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Abstract

Structures with periodically arranged resonators have attracted attention within vibroacoustics recently. These periodic structures attenuate wave propagation in a defined frequency range. Engineers can tune the resonators to produce structures with a desired band gap behavior. The majority of the established stop band materials is produced by additive manufacturing today. While promising scientific results have been achieved with this method, it is not yet fit for industrial applications. In order to develop new manufacturing approaches and to close the gap between science and industry, the influence of uncertainties of periodic structures has to be quantified. In this study, the influence of geometrical uncertainties on periodic structures is investigated. The authors develop a finite element model of an epoxy plate with beam resonators for this purpose. In a parameter study, the influence of some parameters is identified. Afterwards, the behavior of stop band material with uncertain input parameters is studied using spectral stochastic methods. Having predefined probability density functions, the generalized polynomial chaos expansion is used to propagate the uncertainty of these parameters. The stop band behavior is accordingly represented by the generalized polynomial chaos having unknown deterministic coefficients. A collocation-based stochastic simulation is used to estimate the coefficients employing the deterministic finite element model as a black-box. The results show the necessity of regarding uncertainties in periodic structures. The performed stochastic simulations are suitable to define manufacturing tolerances for the production of stop band material.

1. Introduction

During recent years, acoustic metamaterials received growing attention due to their possibility to gain acoustic properties which can not be found in nature. In particular, the possibility to attain structures with band gap behavior is useful in applications with vibroacoustic issues. A sonic crystal by locally resonant material is presented by [1] where rubber coated spheres of lead are embedded in an epoxy matrix to attain a three dimensional lattice. Thus, high sound transmission loss can be achieved at certain frequencies. Flexural waves in thin plates with spring-mass resonators are investigated in [2]. It is shown that wave propagation can be attenuated by locally attached spring-mass resonators. The behavior of different excitations is investigated with regard to the vibration transmission. Similar structures have been considered with regard to the sound transmission loss in [3]. They demonstrated that the frequency band of increased sound transmission loss in a metamaterial-based plate can be broadened significantly by replacing a single resonator in each unit cell with multiple smaller appropriately damped resonators. The effect of periodic, tuned resonators with regard to vibroacoustics is investigated in the studies [4,5]. The stop band behavior of a sandwich plate with a stepped resonator has been explained in [6]. It has been shown that the sound transmission of the sandwich plate is significantly reduced within the stop band of the structure. The studies [7,8] investigate the attenuation of structural vibrations in a duct by applying locally resonant metamaterials. It is shown that the combination of different resonator configurations in one structure can lead to combined stop bands if they are appropriately designed. In [9], an approach using topology optimization for acoustic metamaterial is presented. In [10], it is shown that resonant stop band material is suitable to reduce mechanical cross-coupling in phased array transducers. Another approach realizing
acoustic metamaterial is using a very thin membrane having adjustable concentrated masses, known as membrane-type acoustic metamaterials [11,12]. Further approaches to realize structures with band gap behavior are presented in literature like [13–15].

Summarizing the results found in literature, stop band materials which are achieved with periodic structures of tuned resonators are a suitable solution for vibroacoustic applications. However, the application of these structures in industrial sectors is quite small. One reason for this is the missing evaluation of the influence of uncertainties. One of the few works is presented by [16] addressing seismic metamaterials with the focus of robust-to-uncertainties optimal design. The influence of point defects in a phononic crystal Timoshenko beam is investigated in [17]. In [18], the influence of point defects in periodic crystal thin plates is investigated. It is shown that the defect modes existing in the first band gap depend strongly on the size of the point defect and the filling fraction of the system. In [19], the wave finite element method is employed to investigate a structure with one-dimensional periodicity which is locally perturbed. The applied approach is based on the method presented in [20]. However, the impact of parameter uncertainty, e.g., manufacturing tolerances for structures with two-dimensional periodicity has not been investigated. Up to now, no possibility to specify tolerances for the manufacturing of periodically arranged acoustic metamaterial is discussed in literature. An effective method to overcome such drawback is to use stochastic analysis in the design stage, as introduced in this paper.

Uncertainty quantification in such material can be investigated using two techniques, i.e., sampling and non-sampling based methods. Monte Carlo simulation plays a major role in the former method in which large number of samples of uncertain parameters are required to realize the structure responses [21,19]. Though even the method is straightforward to use, it suffers the lack of fast convergence for large uncertainty and is ineffective in terms of computational time for a large number of parameter realizations. The latter, in contrast, shows reasonable accuracy and computational efficiency for representation of parameter uncertainty. The method is based on a radically different approach, namely constructing the functional dependence expressed in terms of spectral decomposition with unknown coefficients and orthogonal polynomial basis [22–27]. Among various available non-sampling techniques, the generalized Polynomial Chaos (gPC) expansion method has received considerable attention, particularly for non-Gaussian random variables [28,29]. The gPC is able to represent uncertainties in parameters as an expansion of orthogonal polynomials of standard random variables. Here, in this paper, this method is applied to propagate uncertain input parameters in acoustic metamaterials. The results show that uncertainty in the geometry of resonators leads to a randomly distributed band gap. Based on these results, manufacturing tolerances of the periodic structure can be tolerated to attain a band gap within a certain frequency band.

This work is structured as follows: initially, we focus on the theoretical background of wave finite element method. In Section 3, an introduction to the spectral discretization of uncertain parameters is given. In an explanatory example, the application of the gPC is demonstrated. The finite element model is presented in Section 4. The band gap behavior and the results of the uncertainty quantification are given in Section 5. Finally, a conclusion is drawn.

### 2. Dispersion in periodic, infinite structures

In this section, the employed method to calculate the dispersion relations in periodic structures applying the Bloch theorem is described. The dynamic behavior of periodic structures can be described by dispersion relations to characterize the wave propagation in such structures. A possible method to obtain the dispersion relations is an approach where the Bloch theorem is applied. The wave propagation can be described in a so called unit cell which is repeated infinitely [30]. A detailed elaboration of the theory, known as wave finite element method, can be found in [31–33]. For freely propagating, time harmonic waves with angular frequency \( \omega \) a response variable \( \psi \) can be expressed by

\[
\psi = W e^{i(\omega t - k_x x - k_y y)},
\]

with \( W \) describing the wave mode through the thickness of the structure and \( k_x, k_y \) being the components of the wavenumber in \( x \) and \( y \) direction. This can be obtained by employing finite element methods. Thus, the motion is described by a finite number of generalized displacements \( \mathbf{q} \). In case of one-dimensional periodicity, \( \mathbf{q} = [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2]^T \) contains the generalized displacements at nodes 1 and 2, cf. Fig. 1(a).

According to Bloch theorem, the displacements are related to each other by [31]

\[
\mathbf{q}_2 = \lambda_x \mathbf{q}_1,
\]

with

\[
\lambda_x = e^{-i k_x l_x} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_x = k_x l_x,
\]

where \( l_x \) and \( \mu_x \) represent the length of the periodic lattice and the propagation constant in the direction of periodicity, respectively. Thus, the generalized displacement vector can be described dependent on \( \mathbf{q}_1 \)

\[
\mathbf{q} = \Lambda_\mathbf{q}_1 \quad \text{with} \quad \Lambda_\mathbf{q} = [I \quad \lambda_x I]^T.
\]

The generalized displacements are related to generalized forces in the absence of damping by

\[
[K - \omega^2 M] \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{f},
\]

with \( K, M \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) being the stiffness matrix, mass matrix, and force vector, respectively. Inserting Eq. (4) into Eq. (5) leads to

\[
[K - \omega^2 M] \Lambda_\mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}_1 = \mathbf{f}.
\]

Assuming zero forces at the node 1

\[
\Lambda_\mathbf{q} \mathbf{f} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \Lambda_\mathbf{q} = [I \quad \lambda_x^{-1} I]
\]

gives a reduced eigenvalue problem with

\[
[K - \omega^2 M] \Lambda_\mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}_1 = 0.
\]

This eigenvalue problem can be solved for \( \omega \) which is a function of the propagation constant \( \mu_x \). The solutions for \( \omega(\mu_x) \) characterize the free wave propagation in the periodic structure. This approach can be applied easily to two-dimensional periodicity, cf. Fig. 1 (b). Thus, the vector of generalized displacements changes to

\[
\mathbf{q} = [\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_4]^T.
\]

Consequently, the reduction matrices are given by

\[
\Lambda_\mathbf{q} = [I \quad \lambda_x I \quad \lambda_y I \quad \lambda_x \lambda_y I]^T \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_\mathbf{q} = [I \quad \lambda_x^{-1} I \quad \lambda_y^{-1} I \quad \lambda_x^{-1} \lambda_y^{-1} I]^T.
\]

with

\[
\lambda_x = e^{-i k_x t_x} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_y = e^{-i k_y t_y}.
\]

In equivalence to \( \mu_x \), the propagation constant in \( y \) direction is defined by

\[
\mu_y = k_y t_y.
\]
As \( \omega \left( \mu_x, \mu_y \right) \) is a function of the propagation constants \( \mu_x, \mu_y \) in case of two dimensional periodicity, the eigenvalue problem has to be solved for any combination of the propagation vectors in order to obtain a dispersion surface. Due to the periodicity of the structure, only the first Brillouin zone (BZ) with \( \mu_x, \mu_y = [-\pi, \pi] \) needs to be considered to identify band gaps [34]. Dependent on the symmetry of the unit cell, the calculation of the band gap can be reduced to the contour of a part of the BZ, the so called irreducible Brillouin zone (IBZ). Thus, the computational effort to identify band gaps can be reduced. In case of asymmetric unit cells, special attention must be shown to the definition of the IBZ as shown in [35]. The relevance for the present study is further discussed in Section 5.2.

3. Spectral discretization of uncertain parameters

In the following section, the applied method for the spectral discretization of uncertain parameters is introduced. In the first part, the theoretical background is discussed briefly. Afterwards, an explanatory example on how to apply the gPC is given.

3.1. Theoretical background

The spectral discretization methods are the key advantage for the efficient stochastic reduced basis representation of uncertain parameters in finite element modeling. This is because these methods provide a similar application of the deterministic Galerkin projection and collocation methods to reduce the order of complex systems. In this way, it is common to employ truncated expansions to discretize random input parameters and structural response. The generalized Polynomial Chaos (gPC) expansion permits such a discretization. The idea is that an uncertain quantity of interest can be approximated by summation of polynomials with random independent variables and deterministic coefficients. Thus, a new dimension is generated by the uncertainty which is sought as a set of orthogonal functions. The classical gPC expansion employs Hermite polynomials with multidimensional random variables as a trial basis for the probability space to represent uncertainty. Using this approach, the random parameter \( X \) is expanded as, see [23] for more details

\[
X(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty a_i \Psi_i(\xi)
\]  

(13)

where \( a_i \) are deterministic unknown coefficient functions and \( \Psi_i \) are a set of orthogonal polynomials in terms of the random variable \( \xi \) with the orthogonality relation of

\[
\langle \Psi_i, \Psi_j \rangle = E \left[ \Psi_i \Psi_j^2 \right] = \delta_{ij} = h_i^2 \delta_{ij}
\]  

(14)

in which \( h_i \) is the norm of the polynomial. The unknown deterministic coefficients can be determined using the Galerkin projection as

\[
a_i = \frac{\langle X(\xi), \Psi_i(\xi) \rangle}{\langle \Psi_i(\xi)^2 \rangle} \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]  

(15)

where

\[
\langle X(\xi), \Psi_i(\xi) \rangle = E[ X(\xi), \Psi_i(\xi) ] = \int_\Omega X(\xi) \Psi_i(\xi) f(\xi) d\xi
\]  

(16)

here, \( f \) is the probability density function (PDF) corresponding to the random variable \( \xi \) and \( \Omega \) denotes the random domain. For practical simulation, the gPC is truncated to a finite number of terms, hereafter denoted by \( N \),

\[
X(\xi) \approx \sum_{i=0}^N a_i \Psi_i(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad N = \frac{(n + p)!}{n!p!} - 1
\]  

(17)

in which, \( p \) is the maximum order of the expansion and \( n \) denotes the random dimensionality. While the first few coefficients of parameters with small uncertainty range seem to be enough for accurate gPC representation, higher order expansion is used for an accurate representation of parameters having large uncertainty. Details of numerical simulation using the gPC expansion are given in many works, cf. [28,23,36,37,24,38].

3.2. Explanatory example

In this section, a basic example is given for uncertainty quantification employing the approach of generalized polynomial chaos expansion. The examination for this example as well as for the later presented example with periodic structures follows the workflow shown in Fig. 2. The unknown coefficients of the gPC are calculated using the non-intrusive method, namely employing the stochastic collocation points. It allows the use of a deterministic black box model. Thus, it is suitable to perform the gPC to a wide range of models. For this basic example, the first eigenfrequency of a square plate under completely free boundary conditions is considered as objective of interest. The calculation is done with the classical plate theory. This theory is discussed in detail in [39,40]. The first eigenfrequency \( f_1 \) can be calculated by

\[
f_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \left( \frac{E h^3}{12 \rho (1 - \nu^2)} \right)
\]  

(18)

including the dimensionless frequency parameter \( \lambda \), the edge length \( L \), the Young’s modulus \( E \), the plate thickness \( h \), the volume density \( \rho \) and the Poisson’s ratio \( \nu \). Considering a square plate with completely free boundary conditions and Poisson’s ratio \( \nu = 0.3 \), \( \lambda^2 \) denotes to 13.49 for the first eigenfrequency [40]. In this basic

![Fig. 1. Generalized displacements \( q \) at various points in case of (a) one-dimensional periodicity (b) two-dimensional periodicity, based on [31].](image-url)
example, only one uncertain input parameter, the Young's modulus $E$, is considered for the calculation of the eigenfrequency. The edge length $L = 100$ mm, the plate thickness $h = 2$ mm, and the volume density $\rho = 2.7 \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}^3}$ are deterministic input parameters. The uncertain parameter follows a Beta distribution with the shape factors $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = 4$ and lower and upper limit being 63 GPa and 77 GPa, respectively. The truncation order of the polynomial is chosen to be two. Corresponding to the Beta distribution, Jacobi polynomial provides optimal orthogonality. In the given example, the set of polynomials is $\Psi_{1,1} = 1$, $\Psi_{1,2} = 4\zeta$, and $\Psi_{1,3} = \frac{27\zeta^2 - 1}{3}$. The collocation points $CP$ are obtained by the roots of the third order Jacobi polynomial, resulting in $CP_1 = 0$, $CP_2 = 0.522$, and $CP_3 = -0.522$. In the given example, the unknown deterministic coefficients are identified using the Galerkin projection resulting in $a_{10} = 7 \cdot 10^4$, $a_{11} = 1.357 \cdot 10^4$, and $a_{12} = 0$. As in this example only one uncertain parameter is considered, only one function $X_1$ is present. Employing the input polynomial and the collocation points, three realizations $R_1 = 70000$, $R_2 = 73655$, and $R_3 = 66344$ are obtained. Each of the realization denotes a single input of the Young's modulus for the deterministic black box model. Hence, the eigenfrequency is calculated three times and the results are stored in a solution vector $Sol$. The set of collocation points is introduced in $\psi$, a set which is obtained by the truncation of $n$ polynomials $\Psi_1, \ldots, \Psi_n$. In this way, the matrix $A$ is obtained. In this example, $A$ results in

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000 & 0 & -1.25 \\ 1.0000 & -2.09 & 1.82 \\ 1.0000 & 2.09 & 1.82 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(19)

Solving the equation

$$Sol = Ab$$  

(20)

leads to three coefficients $b_{10} = 93556.19$, $b_{11} = 1170.01$, and $b_{12} = -10.41$. Together with the previous defined polynomials, these coefficients lead to a result function $f$. The number of result functions corresponds to the number of objective functions which are obtained from a single calculation of the black box model. In this example only the first eigenfrequency is obtained from the model. Hence, one result function $f_1 = 9.36 \cdot 10^4 + 4.68 \cdot 10^4 \zeta - 1.17 \cdot 10^2 \zeta^2$ is obtained. This function can now be sampled with the random variable $\zeta$ which follows the previously defined distribution. Finally, the probability density function (PDF) as well as the statistical moments of the resulting function can be calculated. Thus, the influence of an uncertain input parameter, in this example the Young's modulus, is quantified.

Finally, the results obtained from the gPC are compared to results from a classical Monte Carlo simulation, cf. Table 1 and Fig. 3. For the sake of brevity, the theoretical background of the gPC is not fully discussed in this work. In [23], a comprehensive introduction as well as a tutorial to this topic is given.

The example shows the possibility to realize uncertainty quantification with a small number of realizations employing the generalized polynomial chaos expansion. It should be noted that the convergence gets slower when employing a higher number of correlated uncertain input parameters. However, compared to classical Monte Carlo simulations, in most of the cases a faster convergence is obtained anyway.

### 4. Finite element model

The spectral discretization method explained in the previous section allows us to investigate the impact of uncertainties in geometrical parameters of periodic structures on the stop band via a finite element model. Therefore, the test cases unit cell, $2 \times 2$ super cell (SC), $3 \times 3$ SC, and $4 \times 4$ SC are considered. The generic model represents a UC. By applying the Bloch theorem, this structure is assumed to be repeated infinitely in x and y direction, cf. Fig. 4.

As the unit cell is repeated infinitely, the analysis with uncertain inputs in this unit cell can be interpreted as analysis of
different specimens wherein one specimen all periodic structures are identical. This may be useful to identify the influence of manufacturing tolerances which are caused by processes repeated after producing one specimen. However, the changes due to the uncertainty have to be identical for each unit cell within the periodic structure. Another important matter is the consideration of uncertainties within one specimen. As the unit cell is assumed to be repeated infinitely, this is not a suitable model to investigate this question. Therefore, a couple of unit cells are modeled together and is then used as a new unit cell. In adoption to the study [17], this cell is called super cell. In a \( m \times m \) super cell, \( m \) is called the size of the super cell.

The unit cell consists of a plate like structure with an added beam resonator. Its geometry is equal to the example presented in [41,42]. The resonator radius and length are 3.5 mm and 5 mm, respectively. The plate thickness is denoted to 1 mm. The finite element model is meshed with second-order hexagonal elements. In order to obtain a model with a small number of degrees of freedom and little mesh dependency of the results, a mesh convergence study is carried out, applying the guidelines given in [43]. Thus, the finite element model, cf. Fig. 4, shows less than 2% error for the band gap when changing the element edge length to halve. The simulations are carried out with the commercial software Comsol Multiphysics [44]. An epoxy material with Young's modulus \( E = 3500 \) MPa, Poisson's ratio \( \nu = 0.33 \), and mass density \( \rho = 1.14 \) \( \text{g cm}^{-3} \) is considered. The material model is assumed to have linear elastic behavior in accordance to Hooke's law.

The above mentioned FE-models are considered then as blackbox to investigate the impact of parameter uncertainty on the band gap. To this end, the band gap is considered as random output approximated using the gPC expansion with unknown deterministic coefficients. The spectral stochastic method is employed, cf. [37], where a set of collocation points are generated in the random domain. The FE-models are then executed for realizations of samples at each collocation point. Once the realizations are known, a least-squares minimization procedure is used to calculate the
unknown deterministic coefficients. This leads to a closed form for the band gap depending on uncertainties in the random input parameters. The results are presented in the following section.

5. Numerical results

The influence of uncertainty in input parameters on the band gap is investigated. The parameters are assumed as random variables having a predefined PDF. In this study, the uncertainties in parameters are assumed to be characterized by beta distributions owing to the fact that the parameters are defined within a bounded positive range. The boundary values of uncertain input parameters and shape factors of the employed beta distributions, \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), are given in Table 2. By adjusting the boundary values and shape factors, the distributions can be easily adopted to a wide range of uncertain geometrical parameters arising in manufacturing processes.

The width and the position of the band gap are considered as the random outputs of the structures. They are approximated by the gPC expansions having unknown deterministic coefficients and multi-dimensional orthogonal basis. The basis is constructed from the tensor dyadic product of the individual orthogonal polynomials employed as basis of uncertain input parameters, cf. [23] for details.

5.1. Unit cell

In a first step, the deterministic solution of the unit cell model is calculated. Fig. 5 shows the Bravais lattice with the contour of the IBZ \( \Gamma X M T \) and the dispersion curves in a band diagram. The calculation along the contour of the IBZ is suitable due to the symmetry of the unit cell. Referring to the nomenclature of crystallography, the unit cell of this study represents a \( p4mm \) lattice, for details see [45,46]. The probability to obtain the full band gap on the contour of the IBZ is denoted to 99.46% for a \( p4mm \) lattice as shown by numerical test in [35].

The center position of the band gap and the associated width are denoted as \( B_p \) and \( B_w \), respectively, as shown in Fig. 5. The calculation is easily done by

\[
B_p = \frac{B_{ub} + B_{lb}}{2},
\]

with \( B_{lb} \) and \( B_{ub} \) being the lower and upper frequency boundary of the band gap, respectively. The width \( B_w \) is defined by

\[
B_w = B_{ub} - B_{lb}.
\]

Caused by the beam resonator, the periodic structure exhibits a resonant stop band. The band gap center position is obtained at \( B_p = 15370 \, \text{Hz} \) and with a band gap width \( B_w = 3240 \, \text{Hz} \) for the deterministic model. In a parameter study, the influence of different geometrical parameters to the band gap behavior of the structure is analyzed. Therefore, plate thickness, resonator length, and resonator radius are varied in a range from 90% to 110% with regard to the nominal values. The results are shown in Fig. 6. It can be seen that the band gap behavior is sensitive to geometrical changes of the investigated parameters. As a result, the band gap center position shows an almost linear dependency for all three parameters within the investigated ranges. The relation between plate thickness and resonator radius, and band gap center position are characterized by a positive gradient of nearly the same value. In contrast, the resonator length and band gap center position are related by a negative gradient. A similar behavior is found for plate thickness and resonator radius when estimating the band gap width. However, in this case the influence of uncertainty in the resonator radius has higher impact then of the plate thickness. A particular relation is obtained for the sensitivity to uncertainty in the resonator length. Especially when reducing the resonator length, the influence decreases. Furthermore, an extremum is obtained at a band gap width of \( 3300 \, \text{Hz} \).

The PDF of outputs for the unit cell are given in Fig. 7. It seems that the PDFs for the band gap center positions are identical for the three parameters considered as single uncertain inputs due to the fact that they have the same magnitude of the gradient. Concerning the band gap width, the lower influence of the plate thickness compared to the resonator radius results in a lower standard deviation. As in case of the parameter study, a particular behavior is obtained.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uncertain parameter</th>
<th>Boundary values [mm]</th>
<th>distribution factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plate thickness</td>
<td>[0.9, 1.1]</td>
<td>Beta(4, 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resonator length</td>
<td>[4.5, 5.5]</td>
<td>Beta(4, 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resonator radius</td>
<td>[3.15, 3.85]</td>
<td>Beta(6, 6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Bravais lattice with the contour of the irreducible Brillouin zone \( \Gamma X M T \) and the dispersion curves of the unit cell with highlighted band gap center position \( B_p \) and band gap width \( B_w \).
in the PDF for the band width $B_w$ when the resonator length is considered as an uncertain input parameter. The accumulation at a band gap width of 3300 Hz is related to the extremum which is found in the parameter study. In a secondary investigation, a unit cell with two uncertain input parameters, resonator length and radius, is considered. The resulting PDFs show similar mean values for band gap center position and band gap width compared to the investigations with only one uncertain input parameter as given in Table 3. However, taking two uncertain input parameters into account results in an increased standard deviation for both, band gap center position and band gap width. Furthermore, the PDFs show nearly symmetrical behavior. In order to evaluate the results obtained with the gPC, two cases (resonator length and resonator length + radius) have been calculated with a direct Monte Carlo simulation. Therefore, 10000 realization are considered to get a converged result. The results from gPC and Monte Carlo simulation show good accordance with respect to the mean value, standard deviation, and form of the PDF, cf. Fig. 7 and Table 3. As mentioned above, the unit cell is assumed to be repeated infinitely. Thus, the results can be interpreted as an uncertainty quantification in periodic structures where the uncertainty arises between different specimens but not within one specimen. Taking this into account, a scenario where the thickness varies between each specimen seem most likely. Assuming a molding manufacturing process, uncertainties are induced by the closing and opening process between every fabricated specimen. However, also resonator length and resonator radius could change between each specimen depending on the manufacturing process. In such a case, the given model of a unit cell is suitable to quantify the influence of uncertainties to the band gap behavior.

5.2. Super cell

In contrast to unit cells, SCs offer the possibility to consider uncertainties arising within the periodic structure. Here, different independent input parameters are used to quantify the uncertainty in band gaps of resonators. The SC in this form does not show any symmetry. Thus, uncertainties which appear within one specimen are estimated. Applying the Bloch theorem to the SC, it is repeated infinitely as well.

When calculating the band gap behavior in a SC with uncertain input parameters, special attention must be paid to the definition of the applied BZ. It is shown that the possibility to observe a full band gap on the contour of the IBZ strongly depends on the symmetry of the lattice [35]. Generally, a SC can be considered as a new unit cell because periodic boundary conditions are applied to its boundaries. Hence, the findings from [35] must be taken into account. By introducing uncertain input parameters to the geometry of the resonators, the SC does not show symmetry anymore. Hence, it belongs to the plane crystallographic group $p1$. In this case, the presence of a full, omni-directional band gap can only be verified by calculating the entire area of the BZ. This leads to a much higher computational effort to identify full band gaps within the SC. Furthermore, in a SC a larger number of uncertain input parameters is considered. Thus, more realizations are required to solve the gPC expansion. Finally, the computational time to solve the gPC expansion of a SC increases tremendously compared to the unit cell. In order to identify positions and values of the extrema within one dispersion surface, the full area of the BZ is calculated for a SC with the most asymmetrical realization used in the gPC, cf. Fig. 9. This realization is identified by the highest deviation of the center of mass compared the SC with nominal values of geometrical parameters, cf. Section 4.

The three-dimensional plot of the dispersion surfaces of this SC is shown in Fig. 8. Fig. 8 (a) shows the first 16 dispersion surfaces of the structure. A detailed view is given in Fig. 8 (b) in order to provide more insight to the band gap behavior of the structure. Compared to the previous discussed unit cell, a larger number of dispersion surfaces are obtained in the equivalent frequency range. This is the result of a higher number of resonators which results in a higher number of modes when solving the eigenvalue problem, cf. Eq. (8). Thus, the dispersion surfaces within a given frequency range are obtained. Cutting along the contour of the BZ, cf. Fig. 9, would result in a two dimensional band diagram, similar to the
one shown in Fig. 5. However, this would consist of 16 dispersion curves. The band gap is obtained between the 12th and 13th dispersion surface for the $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$ SC. Thus, the band gap is defined by the maximum of dispersion surface 12 and minimum of dispersion surface 13. The minimum and maximum of each dispersion surface are indicated in Fig. 9. They are located on the contour of the first BZ $\Gamma XMY\Gamma$ shown in Fig. 9. This is due to the fact that the deviation from the symmetry criteria is small. Similar results are obtained for the $\frac{3}{\sqrt{3}}$ SC. A detailed investigation of the results shows that all extrema can be found calculating only the points $C, X, M,$ and $Y$.

Based on these results, the band gap calculation for the realization of the gPC expansion is performed only at 4 points of the contour of the BZ $\Gamma XMY\Gamma$. Accordingly, the computational time is reduced significantly. It has to be pointed out that the calculation of the dispersion relations at certain points of the BZ is suitable for the investigated structure with the given distributions of the uncertain input parameters. For different structures and different uncertain input parameters, the results can be found in Table 3.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uncertain input</th>
<th>Mean value $B_p$</th>
<th>Standard deviation $B_w$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>plate thickness</td>
<td>15357</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resonator radius</td>
<td>15383</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resonator length</td>
<td>15382</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resonator length &amp; radius</td>
<td>15406</td>
<td>589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resonator length MC</td>
<td>15376</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resonator length &amp; radius MC</td>
<td>15394</td>
<td>579</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Probability density functions of band gap width and band gap position for various uncertain inputs parameters in a unit cell. Inputs: (a) plate thickness, (b) resonator radius, (c) resonator length, (d) radius and length of resonator, (e) resonator length with Monte Carlo simulation, (f) radius and length with Monte Carlo simulation.
distributions of the uncertain input parameters, it is necessary to prove whether the calculation is required for the full area of the BZ, only along the contour of the BZ, or only at certain point of the contour of the BZ. The results for uncertainty quantification in a unit cell, a $2 \times 2$, $3 \times 3$ and $4 \times 4$ SC are shown in Fig. 10. The resonator length is considered as independent uncertain input. Thus, 4, 9, and 16 uncorrelated resonator lengths with a beta distribution are considered in the $2 \times 2$, $3 \times 3$ and $4 \times 4$ SC, respectively. The mean values and standard deviations are given in Table 4. Analyzing the band gap center position, the deviation of the mean value is less than 1% comparing the different cell types. However, the standard deviation decreases significantly from 2.76% to 0.69% comparing the results from the unit cell and the $4 \times 4$ SC. The band gap width shows different behavior when taking more uncertain input parameters into account. Thus, the mean value of the band gap width is reduced slightly. The standard deviation is decreased from 3.69% in the unit cell to 1.60% in the $4 \times 4$ SC.

Especially, the shape of the PDF changes when taking more uncertain input parameters into account. In order to characterize the obtained output distributions, the 3rd and 4rd central moments are considered, cf. Table 5. Employing the Pearson model of probability density function, it is possible to distinguish different types of distributions, cf. [29]. In the investigated test cases, the skewness of the PDF reduced when taking more uncertain input parameters into account. However, all PDFs are identified having a Pearson type 1 distribution, which is known as a generalized beta distribution. The mean value of the band gap center position shows convergence already for a $2 \times 2$ SC. Regarding the band gap width no clear convergence can be found. However, the analysis of the 3rd and 4rd statistical moment shows negligible differences between the $3 \times 3$ SC and $4 \times 4$ SC. Thus, the $3 \times 3$ SC provides an appropriate approximation of the influence of uncertainties in the investigated case.

5.3. Guidelines to quantify uncertainties in periodic structures

Summarizing the results of this section, the authors provide a guideline to perform uncertainty quantification in periodic structures. While the gPC expansion can be applied straightforward in a unit cell, attention must be paid when using a SC approach especially in case of symmetric unit cells. In this case, a deviation from symmetry criteria is introduced by uncertain parameters. Thus, the
calculation of the band gap can not be reduced to the contour of the BZ as in a symmetric unit cell. The dispersion surfaces must be calculated for the first BZ. In order to reduce the computational effort, this should be done for the most asymmetrical realization required to perform the gPC expansion. In case the extrema to define the band gap are still located on the contour or at some characteristic points, the band gap calculation can be reduced for all realizations required. The workflow is given in Fig. 11. The size \( m \) of the super cell depends on the required approximation quality. Thus, some sort of stop criterion is defined. The size \( m \) is increased until the stop criterion is fulfilled. In case of the presented super cell approach of Section 5.2, a possible stop criterion for the band gap center position \( B_p \) could be

\[
\epsilon < 0.1\% \quad \text{with} \quad \epsilon = \frac{E[B_{p(m+i)-1}] - E[B_{p(m-i)-1}]}{E[B_{p(m-i)-1}]}.
\]

Consequently, the stop criterion is fulfilled with the \( 3 \times 3 \) super cell. However, any other stop criterion would be possible and can be adopted to the requirements of a specific task. In order to reduced the computational effort, new methods for the fast computation of band gaps, like presented in [47] or [48], could be implemented.

### 6. Conclusion

A study on uncertainty quantification in periodic structures has been presented. The investigated structure consists of a plate like part with an added beam resonator. Thus, a band gap is achieved where no free wave propagation is possible. It has been characterized by the center position and the band gap width. In stochastic analysis, the influence of uncertainties in the geometrical parameters plate thickness, resonator radius and resonator length has been investigated. The spectral method based on the gPC is employed to represent uncertainty in parameters as well as in the center position and the width as outputs of finite element simulation. As a results, the presented approach offers a possibility to quantify the influence of uncertainties in periodic structures. The major contributions can be stated as follows:

(i) the gPC expansion is adequately accurate to quantify the influence of uncertain geometrical input parameter in periodic structures,

(ii) super cells consisting of multiple unit cells are suitable to approximate the influence of uncertainties arising within the periodic structure,

(iii) band gap calculation in super cells consisting of symmetrical unit cells can be carried out at certain points of the BZ if deviation from symmetry criteria caused by introduction of uncertainties is low. The presence of band extrema on the BZ contour should be checked prior to the uncertainty quantification. For that, the dispersion surfaces within the full BZ area

---

### Table 4

Mean value and standard deviation from uncertainty quantification in a unit cell and in super cells with resonator length being an uncertain input parameter. The values are given for the band gap position \( B_p \) and the band gap width \( B_w \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uncertain input</th>
<th>Mean value [Hz]</th>
<th>Standard deviation [Hz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC ( B_p )</td>
<td>15382</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_w )</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 \times 2 SC ( B_p )</td>
<td>15407</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_w )</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 \times 3 SC ( B_p )</td>
<td>15470</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_w )</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 \times 4 SC ( B_p )</td>
<td>15473</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_w )</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5

3rd and 4rd standardized moment from uncertainty quantification in a unit cell and in super cells with resonator length being an uncertain input parameter. The values are given for the band gap position \( B_p \) and the band gap width \( B_w \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uncertain input</th>
<th>3rd central moment [-]</th>
<th>4rd central moment [-]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC ( B_p )</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>2.479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_w )</td>
<td>-1.425</td>
<td>4.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 \times 2 SC ( B_p )</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>2.847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_w )</td>
<td>-0.327</td>
<td>2.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 \times 3 SC ( B_p )</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>2.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_w )</td>
<td>-0.650</td>
<td>3.676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 \times 4 SC ( B_p )</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>2.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_w )</td>
<td>-0.544</td>
<td>3.629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 10. Probability density functions of band gap width and band gap center position for a unit cell (UC), 2 \times 2, 3 \times 3, and 4 \times 4 super cell (SC) with 1, 4, 9, and 16 independent, uncertain resonator lengths, respectively.
are calculated for the realization with the highest deviation from symmetry criteria, and (iv) guidelines to apply uncertainty quantification in periodic structures are developed.

The presented approach contributes to close the gap of uncertainty quantification in periodic structures. The obtained PDFs and statistical moments offer a feasible solution to define manufacturing tolerances for periodic structure in order to obtain a band gap behavior within certain requirements. This is important to transfer the promising results shown in scientific studies into industrial applications.
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