Near-Field Correlation Measurement and Evaluation of Stationary and Cyclostationary Stochastic Electromagnetic Fields

Johannes A. Russer*, Michael Haider*, Mohd H. Baharuddin†, Christopher Smartt†, Sidina Wane‡, Damienne Bajon§, Andrey Baev¶, Yury Kuznetsov†, David Thomas†, and Peter Russer*

*Institute for NanoElectronics, Technische Universität München, Germany
†University of Nottingham, School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nottingham
‡NXP-Semiconductors, Caen-France
§ISAE-Université de Toulouse, Toulouse-France
¶Theoretical Radio Engineering Department, Moscow Aviation Institute, Moscow, Russia

Abstract—We present methods for measurement and evaluation of stationary and cyclostationary stochastic electromagnetic fields. The radiated electromagnetic interference (EMI) of electronic circuitry is recorded by two-point measurements of the time of the electric or magnetic field components and by evaluating the field autocorrelation functions and for each pair of field sampling points also the cross correlation functions. In case of digital circuitry clocked by a single clock pulse, the generated EMI is a cyclostationary process with the expectation values of the EMI being periodically time dependent on the clock frequency and which have to be considered in modeling the EMI.

I. INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic interference (EMI) radiated by electronic circuitry due to switching operation of the active circuit elements degrades the performance of electronic circuits and systems. Modeling the EMI radiated by integrated circuits or circuit boards into a system to be designed, requires the consideration of the stochastic EM field, usually on the basis of the measured radiated EMI of the components, and to establish models based on these measurements. Such models already were discussed in literature [1]–[4]. Sampling of stochastic EM fields and source localization have been addressed in [4]–[7]. Numerical simulation methodologies based on the field auto- and cross correlation spectra were developed [8]–[13].

In digital circuitry switching operation synchronized by a periodic clock generate an EMI described by a cyclostationary (CS) process i.e. a process where the expectation values of the EMI are periodically time dependent on the clock frequency [14]–[18]. This has to be considered in modeling the influence of the EMI. Figure 1 shows an example of a CS random signal and of the time dependence of its variance $\sigma^2(t)$.

II. THE CYCLOSTATIONARY EM FIELD

The time-domain correlation dyadic of two electric field variables $E(x_1, t_1)$ and $E(x_2, t_2)$ at points $x_a$ and $x_b$, at times $t_1$ and $t_2$, respectively is given by $\mathcal{C}_E(x_a, x_b, t_1, t_2) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \left\langle E_T(x_a, t_1) E_T^\dagger(x_b, t_2) \right\rangle$, (1)

where the subscript $T$ denotes the amplitude spectrum of the field time-windowed by a rectangular window covering...
the time interval $[-T,T]$. The tilde $\tilde{\cdot}$ denotes time-domain representation whereas field variables without tilde refer to frequency domain representation.

If the mean value of the field $\mathbf{E}(x,t)$ and also the correlation dyadic describing the second-order statistics are periodic with a period $T_0$, i.e.

$$\langle \mathbf{E}(x,t + T_0) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{E}(x,t) \rangle,$$  (2a)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{E,n}(x_a, x_b, t_1 + T_0, t_2 + T_0) = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_E(x_a, x_b, t_1, t_2),$$  (2b)

the field is said to be second-order cyclostationary in the wide sense [14]–[18].

Applying discrete-time measurement systems yields a discretization of the measurement quantities. A discrete-time second-order random process $X[n] \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined to be cyclostationary with period $N$ if and only if its mean and autocorrelation are periodic [15], [21], [22]:

$$\mu_X[n] \equiv \langle X[n] \rangle = \mu_X[n + kN] \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$  (3)

$$\rho_X[n, m] \equiv \langle X[n]X^*[n - m] \rangle = \rho_X[n + kN, m] \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}. $$  (4)

III. SPECTRAL REPRESENTATION OF THE CS EM FIELD

Expanding the correlation dyadic, periodic in time with $T_0$, into a Fourier series yields

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_E(x_a, x_b, t, t - \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{E,n}(x_a, x_b, \tau)e^{jn\omega_0 t},$$  (5)

with $\omega_0 = 2\pi/T_0$. The Fourier coefficients

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{E,n}(x_a, x_b, \tau) = \frac{1}{T_0} \int_{-T_0/2}^{T_0/2} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_E(x_a, x_b, t, t - \tau)e^{-jn\omega_0 t}dt$$  (6)

are referred to as the cyclic time-domain autocorrelation dyadics and the frequencies $nf_0 = n\omega_0/2\pi$ are the so-called cycle frequencies [15], [19]. The cyclic correlation spectrum (CCS) of the electric field $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_E(x_a, x_b, \omega)$ is given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{E,n}(x_a, x_b, \omega) = \int \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{E,n}(x_a, x_b, \tau)e^{-jn\omega_0 \tau}d\omega.$$  (7)

The inverse Fourier transform yields the cyclic time-domain correlation dyadic

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{E,n}(x_a, x_b, \omega) = \int \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{E,n}(x_a, x_b, \omega)e^{jn\omega_0 \tau}d\omega.$$  (8)

IV. EXCITATION OF A CS EM FIELD

Consider point-like CS stochastic EMI sources $S_i$ arranged as shown in Fig. 2. Describing a deterministic source current distribution by the source current density $\mathbf{J}(x, \omega)$, the excited electric field $\mathbf{E}(x, \omega)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{E}(x, \omega) = \int_V G_{EF}(x - x', \omega)\mathbf{J}(x', \omega)d^3x',$$  (9)

where $G_{EF}(x - x', \omega)$ is the Green’s dyadic relating the excited electric field $\mathbf{E}(x, \omega)$ to the source current density, and the integration is extended over the whole volume $V$ where

![Fig. 2: EMI sources sampled at a scan plane [10.]](image)

$\mathbf{J}(x, \omega)$ is non-vanishing [4], [10]. We obtain from (8) and (9) the relation cyclic correlation spectra of the excitation current density and the excited electric field as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{E,n}(x_a, x_b, \omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_V G_{EF}(x_a - x'_a, \omega)\times$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} l_i\delta(x_a - x_i)\Omega_{i}^{T}e^{-jn\omega_0 t}dt,$$  (10)

with

$$g_1(x, \beta) = -JZ_{F0}\beta^2 \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\beta|\mathbf{x}|^2} + \frac{3}{\beta^3|\mathbf{x}|^4} - \frac{1}{\beta^3|\mathbf{x}|^4}\right],$$  (12a)

$$g_2(x, \beta) = \frac{JZ_{F0}\beta^2}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\beta|\mathbf{x}|^2} + \frac{3}{\beta^3|\mathbf{x}|^4} - \frac{3}{\beta^3|\mathbf{x}|^4}\right],$$  (12b)

where $Z_{F0} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0/\mu_0}$ is the free space wave impedance, and the $T$ denotes the transpose of the vector.

V. CORRELATION OF THE SIGNALS MEASURED BY TWO PROBES IN THE NEAR-FIELD

Following [9], [10] we extend the method applied for the modeling of stationary electromagnetic fields to the investigation of CS electromagnetic fields. The field of localized point-like CS stochastic source currents at the locations $x_{\mu}$ is represented by the CCS dyadic

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{J,n}(x_a, x_b, \omega) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} l_{\mu}l_{\nu}C_{I,n,\mu\nu}(\omega)\Omega_{\mu}^{T}e^{-jn\omega_0 t}dt,$$  (11)

with

$$C_{I,n,\mu\nu}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C_{I,n,\mu\nu}(\tau)e^{jn\omega_0 \tau}d\tau,$$  (14)

where the cyclic correlation time-domain matrix elements are

$$c_{I,n,\mu\nu}(\tau) = \frac{1}{T_0} \int_{-T_0/2}^{T_0/2} \langle i\tau \cdot \mathbf{T}_t(t)\rangle_{\tau_0}e^{-jn\omega_0 t}dt.$$  (15)
Finally, with (10) we obtain the CCS dyadic of the stochastic electric field vectors at the points $x_a$ and $x_b$ as

$$\Gamma_{E,n}(x_a, x_b, \omega) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} l_\mu l_\nu C_{I,n,\mu\nu}(\omega) \times$$

$$G_{EJ}(x_a - x_\mu, \omega) \Omega_\mu \Omega_\nu^T G_{EJ}^\dagger(x_b - x_\nu, \omega - n\omega_0).$$

VI. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION AND RESULTS

Initial measurements are presented in this paper for the purpose of investigating the structure of the emission spectra so as to try and design efficient means of characterizing the field-field correlation spectrum of real devices by measurement. Initially, a single probe scan above a PCB is performed to establish the location of major sources of radiation. Two Langer EMV-Technik RF R50-1 magnetic field probes are connected to the input ports of an Agilent MSO8104A digital time domain oscilloscope. Figure 3 shows the two probe scanning measurement in progress for an Arduino Galileo board. Figure 4 shows a typical realization of the stochastic signal measured by a near-field probe of the tangential magnetic field radiated by the Arduino Galileo board which is programmed for data transfer between central processor unit (CPU) and internal memory.

The structure of the measured realization reveals the presence of a regularity in the measured signal and presumes cyclostationary properties of the observed stochastic process.

Implementation of the ensemble averaging procedure assumes the necessity of a synchronization with the periodic process intrinsic to the technology for data transfer in digital devices. The synchronized ensemble of the measured realizations and its mean, which is a time dependent function, are shown in the Fig. 5.

The information region of the periodic autocorrelation function (4) of the cyclostationary stochastic process is presented in Fig. 6. This two-dimensional function shows the dependence of the autocorrelation from global time and relative time shift of the stochastic process. The periodic correlation function can be represented by Fourier series expansion assuming that $N$ is odd as

$$\rho_X[n, m] = \frac{(N-1)/2}{l=-(N-1)/2} \sum_{l=-(N-1)/2}^{(N-1)/2} R^l_X[m] e^{j2\pi lm/N},$$

where $R^l_X[m]$ are Fourier series coefficients

$$R^l_X[m] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=-(N-1)/2}^{(N-1)/2} \rho_X[n, m] e^{-j2\pi l m/N}.$$
series transform of the cyclic autocorrelation function gives the spectral correlation density function as

$$S_X[v] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=-(N-1)/2}^{(N-1)/2} R_X[m] e^{-j \frac{2\pi \nu m}{N}},$$

where $\nu$ is a discrete frequency. This function, graphed in Fig. 8, represents the spectral correlation of global (horizontal axis) and relative cyclic frequencies content. In particular, spectral correlation reveals a coherent clock frequency of 400 MHz and its harmonics (vertical red lines) while cyclic auto-correlation function in Fig. 6 represents only cyclic periodic properties of the cyclostationary process. The experimental results demonstrate the ability of parameter estimation for the radiated emissions by using the cyclostationary stochastic characteristics obtained from the measured random signals in the near-field region of the digital device.

VII. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

We presented measurements and analysis of correlation data of CS noisy EM fields. We have extended the near-field correlation measurement methods to CS EM fields and could provide, based on these methods, parameter estimation for CS processes on the investigated Adruino microcontroller board.
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