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The frequency response of the current-to-wavelength tuning rate (FM response) was measured for two different vertical cavity surface emitting lasers (VCSELs) up to frequencies of 1 MHz: GaAs-based VCSEL (763 nm) and InP-based VCSEL (1853 nm). Both of them show the same qualitative FM response behavior, which can be described by a square root law and therefore cannot be modeled by a first order low pass. The square root law behavior is a significant advantage for laser spectroscopy applications with VCSELs because the decrease of the current-to-wavelength tuning coefficient is less severe as in the case of the first order low pass. © 2007 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2794406]

Vertical cavity surface emitting lasers (VCSELs) have gained increasing importance for numerous optoelectronic applications because of their intrinsic advantages, such as low threshold current and low fabrication cost. While short wavelength VCSELs (<1 μm) have been available for more than a decade, also high-performance InP-based long wavelength (1.3–2.05 μm) VCSELs have recently been developed. As with edge emitting lasers, VCSELs allow tuning of the wavelength via the laser current. Up to several megahertz, the tuning of the wavelength is basically a thermal effect: the current causes heating of the VCSEL chip, and the resulting increase in refractive index of the material increases the laser wavelength. An additional effect that changes the wavelength is the plasma effect, which describes the influence of the carrier density on the wavelength. In the case of a directly modulated VCSEL, the plasma effect is several orders of magnitudes lower than the thermal effect at frequencies up to at least 10 MHz. For small current variations around a bias point, the differential current to wavelength shift behavior is linear. The laser behavior can be considered linear and time invariant with respect to its frequency/wavelength modulation (FM) behavior, which means that a small sinusoidal current variation always results in a sinusoidal wavelength variation. The tuning coefficient given by the ratio of the amplitudes is frequency dependent, and it is obvious that the laser will have a kind of low-pass behavior since heat conduction is an inert process. In the following, the frequency response of the current-to-wavelength tuning rate is defined as “FM response.” The FM response of a laser is of considerable interest for wavelength modulation spectroscopy (WMS) applications, because in this application the wavelength of the emitted light is modulated sinusoidally through the injection current. It is important to know how the current to wavelength efficiency depends on the modulation frequency. For distributed feedback (DFB) lasers/edge emitting lasers, a theory of the FM response and also measurements already exist. In this paper, measurements of the FM response of two different kinds of VCSELs are presented: InP-based 1853 nm VCSEL (VERTILAS) and GaAs-based 763 nm VCSEL (ULM Photonics). Compared to existing measurements for DFB lasers up to 100 kHz, we take more measurement points around cutoff frequency and are able to measure at frequencies up to 1 MHz. The measurement data show that the VCSEL FM response follows an empirical square root law; i.e., the slope of the response above the cutoff frequency is −1/2 in a doubly logarithmic scale.

We apply a sinusoidal injection current with constant amplitude but variable frequency to the VCSEL laser and measure the extent of the sinusoidal wavelength modulation of the emitted light. The frequency is varied from 0.1 kHz to 1 MHz with a variable step size.

The measurements were taken with a WMS method and, as reference, a step-scan spectrometer. The WMS method allows an indirect determination of the sinusoidal wavelength variation amplitude via observing the narrowing of the second harmonic signal. The step-scan spectrometer Vertex 70 (Bruker) uses short-time Fourier transformation (STFT) getting time-resolved spectra with a minimum time resolution of 5 ns. We used the STFT spectrometer to measure about 100 complete spectra at different times in one period of the modulation signal and determined the wavelength modulation amplitude by evaluating the shift of the emission peak.

The performance of the following two different VCSEL structures shown in Figs. 1 and 2 has been studied:

• InP-based VCSEL at 1853 nm, which is packaged in a windowless TO-46 housing and placed on a thermoelectrically cooled laser mount. It can be used to detect HCl, H2O, and CH4. The long-wavelength (λ ≳ 1.3 μm) InP-based VCSEL is described in detail in Ref. 6.
• GaAs-based VCSEL at 763 nm in a TO-5 housing with window and thermoelectric cooler. It can be used to detect O2. The fabrication and performance of this kind of VCSEL is described in Ref. 13.

The heat sink temperature of both VCSELs is kept constant at about 32 °C by a laser controller (Profile LDC 200)
with a precision of 0.01 °C. The current of the laser was controlled by a function generator (Agilent 33250A) with a shunt series resistor. The voltages and the resistance of the shunt were chosen so that a sinusoidal current with the amplitude 373 and 43 μA was applied, respectively, as well as an appropriate bias current of 5.1 and 1.8 mA, respectively. The amplitude of the sinusoidal current is small enough compared to the bias current to assure that the current to wavelength behavior is still linear.

The measured FM responses for both VCSELs are depicted in Figs. 3 and 4. It can clearly be seen that the frequency behavior of both VCSELs follows a square root law, since the slope reaches −1/2 in the doubly logarithmic scale for the 763 nm VCSEL gives $n = 0.52$, which is in very good agreement with the observed square root law. Although the slope parameter for large frequencies for the 1853 nm VCSEL is $n = 0.65$, the measurement data show good agreement with the slope −1/2 in the measured frequency range as well.

The 3 dB cutoff frequencies $\omega_c$ are $\omega_c/(2\pi) = 88$ kHz (1853 nm) and $\omega_c/(2\pi) = 110$ kHz (763 nm).

When we varied the amplitude of the sinusoidal injection current, the behavior of the normalized FM response did not change for both lasers.

We have carried out measurements of the FM response of two different VCSELs, based on different material systems and from different manufacturers. Although both show a low-pass behavior, it clearly does not correspond to a first order low pass, but follows a square root law above cutoff frequency (about 100 kHz). This means that doubling the frequency of the injection current will cause the current to wavelength tuning rate to drop only by about 30% $(1/\sqrt{2})$ instead of 50% as expected by the first order low pass. This is a clear advantage for wavelength modulation spectroscopy applications with VCSELs because the wavelength of the laser can be tuned at rather high frequencies without significant loss of the tuning efficiency compared to DFB lasers. For the 1853 nm VCSEL, the current to wavelength tuning rate of 0.6 nm/mA at 1 kHz drops only to 0.12 nm/mA at 1 MHz. In contrast to VCSELs, the thermal behavior of DFB lasers has a much lower cutoff frequency of about several kilohertz and exhibits a slope of −1 in a logarithmic scaled FM response for high frequencies, although these lasers cannot accurately be described by a first order low pass either. Furthermore, for the same wavelength tuning range, the current consumption of the VCSEL is about one-tenth of the DFB lasers.

The empirical model Eq. (1) and the experimental data are in almost perfect agreement, whereby the exponent $n = 0.5$ allows further adjustment of the exact form of the FM response.

Additionally, we can conclude that the step response of the VCSELs is not an exponential function as with a first order low pass. As a result, the FM cutoff frequency of the VCSELs cannot be determined easily by an exponential curve fit with a measurement of the wavelength step response.
It is remarkable that in spite of their different device structures both VCSELs show nearly the same FM response. Apparently, the square root law behavior of the two different VCSELs is due to general thermal properties of the specific geometry of VCSELs. Therefore, we conjecture that VCSELs with different structures will show a similar square root law behavior as well.
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