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Single Day vs. Consistent Planning

a) Single Day Training Transfer Problem
Which player should be picked up on a training day?
What are the corresponding routes?

b) Consistent Training Transfer Problem (multi period)
Multi period training transfer problem
Tour consistency over the periods
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a) Single Day Training Transfer Problem

Which player should be picked up on a training day?

What are the corresponding tours?

b) Consistent Training Transfer Problem (multi period)

Multi period training transfer problem +

Tour consistency over the periods
Single Day Training Transfer Problem

Problem properties

Training facilities in Sinsheim

~100 players scattered over the region

Daily van schedule

Resource limits:

- Heterogeneous fleet (7 vans)
- Seating capacity (≤ 8 seats)
- Maximum player ride duration (2h)

Pickup priorities

Objective:

Max. aggregated priorities of the picked up players
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Solution to the single day transport problem
Single Day Training Transfer Problem
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Unsatisfied requests due to resource limits
Single Day Training Transfer Problem

**Problem properties**
- Training facilities in Sinsheim
- ~100 players scattered over the region
- Daily van schedule

**Resource limits:**
- Heterogeneous fleet (7 vans)
- Seating capacity (≤ 8 seats)
- Maximum player ride duration (2h)

**Pickup priorities**

**Objective:**
- Max. aggregated priorities of the picked up players

---

**Players**
- U12
- U14
- U17

**Capacity:**
- 5 seats

---

**Priority**
- 5
- 7
- 11

---

**Vans are filled, maximizing the priority**

---
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**Objective:**
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For given capacity limits of the vans:

Which players should be picked up on a training day?

**Vehicle Routing with “Profits”**
Single Day Training Transfer Model (1/4)

**Decision Variables**

Each player corresponds to a node $i$ in the directed graph $G$.

- $x_{i,j,k}$: binary variable equal to 1 if arc $(i, j) \in A$ is traversed by vehicle route $k \in K$, and 0 otherwise.
- $y_{i,k}$: binary variable equal to 1 if vertex $i \in V$ is visited by vehicle route $k \in K$, and 0 otherwise.

**Parameters**

- $c_i$: priority of vertex $i$.
- $B_k$: seating capacity of vehicle $k$.
- $t_{i,j}$: travel time between vertex $i$ and $j$.
- $T_{\text{max}}$: maximum ride duration of a player.
- $\alpha$: travel time weight.
Single Day Training Transfer Model (2/4)

Objective:

\[
\max \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} c_i y_{i,k} - \alpha \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} t_{i,j} x_{i,j,k}
\]  

Aggregated player priorities

Weighted traveling times
Single Day Training Transfer Model (3/4)

Subject to:

\[ \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} x_{i,j,k} = y_{i,k} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V}, k \in \mathcal{K}, \]  

Flow conservation (outgoing)

(2)

\[ \sum_{j \in \mathcal{V}} x_{j,i,k} = y_{i,k} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V}, k \in \mathcal{K}, \]  

Flow conservation (incoming)

(3)

\[ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \{0\}} y_{i,k} \leq B_k \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{K}, \]  

Vehicle seating capacity

(4)

\[ \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} y_{0,k} \leq |K|, \]  

Routes leaving the depot

(5)

Based on Toth & Vigo (2014)
Single Day Training Transfer Model (4/4)

\[ \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} y_{i,k} \leq 1 \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V}\setminus\{0\}, \quad (6) \quad \text{Pickup assignment} \]

\[ \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta^+(S)} x_{i,j,k} \geq y_{h,k} \quad \forall S \subseteq \mathcal{V}\setminus\{0\}, \quad h \in S, k \in \mathcal{K}, \quad (7) \quad \text{Subtour elimination} \]

\[ \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}:i \neq 0} t_{i,j} \cdot x_{i,j,k} \leq T_{\text{max}} \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (8) \quad \text{Maximum player travel time} \]

\[ x_{i,j,k} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall (i, j) \in \mathcal{A}, k \in \mathcal{K}, \quad (9) \quad \text{Domain of x} \]

\[ y_{i,k} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{V}, k \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (10) \quad \text{Domain of y} \]

Based on Toth & Vigo (2014)
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a) Single Day Training Transfer Problem
Which player should be picked up on a training day?
What are the corresponding routes?

b) Consistent Training Transfer Problem (multi period)
Multi period training transfer problem
Tour consistency over periods
Keeping tours consistent across periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Necessity of consistency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Driver has learning effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver / player relationship</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition of consistency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consistency</strong>: Frequent players once included into a tour have to be included into the same tour on each day of the season on which they request a transfer.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This is only one of many possible definitions!
Choosing the right level of consistency

The frequency threshold

Frequency: The number of pickup requests during the season

The more players are considered „frequent“, the higher the tour similarity across training days.

Levels of consistency

\[ K = \max(\text{numberOfRequests}) \]

Number of requests: 0 1 2 \ldots \ K K+1

Strict Consistency

Frequency Threshold

Single Day Problem

Frequent players
Influence of Strict Consistency on the Pickup Decision

**Daily routing:**

Frequency Threshold = $K+1$

**Strict consistency routing:**

Frequency Threshold = 0

Tour Mannheim

Tour Heidelberg

Unfulfilled Request
Solving the Consistent Training Transfer Problem using a Master Template

Algorithm A: Greedy insertion to build the master template

1) Generate a list of frequent players with:
   \[\text{numberOfRequests} > \text{frequencyThreshold}\]

1) Use greedy insertion to assign the frequent players to the master template routes based on minimum travel time increase.

2) Stop once all frequent players have been assigned to a route

Algorithm B: ALNS to solve the daily training transfer problem

1) Remove excess players from the template

2) Fix the pickup decision for the remaining frequent players

3) Add non-frequent players to the template using greedy insertion

4) Use the daily template as initial solution for the ALNS

5) Use the ALNS to solve the daily training transfer problem
# Ongoing Research

## Research questions

The current approach uses frequency as a proxy for consistency

How can we incorporate the pickup location as a proxy for consistency?

How well do these consistencies perform with respect to the pickup objective?