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Recommendeds

- GRACE follow-on mission based on the present configuration and with emphasis on the uninterrupted continuation of time series of global gravity changes is strongly supported,
- Geophysical a-priori background models should be improved,
- Medium term priority should be focused on higher precision and higher resolution in space and time to be achieved by improved sensor systems, such as optical ranging systems, and configuration flights.
**2009 Workshop Recommendations**

Int. Workshop of the IGCP (International Geoscience Programme), "Towards a roadmap for future satellite gravity missions", 30 Sept. – 2 Oct. 2009, Graz, Austria

**Declarations**
- Long and **uninterrupted series** of satellite gravity missions with accuracies and resolutions at least as good as GRACE's.
- Provide the basis for a **global service** to inform decision makers in a timely manner about changes in the water cycle related to droughts, groundwater depletion, sea level changes, and other potential impacts of climate change.

**Roadmap towards future satellite gravity missions**
- Science **requirements** and Earth system modelling
- **Increase performance**: spatial & temporal resolution, accuracy
- Mission implementation: **Virtual constellation**, Inter-agency coordination
- Improve **processing**, geophysical modelling, applications & services
Guidelines towards Future Gravity Missions

Science Requirements (IUGG study*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>Spatial resolution</th>
<th>Equivalent Water Height</th>
<th>Geoid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly field</td>
<td>Long-term trend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 km</td>
<td>5 mm</td>
<td>0.5 mm/yr</td>
<td>50 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 km</td>
<td>10 cm</td>
<td>1 cm/yr</td>
<td>0.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>50 cm</td>
<td>5 cm/yr</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 km</td>
<td>5 m</td>
<td>0.5 m/yr</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Spatial resolution</th>
<th>Equivalent Water Height</th>
<th>Geoid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly field</td>
<td>Long-term trend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 km</td>
<td>0.5 mm</td>
<td>0.05 mm/yr</td>
<td>5 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 km</td>
<td>1 cm</td>
<td>0.1 cm/yr</td>
<td>0.05 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 km</td>
<td>5 cm</td>
<td>0.5 cm/yr</td>
<td>0.1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 km</td>
<td>0.5 m</td>
<td>0.05 m/yr</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Threshold requirements improved roughly by factor 5, Target by a factor of 50 with respect to GRACE.
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Guidelines towards Future Gravity Missions

**Improved Spatial & Temporal Resolution**

State-of-the-art
- GRACE monthly fields exhibit the well known stripes.
- Extensive filtering is required (reducing spatial resolution)

Reasons for this:
- 1-D observation system in flight direction – anisotropic error behaviour.
- Temporal aliasing of high frequency signals (< 1 month): Need to be reduced with external models (De-Aliasing); Model errors alias into monthly solutions.

Requirements for future missions:
- Multi-directional observations
- Significantly improved space-time sampling
Guidelines towards Future Gravity Missions

Configuration Flights

- Virtual or dedicated constellations are able to improve spatial and temporal resolution (e.g. Bender pairs) \(^1\)\(^2\).

- Multi-directional observations support increase of spatial resolution.

- Multiple-pairs or configurations support increase of temporal resolution.

- NASA/ESA Interagency Gravity Science Working Group \(^3\): “The full set of user requirements in terms of accuracy and spatial and temporal resolution can only be met by constellations of spaceborne future gravity missions.”
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e.motion - EE8 Mission Proposal

- Proposal due 1.6.2010
- Proposers: J. Johannessen (lead), I. Panet and T. Gruber (coordination & proposal) & a large team from European science, technology & industry *
- Opportunity mission, budget limit: 100 M€ industrial cost for space segment

Mission Configuration

- Science requirements similar to threshold requirements
- Mission duration > 7 years
- Low-low SST concept in a near-polar pendulum configuration at 373 km height
- Instrumentation: LRI with some 10’s of nm; ACC with $10^{-11}$ m/s$^2$ with 3 high sensitive axes; Drag reduction needed; GNSS, Star cameras ...

Simulations

- Noise-free **numerical simulation results** - Impact of pendulum versus co-planar configuration
- Pendulum orbit **improves homogeneity** of gravity field solutions and **reduction of stripes**

Recovery errors for conventional satellite pair in polar orbit (left) and pendulum configuration (right). The figures show dimensionless residuals obtained from a noise free simulation, scaled to the maximum residuals of the left figure (±1 mean maximum/minimum difference).

Proposal Evaluation

- Strong science; Insufficient technological maturity; Unrealistic budget estimation
- Not recommended to be chosen for a phase A study.
Preparatory study for EE9 call funded by DLR (July 2014)

Study lead: T. Gruber, M. Murböck (TUM); Contributors: Team from German science, technology & industry *

Study included science requirements, orbit configuration, instrument and attitude control systems and simulations

**Mission Configuration**

- Science requirements equal to threshold requirements
- Monthly down to weekly and shorter temporal resolution
- Mission duration up to 10 years
- Double low-low SST pair (Bender) at 420 km height, 1 polar pair, 1 inclined pair (70 deg.)
- Instrumentation: LRI with 25 nm; ACC with $4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m/s}^2$; Drag reduction foreseen; GNSS, Star cameras ...

---

e.motion² – EE9 Mission Proposal

- Proposers: T. Gruber & I. Panet (lead), & a large team from European science, technology & industry partners
- Opportunity mission, budget limit: 120 M€ industrial cost for space segment (revised call 150 M€)

Mission Configuration

- Science requirements address target requirements (as a minimum threshold requirements)
- **Monthly down to daily** temporal resolution (observe high-frequency mass variations instead of de-aliasing)
- Mission duration 5 years (minimum) 10 years (extended)
- Double low-low SST pair (Bender) with 2 options
- Instrumentation: LRI with 25 nm; ACC with 4x10⁻¹¹ m/s²; Drag reduction foreseen; GNSS, Star cameras ...
## e.motion$^2$ – EE9 Mission Proposal

### Mission Configuration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>e.motion$^2$ (Option 1)</th>
<th>e.motion$^2$ (Option 2)</th>
<th>GRACE-FO</th>
<th>GRACE-II (Option 1)</th>
<th>GRACE-II (Option 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation Concept</td>
<td>SST-II with LRI, ACC for non-gravitational forces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination</td>
<td>70$^0$</td>
<td>115$^0$</td>
<td>near-polar</td>
<td>near-polar</td>
<td>near-polar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit Height</td>
<td>~420 km</td>
<td>~342 km</td>
<td>~480 km at launch</td>
<td>~420 km</td>
<td>~362 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat Cycle</td>
<td>Yes (31d)</td>
<td>Yes (29d)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Yes (31d)</td>
<td>Yes (11d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Lifetime</td>
<td>2023-2028</td>
<td>2023-2028</td>
<td>2018-2023</td>
<td>2025-2030</td>
<td>2025-2030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended Lifetime</td>
<td>2023-2033</td>
<td>2023-2033</td>
<td>2018-2028</td>
<td>2025-2035</td>
<td>2025-2035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constellation Scenario</th>
<th>Inclined pair</th>
<th>Polar pair</th>
<th>Overlap Period Nominal</th>
<th>Overlap Period Extended</th>
<th>Science Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1-GFO</td>
<td>e.motion$^2$ Option 1</td>
<td>GRACE-FO</td>
<td>2023 - 2023</td>
<td>2023 - 2028</td>
<td>2 times threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1-GRII1</td>
<td>e.motion$^2$ Option 1</td>
<td>GRACE-II Option 1</td>
<td>2025 - 2028</td>
<td>2025 - 2033</td>
<td>threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2-GRII2</td>
<td>e.motion$^2$ Option 2</td>
<td>GRACE-II Option 2</td>
<td>2025 - 2028</td>
<td>2025 - 2033</td>
<td>target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Simulation Results**

Formal error triangle plots (top) and spatial covariance functions (bottom) for scenario E2GRII2 polar pair, inclined pair (70°), polar & inclined pair.
Simulation Results

Cumulative geoid height differences of true minus recovered signal in [cm] of mass transport model

Proposal Evaluation

- The e.motion$^2$ mission qualifies as an Earth Explorer. The dual pair constellation provides a unique capability and new science opportunities whilst sustaining present day results from GRACE at a lower spatial and temporal resolution. The e.motion$^2$ mission could prepare as precursor the way for a sustained operational constellation concept.
- Not recommended to be chosen for a phase A study for programmatic reasons (budget)
- No resubmission of proposal for revised call for programmatic reasons (budget, 2nd pair)
MOBILE – EE10 Mission Idea

- Proposal for mission idea due 2.3.2018
- Core mission, budget limit: 225 M€ industrial cost for space segment

Boundary Conditions for this Call
- Vega-C launch configuration (baseline), 2027/28
- In-line with key elements of ESA Earth Observation Science Strategy
- High degree of innovation
- SRL 5 to be achieved at the end of Phase A (end 2020), TRL 5 to be achieved at the end of phase B1 (mid 2022)

Why a new Concept?
- Is one SST pair with LRI technology innovative enough and/or is a pendulum mission an option?
- Is a 2nd pair affordable? Is somebody else providing the 1st pair?
- Alternative configurations with smaller/cheaper satellites, scalable and sustainable?
MOBILE – EE10 Mission Idea

Mission Design

- High-low inter-sat. ranging between Mean-Earth Orbiters (MEO) and Low-Earth Orbiter (LEO) with µm accuracy.
- Minimum configuration: 2 MEOs (~10,000 km) and 1 LEO (~350-400 km), in polar orbits to maintain stable configuration (no relative drift of orbit planes).
- Main instrument: LRI placed at the LEO. MEOs: passive reflectors (or transponders).
- All satellites are equipped with accelerometers.

Proposal Evaluation

- The scientific requirements are well justified and fully traceable.
- MOBILE is innovative and will contribute to development of stable laser technology, phase measurement interferometric technologies and high performance inertial sensors.
- Although of high scientific merit, the mission is to be studied in Phase-0 due to technical and programmatic risks not recommended.
Future Mission Concepts: Potential for Improvements

1. New/improved measurement technologies
   - Improved Inter-satellite ranging: KBR vs. LRI
   - Improved technologies of accelerometry/gradiometry
   - High-precision optical
   - Improved thruster technology

2. Satellite formations
   - Improved spatial and temporal resolution
     - by means of improved spatial-temporal parameterization
   - Improved spatial and temporal resolution due to formation flights in a certain constellation

3. Processing & combination with complementary geophysical models
   - by means of improved spatial-temporal parameterization
   - Improved separation of signals due to complementary information
   - Integrate models of the complex system Earth