

## 1 **Analysis of the Sex-specific Variability of Blood Parameters in C3H Inbred** 2 **Mice by Using Data from a Long-term, High-throughput Project**

3

4 Bernhard Aigner 1\*, Birgit Rathkolb 1,2,3, Martin Hrabě de Angelis 2,3,4, Eckhard Wolf 1

5

6 1 Chair for Molecular Animal Breeding and Biotechnology, and Laboratory for Functional  
7 Genome Analysis (LAFUGA), Gene Center, LMU Munich, 81377 Munich, Germany

8 2 German Mouse Clinic, Institute of Experimental Genetics, Helmholtz Zentrum München,  
9 85764 Neuherberg, Germany

10 3 German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), 85764 Neuherberg, Germany

11 4 Chair of Experimental Genetics, School of Life Science Weihenstephan, Technische  
12 Universität München, 85354 Freising, Germany

13

14 Email addresses:

15 b.aigner@gen.vetmed.uni-muenchen.de; birgit.rathkolb@helmholtz-muenchen.de;

16 hrabe@helmholtz-muenchen.de; ewolf@genzentrum.lmu.de

17

18 \* Corresponding author: Bernhard Aigner, Chair for Molecular Animal Breeding and

19 Biotechnology, LMU Munich, Hackerstr. 27, 85764 Oberschleissheim, Germany. Fax: +49 89

20 218078422. E-mail: b.aigner@gen.vetmed.uni-muenchen.de

21

22 Short title: Sex-specific variability of blood parameters in C3H mice

23

## 1 **Summary**

2

3 Mice are important models for biomedical research by providing the possibility of  
4 standardizing genetic background and environmental conditions, which both affect  
5 phenotypic variability. Use of both sexes in experiments is strongly recommended because of  
6 possible differences in the outcome. However, sex-specific phenotypic variability is discussed  
7 with regard to putative consequences on the group size which is necessary for achieving valid  
8 and reproducible results. Here, we retrospectively analyzed the sex-specific variability of 25  
9 blood parameters of C3H inbred mice in two different mouse facilities within the long-term,  
10 high-throughput Munich ENU mouse mutagenesis project. Using the 95% data range, data of  
11 4,780-20,706 mice per parameter were analyzed and resulted in ratios of the coefficient of  
12 variation ( $= \text{female CV} / (\text{female CV} + \text{male CV})$ ) from 0.44 to 0.58 for the 25 parameters,  
13 with an overall mean of 0.51 in both facilities. Together with data analyses of three additional,  
14 smaller studies with 72-247 animals per parameter examined and various genetic backgrounds  
15 (inbred strains, F1 hybrids) included, hints for reproducible sex-specific variability were  
16 observed for particular parameters. Thus, the overall analysis comprising all 25 clinical  
17 chemical and hematological parameters of the standardized, long-term analysis of a high  
18 number of group housed, young adult, twelve-week-old C3H inbred mice showed no evidence  
19 for substantial sex-specific variability. The results may provide a basis for the examination of  
20 sex-specific variability in particular blood parameters.

21

## 22 **Key words**

23 animal model, clinical chemistry, hematology, sex, variability

24

## 25 **Introduction**

26

1           In biomedical research with animal models, use of both sexes is strongly  
2 recommended because of possible differences in the outcome (Clayton and Collins 2014,  
3 Sandberg *et al.* 2015). In the context of highly standardized conditions on genetic background  
4 and environment used in mouse experiments, sex-specific phenotypic variability is discussed  
5 as an experimental factor with putative consequences on the group size for achieving valid  
6 and reproducible results. Female hormone cycles are suspected to decrease the homogeneity  
7 of study populations and to confound effects of experimental manipulations. In addition,  
8 group housing especially of male animals leads to the establishment of a dominance hierarchy  
9 and to differences in the social status thereby leading to individual phenotypic variations  
10 ((Beery and Zucker 2011, Itoh and Arnold 2015, Prendergast *et al.* 2014, Varholick *et al.*  
11 2018) and refs. therein).

12           For nociceptive traits, more than 8,000 individual measurements, collected from 40  
13 different mouse strains in 3 laboratories, showed that females tested at random points in their  
14 estrous cycles were not more variable than males (Mogil and Chanda 2005). In a meta-  
15 analysis of 293 articles, behavioral, morphological, physiological, and molecular traits were  
16 monitored in male mice and females tested without regard to the estrous cycle stage. The  
17 variability was not significantly greater in females than males for any endpoint and was  
18 substantially greater in males for several traits. In addition, group housing of mice was  
19 observed to increase the variability in both males and females by 37% (Prendergast *et al.*  
20 2014). In another study, the analysis of 293 microarray datasets measuring gene expression in  
21 various tissues of mice and humans, comprising the analysis of more than 5 million probes,  
22 showed that on average, male gene expression is slightly more variable than that of females  
23 although the difference was small (Itoh and Arnold 2015).

24           In biomedical research, standardized, long-term, high-throughput analyses of a high  
25 number of phenotypic parameters in both sexes of mice have been carried out in phenotype-  
26 driven ENU mouse mutagenesis projects worldwide. Random chemical mutagenesis of a

1 large number of animals followed by systematic screening for clinically relevant disease  
2 phenotypes was carried out with the alkylating agent *N*-ethyl-*N*-nitrosourea (ENU) which  
3 predominantly induces point mutations in premeiotic spermatogonial stem cells. This allowed  
4 the production of a large number of randomly mutagenized offspring from treated males,  
5 which were used for the establishment of novel mutant mouse lines harbouring disease-related  
6 alleles. However, by far most of the offspring showed physiological values for a given  
7 phenotype parameter. In the Munich ENU mouse mutagenesis project using C3HeB/FeJ  
8 (C3H) inbred mice as genetic background, a standardized screening profile of a high number  
9 of phenotypic parameters was established for the analysis of offspring of mutagenized mice in  
10 order to detect phenotypic variants (Hrabé de Angelis *et al.* 2000, Hrabé de Angelis *et al.*  
11 2007).

12 Here, we retrospectively re-analyzed data from this project, which were formerly used  
13 to establish mutant mouse lines, for the new aim to investigate the sex-specific variability of  
14 25 blood parameters in a high number of animals. **The blood parameters were chosen for the**  
15 **re-analysis as the data have been collected in our own research group therefore making it**  
16 **possible to track the entire experimental process.**

17

## 18 **Methods**

19

### 20 *Long-term, high-throughput analysis*

21 Blood parameters were determined in the context of the clinical chemical and  
22 hematological screen of the phenotype-driven Munich ENU mouse mutagenesis project by  
23 using standardized protocols (Rathkolb *et al.* 2000a, Rathkolb *et al.* 2000b). Data were  
24 derived over a time period of over six years (08/1998-10/2004) and comprised the analysis of  
25 almost 22,000 C3HeB/FeJ (C3H) inbred mice (The Jackson Laboratory) housed in two  
26 different facilities A and B. They were G1 and G3 male and female offspring derived from

1 ENU-mutagenized G0 founder males which were bred by defined breeding schemes (Hrabé  
2 de Angelis *et al.* 2000, Hrabé de Angelis *et al.* 2007). Up to five mice were housed together in  
3 groups of the same sex in Macrolon type II standard cages. Mouse husbandry was carried out  
4 under a continuously controlled specific pathogen-free (SPF) hygiene standard according to  
5 the FELASA protocols (Nicklas *et al.* 2002) (<http://www.felasa.eu>). Mouse husbandry and all  
6 tests were carried out under the approval of the responsible animal welfare authority  
7 (Regierung von Oberbayern, Germany). Data analysis was carried out using the software  
8 program Microsoft Excel 2016 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA). **The chi-squared test was**  
9 **used for the statistical analysis of the data.**

10

### 11 *Clinical chemistry and hematology*

12         The analysis of the clinical chemical blood plasma parameters **and the hematological**  
13 **parameters** was carried out by standardized protocols as previously described (Gailus-Durner  
14 *et al.* 2005, Rathkolb *et al.* 2000a, Rathkolb *et al.* 2000b). Briefly, blood samples from weekly  
15 cohorts of twelve-week-old male and female mice were obtained by puncture of the retro-  
16 orbital sinus under ether anesthesia. The clinical chemical parameters were analyzed by using  
17 the Roche Hitachi 717 autoanalyzer (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and the adapted reagents  
18 for human samples (Roche), and subsequently the Olympus AU400 autoanalyzer (Olympus,  
19 Hamburg, Germany) and the adapted reagents for human samples (Olympus) within their  
20 linear measurement ranges. Hematological parameters were measured using the ABC Animal  
21 Blood Counter (Scil, Viernheim, Germany) which was validated by the manufacturer for the  
22 analysis of mouse blood. Calibration and quality control were performed daily according to  
23 the manufacturer's protocols using the calibration samples obtained from the manufacturers.

24

### 25 *Additional non-mutagenized inbred and F1 hybrid mice*

1 Non-mutagenized inbred and F1 hybrid mice were also used in the context of the  
2 Munich ENU mouse mutagenesis project in the studies I, II, and III, which were carried out in  
3 three subsequent time periods of two years each in the mouse facilities A or B. The group  
4 housed mice for study I were maintained in facility B, and the group housed mice analyzed in  
5 studies II and III were maintained in facility A (Klempt *et al.* 2006). In studies I and II, the  
6 inbred strains C3HeB/FeJ (C3H) (study I: 85-132 males and 114-115 females; study II: 50-51  
7 males and 79-80 females) and C57BL/6Jlco (C57BL/6) (study I: 138-139 males and 72-74  
8 females; study II: 64-71 males and 50 females), and the F1 hybrid mice B6C3F1 (study I: 91-  
9 120 males and 89-116 females; study II: 39 males and 37-38 females) and C3B6F1 (study I:  
10 90-107 males and 77-94 females; study II: 44-45 males and 38 females) were used. In study  
11 III, the inbred strains C3HeB/FeJ (C3H) (51-55 males and 39-40 females) and BALB/cJ  
12 (BALB/c) (70-82 males and 90-100 females), and the F1 hybrid mice CC3F1 (58 males and  
13 41 females) and C3CF1 (55 males and 61 females) were analyzed (Klempt *et al.* 2006).

14

## 15 **Results**

16

### 17 *Long-term, high-throughput analysis of C3H inbred mice*

18 For the analysis of the sex-specific variability of blood parameters in a great number  
19 of animals, data from almost 22,000 young adult, twelve-week-old C3HeB/FeJ (C3H) inbred  
20 mice derived from the long-term, high-throughput phenotype-driven Munich ENU mouse  
21 mutagenesis project were re-analyzed. The project was carried out in two different facilities A  
22 and B where G1 and G3 male and female offspring - which were derived from ENU-  
23 mutagenized G0 founder males by the use of defined breeding schemes - were examined for  
24 clinical chemical and hematological parameters in a standardized procedure (examination of  
25 group housed, twelve-week-old animals by standardized protocols) over a time period of six  
26 years. By far most of the offspring showed physiological values for a given phenotype

1 parameter. The data have been previously used for determining mutagenized phenotypic G1  
2 and G3 variants for breeding ENU mutant mouse lines with interesting abnormal phenotypes  
3 (Aigner *et al.* 2009a, Aigner *et al.* 2009b, Aigner *et al.* 2011, Klempt *et al.* 2006, Rathkolb *et*  
4 *al.* 2015).

5 As the data were derived from offspring of ENU-mutagenized mice and, therefore, are  
6 expected to include a small number of animals harbouring mutations which may alter specific  
7 phenotypic parameters, in the current study the 95% data range (by excluding 2.5% each of  
8 the highest and lowest values) was chosen for each parameter separately to exclude values  
9 derived from such mutant mice as well as technical outliers.

10 The phenotypic variability was analyzed by determining the coefficient of variation  
11 (CV = standard deviation / mean) both for the male and female C3H mice. A CV ratio (=  $\text{female CV} / (\text{female CV} + \text{male CV}) < 0.5$  indicates that the female CV is lower than the  
12 female CV / (female CV + male CV)) < 0.5 indicates that the female CV is lower than the  
13 male CV, whereas a CV ratio > 0.5 indicates that the female CV is higher than the male CV.

14 In both facilities A and B, 25 clinical chemical and hematological parameters in male  
15 (facility A: 2,630-9,099 mice per parameter analyzed; facility B: 553-3,731 mice) and female  
16 (facility A: 1,033-4,452 mice; facility B: 564-3,427 mice) mice were examined (Table 1).

17 Some parameters were analyzed with data from relatively low numbers of animals due to a  
18 shorter time period of examination (e.g. ferritin, transferrin, lipase) or because technical  
19 procedures changed within the project (calcium, chloride, phosphorus, potassium, sodium,  $\alpha$ -  
20 amylase). In the later case, the subgroup covering the highest number of animals was chosen  
21 for the current analysis for the respective parameter. The smaller subgroups were examined  
22 separately and mostly showed analogous results compared to the larger subgroups included in  
23 the current project (see Table 1).

24 The CV ratios ranged from 0.44 to 0.58 in facility A with CV ratios < 0.5 (= lower  
25 female CV) for n = 9 of 25 (36%) parameters, and ranged from 0.47 to 0.56 in facility B with  
26 CV ratios < 0.5 (= lower female CV) for n = 10 of 25 (40%) parameters. **For both facilities,**

1 the chi-squared test showed no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) of the detected counts of  
2 parameters with a CV ratio  $< 0.5$  and a CV ratio  $> 0.5$  compared to the hypothesis of equal  
3 numbers of parameters with a CV ratio  $< 0.5$  and a CV ratio  $> 0.5$ . Consistent CV ratios  
4 (either CV  $> 0.5$  or CV  $< 0.5$ ) in both facilities were observed for 16 of 25 (64%) parameters  
5 (11 of 25 with CV ratios  $> 0.5$ , and 5 of 25 with CV ratios  $< 0.5$ ), whereas 9 of 25 (36%)  
6 parameters showed inconsistent CV ratios. Comparing the CV ratios of a given parameter  
7 between the facilities A and B, a difference of  $> 5\%$  to  $< 10\%$  appeared for 9 of 25 (36%)  
8 parameters analyzed, i.e. uric acid, calcium, phosphorus, sodium, alanine aminotransferase  
9 (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (AP), lipase, mean corpuscular volume (MCV), and platelets.  
10 This includes parameters with consistent CV ratios as well as with inconsistent CV ratios. In  
11 total, the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of the CV ratios of all 25 parameters was  $0.505 \pm 0.028$   
12 in facility A,  $0.506 \pm 0.023$  in facility B, and  $0.506 \pm 0.025$  for both facilities (Table 1).  
13 Therefore, no substantial sex-specific variability was evident for the overall analysis  
14 comprising all 25 clinical chemical and hematological parameters.

15 To investigate if the chosen data range influenced the outcome of the analysis, the data  
16 set was additionally examined by using the 99% (excluding 0.5% each of the highest and  
17 lowest values) and 90% (excluding 5% each of the highest and lowest values) data range. In  
18 total, the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of the CV ratios of all 25 parameters for both facilities  
19 was  $0.508 \pm 0.024$  for the 99% data range, and  $0.506 \pm 0.027$  for the 90% data range. 32 of 50  
20 parameters (64%) showed CV ratios  $> 0.5$  (= higher female CV) for the 99% data range,  
21 which was 31 of 50 parameters (62%) for the 95% data range, and 30 of 50 parameters (60%)  
22 for the 90% data range (Figure 1). In addition, similar differences of the CV ratios of a given  
23 parameter between the facilities A and B were detected with all three data ranges. Thus,  
24 analogous results were derived with all three data ranges (99%, 95%, 90%). The analysis of  
25 the complete data set was judged not to be informative for the aim of the current project  
26 because of the presence of data of ENU mutant animals, and therefore, was not carried out.

1

2 *Analysis of inbred strains and F1 hybrid mice*

3 A second data set of clinical chemical and hematological parameters was generated in  
4 the context of the Munich ENU mouse mutagenesis project where three studies I, II, and III  
5 were carried out in three subsequent time periods of two years each in the mouse facilities A  
6 (studies II and III) and B (study I) by using smaller groups (n = 76-247 males and females per  
7 parameter analyzed) of group housed, native, non-mutagenized inbred (studies I and II: C3H,  
8 C57BL/6; study III: C3H, BALB/c) and F1 hybrid mice (studies I and II: B6C3F1, C3B6F1;  
9 study III: CC3F1, C3CF1) also at the age of twelve weeks.

10 These data sets have been previously used to investigate the phenotypic variability in  
11 the genetic backgrounds of inbred versus F1 hybrid mice. The analysis resulted in overall CV  
12 ratios (= F1 hybrid CV / (F1 hybrid CV + inbred CV)) (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) of  $0.50 \pm$   
13  $0.06$  for study I,  $0.37 \pm 0.09$  for study II, and  $0.50 \pm 0.06$  for study III, and therefore, clearly  
14 demonstrated the possibility of major interactions between genotype and environment  
15 regarding the variability of clinical chemical and hematological parameters (Klempt *et al.*  
16 2006).

17 For the current analysis, these data sets were re-analyzed for the aim to investigate the  
18 sex-specific variability of blood parameters in additional genetic backgrounds beside C3H  
19 mice, i.e. C57BL/6, BALB/c, and F1 hybrid mice. To exclude technical outliers, the data sets  
20 were analyzed with exclusion of outliers  $> 3 \times$  distance of the first and third quartiles. The CV  
21 ratio (= female CV / (female CV + male CV)) was determined for every parameter within  
22 each of the three studies I, II and III for each inbred strain 1 (IN1) and inbred strain 2 (IN2),  
23 and for each F1 hybrid mice 1 (F1A) and F1 hybrid mice 2 (F1B) (Table 2).

24 The CV ratios ranged from 0.41 to 0.66 in study I with CV ratios  $< 0.5$  (= lower  
25 female CV) for n = 32 of 80 (40%) parameters, from 0.31 to 0.66 in study II with CV ratios  $<$   
26  $0.5$  for n = 38 of 83 (46%) parameters, and from 0.32 to 0.71 in study III with CV ratios  $< 0.5$

1 for  $n = 29$  of 83 (35%) parameters (Table 2). For the studies I and II, the chi-squared test  
2 showed no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) of the detected counts of parameters with a CV  
3 ratio  $< 0.5$  and a CV ratio  $> 0.5$  compared to the hypothesis of equal numbers of parameters  
4 with a CV ratio  $< 0.5$  and a CV ratio  $> 0.5$ . However, a significant difference ( $p < 0.01$ )  
5 appeared for study III. In total, the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of the CV ratios of all  
6 parameters analyzed was  $0.517 \pm 0.054$  in study I,  $0.503 \pm 0.076$  in study II, and  $0.528 \pm$   
7  $0.070$  in study III (Figure 1).

8 To investigate if the chosen data range influenced the outcome of the analysis, the data  
9 sets were additionally examined by using the complete data sets without exclusion of outliers  
10 (which has also been used in the previous analysis presented in (Klempt *et al.* 2006)), and in  
11 the case of study I with the largest group sizes of the data set, also with exclusion of outliers  $>$   
12  $1.5 \times$  distance of the first and third quartiles. Using the complete data sets without exclusion  
13 of outliers, the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of the CV ratios of all parameters analyzed was  
14  $0.507 \pm 0.060$  in study I (with CV ratios  $< 0.5$  for  $n = 31$  of 80 (39%) parameters),  $0.501 \pm$   
15  $0.080$  in study II (with CV ratios  $< 0.5$  for  $n = 40$  of 84 (48%) parameters), and  $0.516 \pm 0.079$   
16 in study III (with CV ratios  $< 0.5$  for  $n = 36$  of 85 (42%) parameters). Using the data set of  
17 study I with exclusion of outliers  $> 1.5 \times$  distance of the first and third quartiles, the mean  $\pm$   
18 standard deviation of the CV ratios of all parameters analyzed was  $0.528 \pm 0.072$ , with CV  
19 ratios  $< 0.5$  for  $n = 32$  of 80 (40%) parameters (Figure 1).

20 Thus, analogous overall results within the studies I, II and III were derived with all  
21 different data set ranges, i.e. without exclusion of outliers, with exclusion of outliers  $> 3 \times$   
22 distance of the first and third quartiles, or with exclusion of outliers  $> 1.5 \times$  distance of the  
23 first and third quartiles.

24

25 *Joint analysis of the results of both projects*

1           At least higher extents of sex-specific variability in a particular parameter irrespective  
2 of genetic background and/or environmental factors are expected to result in consistent values  
3 of either CV ratios  $< 0.5$  or CV ratios  $> 0.5$  for a given parameter in both analyses shown in  
4 Table 1 (n = 2 separate analyses) and Table 2 (n = 12 separate analyses). In the search for  
5 particular parameters with consistent values in the long-term study with the high numbers of  
6 C3H mice both in facility A and facility B shown in Table 1, as well as with the same  
7 consistent values in most of the respective 12 analyses shown in Table 2, hints for sex-  
8 specific variability may be observed for the following parameters: cholesterol (CV ratio  $> 0.5$   
9 in 12 of all 14 (86%) analyses including all 5 C3H analyses), triglycerides (CV ratio  $> 0.5$  in  
10 12 of all 14 (86%) analyses including all 5 C3H analyses), urea (CV ratio  $> 0.5$  in 12 of all 14  
11 (86%) analyses including all 5 C3H analyses), and  $\alpha$ -amylase (CV ratio  $> 0.5$  in 12 of all 14  
12 (86%) analyses including 4 of the 5 C3H analyses). The result for alanine aminotransferase  
13 (ALT, CV ratio  $< 0.5$  in 12 of all 13 (92%) analyses including 4 of the 5 C3H analyses) was  
14 not supported by the data analysis using the data sets of study I, II and III without exclusion  
15 of outliers. No parameter showed consistent values of the CV ratio for all 14 separate analyses  
16 in Table 1 and Table 2.

17

## 18 **Discussion**

19

20           The retrospective analysis of the sex-specific variability of 25 blood parameters of  
21 C3H inbred mice in two different mouse facilities derived from the standardized, long-term,  
22 high-throughput Munich ENU mouse mutagenesis project resulted in an overall mean of 0.51  
23 for the ratio of the coefficient of variation ( $= \text{female CV} / (\text{female CV} + \text{male CV})$ ) in both  
24 facilities. Both sexes were group housed, and females were tested without regard to the stage  
25 of the estrous cycle. The project was standardized to achieve comparable phenotypic results  
26 of a high number of animals over a long time period, but not especially for the analysis of sex-

1 specific phenotypic variability. The 95% data range was chosen for the current study to  
2 exclude values derived from ENU mutant mice as well as technical outliers, and refers to the  
3 data range defined by the mean  $\pm$  two standard deviations.

4 In a meta-analysis of 293 articles including the analysis of behavioral, morphological,  
5 physiological, and molecular traits, group housing of mice increased the variability in both  
6 males and females by 37% (Prendergast *et al.* 2014). Therefore, no sex is expected to take  
7 advantage of this housing method in respect to the extent of the variability compared to the  
8 other sex. In the Munich ENU mouse mutagenesis project, both male and female mice were  
9 group housed in comparable group sizes after weaning until the phenotypic analysis including  
10 the measurement of the blood parameters took place. Normally, this works well also for C3H  
11 males in the given context (group housing particularly of offspring of the same litter, no  
12 previous use in breeding, time period within the first three months of age), therefore, single  
13 housing due to aggressive behavior was carried out only exceptionally. The similar increase  
14 of the variability in group housed males and females observed by (Prendergast *et al.* 2014) is  
15 also expected to cover the consequences of the Lee Boot effect which leads to the suppression  
16 of the estrous cycle in group housed female mice (Bind *et al.* 2013). In addition, this  
17 represents the housing method usually carried out when working with mice in biomedical  
18 research.

19 The meta-analysis did not describe particular parameters with a robust difference of  
20 the phenotypic variability between both sexes which may be used as a “positive control” to  
21 evaluate the results detected in our study. The published increase of the phenotypic variability  
22 (= CV) within each sex by 37% in group housed animals (Prendergast *et al.* 2014) would  
23 effect a CV ratio of 0.39 or 0.61 when comparing single housed mice and group housed mice.  
24 This deviation from the hypothesized CV ratio of 0.5 is much higher than the deviations of  
25 the CV ratio of 0.5 detected in our study as the overall means of all parameters analyzed.

1           Comparison of the overall results of the CV ratios of the C3H mice in the long-term  
2 experiment (means of 0.504 to 0.509 (minimum - maximum) with standard deviations of  
3 0.022 to 0.030 (minimum - maximum) for all six analyses of the 90%, 95% and 99% data  
4 range in both facilities) with the smaller groups of non-mutagenized C3H mice in study I  
5 (means of 0.525 to 0.558 with standard deviations of 0.050 to 0.071 for all three analyses with  
6 all data, without extreme outliers and without outliers), study II (means of 0.504 and 0.522  
7 with standard deviations of 0.061 and 0.076 for both analyses), and study III (a mean of 0.523  
8 with standard deviations of 0.091 and 0.093 for both analyses) showed consistently higher  
9 standard deviations for the studies I, II and III with the small groups of animals. This is  
10 thought to be caused not by the slight difference in the panel of blood parameters which were  
11 available for the separate studies, but by the number of examined animals by itself.

12           Comparison of the CV ratios on the basis of the identical genetic background, i.e. of  
13 the five groups of C3H mice (long-term study in facility A, long-term study in facility B,  
14 study I, study II, study III) for a given parameter may indicate that the sex-specific variability  
15 may distinctly vary due to interacting factors. As this was observed also between facility A  
16 and facility B with high numbers of mice involved, the same effect may be expected in  
17 experiments with low group sizes which are normally used in biomedical research. This refers  
18 to the result which was previously received in the investigation of the variability of  
19 phenotypic parameters in the inbred versus F1 hybrid genetic background (Klempt *et al.*  
20 2006).

21           In summary, the overall analysis comprising all 25 clinical chemical and  
22 hematological parameters of the standardized, long-term analysis of a high number of group  
23 housed, young adult, twelve-week-old C3H inbred mice showed no evidence for substantial  
24 sex-specific variability. The results may provide a basis for the examination of sex-specific  
25 variability in particular blood parameters.

26

## 1 **Conflict of Interest**

2 All authors have no competing financial or other interests in relation to the manuscript.

3

## 4 **References**

5

6 AIGNER B, RATHKOLB B, KLAFTEN M, SEDLMEIER R, KLEMP M, WAGNER S,  
7 MICHEL D, MAYER U, KLOPSTOCK T, HRABÉ DE ANGELIS M, WOLF E: Generation  
8 of N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea-induced mouse mutants with deviations in plasma enzyme activities  
9 as novel organ-specific disease models. *Exp Physiol* 94: 412-421, 2009.

10 AIGNER B, RATHKOLB B, KLEMP M, WAGNER S, MICHEL D, HRABÉ DE  
11 ANGELIS M, WOLF E: N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea mutagenesis produced a small number of  
12 mice with altered plasma electrolyte levels. *J Biomed Sci* 16: 53, 2009.

13 AIGNER B, RATHKOLB B, KLEMP M, WAGNER S, MICHEL D, KLAFTEN M,  
14 LAUFS J, SCHNEIDER B, SEDLMEIER R, HRABÉ DE ANGELIS M, WOLF E:  
15 Generation of N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea-induced mouse mutants with deviations in  
16 hematological parameters. *Mamm Genome* 22: 495-505, 2011.

17 BEERY AK, ZUCKER I: Sex bias in neuroscience and biomedical research. *Neurosci*  
18 *Biobehav Rev* 35: 565-572, 2011.

19 BIND RH, MINNEY SM, ROSENFELD S, HALLOCK RM: The role of pheromonal  
20 responses in rodent behavior: future directions for the development of laboratory protocols. *J*  
21 *Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci* 52: 124-129, 2013.

22 CLAYTON JA, COLLINS FS: Policy: NIH to balance sex in cell and animal studies. *Nature*  
23 509: 282-283, 2014.

24 GAILUS-DURNER V, FUCHS H, BECKER L, BOLLE I, BRIELMEIER M, CALZADA-  
25 WACK J, ELVERT R, EHRHARDT N, DALKE C, FRANZ TJ, GRUNDNER-CULEMANN  
26 E, HAMMELBACHER S, HOLTER SM, HOLZLWIMMER G, HORSCH M, JAVAHERI

1 A, KALAYDJIEV SV, KLEMP T M, KLING E, KUNDER S, LENGGER C, LISSE T,  
2 MIJALSKI T, NATON B, PEDERSEN V, PREHN C, PRZEMECK G, RACZ I, REINHARD  
3 C, REITMEIR P, SCHNEIDER I, SCHREWE A, STEINKAMP R, ZYBILL C, ADAMSKI  
4 J, BECKERS J, BEHRENDT H, FAVOR J, GRAW J, HELDMAIER G, HOFER H,  
5 IVANDIC B, KATUS H, KIRCHHOF P, KLINGENSPOR M, KLOPSTOCK T,  
6 LENGELING A, MULLER W, OHL F, OLLERT M, QUINTANILLA-MARTINEZ L,  
7 SCHMIDT J, SCHULZ H, WOLF E, WURST W, ZIMMER A, BUSCH DH, HRABÉ DE  
8 ANGELIS M: Introducing the German Mouse Clinic: open access platform for standardized  
9 phenotyping. *Nat Methods* 2: 403-404, 2005.

10 HRABÉ DE ANGELIS M, FLASWINKEL H, FUCHS H, RATHKOLB B, SOEWARTO D,  
11 MARSCHALL S, HEFFNER S, PARGENT W, WUENSCH K, JUNG M, REIS A,  
12 RICHTER T, ALESSANDRINI F, JAKOB T, FUCHS E, KOLB H, KREMMER E,  
13 SCHAEBLE K, ROLLINSKI B, ROSCHER A, PETERS C, MEITINGER T, STROM T,  
14 STECKLER T, HOLSBOER F, KLOPSTOCK T, GEKELER F, SCHINDEWOLF C, JUNG  
15 T, AVRAHAM K, BEHRENDT H, RING J, ZIMMER A, SCHUGHART K, PFEFFER K,  
16 WOLF E, BALLING R: Genome-wide, large-scale production of mutant mice by ENU  
17 mutagenesis. *Nat Genet* 25: 444-447, 2000.

18 HRABÉ DE ANGELIS M, MICHEL D, WAGNER S, BECKER S, BECKERS J: Chemical  
19 mutagenesis in mice. In: *The mouse in biomedical research - v1 History, wild mice, and*  
20 *genetics*, FOX JG, BARTHOLD SW, DAVISSON MT, NEWCOMER CE, QUIMBY FW,  
21 SMITH AL (eds). Academic Press, Burlington, MA, 2007, pp 225-260.

22 ITOH Y, ARNOLD AP: Are females more variable than males in gene expression? Meta-  
23 analysis of microarray datasets. *Biol Sex Differ* 6: 18, 2015.

24 KLEMP T M, RATHKOLB B, FUCHS E, HRABÉ DE ANGELIS M, WOLF E, AIGNER B:  
25 Genotype-specific environmental impact on the variance of blood values in inbred and F1  
26 hybrid mice. *Mamm Genome* 17: 93-102, 2006.

- 1 MOGIL JS, CHANDA ML: The case for the inclusion of female subjects in basic science  
2 studies of pain. *Pain* 117: 1-5, 2005.
- 3 NICKLAS W, BANEUX P, BOOT R, DECELLE T, DEENY AA, FUMANELLI M,  
4 ILLGEN-WILCKE B: Recommendations for the health monitoring of rodent and rabbit  
5 colonies in breeding and experimental units. *Lab Anim* 36: 20-42, 2002.
- 6 PRENDERGAST BJ, ONISHI KG, ZUCKER I: Female mice liberated for inclusion in  
7 neuroscience and biomedical research. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 40: 1-5, 2014.
- 8 RATHKOLB B, DECKER T, FUCHS E, SOEWARTO D, FELLA C, HEFFNER S,  
9 PARGENT W, WANKE R, BALLING R, HRABÉ DE ANGELIS M, KOLB HJ, WOLF E:  
10 The clinical-chemical screen in the Munich ENU Mouse Mutagenesis Project: screening for  
11 clinically relevant phenotypes. *Mamm Genome* 11: 543-546, 2000.
- 12 RATHKOLB B, FUCHS E, KOLB HJ, RENNER-MULLER I, KREBS O, BALLING R,  
13 HRABÉ DE ANGELIS M, WOLF E: Large-scale N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea mutagenesis of mice  
14 - from phenotypes to genes. *Exp Physiol* 85: 635-644, 2000.
- 15 RATHKOLB B, KLEMPT M, SABRAUTZKI S, MICHEL D, KLAFTEN M, LAUFS J,  
16 SEDLMEIER R, HANS W, FUCHS H, MUCKENTHALER MU, HORSCH M,  
17 CAMPAGNA DR, FLEMING M, HRABÉ DE ANGELIS M, WOLF E, AIGNER B: Screen  
18 for alterations of iron related parameters in N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea-treated mice identified  
19 mutant lines with increased plasma ferritin levels. *Biometals* 28: 293-306, 2015.
- 20 SANDBERG K, UMANS JG, GEORGETOWN CONSENSUS CONFERENCE WORK G:  
21 Recommendations concerning the new U.S. National Institutes of Health initiative to balance  
22 the sex of cells and animals in preclinical research. *FASEB J* 29: 1646-1652, 2015.
- 23 VARHOLICK JA, BAILOO JD, PALME R, WURBEL H: Phenotypic variability between  
24 Social Dominance Ranks in laboratory mice. *Sci Rep* 8: 6593, 2018.
- 25

1 **Table 1.** Coefficient of variation ratios (= female CV / (female CV + male CV)) of the blood  
 2 parameters of C3H mice in the Munich ENU mouse mutagenesis project (95% data range)  
 3

Parameter	CV ratio, facility A	CV ratio, facility B	No. of mice (m/f), facility A	No. of mice (m/f), facility B
Cholesterol	0.54	0.53	6387 / 3362	3181 / 2860
Creatinine	0.51	<b>0.499</b>	8965 / 4379	3703 / 3398
Glucose	0.504	0.52	8968 / 4385	3704 / 3397
Total protein	<b>0.497</b>	0.52	9033 / 4398	3708 / 3401
Triglycerides	0.58	0.56	6373 / 3364	3179 / 2859
Urea	0.53	0.53	9003 / 4395	3704 / 3401
<i>Uric acid</i>	0.51	0.54	6475 / 3402	3185 / 2877
Ferritin	<b>0.497</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>3029 / 1180</b>	<b>669 / 666</b>
Transferrin	0.51	0.51	5325 / 2201	1351 / 1311
<i>Calcium</i>	0.51	<b>0.48</b>	<b>3515 / 2130</b>	<i>2321 / 2061</i>
Chloride	0.52	0.52	<b>3515 / 2134</b>	<i>2324 / 2064</i>
<i>Phosphorus</i>	0.51	<b>0.49</b>	<b>3515 / 2133</b>	<i>2324 / 2063</i>
Potassium	0.505	0.503	<b>3515 / 2135</b>	<b>2324 / 2065</b>
<i>Sodium</i>	<b>0.48</b>	0.51	<b>3514 / 2135</b>	<i>2324 / 2064</i>
<i>ALT</i>	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.48</b>	9094 / 4451	3731 / 3426
AST	0.504	<b>0.48</b>	9099 / 4452	3728 / 3427
$\alpha$ -amylase	0.54	0.53	<b>5575 / 2296</b>	<b>2324 / 2060</b>
<i>AP</i>	0.52	<b>0.47</b>	7195 / 3719	3494 / 3184
CK	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.47</b>	<b>6432 / 3414</b>	<b>3199 / 2878</b>
<i>Lipase</i>	<b>0.45</b>	<b>0.49</b>	2630 / 1033	553 / 564
Hemoglobin	0.51	0.504	6173 / 3263	3337 / 3040
<i>MCV</i>	<b>0.48</b>	0.51	6175 / 3263	3337 / 3040
RBC	0.51	0.51	6174 / 3257	3338 / 3041
WBC	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.49</b>	6147 / 3256	3334 / 3039
<i>Platelets</i>	<b>0.497</b>	0.54	6176 / 3266	3338 / 3040
Mean $\pm$ SD	0.505 $\pm$ 0.028	0.506 $\pm$ 0.023		

1 For the 9 parameters indicated in italics (uric acid, calcium, phosphorus, sodium, ALT, AP,  
2 lipase, MCV, and platelets), comparison of the CV ratios between the facilities A and B  
3 revealed a difference of > 5% to < 10% of the values to each other.  
4 CV, coefficient of variation = standard deviation / mean. The values of the CV ratios are  
5 indicated in bold for the parameters where the female CV is lower than the male CV (= CV  
6 ratio < 0.5) (n = 9 of 25 (36%) in facility A, and n = 10 of 25 (40%) in facility B). For facility  
7 B, the values and the respective numbers of mice of the 4 parameters calcium, chloride,  
8 phosphorus and sodium are indicated in italics, because the separate analysis of an additional  
9 smaller subgroup resulted in an inconsistent CV ratio, i.e. a CV ratio < 0.5 for the larger  
10 subgroup shown in the table, and a CV ratio > 0.5 for the smaller subgroup (not shown), or  
11 vice versa. Both CV ratios showed a difference of > 5% to < 10% of the values to each other.  
12 For the CV ratios with their animal numbers of facility A/B indicated in bold, the separate  
13 analysis of an additional smaller subgroup resulted in a consistent CV ratio, i.e. a CV ratio  
14 either < 0.5 or > 0.5 both for the larger subgroup shown in the table and for the smaller  
15 subgroup (not shown). Both CV ratios showed a difference of < 5% of the values to each  
16 other.

17 ALT, alanine aminotransferase (EC 2.6.1.2); AST, aspartate aminotransferase (EC 2.6.1.1);  $\alpha$ -  
18 amylase (EC 3.2.1.1); AP, alkaline phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.1); CK, creatine kinase (EC  
19 2.7.3.2); lipase (EC 3.1.1.3); MCV, mean corpuscular volume; RBC, red blood cell count,  
20 WBC, white blood cell count. **The parameters hematocrit, mean corpuscular hemoglobin, and  
21 mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration were not included in the study as they were  
22 subsequently calculated by using parameters directly measured.**

23

1 **Table 2.** Coefficient of variation ratios (= female CV / (female CV + male CV)) of the blood parameters of inbred strains and F1 hybrid mice (data  
 2 sets without outliers  $> 3 \times$  distance of the first and third quartiles)

3

Parameter	Study I (C3H, C57BL/6)				Study II (C3H, C57BL/6)				Study III (C3H, BALB/c)			
	IN1	IN2	F1A	F1B	IN1	IN2	F1A	F1B	IN1	IN2	F1A	F1B
Cholesterol	0.57	0.52	0.58	<b>0.48</b>	0.54	0.60	0.58	<b>0.45</b>	0.53	0.59	0.61	0.66
Glucose	<b>0.48</b>	0.53	0.59	<b>0.45</b>	0.53	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>0.45</b>	0.62	0.58	0.63	<b>0.48</b>
Total protein	0.58	<b>0.47</b>	0.51	0.503	<b>0.46</b>	0.58	0.61	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.48</b>	0.58	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.47</b>
Triglycerides	0.59	0.52	0.53	<b>0.48</b>	0.62	0.66	0.54	<b>0.47</b>	0.59	0.53	0.57	0.61
Urea	0.53	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.47</b>	0.60	0.55	0.55	0.59	0.52	0.59	0.54	0.52	0.58
Uric acid	0.55	<b>0.49</b>	0.63	0.66	0.52	<b>0.31</b>	0.53	<b>0.35</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.45</b>	0.51	<b>0.47</b>
Ferritin	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	<b>0.38</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.39</b>	0.52
Transferrin	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.51	0.63	0.501	0.52
Calcium	0.51	0.60	<b>0.42</b>	0.53	0.53	<b>0.48</b>	0.53	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.47</b>	n.d.	n.d.	0.64
Chloride	0.66	<b>0.49</b>	0.51	<b>0.496</b>	0.58	0.57	<b>0.38</b>	0.54	0.68	n.d.	<b>0.43</b>	0.56
Phosphorus	0.53	0.54	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.48</b>	0.51	<b>0.45</b>	<b>0.42</b>	<b>0.47</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.43</b>	0.61	<b>0.48</b>
Potassium	0.53	0.56	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0.43</b>	0.57	<b>0.39</b>	0.66	<b>0.45</b>	<b>0.49</b>	n.d.	0.5002	<b>0.499</b>
Sodium	0.59	<b>0.41</b>	<b>0.496</b>	0.60	0.55	0.60	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0.44</b>	0.71	n.d.	0.52	0.62
ALT	0.53	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.46</b>	n.d.	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>0.39</b>	<b>0.49</b>
AST	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.43</b>	0.52	0.52	0.59	<b>0.48</b>	0.54	<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.46</b>	0.57	<b>0.47</b>	0.57

$\alpha$ -amylase	<b>0.48</b>	0.53	0.56	<b>0.47</b>	0.56	0.60	0.52	0.53	0.62	0.55	0.55	0.68
AP	0.52	0.55	<b>0.41</b>	0.53	0.59	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.42</b>	0.52	0.63	<b>0.48</b>	0.52	0.54
CK	0.51	<b>0.45</b>	0.54	<b>0.47</b>	0.55	0.57	0.62	<b>0.44</b>	0.53	0.57	0.502	0.53
Hemoglobin	0.59	0.56	0.52	0.52	<b>0.47</b>	<b>0.35</b>	<b>0.48</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.49</b>	0.52	0.51	0.54
MCV	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	<b>0.42</b>	0.504	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.43</b>	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
RBC	<b>0.47</b>	0.54	<b>0.48</b>	0.5001	0.54	0.503	0.51	0.55	<b>0.32</b>	0.56	<b>0.495</b>	0.54
WBC	<b>0.46</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>0.48</b>	0.57	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.44</b>	0.53	0.61	<b>0.47</b>	0.51	0.54	0.53
Platelets	0.55	0.55	0.59	0.52	<b>0.38</b>	<b>0.45</b>	0.51	0.58	0.52	0.55	0.53	<b>0.495</b>
Mean $\pm$ SD	0.54 $\pm$	0.51 $\pm$	0.51 $\pm$	0.51 $\pm$	0.52 $\pm$	<b>0.496</b> $\pm$	0.51 $\pm$	<b>0.48</b> $\pm$	0.52 $\pm$	0.53 $\pm$	0.51 $\pm$	0.55 $\pm$
	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	<b>0.09</b>	0.07	<b>0.07</b>	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.06
Outliers: % (m/f)	0.9% / 0.5%			0.9% / 1.1%			1.3% / 0.9%					
Outliers: % affected parameters (m/f)	36% / 24%			27% / 20%			39% / 30%					

- 1 Study I and II: Inbred strain IN1: C3H; inbred strain IN2: C57BL/6; F1 hybrids F1A: B6C3F1; F1 hybrids F1B: C3B6F1. Study III: IN1: C3H; IN2:  
2 BALB/c; F1A: CC3F1; F1B: C3CF1. The number of mice (males and females) included in the analysis of the data sets without outliers  $> 3 \times$   
3 distance of the first and third quartiles is (minimum-maximum (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation)) 159-247 (196  $\pm$  22) in study I, 72-131 (101  $\pm$  23) in  
4 study II, and 90-182 (117  $\pm$  30) in study III per parameter examined. The number of outliers is indicated separately for males and females in % of all  
5 values used in the study.

1 CV, coefficient of variation = standard deviation / mean. n.d., not determined. The values of the CV ratios are indicated in bold for the parameters  
2 where the female CV is lower than the male CV (= CV ratio < 0.5) (n = 32 of 80 (40%) in study I, 38 of 83 (46%) in study II, and 29 of 83 (35%) in  
3 study III). In addition, values of the CV ratios are indicated in italics where the analysis of the data set without exclusion of outliers resulted in an  
4 inconsistent CV ratio, i.e. a CV ratio < 0.5 for the analysis shown in the table, and a CV ratio > 0.5 for the analysis of the data set without exclusion  
5 of outliers (not shown), or vice versa (with the 5 parameters of enzyme activities mostly affected).

6 ALT, alanine aminotransferase (EC 2.6.1.2); AST, aspartate aminotransferase (EC 2.6.1.1);  $\alpha$ -amylase (EC 3.2.1.1); AP, alkaline phosphatase (EC  
7 3.1.3.1); CK, creatine kinase (EC 2.7.3.2); MCV, mean corpuscular volume; RBC, red blood cell count; WBC, white blood cell count.

8

1 **Figure 1.** Mean coefficient of variation ratios ( $= \text{female CV} / (\text{female CV} + \text{male CV})$ )  $\pm$   
2 standard deviations of the overall analyses comprising all blood parameters.  
3 The blood parameters of C3H inbred mice of the Munich ENU mouse mutagenesis project in  
4 both facilities A and B including 5,032-21,794 animals (males and females) per parameter  
5 examined were analyzed using the 99%, 95% or 90% data range, separately for each  
6 parameter. The analysis of the blood parameters of inbred strains and the F1 hybrid mice  
7 produced thereof (study I and II: C3H, C57BL/6; study III: C3H, BALB/c) included 76-247  
8 animals (males and females) per parameter examined. They were analyzed without exclusion  
9 of outliers ("all"), with exclusion of outliers  $> 3 \times$  distance of the first and third quartiles  
10 (" $3 \times d$ "), or with exclusion of outliers  $> 1.5 \times$  distance of the first and third quartiles (" $1.5 \times d$ ",  
11 only study I). The coefficient of variation ratios are depicted as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation for  
12 all blood parameters analyzed (see Table 1 for the C3H inbred mice of the Munich ENU  
13 mouse mutagenesis project, and Table 2 for the three studies I, II and III of inbred strains and  
14 F1 hybrid mice). The number in the columns indicates the count of the parameters in % where  
15 the female CV is higher than the male CV for the respective analysis ( $= \text{CV ratio} > 0.5$ ).  
16

