



Published in:
Analytical Biochemistry (2014), 445:41-48

The final publication is available at Elsevier via <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2013.09.029>

4 **Quantitation of glutathione and its oxidation**
5 **products in erythrocytes by multiple label**
6 **stable isotope dilution**

7 Julia Reinbold^{a)}, Peter Koehler^{a)} and Michael Rychlik ^{*b,c)}

8 ^{a)} German Research Center for Food Chemistry | Leibniz Institute, Lise-
9 Meitner-Strasse 34, D-85354 Freising, Germany

10 ^{b)} Chair of Analytical Food Chemistry, Technical University of Munich,
11 Alte Akademie 10, D-85350 Freising, Germany

12 ^{c)} BIOANALYTIK Weihenstephan, ZIEL Research Center for Nutrition
13 and Food Sciences, Technische Universität München, Alte Akademie 10,
14 D-85354 Freising, Germany

15 Phone +49-8161-71 3153 Fax +49-8161-71 4216

16 E-mail michael.rychlik@tum.de

17 * to whom correspondence should be addressed



18
19 © 2014. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license
20 <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

21

22 **ABSTRACT**

23 A multiple-label stable isotope dilution assay for quantifying glutathione, glutathione
24 disulfide and glutathione sulfonic acid in erythrocytes was developed. As the internal
25 standards, [$^{13}\text{C}_3, ^{15}\text{N}$]-glutathione, [$^{13}\text{C}_4, ^{15}\text{N}_2$]-glutathione disulfide and [$^{13}\text{C}_3, ^{15}\text{N}$]-
26 glutathione sulfonic acid were used. Analytes and internal standards were detected
27 by LC-MS/MS after derivatization of GSH with iodoacetic acid and dansylation of all
28 compounds under study. The calibration functions for all analytes relative to their
29 respective isotopologic standards revealed slopes close to 1.0 and negligible
30 intercepts. As different labelings of the standards for GSH and GSSG were used,
31 their simultaneous quantitation was possible although GSH was partly oxidized to its
32 disulfide during analysis. The degree of this artifact formation of GSSG was
33 calculated from the abundance of the mixed disulfide formed from unlabeled GSH
34 and its respective standard. Thus, the detected GSSG amount could be corrected for
35 the artifact amount. In this way, the amount of GSSG in erythrocytes was found to be
36 less than 0.5 % of the GSH concentration. Similarly to GSSG, the detected amount of
37 glutathione sulfonic acid was found to be formed at least in part during the analytical
38 process, but the degree could not be quantified.

39

40 *Key words:* Artifact monitoring; Erythrocytes; Glutathione; Glutathione
41 disulfide; Glutathione sulfonic acid; LC-MS/MS; Multiple
42 isotope labeling; Stable isotope dilution assay

43

44

45 ABBREVIATIONS

46 CID collision-induced dissociation

47 Dansyl, 1-diaminonaphthalenesulfonyl

48 Dans-Cl, 1-diaminonaphthalenesulfonyl chloride

49 DCM, dichloromethane

50 ESI, electrospray ionization

51 GSH, glutathione

52 GSSG, glutathione disulfide

53 GSO₃H, glutathione sulfonic acid

54 GSH *M+n*, isotopologue of glutathione showing a mass increment of *+n* u compared
55 to the mass of the GSH isotopologue consisting solely of [¹²C], [¹H], [¹⁶O], [¹⁴N], and
56 [³²S]

57 GSSG *M+n*, isotopologue of glutathione disulfide showing a mass increment of *+n* u
58 compared to the mass of the GSSG isotopologue consisting solely of [¹²C], [¹H],
59 [¹⁶O], [¹⁴N], and [³²S]

60 GSO₃H *M+n*, isotopologue of glutathione sulfonic acid showing a mass increment of
61 *+n* u compared to the mass of the GSO₃H isotopologue consisting solely of [¹²C],
62 [¹H], [¹⁶O], [¹⁴N], and [³²S]

63 HPLC-UV, high pressure liquid chromatography-ultraviolet spectrometry

64 IAA, iodoacetic acid

65 LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry

66 NEM, N-ethylmaleimide

67 PCA, perchloric acid

68 SD, standard deviation

69 SIDA, stable isotope dilution assay

70

71 INTRODUCTION

72 The tripeptide glutathione (γ -glutamyl-cysteinyl-glycine, GSH) plays a central role in
73 physiology for (1) maintaining the redox status of cells along with its oxidized form
74 GSSG, for (2) conjugation of toxic compounds, and for (3) acting as coenzyme for
75 many enzymes such as glutathione peroxidase or glutathione dehydrogenase
76 (ascorbate) with the latter producing ascorbate from dehydroascorbate as another
77 coenzyme. Moreover, GSH is considered to be partly the sulfur reserve in plant
78 seeds such as wheat kernels. Due to its vital importance for animals, GSH status in
79 tissues is strongly regulated and a decrease in GSH has been associated with wide-
80 spread diseases such as diabetes, cancer, AIDS or neurodegenerative disorders [1].
81 However, as functional tissue is hardly accessible to be analyzed, a straightforward
82 alternative to tissue sampling is the GSH analysis of blood, which has been
83 confirmed to reflect the status of other tissues [2-4]. Therefore, GSH quantitation in
84 blood is very meaningful in clinical diagnosis and investigations of many diseases. In
85 mammals, the main portion of GSH in blood circulation is located in erythrocytes.
86 However, the percentage of GSH being present in plasma is in dispute due to
87 differing results of several analytical studies.

88 The first analytical assays were based on the reaction catalyzed by glutathione
89 reductase [5], but they often were restricted to measure the sum of oxidized and
90 reduced GSH. Differentiation of glutathione forms required derivatization of the thiol
91 group, which interfered with the enzyme reaction [6]. Therefore, chromatographic
92 methods were developed with different approaches to prevent the thiol group from
93 being oxidized. These reagents included N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) [7], iodoacetic acid
94 (IAA) [8], 5-iodoacetamidfluorescein [9], phthalimide [10] and dithionitrobenzoate
95 [11].

96 Determination of GSH and GSSG in different tissues recently has been the aim of
97 several studies applying LC-MS. These investigations included either methods using
98 stable isotope-labeled internal standards for dermal cells [12] and blood [13] or those
99 using labeled internal standards in different cells [14] and the yeast *Pichia pastoris*
100 [15].

101 However, in blood GSH oxidation can occur already during or directly after sampling,
102 which requires careful sample preparation. Immediate cooling has to be followed by
103 erythrocyte separation as plasma proteins have been shown to oxidize GSH [11]. A
104 further important cleanup step is deproteinization, which may be achieved by
105 treatment with 5-sulfosalicylic acid [7], trichloroacetic acid [11], meta-phosphoric acid
106 [10], acetonitrile [9] or ultrafiltration [16]. Following these different procedures,
107 erythrocytes were found to contain GSH in a range between 950 and 2440 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ [9,
108 17]. However, the GSSG concentrations of red blood cells encompassed a
109 significantly lower range between 3.6 and 190 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ [10, 18].

110 Recently we developed a stable isotope dilution assay (SIDA) for accurate
111 quantitation of total GSH in cereals [19] with the use of L- γ -glutamyl-L-[$^{13}\text{C}_3$, ^{15}N]-
112 cysteinyl-glycine as the internal standard. The method consisted of the extraction and
113 reduction of flour with tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine after the addition of the internal
114 standard, followed by protection of free thiol groups with iodoacetic acid,
115 derivatization of free amino acids with dansyl chloride and LC-MS/MS. Therefore, the
116 goal of the present study was to adjust this assay also to the quantitation of GSH in
117 blood.

118

119

120 MATERIALS AND METHODS

121 **Reagents**

122 Acetonitrile Lichrosolv, formic acid (purity of 98-100%), methanol Lichrosolv,
123 dichloromethane (distilled; DCM), glutathione (reduced), glutathione disulfide ,
124 hydrogen peroxide, lithium hydroxide, and sodium chloride were obtained from
125 Merck, Darmstadt, Germany. Boric acid was purchased from Serva, Heidelberg,
126 Germany. Iodoacetic acid (IAA), and perchloric acid (PCA) were obtained from Fluka,
127 Steinheim, Germany and 1-diaminonaphthalenesulfonyl chloride (dansyl chloride;
128 Dans-Cl) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany. All reagents were
129 of p.a. or higher grade. All standard solutions and aqueous solvents were prepared
130 with water purified by a Milli-Q system (Millipore GmbH, Schwalbach, Germany).

131

132 **Standard substances**

133 γ -Glutamyl-[$^{13}\text{C}_3$, ^{15}N]-cysteinyl-glycine, -[$^{13}\text{C}_3$, ^{15}N]-glutathione (GSH *M+4*; reduced,
134 isotopic purity 90%) and γ -Glutamyl-cysteinyl-[$^{13}\text{C}_2$, ^{15}N]-glycine disulfide, [$^{13}\text{C}_4$, $^{15}\text{N}_2$]-
135 glutathione disulfide (GSSG *M+6*, isotopic purity 85 %) were prepared (chemical
136 purity of both exceeding 90 %) and characterized as described previously [19].
137 Glutathione sulfonic acid (unlabeled) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Steinheim,
138 Germany.

139

140 **Erythrocytes**

141 Whole blood from healthy volunteers was collected in heparinized tubes (Vacuette,
142 Greiner bio-one, Kremsmünster, Austria). Immediately after collecting, erythrocytes
143 were separated from plasma by centrifugation (15 min, 4 °C, about 2000 g). The
144 plasma supernatant was removed; erythrocytes were washed with 0.9 % NaCl
145 solution and centrifuged again. The supernatant was removed and the procedure

146 was repeated for another one to two times until the supernatant was clear. The
147 resulting erythrocytes were analyzed immediately or stored at -80 °C until analysis.

148

149 **Model Solutions**

150 Three model solutions were prepared to evaluate isotopologic effects and detector
151 response. For that purpose, solutions of GSH and GSH *M+4* (about 100 µg/mL each)
152 were mixed 2:1 (by volume, mixture 1) and 1:1 (by volume, in duplicate: mixtures 2A
153 and 2B).

154

155 **Synthesis of [¹³C₃, ¹⁵N]-glutathione sulfonic acid**

156 Synthesis of glutathione sulfonic acid [¹³C₃, ¹⁵N]-labeled in the cysteine moiety
157 (GSO₃H *M+4*) was performed according to [20]. Performic acid was prepared freshly
158 before use by mixing 200 µL hydrogen peroxide (30 %, w/w) with 1.8 mL formic acid
159 (99 %) and incubating the mixture for 1 h at room temperature. Subsequently, 200 µL
160 methanol were added and the obtained solution was stored at -20°C until usage.

161 For the oxidation of the standard solution, γ-Glutamyl-[¹³C₃, ¹⁵N]-cysteinyl-glycine (0.5
162 mL, 1 mg/mL) was lyophilized and treated with 200 µL of freshly prepared performic
163 acid. The reaction mixture was incubated for 2.5 h at -10 °C, then diluted with 1 mL
164 water and lyophilized. The reaction product was dissolved in 1 mL of 0.1 % formic
165 acid and evaluated by HPLC-UV and LC-MS. Concentration of the obtained solution
166 was determined by means of HPLC-UV (210 nm) and calculated from an external
167 calibration curve obtained when injecting unlabeled GSO₃H.

168 According to this procedure, 0.42 mg (0.001 mmol) [¹³C₃, ¹⁵N]-glutathione sulfonic
169 acid with an isotopic purity of 90 % and a chemical purity exceeding 90 % was
170 obtained.

171 LC-MS (ESI⁺): *m/z* (%): 360 (100), 382 (70), 325 (14), 303 (12), 404 (6)

172

173 Model experiments

174 To evaluate a possible discrimination of one individual GSSG isotopologue or to test
175 if response curves for isotopologic didansylated GSSG are comparable, model
176 solutions 1, 2A and 2B were prepared as described above and 10 μL (model 1) or 20
177 μL (model 2A and 2B) of model solutions were partially oxidized over night for about
178 12 h at 45 °C with 300 μL boric acid/LiOH buffer (pH 8.5) that has been saturated
179 with oxygen. To stop the reaction, 25 μL IAA (1 mol/L) were added to the reaction
180 mixtures and solutions were stirred for 30 min in the dark. Subsequently 500 μL of
181 Dans-Cl (7.4 mmol/L in acetonitrile) were added to dansylate the free amino-groups
182 before detecting the derivates by LC-MS/MS.

183

184 Sample preparation

185 100 μL erythrocytes were transferred to a cooled 2 mL Eppendorf cap by means of a
186 multipette (Eppendorf, Wessling-Berzdorf, Germany). Subsequently, different
187 amounts (1 – 20 nmol) of isotopically labeled standards in PCA (5 %) were added.
188 Proteins were precipitated by adding 150 – 180 μL ice cold PCA (5 %), while
189 analytes remained in solution. Immediately after addition of PCA, caps were shaken
190 on a vortex mixer for 10 s to prevent agglutination. Proteins were separated by
191 centrifugation (14000 g, 14 min, 0 °C) and the supernatant was treated with 450 μL
192 IAA (0.1 mol/L) in a boric acid/LiOH buffer (0.5 mol/L, pH 8.5)/LiOH (1 mol/L) (2/1,
193 v/v) for 30 min at room temperature. By adding 500 μL Dans-Cl (7.4 mmol/L in
194 acetonitrile) free amino groups of carboxymethyl thiols, disulfides and glutathione
195 sulfonic acid were acylated within 1 h at room temperature. To stop the reaction,
196 dichloromethane was added to the reaction mixture, mixed well and centrifuged

197 (16000 g, 10 min, 20 °C). The aqueous supernatant was filtered (0.45 µm, Schleicher
198 & Schuell, Dassel, Germany) and analyzed by LC-MS/MS.

199

200 **LC-MS**

201 An ion-trap mass spectrometer HCT ultra (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany)
202 coupled with a Dionex Ultimate 3000 HPLC System (Dionex, Idstein, Germany) was
203 used for characterization of isotopologic glutathione sulfonic acid by HPLC-UV/MS.
204 As the stationary phase, a TSKgel Amide-80 column (2.0 x 150 mm, 5 µm particle
205 size, 8 nm pores, Tosoh Bioscience, Stuttgart, Germany) was used. The gradient
206 was run from 100 % acetonitrile (with 0.1 % formic acid) to 100 % water (with 0.1 %
207 formic acid) within 20 min. The UV detector was set to 210 nm and the ion source
208 was operated in the positive electrospray ionization (ESI⁺) mode. The MS detection
209 was run in the Ultra Scan mode, drying temperature, nebulizer, and drying gas were
210 set to 350 °C, 35 psi and 8 L/min, respectively.

211

212 **LC-MS/MS**

213 A triple quadrupole mass spectrometer Finnigan TSQ Quantum Discovery coupled
214 with a Finnigan Surveyor Plus HPLC System (Thermo Electron Corporation,
215 Waltham, USA) was used for LC-MS/MS analysis. The stationary phase was a
216 Synergi HydroRP C₁₈ column (2.0 x 150 mm, 4 µm particle size, 8 nm pores;
217 Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany), which was equipped with a C₁₈ guard
218 column (Phenomenex). For separation of GSH and GSSG derivatives, gradient
219 elution (flow rate: 0.2 mL/min) was employed with aqueous 0.1% formic acid (solvent
220 A) and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (solvent B). Initial conditions were 100% A and
221 were raised to 100% B within 25 min. The gradient mixture was maintained at this
222 composition for 5 min and then returned back to initial conditions within 1 min. Before

223 each injection, the column was equilibrated for 15 min. Injection was carried out at
224 the full loop mode and injection volume was 10 μL . The LC eluate from 0 to 13 min
225 and from 21 min to the end of gradient was directed into waste. The effluent between
226 13 and 21 min was introduced into the mass spectrometer, which was operated in
227 the ESI⁺ mode with a spray needle voltage of 3.7 kV. The temperature of the capillary
228 was 300 °C, and the capillary offset was set to 35 V. The sheath and auxiliary gas
229 were adjusted to 35 and 10 arbitrary units, respectively. The collision cell was
230 operated at a collision gas (argon) pressure of 6.7×10^{-2} Pa and source CID
231 (collision-induced dissociation) was used with the collision energy set at 12 V. On
232 both mass filter quadrupoles, the peak width was adjusted to 0.7 full width at half
233 maximum, the scan time for each transition was 0.2 s, and the scan width was 0.7
234 amu. During method development, the unlabeled derivatized compounds were
235 subjected to LC-MS/MS recording full scans of the products to find the two most
236 intense and specific product ions for selected reaction monitoring (SRM). To
237 determine GSH, GSSG and GSO₃H in erythrocytes within one run, different collision
238 energies in quadrupole 2 were tested using SRM mode to obtain signals for GSSG
239 and GSO₃H with maximum intensity and to obtain signals of GSH within the linear
240 range of the detector. The results of the optimization are summarized in Table 1.

241

242 **Spiking experiments with GSH**

243 To evaluate GSSG formation during sample preparation, spiking experiments were
244 performed. In the first set of experiments, different amounts of GSH (in 5 % PCA)
245 were added to erythrocytes, before preparing them for analysis as described above.
246 To 100 μL of a mixture of erythrocytes from different volunteers, 5 – 35 μL of a GSH
247 solution (1.0 mg/mL in 5 % PCA) were added. After addition of GSSG *M*+6 (5 μL , 2.2
248 mg/mL) and GSH *M*+4 (20 μL , 1.1 mg/mL), proteins were precipitated by the addition

249 of 140 – 170 μL ice-cold PCA (5 %). Subsequently, the mixture was centrifuged
 250 (14000 g, 14 min, 0 °C) and the supernatant was treated with IAA and Dans-Cl as
 251 described above and analyzed by LC-MS/MS.

252

253 In the second series of tests, higher levels of GSH (in 5 % PCA) were added than in
 254 the first experiment. Furthermore in this attempt, GSH (20 μL , 1.7 – 4.5 mg/mL) and
 255 labeled standard substances GSSG *M*+6 (5 μL , 2.2 mg/mL) and GSH *M*+4 (20 μL ,
 256 1.3 mg/mL) were premixed with ice-cold PCA (5 %, 155 μL) and stored cool until the
 257 addition of 100 μL of erythrocytes. After centrifugation, the supernatant was
 258 derivatized and analyzed as described above.

259

260 **Standardization**

261 For determination of response factors, solutions of unlabeled and labeled GSH,
 262 GSSG and GSO₃H, respectively, in 0.1% formic acid were mixed in seven molar
 263 ratios between 0.1 and 9. The derivatization procedure was performed as described
 264 above. After LC-MS/MS analysis, calibration curves of area ratios in relation to molar
 265 ratios were obtained. From the molar ratios, the added amounts of labeled standards,
 266 and the weighted samples, the molar concentrations of the analytes in the samples
 267 were calculated (Table 2).

268

269 **Calculation of glutathione disulfide in erythrocytes formed during analysis**

270 Concentration of GSSG *M*+4 was calculated from the following equation curve:

$$c(A) = \left(m \cdot \frac{A(A)}{A(S)} + b \right) \cdot c(S)$$

$c(A)$ = Concentration of analyte in erythrocytes

$c(S)$ = Concentration of internal standard in erythrocytes

$A(A)$ = Area analyte m/z 1083 \rightarrow 1008

$A(S)$ = Area internal standard m/z 1085 \rightarrow 1007

m = slope of response curve for didans GSSG

$M+6$ (table 1)

$t = y$ intercept of response curve didans GSSG
 $M+6$ (table 1)

271
 272 A statistical distribution of GSSG isotopologues formed from GSH and GSH $M+4$ was
 273 assumed to calculate their abundances P from the following equations:

274 $P(\text{GSH}) + P(\text{GSH } M+4) = 100 \%$ results in GSSG distributions:

275 $P(\text{GSSG}) = P(\text{GSH})^2$

276 $P(\text{GSSG } M+4) = 2 * P(\text{GSH}) * P(\text{GSH } M+4);$

277 $P(\text{GSSG } M+8) = P(\text{GSH } M+4)^2$

278
 279 Hence, a factor f_t for the theoretical distribution of $\text{GSSG}_{\text{formed}} / \text{GSSG } M+4$ was
 280 calculated from areas of GSH and GSH $M+4$ in the characteristic mass traces
 281 according to the following equation:

282 $f_t = \text{GSSG}_{\text{formed}} / \text{GSSG } M+4 = A(\text{GSH}) / 2 * A(\text{GSH } M+4)$

283 Glutathione disulfide formed during analysis ($\text{GSSG}_{\text{formed}}$) was calculated then by
 284 multiplication of the GSSG $M+4$ concentration with the factor f_t of the theoretical
 285 distribution of GSSG / GSSG $M+4$.

286
 287 **Method validation**

288 As there was no material available which was similar to erythrocyte matrix and did
 289 not contain the analyte GSH, the limit of detection (LOD) was derived from LOD
 290 calculated for cereal flour [19] considering the different sample dilution.

291 Intra-day precision was evaluated by measuring GSH, GSSG and GSO_3H in the
 292 erythrocytes of one volunteer in triplicate.

293 For measuring the recovery, erythrocytes were spiked (each in triplicate) with three
 294 different amounts of GSH and analyzed by SIDA.

296 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

297 **Quantitation of glutathione by stable isotope dilution assays**

298 The glutathione present in erythrocytes is susceptible to degradation and oxidation
299 due to the occurrence of hemoglobin, iron, and various enzymes. Therefore, even
300 careful sample preparation under exclusion of oxygen is not likely to prevent
301 oxidation of GSH. For similar challenging analytes such as folates [21] or thiol
302 containing odorants [22], SIDAs have proven their superiority over other alternatives.
303 Therefore, we decided also to use this methodology for quantitation of GSH in
304 erythrocytes by application of [$^{13}\text{C}_3$, ^{15}N]-GSH labeled in the cysteine moiety. This
305 standard already has been used for quantitation of total GSH in cereals after
306 derivatization with IAA and dansylation [19]. In the latter study we reported that IAA
307 was preferred as thiol protection agent over NEM as the former does not react with
308 amino groups. Nevertheless, in a recent study the suitability of NEM for analyzing
309 GSH in blood was shown [23]. In our SIDA for cereals we dansylated the analytes to
310 enhance retention in HPLC and sensitivity in LC-MS. However, [$^{13}\text{C}_3$, ^{15}N]-GSH would
311 not allow for simultaneous quantitation of GSSG as the amount of GSH
312 isotopologues oxidized to GSSG would be unknown. Moreover, we also observed
313 reduction of GSSG to GSH upon some extraction conditions, which would not be
314 recognized when using identically labeled GSH and GSSG as applied in the studies
315 of Haberhauer-Troyer et al. [15] and Harwood et al. [14]. Therefore, we decided to
316 use a label for GSSG that can be distinguished from the label resulting from the
317 oxidized labeled GSH standard. In contrast to this, the other strategy for a SIDA
318 recently was reported by Haberhauer-Troyer et al. [15], who used the same label for
319 GSH and GSSG, but calculated the degree of oxidized GSH by monitoring the mixed
320 disulfide formed from unlabeled GSH and the labeled GSH internal standard.

321 However, this approach would not allow to quantify simultaneously GSH and GSSG
322 and to differentiate oxidation of GSH from reduction of GSSG.

323 Taking these considerations into account, a differently, six-fold labeled GSSG
324 generated from [$^{13}\text{C}_2, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSH labeled in the glycine moiety was chosen. Taking into
325 account possible oxidation as well as reduction or thiol/disulfide exchange of the
326 GSH isotopologues, for reduced GSH the occurrence of three isotopologues
327 (unlabeled GSH $M+0$; [$^{13}\text{C}_2, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSH $M+3$; [$^{13}\text{C}_3, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSH $M+4$) and for GSSG the
328 occurrence of six isotopologues (unlabeled GSSG $M+0$; [$^{13}\text{C}_2, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSSG $M+3$;
329 [$^{13}\text{C}_3, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSSG $M+4$; [$^{13}\text{C}_4, ^{15}\text{N}_2$]-GSSG $M+6$; [$^{13}\text{C}_5, ^{15}\text{N}_2$]-GSSG $M+7$; [$^{13}\text{C}_6, ^{15}\text{N}_2$]-
330 GSSG $M+8$) was conceivable. Therefore, we tested in preliminary experiments
331 whether the isotopologues could be differentiated by LC-MS/MS. For GSH, the
332 fragmentation of the carboxymethyl-dansyl GSH resulted mainly in two products, (1)
333 upon loss of 2-aminoacetic acid and (2) of 2-carboxylaminoacetic acid, both from the
334 C-terminus (Fig. 1). The isotopologues shown in Fig. 1 reveal a mass difference of at
335 least 3 u either in the precursor or the product ions.

336 For didansylated GSSG, MS/MS fragmentation revealed the most intense fragment
337 by loss of one glycine as depicted in Fig. 2. However, differentiation of the
338 isotopologues is more difficult than for GSH as the mass differences between the
339 possible isotopologues are smaller. Moreover, due to the higher number of atoms
340 present in the dansylated GSSG molecule, natural isotopes of carbon, sulfur, oxygen
341 and nitrogen are likely to cause interferences between the single isotopologues.

342 When reacting the single GSH isotopologues GSH $M+0$, [$^{13}\text{C}_2, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSH $M+3$, and
343 [$^{13}\text{C}_3, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSH $M+4$ in model experiments separately to mixed GSSG isotopologues,
344 in particular significant interferences for the GSSG isotopologues [$^{13}\text{C}_3, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSSG
345 $M+4$, [$^{13}\text{C}_5, ^{15}\text{N}_2$]-GSSG $M+7$, and [$^{13}\text{C}_6, ^{15}\text{N}_2$]-GSSG $M+8$ were observed. As shown in
346 Table 3, the natural isotopologues with an additional mass of 1 u of [$^{13}\text{C}_2, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSSG

347 $M+3+1$, of [$^{13}\text{C}_4, ^{15}\text{N}_2$]-GSSG $M+6+1$ and of [$^{13}\text{C}_5, ^{15}\text{N}_2$]-GSSG $M+7+1$ interfered with
348 [$^{13}\text{C}_3, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSSG $M+4$, [$^{13}\text{C}_5, ^{15}\text{N}_2$]-GSSG $M+7$, and [$^{13}\text{C}_6, ^{15}\text{N}_2$]-GSSG $M+8$,
349 respectively, each by giving signal abundances of about 50 % of the respective major
350 isotopologue.

351 This could be particularly crucial for monitoring the GSH oxidation during analysis, as
352 this is reflected by the formation of [$^{13}\text{C}_3, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSSG $M+4$ and [$^{13}\text{C}_6, ^{15}\text{N}_2$]-GSSG $M+8$
353 generated by reaction of the labeled standard [$^{13}\text{C}_3, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSH $M+4$ with itself or with
354 unlabeled GSH. Therefore, the respective overlap with natural isotopologues of
355 GSSG $M+3$ and GSSG $M+7$ has to be considered.

356

357 **Synthesis and mass spectrometry of glutathione sulfonic acid**

358 GSO_3H has been described as oxidation product from GSH and GSSG when reacted
359 with oxidants such as hydroperoxide [20]. Therefore, blood was also analyzed for this
360 possible oxidation product of GSH.

361 [$^{13}\text{C}_3, ^{15}\text{N}$]-labeled glutathione sulfonic acid was prepared by oxidation of [$^{13}\text{C}_3, ^{15}\text{N}$]-
362 GSH with performic acid according to Henschen [24]. For quantitation by LC-MS/MS,
363 GSO_3H was dansylated using the same conditions as for GSH and GSSG. As
364 selective transition, the simultaneous loss of glycine and cysteine was chosen. Thus,
365 for the labeled GSO_3H isotopologues, all labels were lost and the product ion at m/z
366 363 is the same for all labeled and unlabeled GSO_3H isotopologues (Figure 3).

367 However, the labeled compounds still could be distinguished from the unlabeled
368 compound by their different precursor ion masses.

369

370 **Response curves of derivatized GSH, GSSG and GSO_3H isotopologues**

371 To enable calculation of the molar ratio of analyte to the respective internal standard
372 from the area ratios, calibration mixtures of GSH and [$^{13}\text{C}_3, ^{15}\text{N}$]-GSH $M+4$ were

373 carboxymethylated, dansylated, and analyzed by LC-MS/MS. For GSSG and
374 GSO₃H, respective calibration mixtures were measured after dansylation.
375 The response equations A (labeled standard) / A (analyte) = $R_F \cdot m(\text{labeled standard}) /$
376 $m(\text{analyte}) + b$ are given in Table 2. All response curves revealed linearity in ratios
377 between 0.1 and 10, which defined the working range of the developed SIDAs.

378

379 **Formation and detection of GSSG isotopologues from oxidation of GSH** 380 **isotopologues or thiol/disulfide exchange**

381 In order to calculate the extent of GSH oxidation from the occurrence of mixed
382 disulfide GSSG $M+4$, model solutions with different ratios of GSH isotopologues were
383 partly oxidized with oxygen and the obtained areas were compared with the
384 theoretical distribution assuming statistical combination and identical MS/MS
385 response of all GSSG isotopologues. The results of the trials using two different
386 mixtures and the second performed in duplicate are listed in Table 4. The difference
387 of the calculated versus the observed distribution was less than 7 %, thus showing
388 no systematic deviation. Therefore, the GSSG formed during analysis could be
389 calculated from the observed GSSG $M+4$ area and the observed ratio of GSH and
390 GSH $M+4$ that were still present in their reduced form.

391

392 **Quantitation of glutathione, glutathione disulfide and glutathione sulfonic acid** 393 **in erythrocytes**

394 To prevent formation of possible artefacts, analysis of erythrocytes started with
395 immediate cooling and centrifugation followed by deproteinization using ice-cold 5 %
396 PCA. Preliminary experiments have shown that the application of the latter acid gave
397 a clearer supernatant in comparison to 10 % meta-phosphoric acid or 10 %
398 sulfosalicylic acid.

399 The developed assays for GSH, GSSG, and GSO₃H in erythrocytes were applied to
400 a set of six healthy volunteers. The LC-MS/MS chromatograms revealed
401 unambiguous signals of the analytes and their respective standards as shown in Fig.
402 4.

403 The contents of GSH, GSSG and GSO₃H were found to range between 1.33 and
404 2.21, between 0.168 and 0.313 mmol/L and between 13 and 25 μmol/L, respectively.
405 Thus, the GSH content measured in the erythrocytes of our volunteers was well in
406 the reported range between 0.95 and 2.44 mmol/L [9, 17] (see also below).

407

408 **Quantitation of glutathione disulfide formed during analysis**

409 Interestingly, the molar ratios GSSG/GSH and GSO₃H/GSH for all subjects were
410 within a much smaller range of 12.7 to 15.3 % and 0.6 to 1.2%, respectively. This
411 suggests that significant amounts of GSSG and GSO₃H might have been formed
412 during analysis. For GSSG, this assumption was confirmed by detection of GSSG
413 *M+4* that reflected selectively the amount of GSSG_{formed} from endogenous GSH and
414 its internal standard GSH *M+4* during sample preparation. Upon consideration of
415 equal MS/MS responses of all GSSG isotopologues and a statistical formation of the
416 mixed GSSG from the GSH isotopologues, the respective amounts of GSSG_{formed}
417 were calculated. However, the standard deviation of GSSG_{formed} was very high and in
418 some cases would have exceeded the total GSSG found due to random errors. Thus,
419 an exact quantitation of GSSG_{formed} could not be performed in these trials. This would
420 require isotopologues with a higher degree of labeling to prevent spectral overlap.
421 However, the amounts found for GSSG_{formed} were in the same order of magnitude as
422 total GSSG, which confirmed the assumption that the major part of or all GSSG
423 detected can be assigned to artifact formation during analysis.

424 In contrast to GSSG, GSH formed from reduction or thiol/disulfide exchange was
425 below 1 % of the total GSH content as was found by measurement of the GSH *M*+3
426 trace. The formation of this isotopologue would have originated from the GSSG *M*+6
427 isotopologue added as standard for GSSG. Therefore, the data found for GSH in
428 erythrocytes were accurate and confirmed the data found in previous publications [9,
429 17].

430

431 **Spiking experiments to clarify the amount of glutathione disulfide formed**
432 **during analysis and to deduce the initial disulfide content in erythrocytes**

433 As GSSG_{formed} could not be quantified from GSSG *M*+4 due to imprecision, we
434 decided to quantitate the artefactual GSSG from spike experiments. In a first set of
435 assays, we added three different GSH amounts ranging from 0.171 and 0.684
436 mmol/L. GSH, total GSSG and GSSG_{formed} were quantified as described before and
437 gave the results shown in Table 5.

438 For these studies, the ratio between GSSG and GSH was quite similar for all levels of
439 added GSH. This result was the same as in the first trials without addition of GSH
440 and pointed to a constant amount of GSSG_{formed} during analysis. Within these
441 addition experiments, the standard deviation of the calculated GSSG_{formed} was
442 acceptable and allowed to calculate the apparent initial GSSG content from the
443 difference between GSSG measured and GSSG_{formed}. Thus the initial GSSG content
444 of around 0.03 - 0.04 mmol/L was found to be only one sixth to one fourth of the total
445 GSSG measured.

446 In order to verify this result, we performed a second set of experiments with a higher
447 addition level of GSH and a more gentle sample preparation by adding the standards
448 dissolved in the ice-cold protein precipitation agent.

449 The results shown in Table 6 revealed a lower GSSG content than in the previous
450 experiment (Table 5) and pointed to the significant influence of sample preparation.
451 The apparent GSSG content calculated from the difference of GSSG measured and
452 $GSSG_{\text{formed}}$ and finally was found to be as low as 0.010 mmol/L in the sample without
453 addition showing a rather small SD of 0.003 mmol/L. Obviously the GSSG content in
454 erythrocytes is below 0.5 % of the GSH content, which is in agreement with the
455 literature describing a physiological range of the GSSG:GSH ratio between 1:100
456 and 1:1000 [25].

457 The generation of GSSG as an artifact during analysis already was described by
458 Srivasta and Beutler [18] and Rossi et al. [26] and quantified by Haberhauer-Troyer
459 et al. [15], who found that 10 to 20 % of the observed GSSG was formed during
460 analysis. This degree depended on the extraction conditions, which is in accordance
461 with our findings. When comparing our results with reports from the literature, the
462 GSSG/GSH ratio in blood ranged from 0.1 % [14] to 10 % [13] and pointed also to
463 the effects of extraction and underlined the need for compensation for artifact
464 formation. Reaction with NEM followed by acidification with TCA [23] recently was
465 found appropriate to prevent from GSSG formation during analysis. However, the
466 SIDA approach allows to monitor GSH oxidation during analysis. The single label
467 approaches performed by Harwood et al. [14] and Haberhauer-Troyer et al. [15] both
468 were suitable as the former authors did not have to consider artifact formation due to
469 application of NEM and cold ethanol. In contrast to this, Haberhauer-Troyer et al.
470 evaluated artifact GSH oxidation by measuring mixed GSSG isotopologues similarly
471 to this study. However, the simultaneous quantitation of GSH and GSSG was not
472 possible as the internal standards contained the same label and the exact amount of
473 GSSG could not be calculated as the total amount of labeled GSSG was unknown.
474 Moreover, the double label approach presented here allows the quantitation of

475 formed GSSG from all ratios of GSH and its internal standard by simple calculations.
476 Furthermore, our method offers the advantage to discover also a possible reduction
477 of GSSG to form GSH as an artifact.

478

479 **Quantitation of glutathione sulfonic acid**

480 GSO₃H was quantified analogously to GSH and GSSG by using [¹³C₃, ¹⁵N]-GSO₃H
481 as the internal standard. The later was synthesized by oxidation of [¹³C₃, ¹⁵N]-GSH
482 using performic acid. Unlabeled GSO₃H and its labeled isotopologue revealed clear
483 signals in the blood extracts of the volunteers. The calculation of the amounts in the
484 extract revealed an amount of only around 1% of the respective GSH concentration.
485 Interestingly, this percentage was quite similar for all volunteers studied. In analogy
486 to the GSSG analyzed, we assume that this percentage at least in part is formed by
487 oxidation of GSH and GSSG during analysis as model experiments revealed
488 formation of GSO₃H from both GSH and GSSG at the analytical conditions used.
489 However, a quantitation by considering the fraction formed from both GSH and
490 GSSG would require a third different labeling for GSO₃H, which was not available.
491 Therefore, the occurrence of physiological GSO₃H in blood cannot be excluded and
492 has to be subject to further studies. The formation of another GSH oxidation product,
493 glutathione sulfonamide, recently has only been detected in cells treated with the
494 strong oxidant hypochlorous acid [14]. Therefore, the formation of oxidation products
495 besides GSSG seems not very likely under physiological conditions.

496

497 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

498 The authors thank Ines Otte and Sami Kaviani-Nejad for operating the LC-MS
499 equipment.

500

501 REFERENCES

- 502 [1] R. Franco, O. J. Schoneveld, A. Pappa, M. I. Panayiotidis, The central role of
503 glutathione in the pathophysiology of human diseases, *Arch. Physiol. Biochem.*
504 113 (2007) 234-258.
- 505 [2] E. C. Abraham, J. F. Taylor, C. A. Lang, Influence of mouse age and
506 erythrocyte age on glutathione metabolism *Biochem. J.* 174 (1978) 819–825.
- 507 [3] G. A. Hazelton, C. A. Lang, Glutathione contents of tissues in the aging mouse,
508 *Biochem. J.* 188 (1980) 25–30.
- 509 [4] T. S. Chen, J. P. Richie Jr., C. A. Lang, Life span profiles of glutathione and
510 acetaminophen detoxification, *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 18 (1990) 882–887.
- 511 [5] F. Tietze, Enzymic method for quantitative determination of nanogram amounts
512 of total and oxidized glutathione: applications to mammalian blood and other
513 tissues, *Anal. Biochem.* 27(1969) 502-22.
- 514 [6] O. W. Griffith, Determination of glutathione and glutathione disulfide using
515 glutathione reductase and 2-vinylpyridine, *Anal. Biochem.* 106 (1980) 207–212.
- 516 [7] J.-P. Steghens, F. Flourie, K. Arab, C. Collombel, Fast liquid chromatography-
517 mass spectrometry glutathione measurement in whole blood: micromolar GSSG
518 is a sample preparation artifact, *J. Chromatogr. B* 798 (2003) 343–349.

- 519 [8] T. Yoshida, Determination of reduced and oxidized glutathione in erythrocytes
520 by highperformance liquid chromatography with ultraviolet absorbance
521 detection, *J. Chromatogr. B* 678 (1996) 157–164.
- 522 [9] A. Zinellu, S. Sotgia, M. F. Usai, R. Chessa, L. Deiana, C. Carru, Thiol redox
523 status evaluation in red blood cells by capillary electrophoresis-laser induced
524 fluorescence detection, *Electrophoresis* 26 (2005) 1963–1968.
- 525 [10] C. Cereser, J. Guichard, J. Draï, E. Bannier, I. Garcia, S. Boget, P. Parvaz, A.
526 Revol, Quantitation of reduced and total glutathione at the femtomole level by
527 highperformance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection: application
528 to red blood cells and cultured fibroblasts, *J. Chromatogr. B* 752 (2001) 123–
529 132.
- 530 [11] S. C. Garcia, K. Schott, M. Charao, A. Moro, R. Bulcao, D. Grotto, J. Valentini,
531 D. Bohrer, S. Cardoso, V. Pomblum, Quantification of reduced glutathione by
532 HPLC-UV in erythrocytes of hemodialysis patients, *Biomed. Chromatogr.* 22
533 (2008) 460–468
- 534 [12] S. Robin, N. Leveque, C. Courderot-Masuyer, P. Humbert, LC-MS
535 determination of oxidized and reduced glutathione in human dermis: A
536 microdialysis study, *J. Chromatogr. B* 879 (2011) 3599-3606.
- 537 [13] I. Squellerio, D. Caruso, B. Porro, F. Veglia, E. Tremoli, V. Cavalca, Direct
538 glutathione quantification in human blood by LC-MS/MS: comparison with HPLC
539 with electrochemical detection, *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 71 (2012) 111-118.

- 540 [14] D. T. Harwood, A. J. Kettle, S. Brennan, C. C. Winterbourn, Simultaneous
541 determination of reduced glutathione, glutathione disulphide and glutathione
542 sulphonamide in cells and physiological fluids by isotope dilution liquid
543 chromatography – tandem mass spectrometry, *J. Chromatogr. B*, 877 (2009)
544 3393-3399.
- 545 [15] C. Haberhauer-Troyer, M. Delic, B. Gasser, D. Mattanovich, S. Hann, G.
546 Koellensberger, Accurate quantitation of the redox-sensitive GSH/GSSG ratios
547 in the yeast *Pichia pastoris* by HILIC-MS/MS, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 405 (2013)
548 2031-2039.
- 549 [16] G. Piccoli, M. Fiorani, B. Biagiarelli, F. Palma, L. Potenza, A. Amicucci, V.
550 Stocchi, Simultaneous high-performance capillary electrophoretic determination
551 of reduced and oxidized glutathione in red blood cells in the femtomole range, *J.*
552 *Chromatogr. A* 676 (1994) 239–246.
- 553 [17] I. Messana, D. V. Rossetti, F. Misiti, F. Vincenzoni, E. Tellone, B. Giardina, M.
554 Castagnola, Determination of S-nitrosoglutathione in erythrocytes by capillary
555 zone electrophoresis, *Electrophoresis* 21 (2000) 1606–1610.
- 556 [18] S. K. Srivastava, E. Beutler, Accurate measurement of oxidized glutathione
557 content of human, rabbit, and rat red blood cells and tissues, *Anal. Biochem.* 25
558 (1968) 70–76.
- 559 [19] J. Reinbold, M. Rychlik, S. Asam, H. Wieser, P. Köhler, Concentrations of total
560 glutathione and cysteine in wheat flour as affected by sulfur deficiency and
561 correlation to quality parameters, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 56 (2008) 6844-6850.

- 562 [20] J. C. Deutsch, C.R. Santosh-Kumar, J. F. Kolhouse, Glutathione oxidation in
563 real time by thermospray liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry, J.
564 Chromatogr. A 862 (1999) 161-168.
- 565 [21] M. Rychlik, Revised folate data in foods determined by stable isotope dilution
566 assays, J. Food Comp. Anal. 17 (2004) 475-483.
- 567 [22] R. Kerscher, W. Grosch, Quantification of 2-methyl-3-furanthiol, 2-furfurylthiol,
568 3-mercapto-2-pentanone, and 2-mercapto-3-pentanone in heated meat, J.
569 Agric. Food Chem. 46 (1998) 1954-1958.
- 570 [23] D. Guistarini, I. Dalle-Donne, A. Milzani, R. Rossi, Detection of glutathione in
571 whole blood after stabilization with N-ethylmaleimide, Anal. Biochem. 415
572 (2011) 81-83.
- 573 [24] A. Henschen, Analysis of cysteine residues disulfide bridges and sulfhydryl
574 groups in proteins in. Advanced Methods in protein microsequence analysis (B.
575 Wittmann-Liebold, J. Salnikow, V. A. Erdmann, editors), Springer Verlag, Berlin,
576 Germany, p 244-255, 1986.
- 577 [25] P. Monostori, G. Wittmann, E. Karg, S. Turi, Determination of glutathione and
578 glutathionedisulfide in biological samples: An in-depth review, J. Chromatogr. B
579 877 (2009) 3331-3346.
- 580 [26] R. Rossi, A. Milzani, I. Dalle-Donne, D. Guistarini, L. Lusini, R. Colombo, P. Di
581 Simplicio, Blood glutathione disulfide: in vivo factor or in vitro artifact? Clin.
582 Chem. 48 (2002) 742-753.
- 583

584 .

585

586 .

587 .

588

589

590 LEGENDS TO THE FIGURES

591

592 Fig.1. MS/MS fragmentation of isotopically labeled dansylated carboxymethyl-GSH
593 derivatives; GSH unlabeled, GSH $M+3$ and GSH $M+4$.

594 Fig.2. Fragments of isotopically labeled didansylated GSSG derivatives; GSSG
595 unlabeled, GSSG $M+3$, GSSG $M+4$, GSSG $M+6$, GSSG $M+7$ and GSSG $M+8$.

596 Fig.3. MS/MS fragmentation of isotopically labeled dansylated GSO₃H derivatives;
597 GSO₃H unlabeled, GSO₃H $M+3$ and GSO₃H $M+4$.

598 Fig.4. LC-MS/MS chromatogram of derivatized erythrocytes showing the mass
599 traces of the derivatives of GSO₃H, GSH and GSSG along with their labeled
600 internal standards.

601

602