Ther Adv Neurol Disord

2023, Vol. 16: 1–11 DOI: 10.1177/ 17562864231162661

© The Author(s), 2023. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

Ana Klein*, Martina Flaskamp*, Achim Berthele, Friederike Held, Harisa Muratovic and Bernhard Hemmer

cross-sectional study

Abstract

Background: Although disease-modifying therapies (DMTs) in multiple sclerosis (MS) are known to target the immune system, mechanisms of action, efficacy, safety, and tolerability profiles differ. The long-term impact of DMTs on the immune system and its relation to infectious complications is still poorly understood.

Objectives: To analyze the effect of DMTs on serum immunoglobulin (Ig) levels under consideration of patient demographics and therapy duration.

The impact of disease-modifying therapies

on immunoglobulin blood levels in patients

with multiple sclerosis: a retrospective

Design: We included 483 patients on DMTs, 69 patients without DMTs, and 51 controls in this retrospective cross-sectional study.

Methods: IgG, IgM, and IgG subclass 1–4 levels of patients with MS under treatment with DMTs were compared with treatment naive MS patients and controls by multivariate linear regression. Further, Ig levels stratified by DMTs were analyzed regarding therapy duration. **Results:** MS patients treated with fingolimod (FG), natalizumab, and B-cell depleting therapies (BCDT) demonstrated significantly lower IgG and IgM levels than healthy controls after a median treatment of 37, 31, and 23 months, respectively (p < 0.05). Treatment with dimethyl fumarate (DMF) and teriflunomide was associated with lower IgG, but not IgM levels. DMF and BCDT were also associated with lower IgG1 levels, while FG led to a reduction of IgG2. Treatment with interferon-beta (IFN) and glatiramer acetate (GA) had no impact on Ig levels. Analysis of subgroups by linear regression also showed a time-dependent decrease of Igs levels in patients treated with BCDT with a median annual reduction of IgG of 3.2% and IgM of 6.2%.

Conclusion: Treatment with DMTs, except GA and IFN, was associated with a decrease in Ig levels. DMTs differed in the extent of decreasing Ig levels but also in their differential effects on Ig subclasses. Monitoring of Ig levels should be considered in patients on long-term treatment with DMTs, particularly those on BCDT, to identify patients at risk of low immunoglobulin levels.

Keywords: disease-modifying therapy, hypogammaglobulinemia, immunoglobulins, multiple sclerosis

Received: 19 November 2022; revised manuscript accepted: 22 February 2023.

Introduction

During the past few decades, several diseasemodifying therapies (DMTs) for multiple sclerosis (MS) have been approved worldwide. Although these therapies are all known to target the immune response in MS, mechanisms of action, and efficacy, safety and tolerability profiles differ.

Correspondence to: Bernhard Hemmer

Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Technical University of Munich, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Ismaninger Str. 22, München, 81675, Germany.

Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Munich, Germany hemmer@tum.de

Ana Klein

Martina Flaskamp Achim Berthele Friederike Held Harisa Muratovic Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Technical University of Munich, Klinikum rechts der Isar, München, Germany *Both authors contributed

equally

journals.sagepub.com/home/tan



Creative Commons CC BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License [https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/] which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages [https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage].

Many of these DMTs lead to an increase of infectious complications. However, little is known on the effect of DMTs on immunoglobulin (Ig) serum levels. Of all DMTs administered in MS most is known in regard to rituximab and its effect on immunoglobulin serum levels. Rituximab, a B-cell depleting therapy (BCDT), is used for treating many autoimmune-mediated and hematological diseases. Various studies have shown an association between low levels of both IgG and IgM and rituximab therapy.¹⁻⁷ Two recent studies evaluating the long-term effect of rituximab on Ig levels in patients with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders discovered reductions in both IgG and IgM over time.8,9 In some studies, a prolonged hypogammaglobulinemia even years after the last treatment with rituximab was demonstrated.5,10

In 2017, ocrelizumab, a slightly modified BCDT, was approved for use in patients with MS. Data on its impact on Ig levels are still sparse. However, an initial report on the pivotal Phase III trials of ocrelizumab and their open-label extensions showed a reduction of IgG of approximately 17%, of IgM of between 56% and 58%, and IgA of 20% and 21% over the course of 5.5 years under therapy with ocrelizumab.¹¹ Furthermore, a comparative study on treatment outcomes over the first year with rituximab and ocrelizumab showed a continuous reduction of IgG levels in patients under treatment with ocrelizumab, while they remained stable under rituximab. IgM levels were shown to drop similarly under both drugs.¹²

Regarding further DMTs used in the treatment of MS, one recent study showed reduced serum levels of IgG and IgM in patients with MS in comparison to healthy controls. Within this cohort, rituximab, natalizumab (NZ), and fingolimod (FG) were associated with significantly reduced immuno-globulin levels. A multivariable linear regression, however, showed no time dependency of hypoimmunoglobulinemia.¹³ Similar results were found in a further study in regard to NZ, displaying significantly decreased levels of both immunoglobulins in serum. This study furthermore demonstrated a significant time dependency.¹⁴

So far, only sparse data have been published in regard to the effect of DMTs on the individual IgG subclasses 1–4 and most of these data refer to rituximab. Several studies showed that rituximab leads to a reduction of IgG4 explaining the

effective use in combatting IgG4-related systemic diseases. $^{\rm 15-17}$

The aim of this study was to identify the effect of the most commonly used DMTs, namely interferon-beta (IFN), glatiramer acetate (GA), dimethyl fumarate (DMF), teriflunomide (TFM), FG, NZ, and BCDT (ocrelizumab and rituximab) on IgM, IgG and its subclasses 1–4 in serum of patients with MS in comparison to healthy controls and untreated MS patients.

Methods

Study design and inclusion criteria

In this retrospective cross-sectional study, patient data collected at the Department of Neurology of the Technical University of Munich were analyzed regarding their serum Ig levels. We compared IgG, IgM, and IgG subclass 1–4 serum levels between patients with MS with or without immunotherapy (DMT+ versus DMT- patients) with normal controls under consideration of patient demographics and therapy duration. Patients treated with rituximab and ocrelizumab were pooled (BCDT) for most analyses because their mode of action and treatment effects are highly similar. Ocrelizumab was only approved in 2017, median therapy duration was 17 months for patients treated with ocrelizumab in comparison to 30.5 in patients treated with rituximab. As our results show that therapy duration plays a vital role on the reduction of Ig levels, a combined analysis of the two reduces the risk of introducing bias.

Inclusion criteria for the MS groups were defined as follows:

- 1. No corticosteroid therapy or plasma exchange performed within 4 weeks prior to blood sampling.
- Therapy duration of at least 6 months in DMT+ patients.
- 3. In patients receiving BCDT maximum time between last infusion and blood sampling was 12 months.
- 4. In all other DMT+ patients, maximum time between last application of therapy and blood sampling was 1 month.

Normal controls included patients suffering from unrelated neurological disorders such as

	Patients	Female gender	Age	Therapy duration	Sub-analysis performed			
	n	n (%)	 Median [y (range)]	Median [m (range)]	n (%)			
Total	603	377 (62.5)	40 (18–76)	28 (6–197)	286 (47.4)			
Controls	51	35 (68.8)	36 (19–64)	NA	43 (84.3)			
DMT-	69	47 (68.1)	34 (18–69)	NA	26 (37.7)			
DMT+	483	295 (61.0)	41 (19–76)	28 (6–197)	217 (44.9)			
IFN	59	33 (55.9)	42 (19–67)	61 (6–192)	38 (64.4)			
GA	59	36 (61.0)	40 (21–62)	33 (6–197)	28 (47.5)			
DMF	68	40 (58.8)	40 (22–55)	23 (6–90)	33 (48.5)			
TFM	24	10 (41.7)	47 (20–66)	20 (6–78)	8 (33.3)			
FG	105	60 (57.1)	39 (21–65)	37 (6–137)	53 (50.5)			
NZ	46	37 (80.4)	36 (22–60)	31 (6–165)	31 (66.0)			
BCDT	122*	79 (64.8)	45 (19–76)	23 (6–99)	26 (21.3)**			

Table 1. Patient demographics.

BCDT, B-cell depleting therapy; DMT, disease-modifying therapy; DMT–, therapy naive patients; DMT+, all patients under treatment with DMT; DMF, dimethyl fumarate; FG, fingolimod; GA, glatiramer acetate; IFN, interferon-beta; NZ, natalizumab; TFM, teriflunomide; NA, not applicable; m, months; y, years.

*74 patients treated with ocrelizumab, and 48 patients treated with rituximab.

**14 patients treated with ocrelizumab, and 12 patients treated with rituximab.

unspecified headaches or pseudotumor cerebri and not under treatment with any immunomodulatory or immunosuppressive drugs.

Further information on patient demographics can be found in Table 1.

Serum analysis

Serum was collected during diagnostic workup between 2010 and 2021. Most of the samples underwent instant diagnostic testing for IgG and IgM by turbidimetry (cobas 8000, Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and additional blood samples were stored for later analysis at -80° C in the biobank of the Department of Neurology. Frozen samples of MS patients or controls with missing data on Ig concentrations were thawed and analyzed with the same method and machine.

For a subset of patients, frozen sera were available in our biobank (inclusion criteria maximum time between measurement of IgG and IgM and blood sampling for further analysis of IgG subclasses 6 months) to test for IgG subclasses 1–4 using a commercially available ELISA kit (IgG Subclass Human ELISA kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Each sample was tested in double wells.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using RStudio 1.3.1093 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Distribution of quantitative date was described by median and range. Comparison of Ig and Ig subclass levels between groups was performed by multivariate linear regression, including age, gender, and therapy as independent variables. Ig values underwent logarithmic transformation to conform to normality.

To identify a possible time dependency of this Ig-decrease, a multivariate linear regression was performed for each subgroup individually including age, gender, therapy duration and Ig. Results were described using estimate and *p*-values. Significance levels were set at 5%.

To increase statistical power, we decided to collectively analyze data from patients treated with rituximab and ocrelizumab and define these as patients under BCDT.

Results

Study cohort

Baseline patient demographics and therapy duration stratified by drug can be found in Table 1.

Of the 603 patients included in this study, 552 suffered from MS, while 51 served as normal controls. Within the group of MS patients, 69 patients did not receive immunotherapy and therefore constituted the DMT– group, while the other 483 patients were defined as DMT+ (the exact distribution of patients among groups can be found in Table 1).

The median age of our cohort was 40 years (range: 18–76 years). Comparison of subgroups by age resulted in the highest median age in patients treated with BCDT (median: 45 years; range: 19–76 years) and lowest median age in DMT-naive patients (median: 34 years; range: 18–69 years). 62.5% (377) of our participants were of female gender. The largest proportion of females was found in the group of patients treated with NZ (80.4%), the lowest in the group of patients treated with TFM (41.7%).

Median therapy duration was 28 months (range: 6–197 months), with the longest therapy duration in the group of patients treated with IFN (median: 61 months; range: 6–192 months).

Sera for sub-analysis of IgG subgroups was available for 286 (47.4%) patients (the exact distribution of patients among groups can be found in Table 1).

Comparison of IgG and IgM levels between groups

Comparison of median Ig levels yielded the highest median IgG concentration in DMT-naive patients (median IgG: 1136 mg/dl; range: 663– 1858 mg/dl) and the highest median IgM concentration in patients treated with GA (median IgM: 127 mg/dl; range: 27–373 mg/dl) respectively, while the lowest median IgG level was found in patients treated with FG (median IgG: 821 mg/dl; range: 480–1451 mg/dl) and the lowest median IgM level in patients treated with NZ (median IgM: 59 mg/dl; range: 11–137 mg/dl) respectively (Table 2, Figure 1).

The highest proportion of patients with IgG values below the lower limit of normal was found in patients treated with FG (n=23; 21.9% of patients), shortly followed by patients treated with NZ (n=9; 19.6% of patients). Patients treated with BCDT showed the highest proportion of IgM values below the lower limit of normal (according to our laboratory standards), with a total of 32 IgM values below 40mg/dl (26.2% of patients; Table 2).

We performed a multivariate linear regression, comparing Ig levels between therapy groups, in which we included age and gender as covariates. The control group was set as a reference to compare Ig levels between groups.

This resulted in lower IgG and IgM levels in patients treated with FG, NZ, and BCDT than healthy controls (p < 0.05) after a median treatment of 37, 30.5, and 23 months respectively (Table 2, Figure 1). Treatment with DMF and TFM lead to relatively low IgG, but not IgM levels (Table 2, Figure 1). The most pronounced effect on IgG was seen in patients treated with FG, with 13.2% lower IgG levels than normal controls, while for IgM the most pronounced effect was seen in patients medicated with NZ (27.5% lower than controls).

DMT-naive patients and patients treated with GA or IFN did not show lower Ig levels than healthy controls (p > 0.05, Table 2, Figure 1). Age and male gender were also associated with lower IgG and IgM levels, in accordance with current literature (IgG: p=0.002 and p=0.018; IgM: p=0.014 and p<0.001, respectively).

Multivariate linear regression of timedependent Ig reduction within therapy subgroups

After stratification of our patients into subgroups based on the medication applied, Ig levels in patients under treatment with FG, NZ, and BCDT were analyzed in regard to therapy duration. Individual multivariate linear regression

		Median [mg/dl (range)]	Below lower limit of normal* [<i>n</i> (%)]	Estimate	<i>p</i> -value
Total	lgG	986 (392–1858)	58 (9.6)	NA	NA
	lgM	83 (11–432)	64 (10.6)	NA	NA
Controls	lgG	1134 (727–1853)	0 (0.0)	Reference	Reference
	lgM	114 (30–296)	0 (0.0)	Reference	Reference
DMT-	lgG	1136 (663–1858)	1 (1.4)	-0.001	0.968
	lgM	122 (45–302)	0 (0.0)	0.024	0.563
IFN	lgG	1132 (812–1598)	0 (0.0)	0.018	0.326
	lgM	94 (31–223)	4 (6.8)	-0.029	0.178
GA	IgG	1088 (705–1816)	0 (0.0)	-0.002	0.907
	lgM	127 (27–373)	0 (0.0)	0.029	0.494
DMF	IgG	956 (480–1785)	6 (8.8)	-0.067	<0.001
	lgM	116 (41–432)	0 (0.0)	0.019	0.633
TFM	IgG	909 (546–1387)	3 (12.5)	-0.082	0.001
	lgM	83 (15–189)	0 (0.0)	-0.104	0.061
FG	lgG	821 (480–1451)	23 (21.9)	-0.132	<0.001
	lgM	64 (11–232)	16 (15.2)	-0.204	<0.001
NZ	lgG	846 (561–1816)	9 (19.6)	-0.104	<0.001
	lgM	59 (11–137)	7 (15.2)	-0.281	<0.001
BCDT	IgG	986 (392–1858)	16 (13.1)	-0.081	0.018
	lgM	60 (14–280)	32 (26.2)	-0.275	<0.001

Table 2.	Median Ig	g levels by	therapy	group and	results of	the	multivariate	linear	regression.
----------	-----------	-------------	---------	-----------	------------	-----	--------------	--------	-------------

BCDT, B-cell depleting therapy; DMF, dimethyl fumarate; DMT, therapy naive patients; FG, fingolimod; GA, glatiramer acetate; IFN, interferon-beta; NZ, natalizumab; TFM, teriflunomide; NA, not applicable; *p*-values below 0.05 are shown in bold. ***Normal range of values:** IgG: 700–1600 mg/dl; IgM: 40–230 mg/dl.

models were performed, including age, gender, and therapy duration as independent variables and IgG or IgM as the dependent variable. Only BCDT showed a time-dependent decrease of IgG and IgM. Per year IgG and IgM levels decreased by 3.2% and 6.2% respectively (p < 0.001; Figure 2). We did not observe major differences between patients treated with rituximab or ocrelizumab with respect to their impact on IgG and IgM levels when the therapy duration was set at 39 months (the highest therapy duration in the ocrelizumab group, Supplementary figure). *Comparison of IgG subclasses 1–4 between groups* We performed a multivariate linear regression comparing IgG subclasses 1–4 between therapy groups, using the control group as the reference group and including age, gender, and total IgG as further covariates.

The highest levels of IgG1 were found in the control group (median: 794 mg/dl; range: 254– 1482 mg/dl), while the lowest levels were in the group of patients under therapy with NZ (median: 526 mg/dl; range: 265–1075 mg/dl). Multivariate



Figure 1. IgG (a) and IgM (b) levels in mg/dl are presented by therapy group in a violin plot, allowing for presentation of data distribution.

*Statistical significance compared to controls (see Table 2)

BCDT, B-cell depleting therapy, DMF, dimethyl fumarate; DMT, therapy naive patients; FG, fingolimod; GA, glatiramer acetate; IFN, interferon-beta; NZ, natalizumab; TFM, teriflunomide.

linear regression resulted in lower IgG1 levels in patients treated with DMF (p < 0.05), with 7.6% lower values than normal controls. Patients treated with BCDT also showed 6% lower IgG1 levels than controls; however, the *p*-value was exactly 0.05, thus closely missing statistical significance.

IgG2 levels were found to be 10.6% lower in patients under therapy with FG (p < 0.05) than in controls.

IgG3 and IgG 4 levels did not differ between groups (p > 0.05). Comparison between controls and DMT-naive MS patients did not result in differences of any IgG subclasses. Age and gender did not seem to be associated with lower IgG subclasses 1–3. Interestingly, female gender was associated with lower IgG4 levels in this subset of patients. For further details, please see Table 3. Furthermore, we visualized IgG subclass levels of each therapy group as percent from controls in Figure 3.

Discussion

In this explorative study, we investigated total IgG and IgM as well as IgG subclasses in patients with MS. Treatment naive MS patients did not differ in their Ig levels from controls, challenging the hypothesis of a previous study that MS in itself may cause hypoimmunoglobulinemia.¹³ We observed lower levels of IgM and IgG under therapy with FG, NZ, and BCDT compared with



Figure 2. Scatterplots of Ig levels over time under B-cell depleting therapy (BCDT) [a] IgG and [b] IgM. Ig levels (mg/dl) in patients under treatment with BCDT were analyzed by multivariate linear regression in regard to therapy duration and displayed in a scatterplot. The Pearson correlation coefficient r is shown for both IgG and IgM. (a) Analysis of IgG. Estimate: 0.032; *p*-value: < 0.001 (b): Analysis of IgM: Estimate: 0.062; *p*-value: < 0.001.

healthy controls. Treatment with DMF and TFM lead to significantly lower IgG but had no impact on IgM levels. DMF and BCDT also resulted in lower IgG1, while FG resulted in lower levels of IgG2. Interestingly, our results do not show a reduction in IgG4 under BCDT which might be attributed to the low sample size of 26 patients under therapy with BCDT.

Our results confirm the findings of previous studies in regard to the effects of BCDT, NZ, and FG, while DMF and TFM were not known to lead to lower Ig levels compared with controls.^{5,7–9,12–14,18} PROCLAIM, a 96-week phase 3b study even reported stable IgM, IgG, and IgG subclass1–4 levels under DMF treatment.^{5,7–9,12–14,18} This may possibly be due to our longer follow-up time with 34 of our 68 patients (50%) under DMF showing a therapy duration of \geq 96 weeks. Our longest therapy duration within this group was 360 weeks.

With the exception of rituximab and DMF, so far, no data on the effect of DMTs on the individual IgG subclasses have been published. Of all DMTs included in this study, only BCDT showed a time-dependent decrease of IgG and IgM.

Low immunoglobulin levels may result in a higher susceptibility to infectious diseases but since we concentrated on laboratory findings and collected no data on infection rates, conclusions about the correlation between low immunoglobulin levels under different immunotherapies such as BCDT and an increased risk of infection cannot be drawn from this study. However, based on the findings of Perriguey *et al.*¹⁹ and Barmettler *et al.*⁷ demonstrating that low IgG levels are associated with a higher risk of infection in patients under rituximab, regularly monitoring of Ig levels in patients receiving BCDT can be useful to allow an earlier identification of patients at risk for infectious complication.^{7,19}

As stated within the methods section, we decided to collectively analyze the effects of rituximab (n=74) and ocrelizumab (n=48) on the Ig levels of our cohort to increase statistical power. As both DMTs are monoclonal CD20 antibodies, no difference in their effects on Ig levels should be expected. A linear multivariate regression including individual analysis of these two DMTs on IgG and IgM levels supported this hypothesis. Subanalysis of their effect on IgG subclasses was not performed due to insufficient sample size.

The largest strength of our analysis lies in our large cohort of patients with balanced participant numbers between groups, which increases the power of our findings. However, in regard to IgG subclasses, only a subset of patients with conserved sera underwent ELISA testing, leading to a smaller cohort (Table 1). For some DMTs only, a small number of sera were available for testing, limiting the statistical power of analysis for these groups.

Table 5. Me	able 3. Median igo subclass levels 1-4 by therapy group and results of the multivariate thear regression.							
		lgG1	lgG2	lgG3	lgG4			
Total	Median[mg/dl (range)]	622 (226–1482)	161 (29–549)	43 (10–207)	21 (1–179)			
	Estimate	NA	NA	NA	NA			
	<i>p</i> -value	NA	NA	NA	NA			
Controls	Median [mg/dl (range)]	794 (254–1482)	172 (58–394)	48 (14–207)	25 (3–179)			
	Estimate	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference			
	<i>p</i> -value	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference			
DMT-	Median [mg/dl (range)]	636 (380–1198)	170 (40–431)	38 (16–93)	29 (4–146)			
	Estimate	-0.020	0.003	-0.093	0.120			
	<i>p</i> -value	0.500	0.950	0.084	0.229			
IFN	Median [mg/dl (range)]	746 (379–1302)	195 (32–364)	53 (15–105)	30 (1–101)			
	Estimate	-0.005	0.025	0.045	0.028			
	<i>p</i> -value	0.844	0.592	0.353	0.754			
GA	Median [mg/dl (range)]	654 (359–1083)	177 (46–343)	48 (18–168)	32 (8–109)			
	Estimate	-0.053	0.020	0.006	0.157			
	<i>p</i> -value	0.073	0.690	0.910	0.106			
DMF	Median [mg/dl (range)]	557 (232–1092)	132 (38–284)	37 (15–93)	16 (2–121)			
	Estimate	-0.076	-0.049	-0.067	-0.035			
	<i>p</i> -value	0.007	0.317	0.180	0.710			
TFM	Median [mg/dl (range)]	670 (229–931)	153 (69–246)	48 (19–86)	26 (10–75)			
	Estimate	-0.041	-0.001	0.010	0.139			
	<i>p</i> -value	0.386	0.998	0.905	0.375			
FG	Median [mg/dl (range)]	548 (226–1127)	109 (29–339)	38 (10–83)	12 (1–105)			
	Estimate	-0.018	-0.106	-0.048	-0.106			
	<i>p</i> -value	0.509	0.024	0.313	0.238			
NZ	Median [mg/dl (range)]	526 (265–1075)	149 (36–431)	48 (15–145)	19 (3–126)			
	Estimate	-0.032	0.016	0.005	0.063			
	<i>p</i> -value	0.276	0.761	0.927	0.520			
BCDT	Median [mg/dl (range)]	546 (313–1025)	173 (60–549)	49 (29–123)	15 (2–101)			
	Estimate	-0.060	0.048	0.089	-0.089			
	<i>p</i> -value	0.050	0.371	0.135	0.385			

Table 3. Median IgG subclass levels 1–4 by therapy group and results of the multivariate linear regression.

BCDT, B-cell depleting therapy; DMF, dimethyl fumarate; DMT, therapy naive patients; FG, fingolimod; GA, glatiramer acetate; IFN, interferon-beta; NA: not applicable; NZ, natalizumab; TFM, teriflunomide; p-values below 0.05 are shown in bold.



Figure 3. Ig level reduction relative to controls in percent by therapy group Ig levels (IgG, IgM, and IgG subclasses) are demonstrated as variation from controls in percent and divided by therapy group. *Statistical significance compared to controls (see Table 2 and Table 3) BCDT, B-cell depleting therapy; DMF, dimethyl fumarate; FG, fingolimod; GA, glatiramer acetate; IFN, interferon-beta; NZ, natalizumab; TFM, teriflunomide.

Furthermore, relatively short median therapy duration may hide possible time dependency of Ig reductions so that further studies with longer exposure to medication are warranted to validate these findings. Due to the explorative nature of this study, we did not correct for multiple testing. Naturally, this should be taken into account when performing validation studies.

A further limitation of our study is the absence of immunoglobulin level data before start of each treatment which would be a prerequisite to compare baseline and post-treatment immunoglobulin levels.

As we have already mentioned above, another limitation is the lack of data regarding the rate of infections under different immunotherapies. For future studies, we would, therefore, recommend to also include clinical data to identify the relevance of low immunoglobulin levels in clinical practice.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Technical University of Munich (number 2022-375-S-NP). All participants provided written informed consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Author contributions

Ana Klein: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Writing – original draft.

Martina Flaskamp: Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Writing – original draft.

Achim Berthele: Conceptualization; Project administration; Resources; Supervision; Writing – review & editing.

Friederike Held: Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Harisa Muratovic: Data curation; Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Bernhard Hemmer: Conceptualization; Funding acquisition; Project administration; Resources; Supervision; Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgements

Bernhard Hemmer is associated with DIFUTURE (Data Integration for Future Medicine, BMBF 01ZZ1804 [A-I]). Biosamples were provided by the biobank of the Department of Neurology as part of the Joint Biobank Munich in the framework of the German Biobank node.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: AK received funding for the study from the clinician scientists' program, a 4-month research grant of the technical university of Munich. BH received funding for the study from the MultipleMS EU consortium, the Clinspect-M consortium funded by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy within the framework of the Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (EXC 2145 SyNergy – ID 390857198).

Competing interests

AK, MF, FH, and HM have no competing interests. AB has received consulting and/or speaker fees from Alexion, Bayer Healthcare, Biogen, Celgene, Novartis, Roche, and Sandoz/Hexal and his institution has received compensation for clinical trials from Alexion, Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi Genzyme; all outside the presented work. BH has served on scientific advisory boards for Novartis; he has served as DMSC member or consultant for AllergyCare, Allergy Therapeutics, Polpharma, Sandoz, Biocon, and TG therapeutics; he or his institution has received speaker honoraria from Desitin; his institution received research grants from Regeneron for multiple sclerosis research. He holds part of two patents; one for the detection of antibodies against KIR4.1 in a subpopulation of patients with multiple sclerosis and one for genetic determinants of neutralizing antibodies to interferon.

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The data are not publicly available to protect the privacy of the participating patients.

ORCID iD

Bernhard Hemmer (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5985-6784

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Kridin K and Ahmed AR. Postrituximab immunoglobulin M (IgM) hypogammaglobulinemia. *Autoimmun Rev* 2020; 19: 102466.
- 2. Besada E, Koldingsnes W and Nossent JC. Serum immunoglobulin levels and risk factors for hypogammaglobulinaemia during longterm maintenance therapy with rituximab in patients with granulomatosis with polyangiitis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2014; 53: 1818–1824.
- 3. van Vollenhoven RF, Emery P, Bingham CO, *et al.* Long-term safety of rituximab in rheumatoid arthritis: 9.5-year follow-up of the global clinical trial programme with a focus on adverse events of interest in RA patients. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013; 72: 1496–1502.
- Pavanello F, Zucca E and Ghielmini M. Rituximab: 13 open questions after 20years of clinical use. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2017; 53: 38–46.
- Makatsori M, Kiani-Alikhan S, Manson AL, et al. Hypogammaglobulinaemia after rituximab treatment-incidence and outcomes. QJM 2014; 107: 821–828.
- Casulo C, Maragulia J and Zelenetz AD. Incidence of hypogammaglobulinemia in patients receiving rituximab and the use of intravenous immunoglobulin for recurrent infections. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* 2013; 13: 106–111.
- Barmettler S, Ong MS, Farmer JR, et al. Association of immunoglobulin levels, infectious risk, and mortality with rituximab and hypogammaglobulinemia. *JAMA Netw Open* 2018; 1: e184169.
- 8. Marcinnò A, Marnetto F, Valentino P, *et al.* Rituximab-induced hypogammaglobulinemia

in patients with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2018; 5: e498.

- Tallantyre EC, Whittam DH, Jolles S, *et al.* Secondary antibody deficiency: a complication of anti-CD20 therapy for neuroinflammation. *J Neurol* 2018; 265: 1115–1122.
- Barmettler S and Price C. Continuing IgG replacement therapy for hypogammaglobulinemia after rituximab – for how long. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2015; 136: 1407–1409.
- Derfuss T, Weber M, Hughes R, et al. Serum immunoglobulin levels and risk of serious infections in the pivotal Phase III trials of ocrelizumab in multiple sclerosis and their openlabel extensions. *Mult Scler* 2019; 25(Suppl. 2): 20–21.
- Evertsson B, Hoyt T, Christensen A, et al. A comparative study of tolerability and effects on immunoglobulin levels and CD19 cell counts with ocrelizumab vs low dose of rituximab in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler J Exp Transl Clin 2020; 6: 2055217320964505.
- Zoehner G, Miclea A, Salmen A, et al. Reduced serum immunoglobulin G concentrations in multiple sclerosis: prevalence and association with disease-modifying therapy and disease

course. Ther Adv Neurol Disord 2019; 12: 1756286419878340.

- Selter RC, Biberacher V, Grummel V, et al. Natalizumab treatment decreases serum IgM and IgG levels in multiple sclerosis patients. *Mult Scler* 2013; 19: 1454–1461.
- Khosroshahi A, Bloch DB, Deshpande V, et al. Rituximab therapy leads to rapid decline of serum IgG4 levels and prompt clinical improvement in IgG4-related systemic disease. Arthritis Rheum 2010; 62: 1755–1762.
- Khosroshahi A, Carruthers MN, Deshpande V, et al. Rituximab for the treatment of IgG4-related disease: lessons from 10 consecutive patients. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2012; 91: 57–66.
- Mahajan VS, Mattoo H, Deshpande V, et al. IgG4-related disease. Annu Rev Pathol 2014; 9: 315–347.
- Longbrake EE, Mao-Draayer Y, Cascione M, et al. Dimethyl fumarate treatment shifts the immune environment toward an anti-inflammatory cell profile while maintaining protective humoral immunity. *Mult Scler* 2021; 27: 883–894.
- 19. Perriguey M, Maarouf A, Stellmann JP, *et al.* Hypogammaglobulinemia and infections in patients with multiple sclerosis treated with rituximab. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2022; 9: e1115.

Visit SAGE journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/tan

SAGE journals