a single nucleotide of the second enzyme recognition site at the 3'-end so that after annealing these fragments were ready for T4-ligation.

 \cap

Mixtures for the first and nested PCR were set up according to Table C-2.

Component	Final concentration	Volume
"10×PCR Buffer, Minus Mg"	1×	5 µl
10 mM dNTP mixture	0.2 mM	1 µl
50 mM MgSO ₄	2.0 mM	2 µl
$10 \mu M$ forward primer	0.2 μΜ	1 µl
10 µM reverse primer	0.2 μΜ	1 µl
Platinum [®] Taq DNA Polymerase	1.0 unit	0.2 µl
Template	-	1µ1
H ₂ O	-	38.8 µl

Table C-2. Composition of the PCR mixture used to amplify putative promoter regions.

In the first PCR *E1i1E2*, *Int1*, *Joint*, *Dist1*, *Dist2*, and *Dist3* regions were amplified using as a template human genomic DNA (BD Biosciences). In the second PCR 1µl of the respective 1. PCR mixture (1:10 dilution) served as a template. The PCR was carried out using the following thermal profile:

Denaturation	94 °C	2 min
Cycle $(35 \times)$		
Denaturation	94 °C	15 sec
Annealing	$Tm - 2 \ ^{\circ}C \ (x)$	60 sec
Elongation	68 °C	60 sec/1000 bp
Elongation	68 °C	5 min
Storage	4 °C	∞

(¤) Tm refers to the primer with the lowest melting temperature used within a single PCR experiment

Ethanol precipitation of PCR products. Due to regions of high sequence similarity in the putative distal promoter regions several PCR products were visualized by agarose gel electrophoresis. To isolate the products with the expected size, two identical reaction mixtures obtained by PCR were pooled and mixed with 3 M sodium acetate and absolute ethanol in a ratio of 10:1:25, respectively. After 30 min centrifugation at 14,000 rpm at 4 °C the supernatant was removed, and the pellet washed with 1 ml of 70 % ethanol followed by a second centrifugation. The pellet was air-dried for 1-2 minutes and dissolved in 10 μ l of the elution buffer (EB) (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5). The PCR products were visualized by agarose gel electrophoresis (C.2.1.6) and bands with the expected size extracted from the gel using 10 μ l of the EB (C.2.1.7).

 $Int1_D2 - Int1_D9$ and $Int1_D(1-13)$ deletion variants were synthesized in a single PCR according to the same protocol using Int1 fragment cloned into pSEAP2-Basic vector as a template. 20 µl of each reaction mixture were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, the PCR product was extracted from the gel and eluted in 10 µl of the EB (C.2.1.6, C.2.1.7).

Finally, the PCR products were cloned into pCR4-TOPO vector (C.2.1.9) and sequenced. Inserts with the correct sequence were cut out with *Mlu*I and *Nhe*I restriction enzymes, purified and recloned into linearized pSEAP2-Basic vector (C.2.1.5 – C.2.1.8).

Prox_Enh_f, Prox_Enh_r and *Eli1E2_Enh_f, Eli1E2_Enh_r* constructs represented *Prox* and *Eli1E2* SEAP-plasmids, respectively, with the *Dist3* promoter cloned in forward or reverse orientation downstream to the SEAP gene. Briefly, *SalI* cohesive ends were added to the *Dist3* promoter in a PCR using E(1a-2)-if-SalI and E(1a-2)-ir-SalI primers and *Dist3* in pSEAP2-vector as a template according to Table C-2. The PCR product was cloned into pCR4-TOPO vector and sequenced. The *Dist3* insert was cut out with *SalI* enzyme, purified and recloned into *Prox* and *Eli1E2* SEAP-constructs linearized with *SalI*. Restriction mapping was employed to distinguish *Prox(Eli1E2)_Enh* plasmids with the *Dist3* fragment cloned in forward or reverse orientation (*f* and *r*, respectively).

Int1_D10 – Int1_D13 fragments and mutants of *Int1_D13* were generated by annealing corresponding plus and minus DNA-strands:

5 μl strand plus (100 pmol/μl)
5 μl strand minus (100 pmol/μl)
5 μl 200 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.4], 500 mM KCl
35 μl H₂O.

The annealing process was started by incubating the mixture for 10 min at 95 °C, then the temperature was reduced every 15 min by 5 °C to 25 °C, the incubation was continued overnight. 7.5 μ l of an annealing mixture were taken for ligation into linearized pSEAP2-Basic vector (C.2.1.8).

The promoter activity was measured in the SEAP assay (C.2.3.1) after transient transfection of the respective plasmid into mammalian cells (C.2.2.3).

C.2.1.3 Amplification of novel exons in the 5'-UTR of the human PPK gene

The 5'-end of the human PPK gene was amplified in two rounds of PCR using cDNA from liver, kidney, testis, and pancreas (Human MTC Panel I and II, BD Bioscience) as templates. Mixtures for the first and nested PCR were set up according to Table C-2. The following forward and reverse primers were used in the first and second PCRs, respectively: E1a-f18 (5'-

CCCGAGAATGCACAAGGG-3') and E1-r56 (5'-GCTTCTTGGAGGTGAGTCTCTT-3'); E1af44 (5'-ATATGCCTACGTGCCCTTCTC-3') and E1-r21 (5'-GAACGGTCTTCAAGCTGTTC-3'). Two additional rounds of PCR were performed using cDNA from liver (BioCat) and the following forward and reverse primers in the first and nested PCRs, respectively: E1a-f18 and E1L-ir136 (5'-AACGGTCTTCAAGCTGTTCTAATGTG-3'); E1a-f44 and E1L-ir75 (5'-GACTTTGGGTTTCTGCCAACAGTTT-3'). The amplified PCR products were cloned into pCR4-TOPO vector (C.2.1.9); obtained plasmids were propagated in *E. coli* (C.2.1.12), purified (C.2.1.14) and eventually sequenced.

C.2.1.4 Site-directed mutagenesis

For the site-directed mutagenesis of the PPK *Prox* promoter a megaprimer method was employed (Sambrook & Russel, 2001). This method uses two rounds of PCR with three oligonucleotide primers and one template cloned into two different vectors. The first round of PCR is performed with a mutagenic primer containing desired base substitutions and with a primer 1 that is complementary to the vector 1 flanking sequence, but cannot bind to the vector 2 (Figure C-8A). The product of this PCR – a megaprimer – is purified and used in the second round of PCR along with a primer 2. Utilization of the template cloned into different vector (vector 2) and in parallel inclusion of the primer 1 into the reaction mixture leads preferably to amplification of the newly synthesized mutated DNA over the wild-type template (Figure C-8B).



Figure C-8. The principle of the megaprimer method. A. Production of a megaprimer. **B.** Production of a mutated product.

Name	Position	Sequence (5'-3')	
Т7	347-328 pCR4-TOPO	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG	
pSEAP _fPr	4648-4669 pSEAP2-Basic	TGCAGGTGCCAGAACATTTCTC	
IM01_Pr	7923472-7923433	GCTGTTAAGTATATGCCAGCTTTCAGGGAGTGATGTCAGC	
IM02_Pr	7923481-7923441	CCGTGTTCAGCTGTTAATGCGCGTAACTATTTCAGGGAGTG	
IM03_Pr	7923486-7923445	CCTATCCGTGTTCAGCGTGGCCGTATATTAACTATTTCAGGG	
IM04_Pr	7923496-7923455	CCATGAACATCCTATCCGTGGGGACTA TGTTAAGTATATTAAC	
IM05_Pr	7923496-7923464	CCATGAACATCCTAGAATGTTTCAGCTGTTAAG	
IM06_Pr	7923538-7923573	CCCAAAGTCAA <u>GCG</u> TG <u>CCT</u> CCAAGCAAAATATTGCC	
IM09_Pr	7923418-7923455	CCTATTCCTATTCTTGCGTCACGCACTCCCTGAAATAG	
IM10_Pr	7923424-7923464	CCTATTCTTGCTGACATACAGAACTGAAATAGTTAATATAC	
IM11_Pr	7923433-7923472	GCTGACATCACTCCAGTCCCTAGTTAATATACTTAACAGC	
IM12_Pr	7923469-7923505	CAGCTGAACACGGAGCTTCGGGATATGTTG	
IM13_Pr	7923477-7923510	CACGGATAGGATTGGACGGGAATATGTTGACAGG	
IM14_Pr	7923479-7923512	CGGATAGGATGTTCAT <u>TTCCGC</u> TGTTGACAGGAC	
IM15_Pr	7923485-7923521	GGATGTTCATGGAATAGTGGTCCAGGACAAAAAGTTG	
IM16_Pr	7923485-7923527	GGATGTTCATGGAATATGTTGA <u>ACTTCA</u> AAAAAGTTGAAACTG	
IM17_Pr	7923502-7923532	GTTGACAGGACACCCCTTTGAAACTGTTGGC	
IM18_Pr	7923509-7923544	GGACAAAAAG <u>GGTCCC</u> CTGTTGGCAGAAACCCAAAG	
IM19_Pr	7923509-7923544	GGACAAAAAGTTGAAA <u>AGTGGT</u> GCAGAAACCCAAAG	
IM20_Pr	7923518-7923549	GTTGAAACTGTTG <u>TACTCC</u> ACCCAAAGTCAAT	
IM21_Pr	7923525-7923561	CTGTTGGCAGAACCAGTCAATATTGAAGCCAAG	
IM22_Pr	7923530-7923562	GGCAGAAACCCAACCTATTGAAGCCAAGC	
IM23_Pr	7923544-7923580	GTCAATATTGAAG <u>AACCT</u> CAAAATATTGCCTGCAGTG	
IM24_Pr	7923553-7923582	GAAGCCAAGACCCCTATTGCCTGCAGTGCC	
IM25_Pr	7923553-7923589	GAAGCCAAGCAAAATCCGGTAAGGCAGTGCCACATTAG	
IM26_Pr	7923557-7923595	CCAAGCAAAATATTGCCT <u>TACTGTA</u> CACATTAGAACAGC	
IM27_Pr	7923569-7923601	TTGCCTGCAGTGCACACGGAGAACAGCTTGAAG	
IM28_Pr	7923578-7923611	GTGCCACATTAG <u>C</u> A <u>AGCGA</u> TGAAGACCGTTCAGC	

Table C-3. Primers used in site-directed mutagenesis.

The position numbers for mutagenic primers refer to the contig NT_022792.12 Mutated nucleotides are in bold and underlined.

The mutagenic primers were 35-40 bases long; and the mutated region, typically 5-7 bases in length, was located in the middle of the primer (Table C-3). As a general rule, bases were exchanged according to $C \leftrightarrow A$, $G \leftrightarrow T$, thus each substitution introduced a transversion.

The first PCR with the mutagenic internal primer and the first flanking primer (Table C-3) was performed according to Table C-4.

Component	Final concentration	Volume
"10×PCR Buffer, Minus Mg"	1×	5 µl
10 mM dNTP mixture	0.2 mM	1 µl
50 mM MgSO ₄	2.0 mM	2 µl
10 μM T7 primer	0.2 µM	1 µl
10 µM mutagenic primer	0.2 µM	1 µl
Platinum [®] Taq DNA Polymerase	1.0 unit	0.2 µl
Prox in pCR4-TOPO	0.5 µg	-
H ₂ O	-	to 50 µl

Table C-4. Composition of the PCR mixture used for megaprimer production.

Thermal cycling program:

Denaturation	94 °C	2 min
Cycle (25 \times)		
Denaturation	94 °C	30 sec
Annealing	$Tm - 2 \ ^{\circ}C \ (x)$	30 sec
Elongation	68 °C	60 sec/1000 bp
Elongation	68 °C	5 min
Storage	$4 \ ^{\circ}C$	∞

(¤) Tm refers to the primer with the lowest melting temperature used within a single PCR experiment

The product of this PCR - the megaprimer - was purified as described in section C.2.1.7 and eluted in 10 μ l of the EB. The reaction mixture of the PCR 2 was set up according to Table C-5. The final PCR product containing the desired mutation was purified by agarose gel electrophoresis (C.2.1.7). 9 μ l of the eluted fragment was incubated with *Mlu*I and *Nhe*I enzymes overnight (C.2.1.5) again followed by agarose gel separation and DNA extraction. Finally, the derived fragment with cohesive ends was ligated with linearized pSEAP2-Basic × (*MluI/ Nhe*I) plasmid followed by cloning and sequencing (C.2.1.8 - C.2.1.15).

Component	Final concentration		Volume
"10×PCR Buffer, Minus Mg"	1×		5 µl
10 mM dNTP mixture	0.2 mM		1 µl
50 mM MgSO ₄	2.0 mM		2 µl
10 pM T7 primer	0.2 pM		1 μl
10 µM pSEAP_fPr	0.2 μM		1 μl
Megaprimer	-		5 μl
Platinum [®] Taq DNA Polymerase	1.0 unit		0.2 μl
<i>Prox</i> in pSEAP2-Basic	0.5 µg		-
H_2O	-		to 50 µl
Thermal cycling prog	<u>cam:</u>		
Denaturation	94 °C 2 r	nin	
$Cycle (35 \times)$			
Denaturation	94 °C 30	sec	
Annealing	<i>53 °C 60</i>	sec	
Elongation	68 °C 70	sec	
Elongation	68 °C 5 r	nin	
Storage	<i>4</i> ° <i>C</i> ∞		

Table C-5. Composition of the PCR mixture for mutant production.

Originally, five first mutations (IM01 - IM05) were introduced into D3 construct and afterwards into the Prox promoter. To minimize the size of the megaprimer for these five mutants, the first PCR was carried out with the pSEAP_fPr flanking primer as being the closest to the position of the introduced mutations. Accordingly, in the first PCR the template was D3(or later Prox) in pSEAP2-Basic and D3 (Prox) in pCR4-TOPO in the second PCR. Therefore, the respective mutagenic primers had a reverse orientation in comparison with the rest.

The Prox promoter in pSEAP2-Basic vector with an introduced mutation was designated *IM##*, where **IM** stands for the inserted mutation and ## for the number of a respective mutation. In the case of five mutations within the D3 region the principle was similar: D3_IM0#.

C.2.1.5 DNA cleavage with restriction endonucleases

Plasmids of interest were cleaved by restriction enzymes either to check the size of an insert, or to cut out a desired DNA fragment, or to linearize a vector. Optimal reaction conditions were chosen depending on the respective restriction endonuclease according to the supplier's information. The reaction mixture was set up on ice according to the general protocol below.

Depending on the downstream applications DNA was digested at 37 °C for about 2 h or overnight.

Protocol for DNA restriction				
DNA	2 - 10 µg			
Restrictase(s)	à 10 units			
10×Buffer	2 µl			
100×BSA (if needed)	0.2 µl			
H ₂ O	to 20 µl			

C.2.1.6 Agarose gel electrophoresis

Agarose was dissolved in TAE buffer (40 mM Tris–acetate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) in a microwave oven. The final concentration of agarose was 0.5 - 2.5 % depending on the size of DNA fragments to be separated. Ethidium bromide was added to a final concentration of 1 µg/ml for later visualization of the DNA under UV light. To control the separation during the run, the samples were mixed with 6×Loading Dye (Qiagen) in a 5:1 ratio. Electrophoretic separation was achieved in TAE buffer at RT for about 1 h at 140 V. Bands were visualized by UV light (312 nm), and the size of the fragments was determined by comparing their mobility with that of DNA standards. If needed, UV illumination was carried out as short as possible to avoid DNA degradation.

C.2.1.7 Extraction of DNA fragments from low-melting agarose gel

After separation of the DNA fragments by agarose gel electrophoresis the band corresponding to the fragment of interest was isolated using either MinEluteTM or QIAEX[®] II gel extraction kits (for DNA fragments shorter or longer than 4 kbp, respectively). MinElute column uses a specialized silica-gel membrane, whereas the QIAEX II employs silica-gel particles. In both protocols the band of interest is cut out with a scalpel from the low-melting agarose gel, and the gel slice is solubilized by addition of a buffer. The high concentration of chaotropic ions causes disruption of hydrogen bonds between sugars in the agarose polymer and promotes the dissociation of DNA binding proteins from the DNA fragments. The DNA fragment is selectively bound to the silica-gel membrane/particles by shaking for 10 min at 50 °C. A repeated wash with the first high salt buffer removes residual agarose, and two washes with ethanol-containing buffer efficiently remove salt contaminants. Any residual ethanol-containing buffer, which may interfere with subsequent enzymatic reactions, is removed by an additional centrifugation step. DNA is eluted with the EB, as elution is most efficient under basic conditions and low salt concentrations.

C.2.1.8 Ligation of DNA fragments

С

Typically, the ligation reaction was set up on ice in a final volume of 10 μ l. 100 ng (20-50 fmol) of a linearized plasmid was mixed with a 10 molar excess of the insert with appropriate cohesive ends. Then 1 μ l (1 U/ μ l) of T4 ligase as well as 1 μ l of 10× T4 ligase buffer (660 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM DET, 10 mM ATP, pH 7.5) was added. The ligation mixture was incubated at 16 °C for 2-3 h in a waterbath.

C.2.1.9 TOPO TA cloning system

The TOPO TA cloning reaction was generally set up according to the following protocol: 2 μ l of a fresh PCR product, 1 μ l of 1:4 diluted salt solution (1.2 M NaCl, 0.06 M MgCl₂), 1 μ l of pCR4-TOPO vector and 2 μ l of H₂O to the final volume of 6 μ l. In some cases 4 μ l of a PCR product and no water were used. After 10-15 min incubation at RT, the reaction was placed on ice and immediately used for transformation of competent cells (C.2.1.10)

C.2.1.10 Preparation of *E. coli* competent cells

The *E. coli* competent cells were either purchased ready to use (Top10, Invitrogen) or prepared and stored frozen (B834, Novagen). For preparation of competent cells, a glycerol stock of the B834 strain was used to inoculate 5 ml of dYT-medium (16 g/l bacto-tryptone, 10 g/l bacto-yeast extract, 5 g/l NaCl). After overnight incubation at 37 °C and 220 rpm shaking, 5 ml of the culture was inoculated into 500 ml of dYT-medium (1:100) in a sterile 2-liter flask. The cells were further grown at 37 °C under shaking at 220 rpm to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5 to 0.7. Then the cells were chilled on ice for about 15 min and transferred to a prechilled 1-liter centrifuge bottle. After 20 min centrifugation at 4200 rpm at 4 °C the supernatant was decanted, and the pellet was resuspended in 40 ml ice-cold sterile 10 % glycerol, and the suspension was placed in a 50 ml polypropylene conical tube followed by 10 min centrifugation at 4200 rpm at 4 °C. Finally, the pellet volume (usually ~500 µl from a 500-ml culture) was estimated and an equal volume of ice-cold 10 % glycerol was added to resuspend the cells (on ice). 50 µl aliquots of cells were frozen on dry ice and stored at -80 °C.

C.2.1.11 Culture of *E. coli* strains

dYT growth medium was sterilized by autoclaving for 20 min at a pressure of 1.2×10^5 Pa and a temperature of 121 °C. The ampicillin stock solution (200 mg/ml in 70 % ethanol) was aliquoted and stored at -20 °C; it was added to the media to the final concentration 200 µg/ml at a temperature below 50 °C. For preparation of dYT-plates the media was supplemented with

15 g/l agar just before autoclaving. For short term storage the strains were spread onto agar plates containing ampicillin and then stored at 4 °C (for not longer than 4 weeks).

C.2.1.12 Transformation of E. coli

Transformation of E. coli strains was performed by electroporation.

2 µl of the TOPO TA cloning reaction (C.2.1.9) or 1 µl of 1:100 dilution of a T4-ligation mixture (C.2.1.8) or about 50 ng of a plasmid was added to 50 µl of electrocompetent cells (*E. coli* Top10, Invitrogen, or B834, Novagen) and mixed gently (but not by pipetting up and down). Then the solution was carefully transferred to a 0.1 cm cuvette avoiding formation of bubbles and electroporated using the following parameters: the pulse controller at 200 Ω , the capacitance extender at 250 Ω , the gene pulser apparatus at 25 µF and 2.5 kV. The observed time constant typically varied from 3.9 to 4.1. Immediately after 250 µl of room temperature SOC medium (20 g/l bacto-tryptone, 5 g/l bacto-yeast extract, 10 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM glucose, 10 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, pH 7.0) was added. The solution was transferred to a clean 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube and shaken for 1 h at 37 °C to allow expression of the ampicillin resistance gene. After incubation 5 µl and 50 µl from each transformation were spread on a prewarmed dYT-plate containing 200 µg/ml ampicillin and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Two different volumes were spread to ensure that at least one plate would have well-spaced colonies.

C.2.1.13 PCR screening of *E. coli* clones

To check if a chosen cell colony contained an insert of the correct size, PCR screening was employed. The reaction master mixture with appropriate primers was prepared on ice according to Table C-6, and aliquots of 12.5 μ l were pipetted into 0.2 ml tubes. A small part of each colony was picked with a plastic tip from the agarose patch plate made the day before and suspended in the reaction mixture.

Component	Final concentration	Volume
"10×PCR Buffer, Minus Mg"	1×	1.25 μl
10 mM dNTP mixture	0.2 mM	0.25 μl
50 mM MgCl ₂	1.5 mM	0.375 µl
10 µM forward primer	0.2 µM	0.25 μl
10 µM reverse primer	0.2 µM	0.25 μl
Platinum [®] Taq DNA Polymerase	0.25 units	0.05 µl
H ₂ O	-	to 12.5 µl

Table C-6. Composition of the colony-PCR for one sample.

Denaturation	94 °C	5 min
Cycle (25 \times)		
Denaturation	94 °C	30 sec
Annealing	$Tm - 2 \ ^{\circ}C(X)$	30 sec
Elongation	72 °C	60 sec/1000 bj
Elongation	72 °C	5 min
Storage	$4 \ ^{\circ}C$	∞

The PCR was carried out according to the following thermal cycling program:

(¤) Tm refers to the primer with the lowest melting temperature used within a single PCR experiment

Analysis of the PCR products was performed by agarose gel electrophoresis (C.2.1.6).

C.2.1.14 Plasmid preparation from E. coli

For most purposes, like DNA sequencing, testing recombinant DNA by endonuclease digestion or transfection of mammalian cells, plasmid DNA was prepared from 6 ml overnight cultures of transformed *E. coli* using the QIAGEN Plasmid Mini kit. This kit uses a modified alkaline lysis procedure protocol based on Birnboim & Doly (1979).

Isolation was performed according to the protocol. Briefly, bacteria were lysed under alkaline conditions in the presence of RNase A, and the lysate was subsequently neutralized. Separation of plasmid from chromosomal DNA is based on coprecipitation of the cell wall-bound chromosomal DNA with the insoluble complexes containing salt, detergent, and protein; plasmid DNA remains in the clear supernatant. The precipitated debris was removed by high speed centrifugation and the cleared lysate was loaded onto a pre-equilibrated QIAGEN-tip by gravity flow. The QIAGEN-tip was then washed four times in order to completely remove any remaining contaminants, such as traces of RNA and protein, without affecting the binding of the plasmid DNA. Then the plasmid was eluted from the tip and subsequently desalted and concentrated by isopropanol precipitation. Finally, the pellet was washed with 70 % ethanol, briefly air-dried and resuspended in 20 μ l of the EB.

For preparing larger amounts of a plasmid transformed *E. coli* were grown in 25 ml of culture medium (dYT with 200 μ g/ml ampicillin) and isolated by the QIAfilter Plasmid Midi kit. In this protocol, QIAfilter cartridges are used instead of conventional centrifugation to clear bacterial lysates more efficiently. The pelleted DNA was resuspended in 100 μ l of the EB.

C.2.1.15 Determination of DNA and RNA concentration

The concentration of DNA or RNA was determined spectrophotometrically by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm. For this purpose 1 μ l of a sample was dissolved in 99 μ l of water. An OD of 1 corresponds to ~50 μ g/ml for double-stranded DNA, ~40 μ g/ml for single-stranded DNA

and RNA, and ~33 μ g/ml for single-stranded oligonucleotides. The final concentration was calculated by the equation:

 $C_{DNA} (\mu g/\mu l) = C_{OD=1} \mu g/ml \times dilution factor (\times 100) \times OD_{260}$

where $C_{OD=1}$ is the DNA concentration at OD=1. To check the purity of the preparation, the absorbance of samples was measured at several wavelengths. The OD_{260/280} ratio should be about 1.8 (1.6 – 2.0), the OD_{230/260} ratio < 0.6, and OD₃₂₀ ideally 0.0.

C.2.2 Cell culture methods

C.2.2.1 Culture of mammalian cells

All cell lines were grown as adherent monolayers at 37 °C, 5 % CO₂/air. HepG2 and HEK-293 were cultured in RPMI 1640 (without L-Glutamine, with 2 g/l NaHCO₃) supplemented with 10 % fetal calf serum (FCS) and 10 mM L-glutamine and DMEM (high glucose with L-glutamine) supplemented with 10 % FCS and 100 Unit·ml⁻¹/100 μ g·ml⁻¹ penicillin-streptomycin, respectively. IHKE1 cells were cultured in HAM's F12 and Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium 1:1 supplemented with 15 mM HEPES, 100,000 U/l penicillin and 100 mg/l streptomycin, 10 μ g/l epidermal growth factor, 36 μ g/l hydrocortisone, 1 % FCS, 1.25 g/l NaHCO₃, 5 mg/l insulin, 5 mg/l transferrin, 5 μ g/l Na⁺ selenite, 55 mg/l Na⁺pyruvate and 2 mM L-glutamine. Culture medium was exchanged twice a week. Cells were normally subcultured twice a week according to the following procedure: after removing the old medium, cells were washed with PBS. Subsequently, the appropriate volume of trypsin/EDTA solution (0.05/0.02 % dissolved in PBS without Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺) was added to the culture flasks and the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 3-10 min. Trypsinization was stopped by resuspending the cells in complete growth media. Cells were spun down at 700 g for 5 min. After removing the old medium um the cells were resuspended in fresh medium and plated on cell culture plates for experiments.

C.2.2.2 Cell freezing and thawing

Cells were spun down under sterile conditions for 5 min at 700 g. The cell pellet was maintained on ice and carefully resuspended in cold freezing medium (**1**. RPMI with 10 % FCS, 10 mM L-glutamine and 6 % dimethylsulfoxid (DMSO) for HepG2; **2**. HAM's F12/ Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (1:1) with 10 % FCS and 10 % DMSO for IHKE1; **3**. DMEM with 10 % FCS, penicillin-streptomycin and 7 % DMSO for HEK-293) by pipetting the suspension repeatedly up and down. 1.5 ml aliquots were quickly dispensed into freezing vials (4 °C). The cells were slowly frozen at -20 °C for 1 h and then at -80 °C overnight. On the next day they were transferred to liquid nitrogen.

In order to thaw cells a freezing vial was removed from liquid nitrogen and put in a waterbath at 37 °C. The cells were then dispensed in 5 ml of warm complete growth medium and spun down at 700 g for 5 min. Then the old medium was removed and the cells were resuspended in fresh medium. The medium was changed once more after 24 h.

C.2.2.3 Transient transfection of cells

After a number of optimization steps the following transient transfection protocol was used for all types of cells. The cells were grown overnight on 12-well plates at 37 °C to 50–70 % confluence. On the next morning the medium was replaced by 0.9 ml of the respective fresh medium supplemented with FCS. 100 μ l of the respective serum-free medium, 1 μ g of plasmid of interest, 50 ng of pCMV- β -gal plasmid and 3 μ l of the FuGENE 6 reagent were mixed in a 1.5 ml tube. This mixture was incubated at RT for 20 min. Then the mixture was added dropwise directly to the cells resulting in a total volume of 1 ml. After 48 h cells were processed in the subsequent experiments.

C.2.2.4 Stable transfection of HEK-293 cells

HEK-293 cells were transfected according to the following protocol: 100 μ l of serum-free medium, 1.6 μ g of pOG44, 0.4 μ g of the pcDNA/FRT plasmid of interest and 5 μ l of the FuGENE 6 reagent were mixed in a well of a 96-well plate. This mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. Then the mixture was added dropwise directly to the cells (with < 60 % confluence) growing on 12-well plates in complete DMEM supplemented with FCS.

On the next day the cells were trypsinized and transferred to a 100-mm dish. After 2 days selection of stably transfected cells was started by adding hygromycin B to the medium to obtain

a final concentration of 250 μ g/ml. After 3 days the medium was changed and cells were incubated without hygromycin.

Approximately 12 days after transfection about 10 clones were observed. The clones were transferred to a 12-well plate by picking with a plastic tip. When enough cells were available, the SEAP activity was estimated (C.2.3.1). Clones exhibiting similarly high SEAP activity were considered to represent a single insertion of the pcDNA5/FRT vector containing the SEAP gene under control of the respective PPK promoter at the recombinase target site. Cells with twice as much SEAP activity were presumed to have an additional insertion of the vector (rare), clones with less SEAP activity were assumed to be inhomogenous and were discarded.

C.2.2.5 siRNA transfection of HepG2 cells

siRNA transfection of HepG2 cells $(1 \times 10^5$ cells, 12-well plate format) was performed using 5 µl of HiPerFect transfection reagent (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the fast protocol provided by the manufacturer. NF1-A, NF1-B, and NF1-C siRNAs were designed using GenScript siRNA Target Finder. Potent siRNA was then selected from the list of siRNA candidate targets using an algorithm developed by Reynolds and colleagues (Reynolds et al., 2004). NF1 expression was knocked down using 25 nM siRNA specific for the NF1-A, d(XY)CAAGTGACGCTGACATTAAd(TT), NF1-B, d(XY)GCCAACCATACTATCATGAC Ad(TT), NF1-C, d(XY)ATGGACAAGTCACCATTC-Ad(TT), and NF1-X (Hs_NFIX_5, Cat. No. SI03246621, Qiagen). siRNA sense strands are given. A non-silencing 3' Alexa Fluor 488 labeled siRNA (Cat. No. 1022563, Qiagen) was used as control for transfection efficiency as well as negative control for monitoring the effect of NF1 knock-down. Efficiency of the NF1 silencing and its effect on PPK expression level was quantified by quantitative real-time PCR (C.2.7).

C.2.3 Chemiluminescent assays

C.2.3.1 SEAP assay

The Great EscAPe system uses SEAP – a <u>secreted</u> form of human placental <u>alkaline</u> <u>phosphatase</u> – as a reporter molecule to monitor the activity of promoters and enhancers cloned into the pSEAP2-Basic vector. The SEAP reporter gene encodes a truncated form of the placental enzyme that lacks the membrane anchoring domain, thereby allowing the protein to be efficiently secreted from transfected cells. Thus, SEAP activity can be detected directly in the culture medium without preparation of cell lysates. Another advantage of the enzyme is an extreme heat stability; therefore, after heat pretreatment a background from endogenous alkaline phosphatase is almost absent in the culture medium.

48 hours after transient transfection, 200 μ l of conditioned cell culture medium was removed from each sample and transferred into a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube. After 5 min centrifugation at 14,000 rpm 150 μ l of supernatant were transferred to a fresh tube. Then 15- μ l aliquots from each cell culture supernatant were transferred into the wells of an opaque 96-well microtest plate; 45 μ l of 1× "Dilution buffer" was added, and the plate was incubated for 60 min at 65 °C to inactivate endogenous alkaline phosphatase. After cooling, 60 μ l of "Assay buffer" and, after 5 min at RT, 60 μ l of "CSPD substrate" solution were added. The luminescence was quantified after 10-20 min using a Tecan Safire² plate luminometer. Light signals were recorded as 5seconds integrals.

Each different construct was transfected and subsequently assayed in triplicate in each single experiment, 3–5 experiments were performed. Medium from untransfected cells was assayed to determine background signals associated with the cell culture media; the values obtained were subtracted from experimental results.

Additionally, a number of controls were included in each experiment. To evaluate the contribution of the vector itself, the pSEAP2-Basic vector containing the SEAP gene without any promoter was taken as the negative control. Culture medium from cells transfected with the pSEAP2-Control vector, which contains the SEAP gene under control of the SV40 promoter and enhancer, served as the positive control for transfection and expression of exogenous DNA. 1 μ l of the "Positive control placental alkaline phosphatase" mixed with the medium from untransfected cells served as the positive control for the assay. Finally, as an internal control for transfection efficiency, 0.05 μ g of pCMV- β -gal plasmid was cotransfected with 1 μ g of each expression vector in each experiment and assayed in the β -Gal assay (C.2.3.2).

C.2.3.2 Luminescent β-galactosidase assay

 β -galactosidase under the control of the constitutive CMV promoter was cotransfected with SEAP reporter plasmids when monitoring the SEAP reporter gene expression was the final goal of the transfection. Levels of the β -galactosidase activity in the cell lysates were used to normalize levels of SEAP activity in the respective supernatants in order to correct for variations in the transfection efficiency, thus allowing reliable comparison within each experiment.

After removing 200 μ l of the cell supernatant for the SEAP assay the remaining medium was aspirated and 300 μ l of the detergent lysis solution (100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.8, 0.2 % Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT) was added into each well. After 5 min incubation at RT lysed

cells were detached from the plate using a cell scraper and transferred in the lysis solution into 1.5 ml eppendorf tubes. Then cell lysates were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 min to pellet debris; supernatants were transferred to fresh microcentrifuge tubes and assayed immediately for β -galactosidase activity.

10 μ l each of individual cell lysates were pipetted into the wells of an opaque 96-well, flatbottom microtiter plate and mixed with 100 μ l of a mixture consisting of 2 μ l of the "Reaction substrate" and 98 μ l of the "Reaction buffer". The luminescence was quantified after 1 h incubation using a Tecan Safire² plate luminometer. Light emission was recorded as 5-seconds integrals.

The background was determined by assaying three cell lysates from untransfected cells, the mean value was subtracted from each experimental result. The resulting values of β -galactosidase activity were used to normalize the SEAP activity for each single well.

C.2.4 Isolation of total RNA

Purification of total RNA from the cultured cells was carried out using RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). Untransfected or stably transfected cells grown to confluence in 75-cm² flasks and transiently transfected cells grown in 12-well plates 48 h after transfection were harvested by trypsination. Samples (maximum 1×10^7 cells) were disrupted in a highly denaturing guanidine isothiocyanate containing buffer, which immediately inactivated RNases to ensure isolation of intact RNA, and then homogenized using QIAshredder spin columns. Ethanol was added to the lysates creating conditions that promoted selective binding of RNA to the RNeasy silica-gel membrane. The samples were then applied to the RNeasy mini column. The total RNA bound to the membrane was washed efficiently, and the RNA was eluted in RNase-free water. All binding, washing, and elution steps were performed by centrifugation in a microcentrifuge. Purified RNA was immediately used for cDNA synthesis (C.2.5) or RLM-RACE (C.2.6) and the rest stored at -80° C.

C.2.5 cDNA synthesis

The QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit was used for elimination of genomic DNA from RNA samples and subsequent reverse transcription.

To eliminate the genomic DNA, 0.5 μ g of each RNA sample was incubated in "gDNA Wipeout buffer" for 3 min at 42 °C (end volume 14 μ l). After cooling on ice for several minutes, the RNA sample was taken for reverse transcription using a master mix prepared from "Quantiscript reverse transcriptase", 4 μ l of 5× "Quantiscript RT buffer", and 1 μ l of "RT primer mix". The reaction mixture was incubated for 20 min at 42 °C and then inactivated for 3 min at

95 °C. The synthesized cDNA was used directly in quantitative real-time PCR (C.2.7) or stored at -20 °C.

C.2.6 RNA ligase-mediated amplification of 5'-cDNA ends (RLM-RACE)

Transcription start sites were determined by performing RLM-RACE (Invitrogen). The RLM-RACE technique uses a protocol ensuring that only full-length 5'-ends of mRNA molecules with an intact cap structure are reverse transcribed and amplified by nested PCR.

The method is outlined in Figure C-9. Total RNA or mRNA is treated with calf intestinal phosphatase (CIP), which removes the 5' phosphate thus eliminating truncated mRNA and non-mRNA from subsequent ligation with the RNA adaptor oligonucleotide. Full-length mRNA which is protected by the cap structure is not affected by CIP. In subsequent steps the cap structure is removed and replaced with the RNA adaptor oligonucleotide. Firstly, RNA is treated with tobacco acid pyrophosphatase (TAP), which removes the cap structure from full-length mRNA. This exposes the 5' phosphate and permits ligation of the RNA adaptor oligonucleotide by T4 RNA ligase. Then a cDNA template is generated by reverse transcription using "SuperScript III reverse transcriptase" and random primers. During reverse transcription the RNA adaptor oligonucleotide is incorporated into the cDNA. Only cDNA that is completely reversed transcribed will contain this known sequence. PCR is then performed using the homologous adaptor primer (GR 5' primer) and a gene-specific primer. The resulting PCR product contains the 5'-full-length cDNA sequence.

RLM-RACE was performed with either 250 ng of $poly(A)^+$ mRNA from human (liver, pancreas, kidney) and from mouse (liver, kidney) tissues or from 5 µg of total RNA from human liver or from HepG2, IHKE1 and HEK-293 cells. Nested PCR was used to increase the specificity and sensitivity. First and second PCRs were set up as described in Table C-7.

1. Dephosphorylating RNA

mRNA m ₇ G	-p-p-p	— AAAAAAA
Truncated mRNA	PO ₄ —	— AAAAAAA
Non-mRNA	PO ₄	

2. Removing the mRNA Cap Structure

	$\int TAP$	
mRNA	m ₇ G-p-p-PO ₄	——— AAAAAAA
Truncated	mRNA	AAAAAAA
Non-mRN	A	

3. Ligating the RNA Adaptor Oligo to Decapped mRNA



4. Reverse Transcribing mRNA



5. Amplifying the Gene of Interest by Nested PCR



Figure C-9. RLM-RACE outline.

 m_7 G-p-p-p, 7-methylguanosine triphosphate (5' cap structure); CIP, calf intestinal phosphatase; TAP, tobacco acid pyrophosphatase; TSS, transcriptional start site; GR 5' (N) Pr, GeneRacer 5' (nested) primer; GSP (N), (nested) gene specific primer.

Component	Final concentration	Volume
"10×PCR Buffer, Minus Mg"	1×	5 µl
10 mM dNTP mixture	0.2 mM	1 µl
50 mM MgSO ₄	2.0 mM	2 µl
$10 \ \mu M \ GR \ 5'$ (nested) primer	0.2 µM	1 µl
10 µM reverse (nested) primer	0.2 µM	1 µl
Platinum [®] Taq DNA Polymerase	1.0 unit	0.2 µl
H ₂ O	-	to 49 µl

Table C-7. Composition of the first and second PCR used to amplify 5'-ends of cDNA.

 $1 \mu l$ of the RACE-ready cDNA served as a template in the first PCR, and $1 \mu l$ of the mixture of the first PCR (1:10 dilution) in the second round. Primers used to amplify the fragment of interest are listed in Table C-8. The two PCRs were carried out according to the following thermal cycling program:

Denaturation	94 °C	2 min	
Cycle $(35 \times)$			
Denaturation	94 °C	15 sec	
Annealing (1. PCR/2. PCR)	65 °C/62 °C	30 sec	
Elongation	68 °C	60 sec/1000 bp	
Elongation	68 °C	10 min	
Storage	4 °C	∞	

After visualization by agarose gel electrophoresis the PCR products were cloned into pCR4-TOPO vector. TSSs were determined by sequencing of selected transformants as the first nucleotide following the adaptor oligo.

Presumably because the copy number of the PPK mRNA in mouse tissues is very low no bands were visible after nested or booster PCR. Therefore, for every sample with mouse cDNA as a template two identical reaction mixtures obtained by PCR were pooled and concentrated by ethanol precipitation (C.2.1.2). The pellet was dissolved in 4 μ l of the EB and subsequently completely used for TOPO TA cloning.

Designation		Position	Sequence (5'-3')
GR 5' primer		adaptor	CGACTGGAGCACGAGGACACTGA
GR 5' nested primer		adaptor	GGACACTGACATGGACTGAAGGAGTA
Human			
hE1a-or71	hE1a	7905326-7905305	GCCAGCAGAGAAGGGCACGTAG
hE1a-ir47	hE1a	7905302-7905279	ATATGGATGGCGCCCTTGTGCATT
hE1L-or178	hE1	7923649-7923622	ACAATTGCTTCTTGGAGGTGAGTCTCTT
hE1L-ir168	hE1	7923639-7923612	CTTGGAGGTGAGTCTCTTGTCACTTAAA
Mouse			
mP-or9146	mE1a	5209146-5209120	GAGGTCCAGCAGAAAATGGCACATAGG
mP-ir9126	mE1a	5209126-5209100	ACATAGGCATAGGGATGGCGTCCTTGG
mP-or22799	mE1c	5222799-5222777	CCTGGAAGGATGGTCACGTTGTG
mP-ir22771	mE1c	5222771-5222744	GGAGGAGAGGGAGTCTTCACATGAAGAT
pSEAP2-Basic			
SE-or171		171-149	CGGTTCCAGAAGTCCGGGTTCTC
SE-ir154		154-131	GTTCTCCTCCTCAACTGGGATGAT

Table C-8. Primers used in RLM-RACE.

The position numbers refer for human to contig NT_022792.12 and for mouse to PPK mRNA NW_000342.1

C.2.7 Quantitative real-time PCR

Gene expression level was analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR on the LightCycler 2.0 system using Universal Probe Library approach and LightCycler TaqMan Master (Roche).

The Universal ProbeLibrary applies the hydrolysis probe format: a sequence-specific probe is designed to anneal in-between the primer sites and labeled with a fluorescent reporter dye at the 5'-end and a quencher label at the 3'-end. When the probe is hybridized to its target sequence, the quencher label suppressing the fluorescent signal in intact probe is cleaved by the $5'\rightarrow 3'$ exonuclease activity of the FastStart Taq DNA polymerase. This results in "unquenching" the fluorescent reporter dye and subsequent fluorescent signal detection. The universality of the Universal ProbeLibrary set has been achieved by shortening the length of such probes to 8-9 nucleotides which is possible by using the DNA analogue LNA (locked nucleic acid). LNA is a modified ribonucleoside forming a bicyclic structure; hybrids between LNA oligonucleotides

and complementary DNA exhibit unprecedented thermal stabilities thus allowing the Universal Probes to be short.

For the selection of Universal Probes and the design of primers for quantitative real-time PCR of gene transcripts the web-based Probe-Finder software (www.universalprobelibrary.com) was used. An intron-spanning assay was employed wherever possible. Target genes with the respective Universal Probes and primers are summarized in Table C-9.

Gene	Universal	Primer		
transcript	Probe	Name	Sequence	
РРК	11	UPL PPK forw	TGCCCTTTCAGAAATTGGTT	
		UPL PPK rev	TCCACATCTGAGAACGCAAG	
GAPDH	60	UPL GAPDH forw	AGCCACATCGCTCAGACAC	
		UPL GAPDH rev	GCCCAATACGACCAAATCC	
pCHNFI-A1.1	42	NFIA vect forw 42	CTCCACTTTCCAACGTCACC	
pointri		NFIA vect rev 42	GATGGCTGGGTGTGAGAAGT	
pCHNFI-B2	11	NFIB vect forw 11	CCCCTCTCCAAATTCACCA	
permit b2		NFIB vect rev 11	GACATATCTTGATCTCGTTCATGC	
pCHNFI-C2	56	NFIC vect forw 56	GGACAGGTGTGGGGCTCAG	
permit e2		NFIC vect rev 56	TGGAAGTCTGTGGTGTCCAG	
pCHNFI-X2	42	NFIX vect forw 42	GCACTTCCCTTCCACGTC	
		NFIX vect rev 42	GGATGGTTGGGTGTGTGAA	
NF1-A	20	NFIA forw 20	GCCAAGTGACGCTGACATTA	
		NFIA rev 20	CTGTCCTGGAAGCCCAAAT	
NF1-B	20	NFIB forw 20	ATGACCCATCCAGTCCACA	
		NFIB rev 20	CACTTGGAAAGGAACCAAGC	
NF1-C	56	NFIC forw 56	GACAGGGATGGGCTCTGAC	
		NFIC rev 56	TCTCCTGGAAGTCGGTCGT	
NF1-X	42	NFIX forw 42	GCTTGCTGGAGTCAGACCA	
		NFIX rev 42	GGTCGGGTGCGTGAAATA	

Table C-9. Gene transcripts, Universal Probes and primers used in quantitative real-time PCR.

A sequence ID for the target genes are: NM_000892.3 for PPK, NM_002046.3 for GAPDH, NM_005595.1 for NF1-A, NM_005596.1 for NF1-B, NM_005597.2 and NM_205843.1 for NF1-C, NM_002501.2 for NF1-X. pCHNFI-A1.1, pCHNFI-B2, pCHNFI-C2, pCHNFI-X2 are NF1 expression vectors (C.1.4.5).

The quantitative real-time PCR mixture was prepared by adding the following components in the order shown below:

Component	Final concentration	Volume
H ₂ O		5.5 μl
10 µM UniversalProbeLibrary probe	100 nM	0.1 µl
10 μM primer 1	200 nM	0.2 µl
10 μM primer 2	200 nM	0.2 µl
5× LightCycler TaqMan Master	1×	2.0 µl

 8μ l of the quantitative real-time PCR mixture was pipetted into each precooled LightCycler capillary. After adding 2 µl of the cDNA template (C.2.5, C.2.6) the capillaries were centrifuged at 800 rpm for 5 min and transferred into the LightCycler instrument. The samples were cycled as described in Table C-10.

Table C-10. Quantitative real-time PCR parameters.

Program Name	Analysis Mode	Cycles	Target temperature	Hold time	Acquisition mode
Pre-Incubation	None	1	95 °C	10 min	none
Amplification	Quantification	45	95 °C	10 s	none
			60 °C	30 s	none
			72 °C	1 s	single
Cooling	None	1	40 °C	30 s	none

Temperature transition rate/slope was 20 °C/s. Seek temperature was set to 30 °C, display mode during run and for analysis was fluorescence channel F1. All protocol parameters not listed in the table were set to zero.

Measured fluorescence values were quantified using the second derivative maximum method (LighCycler software version 3.5). To calculate concentrations for unknown samples, a standard curve representing a series of tenfold dilutions of cDNA from liver was generated. cDNA was synthesized from 5 μ g of total liver RNA (BioCat) using the GeneRacer SuperScript III RT Module (Invitrogen) and random hexanucleotides as primers. A stock solution was adjusted so that 1 μ l contained an amount of cDNA that reflected 100,000 pg RNA. Finally, for normalization the amount of target transcript was divided by the amount of GAPDH transcript measured in the same sample. For samples where the NF1 expression from vectors had to be confirmed, the respective NF1 expression plasmids diluted from 100,000 pg to 10 pg were used for standard curve generation.

C.2.8 DNA pull-down method

С

In an attempt to assess the transcription factors (TFs) binding to the *Prox* promoter (cf. C.2.1.2) a DNA pull-down method was applied (Gabrielsen & Huet, 1993).

Briefly, the DNA fragment of interest is biotinylated at one end and attached to streptavidin coated magnetic beads. For isolation of TFs a nuclear extract is incubated with the DNA affinity beads. After a short incubation a strong magnet is placed against the tube wall. The fluid is removed and the beads are washed several times with a buffer containing a high concentration of a competitor DNA thus reducing unspecific binding to the DNA of interest. The specifically bound proteins are eluted by resuspending the beads in a buffer of high ionic strength that dissociates the DNA-bound proteins. The beads are removed by magnetic separation, leaving a protein fraction highly enriched for the sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins.

C.2.8.1 Preparation of DNA affinity beads

Large-scale digestion of plasmid DNA. The 690-bp DNA fragment representing the *Prox* promoter (cf. C.2.1.2) was excised with restriction enzymes *Mlu*I (700 U) and *Nhe*I (1000 U) from 2 mg of the respective SEAP plasmid at 37 °C over night. The presence of the *Nhe*I site at the end of the vector fragment would have led to the biotinylation of both the insert and the vector fragment and its following attachment to the beads, leaving a relatively high concentration of nonspecific binding sites on the beads. To avoid this, a third enzyme, *Bgl*II (1300 U), was added to the mixture (total volume 3 ml). It left only a 21-bp vector sequence biotinylated, thus reducing nonspecific binding sites to an unimportant level. The final digest was extracted with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1), precipitated with ethanol, and dissolved in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0).

Selective biotinylation of DNA. The Prox promoter with a NheI site at the 3' end was then labeled with biotin using biotin-16-dUTP and the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I $(3'\rightarrow5' \text{ exo}^-)$. The reaction mixture (400 µl) contained the DNA digest with 0.3 nmol of specific fragment, 5 nmol of biotin-16-dUTP, 20 nmol of dCTP to fill in the first position of the NheI restriction site, 200 units Klenow fragment $(3'\rightarrow5' \text{ exo}^-)$, all in 10 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT, pH 7.9 (1× NEBuffer 2, New England BioLabs). After 60 min incubation at 37 °C the reaction was stopped by heating for 20 min at 75 °C. The efficiency of biotinylation was controlled by agarose gel electrophoresis of two 1 µl-aliquots, one loaded directly as a reference, the other loaded after mixing with 2 µl of 5 mg/ml streptavidin. Unincorporated biotin-16-dUTP was removed by passage through SephacrylTM S-200 HR spin columns, equilibrated in TE buffer (the loading volume was 50 µl onto each column). *Coupling of DNA to magnetic beads.* 1 ml suspension of 10 mg/ml Dynabeads[®] M-280 streptavidin was washed twice with 1 ml of $2 \times B \& W$ buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 M NaCl, pH 7.5) by resuspension and magnetic separation. Then the beads were resuspended in 2 ml $2 \times B \& W$ buffer to a final concentration of 5 mg/ml and mixed with 400 µl of the biotinylated DNA and 1.6 ml of TE buffer. The mixture was incubated at RT for 15 min. The efficiency of coupling was visualized by agarose gel electrophoresis of DNA samples taken before and after coupling. Finally, the beads were washed three times in 1× B&W buffer and resuspended in 1 ml of TEN buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.5).

C.2.8.2 Purification of transcription factors by DNA affinity beads

Nuclear extract preparation. Nuclear extracts were prepared from HepG2 cells using Nuclear Extract kit (Active Motif). The cells grown to confluence in a 75 cm²-flask were washed twice with "PBS/Phosphatase Inhibitors" solution, gently scrapped and transferred to a pre-chilled 15 ml conical tube. After 5 min centrifugation at 500 rpm the supernatant was discarded. Pelleted cells were resuspended in 500 μ l of 1× "Hypotonic Buffer" and transferred to a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube. After 15 min incubation on ice followed by an addition of 25 μ l of "Detergent" the mixture was vortexed for 10 s and centrifuged for 30 s at 14,000 rpm. The supernatant containing the cytoplasmic fraction was removed. The nuclear pellet was resuspended in 50 μ l of "Complete Lysis Buffer", vortexed for 10 s and then incubated for 30 min on ice. After incubation the lysate was vortexed for 30 s and immediately centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 rpm. Finally, the supernatant was desalted through MicroSpin G-25 columns pre-equilibrated in 20 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 % (v/v) glycerol, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, aliquoted and stored at -80 °C. All buffers, tubes and a centrifuge used were pre-cooled at 4 °C.

Determination of the protein concentration. Total protein was quantified with the "Micro BCA Protein Assay" reagent (Pierce) using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as the standard.

Purification of transcription factors. 5 mg of the DNA-coupled magnetic beads were washed three times in 0.5 ml TGED_{100mM} buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 % (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 0.01 % (v/v) Triton X-100, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.0) and then incubated with 500 μ g of desalted HepG2 nuclear extract on a rolling platform for 2 h at 4 °C in TGED_{100mM} buffer (total volume 0.5 ml). After incubation the beads were washed once with TGED_{100mM} buffer for 5 min, once with TGED_{100mM} buffer containing a 10 times excess of competitor poly(dI–dC) double strand for 15 min, and once with TGED_{100mM} buffer. Proteins were eluted on ice in 25 μ l of TGED_{2M} buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 0.01 %

(v/v) Triton X-100, 2 M NaCl, pH 8.0), desalted through MicroSpin G-25 columns preequilibrated in TEN buffer and stored at -80 °C.

C.2.9 TranSignal Protein/DNA Array

TranSignal[™] Protein/DNA Array I, II, III (BioCat) were used to identify the TFs associated with the *Prox* promoter in the pull-down assay (Zeng et al., 2003; Li et al., 2004).

Briefly, a set of biotin-labeled DNA oligonucleotides representing consensus sequences for definite TFs is incubated with the nuclear extract of interest. Upon incubation TFs bind to the specific DNA sequences and the formed protein/DNA complexes are separated from remaining unbound oligonucleotides by agarose gel electrophoresis. The protein / DNA complexes are extracted from the gel and simultaneously released from bound proteins. The resulting mixture of free DNA probes is finally used to hybridize the TranSignal array which is spotted with the complementary consensus-binding sequences. Signals from the hybridized probes are visualized by HRP-based chemiluminescence detection.

10 µl of the protein fraction enriched with DNA-binding proteins specific for the *Prox* promoter (C.2.8) was incubated with 10 µl of the "TranSignal probe mix" I, II or III for 30 min at 15 °C to allow the formation of protein/DNA complexes. After incubation the probe was mixed with 2 µl of "Loading dye" and loaded onto a 2 % agarose gel (approximately 5 mm thick, 8 mm-wide comb) in 0.5× TBE buffer (45 mM Tris–borate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). To separate protein/DNA complexes from the free probes, the gel was run at 120 V for about 20 min, so that the middle of the dye front was about 15 mm from the wells. A gel area (~ $6\times8\times5$ mm) containing the protein/DNA complexes was cut out, transferred to a 1.5 ml tube and incubated with 1 ml of "Extraction buffer A" at 55 °C until the gel was completely dissolved. Then the solution was combined with 8 µl of resuspended "Gel extraction beads", vortexed, incubated for 10 min and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 30 s. To wash the beads, the supernatant was removed and the pellet resuspended in 150 µl of "Extraction buffer B". After a 30 s centrifugation at 14,000 rpm the supernatant was aspirated and the pellet air-dried for 5 min. To elute the bound probes, the pellet was resuspended in 150 µl of H₂O, incubated for 10 min, and after centrifugation for 1 min the supernatant was transferred to a new 1.5 ml tube.

By that time an array membrane was placed into a 50 ml conical tube and pre-hybridized in 5 ml of "Hybridization buffer" in a rotating hybridization oven at 42 °C for 2 h. The eluted probes were denatured at 95 °C for 3 min, chilled on ice and added to the 50 ml conical tube with the respective array membrane. The hybridization was performed at 42 °C overnight. On the next morning the hybridization mixture was decanted, and the membrane was washed by

incubation in 50 ml of "Hybridization wash I" firstly and then in 50 ml of "Hybridization wash II" at 42 °C for 20 min each. Afterwards the membrane was transferred to a container were it was incubated with 20 ml of 1× "Blocking buffer" at RT for 15 min. Then 20 μ l of Streptavidin-HRP conjugate was added, and the membrane was incubated for further 15 min. After washing the membrane at RT with 20 ml of 1× "Wash buffer" (3×10 min) it was incubated with 20 ml of 1× "Detection buffer" at RT for 5 min. Finally, the blot was overlaid with 2 ml of working substrate solution (200 μ l "Solution I" + 200 μ l "Solution II" + 1.6 ml "Solution III") for 5 min, dried and exposed to the film (for 2 h and then overnight).

C.2.10 Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

To detect specific TFs that bind to a DNA sequence of interest, electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) were performed. Briefly, during the incubation of a radioactive DNA probe with a nuclear extract TFs bind to specific binding sites of the DNA oligonucleotide probe. Upon a non-denaturating polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis complexes migrate more slowly as compared to the free probe and can be detected by autoradiography. Several controls were used for proving specificity of the binding and for identification of a binding protein itself. The first one is an incubation with a high molar excess of the non-labeled oligo. In that case specific bands disappear from the gel, as the unlabeled probe successfully competes for the limitedly available TFs. To identify specific binding sites, mutated DNA oligos are used as competitors. Further identification of a presumed TF is achieved with an antibody which is added to the incubation mixture. If the antibody is specific for the TF and binds to the DNA binding domain of the TF, the specific band disappears. If the antibody does not prevent binding of the TF to the probe, the complex with a higher molecular weight is formed and appears on the gel supershifted.

C.2.10.1 Nuclear extract preparation

HepG2 cells were seeded onto TPP 150 mm culture dishes and incubated in 25 ml of culture medium until the cells were about 80 % confluent. Nuclear extracts were prepared according to a protocol of Schreiber (Schreiber et al., 1989) with some modifications. Firstly, cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS, covered with 5 ml of ice-cold Buffer A (10 mM Hepes, 15 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, protease inhibitor cocktail (Table C-11)) and allowed to swell on ice for 10 min. Then Buffer A was replaced with 2.5 ml of ice-cold Buffer A + 0.2 % NP-40 leading to cell lysis. After 10 min incubation on ice lysed cells were scraped off the dish and transferred to a clean 15 ml conical tube. To make sure all the cells were gathered, the dish was rinsed once with another 2.5 ml of Buffer A + 0.2 % NP-40, which was transferred to the same tube. After resuspending and pelleting the cells by centrifugation at 1800 rpm for 5 min at
4 °C the supernatant containing cytoplasm was discarded. The pellet was resuspended by gentle pipetting in 1 ml of ice-cold Buffer C (25 mM Hepes, 50 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 10 % glycerol, protease inhibitor cocktail) followed by 5 min centrifugation at 1800 rpm at 4 °C. The supernatant was removed; the volume of the pellet was estimated using the graduations on the tube, and the nuclei were resuspended in 2 volumes of Buffer C by gentle pipetting. Subsequently, the accurate total volume was measured by a pipette. To initiate the lysis of nuclei, a calculated volume of 5 M NaCl was added dropwise to the suspension to the final concentration of 0.4 M NaCl. After 30 min incubation on ice the nuclear extract was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C. Finally, the supernatant was transferred to a clean pre-chilled microcentrifuge tube and frozen in aliquots at -80 °C. The protein concentration was determined by Micro BCA Protein Assay (Pierce).

Inhibitor	Stock solution	Volume*
DTT	1M (in H ₂ O)	10 µl (4 µl)
AEBSF in H ₂ O	0.1M (in H ₂ O)	100 µl (40 µl)
Benzaimidine	0.2M (in H ₂ O)	10 µl (4 µl)
Aprotinin	5 mg/ml (in H ₂ O)	10 µl (4 µl)
Antipain	10 mg/ml (in H ₂ O)	2 µl (0.8 µl)
Leupeptin	5 mg/ml (in H ₂ O)	10 µl (4 µl)
Chymostatin	5 mg/ml (in DMSO)	10 µl (4 µl)

Table C-11. Protease inhibitor cocktail recipe.

⁶ The indicated volumes of inhibitor solutions were added just prior to use to 10 ml of Buffer A and to 10 ml of Buffer A + 0.02 % NP-40. The volumes of inhibitor solutions indicated in parentheses were added to 4 ml of Buffer C.

C.2.10.2 Preparation of radiolabeled DNA probes

When designing oligonucleotide probes (Table C-12), attention was paid that the 5'-terminal position was not occupied by a cytosine residue because of its low labeling efficiency (van Houten et al., 1998). To generate a double-stranded DNA fragment containing the binding site of interest, 6 μ l forward oligonucleotide (100 pmol/ μ l), 6 μ l reverse oligonucleotide (100 pmol/ μ l), 1.6 μ l 5 M NaCl and 6.4 μ l H₂O were mixed at RT. To anneal the complementary oligonucleotides, the mixture was initially incubated at 95 °C for 10 min, afterwards the temperature was reduced in 5 °C-steps (approximately 15 min each) to the final temperature of 25 °C followed by an overnight incubation. Finally, the volume of the reaction was brought to 200 μ l with H₂O so that the final concentration of the double-stranded DNA was 3 pmol/ μ l.

Probe	Oligonucleotide name	Sequence (5' – 3')
Probe 1	TBP forw	AGTCAATATTGAAGCCAAGCAAAATATT
11000 1	TBP rev	AATATTTTGCTTGGCTTCAATATTGACT
Probe 1 M06	TBP M06 forw	AGTCAA <u>GCG</u> TG <u>CCT</u> CCAAGCAAAATATT
11000 1 1100	TBP M06 rev	AATATTTTGCTTGGAGGCACGCTTGACT
Probe 1 M23	TBP M23 forw	AGTCAATATTGAAG <u>AACCT</u> CAAAATATT
	TBP M23 rev	AATATTTTG <u>AGGTT</u> CTTCAATATTGACT
Probe 1 M24	TBP M24 forw	AGTCAATATTGAAGCCAAGACCCC
11000 1 11124	TBP M24 rev	AATAGGGGTCTTGGCTTCAATATTGACT
cons TBP	cons TBP forw	GCAGAGCATATAAAATGAGGTAGGA
	cons TBP rev	TCCTACCTCATTTTATATGCTCTGC
cons TBP mut	cons TBP forw mut	GCAGAGCA <u>GC</u> TAAAATGAGGTAGGA
	cons TBP rev mut	TCCTACCTCATTTTA <u>GC</u> TGCTCTGC
cons NFY	cons NFY forw	AGACCGTACGTGATTGGTTAATCTCTT
	cons NFY rev	AAGAGATTAACCAATCACGTACGGTCT
cons NFY mut	cons NFY forw mut	AGACCGTACG <u>AA</u> AT <u>AC</u> G <u>GG</u> AATCTCTT
	cons NFY rev mut	AAGAGATT <u>CC</u> C <u>GT</u> AT <u>TT</u> CGTACGGTCT
Probe 2	NFI forw	AAACTGTTGGCAGAAACCCAAAGTCA
11000 2	NFI rev	TGACTTTGGGTTTCTGCCAACAGTTT
Probe 2 M19	NFI M19 forw	AAAAAGTGGTGCAGAAACCCAAAGTCA
	NFI M19 rev	TGACTTTGGGTTTCTGC <u>ACCACT</u> TTT
Probe 2 M20	NFI M20 forw	AAACTGTTG <u>TACTCC</u> ACCCAAAGTCA
	NFI M20 rev	TGACTTTGGGT <u>GGAGTA</u> CAACAGTTT
Probe 2 M21	NFI M21 forw	AAACTGTTGGCAGAACCAGTCA
11000 2 14121	NFI M21 rev	TGACT <u>GGTTTG</u> TTCTGCCAACAGTTT
cons NF1	cons NFI forw	TTTTGGATTGAAGCCAATATGATAA
	cons NFI rev	TTATCATATTGGCTTCAATCCAAAA
cons NF1 mut	cons NFI forw mut	TTTTGGATTGAA <u>TAA</u> AATATGATAA
	cons NFI rev mut	TTATCATATT <u>TTA</u> TTCAATCCAAAA
Probe 3	Oligo3 forw	TGGAATATGTTGACAGGACA
	Oligo3 rev	TGTCCTGTCAACATATTCCA

Table C-12. Oligonucleotides used in EMSA.

С

Probe	Oligonucleotide name	Sequence (5' – 3')
Probe 3 M15	Oligo3 M15 forw	TGGAATA <u>GTGGTC</u> CAGGACA
	Oligo3 M15 rev	TGTCCTG <u>GACCAC</u> TATTCCA
cons TGIF	cons TGIF forw	ACTCTGCCTGTCAAGCGAGG
	cons TGIF rev	CCTCGCTTGACAGGCAGAGT
cons TGIF mut	cons TGIF forw mut	ACTCTGC <u>AAA</u> TCAAGCGAGG
	cons TGIF rev mut	CCTCGCTTGA <u>TTT</u> GCAGAGT

The following position numbers of the probes refer to the contig NT_022792.12. Probe 1, 7923543-7923570; Probe 2, 7923522-7923547; Probe 3, 7923494-7923513. The mutated nucleotides are in bold and underlined.

To check the efficiency of the annealing, 1 µl of each sample was mixed with 9 µl of H₂O and 2 µl 6× Loading Dye (Promega). The samples were separated in a 14.5 % nondenaturating polyacrylamide gel using $0.5 \times$ TBE as running buffer for 1.5 h at 400 V. The PROTEAN[®] II xi Cell apparatus (Bio-Rad) with 1.5 mm spacers and 15 wells comb was used for electrophoresis. After electrophoresis was complete, the DNA bands were detected by silver staining according to Riesner et al. (1989) with some modifications. Briefly, the gel was shaken slowly for 5 min in 30 % ethanol, 10 % acetic acid followed by 30 min incubation in 0.1 % silver nitrate solution. The gel was rinsed three times with distilled water and treated with a fresh solution containing per liter 15 g of NaOH, 0.1 g of NaBH₄, and 3 ml of 37 % formaldehyde. When bands became visible the reaction was stopped by washing the gel in 5 % acetic acid for a few minutes.

The probe was labeled by transfer of the γ -³²P from [γ -³²P]ATP using a T4 polynucleotide kinase (NE Biolabs):

2 μl double-stranded DNA (3 pmol/μl)
2 μl 10× T4-PNK buffer (700 mM HCl, 100 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM DTT, pH 7.6)
9 μl [γ-³²P]ATP (>6000 Ci/mmol, 10 mCi/ml)
2 μl T4 polynucleotide kinase (10 U/μl)
5 μl H₂O^{MP}

After 1 h incubation at 37 °C the kinase was inactivated by incubation at 68 °C for 10 min. Each sample was brought to a final volume of 80 μ l using STE buffer (100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM EDTA, pH 7.5). Unincorporated [γ -³²P]ATP was separated from the radio-labeled DNA probe by NucTrap probe purification columns (Stratagene) in conjunction with the Push Column Beta Shield device according to the protocol of the supplier. Briefly, the columns

С

were equilibrated by applying 80 μ l of STE buffer. 80 μ l of the sample was loaded on the top of the column, pushed through the column and collected in a 1.5 ml tube. To ensure complete recovery of the sample, additional 80 μ l of STE buffer was applied onto the column and eluted into the same collection tube. The total volume collected was typically between 100 and 140 μ l. 1 μ l of the probe was taken for Cerenkov counting in a scintillation counter to determine specific activity. Roughly 50,000 – 100,000 cpm/ μ l were obtained in the final primer solution. The primer was stored at –20 °C for up to 1 month.

C.2.10.3 Protein-DNA binding reaction and electrophoresis of protein-DNA complexes

Nuclear extracts (5 μ g each) were incubated in 20 μ l of a binding mixture containing 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 5 % glycerol, 1 μ g of poly(dI-dC) for 30 min at RT. Thereafter, 1 μ l of the radiolabeled nucleotide was added, and the mixture was again incubated for 30 min on ice. For specificity testing, a 100-fold molar excess of a non-labeled probe was added as a competitor to the reaction mixture at the very beginning and incubated for 30 min at RT before adding the radiolabeled probe. As non-labeled competitors, the same DNA fragment as the radiolabeled probe or DNA fragment with introduced mutations were used. Competition experiments were also performed with a probe bearing a consensus binding site for the transcription factor of interest and a mutated form of this probe. For supershift assay, 1 μ l of an antiserum reagent (Table C-13, see also C.1.2) was added to the reaction mixture 30 min prior to the addition of the labeled DNA probe.

A 5 % polyacrylamide gel with a 37.5:1 acrylamide/bisacrylamide ratio was cast in $0.5 \times$ TBE buffer (45 mM Tris–borate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) using the PROTEAN® II xi Cell apparatus (Bio-Rad) with 1.5 mm spacers and 15 wells comb. Prior to loading the binding reaction mixtures into the appropriate well, the gel was prerun for 60 min at 200 V. Separation of DNA-protein complexes from free probe was achieved by electrophoresis at 340 V for approximately 1.5 h at 4 °C using $0.5 \times$ TBE as a running buffer. A 6× gel-loading buffer (0.25 % bromophenol blue, 0.25 % xylene cyanol FF, 40 % (w/v) sucrose in H₂O) was loaded into a separate well and used to monitor the progress of electrophoresis. After electrophoresis the glass plates were separated. The gel attached to one of the glass plates was covered with a sheet of Whatman 3MM filter paper, and the filter paper with the gel attached to it was peeled from the plate. The gel was covered with plastic wrap and dried under vacuum under heating. Finally, the dried gel was exposed to a phosphor imaging screen overnight at RT, and the image was recorded using a phosphorimager Storm 840 (Molecular Dynamics).

TF family	Antibodies
AP1 complex proteins	c-Fos (4-10G)X, c-Fos (4)X, c-Fos (H-125), Fos B (102)X,
	Fra-1 (R-20)X, Fra-2 (L-15)X, c-Jun/Ap-1 (N)X,
	c-Jun/Ap-1 (D)X, Jun B (N-17)X, Jun D (329)X
C/EBP transcription	C/EBP α (14AA)X, C/EBP β (C-19)X, C/EBP β (Δ198)X,
factor family	C/EBP γ (C-20)X, C/EBP δ (M-17)X, CRP 1 (C22)X
ATF/CREB transcription	ATF2 (C-19)X, ATF3 (C-19)X, CREB-1 (C-21)X,
factor family	CREB-1 (X-12)X, CREB-2 (C-20)X
IRF family	IRF1 (C-20)X, IRF2 (C-19)X, IRF3 (FL-425)X, IRF7 (H-246)X,
	ICSAT (M-17)X, ICSBP (C-19)X, ISGF-3γ p48 (C-20)X
NFκB gene family	NFκB p50 (NLS)X, NFκB p50 (H-119)X, NFκB p65 (A)X, rel B (C-19)X, c-Rel (N)X
Ets transcription factor family	Ets-1/Ets-2 (C-275)X, PU1 (Spi-1) (T-21)X
Sp1-like family	Sp1 (PEP 2)X, Sp3 (D-20)X
miscellaneous	Pax-2/5/8 (N-19)X, NFATc (K-18) (T-21)X, stat2 (A7)X, AP2 (C-18)X

Table C-13. Antibodies used in EMSA.

AP-1, activator protein 1; C/EBP, CCAAT-enhancer binding protein; ATF, activating transcription factor; CREB, cAMP responsive element binding protein; IRF, interferon regulatory factor; NF κ B, nuclear factor kappa B; Ets, E26 transformation specific; Sp, signal protein.

C.2.11 Chromatin immunoprecipitation

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) is a powerful technique to study protein/DNA interactions. In this method, intact cells are fixed by formaldehyde, which cross-links and preserves protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions between molecules in close proximity on the chromatin template *in vivo*. A whole-cell extract is prepared, and the cross-linked chromatin is sheared by sonication to reduce the average DNA fragment size to approximately 500 bp. Specific protein/DNA complexes are immunoprecipitated with an antibody against the protein of interest or with a non-immune serum as a negative control. Following immunoprecipitation, formaldehyde cross-linking is reversed by heating, the proteins are removed by treatment with proteinase K, DNA is purified and analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR. The enrichment of specific genomic regions in immunoprecipitated samples as compared to negative control provides information if the protein of interest binds to the specific DNA fragment.

Chromatin preparation. HepG2 cells were grown to 70-80 % confluence on 150-mm plates (TPP). One extra plate was included to be used solely for estimation of cell number. 37 % formaldehyde was added directly to the culture medium to a final concentration of 1 %. After 10

С

min incubation at RT the cross-linking reaction was stopped by adding glycine to a final concentration of 0.125 M and rocking for 5 min. The cells were scraped from the dish into a precooled 50 ml conical tube and pelleted by centrifugation for 5 min at 1800 rpm at 4 °C. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet washed twice with ice-cold Dulbecco's PBS (PAN). After the last wash, the pellet was resuspended in cold SDS lysis buffer with inhibitors (1 % SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1 mM AEBSF, 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)) and incubated for 10 min on ice. The volume of the SDS lysis buffer was calculated based on the starting cell number so that each 200 µl aliquot of the lysed cell pellet contained approximately 3×10^6 cells. At this point the aliquots could be frozen.

Shearing by sonication. The cross-linked DNA was sheared to an average length of about 500 bp (assessed by gel) by using 4×10 s pulses at power 2 on the Branson sonifier B12 in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube while keeping samples in ice-cold water. The sonicated samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 rpm at 4 °C, and the supernatants were transferred to new 2.0 ml microcentrifuge tubes.

Immunoprecipitation. In order to reduce the SDS concentration to 0.1 %, the sonicated cell supernatant was diluted 10-fold with ChIP dilution buffer (16.7 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 167 mM NaCl, 1.2 mM EDTA, 1.1 % Triton X-100, 0.01 % SDS, 1 mM AEBSF, 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)). To reduce nonspecific background, the supernatants were pre-cleared with 20 μ l of protein A beads (Miltenyi Biotec) for 60 min at 4 °C with rotation. Following pre-clearing, the supernatants were passed over μ Columns (Miltenyi Biotec) equilibrated in PBS + 0.5 % Triton X-100, and the flow-through fractions were collected into new 2.0 ml microcentrifuge tubes as pre-cleared samples. 10 % (200 μ l) of the pre-cleared supernatants, named Input material, were kept to quantify the starting amount of DNA present in different samples. Either 2 μ l of anti-NF1 antibody (C.1.2) or an equivalent amount of non-immune control IgG were added to the pre-cleared samples and incubated overnight at 4 °C under rotation.

To collect the antibody-protein complexes, 30 μ l of protein A beads (Miltenyi Biotec) were added to the reaction mixture and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C under rotation. Following the incubation, samples were pipetted onto μ Columns equilibrated in PBS + 0.5 % Triton X-100, allowed to flow through, and washed four times with 500 μ l ChIP wash buffer (100 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 500 mM LiCl, 1 % NP-40, 1 % deoxycholic acid) and once with 500 μ l low salt wash buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaCl). ChIP product was then eluted by applying 500 μ l of freshly prepared ChIP elution buffer (50 mM NaHCO₃, 2 % SDS) to each column.

Reverse cross-linking and DNA purification. The reserved Input DNA was thawed, adjusted to 500 μ l with the ChIP elution buffer and taken through the following steps along with the

immunoprecipitated samples. To reverse cross-links and remove RNA, 10 μ l of RNase/DNase free (0.5 μ g/ μ l, Roche) and 20 μ l 5 M NaCl (200 mM final) were added to each ChIP eluate and to the sample of Input DNA and incubated for 4 h at 65 °C. Following the incubation, tubes were shortly centrifuged to collect liquid from the sides of the tubes. 10 μ l of 0.5 M EDTA, 20 μ l of 1 M Tris, pH 6.8, and 1 μ l of 20 mg/ml proteinase K were added to each tube and incubated for 1 h at 45 °C. DNA was precipitated by adding 1 μ l of glycogen (20 mg/ml), 0.1 volume of 3 M sodium acetate, pH 5.2, and 2.5 volumes of absolute ethanol overnight at -20 °C. DNA was extracted once with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and once with chloroform, precipitated with ethanol, and dissolved in 100 μ l of the EB (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5).

PCR analyses. The amount of the human PPK promoter fragment in immunoprecipitated samples and input materials was quantified by quantitative real-time PCR (C.2.7) using forward 5'-GTTGGCAGAAACCCAAAGTC-3' and reverse 5'-AAGCTGTTCTAATGTGGCACTG-3' primers and Universal Probe #139.

C.2.12 Western blotting

Western blot analysis was applied to monitor the expression of NF1 recombinant proteins.

Nuclear extract preparation. Nuclear extract was prepared from HepG2 cells transiently transfected with NF1 expression vectors (C.2.2.3) essentially as described in C.2.10.1 with some modification. Namely, all buffers were applied in smaller volumes because cells were grown on 12-well plates. Each well was covered with 300 μ l of Buffer A, and cells from two identically treated wells were lysed and scraped off in 100 μ l of Buffer A + 0.2 % NP-40 each and combined. The nuclear pellet was washed in 100 μ l of Buffer C. The pellet (total volume about 10 μ l) was resuspended in 25 μ l of Buffer C and subsequently 3 μ l 5 M NaCl was added.

Electrophoresis of proteins by SDS-PAGE. Electrophoretic separation of proteins was achieved with the discontinuous method of Laemmli (1970) using SE 250 Mighty Small II electrophoresis unit (Hoefer). 2 μ g of nuclear extract was adjusted to 7.5 μ l volume with Buffer C (C.2.10.1), mixed with 7.5 μ l of Laemmli sample buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 4 % SDS, 20 % glycerol, 0.01 % (w/v) Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250, 25 mM DTT), and boiled for 5 min at 95 °C. After short centrifugation to collect the liquid, samples were loaded onto a 10 % SDS-polyacrylamide gel and separated at 80 V (stacking gel) and 140 V (resolving gel) at 16 °C for about 1.5 h in total. The running buffer was 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 0.1 % (w/v) SDS. Precision Plus Protein Kaleidoscope standards (Bio-Rad) loaded into a separate well were used to monitor the progress of electrophoresis and subsequently as a visual reference of the molecular weight for proteins of interest.

С

Immunoblotting. Following the SDS-PAGE, the separated bands were transferred from the gel onto a nitrocellulose membrane using the semidry electrotransfer procedure. Whatman 3MM papers and nitrocellulose membrane were cut according to the size of the gel to be blotted and soaked in transfer buffer (48 mM Tris, 39 mM glycine, 0.037 % SDS, 20 % (v/v) methanol). The gel was shortly rinsed with the same buffer and the following transfer sandwich was set: anode/ 3× Whatman filters/ nitrocellulose membrane/ SDS-polyacrylamide gel/ 3× Whatman filters/ cathode. After removing air bubbles from the sandwich, proteins were blotted for 1.5 h at a constant current of 70 mA per gel.

To block unspecific binding sites, the nitrocellulose membrane was incubated overnight in 5 % (w/v) skim milk powder in TBST buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-base, pH 7.5, 0.1 % Tween-20) at 4 °C on a rocking platform. The anti-hemagglutinin primary antibody (Roche) was added for 1 h at RT in 1 % (w/v) non-fat milk in TBST buffer (1:2000 diluted). The unbound antibody was washed away (3×5 min) with TBST buffer, and the membrane was incubated in TBST buffer with the 1:2000 diluted secondary HRP-labeled antibody (DAKO) for an additional 1 h. The membrane was washed again (3×5 min) in TBST buffer, developed using Lumi-Light^{PLUS} Western Blotting substrate (Roche) for 15 min, dried and exposed to an X-ray film (Amersham Biosciences).

C.2.13 Bioinformatic tools

Putative transcription factor binding sites were identified with the MatInspector program (http://www.genomatix.de). The Matrix Family Library version 5.0 was used with core/matrix similarity values set to 0.70/optimized.

The program Patser from regulatory sequence analysis (RSA) tools (http://rsat.scmbb.ulb.ac.be/rsat/) was used to scan the DNA sequence of interest with a position-specific scoring matrix. A weight matrix for the TF of interest was obtained if available by accessing to the TRANSFAC database (http://www.gene-regulation.com/pub/databases.html #transfac).

Cap-analysis gene expression (CAGE) Basic database was used as a source of sequenced capped 5' ends of transcripts for mouse and human (Carninci et al., 2006; Kawaji et al., 2006).

GenScript siRNA Target Finder (https://www.genscript.com/ssl-bin/app/rnai) was used to design siRNA.

D Utilization of multiple transcription start sites and alternative promoters for the PPK gene expression

D.1 RESULTS

D

D.1.1 Identification of two distal exons and splice variants of the human PPK gene

Mapping of the mouse PPK (mPPK) mRNA to the genomic sequence revealed that the previously postulated mouse exon 1 in fact consists of three exons, designated mE1a, mE1b, and mE1c (Neth et al., 2005). The alignment of the three newly defined mouse exons to the human genomic sequence revealed three regions with identities between 74 % and 85 % (hE1a, hE1b, hE1g in Figure D-1), whereby hE1g overlapped only 48 bp of the 5'-end of the conventional exon 1 (Neth et al., 2005). To examine if the exons hE1a and hE1b are transcribed in human, PCR experiments were carried out. PPK cDNA from three tissues with high hPPK mRNA expression (liver, kidney, and testis) was amplified using forward and reverse primers positioned in the putative exon hE1a and the conventional exon hE1, respectively (C.2.1.3). PCR products were obtained for liver, kidney, and testis indicating that exon hE1a is present in hPPK transcripts. However, the size of the PCR products estimated by an agarose gel electrophoresis was typically greater than expected. Amplification of hepatic cDNA resulted in PCR products of the same length, whereas PCR with renal and testicular cDNA yielded PCR products of different lengths.

Sequencing of the PCR products confirmed the results of the *in silico* analysis (Figure D-1). Two distal exons hE1a and hE1b upstream of the hPPK gene and an extended form of the conventional exon 1, hE1g, were found. The length of the identified exon hE1b was 208 bp against predicted 175 bp, for I1a the respective lengths were 1196 bp against 1198 bp. The region between identified hE1b and hE1g comprised 16725 bp against predicted 16734 bp. hE1g initiated 116 bp or 106 bp upstream of the known +1 position.

Surprisingly, two to four additional exons (designated hE1c, hE1d, hE1e, hE1f) in different combinations and lengths were discovered within the predicted intron I1b. In liver only the transcript of type I containing exons hE1c and hE1d of 163 bp and 185 bp, respectively, was identified (Figure D-1), whereas in kidney and testis various splice variants were found. Kidney transcript II contained additionally transcribed regions hE1c, hE1d, hE1e, and hE1f; in transcript III exon hE1f was missing. Transcripts IV and V were similar to transcripts II and III, respectively, except that exons hE1c and hE1d and the intervening region were transcribed as one exon hE1cd. Transcript VI found in testis contained all exons but hE1d. Transcripts VII and VIII were unusual in that exons hE1f and hE1g were shortened at the 3' end by 41 bp and at the

D



Figure D-1. Alternative splicing in the 5'-region of the hPPK gene.

Filled boxes represent the newly identified exons of the hPPK gene, and lines represent introns. The black box corresponds to the conventional exon 1. Exons are drawn to scale. The gene-specific primers used in the first and nested PCR were positioned in exons hE1a and hE1g (arrowheads). Roman numerals indicate the different types of splice variants.

5' end by 10 bp, respectively, as compared to all other transcripts. In respect to the other exons the transcript VII was identical to the transcript VI. In the splice variant VIII exons hE1b, hE1c, and hE1d were missing.

The result that in liver only a single splice variant was found suggested that this might be due to an experimental outlier. Therefore an additional PCR was performed using a different cDNA from liver as template and different reverse primers as compared to the first PCR (C.2.1.3).

Similar to kidney and testis, also in liver a variety of alternatively spliced transcripts were detected. Three splice variants corresponded to the previously identified transcripts type I, IV, and V in liver and kidney (Figures D-2, D-1). Transcripts IX and X were similar to the transcript I except that exon hE1g was shortened at the 5' end by 38 bp; in addition, the transcript X

contained exon hE1f. Transcript XI differed from the transcript X in exon hE1f shortened at the 3' end by 41 bp and exon hE1g extended at the 5' end by 24 bp. Transcript XII contained transcribed regions hE1a, hE1b, hE1c, hE1d, and hE1g. Transcript XIII was unusual in that exons hE1b, hE1c, and hE1d were missing. In respect to the other exons the transcript XIII was identical to the transcript X.





Filled boxes represent the newly identified exons of the hPPK gene, and lines represent introns. Exons are drawn to scale. The gene-specific primers used in the first and nested PCR were positioned in exons hE1a and hE1g (arrowheads). Roman numerals indicate the different types of splice variants.

Thus, the PCR experiments demonstrated for hPPK mRNA expressed in liver, kidney, and testis the presence of two distal exons hE1a and hE1b analogous to mouse; in addition, two to four exons in different combinations and lengths are transcribed in the region between the newly identified hE1b and the conventional hE1.

D.1.2 The human PPK gene utilizes transcription initiation sites analogous to mouse and *vice versa*

After having demonstrated that distal exons analogous to the mouse exist in human the TSSs of the long transcripts were determined. For that reason RNA ligase-mediated rapid amplification of 5'-cDNA ends (RLM-RACE, Invitrogen) was performed with mRNA from human liver, kidney, and testis and the PPK-specific primers positioned in hE1a (C.2.6).

Three types of transcripts (types I to III, Figure D-3A) were detected. Type I found in liver and testis corresponded to the transcript predicted by *in silico* analysis (cf. Figure D-1). It started at positions resulting in lengths of hE1a of 83 and 110 bp in liver, 79 and 153 bp in testis. The type II transcripts only found in testis contained an additional exon hE1a-1. hE1a-1 was separated from hE1a by 93 bp and initiated at different TSSs resulting in lengths of 15, 35, 53, 67, and 107 bp. The type III transcripts were detected in liver and kidney. In addition to the exon hE1a-1 (135 bp) it contained a second upstream exon, hE1a-2 (285 bp and 234 bp, respectively), which was separated from hE1a-1 by a 3562-bp intron.

Interestingly, when RLM-RACE experiments were performed with PPK-specific primers positioned in exon 1, none of the long transcripts was detected (Figure D-3A). All identified transcripts started at or near position +1 resulting in lengths of hE1 of 49, 65, 67, 68, and 70 bp for human liver; 65 and 70 bp for human kidney; 59 and 70 bp for human testis. This suggested that transcription initiation in the distal regions is a rare event, and that the number of analyzed transcripts was statistically too low to include one of the long transcripts.

To address the question whether TSSs analogous to those of the short form of hPPK are utilized in mouse, RLM-RACE analyses with mRNA from mouse liver and kidney were carried out. When the gene-specific primers were located in mE1c, in total five different TSSs within mE1c were identified (Figure D-3B). The resulting mE1c lengths of 69, 77, 80, 83, and 84 bp were similar in size to the conventional human exon 1. Since no transcripts corresponding to the known mouse mRNA (i.e., starting with mE1a) were detected, an additional RLM-RACE experiment with the PPK-specific primer in mE1a was performed (Figure D-3B). Two types of transcripts were found in mouse liver, and three in mouse kidney. The type I transcript was detected in both tissues and started with mE1a, where the lengths of mE1a were 62 and 147 bp in liver and 63 bp in kidney. Transcripts of type II had an additional upstream exon, mE1a-1, which was separated from mE1a (180 bp) by an intron of 97 bp in both liver and kidney. mE1a-1 had the lengths of 66 and 89 bp in liver and 85 bp in kidney. The transcript of type III found exclusively in mouse kidney contained an additional upstream exon as compared to the type II.





Boxes represent exons and lines introns of the PPK genes. The black boxes correspond to the conventional exon 1 for human (hE1) and the third exon for mouse (mE1c). Exons between hE1b and hE1g are not shown. Exons are drawn to scale. The positions of gene-specific primers are shown by arrowheads. Roman numerals indicate the different types of transcripts.

mE1a-2 had a length of 80 bp and was separated from mE1a-1 by a 1393-bp intron. No TSS corresponding to the 5'-end of the published mouse PPK mRNA (Seidah et al., 1990) was found.

Additional evidence for the use of multiple TSSs was gained from a search of the cap analysis of gene expression (CAGE) Basic database for human and mouse (Kawaji et al., 2006). The CAGE database stores 1260079 mouse and 706211 human TSSs which were experimentally derived from 23 and 15 tissues, respectively. For the hPPK gene, two TSSs were found starting at positions -11 and +6 of the known mRNA; the resulting exon hE1 lengths would be 81 and 65 bp. In addition, five distal TSSs were detected corresponding to type I (resulting in hE1a of 78 bp), type II (hE1a-1 of 118 and 133 bp), and type III (hE1a-2 of 101 and 395 bp) transcripts. For mouse, a number of TSSs were mapped to the distal region of the mPPK gene. A total of 9 TSSs had start sites within mE1a-2; the resulting exon lengths would be 19, 21, 32, 33, 54, 72, 81, 92, and 95 bp. For mE1a-1, six TSSs were identified, causing mE1a-1 lengths of 24, 31 (n=2), 83, 101, and 114 bp. Ten TSSs were detected with the 5'-ends corresponding to mE1a (22 (n=2), 27, 32, 95, 151, 177, and 180 (n=3) bp), where none of the initiation sites coincided exactly with that of the known mPPK mRNA (87 bp). Strikingly, the majority of TSSs (143) were grouped into a single cluster that was mapped to mE1c. The resulting exon lengths varied from 19 to 141 bp with the dominant peak of 70 (n=21) and 71 (n=27) bp, thus being similar to the size of the conventional human exon 1.

Taken together, the RLM-RACE and the data-mining results demonstrate that both in mouse and human transcription of the PPK gene can be initiated at sites which are up to 23 kbp apart. However, the long transcripts were detectable only when the gene-specific primers in RLM-RACE experiments were located in the distal exons mE1a and hE1a. Thus, the proximal TSSs seem to be preferred over the distal initiation sites.

D.1.3 Identification of alternative promoters for the human PPK gene

The results described above and reported earlier (Neth, 2002; Neth et al., 2005) demonstrated that the transcription of the hPPK gene can be initiated in at least five regions (Figure D-4A). Accordingly, five different promoters could be utilized for initiation of the hPPK gene transcription. Neth et al. showed by a reporter gene study that a region proximal to the known mRNA (hereafter *Prox*) has a promoter activity (cf. -668/+22, Neth, 2002; Neth et al., 2005). Further, they suggested that a downstream fragment comprising exon 1, intron 2, and exon 2 might be utilized for the hPPK gene transcription as well (hereafter designated *E1i1E2*). From the TSS determination experiments described in section D.1.2 it could be concluded that transcription of the hPPK gene can be driven by three additional promoters, namely three distal regions upstream to the exons hE1a, hE1a-1, and hE1a-2 (designated *Dist1, Dist2, Dist3*,

D

respectively). Therefore, five fragments encompassing the detected TSSs and an adjacent upstream region of approximately the same length of 750 bp (Figure D-4A) were generated (C.2.1.2). The putative promoters were cloned into the promoterless vector pSEAP2-Basic upstream of the reporter gene encoding SEAP, a secreted form of alkaline phosphatase. The obtained plasmids were transiently transfected into HepG2 and IHKE1 cells (C.2.2.3). Cells were cotransfected with pCMV- β -gal plasmid to normalize for transfection efficiency. 48 hours after transfection the activity of the putative promoters was evaluated via measurements of the SEAP activity in the supernatants of the cells, normalized to the β -gal activity in the respective lysates (C.2.3).

As shown in the left part of Figure D-4B, in HepG2 cells the *Prox* promoter was most active (100 %); the *E1i1E2* and the *Dist3* alternative promoters had 37 % and 60 % activity, respectively; the *Dist1* and *Dist2* promoters showed no significant activity. Differently, in IHKE1 cells the *E1i1E2* promoter was most active (100 %), whereas of the distal promoters *Dist3* had about 19 % activity. Two distal regions, *Dist1* and *Dist2*, and the *Prox* promoter showed no activity. Thus, promoter activity determined in the reporter-gene study was in concert with the results of RLM-RACE experiments. Namely, in the liver all TSSs coincided with or were close to the position +1 of the known hPPK mRNA, whereas in the kidney the majority of TSSs were detected within the intron 1 and exon 2. In accordance with these findings, in HepG2 cells the *Prox* promoter was the most active, in IHKE1 cells the *E1i1E2* region had the highest activity.

Finally, it was examined if the promoters of PPK when cloned into pSEAP2-Basic plasmid use TSSs analogous to those detected in the human liver and kidney. RLM-RACE analysis (C.2.6) was performed with total RNA from HepG2 and IHKE1 cells transiently transfected with the *Prox* (only HepG2), *Dist3*, and *Eli1E2* pSEAP2 plasmids. The gene-specific primer was located in the backbone of the pSEAP2-plasmid downstream of the inserted promoter of interest. For the *Prox* promoter, RLM-RACE analysis demonstrated that all sequenced transcripts initiated at the correct TSSs. The resulting lengths of hE1 would be 65, 70 (n=5), 73 (n=2), 75 (n=3), and 81 bp, which are close to the size of the conventional hE1 (70 bp). For the *Dist3* promoter, detected TSSs were more diverse as compared to those found in liver and kidney. In HepG2 cells, TSSs were obtained which would cause hE1a-2 lengths of 229, 230 (n=2), 361 (n=2), 408, 419, 673, and 822 bp. In IHKE1 cells, transcription was initiated from sites which would result in lengths of hE1a-2 of 211, 221, 232, 276 (n=3), 334 (n=2), 419, and 707 bp. Thus, the *Prox* and *Dist3* fragments cloned into the reporter vector initiate transcription at sites corresponding to TSSs found in human tissues.



Figure D-4. Activity of the putative promoters of the hPPK gene.

A. Schematic organization of the 5'-region of the hPPK gene. Shaded boxes represent exons and lines introns. Exons between hE1a and hE1 are not shown. Exons are drawn to scale. The numbers of nucleotides are indicated. Regions with putative promoter activity are shown as double arrows. **B.** Activity of putative promoters of PPK in HepG2 and IHKE1 cells. The promoter constructs cloned into pSEAP2-Basic plasmid were transiently transfected into the cells as described in Materials and Methods. Activity of the most active promoter in each cell type was taken as 100 %. Activity of the promoterless pSEAP2-Basic vector is indicated as Basic. The data are represented as the means ± standard deviation of at least three independent experiments made in triplicates.

Surprisingly, RLM-RACE analysis for the *E1i1E2* pSEAP2 plasmid revealed that only a small number of transcripts was initiated within the sequence of the *E1i1E2* promoter, whereas the majority of TSSs were mapped to the vector backbone. This phenomenon and its analysis are described separately in section F.1.

Taking into account that the *Dist3* region is connected with the transcription of the hPPK gene and positioned about 22.5 kbp upstream of the conventional initiation site, it seemed possible that *Dist3* cooperates with a basic promoter of PPK and acts as an enhancer. To check this possibility, *Dist3* was cloned in forward and reverse orientation downstream of the SEAP reporter gene into the pSEAP2 vector with either the *Prox* or the *E1i1E2* fragments as promoters. The obtained plasmids were transiently transfected into that cell line in which the respective promoter was most active (Figure D-4B). However, insertion of the *Dist3* region into the plasmids did not lead to any increase in activity for the *Prox* and *E1i1E2* promoters (Figure D-4B, right part). In HepG2 cells, the *Dist3* region in reverse orientation did not result in any significant change of the *Prox* promoter activity, whereas in forward orientation it had a slight repression effect reducing activity of the *Prox* promoter from 100 % to 64 %. In IHKE1 cells, the *Dist3* region suppressed the *E1i1E2* promoter activity from 100 % to 27 % (forward orientation) and 55 % (reverse orientation). Thus, the *Dist3* region can act as an alternative promoter but not as an enhancer for the hPPK gene transcription in HepG2 and IHKE1 cells.

Taken together, the results suggested a cell-dependent utilization of the *Prox* and *Eli1E2* promoters for the transcription of the hPPK gene. Of the distal promoters *Dist3* was active in both cell lines, whereas *Dist1* and *Dist2* had no transcriptional activity.

D.2 DISCUSSION

D

PCR experiments verified for hPPK mRNA expressed in liver, kidney, and testis the presence of two distal exons hE1a and hE1b analogous to mouse (Figures D-1, D-5). The novel exons are located approximately 18.3 and 17 kbp upstream from the annotated +1 position of the hPPK gene, which corresponds to the distances of 13.4 and 12.1 kbp for the analogous mouse exons mE1a and mE1b. Furthermore, RLM-RACE experiments revealed that transcription of the PPK gene in human and mouse tissues (liver, kidney and testis) can be initiated even further upstream, yielding transcripts with one or two additional exons, E1a-1 and E1a-2 (Figures D-3, D-5), each one with variable TSSs. In the human the three distal start regions are about 18.3, 18.5, and 22.4 kbp upstream of the annotated (proximal) transcription start region. In the mouse the corresponding distances are about 13.7, 13.9, and 15.5 kbp.





A 34.5-kbp interval of human chromosome 4 is shown at the top. The annotated 3'-end of *CYP4V2* and the 5'-end of *KLKB1* are drawn to scale. Boxes represent exons and lines introns. At the bottom the location of the novel exons of the hPPK gene determined in RLM-RACE and PCR experiments is shown. Identified PPK transcripts varied in length and combination of the individual exons (cf. Figures D-1, D-2, D-3), only one example is shown.

D

In addition to transcription initiation at three different distal sites, the diversity of transcripts is further increased by the fact that the region between the newly identified hE1a and the conventional hE1 is alternatively spliced resulting in transcripts with two to five exons (hE1b through hE1f) in different combinations and lengths (Figures D-1, D-2, D-5). Altogether, in three human tissues (liver, kidney and testis) thirteen types of alternatively spliced transcripts of the hE1a-hE1g region were identified. Thus, provided that the thirteen types of alternative splicing are expressed *in vivo* for each of the three distal transcription start regions, a total of at least 39 alternative long transcripts of the hPPK gene could be generated.

Altogether, in human tissues the transcription of the PPK gene may be initiated in at least five different regions (proximal, intronic, and three distal regions) at sites which are up to 23 kbp apart. The existence of multiple transcripts of the hPPK gene that differ substantially in their 5'termini reflects the presence of alternative promoters, since one and the same promoter is thought to drive transcription from different TSSs only when they are located within an about 50 bp-wide region (Suzuki et al., 2001). This will certainly be the case for such tightly clustered TSSs as the proximal TSSs of the hPPK gene, whereas transcription from distantly apart TSSs (proximal, intronic and distal TSSs) will be under the control of different promoters. Examination of the five putative promoters (proximal, intronic, and three distal ones) by reporter-gene analysis in two cell lines demonstrated that three of them, Prox, ElilE2, and Dist3, directed reporter-gene expression (D.1.3) suggesting that these promoters are functional in *vivo.* The finding that the *Prox* promoter shows the highest activity in hepatocytes, whereas *ElilE2* is most active in kidney epithelial cells, indicates a cell-type dependent utilization of the two promoters for the transcription of the hPPK gene. This conclusion is consistent with previous RLM-RACE experiments which mapped the majority of TSSs in human liver close to the conventional +1 position and in human kidney predominantly downstream of exon 1 (Table B-1). Of the distal promoters Dist3 is active in both cell lines indicating that it drives transcription of the hPPK gene from the most distal sites. In contrast, Dist1 and Dist2 showed no transcriptional activity in the tested cell lines. Dist2 was supposed to control transcription initiation in exon hE1a-1 giving rise to PPK transcripts of type II (Figure D-3). Such transcripts were detected only in testis, but not in liver and kidney. Therefore, Dist2 may be utilized to provide differential regulation of the hPPK gene expression in other tissues than liver and kidney, in particular in testis. Dist1 was expected to be active at least in HepG2 cells giving rise to transcripts of type I as found in liver. However, Dist1 was inactive. The alternative explanation that Dist3 controls transcription initiation from the TSSs clustered in exon hE1a is unlikely, because it is too far upstream (about 4.0 kbp) of hE1a. Therefore, in hepatocytes the

81

regulatory region driving transcription of the hPPK gene from TSSs clustered in exons hE1a remains to be identified. Taken together, for three out of five putative promoters it could be verified experimentally that they are active *in vivo*.

The findings that alternative promoters/transcription start regions are recruited for transcripttion and that the 5' untranslated region is spliced in multiple ways as revealed here for the hPPK gene fits recently published data. Based on large scale determination of transcription start sites it has been estimated that 52-58 % of human protein-coding genes are regulated by two or more alternative promoters with on average 3.1 distinctive putative promoters per gene (Carninci et al., 2006; Kimura et al., 2006), an independent study predicted multiple promoters for 45 % of multi-exon genes and for 22 % of these genes the promoters were proven to be functional (Cooper et al., 2006). Usage of alternative promoters/TSSs giving rise to different primary transcripts can affect the level of transcription in various tissues and/or at different developmental stages, splicing events, nuclear export of mRNA and its cytoplasmic localization, stability of mRNA and translational efficiency, and finally can lead to the generation of protein isoforms (Ayoubi & Van De Ven, 1996; Hughes, 2006), albeit for the majority of genes with alternative promoters transcripts with heterogeneous untranslated regions (UTRs) but identical open reading frames (ORFs) are produced (Denoeud et al., 2007; Landry et al., 2003).

Both usage of alternative TSSs and alternative splicing of the resulting primary transcripts have been reported for a variety of individual genes, and large scale studies suggested that these phenomena are common throughout the genome and are not a biological artifact. Recently it has been demonstrated in the ENCODE pilot study on 1 % of the human genome (The ENCODE Project Consortium, 2004) that 81.5% of the tested genes have at least one previously unannotated initiation site; about 62 % of the genes were found to have alternative internal TSSs, while approximately 68 % have unannotated 5' extensions (Denoeud et al., 2007). Distribution analysis of the distances between the novel upstream and the previously annotated TSSs revealed that most 5' extensions (> 70 %) span more than 10 kbp, and approximately 12 % of all detected TSSs are found at a distance between 10 and 25 kbp of the most 5' annotated exon (The ENCODE Project Consortium, 2007). Moreover, in 87 % of the genes with 5' extensions at least one of the detected transcripts reaches into upstream-positioned genes, often (> 50 %) corresponding to annotated exons of a neighboring gene. Consistently, in the case of the hPPK gene all distal TSSs were about 18-23 kbp upstream of the originally annotated +1 position. The next gene upstream of the hPPK gene, CYP4V2, encodes a cytochrome P450. Analysis of the novel exons of extended PPK transcripts revealed that exons hE1a-2, hE1a-1, hE1a, and hE1b are shared with the CYP4V2 gene, while the exons hE1c through hE1f fall into the intergenic

region (Figure D-5). Thus, transcription of the hPPK gene may produce chimeric transcripts that include sequences from the neighboring locus.

Intriguingly, the ENCODE survey demonstrated that the transcriptome of kidney and testis showed much higher complexity as compared to liver in respect to the number of detected TSSs. Moreover, testis and kidney belong to the three sources with the highest number of the tissue-specific TSSs (9.6 % of the newly identified TSSs is specific to testis, 5.7 % to stomach, 4.6 % to kidney, 1.5 % to liver) (Denoeud et al., 2007). Consistent with this observation, transcription of the human PPK gene in kidney and testis is characterized by a wide diversity of the proximal TSSs, whereas in liver the hPPK gene transcription initiates at a few tightly clustered TSSs (Table B-1). For the distal TSSs comparative analysis revealed no obvious correlation with the ENCODE results, seven distal TSSs were identified in testis, but only one in kidney and three in liver. As far as the total number of tissue-specific initiation sites is concerned, intercomparison of the distal, proximal and intronic TSSs utilized for the hPPK gene transcription in liver, kidney and testis (cf. Table B-1, Figure D-3) revealed the presence of thirteen unique TSSs in testis, twelve in kidney and five in liver, again in agreement with the ENCODE results. As a whole, the transcription of the hPPK gene can be initiated at multiple, distantly apart TSSs and several of them are tissue-specific.

Taken together, the comprehensive analysis of the PPK gene transcripts demonstrates the presence of highly heterogeneous 5' UTRs resulting from recruitment of different promoters, from a variation in the initiation sites utilized by each promoter, and from alternative splicing. The significance of multiple alternative 5' UTRs is currently being appreciated as a means of gene expression regulation, on the one hand at the level of gene transcription, on the other at the level of translation.

In particular, high heterogeneity of UTRs enables a post-transcriptional regulation of coordinated gene expression. Namely, gene expression in eukaryotes can be regulated at the mRNA level by *trans*-acting factors (RNA-binding proteins and non-coding RNAs) interacting with multiple regulatory elements within the mRNAs, especially within their UTRs. In addition, according to the post-transcriptional operon model (Keene & Tenenbaum, 2002; Keene & Lager, 2005), if a regulatory element is shared by several mRNAs, they can be co-regulated as a group, while other regulatory elements, present in only one mRNA, can allow it to be regulated independently of the other mRNAs. Hence, mRNAs encoding proteins with multiple functions can be independently co-regulated with different subsets of mRNAs due to unique combinations of the *cis*-acting elements within the transcript and the *trans*-acting factors available.

D

Additional control depending on the 5' UTR involves the internal ribosome entry site, upstream ORFs, 5' terminal polypyrimidine sequences, RNA secondary structure, and the methylation state of the cap structure (Audic & Hartley, 2004; Hughes, 2006). Concerning in particular the alternative 5' UTRs of the PPK gene, they contain multiple upstream ORFs which might downregulate PPK translation by restricting the access of ribosomes to the correct start codon in exon 2. For example, the PPK transcript type IV (Figure D-2) contains 10 short reading frames of lengths ranging from 20 (smaller ones are not counted here) to 111 amino acids, while the PPK transcript type XIII contains only 5 alternative ORFs ranging from 20 to 68 amino acids.

The detailed examination of alternative reading frames in the multiple 5' UTRs of the hPPK gene reveals that the longest ORF being 111 amino acids in length resides within exons hE1d, hE1e, and hE1f (cf. transcripts type II and IV, Figure D-1). Since none of the upstream ORFs extends to the correct start codon in exon 2 of the hPPK gene, all detected PPK transcripts code the classical protein. Neither fusion proteins with altered N-termini nor other proteins translated in different reading frames are created. Differently, a truncated form of a PPK molecule lacking the signal peptide and at least 19 amino acids of the mature protein might be produced from the transcripts starting downstream of the regular start codon in exon 2 (Neth, 2002; Neth et al., 2005).

The multiplicity of detected hPPK gene transcripts resulting from utilization of different promoters and alternative splicing demonstrates that the transcription of the hPPK gene can be regulated in many ways. In addition, the large variety of transcripts provides the possibility of multifaceted control at the translational level including coordinated translation with other proteins according to the post-transcriptional operon model. Overall, synthesis of plasma prekallikrein can be meticulously regulated and fine-tuned both at the transcriptional and translational level. This argues for that a temporal and spatial control of PPK expression is important and thus supports the concept that plasma prekallikrein/plasma kallikrein plays hitherto unknown diverse functional roles at various developmental stages and/or in various tissues and cell types (Hermann et al., 1999; Neth et al., 2001).

E Role of the nuclear factor 1 transcription factor for the expression of the human PPK gene

E.1 RESULTS

E.1.1 Site-directed mutagenesis of the *Prox* promoter delineates three regions of critical *cis*-acting elements

To explore the molecular mechanisms underlying transcriptional control of the hPPK gene, the *Prox* fragment shown to be the principal promoter utilized in hepatocytes, the primary site of the hPPK synthesis, was further investigated (D.1.3). A previously performed deletion analysis of the *Prox* promoter had shown that the 150 bp region upstream of the hPPK gene (hereafter referred to as the basic control region) retains more than 50 % of the whole proximal promoter activity (Neth, 2002; Neth et al., 2005). To identify *cis*-acting elements, the basic control region in the context of the *Prox* promoter was screened by substitution mutation analysis with consecutive mutations of 6 bp each. The substitution mutations were introduced by a megaprimer method using as a general rule $a\leftrightarrow c$, $g\leftrightarrow t$ exchange (C.2.1.4). The mutated forms of the *Prox* promoter were inserted into the promoterless reporter vector pSEAP2-Basic (C.2.1.8), the obtained plasmids were transiently transfected into HepG2 cells (C.2.2.3), and promoter activity of the mutants was measured by a chemiluminescent assay (C.2.3).

As shown in Figure E-1 the mutations 19, 21, and 06 abolished the promoter activity almost completely. Mutations 15, 20, 23, and 24 reduced the activity to 10 - 20 % of the wild type, and two other mutations, 05 and 26, to 40 % and 32 %, respectively. Transcriptional activities between 50 % and 75 % were observed for the *Prox* pSEAP2 plasmid with mutations 09 (68 %), 04 (70 %), 16 (61 %), 18 (61 %), 22 (52 %), and 28 (62 %). The mutations 10, 01, 25 led to a slight decrease in activity to 88 %, 85 %, 91 %, respectively, although for mutation 10 the reduction was not significant. The mutation 12 resulted in a slight increase in activity to approximately 135 % as compared to the wild-type *Prox* promoter. A several fold induction of promoter activity (310 %, 440 %, and 210 %) was presumably caused by introducing new TF binding sites or disrupting the recognition sequence of a repressor in the *Prox* promoter by mutations 13, 14 and 17, respectively. The remaining mutants 11, 02, 03, and 27 exhibited no significant change in promoter activity. The most critical *cis*-acting elements identified by site-directed mutagenesis were grouped into the three regions, region 1, 2 and 3 (Figure E-1).

To examine if the TSS utilization was affected by the mutations, the start site used for transcription of the SEAP gene was determined for the randomly chosen *IM03* pSEAP2 plasmid.

The detected TSSs corresponded to hE1 lengths of 70 (n=3), 73, 78 (n=2), 81, and 111 bp; therefore it was concluded that the introduced mutations did not affect the TSSs usage.



Figure E-1. Substitution mutation analysis of the *Prox* **promoter of the hPPK gene.** Consecutive segments of 6 bp each were mutated within the basic control region -152/+22 of the *Prox* promoter (-668/+22) by a megaprimer method using as a general rule $a\leftrightarrow c$, $g\leftrightarrow t$ exchange. Designations of the mutants are given below the columns. 1, 2 and 3 indicate the regions with the most effective mutations. The position of the published transcription start site is marked by an arrow. The promoter activity of the mutants was monitored in HepG2 cells as described in Materials and Methods. Results shown are the means \pm standard deviation of at least three independent experiments performed in triplicates.

Thus, there are at least three regions (1, 2 and 3) of important elements within the basic control region of the *Prox* promoter. TFs binding to these elements are most likely to have a profound effect on transcription of the hPPK gene.

E.1.2 Multiple DNA-binding proteins interact with regions 1 and 3 of the basic control region

To identify TFs that interact with the defined regions and accordingly might be responsible for the *in vivo* control of the hPPK gene transcription, electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were employed (C.2.10). Firstly, a database search was performed to predict which known TFs and TF families might be capable of binding to the regions with important *cis*-acting elements (C.2.13). The MatInspector program proposed TATA-binding protein (TBP) and nuclear factor Y (NFY) to be candidates for binding to the region 1 and TG-interacting factor (TGIF) to the region 3. The predicted protein-DNA interactions were tested by EMSA with

nuclear extracts from HepG2 cells (C.2.10.1) and oligonucleotides encompassing the identified regions of critical elements and several adjacent bases at their 5'- and 3'-ends (Table C-12).

At least three complexes were obtained with P³²-labeled Probe 1 (Figure E-2A, labeled bands 1 through 3). A factor responsible for the lower band seemed to be unstable and easily affected by casual variations in experimental conditions since the complex was not in all experiments visible. Addition of a 100-fold excess of unlabeled Probe 1 oligonucleotide abolished the bands and thus demonstrated specificity of binding. To address the sequence-specificity of the protein-DNA interactions, competition experiments were performed with unlabeled probes containing the same substitution mutations as used in mutation analysis (E.1.1). The mutant competitor Probe 1 M23 had no affect on all three complexes, thus, the mutation 23 disrupted binding sites in such a way that they no longer bound to the respective proteins. Inclusion into a binding reaction of the unlabeled mutant competitors Probe 1 M06 and Probe 1 M24 resulted in detection of faint bands corresponding to the complexes 2, 3 and 1, 2, 3, respectively, suggesting that the two mutations reduced the affinity of the proteins to the respective DNA sequences only slightly. Mutation 06 had no affect on the protein-DNA interaction corresponding to the complex 1 since the Probe 1 M06 oligomer competed successfully. To characterize TFs present in complexes 1, 2 and 3, competition experiments with consensus oligonucleotides were performed. Neither TBP nor NFY consensus oligomers could compete with the labeled Probe 1 for specific binding suggesting that these TFs are not involved in the formation of the observed complexes.

Specific complexes were also detected with Probe 3 in EMSA (Figure E-2B). Formation of a prominent upper band (1) and two lower doublets (2 and 3) was completely prevented when the reaction was performed in the presence of a 100-fold excess of the unlabeled wild-type probe but not in the presence of the Probe 3 M15 oligomer. It indicated that the complexes were specific, and the mutation 15 disrupted the recognition sequence of the TFs involved in the formation of the complexes. However, competition experiments with the TGIF consensus oligonucleotide and its mutant resulted in the band pattern identical to the Control thus disproving the *in silico* prediction of specific participation of the TGIF in any of the complexes.

Taken together, transcription factors TBP, NFY and TGIF predicted to bind to the regions 1 and 3 of the *Prox* promoter could not be identified as components of specific complexes detected in the EMSA experiments.

In addition, potential protein-DNA interactions were screened in supershift experiments with antibodies specific for TFs of the ATF/CREB, AP-1, NFκB, C/EBP, and IRF families (Table C-13). Neither supershift nor disappearance of any band were detected with any of the two

oligonucleotides as a probe (data not shown). Thus, the nature of the TFs specifically binding to the region 1 and region 3 of the basic control region remains unknown.



Figure E-2. Sequence-specific protein-DNA interactions of the regions 1 and 3 of the *Prox* promoter.

A. EMSA competition experiments with P^{32} -labeled Probe 1 oligomer and nuclear extracts from HepG2 cells. Unlabeled Probe 1, mutant probes and consensus oligonucleotides (wild-type and mutant) for TBP and NFY transcription factors were used as competitors. The thin white line between the Control and cons TBP lanes is due to that several lanes present in the gel are not shown in the figure. **B.** Analogous EMSA competition experiments with P^{32} -labeled Probe 3 and unlabeled Probe 3, the mutated forms of Probe 3, and consensus oligonucleotides for TGIF as competitors. All introduced mutations corresponded to those used in substitution mutation analysis. Specific complexes are numbered and indicated by arrows.

E.1.3 Nuclear factor 1 binds *in vitro* and *in vivo* to the region 2 of critical *cis*-acting elements of the *Prox* promoter

Nuclear factor 1 (NF1) was predicted by the programs MatInspector and Patser to bind to the region 2 of important *cis*-acting elements. The NF1 binding site represents a palindrome-like

sequence composed of two TTGGCA half sites with three spacer nucleotides (Osada et al., 1996). An alignment of the consensus NF1 recognition sequence to the Prox promoter (Figure E-3A) showed that the 5'-half site would be disrupted by the mutations 19 and 20, whereas the 3'-half site would be affected solely by the mutation 21. Incubation of the P^{32} -labeled Probe 2 with the HepG2 nuclear extract resulted in detection of three complexes (Figure E-3B). These complexes were shown to be specific as their formation was prevented by an excess of the unlabeled Probe 2. Subsequently, competition experiments were performed with mutated forms of Probe 2 to identify the specific binding sites. Mutated Probe 2 oligonucleotides, designated Probe 2 M19, Probe 2 M20, and Probe 2 M21, were generated by introducing the respective substitution mutations used in mutation scanning analysis. As shown in Figure E-3B, Probe 2 M19 and Probe 2 M20 did not compete with the P³²-labeled Probe 2 for the specific nuclear factors thus indicating that mutations 19 and 20 prevented the formation of all three complexes. However, Probe 2 M21 still could successfully compete for a TF responsible for the formation of the largest complex suggesting that its binding site was not affected by the mutation 21. Besides, inclusion of the Probe 2 M21 as a competitor resulted in appearance of the middle (barely visible in the figure) and lower complexes again, but to a lesser extent as compared to Probe 2 M19 or M20. It suggested that introduction of the mutation 21 into Probe 2 changed binding affinities of nuclear factors responsible for the formation of the mentioned complexes, but did not completely disrupt the respective binding sites.

To determine if the complexes were related to NF1 protein, NF1 consensus oligonucleotide and its mutated form were used in competition experiments. NF1 consensus oligonucleotide efficiently competed for binding nuclear factors involved in formation of the two lower complexes. Competition was effectively blocked by mutation of the NF1 consensus sequence. To determine if these complexes were in fact formed by NF1 proteins, a NF1 antiserum (SantaCruz) was added to the reaction mixture. This resulted in preventing the formation of the same two bands confirming that NF1 was included in the detected complexes. The nature of other TFs involved in formation of the observed complexes remains to be identified. Supershift experiments demonstrated that at least TFs of the ATF/CREB, AP-1, NFκB, C/EBP, and IRF families (Table C-13) did not participate in formation of the specific complexes (data not shown). Α

Ε



Figure E-3. NF1 binds to the region 2 of important *cis*-acting elements in the *Prox* promoter.

A. Alignment of the NF1 consensus sequence to the -66/-41 region of the PPK promoter used as Probe 2 in EMSA experiments. Designations mut19, mut20 and mut21 under the 6 bp segments indicate the position of the respective mutations used in substitution mutation analysis. **B.** Competition and supershift experiments with P³² labeled Probe 2. Unlabeled Probe 2, mutated forms of Probe 2 and competitors as well as an antibody specific for the NF1 transcription factor were analyzed. Arrows indicate the detected complexes.

The ability of NF1 transcription factor to bind *in vitro* to the region 2 did not necessarily prove that the same protein would bind to this sequence *in vivo*, since access to DNA-binding sites may be influenced by such factors as chromatin structure, occupancy of the binding sites by other DNA-binding proteins, and intranuclear availability of the NF1 proteins. To determine whether NF1 protein interacts with the *Prox* promoter *in vivo*, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed (C.2.11). Chromatin fragments were immunoprecipitated from HepG2 cells with the anti-NF1 antibody H300 (SantaCruz). Levels of the -61/+10 fragment (numbers are relative to the published +1 position of the mRNA, NM_000892) of the *Prox* promoter encompassing the NF1-binding site were quantified using quantitative real-time PCR in the DNA fragments pulled down by the anti-NF1 antibody and were compared with their levels in the nonspecific DNA fragments pulled down by normal IgG. ChIP with the anti-NF1 antibody led to a moderate enrichment of the -61/+10 region of the *Prox* promoter (4.28±1.27-fold; n=4) (Figure E-4) thus indicating an association of the NF1 with the *Prox* promoter *in vivo*.





cells using either normal rabbit IgG or the anti-NF1 antibody H300 (SantaCruz). The levels of the PPK region containing the NF1 binding site were quantified using quantitative real-time PCR.

Mammalian NF1 proteins include the NF1-A, NF1-B, NF1-C, and NF1-X isoforms (reviewed in Gronostajski, 2000). In EMSA and ChIP experiments it was not possible to distinguish between different NF1 proteins since anti-NF1 antibody H300 recognizes all NF1 isoforms.

E.1.4 NF1-B expression elevates the level of human PPK mRNA

After showing *in vitro* and *in vivo* binding of the NF1 to the *Prox* promoter of the hPPK gene it was attempted to show by overexpression of NF1 isoforms and RNA interference approach (E.1.5) if this interaction is physiologically relevant. The ability of NF1 isoforms to activate the hPPK gene transcription was tested. Hemagglutinin-tagged NF1-A, NF1-B, NF1-C, and NF1-X proteins were overexpressed in HepG2 cells via transient transfection with pCHNFI-A1.1, pCHNFI-B2, pCHNFI-C2, pCHNFI-X2 vectors (C.1.4.5). pCH vector expressing no protein was used as a reference. 48 h after transfection, total RNA was isolated (C.2.4), reverse transcribed into cDNA (C.2.5), and the cDNAs of the NF1 isoforms and PPK were quantified by

quantitative real-time PCR (C.2.7). Additionally, the expression of NF1 recombinant proteins was monitored by immunoblotting (C.2.12).

Expression of the NF1 mRNAs was verified by PCR (data not shown), and the expressed NF1 proteins were visualized by Western blot analysis (Figure E-5A). Prominent bands corresponding to the NF1-A, NF1-B, NF1-C, and NF1-X proteins were easily detected following transient transfection. They ranged in size from 50 to 75 kDa. The two bands corresponding to the NF1-X isoform represent probably differently glycosylated forms of the protein.





Levels of the expressed hPPK mRNA were determined by quantitative real-time PCR and normalized to the GAPDH levels. Overexpression of the NF1-C protein had no significant effect on the hPPK gene expression (Figure E-5B). Expression of the NF1-A and NF1-X isoforms led to a slight increase in the hPPK mRNA level to approximately 134 % and 122 %, respectively, while expression of the NF1-B resulted in a significant increase to approximately 190 %. Due to low PPK expression and insufficient sensitivity of available antibodies attempts to visualize PPK in immunoblots failed.

E.1.5 NF1-C and NF1-X are involved in negative and positive regulation of the human PPK gene expression

The influence of reduced NF1 synthesis on the expression of the hPPK mRNA was explored by RNA interference. Expression of the NF1-A, NF1-B, NF1-C, and NF1-X genes was knocked down with specific siRNAs (C.2.2.5). siRNAs specific for NF1-A, NF1-B, and NF1-C were

generated using GenScript siRNA Target Finder. NF1-C siRNA was designed to target both known mRNAs (NM_005597, NM_205843). A non-silencing siRNA served as the negative control for knockdown efficiency, whereas validated NF1-X siRNA guaranteed to provide 82 % of knockdown level was used as the positive control.

Efficiency of NF1 knockdown was determined by comparing expression levels of the NF1 isoforms between HepG2 cells transfected with specific and with non-silencing siRNAs (Figure E-6A). Expression of NF1-X protein was knocked down to 18 %, it confirmed the data of the manufacturer indicating that the protocol of siRNA transfection worked well. The data indicated high and moderate knockdown efficiencies for the NF1-C (80 %) and NF1-A (71 %), respectively. Although NF1-B siRNA complied with the rules of potent siRNA design, the target mRNA was down-regulated only to 39 % of its normal expression level; this silencing efficiency was the best of three different siRNAs directed against different regions of the NF1-B mRNA (data not shown). Noteworthy, the NF1-B mRNA is 8159 bp in length, whereas NF1-A (2684 bp), NF1-C (2185 and 2401 bp), and NF1-X (5575 bp) mRNAs are significantly shorter. It seems that NF1-B RNA is refractory to RNAi-mediated silencing likely due to the existence of stable secondary structures.



Figure E-6. Effect of siRNA-mediated knockdown of NF1 isoforms on expression of the hPPK gene. A. Efficiency of the NF1 knockdown. B. Effect of NF1 silencing on the hPPK gene transcription. HepG2 cells were transfected with either negative control siRNA or siRNA specific for NF1-A, NF1-B, NF1-C and NF1-X. After 24 h RNA was isolated, reverse-transcribed, and subsequently analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR. Levels of NF1 and hPPK mRNAs are normalized by those of GAPDH mRNA. The transcription of NF1 isoforms and of PPK is shown as percent of that observed with the non-target-directed siRNA (NegContr, set as 100 %). Duplicate experiments were repeated three times, the mean \pm standard deviation is shown.

The knockdown of NF1-C expression induced expression of the hPPK gene to 155 % (Figure E-6B). Silencing of NF1-X led to a slight decrease in the hPPK mRNA level to 80 %. The down-regulation of the NF1-A and NF1-B did not affect expression of the hPPK gene. These results suggest that NF1-C and NF1-X are involved in negative and positive regulation of the hPPK

gene expression, respectively. The missing of effects of NF1-A and NF1-B knockdown on the expression of PPK may be due to the insufficient silencing efficiency or the absence of effects of these factors on the transcription of the hPPK gene.

E.2 DISCUSSION

Investigation of the transcriptional mechanisms by which the human plasma prekallikrein gene generates multiple mRNAs with alternative 5' UTRs led to the identification of three alternative promoters (D.1.3). Of the three promoters the region proximal to the known mRNA, *Prox* promoter, shows the highest activity in hepatocytes. Consistently, RLM-RACE data indicate that in liver, which is the primary site of PPK synthesis, transcription of the hPPK gene is initiated predominantly at or close to the conventional +1 position. Therefore, further studies on the regulation of the hPPK gene expression focused first on the *Prox* promoter.

Substitution mutation analysis of the basic control region (-152/+22 relative to the known +1 position) of the *Prox* promoter (-668/+22) revealed a series of important control elements (Figures E-1, E-7), most of them being located within 90 bp upstream of the +1 position. Of the 14 mutations in this region 12 led to reduction of promoter activity, with 7 of them reducing transcriptional activity to less than 25 %, one had no effect, and one resulted in enhanced promoter activity. The mutations resulting in reduction of promoter activity to less than 25 % are located in region 1 (-39/-21), region 2 (-63/-46), and region 3 (-87/-82). In the 5'-terminal 60-bp segment of the basic control region only one positive *cis*-element is significantly affected by a mutation (at position -111/-106 bp, cf. IM05, Figures E-1, E-7). The transcriptional activity of the respective mutant is about 40 %, while all of the remaining mutants have more than 50 % of the wild-type promoter activity and three of them above 100 %. It should be noted that in the present study possibly not all of the key elements have been identified. Elements of which redundant ones are present could have been overlooked, since the mutation of only one of them at a time would have little effect on promoter activity. For the detection of such elements additional mutant analyses will be necessary.

The observation that mutations 13, 14 and 17 caused a several fold higher activity than the wild-type promoter can be explained in two ways. On the one hand, the introduction of these substitution mutations could have led to the accidental creation of a new binding site for an activator or to an improvement of an existing recognition site. This is likely to be true for the region affected by mutation 17, since introduction of the mutation 17 led to a doubling of the promoter activity, while the two flanking mutants 16 and 18 exhibited half of the wild-type activity. On the other hand, the increased promoter activity can result from disruption of a binding site for a transcriptional repressor. This seems to be the case for the region -99/-88 which

is affected by two consecutive mutations 13 and 14, both resulting in a severalfold increase in activity. It is unlikely that each of the two mutations by itself introduced a new binding site for a strong activator or improved an existent binding site. In addition, the preceding mutant 12 (-105/-100) exhibited slightly increased activity suggesting that this substitution mutation affected the affinity of the binding sequence for a repressor as well, although not so pronounced as mutations 13 and 14. A database search using the MatInspector program provided a list of potential transcription factor binding sites within the -105/-88 region. A potential binding factor is the transcription factor E4BP4 (E4 binding protein 4), since its consensus sequence (G/A)TTA(T/C)GTAA(C/T) can be nicely mapped to the region -99/-88 affected by mutations 13 and 14. Moreover, E4BP4 is expressed in liver and it behaves as an active transcriptional repressor that directly suppresses the transcriptional activities of genes to whose promoters it binds (Cowell, 2002). One of its targets is the gene encoding von Willebrand factor which is involved in blood coagulation (Hough et al., 2005). Additional experiments will be necessary to examine if E4BP4 can bind to the -99/-88 sequence and thus suppress *Prox* promoter activity.

-152 gacat cactee etgaaa tagtta atatae ttaaca getgaa caegga taggat gtteat ggaata ctgta gtgagg gacttt atcaat tatatg aattgt cgactt gtgcct atccta caagta ccttat IM09 IM02 IM10 IM01 IM03 IMO4 IM05 IM12 IM13 IM11 TM14 — region 2 — <pregion 3 -</pre> – region 1 — -87 tgttga caggac **aaaaag** ttgaaa ctgttg gcagaa acccaa agtcaa tattgaag ccaag caaaat acaact gteetg **ttttte** aacttt gacaac egtett tgggtt teagtt ataactte ggtte gtttta IM18 IM19 IM15 IM16 IM17 IM20 IM22 IM21 IM06 IM23 IM24 ▶+1 -20 +22 attgcct gcagtgc cacatt Ag aacagct tgaagaccgttca taacgga cgtcacg gtgtaa Tc ttgtcga acttctggcaagt IM25 IM26 IM27 IM2.8

Figure E-7. Sequence of the basic control region of the Prox promoter.

The 5-8 bp segments of the -152/+22 region which were independently mutated in substitution mutant analysis are indicated. The sequence segments which upon mutation resulted in reduction of promoter activity to less than 25 % are highlighted in dark grey, to 25-50 % in grey, to 50-100 % in light grey. Segments whose mutation had no effect on activity are not highlighted. The sequence segments which upon mutation showed an increase of promoter activity are in bold. +1 indicates the start site of the known PPK mRNA.

As a whole, the high density of critical nucleotides within the 90-bp sequence upstream of the conventional +1 position (regions 1, 2, 3) and its proximity to the main TSS suggest that the general transcription factors and RNApolII bind to this region. For the 5'-terminal 60 bp of the analyzed -152/+22 region the experimental data suggest that activators / respressors bind to it. Thus, the 90-bp sequence upstream of the major TSS is sufficient for basal activity of the *Prox* promoter, while the following 60 bp 5' upstream are more likely to contribute to the regulated

transcription of the hPPK gene. Consistently, deletion mutation analysis (Neth, 2002; Neth et al, 2005) had demonstrated that the fragment -152/+22 had high promoter activity which was reduced to one fourth by deletion of 50 bp at the 5'-end. The resulting fragment -102/+22 still exhibited activity significantly above background, whereas the following deletion mutant -23/+22, comprising only 23 bp of the 90-bp sequence, had no promoter activity

Examination of the *Prox* promoter sequence for binding sites of known transcription factors led to the identification of a TATA-box motive at -39 to -32. According to recent data, in more than 70 % of cases where a TATA-box is found within 50 bp upstream of a TSS, the TATA-box motive starts 28-33 bp upstream of the major initiation site, with a distance of 30-31 bp being strongly preferred (Carninci et al., 2006). The putative TATA box of the *Prox* promoter is a few bp upstream of the most preferred position, but can be expected to be functional. However, EMSA experiments with oligonucleotides encompassing the region 1 rejected a specific binding of the TATA-binding protein (TBP) to this region indicating that the *Prox* promoter has no TATA-box and belongs to the large fraction of TATA-less mammalian promoters. According to recent estimations only about 16 % of mammalian promoters contains a functional TATA box (Cooper et al., 2006), as opposed to the earlier belief that most promoters have a TATA box (Smale & Kadonaga, 2003).

A different core promoter element, the Inr element, was found to be the most common (Gross & Oelgeschläger, 2006). Actually, of the known core promoter motives, only the TATA box and the Inr element have been shown to be capable of directing specific transcription initiation of protein encoding genes by RNApolII, independent of other core promoter elements. The molecular events leading to preinitiation complex (PIC) assembly and RNApolII transcription initiation on TATA-containing promoters are well studied, whereas not much is known about the general mechanism of PIC formation on Inr-dependent TATA-less promoters. But it has been shown that DNA-binding activity of TBP of the TFIID complex is dispensable for Inr-dependent transcription from TATA-less promoters. Instead, TFIID interactions with the Inr element are thought to be mediated by the components TAF1 and TAF2 of the complex.

In the *Prox* promoter of the hPPK gene consensus sequences of the Inr element were identified for several TSSs including the known +1 position (Neth et al., 2005). To examine if the Inr element of the +1 position may be able to direct accurate transcription of the hPPK gene from the TATA-less *Prox* promoter, the Inr element will have to be mutated and the mutant checked for the ability to drive the transcription of a reporter gene. In addition, it will have to be examined if TAF1 binds to the Inr sequence and thus mediates assembly of the PIC. Inadvertently, in the substitution mutant analysis the mutations 27 and 28 affected the 3'- and 5'-

end, respectively, of the Inr sequence of the +1 position. This resulted in unchanged and decreased (62 %) activity, respectively, of the *Prox* promoter. However, based on these results no final conclusion concerning the functionality of the Inr element can be drawn, since no mutants targeting directly the Inr element have been checked by reporter gene assays. Besides, it has not been examined if the same TSS is utilized by the mutants 27 and 28 and the wild-type, i.e. if the function of the Inr element of +1 position could be taken over by a different core promoter motive.

A database search identified a NF1 binding site in the region 2 of the Prox promoter. Subsequent EMSA and ChIP assays demonstrated that NF1 proteins indeed interact with this region in vitro and in vivo. The NF1 gene family of vertebrates contains four highly homologous genes, NF1-A, NF1-B, NF1-C, and NF1-X, which appear to have arisen from a single duplication of a genomic segment containing two NF1 intermediate genes (Gründer et al., 2003). To test the potential roles of individual NF1 proteins in the hPPK gene transcription, overexpression and knockdown studies of all four NF1 isoforms were performed. In these experiments, overexpression of only NF1-B increased the hPPK mRNA level significantly, while that of NF1-A and NF1-X led only to a slight increase of the hPPK mRNA level and overexpression of NF1-C had no effect. The other way around, down-regulation of NF1 factors revealed negative and positive contribution of NF1-C and NF1-X proteins, respectively, to the expression of the hPPK gene. The fact that NF1-B overexpression induces hPPK gene transcription but has no effect when silenced suggests that this TF is not important for the basal expression of the hPPK gene, but rather plays a role in the regulated PPK transcription. In contrast, NF1-C is involved in the constitutive hPPK gene expression, since it affects PPK expression when silenced but not when overexpressed. Finally, the level of PPK transcripts is directly linked to the level of NF1-X protein, since the down-regulation of NF1-X results in a reduced level of PPK mRNA, and the overexpression of NF1-X leads to an increased level of PPK transcripts.

Against expectation, silencing of none of the NF1 proteins resulted in abolishment of the hPPK gene expression. One possible explanation is that functional redundancy between highly homologous members of the NF1 family may obscure their functions, i.e. NF1 isoforms may take over the function of the silenced NF1 protein. It is also possible that a different TF contributes to the full activity of the *Prox* promoter through binding to the region 2 of critical *cis*-acting elements. EMSA experiments argue for this possibility, since one specific complex was identified that did not include the NF1 proteins (cf. the largest complex, Figure E-3B). The nature of TF(s) involved in the formation of this complex remains to be elucidated.

Taken together, the precise role of NF1 proteins in hPPK gene expression appears to be difficult to elucidate, which is consistent with the literature. For example, along with the well studied observation that NF1-C protein is able to activate transcription through direct interaction with basal transcription factors, the NF1-C has also been reported to be implicated in the repression of transcription by several promoters including those of cyclin D1 (Eeckhoute et al., 2006), cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (Ouellet et al., 2006), cytochrome P450 17ahydroxylase (Wickenheisser et al., 2004), and liver X receptor (Steffensen et al., 2001). The mechanism of transcriptional repression by NF1-C may involve recruitment of specific corepressors which do not interact with other NF1 isoforms. Another possibility is a direct competition with more potent transactivators for binding at the same or adjacent sites. Thus, for example, several TFs that can bind to almost the same sequences as the NF1 consensus sequence have been described (Dorn et al., 1987; Lee et al., 1998; Krohn et al., 1999; Devireddy et al., 2000). Alternatively, NF1-C transcriptional repression activity could involve an as yet poorly characterized repression domain within the protein. Such repression-mediating domains were identified in both NF1-A (Osada et al., 1997) and NF1-X proteins (Nebl & Cato, 1995). As far as the NF1-X isoform is concerned, in addition to the repression domain the activation domain was identified and its ability to stimulate transcription from the proximal promoter position has been investigated in detail (Apt et al., 1994).

Exploring the precise molecular mechanism underlying the activation/repression of the hPPK gene expression by NF1 transcription factors will be complicated by functional diversity of NF1 proteins (Gronostajski, 2000). First of all, it is essential for the DNA-binding activity of NF1-proteins to dimerize to homo- or heterodimers. Heterodimers between two different NF1 isoforms may have different characteristics depending on the respective types of the dimerforming NF1 proteins. Additional complexity is added by alternative splicing which generates many variants of the repression/activation domain of each of the four NF1 proteins. Lastly, NF1 isoforms are known to cooperate with various transcription factors. Thus, compositions of the NF1 dimers and the interacting proteins contribute greatly to the functional diversity of NF1 proteins.

Taken together, important regulatory sequences within the main promoter of the hPPK gene have been extensively characterized and significant evidence regarding a regulatory function exerted by NF1 proteins has been presented. Further exploration of the mechanisms of transcriptional regulation of the hPPK gene expression should concentrate, in particular, on the identification of additional TFs controlling hPPK gene expression through specific binding to the *cis*-acting elements localized in the *Prox* promoter by scanning mutation analysis. Understanding

Е

of the interplay between the specific TFs would contribute significantly to unraveling cellular pathways and events in which PPK is implicated, i.e. as yet unknown functional roles of PPK in various tissues and cells.
F Identification of a 13-bp element at the 3'-end of intron 1 of the human PPK gene that causes recruitment of alternative promoters

F.1 RESULTS

F

F.1.1 *E1i1E2* causes TSS displacement in HepG2 and IHKE1 cells

Transient transfection experiments demonstrated that the *Eli1E2* fragment, encompassing 10 bp of the 5'-proximal region, exon 1, intron 1, exon 2, and 7 bp of intron 2 of the hPPK gene, exhibited significant transcriptional activity in both HepG2 and IHKE1 cells (Figure D-4). When it was examined if the *Eli1E2* pSEAP2 plasmid uses TSSs analogous to those detected in human liver and kidney it was found that only a small number of transcripts was initiated within the *Eli1E2* sequence (Figure F-1). In HepG2 cells, the number of such "normal" transcripts was 4 out of 13 sequenced PCR-products (30 %); these transcripts were initiated in exon 1 at position +31 (n=2) and in exon 2 at position +72 (n=2) of the PPK mRNA. In IHKE1 cells TSSs of only 2 out of 12 transcripts (17 %) could be mapped to the *Eli1E2* insert at positions -5 and +12. The majority of all transcripts initiated within the vector sequence (Figure F-1).

The extended transcripts did not consist of uninterrupted vector sequence, but of distinct segments, i.e. part of the vector sequence was transcribed and spliced like normal pre-mRNA. Typically, extended transcripts were initiated at different sites in the ampicillin resistance gene (at positions 3001, 3101, 3107, 3121, 3509) with the "second exon" (4403-4475) ending in proximity to the transcription blocker. The longest transcript initiating at position 2242 in the pUC plasmid replication origin was detected in IHKE1 cells; one transcript initiated in the f1 single-strand DNA origin at position 4040; one TSS was detected 37 nucleotides upstream of the inserted *Ei1iE2* region at position 4661. The common 3'-terminal exon contained the 3'-terminal 15 bp of the *E1i1E2* fragment and extended uninterrupted into the SEAP reporter gene.

The effect of "TSS displacement" seems to be specific for the *E1i1E2* promoter region, since it was not observed when *Prox* and *Dist3* pSEAP2 constructs were transiently transfected into HepG2 and IHKE1 cells. In these experiments the TSSs corresponded to those found in human liver and kidney (D.1.3). F



Figure F-1. Transcripts detected in HepG2 and IHKE1 cells after transfection with the *E1i1E2* pSEAP2 construct.

The *E1i1E2* pSEAP2 construct (5430 bp) is shown as a linearized vector map between nucleotides 2000 and 2001. The numeration of the manufacturer for the pSEAP2 backbone (1-4677) is retained. +1 indicates position 1 of the pSEAP2 vector. The *E1i1E2* region is inserted between nucleotides 20 and 21 of the pSEAP2 backbone. The numbering of the *E1i1E2* insert (7923578-7924330) refers to the contig NT_022792.12, the first two digits 79 are omitted in the figure. Shaded regions correspond to the exons 1 (7923588-7923657) and 2 (7924265-7924323), with intron 1 in-between. Arrowheads indicate the position of the primer used for RLM-RACE. Detected transcripts are shown under the vector map. Transcribed segments of the vector backbone and the *E1i1E2* insert are represented by white and grey boxes, respectively; not transcribed segments by the connecting lines. Several transcripts (3 out of 13 for HepG2 and 1 out of 12 for IHKE1 cells) with TSSs in the vector backbone are not shown due to incomplete sequence data. SEAP, secreted alkaline phosphate gene; Amp, ampicillin resistance gene; f1 ori, f1 single-strand DNA origin; pUC, pUC plasmid replication origin; TB, transcription blocker.

F.1.2 The proximal upstream region of the PPK gene does not prevent the TSS displacing effect

In human liver and kidney the vast majority of the TSSs was found at or near position +1 or within intron 1 and only very few in distal regions (D.1.2). This suggested that elements of the sequence upstream of the PPK gene could prevent the TSS displacing effect. Therefore, the fragment *Joint* (C.2.1.2) containing the *ElilE2* region and adjacent 658 bp of the upstream sequence was analyzed (Figure F-2A). The reporter gene assay in HepG2 and IHKE1 cells



revealed an approximately 2.5- and 1.5-fold reduction in transcriptional activity of *Joint* as compared to *E1i1E2* (Figure F-2B).

Figure F-2. Activity of the *Joint* fragment.

A. Schematic representation of the *Prox*, *E1i1E2* and *Joint* fragments. The length and position of each fragment are indicated by double arrows, the number of basepairs is given in parentheses. The annotated +1 position and start codon of the hPPK gene are indicated.

B. Activity of the *E1i1E2* and *Joint* pSEAP2 constructs in HepG2 and IHKE1 cells. The relative promoter activity of the *Joint* construct is expressed as percentage of the *E1i1E2* activity. The data are presented as the means \pm standard deviation of at least three independent experiments made in triplicates.

TSS determination with RNA from HepG2 and IHKE1 cells transfected with the *Joint* pSEAP2 construct showed that 6 out of 7 sequences for each cell line represented extended transcripts (Figure F-3). The exon-intron patterns were the same as in the case of the *E1i1E2* construct (cf. Figure F-1). In HepG2 cells, three transcripts were initiated within the ampicillin resistance gene at positions 3107, 3116 and 3121, further three transcripts started within the f1 origin at positions 4018, 4019 and 4021. In IHKE1 cells one TSS was detected within the pUC origin (2289), four within the ampicillin resistance gene (3032, 3107, 3121, 3330), and one within the f1 origin (4040). In both cell lines one transcript each started within the *Joint* region at position +12 of exon 1. Thus, joining the additional 658 bp of the upstream sequence to *E1i1E2* did not prevent the TSS displacing effect of the *E1i1E2* region in both HepG2 and IHKE1 cells.

F.1.3 The TSS displacement effect is also observed in stably transfected cells

To examine if TSS displacement is also observed in a more natural chromatin configuration than when analyzed by transient transfection, stable transfection experiments were performed. The SEAP gene under control of *E1i1E2* or *Joint* was integrated into the genome of HEK-293 cells using the pcDNA5/FRT vector and Flp-In system (C.2.2.4). For comparison, HEK-293 cells were also transiently transfected with the respective PPK gene segments in pSEAP2-Basic vector. F



Figure F-3. Transcripts detected in HepG2 and IHKE1 cells after transfection with the *Joint* pSEAP2 construct.

The *Joint* construct (6088 bp) is shown as a linearized vector map. The numeration of the manufacturer for the pSEAP2 backbone (1-4677) is retained. The numbering of the *Joint* insert (7922920-7924330) refers to the contig NT_022792.12, the first two digits 79 are omitted in the figure. Shaded regions correspond to the exons 1 and 2 with intron 1 in-between. Detected transcripts are shown under the vector map. Transcribed segments of the vector backbone and the *Joint* insert are represented by white and grey boxes, respectively; not transcribed segments by the connecting lines. For a detailed description of the figure refer to the legend to Figure F-1.

Exon-intron patterns of the transcripts detected by RLM-RACE are shown in Figure F-4. With the *E1i1E2* region all transcripts detected in transiently and stably transfected HEK-293 cells (four for each transfection) were initiated within the vector backbone (Table F-1, Figure F-4A). In transiently transfected cells one TSS was mapped to the pUC ori (2258) and three to the ampicillin resistance gene (3121, 3147, 3183) of the pSEAP2 backbone; in stably transfected cells three transcripts were initiated within the ampicillin resistance gene of the pcDNA5/FRT vector (4203, 4574, 4641) and one 162 bp upstream of the inserted *E1i1E2* fragment. The results indicate that the TSS displacement efficiency of the *E1i1E2* construct was independent of the mode of transfection.

However, when the *Joint* pSEAP2 construct was transiently transfected into HEK-293 cells, TSSs of 6 out of 11 sequenced transcripts were localized within the *Joint* insert (Table F-1, Figure F-4B). Five transcripts were initiated in exon 1 resulting in exon 1 lengths of 70, 65 (n=2), 60, and 43 bp; one transcript was initiated in exon 2 at position +117 relative to the known mRNA and continued uninterrupted into the SEAP reporter gene. Five transcripts were extended, they initiated within the ampicillin resistance gene at positions 3101 (n=2), 3107, 3144, and

F

3221. Thus, with the *Joint* pSEAP2 construct the TSS displacement was blocked to a significant extent even in transiently transfected HEK-293 cells, obviously due to the additional 658 bp of upstream region.

HEK-293 cells	Stable transfectionTransient transfection			
ElilE2	0 % in PPK insert $(0/4)^{\alpha}$	0 % in PPK insert (0/4)		
	100 % in vector* (4/4)	100 % in vector (4/4)		
Loint	80 % in PPK insert (8/10)	55 % in PPK insert (6/11)		
JOINI	20 % in vector (2/10)	45 % in vector (5/11)		

 Table F-1. Transcription start sites utilized by *E1i1E2* and *Joint* constructs stably and transiently transfected into HEK-293 cells.

[¤]number of positive transcripts out of total analyzed sequences.

* pcDNA5/FRT for stably transfected cells, pSEAP2-Basic for transiently transfected cells.

In stably transfected HEK-293 cells the displacement blocking effect was even more pronounced: only 2 out of 10 transcripts were initiated within the pcDNA5/FRT vector backbone. The TSSs of both extended transcripts were mapped to the pUC plasmid origin of replication to positions 3377 and 3424. These initiation sites correspond exactly to positions 2242 and 2289 detected in the pUC ori of the pSEAP2 backbone (cf. Figure F-1, F-3). As far as "normal" transcripts are concerned, one transcript had a single exon starting at position 600 relative to the first 5' nucleotide of the intron. Seven TSSs were detected close to the known +1 position resulting in lengths of exon 1 of 108, 67, 65 (n=2), 60, 49 and 43 bp. Six out of the seven transcripts had the common second exon containing the 3'-terminal 15 bp of the *Joint* fragment followed by the sequence of the SEAP reporter gene; one transcript contained the fully transcribed exon 2.

The data obtained in HEK-293 cells made evident that in this cell line the 658-bp proximal region joined to the *E1i1E2* fragment suppresses the TSS displacement to an appreciable degree, independent of the mode of transfection. The displacement blocking effect mediated by the 658-bp proximal region seems to be cell-type dependent, since it was not apparent in HepG2 and IHKE1 cells. The fact that also in HEK-293 cells the blocking effect was not complete lead to the assumption that additional upstream sequence elements are necessary for preventing the TSS displacement more efficiently. In HepG2 and IHKE1 cells different sequence elements upstream of the PPK gene might be necessary to bring the TSSs to the normal position.



Figure F-4. Transcripts detected in HEK-293 cells transiently or stably transfected with the E1i1E2 (A) and Joint (B) constructs.

The constructs are shown as linearized vector maps. The E1i1E2 (7923578-7924330) and Joint (7922920-7924330) fragments were cloned either alone into pSEAP2-Basic vector (1-4677) for transient transfection or, for stable transfection, followed by the SEAP gene into pcDNA5/FRT vector (1-5070). For the vectors the numeration of the manufacturers is retained, the numbering of the inserts refers to the contig NT_022792.12, the first two digits 79 are omitted in the figure. +1 indicates the first nucleotide of the respective vector. The E1i1E2 and Joint fragments are inserted between nucleotides 20-21 of the pSEAP2 backbone or 233-978 of the pcDNA5/FRT backbone. Shaded regions of the inserts correspond to the exons 1 and 2 with intron 1 in-between. Detected transcripts are shown under the vector maps. Transcribed segments of the vector backbones and the inserts are represented by white and grey boxes, respectively; not transcribed segments by the connecting lines. For a detailed description of the figure refer to the legend to Figure F-1.

104

F.1.4 Deletion-mutation analysis defined a minimal sequence with transcriptional and TSS displacing activity

To delimit the sequence responsible for the TSS displacing effect, deletion mutants of the *E1i1E2* region were investigated (Figure F-5). The importance of exons 1 and 2 in mediating the TSS displacement was evaluated by analyzing the deletion mutant *Int1* representing exclusively intron 1. In reporter gene assays *Int1* had approximately 1.3 and 1.6 times higher transcriptional activity than *E1i1E2* in HepG2 and IHKE1 cells, respectively (Figure F-6). This slight increase in activity may be due to the exclusion of the hPPK translation start site, which might interfere with the translation of the reporter gene SEAP (Carey and Smale, 2000a).



Figure F-5. Schematic representation of the *E1i1E2* fragment and its deletion variants.

The top line shows a part of the hPPK gene. The annotated +1 position and start codon are indicated. Fragment *E1i1E2* includes 10 bp of the 5'-upstream region, exon 1, intron 1, exon 2 and 7 bp of intron 2. The length and position of each deletion mutant are indicated by double arrows, the number of basepairs is given in the right column.

The TSS analysis of HepG2 and IHKE1 cells transfected with *Int1* plasmid revealed that not only promoter activity but also the TSS displacing effect is connected to intron 1. For HepG2 cells, all six sequenced transcripts were initiated in the vector, five within the ampicillin resistance gene at positions 3101 (n=2) and 3107 (n=3), one in the pUC origin at position 2242 (Figure F-7A). The latter transcript is not shown in Figure F-7 due to incomplete sequence data. For IHKE1 cells, eight out of nine TSSs were mapped to the vector sequence, namely, seven in the ampicillin resistance gene (3101 (n=2), 3107 (n=2), 3110, 3158, 3252) and one at the end of the f1 origin (4390). One transcript was initiated within intron 1 at position 457 relative to the first 5' nucleotide of the intron. Taken together, reporter gene assays and TSS determination indicated that exon 1 and 2 of the hPPK gene are not relevant both for transcriptional activity and for TSS displacement.

F



Figure F-6. Transcriptional activity of the *Int1* and *E1i1E2* regions and *Int1* deletion mutants in HepG2 (A) and IHKE1 (B) cells.

The indicated fragments cloned into pSEAP2-Basic plasmid were transiently transfected into the cells. The relative promoter activity of each construct is expressed as percentage of the *Int1* activity. The data are presented as the means \pm standard deviation of at least three independent experiments made in triplicates.

To further delineate the sequence responsible for the TSS displacing effect and presuming that the same elements are responsible for both the transcriptional activity and the TSS displacement, the promoter activity of a series of 5'-deletion mutants of intron 1 was analyzed in HepG2 cells (Figure F-5, F-6A). Deletion of the first 159 nucleotides (Int1_D2) did not affect the activity level as compared to Intl; deletion of further 139 nucleotides (Int1_D3) resulted in an activity decrease to 70 % (Figure F-6A). Two subsequent deletions of about 70 nucleotides each (Int1_D4, Int1_D5) led to an increase in activity to 125 %. Elimination of further 132 bp in steps of 31-35 bp (Int1_D6 to Int1_D9) resulted in transcriptional activities between 129 and 191 % relative to *Int1*. Surprisingly, the level of transcriptional activity remained at high levels with the next four deletion variants, Int1_D10 - Int1_D13, generated by eliminating 24 bp in 6-bp steps. Despite some variation, all four constructs displayed activities above 140 %. The last deletion variant, Int1_D13, being only 13 bp in length, had approximately 145 % of the activity of Int1 which is 607 bp in length. Thus, exclusively the 13-bp element at the 3'-end of intron 1 is responsible for the transcription activity of the whole Intl region. To confirm this finding, the deletion variant $Int1_D(1-13)$ was analyzed which contains the intron 1 sequence except for the 3'-terminal 13 bp. In a transient transfection experiment in HepG2 cells this deletion mutant showed virtually no transcriptional activity (Figure F-6A).



Figure F-7. Transcripts detected in HepG2 and IHKE1 cells transiently transfected with the *Int1* (A) and *Int1_D13* (B) pSEAP2 constructs.

The *Int1* (5284 bp) and *Int1_D13* (4690 bp) constructs are shown as linearized vector maps. For the pSEAP2 backbone (1-4677) the numeration of the manufacturer is retained. The numbering of the *Int1* (7923658-7924264) and *Int1_D13* (7924252-7924264) inserts refers to the contig NT_022792.12; the first two numerals 79 are omitted in the figure. *Int1_D13* being 13 bp in length is not drawn to scale. Detected transcripts are shown under the vector map. Transcribed segments of the vector backbone and the inserted regions are represented by white and grey boxes, respectively; not transcribed segments by the connecting lines. For a detailed description of the figure refer to the legend to Figure F-1.

It was then examined if the same 13-bp sequence confers transcriptional activity to the *Int1* fragment in IHKE1 cells as well. The cells were transfected with a selection of the *Int1* deletion variants (Figures F-5, F-6B). Reporter gene assays revealed that in IHKE1 cells the same 13-bp element is responsible for the detected transcription activity: *Int1_D13* deletion variant was still active whereas $Int1_D(1-13)$ was not (Figure F-6B). In contrast to HepG2 cells, the level of the transcription activity remained unchanged for $Int1_D6$, _D9, and _D13 constructs as compared to that of *Int1*.

F

Finally, it was verified that the 13-bp element is not only responsible for transcriptional activity, but also provokes the TSS displacing effect. HepG2 and IHKE1 cells were transiently transfected with the *Int1_D13* plasmid and subjected to RLM-RACE analysis. All detected transcripts (5 for HepG2 cells and 4 for IHKE1 cells) were initiated at different sites within the vector sequence (Figure F-7B). Namely, in HepG2 cells one transcript was initiated upstream of and the other one within the pUC origin of plasmid replication (2016 and 2209, respectively), two within the ampicillin resistance gene (3101, 3110), and one in the region of the f1 origin. In IHKE1 cells all detected TSSs were mapped to the pUC origin (2240 (n=2), 2243, 2258). Thus, the results demonstrated that the 13-bp segment is sufficient to evoke TSS displacement by forcing recruitment of an alternative promoter.

F.1.5 Identification of critical basepairs of the 13-bp segment

To define the critical nucleotides within the sequence of the 13-bp element, specific substitution mutations were introduced into the *Int1_D13* fragment (C.2.1.2). Transient transfection experiments with the mutants in HepG2 cells demonstrated that all four mutants exhibited strongly reduced transcription activity (Figure F-8). The central mutations 2 and 3 reduced activity to the background level; the two flanking mutants 1 and 4 displayed a residual activity of about 25 % and 15 %, respectively. These findings demonstrate that the important nucleotides span the whole 13-bp element sequence, however, the most critical are the six central basepairs.



Figure F-8. Site-directed mutagenesis of the 13-bp element.

The upper panel shows the DNA sequence of the 13-bp element. The underlined nucleotides were substituted in the indicated mutants using $a\leftrightarrow c$, $g\leftrightarrow t$ exchanges. The lower panel shows the effect of the introduced mutations on transcription activity in HepG2 cells. The relative promoter activity of each mutant is expressed as percentage of activity of the wild-type $Int1_D13$ plasmid. The data are presented as the means \pm standard deviation of at least three independent experiments made in triplicates.

F.2 DISCUSSION

F

During investigations by reporter gene assays of the transcriptional activity of the *E1i1E2* fragment and its deletion mutants, a 13-bp segment TTTTCTTTTTAG, representing the 3'-end of intron 1 of the hPPK gene, was identified as a new potential regulatory element. Namely, the 13-bp fragment displays transcriptional activity as high as whole *E1i1E2* (753 bp) and causes upstream displacement of the TSSs, presumably by aiding the recruitment of alternative promoters.

This TSS displacement activity was detected when it was examined in reporter gene studies with *E1i1E2* pSEAP2 constructs if for the transcription of the reporter gene the same initiation sites were recruited as utilized *in vivo* for transcription of the hPPK gene. Generally, it is taken for granted that the same TSSs are utilized for transcription of the reporter gene and of the targeted gene and the initiation sites of the reporter gene transcripts are not verified experimentally. Therefore, a TSS displacing effect as reported here would no be recognized.

One explanation of the observed TSS displacing effect would be that *E1i1E2* and its deletion variants are only weak promoters and that all observed extended transcripts result from background transcription. If this were the case, then *Prox* and *Dist3*, which promote similar transcriptional activity as *E1i1E2* (Figure D-4B), should also show the TSS displacing effect. However, in the reporter gene studies with the *Prox* and *Dist3* pSEAP2 constructs only TSSs within the inserted fragments could be identified (D.1.3) demonstrating that the transcription blocker of the pSEAP2-Basic vector (cf. C.1.4.1) prevents background transcription efficiently.

An alternative interpretation of the high transcriptional and TSS displacement activity is the recruitment by the 13-bp element of an alternative promoter within the vector sequence. Alternative promoters provide distinctly different sets of *cis*-acting elements and, therefore, the specific recruitment of a particular one of alternative promoters is dependent on the distinct combinations of transcription factors prevalent in different tissues or at different developmental and metabolic stages. However, in addition to this passive process of promoter selection there may exist also mechanisms which actively aid the usage of alternative promoters, like special *cis*-acting elements. The study presented here indicates that the 13-bp sequence may represent such a regulatory element.

Evidence that the TSS displacement activity is also functional *in vivo* was gained from experiments where *E1i1E2* was chromosomally integrated in HEK-293 cells by stable transfection. The experiments verified that the displacing effect of the *E1i1E2* fragment is also operative in a more natural chromatin configuration than when analyzed by transient transfection. It is also of interest to note that *in vivo* in addition to the transcription start at or near

F

the +1 position of the known PPK mRNA alternative TSSs up to 23 kbp upstream are utilized (D.1.2). It is tempting to speculate that the 13-bp element and possibly additional regulatory sequences contribute to the recruitment of these upstream TSSs/promoters of the hPPK gene under distinct spatial and temporal conditions.

A BLAST search of the reference genomic sequence NCBI database detected 3401 sequence segments in the human genome fully identical with the sequence of the 13-bp segment. One may hypothesize that at least some of them will be involved in the recruitment of alternative upstream promoter regions of certain genes resulting in transcription initiation at alternative sites.

Estimates for the total number of genes of mammalian and simpler organisms showed that the number of protein-coding genes has not increased among eukaryotes in proportion to the complexity of the organisms (Landry et al., 2003). Therefore, it is generally accepted that in addition to higher gene numbers other mechanisms contribute to the phenotypic complexity of higher eukaryotes. In particular, alternative promoter usage which increases substantially the transcriptional and translational potential of a genome is now being recognized as one of such mechanisms. This phenomenon is attributed to that a particular combination of transcription factors, prevalent in different tissues or at different developmental and metabolic stages, can result in the preferential recruitment of a distinct promoter. The results of this study suggest in addition the existence of *cis*-acting elements which by themselves aid recruiting alternative promoters, possibly by blocking the usage of a certain promoter.

G OUTLOOK

This study represents the first characterization of mechanisms that regulate transcription of the hPPK gene. Utilization of multiple TSSs and alternative promoter regions has been demonstrated, important regulatory sequences within the main promoter of the hPPK gene have been extensively characterized, and significant evidence regarding a regulatory function exerted by NF1 proteins has been presented.

Some issues remain to be clarified. In particular, the regulatory region driving transcription of the hPPK gene from distal transcription initiation sites clustered in exon hE1a remains to be identified. The identified promoter regions (*Prox, E1i1E2, Dist3*) and regions with putative promoter activity (*Dist1, Dist2*) for which no activity could be detected should be tested for functionality in other cell lines, especially such representing model systems of testis and pancreas.

Future investigations will have to contribute to a detailed understanding of the regulatory mechanisms of hPPK gene transcription and translation. Thus, for example, recent evidence suggests that there is a connection between alternative promoter usage and splicing events (Kornblihtt, 2005). Therefore, it will be intriguing to explore the correlation of the usage of the distal TSSs of the hPPK gene with downstream splice events as well as with the level of PPK mRNA expression and protein sythesis in various tissues and cells.

The scanning mutant analysis, which unraveled important *cis*-acting elements in the *Prox* promoter, provides the basis for the identification of additional TFs controlling hPPK gene expression. Understanding the interplay of the specific TFs will contribute significantly to discovering cellular pathways and events in which PPK is implicated, i.e. the as yet unknown functional roles of PPK synthesized in various tissues and cells.

The results of this study strongly support the concept that plasma prekallikrein/plasma kallikrein, in addition to the well-known functions in the blood, plays multiple roles in diverse cells and tissues. It will be intriguing to elucidate what these roles are. Functional studies in cell and animal models such as on the effect of knocking down PPK synthesis may be next steps in order to achieve this aim.

H APPENDIX

H.1 The importance of examining the plasmid DNA quality in promoter studies

During the studies on the transcriptional regulation of the hPPK gene by means of reporter gene assays a problem of poor reproducibility in the results emerged which could finally be attributed to differences in the quality of plasmid DNA. This influence of the plasmid quality on the activity measured in transient transfection experiments could be excluded by selection of plasmids of appropriate quality based on visualization of intact plasmids by agarose gel electrophoresis.

The deletion analysis of the *Prox* (-668/+22) promoter revealed that a deletion variant *D3* (-152/+22) alone retains more than 50 % of the *Prox* promoter activity; deletion of further 50 nucleotides led to a 4-fold reduction of the promoter activity (Neth, 2002; Neth et al., 2005). Therefore, region -152 to -103 was subjected to *in silico* analysis (Quandt et al., 2006; Lenhard et al., 2003), and possible binding sites for factors of the Nkx-homeodomain family (NKXH) and activator protein 4 (AP4) were mapped to -129/-117 and -119/-114 segments, respectively (Figure H-1A). To check if these TFs contribute to the promoter activity, the specific binding sites (Figure H-1A, cf. mutations 02, 03, 04) as well as adjacent 5'- and 3'-sequences (cf. mutations 01, 05) were mutated using a megaprimer method (C.2.1.4). After cloning the mutated inserts into pSEAP2-Basic the plasmids were propagated in an *E. coli* strain B834 (Novagen). Activities of the *D3* and its mutated forms were subsequently monitored by transient transfection of HepG2 cells (C.2.2.3) followed by a luminescent SEAP assay (C.2.3).

As shown in Figure H-1B mutations 02 and 04 reduced promoter activity to about 10 % and 25 %, respectively, as compared to the non-mutated D3, and mutation 05 to about 50 %. The $D3_IM01$ and $D3_IM03$ plasmids exhibited promoter activities of about 80 %. Surprisingly, when the experiment was repeated, but with the wildtype D3 pSEAP2 plasmid propagated from a different *E. coli* colony of the same ligation ($D3_II$), the results differed from the first experiment. Namely, the activity of the five mutants relative to the wild-type $D3_II$ increased dramatically whereas the ratios between the activities of the mutants remained unchanged. When the two wild-type plasmids D3 and $D3_II$ were used in parallel in a transient transfection experiment, the activity of $D3_II$ had only 26 % of the activity of D3 (Figure H-1B). Agarose gel electrophoresis with both plasmids undigested revealed for the $D3_II$ plasmid only a very faint lower band (Figure H-1C). By running gels with and without ethidium bromide and, in parallel, including the sample of the same DNA linearized by single cleavage the lower band was identified as the supercoiled form of the plasmid DNA. The upper bands probably represented the single strand nicked and/or multimeric concatenated forms of DNA plasmid. It is known that

nicked and linearized plasmid DNAs are poorly transcribed in mammalian tissue culture cells compared with circular forms of the same templates (Weintraub et al., 1986; Sive et al., 1986). Therefore, the observed difference in the activities of D3 and $D3_{II}$ pSEAP2 plasmids could be explained by the different fraction of supercoiled DNA in the respective plasmid preparations.



Figure H-1. A. -135/-106 region of the PPK gene (numbers are relative to the annotated +1position). Consecutive segments of 6-bp each were mutated within the D3 region by $a \leftrightarrow c$, $g \leftrightarrow t$ exchange. designations The above the fragments refer to the respective mutation numbers. The binding site for the NKXH family and the core sequence for AP4 transcription factor are indicated by brackets. B. Transient transfection assay. The activity is given in percentage of the construct D3. The bars indicate the mean \pm standard deviation of three independent experiments performed in triplicates. C. 1 % agarose gel with 1 µg each of the intact plasmids as indicated.

Agarose gel electrophoresis of the undigested $D3_IM01 - D3_IM05$ pSEAP2 plasmids revealed absent or faint lower bands in the case of $D3_IM02$ and $D3_IM04$, respectively (Figure H-1C). This suggested that the observed differences in transcriptional activity of these two mutants compared to wild-type D3 were not due to the introduction of the mutations but to the quality of the respective plasmid DNA, i.e. the partly or completely missing of supercoiled DNA. Therefore, the $D3_IM02$ and $D3_IM04$ plasmids were again transformed into *E. coli* host strain B834 resulting in new clones for the same plasmids: $D3_IM02_II$ and $D3_IM04_II$, respectively. Gel electrophoresis of the new undigested plasmids revealed that both contained the lower bands of the supercoiled plasmid form in intensities comparable with those of D3, $D3_IM01$, $_03$, and $_05$ (Figure H-1C). In the reporter gene assay the $D3_IM02_II$ plasmid had about 90 % and the $D3_IM04_II$ plasmid about 50 % activity as compared to the D3 plasmid (Figure H-1B). The content of the supercoiled DNA form in plasmid DNA preparations can be influenced by the vector type, host strain, growth-conditions, cell lysis and purification methods. To start with, the influence of the host strain on the quality of a plasmid DNA and thus on the activity measured in a reporter gene assay was evaluated. Alternative promoters of the hPPK gene (D.1.3) were cloned into the pSEAP2-Basic vector and propagated in the two *E. coli* strains B834 (Novagen) and TOP10 (Invitrogen). Visualization of intact plasmids after agarose gel electrophoresis revealed that strain TOP10 plasmids (Prox^{TOP}, E1i1E2^{TOP}, Dist3^{TOP}) had a high content of the supercoiled form (Figure H-2A). Furthermore, the quality of the plasmid obtained with this host strain was more consistent as all three samples resulted in the same band pattern. Strain B834 plasmids (Prox^{B834}, E1i1E2^{B834}, Dist3^{B834}) had a lower and, in addition, variable content of supercoiled plasmid DNA. The plasmid preparations from the different strains showed different transcriptional activities upon transfection into HepG2 cells (Figure H-2B). Strain TOP10 plasmids had significantly higher activities than the respective strain B834 plasmids, and these differences correlated with the variance in intensity of the respective lower bands on the gel.



Figure H-2. A. 1 % agarose gel with 1 μ g each of the intact plasmid DNA as indicated. *Prox*, *E1i1E2*, *Dist3* alternative promoters of the PPK gene cloned into pSEAP2-Basic vector were propagated in two different *E. coli* strains: B834 and TOP10. **B.** Transient transfection assay. The activities of plasmids prepared using host strain TOP10 were set to 100 %. The bars indicate the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments made in triplicates.

It is known that some host strains such as DH1, C600, etc. yield high quality of the purified DNA (Ausubel et al., 2003). Differently, strain HB101 and its derivatives contain large amounts of carbohydrate which can inhibit enzyme activities if not completely removed from the plasmid preparation. In addition, some strains contain the wild type *end*A gene. The product of this gene, endonuclease A, could potentially nick, linearize, and, eventually, completely degrade supercoiled plasmids thus yielding DNA of lower quality. The *E. coli* strain B834 that had for

some time been used routinely in our laboratory turned out to be an *end*A+ strain. Therefore it could account for the above described effects.

DNA plasmid quality is determined by a number of factors, each being able to dramatically affect downstream applications. In the case of promoter studies it is known that plasmid quality as reflected in DNA topology influences the transcription efficiency (Weintraub et al., 1986; Sive et al., 1986). However, a random PubMed survey of approximately 80 articles on promoter analysis published from 1995 to 2006 indicated that only two articles referred to the supercoiled form of plasmid DNA, whereas the majority used verification of the inserted sequence as the only control concerning DNA plasmids used for transcriptional activity measurements. The results described above indicate that it is recommendable to examine the quality of each new plasmid preparation in respect to the content of supercoiled plasmid. In a case when the supercoiled fraction of DNA plasmid is not high enough and/or not reproducible it is worthwhile to screen several *E. coli* strains.

H.2 Inadequacy of a protein/DNA array analysis for identification of transcription factors binding to a promoter region of interest

Once the region proximal to the hPPK gene was defined as the main promoter utilized in hepatocytes (D.1.3), the question arose what were the sophisticated molecular mechanisms underlying the regulation of the transcription initiation by the *Prox* promoter. As a starting point, *cis*-acting elements of the *Prox* promoter contributing to its full activity in hepatocytes and transcription factors binding to these elements had to be identified. A substitution mutation analysis is routinely performed to unravel the position of critical nucleotides, and subsequent identification of the TFs bound by these nucleotides requires an additional set of experiments. In this context, the DNA pull-down method followed by the TranSignal Protein/DNA array (Zeng et al., 2003), that rapidly yields a global view of identity and abundance of TFs bound to the promoter region of interest, represented an attractive alternative to the former approach.

The DNA pull-down method was performed using magnetic beads loaded with a DNA fragment representing the *Prox* promoter and nuclear extract from HepG2 cells (details in C.2.8). The protein fraction thus enriched with the proteins binding to the *Prox* DNA was used as a starting material for the TranSignal Protein/DNA array (C.2.9). Three versions of the TranSignal Protein/DNA Array spotted with 244 different DNA consensus sequences had been offered by the manufacturer at that time. The pull-down experiment was done three times, and for each type of array (I, II, and III) the membrane hybridization was performed three times, each time with a separately pulled-down nuclear extract. The results of the three experiments are summarized in Table H-1. In addition, there were slight signals corresponding to some other TFs not given in

Table H-1. Since these signals were low and/or detected only on one membrane of the triplicate, they were considered as nonspecific hybridization. The hybridization membranes are shown in Figure H-3.

Array I			Array II			Array III					
TFs	Exp.1	Exp.2	Exp.3	TFs	Exp.1	Exp.2	Exp.3	TFs	Exp.1	Exp.2	Exp.3
AP-2(1)		+	+++	ADR1	(+)	+	+	AFP1	+++	+++	+++
EGR (1)	++	+++	+++	AhR/Arnt	(+)	+	+	CREB2		++	++
GRE		+	+++	DR-1	++	+	+++	EBP 40/45	++	++	+
NF1	+	+		FKHR (human)	+++	+	+++	HMG	++	+	++
NF-E2	+	++	+	Afxh (Foxo4)	+++	+++	+++	HOXD 8/9/10		+	+
p53		(+)	++	GATA1/2	+	++	++	HOX D9/10	+	+	+
Pax-5	++	+++	++	HBS+ HAS	+	+	+	ICSBP	+	++	+++
RXR (DR1)	++	++	+++	MEF-3	++	+	+	MTF(1)	++	++	++
Smad3/4	+	++	++	MT-Box	+	++	++	NF-Y	+	+	+++
Stat4		+	+++	ORE	+++	+++	++	p53(2)	+++	+++	++
TR		+	++	RREB(1)	+++	+++	+++	PYR	+++	+++	+
TR (DR-4)	+	+++	+++	RSRFC4	+++	+++	+++	RFX 1/2/3(1)	+	+	+
VDR (DR3)	+	+++	+++					WT1(1)	+	(+)	++
MRE	+	++	(+)					WT1(2)	+	(+)	++

Table H-1. Identities of the pulled-down proteins from the Prox promoter.

From strongest to the slightest hybridization signal: +++, ++, +, (+). TFs with the most strong and consistent signals are in bold.

A detailed analysis of the hybridization signals showed that the obtained data were difficult to interpret. Generally, two types of problems were encountered: many detected signals were of questionable relevance/specificity and alignment of the binding sites of TFs with strong signals to the *Prox* promoter sequence was ambiguous. Examples given below illustrate these problems and some discrepancies inherent to the arrays.

The consensus oligonucleotide for high mobility group proteins HMGI(Y) 5'-CGATCTGGAACTCCGGGAATTTCCCCTGGCCC-3' spotted in the Array III represents a part of a chemokine MGSA/GRO promoter. It was demonstrated in EMSA experiments that the HMGI(Y) recognizes exclusively the AT-rich motif (underlined) nested within the NF- κ B element (in bold). Competition experiments with poly(dA-dT) as a specific competitor resulted in complete elimination of the specific complex (Wood et al., 1995). Taking into account that the used oligonucleotide was not exclusively specific for the HMGI(Y) protein and the *Prox* promoter sequence contains a lot of AT-rich regions, the detected hybridization signal in the Array III could be hardly considered as specific.



Figure H-3. Protein/DNA array analysis of TFs bound to the Prox promoter.

Proteins bound to the *Prox* promoter of the hPPK gene were pulled down from nuclear extracts of HepG2 cells, and their identities were determined using TranSignal Protein/DNA Arrays I, II, and III. Hybridization signals (right) and TF identity (left) are given. The membranes shown are array I and II of experiment 3, array III of experiment 2. The genes on the Array I are spotted in duplicates: normal and 1:10 for the alternating rows. Dark spots in the right and bottom margins of the hybridization membrane are intended for alignment.

An oligonucleotide 5'-GAATG<u>GGGCACTG</u>AG<u>GCGTGACCA</u>CCG-3' spotted in the Array I corresponds to the consensus recognition sequence for the B-cell specific transcription factor BSAP (Pax5) (Czerny et al., 1993). The binding sites for the Pax5 can be divided into two classes depending on whether its 5' (class I) or 3' (class II) half sites (underlined) fully match the consensus sequence (the most critical nucleotides are in bold). The overall affinity of a given BSAP-binding site depends on the strength of both interactions and correlates with its match to the consensus sequence. Deviation of one half site from its consensus sequence can be compensated for by a perfect match of the second half site with its consensus motif. Thus, the degenerate DNA sequence recognition of Pax 5 made it difficult to ultimately delineate the binding site within sequence of the *Prox* promoter. Among other TFs whose recognition sequences could not be aligned to the *Prox* promoter are α -fetoprotein enhancer binding protein 1 (AFP1), MRE-binding transcription factor 1 (MTF(1)), nuclear factor Y (NFY) (Table H-1).

In Array I a moderate signal was detected for DR-1, a hormone response element consisting of the common consensus half-site sequence AGGTCA in the form of a direct repeat (DR) separated by one nucleotide (Kliewer et al., 1992). DR-1 could be aligned to the *Prox* promoter sequence although with some mismatches (data not shown). However, a perfect DR1 element is quite promiscuous, since it allows the binding of RXR homodimers, peroxisome proliferators-activated receptor/RXR, and retinoic acid receptor/RXR heterodimers as well as of orphan members of the nuclear receptor superfamily like apolipoprotein regulatory protein-1, hepatocyte nuclear factor 4, and chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter transcription factor (Sladek et al., 1990; Ladias & Karathanasis, 1991; Kliewer et al., 1992; Nakshatri & Chambon, 1994). Thus, the nature of a TF binding to the spotted oligonucleotide is ambiguous.

The following examples point out some imperfection inherent to the array. The oligonucleotide 5'-AAGCTCCTTCCTTCCCTTCCCTTCCAGAC-3' spotted in the Array III is claimed to specifically bind PYR (polypyrimidine complex) initially described as a nuclear protein of unknown nature that binds to an oligopyrimidine repeat (O'Neill et al., 1991). However, the ability of the 29-bp oligonucleotide to bind the pyr factor is questionable since in gel shift assays the specific complex was detected only with a 99-bp oligonucleotide probe; the factor failed to recognize the shorter 46-bp probe, indicating that pyr factor recognized DNA with a definite secondary structure (O'Neill et al., 1991). Later PYR was characterized as an adult erythroid stage–specific chromatin remodeling complex consisting of several subunits with Ikaros as the single DNA-binding subunit (O'Neill et al., 2000). In Array II a separate oligonucleotide specific for Ikaros is spotted. Taken together, it is not clear which TF is supposed to bind to the consensus oligonucleotide and, accordingly, to which TF the detected signal corresponds.

Mouse Fkhr and Afxh (Foxo4) transcription factors, the members of the forkhead family, were shown to recognize the common consensus DNA sequence (G/A)(T/A)(A/T)AACA (Biggs et al., 2001). Despite this finding, the Fkhr- and Afxh-binding oligonucleotides spotted in the Array II differ in their sequences: 5'-CATAAACAA<u>A</u>-3' and 5'-CATAAACAA<u>C</u>-3'. Thus, it is doubtful if the difference in the signals corresponding to the mouse Fkhr (negative) and Afxh (positive) TFs indeed results from adenine – cytosine exchange or it reflects discrepancy inherent to the array.

At last, an oligonucleotide for the TF p53 was spotted twice (Figure H-3, cf. p53 on the Array I, G-H/11-12, and p53(2) on the Array III, H15-H16). Despite the differences in the designation, the consensus sequence was claimed to be equal. Comparison of the detected signals on the Array I and III revealed certain discrepancy. An incubation of the same pulled-down nuclear extracts with Arrays I and III gave no to moderate signals for the first one and strong signals for the second one (Table H-1).

Thus, the comprehensive analysis of the results revealed poor specificity and some discrepancy in data obtained from different arrays. Several additional factors lowering the overall significance of the derived data should be taken into account. Some relevant TFs could be missed during incubation of a nuclear extract with DNA coupled to magnetic beads, since the binding reaction is performed under average conditions where only a subset of TFs has the binding optimum. Besides, it is known that some TFs relevant for transcription initiation have a low affinity for the respective recognition sequence, and its binding to DNA *in vivo* is stabilized by other proteins. If the corresponding oligonucleotides used in the arrays do not encompass a recognition site of a co-factor along with a consensus sequence of the nuclear factor of interest, those TFs are likely to result in weak if any hybridization signals on the membrane, thus leading to its neglecting. Taken together, the overall low reliability of the derived information made it impossible to use it for further analyses of the *Prox* promoter.

Recently several research groups employed the DNA pull-down method coupled with the DNA/protein array for promoter analysis of different genes (Zeng et al., 2003; Xia et al., 2003, 2005; Li et al., 2004). However, specific binding of the detected TFs to the respective promoters and their relevance as regulators for these promoters was not further investigated. Apparently, the authors encountered analogous problems.

I **REFERENCES**

- Akita K., Okuno M., Enya M., Imai S., Moriwaki H., Kawada N., Suzuki Y., Kojima S. (2002). Impaired liver regeneration in mice by lipopolysaccharide via TNF-alpha/kallikrein-mediated activation of latent TGF-beta. Gastroenterology **123**, 352-364.
- Apt D., Liu Y., Bernard H.U. (1994). Cloning and functional analysis of spliced isoforms of human nuclear factor I-X: interference with transcriptional activation by NFI/CTF in a cell-type specific manner. Nucleic Acids Res **22**, 3825-3833.
- Audic Y., Hartley R.S. (2004). Post-transcriptional regulation in cancer. Biol Cell 96, 479-498.
- Ausubel F.M., Brent B., Kingston R.E., Moore D.D., Seidman J.G., Smith J.A., Struhl K. (2003). Minipreps of plasmid DNA. In: Current protocols in molecular biology, John Wiley & Sons Inc. New York, 1.6.1-1.6.10.
- Ayoubi T.A., Van De Ven W.J. (1996). Regulation of gene expression by alternative promoters. FASEB J **10**, 453-460.
- Bhoola K.D., Figueroa C.D., Worthy K. (1992). Bioregulation of kinins: kallikreins, kininogens, and kininases. Pharmacol Rev 44, 1-80.
- Biggs W.H. 3rd, Cavenee W.K., Arden K.C. (2001). Identification and characterization of members of the FKHR (FOX O) subclass of winged-helix transcription factors in the mouse. Mamm Genome **12**, 416-425.
- Birnboim H.C., Doly J. (1979). A rapid alkaline extraction procedure for screening recombinant plasmid DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 7, 1513-1523.
- Biyashev D., Tan F., Chen Z., Zhang K., Deddish P.A., Erdös E.G., Hecquet C. (2006). Kallikrein activates bradykinin B2 receptors in absence of kininogen. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 290, H1244-H1250.
- Carey M.F., Smale S.T. (2000a). Functional assays for promoter analysis. In: Transcriptional regulation in eukaryotes: concepts, strategies, and techniques, Cold Spring Harbor New York, 137-191.
- Carey M.F., Smale S.T. (2000b). Identifying cis-acting DNA elements within a control region. In: Transcriptional regulation in eukaryotes: concepts, strategies, and techniques, Cold Spring Harbor New York, 213-248.
- Carninci P., Sandelin A., Lenhard B., Katayama S., Shimokawa K., Ponjavic J., Semple C.A., Taylor M.S., Engstrom P.G., Frith M.C., Forrest A.R., Alkema W.B., Tan S.L., Plessy C., Kodzius R., Ravasi T., Kasukawa T., Fukuda S., Kanamori-Katayama M., Kitazume Y., Kawaji H., Kai C., Nakamura M., Konno H., Nakano K., Mottagui-Tabar S., Arner P., Chesi A., Gustincich S., Persichetti F., Suzuki H., Grimmond S.M., Wells C.A., Orlando V., Wahlestedt C., Liu E.T., Harbers M., Kawai J., Bajic V.B., Hume D.A., Hayashizaki Y.

(2006). Genome-wide analysis of mammalian promoter architecture and evolution. Nat Genet **38**, 626-635.

- Chung D.W., Fujikawa K., McMullen B.A., Davie E.W. (1986). Human plasma prekallikrein, a zymogen to a serine protease that contains four tandem repeats. Biochemistry **25**, 2410-2417.
- Ciechanowicz A., Bader M., Wagner J., Ganten D. (1993). Extra-hepatic transcription of plasma prekallikrein gene in human and rat tissues. Biochem Biophys Res Commun **197**, 1370-1376.
- Colman R.W., Wong P.Y. (1979). Kallikrein-kinin system in pathologic conditions. In: Handbook of experimental pharmacology (Erdös E.G., eds) 25 (Supplement), Springer Heidelberg, New York, 569-607.
- Colman R.W., Schmaier A.H. (1997). Contact system: a vascular biology modulator with anticoagulant, profibrinolytic, antiadhesive, and proinflammatory attributes. Blood **90**, 3819-3843.
- Colman R.W. (1998). The contact system: a proinflammatory pathway with antithrombotic activity. Nat Med 4, 277-278.
- Colman R.W. (1999). Biologic activities of the contact factors in vivo-potentiation of hypotension, inflammation, and fibrinolysis, and inhibition of cell adhesion, angiogenesis and thrombosis. Thromb Haemost **82**, 1568-1577.
- Cooper S.J., Trinklein N.D., Anton E.D., Nguyen L., Myers R.M. (2006). Comprehensive analysis of transcriptional promoter structure and function in 1% of the human genome. Genome Res 16, 1-10.
- Couture R., Harrisson M., Vianna R.M., Cloutier F. (2001). Kinin receptors in pain and inflammation. Eur J Pharmacol **429**, 161-176.
- Cowell I.G. (2002). E4BP4/NFIL3, a PAR-related bZIP factor with many roles. Bioessays 24, 1023-1029.
- Czerny T., Schaffner G., Busslinger M. (1993). DNA sequence recognition by Pax proteins: bipartite structure of the paired domain and its binding site. Genes Dev 7, 2048-2061.
- Davis A.E.I. (2005). The pathophysiology of hereditary angioedema. Clin Immunol 114, 3-9.

de Wardener H.E. (2001). The hypothalamus and hypertension. Physiol Rev 81, 1599-1658.

- Delgado M.D., Leon J. (2006). Gene expression regulation and cancer. Clin Transl Oncol **8**, 780-787.
- Denoeud F., Kapranov P., Ucla C., Frankish A., Castelo R., Drenkow J., Lagarde J., Alioto T., Manzano C., Chrast J., Dike S., Wyss C., Henrichsen C.N., Holroyd N., Dickson M.C., Taylor R., Hance Z., Foissac S., Myers R.M., Rogers J., Hubbard T., Harrow J., Guigo R.,

Gingeras T.R., Antonarakis S.E., Reymond A. (2007). Prominent use of distal 5' transcription start sites and discovery of a large number of additional exons in ENCODE regions. Genome Res **17**, 746-759.

- Devireddy L.R., Kumar K.U., Pater M.M., Pater A. (2000). BAG-1, a novel Bcl-2-interacting protein, activates expression of human JC virus. J Gen Virol **81**, 351-357.
- Dorn A., Bollekens J., Staub A., Benoist C., Mathis D. (1987). A multiplicity of CCAAT boxbinding proteins. Cell **50**, 863-872.
- Eeckhoute J., Carroll J.S., Geistlinger T.R., Torres-Arzayus M.I., Brown M. (2006). A cell-typespecific transcriptional network required for estrogen regulation of cyclin D1 and cell cycle progression in breast cancer. Genes Dev **20**, 2513-2526.
- Erdös E.G., Renfrew A.G., Sloane E.M., Wohler J.R. (1963). Enzymatic studies on bradykinin and similar peptides. Ann NY Acad Sci **104**, 222-235.
- Erdös E.G., Yang H.Y. (1967). An enzyme in microsomal fraction of kidney that inactivates bradykinin. Life Sci 6, 569-574.
- Evans B.A., Yun Z.X., Close J.A., Tregear G.W., Kitamura N., Nakanishi S., Callen D.F., Baker E., Hyland V.J., Sutherland G.R. (1988). Structure and chromosomal localization of the human renal kallikrein gene. Biochemistry **27**, 3124-3129.
- Fan X., Chou D.M., Struhl K. (2006). Activator-specific recruitment of Mediator *in vivo*. Nat Struct Mol Biol **13**, 117-120.
- Fink E., Bhoola K.D., Snyman C., Neth P., Figueroa C.D. (2007). Cellular expression of plasma prekallikrein in human tissues. Biol Chem **388**, 957-963.
- Freier S.M., Kierzek R., Jaeger J.A., Sugimoto N., Caruthers M.H., Neilson T., Turner D.H. (1986). Improved free-energy parameters for predictions of RNA duplex stability. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **83**, 9373-9377.
- Fukushima D., Kitamura N., Nakanishi S. (1985). Nucleotide sequence of cloned cDNA for human pancreatic kallikrein. Biochemistry **24**, 8037-8043.
- Gabrielsen O.S., Huet J. (1993). Magnetic DNA affinity purification of yeast transcription factor. Methods Enzymol **218**, 508-525.
- Gronostajski R.M. (2000). Roles of the NFI/CTF gene family in transcription and development. Gene **249**, 31-45.
- Gross P., Oelgeschläger T. (2006). Core promoter-selective RNA polymerase II transcription. Biochem Soc Symp **73**, 225-236.

- Gründer A., Qian F., Ebel T.T., Mincheva A., Lichter P., Kruse U., Sippel A.E. (2003). Genomic organization, splice products and mouse chromosomal localization of genes for transcription factor Nuclear Factor One. Gene **304**, 171-181.
- Guo Y.L., Colman R.W. (2005). Two faces of high-molecular-weight kininogen (HK) in angiogenesis: bradykinin turns it on and cleaved HK (HKa) turns it off. J Thromb Haemost **3**, 670-676.
- Hattersley P.G., Hayse D. (1970). Fletcher factor deficiency: a report of three unrelated cases. Br J Haematol **18**, 411-416.
- Hecquet C., Tan F., Marcic B.M., Erdös E.G. (2000). Human bradykinin B(2) receptor is activated by kallikrein and other serine proteases. Mol Pharmacol **58**, 828-836.
- Hecquet C., Becker R.P., Tan F., Erdös E.G. (2002). Kallikreins when activating bradykinin B2 receptor induce its redistribution on plasma membrane. Int Immunopharmacol **2**, 1795-1806.
- Hermann A., Buchinger P., Somlev B., Rehbock J. (1996). High and low molecular weight kininogen and plasma prekallikrein/plasma kallikrein in villous capillaries of human term placenta. Placenta **17**, 223-230.
- Hermann A., Arnhold M., Kresse H., Neth P., Fink E. (1999). Expression of plasma prekallikrein mRNA in human nonhepatic tissues and cell lineages suggests special local functions of the enzyme. Biol Chem **380**, 1097-1102.
- Holland J.A., Pritchard K.A., Pappolla M.A., Wolin M.S., Rogers N.J., Stemerman M.B. (1990). Bradykinin induces superoxide anion release from human endothelial cells. J Cell Physiol 143, 21-25.
- Hong S.L. (1980). Effect of bradykinin and thrombin on prostacyclin synthesis in endothelial cells from calf and pig aorta and human umbilical cord vein. Thromb Res 18, 787-795.
- Hough C., Cuthbert C.D., Notley C., Brown C., Hegadorn C., Berber E., Lillicrap D. (2005). Cell type-specific regulation of von Willebrand factor expression by the E4BP4 transcriptional repressor. Blood **105**, 1531-1539.
- Hughes T.A. (2006). Regulation of gene expression by alternative untranslated regions. Trends Genet **22**, 119-122.
- Isordia-Salas I., Pixley R.A., Sainz I.M., Martinez-Murillo C., Colman R.W. (2005). The role of plasma high molecular weight kininogen in experimental intestinal and systemic inflammation. Arch Med Res **36**, 87-95.
- Jessen H., Roigaard H., Riahi-Esfahani S., Jacobsen C. (1994). A comparative study on the uptake of alpha-aminoisobutyric acid by normal and immortalized human embryonic kidney cells from proximal tubule. Biochim Biophys Acta **1190**, 279-288.

- Joseph K., Tholanikunnel B.G., Kaplan A.P. (2002). Heat shock protein 90 catalyzes activation of the prekallikrein-kininogen complex in the absence of factor XII. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **99**, 896-900.
- Joseph K., Kaplan A.P. (2005). Formation of bradykinin: a major contributor to the innate inflammatory response. Adv Immunol **86**, 159-208.
- Kawaji H., Kasukawa T., Fukuda S., Katayama S., Kai C., Kawai J., Carninci P., Hayashizaki Y. (2006). CAGE Basic/Analysis Databases: the CAGE resource for comprehensive promoter analysis. Nucleic Acids Res 34, D632-D636.
- Keene J.D., Tenenbaum S.A. (2002). Eukaryotic mRNPs may represent posttranscriptional operons. Mol Cell 9, 1161-1167.
- Keene J.D., Lager P.J. (2005). Post-transcriptional operons and regulons co-ordinating gene expression. Chromosome Res 13, 327-337.
- Kimura K., Wakamatsu A., Suzuki Y., Ota T., Nishikawa T., Yamashita R., Yamamoto J., Sekine M., Tsuritani K., Wakaguri H., Ishii S., Sugiyama T., Saito K., Isono Y., Irie R., Kushida N., Yoneyama T., Otsuka R., Kanda K., Yokoi T., Kondo H., Wagatsuma M., Murakawa K., Ishida S., Ishibashi T., Takahashi-Fujii A., Tanase T., Nagai K., Kikuchi H., Nakai K., Isogai T., Sugano S. (2006). Diversification of transcriptional modulation: largescale identification and characterization of putative alternative promoters of human genes. Genome Res 16, 55-65.
- Kliewer S.A., Umesono K., Heyman R.A., Mangelsdorf D.J., Dyck J.A., Evans R.M. (1992). Retinoid X receptor-COUP-TF interactions modulate retinoic acid signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89, 1448-1452.
- Kornberg R.D. (2005). Mediator and the mechanism of transcriptional activation. Trends Biochem Sci **30**, 235-239.
- Kornblihtt A.R. (2005). Promoter usage and alternative splicing. Curr Opin Cell Biol 17, 262-268.
- Kraut H., Frey E.K., Bauer E. (1928). Über ein neues Kreislaufhormon. Hoppe-Seylers Z Physiol Chem **175**, 97-114.
- Kraut H., Frey E.K., Werle E. (1930). Der Nachweis eines Kreislaufhormons in der Pankreasdrüse. Hoppe-Seylers Z Physiol Chem **189**, 97-106.
- Kraut H., Frey E.K., Werle E. (1933). Über den Nachweis und das Vorkommen des Kallikreins im Blut. Hoppe-Seylers Z Physiol Chem **222**, 73-98.
- Krohn K., Rozovsky I., Wals P., Teter B., Anderson C.P., Finch C.E. (1999). Glial fibrillary acidic protein transcription responses to transforming growth factor-beta1 and interleukinlbeta are mediated by a nuclear factor-1-like site in the near-upstream promoter. J Neurochem 72, 1353-1361.

- Ladias J.A., Karathanasis S.K. (1991). Regulation of the apolipoprotein AI gene by ARP-1, a novel member of the steroid receptor superfamily. Science **251**, 561-565.
- Laemmli U.K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature **227**, 680-685.
- Landry J.R., Mager D.L., Wilhelm B.T. (2003). Complex controls: the role of alternative promoters in mammalian genomes. Trends Genet **19**, 640-648.
- Lee M., Song H., Park S., Park J. (1998). Transcription of the rat p53 gene is mediated by factor binding to two recognition motifs of NF1-like protein. Biol Chem **379**, 1333-1340.
- Lenhard B., Sandelin A., Mendoza L., Engström P., Jareborg N., Wasserman W.W. (2003). Identification of conserved regulatory elements by comparative genome analysis. J Biol 2, 13.
- Levy J.H., O'Donnell P.S. (2006). The therapeutic potential of a kallikrein inhibitor for treating hereditary angioedema. Expert Opin Investig Drugs **15**, 1077-1090.
- Li Q., Peterson K.R., Fang X., Stamatoyannopoulos G. (2002). Locus control regions. Blood **100**, 3077-3086.
- Li Q., Dashwood W.M., Zhong X., Al Fageeh M., Dashwood R.H. (2004). Cloning of the rat beta-catenin gene (Ctnnb1) promoter and its functional analysis compared with the Catnb and CTNNB1 promoters. Genomics **83**, 231-242.
- Liao D.F., Jin Z.G., Baas A.S., Daum G., Gygi S.P., Aebersold R., Berk B.C. (2000). Purification and identification of secreted oxidative stress-induced factors from vascular smooth muscle cells. J Biol Chem **275**, 189-196.
- Lim C.Y., Santoso B., Boulay T., Dong E., Ohler U., Kadonaga J.T. (2004). The MTE, a new core promoter element for transcription by RNA polymerase II. Genes Dev 18, 1606-1617.
- Lodish H., Berk A., Matsudaira P., Kaiser C.A., Krieger M., Scott M.P., Zipursky S.L., Darnell J. (2003a) Activators and repressors of transcription. In: Molecular cell biology, W.H. Freeman and Company New York, 458-469.
- Lodish H., Berk A., Matsudaira P., Kaiser C.A., Krieger M., Scott M.P., Zipursky S.L., Darnell J. (2003b) Transcription initiation by RNA Polymerase II. In: Molecular cell biology, W.H. Freeman and Company New York, 469-471.
- Lund L.R., Green K.A., Stoop A.A., Ploug M., Almholt K., Lilla J., Nielsen B.S., Christensen I.J., Craik C.S., Werb Z., Dano K., Romer J. (2006). Plasminogen activation independent of uPA and tPA maintains wound healing in gene-deficient mice. EMBO J **25**, 2686-2697.
- Lundwall A., Band V., Blaber M., Clements J.A., Courty Y., Diamandis E.P., Fritz H., Lilja H., Malm J., Maltais L.J., Olsson A.Y., Petraki C., Scorilas A., Sotiropoulou G., Stenman U.H.,

Stephan C., Talieri M., Yousef G.M. (2006). A comprehensive nomenclature for serine proteases with homology to tissue kallikreins. Biol Chem **387**, 637-641.

- MacGregor G.R., Caskey C.T. (1989). Construction of plasmids that express *E. coli* betagalactosidase in mammalian cells. Nucleic Acids Res 17, 2365.
- Mandle R.J., Colman R.W., Kaplan A.P. (1976). Identification of prekallikrein and highmolecular-weight kininogen as a complex in human plasma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **73**, 4179-4183.
- Mandle R.J., Kaplan A.P. (1977). Hageman factor substrates. Human plasma prekallikrein: mechanism of activation by Hageman factor and participation in hageman factor-dependent fibrinolysis. J Biol Chem **252**, 6097-6104.
- McMullen B.A., Fujikawa K., Davie E.W. (1991). Location of the disulfide bonds in human plasma prekallikrein: the presence of four novel apple domains in the amino-terminal portion of the molecule. Biochemistry **30**, 2050-2056.
- Movat H.Z. (1979). The plasma kallikrein-kinin system and its interrelationship with other components of blood. In: Bradykinin, kallidin and kallikrein. Handbook of experimental pharmacology XXV (Erdös E.G., eds), Springer–Verlag Berlin, 1–89.
- Nakshatri H., Chambon P. (1994). The directly repeated RG(G/T)TCA motifs of the rat and mouse cellular retinol-binding protein II genes are promiscuous binding sites for RAR, RXR, HNF-4, and ARP-1 homo- and heterodimers. J Biol Chem **269**, 890-902.
- Nebl G., Cato A.C. (1995). NFI/X proteins: a class of NFI family of transcription factors with positive and negative regulatory domains. Cell Mol Biol Res **41**, 85-95.
- Neth P., Arnhold M., Nitschko H., Fink E. (2001). The mRNAs of prekallikrein, factors XI and XII, and kininogen, components of the contact phase cascade are differentially expressed in multiple non-hepatic human tissues. Thromb Haemost **85**, 1043-1047.
- Neth, P. (2002). Molekulare Analyse der mRNA-Expression von Plasma-Prokallikrein: Expressionsprofil in humanen Geweben und Mechanismen der Transkriptionsregulation. Dissertation, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität Munich: Faculty of Biology.
- Neth P., Arnhold M., Sidarovich V., Bhoola K.D., Fink E. (2005). Expression of the plasma prekallikrein gene: utilization of multiple transcription start sites and alternative promoter regions. Biol Chem **386**, 101-109.
- Noda M., Kariura Y., Pannasch U., Nishikawa K., Wang L., Seike T., Ifuku M., Kosai Y., Wang B., Nolte C., Aoki S., Kettenmann H., Wada K. (2007). Neuroprotective role of bradykinin because of the attenuation of pro-inflammatory cytokine release from activated microglia. J Neurochem 101, 397-410.

- O'Neill D.W., Bornschlegel K., Flamm M., Castle M., Bank A. (1991). A DNA-binding factor in adult hematopoietic cells interacts with a pyrimidine-rich domain upstream from the human delta-globin gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **88**, 8953-8957.
- O'Neill D.W., Schoetz S.S., Lopez R.A., Castle M., Rabinowitz L., Shor E., Krawchuk D., Goll M.G., Renz M., Seelig H.P., Han S., Seong R.H., Park S.D., Agalioti T., Munshi N., Thanos D., Erdjument-Bromage H., Tempst P., Bank A. (2000). An ikaros-containing chromatin-remodeling complex in adult-type erythroid cells. Mol Cell Biol 20, 7572-7582.
- Osada S., Daimon S., Nishihara T., Imagawa M. (1996). Identification of DNA binding-site preferences for nuclear factor I-A. FEBS Lett **390**, 44-46.
- Osada S., Ikeda T., Xu M., Nishihara T., Imagawa M. (1997). Identification of the transcriptional repression domain of nuclear factor 1-A. Biochem Biophys Res Commun **238**, 744-747.
- Ouellet S., Vigneault F., Lessard M., Leclerc S., Drouin R., Guerin S.L. (2006). Transcriptional regulation of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (p21) gene by NFI in proliferating human cells. Nucleic Acids Res **34**, 6472-6487.
- Page J.D., You J.L., Harris R.B., Colman R.W. (1994). Localization of the binding site on plasma kallikrein for high-molecular-weight kininogen to both apple 1 and apple 4 domains of the heavy chain. Arch Biochem Biophys **314**, 159-164.
- Paliouras M., Diamandis E.P. (2006). The kallikrein world: an update on the human tissue kallikreins. Biol Chem **387**, 643-652.
- Palmer R.M., Ferrige A.G., Moncada S. (1987). Nitric oxide release accounts for the biological activity of endothelium-derived relaxing factor. Nature **327**, 524-526.
- Peek M., Moran P., Mendoza N., Wickramasinghe D., Kirchhofer D. (2002). Unusual proteolytic activation of pro-hepatocyte growth factor by plasma kallikrein and coagulation factor XIa. J Biol Chem 277, 47804-47809.
- Quandt K., Frech K., Karas H., Wingender E., Werner T. (2006). MatInd and MatInspector: new fast and versatile tools for detection of consensus matches in nucleotide sequence data. Nucleic Acids Res 23, 4878-4884.
- Renne T., Dedio J., Meijers J.C., Chung D., Müller-Esterl W. (1999). Mapping of the discontinuous H-kininogen binding site of plasma prekallikrein. Evidence for a critical role of apple domain-2. J Biol Chem **274**, 25777-25784.
- Renne T., Pozgajova M., Gruner S., Schuh K., Pauer H.U., Burfeind P., Gailani D., Nieswandt B. (2005). Defective thrombus formation in mice lacking coagulation factor XII. J Exp Med 202, 271-281.
- Reynolds A., Leake D., Boese Q., Scaringe S., Marshall W.S., Khvorova A. (2004). Rational siRNA design for RNA interference. Nat Biotechnol **22**, 326-330.

- Riesner D., Steger G., Zimmat R., Owens R.A., Wagenhofer M., Hillen W., Vollbach S., Henco K. (1989). Temperature-gradient gel electrophoresis of nucleic acids: analysis of conformational transitions, sequence variations, and protein-nucleic acid interactions. Electrophoresis 10, 377-389.
- Rocha e Silva M., Beraldo W.T., Rosenfeld G. (1949). Bradykinin, a hypotensive and smooth muscle stimulating factor released from plasma globulin by snake venoms and by trypsin. Am J Physiol 156, 261-273.
- Saito H., Ratnoff O.D., Donaldson V.H. (1974). Defective activation of clotting, fibrinolytic, and permeability-enhancing systems in human Fletcher trait plasma. Circ Res **34**, 641-651.
- Sambrook J., Russel D.W. (2001). Mutagenesis. In: Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press New York, 13.1-13.91.
- SantaLucia J.J. (1998). A unified view of polymer, dumbbell, and oligonucleotide DNA nearestneighbor thermodynamics. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **95**, 1460-1465.
- Schreiber E., Matthias P., Müller M.M., Schaffner W. (1989). Rapid detection of octamer binding proteins with 'mini-extracts', prepared from a small number of cells. Nucleic Acids Res 17, 6419.
- Seidah N.G., Sawyer N., Hamelin J., Mion P., Beaubien G., Brachpapa L., Rochemont J., Mbikay M., Chretien M. (1990). Mouse plasma kallikrein: cDNA structure, enzyme characterization, and comparison of protein and mRNA levels among species. DNA Cell Biol 9, 737-748.
- Selvarajan S., Lund L.R., Takeuchi T., Craik C.S., Werb Z. (2001). A plasma kallikreindependent plasminogen cascade required for adipocyte differentiation. Nat Cell Biol **3**, 267-275.
- Shariat-Madar Z., Mahdi F., Schmaier A.H. (2002a). Assembly and activation of the plasma kallikrein/kinin system: a new interpretation. Int Immunopharmacol **2**, 1841-1849.
- Shariat-Madar Z., Mahdi F., Schmaier A.H. (2002b). Identification and characterization of prolylcarboxypeptidase as an endothelial cell prekallikrein activator. J Biol Chem 277, 17962-17969.
- Shariat-Madar Z., Mahdi F., Schmaier A.H. (2004). Recombinant prolylcarboxypeptidase activates plasma prekallikrein. Blood **103**, 4554-4561.
- Shariat-Madar Z., Schmaier A.H. (2004). The plasma kallikrein/kinin and renin angiotensin systems in blood pressure regulation in sepsis. J Endotoxin Res **10**, 3-13.
- Shariat-Madar Z., Rahimy E., Mahdi F., Schmaier A.H. (2005). Overexpression of prolylcarboxypeptidase enhances plasma prekallikrein activation on Chinese hamster ovary cells. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 289, H2697-H2703.

- Shuman S. (1991). Recombination mediated by Vaccinia virus DNA topoisomerase I in *Escherichia coli* is sequence specific. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **88**, 10104-10108.
- Shuman S. (1994). Novel approach to molecular cloning and polynucleotide synthesis using Vaccinia DNA topoisomerase. J Biol Chem **269**, 32678-32684.
- Sive H.L., Heintz N., Roeder R.G. (1986). Multiple sequence elements are required for maximal in vitro transcription of a human histone H2B gene. Mol Cell Biol **6**, 3329-3340.
- Sladek F.M., Zhong W.M., Lai E., Darnell J.E., Jr. (1990). Liver-enriched transcription factor HNF-4 is a novel member of the steroid hormone receptor superfamily. Genes Dev 4, 2353-2365.
- Smale S.T., Kadonaga J.T. (2003). The RNA polymerase II core promoter. Annu Rev Biochem **72**, 449-479.
- Stadnicki A., DeLa Cadena R.A., Sartor R.B., Bender D., Kettner C.A., Rath H.C., Adam A., Colman R.W. (1996). Selective plasma kallikrein inhibitor attenuates acute intestinal inflammation in Lewis rat. Dig Dis Sci **41**, 912-920.
- Stadnicki A., Sartor R.B., Janardham R., Majluf-Cruz A., Kettner C.A., Adam A.A., Colman R.W. (1998). Specific inhibition of plasma kallikrein modulates chronic granulomatous intestinal and systemic inflammation in genetically susceptible rats. FASEB J 12, 325-333.
- Stadnicki A. (2005). Tissue and plasma kallikrein in inflammatory bowel disease. Dig Liver Dis **37**, 648-650.
- Steffensen K.R., Holter E., Tobin K.A., Leclerc S., Gustafsson J.A., Guerin S.L., Eskild W. (2001). Members of the nuclear factor 1 family reduce the transcriptional potential of the nuclear receptor LXRalpha promoter. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 289, 1262-1267.
- Stoop A.A., Craik C.S. (2003). Engineering of a macromolecular scaffold to develop specific protease inhibitors. Nat Biotechnol **21**, 1063-1068.
- Suzuki Y., Taira H., Tsunoda T., Mizushima-Sugano J., Sese J., Hata H., Ota T., Isogai T., Tanaka T., Morishita S., Okubo K., Sakaki Y., Nakamura Y., Suyama A., Sugano S. (2001). Diverse transcriptional initiation revealed by fine, large-scale mapping of mRNA start sites. EMBO Rep 2, 388-393.
- Szutorisz H., Dillon N., Tora L. (2005). The role of enhancers as centres for general transcription factor recruitment. Trends Biochem Sci **30**, 593-599.
- Tang J., Yu C.L., Williams S.R., Springman E., Jeffery D., Sprengeler P.A., Estevez A., Sampang J., Shrader W., Spencer J., Young W., McGrath M., Katz B.A. (2005). Expression, crystallization, and three-dimensional structure of the catalytic domain of human plasma kallikrein. J Biol Chem 280, 41077-41089.

- The ENCODE Project Consortium (2004). The ENCODE (ENCyclopedia Of DNA Elements) Project. Science **306**, 636-640.
- The ENCODE Project Consortium (2007). Identification and analysis of functional elements in 1% of the human genome by the ENCODE pilot project. Nature **447**, 799-816.
- Thomas M.C., Chiang C.M. (2006). The general transcription machinery and general cofactors. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol **41**, 105-178.
- Tokusumi Y., Ma Y., Song X., Jacobson R.H., Takada S. (2007). The new core promoter element XCPE1 (X Core Promoter Element 1) directs activator-, mediator-, and TATA-binding protein-dependent but TFIID-independent RNA polymerase II transcription from TATA-less promoters. Mol Cell Biol **27**, 1844-1858.
- Tveito G., Hansteen I.L., Dalen H., Haugen A. (1989). Immortalization of normal human kidney epithelial cells by nickel (II). Cancer Res **49**, 1829-1835.
- Valenzuela L., Kamakaka R.T. (2006). Chromatin insulators. Annu Rev Genet 40, 107-138.
- van der Graaf F., Tans G., Bouma B.N., Griffin J.H. (1982). Isolation and functional properties of the heavy and light chains of human plasma kallikrein. J Biol Chem **257**, 14300-14305.
- van Houten V., Denkers F., van Dijk M., van den B.M., Brakenhoff R. (1998). Labeling efficiency of oligonucleotides by T4 polynucleotide kinase depends on 5'-nucleotide. Anal Biochem **265**, 386-389.
- Webster M.E. (1970). Kallikreins in glandular tissues. In: Bradykinin, kallidin and kallikrein. Handbook of experimental pharmacology XXV (Erdös E.G., eds), Springer Verlag Berlin, 131-155.
- Weintraub H., Cheng P.F., Conrad K. (1986). Expression of transfected DNA depends on DNA topology. Cell **46**, 115-122.
- Werle E., Götze W., Keppler A. (1937). Über die Wirkung des Kallikreins auf den isolierten Darm und über neue darmkontrahierende Substanz. Biochem Z **289**, 217-233.
- Werle E. (1970). Discovery of the most important kallikreins and kallikrein inhibitors. In: Bradykinin, kallidin and kallikrein. Handbook of experimental pharmacology XXV (Erdös E.G., eds), Springer Verlag Berlin, 1-6.
- West A.G., Fraser P. (2005). Remote control of gene transcription. Hum Mol Genet **14** (Spec No 1), R101-R111.
- Wickenheisser J.K., Nelson-DeGrave V.L., Quinn P.G., McAllister J.M. (2004). Increased cytochrome P450 17alpha-hydroxylase promoter function in theca cells isolated from patients with polycystic ovary syndrome involves nuclear factor-1. Mol Endocrinol **18**, 588-605.

- Wong P., Colman R.W., Talamo R.C., Babior B.M. (1972). Kallikrein-bradykinin system in chronic alcoholic liver disease. Ann Intern Med 77, 205-209.
- Wood L.D., Farmer A.A., Richmond A. (1995). HMGI(Y) and Sp1 in addition to NF-kappa B regulate transcription of the MGSA/GRO alpha gene. Nucleic Acids Res 23, 4210-4219.
- Xia T., Gao L., Yu R.K., Zeng G. (2003). Characterization of the promoter and the transcription factors for the mouse UDP-Gal:betaGlcNAc beta1,3-galactosyltransferase gene. Gene **309**, 117-123.
- Xia T., Zeng G., Gao L., Yu R.K. (2005). Sp1 and AP2 enhance promoter activity of the mouse GM3-synthase gene. Gene **351**, 109-118.
- Yang H.Y., Erdös E.G. (1967). Second kininase in human blood plasma. Nature 215, 1402-1403.
- Young W.B., Rai R., Shrader W.D., Burgess-Henry J., Hu H., Elrod K.C., Sprengeler P.A., Katz B.A., Sukbuntherng J., Mordenti J. (2006). Small molecule inhibitors of plasma kallikrein. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 16, 2034-2036.
- Yu H., Anderson P.J., Freedman B.I., Rich S.S., Bowden D.W. (2000). Genomic structure of the human plasma prekallikrein gene, identification of allelic variants, and analysis in end-stage renal disease. Genomics **69**, 225-234.
- Zeng G., Gao L., Xia T., Tencomnao T., Yu R.K. (2003). Characterization of the 5'-flanking fragment of the human GM3-synthase gene. Biochim Biophys Acta **1625**, 30-35.
- Zhang J., Krishnan R., Arnold C.S., Mattsson E., Kilpatrick J.M., Bantia S., Dehghani A., Boudreaux B., Gupta S.N., Kotian P.L., Chand P., Babu Y.S. (2006). Discovery of highly potent small molecule kallikrein inhibitors. Med Chem **2**, 545-553.
- Zhao Y., Qiu Q., Mahdi F., Shariat-Madar Z., Rojkjaer R., Schmaier A.H. (2001). Assembly and activation of HK-PK complex on endothelial cells results in bradykinin liberation and NO formation. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol **280**, H1821-H1829.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Working on a thesis is a long and eventful journey. One starts it with enthusiasm and energy, works hard and expects a lot, from time to time arrives at frustrations and even falls into crisis, but finds strength to overcome disillusionments for what is richly rewarded afterwards with long-expected final results. This journey is not so appealing to undertake unless one is accompanied by many open-minded and friendly people one comes across when working in science. I dedicate this page to all those people who were alongside all these years and who helped me, both directly and indirectly, in completing my doctorate journey.

First of all I would like to thank my supervisor Prof. Dr. Edwin Fink. I am indebted to him for scientific guidelines and advices along the whole thesis work, for his scientific experience generously shared with me, for being always precise and constructive when judging my ideas and giving a feedback, and last but not least for his help in solving daily questions. Without his personal support and encouragement this thesis would not have been possible.

I am very grateful to Ms. Marianne Arnhold for her skillful assistance in experimental work and her sincere personal support. I am particularly grateful to her for advancing my German language abilities.

I express my thanks to Prof. Dr. Marianne Jochum who supported this work as the head of the division and stimulated my scientific development through discussion of the experimental results in numerous seminars.

I am very grateful to Prof. Dr. Dr. Adelbert Bacher from the Department of Chemistry of the Technical University Munich for taking over the mentorship.

I would like to thank PD. Dr. Peter J. Nelson from the Medical Policlinic of the Ludwig-Maximillians-University Munich and his PhD students Christine von Törne and Dilip Kumar for their invaluable help and assistance in establishing EMSA and ChIP experiments.

I am also grateful to PD. Dr. Alexander Faussner and Dr. Peter Neth for their generous scientific support and productive discussions.

I am greatly indebted to my colleagues from the division who have contributed to this thesis in numerous ways: Prof. Dr. Hans Fritz, Prof. Dr. Christian Sommerhoff, Dr. Christian Ries, Dr. Dorit Nägler, Dr. Oliver Popp, Annette Lechner, Lourdes Ruiz-Heinrich. I wish to particularly thank my friends Dr. Dusica Gabrijelcic-Geiger, Marisa Karow, Virginia Egea, Tania Popp, and Göran Wennerberg. Thanks for the good time I had while working with you and during my spare time in Munich. It is difficult to overstate my gratitude to my friends Dr. Irina Kalatskaya, Dr. Roman Anisimov, Dr. Andrei Golubov and his family. Side by side we were getting used to the new country and culture, exchanging scientific and daily life experience. I also want to thank my old friends from Minsk many of whom have dispersed last years over the whole world. Mikita, Julia, Lena, Andrei, Sergei, Liosha, Katia, Roma, Tania - thank you for being close to me notwithstanding long geographic distances, making my stay away from home bearable.

I will be always grateful to Dr. Anna Georgievna Lapko for being the first person professionally acquainting me with the world of science.

Lastly, and most importantly, I wish to thank my family. I remain indebted to my parents Tania and Yuri, my sister Irina, aunt Lena and uncle Wolfgang for always loving me, supporting me and believing in me. I especially thank my husband Andrei, who gave me his love and encouragement, and, not least, for his patience and forbearance whilst I have spent hundreds of hours working on the thesis!

Thank you all!

Viktoryia Sidarovich

Munich, December, 2007

PERSONAL DATA

NAME	Viktoryia Sidarovich
DATE OF BIRTH	July 19, 1980
PLACE OF BIRTH	Minsk, Belarus
CITIZENSHIP	Belarussian
MARITAL STATUS	married, one child

PRE-UNIVERSITY SCHOOLING

1986 – 1995	Secondary school № 161, Minsk, Belarus			
1995 – 1997	Scientific Lyceum of the Belarusian State University, Minsk, Belarus, magna cum laude			

UNIVERSITY STUDY

1997 – 2002 Sakharov International Environmental University, Faculty of Radiobiology, Minsk, Belarus
 M.S., Radiobiology and radiation medicine, *summa cum laude* Major: Medical ecology
 Thesis: "Estimation and evaluation of standardized cancer mortality rates of the population of Minsk during the period from 1953 to 2000"

POSTGRADUATE STUDIES

2003 – 2007 Experimental work for a PhD thesis at the Abteilung für Klinische Chemie und Klinische Biochemie, Klinikum Innenstadt, Medizinische Fakultät, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany
 PhD candidate
 Thesis: "Transcription of the human plasma prekallikrein gene: demonstration of alternative promoters, multiple initiation sites, and alternative splicing and identification of *cis*-acting DNA elements"

From 2008 Centre for Integrative Biology, University of Trento, Italy Postdoctoral position

HONOURS AND AWARDS

A.D. Sakharov Foundation scholarship for academic excellence, Sakharov International Environmental University, Minsk, Belarus; May 2000 – April 2001

V.I. Vernadsky Ecological Foundation scholarship for academic excellence, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation; 2001
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS RELATED TO THE WORK

- Neth P., Arnhold M., **Sidarovich V.**, Bhoola K., Fink E. (2005). Expression of the plasma prekallikrein gene: utilization of multiple transcription start sites and alternative promoter regions. Biol Chem **386**, 101–109.
- **Sidarovich V.**, Arnhold M., Fink E. Role of the nuclear factor 1 transcription factor for the expression of the human PPK gene. (In preparation)
- **Sidarovich V.**, Fink E. Identification in the gene of human plasma prekallikrein (*KLKB1*) of a *cis*-acting element which assists in the recruitment of alternative promoters. (In preparation)
- 2nd International Conference on "Exploring the Future of Vascular and Inflammatory Mediators"

May 30 - June 2, 2007, Berlin, Germany

Sidarovich V., Arnhold M., Neth P., Fink E. Regulation of the plasma prekallikrein transcription: identification of *cis*-acting DNA elements within the basic control region. (Poster)

- 24th Winter School on "Proteinases and Their Inhibitors Recent Developments" February 28 - March 4, 2007, Tiers, Italy
 Sidarovich V., Fink E. Regulation of the plasma prekallikrein transcription: a 13-bp element of intron 1 causes displacement of the transcription start site. (Presentation)
- 7th EMBL Transcription Meeting August 26-30, 2006, Heidelberg, Germany Sidarovich V., Arnhold M., Neth P., Fink E. Transcription of the plasma prekallikrein gene: identification of *cis*-acting DNA elements within the basic control region. (Poster)
 23rd Winter School on Proteinases and Their Inhibitors - Recent Developments March 1–5, 2006, Tiers, Italy

Sidarovich V., Arnhold M., Neth P., Fink E. Transcription of the plasma prekallikrein gene: identification of alternative promoter regions and *cis*-acting DNA elements within the basic control region. (Presentation)

• International Conference "Exploring the Future of Local Vascular and Inflammatory Mediators"

May 26–28, 2005, Lund, Sweden

Sidarovich V., Arnhold M., Neth P., Fink E. Transcription of the plasma prekallikrein gene: utilization of multiple start sites and alternative promoter regions. (Poster)