IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1308 (2019) 012018 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1308/1/012018

Beta decay of the Tz=-2 nucleus 64Se and its descendants

B. Rubio¹, P. Aguilera^{1,2}, F. Molina², J. Agramunt¹, A. Algora¹, V. Guadilla¹, A. Montaner-Pizá¹, A.I. Morales¹, S.E.A Orrigo¹, W. Gelletly^{1,3}, B. Blank⁴, P. Asher⁴, M. Gerbaux⁴, J. Giovinazzo⁴, S. Grevy⁴, T. Kurtukian⁴, C. Magron⁴, J. Chiba⁵, D. Nishimura⁵, H. Oikawa⁵, Y. Takei⁵, S. Yang⁵, D. S. Ahn⁶, P. Doornenbal⁶, N. Fukuda⁶, N. Inabe⁶, G. Kiss⁶, T. Kubo⁶, S. Kubono⁶, S. Nishimura⁶, Y. Shimizu⁶, C. Sidong⁶, P.-A. Söderström⁶, T. Sumikama⁶, H. Suzuki⁶, H. Takeda⁶, P. Vi⁶, J. Wu⁶, Y. Fujita⁷, M. Tanaka⁷, F. Diel⁸, D. Lubos⁹, G. de Angelis¹⁰, D. Napoli¹⁰, C. Borcea¹¹, A. Boso¹², R. B. Cakirli¹³, E. Ganioglu¹³, G de France¹⁴, S. Go¹⁵

1 IFIC (CSIC- Univ. Valencia), 2 CCHEN-Chilean Nuclear Energy Commision, 3 Surrey, University, 4 Centre d'Etudes Nucl\'eaires de Bordeaux-Gradignan, 5 Department of Physics, Tokyo City University, 6 RIKEN Nishina Center, 7 Dep. of Physics Osaka University, 8 Institute of Physics Universität zu Köln, 9 Physics Department TU München, 10 LNL INFN Legnaro, 11 National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering IFIN HH, 12 Instituto Nazionale de Fisica Nuclear, Univ. Padova, 13 Department of Physics, Istanbul University, 14 GANIL, 15 Department of Physics Tenessee University

Berta.rubio@ific.uv.es

Abstract. The beta decay of the Tz=-2 nucleus 64Se has been studied in a fragmentation reaction at RIKEN-Nishina Center. 64Se is the heavies Tz=-2 nucleus that decays to bound states in the daughter nucleus and the heaviest case where the mirror reaction 64Zn(3He,t)64Ga on the Tz=+2 64Zn stable target exists and can be compared. Beta-delayed gamma and proton radiation is reported for the 64Se and 64As cases. New levels have been observed in 64As, 64Ge (N=Z), 63Ge and 63Ga. The associated T1/2 values have been obtained.

1. Introduction

This paper concerns the study of proton rich nuclei which together with their mirror partners can provide information on isospin symmetry far from the stability. If isospin symmetry works mirror nuclei should have identical structure, just exchanging the number of protons by the number of neutrons, and mirror processes such as charge exchange reactions and beta decay should produce similar results. Based on these ideas we have launched a programme of beta decay experiments at GSI [1], GANIL [2,3] and RIKEN where we have studied the decay of Tz=-1 and -2 nuclei in the *fp* shell produced in fragmentation and compared our results with those obtained in (3He,t) charge exchange reaction experiments on the mirror stable nuclei carried out at RCNP Osaka (see for instance [4]). The first experiments were carried out at GSI and GANIL using either 58Ni or 64Zn beams. In these

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1308/1/012018

studies, the heaviest nuclei which could be reached were 56Zn [2] and 58Zn [5]. In order to reach 60,62Ge and 64,66Se, an intense beam of 78Kr, only available at the RIKEN Nishina center, was necessary. Here we present preliminary results from the RIKEN campaign on the decay of 64Se and its descendants.

2. The experiment

The nuclei of interest were produced at the RIKEN Nishina Center using the fragmentation of a 345 MeV 78Kr beam with unprecedented intensity (up to 300 particle nA) on a Be target. The fragments were separated in flight using the BigRIPS separator [6] and implanted in three 1mm thick WAS3ABi double-sided Si strip detectors (DSSSD) [7] each of them having an active area of 60 x 40 mm2 segmented into 60 vertical by 40 horizontal strips. The implantation setup was surrounded by the EUROBALL-RIKEN Cluster Array (EURICA) [8], 12 CLUSTER-detectors of Euroball type, each one consisting of seven tapered hexagonal HPGe crystals at a nominal distance of 22 cm from the center of WAS3ABi. Three different settings of BigRIPS were used in this experiment, the first two were optimised for the production of 60Ge, 62Ge and 64Se nuclei and the third one for 66Se. Putting conditions on the ToF and DeltaE signals of the ions we have produced the identification plots corresponding to these three settings and they are shown in Figure 1 and table 1.




Figure 1. Particle identification plot for the three Big-RIPS settings used at Nishina Center–RIKEN. The first two, (a) and (b) were optimised for the production of 64Se, 62Ge and 60Ge, and (c) was set for 66Se.

Nucl.	Number of Implanted Ions per setting			Total Implanted
⁶⁴ Se	3829	6479	-	10308
64 _{As}	23446	457474	976	481896
⁶³ Ge	117059	3741115	2798	3860972
⁶⁶ Se	11538	78634	952026	1042198

Table 1: Number of implanted ions for the nuclei of interest in the three settings used in the experiments.

3. Results for the decay of 64Se and its descendants

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1308/1/012018

The 64Se nucleus is an interesting case to study. First of all, it is the heaviest Tz=-2 case where the beta decay can be compared with the charge exchange mirror reaction (3He,t) on the 64Zn stable nucleus [9], secondly it is the heaviest Tz=-2 nucleus where the decay still proceeds through beta-delayed protons and beta-delayed gamma radiation, thirdly, it is only one neutron away from the last bound selenium isotope, 63Se, a two-proton emitter [10]. Moreover, the beta-delayed proton decay can populate excited states in the Tz=-1/2 nucleus 63Ge, a nucleus that cannot be accessed directly by beta decay because 63As is unbound.




Figure 2. The decay chain associated with 64Se decay.

No experimental information on the decay properties of 64Se existed prior to this work. In consequence, a full understanding of all the decays correlated with the implantation of 64Se ions was mandatory in order to deduce the primary radiation due to 64Se decay. The decay chain associated with 64Se is shown in figure 2 where decays shorter than 200 ms are marked in color. A strong betadelayed proton branching is expected in 64Se since the proton separation energy in the daughter nucleus 64As is expected to be of the order of 100 keV according to the last Mass Evaluation tables [11]. In consequence, three beta decays are important here, the nucleus of interest, 64Se, and the descendants, 64As and 63Ge. The gamma spectra associated with the three corresponding implants are presented in figure 3. The gamma radiation is detected in EURICA, the spectra are constructed in addback mode in prompt coincidence with the beta-particles which happen in time correlation with the implantation conditions. In green, we show the gamma lines that are observed in time correlation with 64Se implants but not with 64As or 63Ge, they are associated with the beta decay of 64Se. Similarly, the lines marked in pink appear with the condition on 64Se (as daughter activity) and 64As, but disappear when the condition is set on 63Ge, and are thus associated with the beta decay of 64As. Finally, the gamma lines associated with the decay of 63Ge are marked in red. No beta-delayed gamma radiation was known in any of these three decays prior to this work.

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1308/1/012018




Figure 3. From top to bottom, the gamma spectra associated with the implantation of 64Se, 64As and 63Ge. The correlation time windows are 170, 440 and 1050 ms respectively. They have been used to deduce the origin of the lines observed in correlation with 64Se implantations. Gamma energies marked in green, pink and read are assigned to the decay of 64Se, 64As and 63Ge respectively.

Beta-delayed proton decay was expected to happen with high probability in the decay of 64Se because of the low proton separation energy and with lower probability in the decay of 64As. These expectations were confirmed as can be seen in the corresponding proton spectra shown in figure 4. They are constructed using time correlations between the implanted ions and the decay signals (betas and protons) detected in the same pixel defined as the crossing between one X and one Y strip. The signal below 1 MeV corresponds to the deltaE beta signal. Peaks above 1 MeV originate from the proton decay, in general summed with the beta signal. The proton peak centroids were obtained by fitting the spectra with the simulated beta-delayed proton response using the code GEANT4. The WAS3ABi DSSSD detectors were calibrated using an electron conversion source of 207Bi; thus energy shifts are expected due to the several absorption effects before the electron penetrates into the detector and to the summing of the protons with the betas. To take into account all these effects an internal calibration is essential. The energy shifts were evaluated using 57Zn and 71Ge beta-delayed proton spectra obtained in the same experiment and constructed in the same manner as the 64Se beta-

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1308/1/012018

delayed proton spectrum. The corresponding energies were taken from [10]. The uncertainties in the proton energies shown in figure 4 originate from the fit of the response function as well as the systematic shifts of the proton energies compared with those reported in the literature [10].




Figure 4. DSSSD spectra associated with the beta decays of 64Se and 64As. Peaks associated with beta-delayed proton decay are marked with energies.

The half-lives of the three nuclei under study are presented in figure 5. They are based on the correlations between the implanted 64Se and 64As ions and the proton decays happening before and after the implantation in the same pixel, and the implantation-beta correlations in the case of 63Ge.





Figure. 5: Fit of the implant-beta and implant-proton correlation for the half-life of (a) 64Se, (b) 64As, (c) and 63Ge.

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1308/1/012018

Based on the analysis of all these data, including gamma-gamma as well as proton-gamma coincidences we have obtained the following preliminary level schemes:



IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1308 (2019) 012018 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1308/1/012018

Figure 6. Decay schemes for 64Se, 64As and 63Ge studied in this work. Colors represent the gamma lines observed for the first time in this work, while the ones previously reported in the literature are shown in black. In the case of 64Ge, they were reported in the in-beam work of Farnea et al. [12], and 63Ge data are from [13,14]. The Q-beta values are taken from [11].

4. Discussion

As mentioned in the introduction the study of the decay of 64Se was one of the main goals of the present experiment. A strong population of the IAS at around 2 MeV is expected in the decay. This level is identified as the experimental level at 1955 keV energy. The IAS has isospin 2 and spin parity 0+. Although this level is well above the proton separation energy, it decays by proton emission as well as by electromagnetic transitions. This is due to the forbidden character of this proton decay since it originates from a state with T=2 and populates states in 63Ge with (presumably) isospin ½. Two gamma cascades de-excite the IAS and define its excitation energy. The direct transition from the state at 506 keV to the ground state is difficult to observe because it is obscured by the 511 keV annihilation peak. Four other states are strongly populated in the decay of 64Se and are consequently assigned as 1+ levels. The lack of evidence of direct beta feeding from 64Se to the ground state of 64As, together with the information from the mirror nucleus of the 0+ character of the 64Zn ground state, defines the ground state of 64As as 0+. Such a state is normally called the AAS (Anti Analogue State) and it is expected to have a configuration very similar to the IAS but with a different isospin.

The decay scheme of 64Se can be directly compared with the charge exchange reaction on the mirror stable nucleus 64Zn [9], the energies of the relevant levels can be compared in table 2. Good isospin symmetry is observed. A more detailed comparison of the Gamow-Teller strength BGT and Fermi strength BF is in preparation [15].

O' '1 1	1	1	1 C /1 TD 1/2	•	. (20 1(20
Dillillativ, a 2000	7 1909DHH 9 VIII	111011 v 15 00501 vo	u 101 uic 1 – 1/2		pairs 63Ge and 63Ga.
,,,					

Ex. 64As (keV)	Ex. 64Ga (keV)	lp
0	0	0+
147	127	1+
506	426	1+
697	666	1+
1955	1923	0+
Ex 63Ge (keV)	Ex 63Ga	lp
0	0	3/2- 5/2-
75	75	5/2-
417	443	

Table 2: Comparison of mirror states in 64As and 64Ga, and in 63Ge and 63Ga. The excitation energies originate from the present study for 64As, 63Ge and 63Ga and from [9] for 64Ga. As can be seen, good isospin symmetry is observed.

Finally, new excited states could be observed in the N=Z nucleus 64Ge populated in the decay of 64As. Nuclei with N=Z are particularly interesting because proton-neutron correlations are supposed to play an important role in their structure. Moreover N=Z even-even nuclei can provide information

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1308 (2019) 012018 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1308/1/012018

on isospin symmetry. For instance, in 64Ge, a study by Farnea et al [12] using a fusion evaporation reaction revealed a forbidden E1 transition which could only be explained in terms of T=0 and T=1 mixing. In this in-beam study a number of yrast states were observed up to spin 14+. In our study, we could locate a number of low spin states, mainly 1+ states as well as the 0+ IAS of 64As located at 4961 keV excitation energy.

References

- [1] F. Molina et al. Phys. Rev. C 91, 014301 (2015)
- [2] S. E. A. Orrigo et al. Phys. Rev. Lett 112, 222501 (2014)
- [3] S. E. A. Orrigo et al. Phys. Rev. C 93, 044336 (2016)
- [4] Y. Fujita, B. Rubio, and W. Gelletly, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 66, 549 (2011)
- [5] L. Kucuk et al. Eur. Phys. Jour. A 53, 134 (2017)
- [6] T. Kubo et al., Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2012, 03C003 (2012)
- [7] S. Nishimura et al., RIKEN Accel. Progr. Rep. 46, 182 (2013)
- [8] P.-A. Söderström et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Physics Research B 317, 649652 (2013)
- [9] F. Diel et al Phys. Rev. C 99, 054322 (2019)
- [10] Blank et al. Eur. Phys. Jour. A 31, 267 (2007)
- [11] AME2016, http://amdc.in2p3.fr/web/masseval.html
- [12] E. Farnea et al. Phys. Lett B 551, 56 (2003)
- [13] D. P. Balamuth et al. PRC 43, 5 (1991) 2082-2097
- [14] M. Weiszflog et al. Eur. Phys. Jour. A 11, 25 (2001)
- [15] P. Aguilera Ph.D Thesis Universidad de Santiago de Chile. 2019