

# ON STRONG SOLUTIONS FOR POSITIVE DEFINITE JUMP–DIFFUSIONS

EBERHARD MAYERHOFER, OLIVER PFAFFEL, AND ROBERT STELZER

ABSTRACT. We show the existence of unique global strong solutions of a class of stochastic differential equations on the cone of symmetric positive definite matrices. Our result includes affine diffusion processes and therefore extends considerably the known statements concerning Wishart processes.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A result of the general theory for affine Markov processes on the cone  $S_d^+$  of symmetric positive semi-definite matrices developed in [10] is that for a  $d \times d$  matrix-valued standard Brownian motion  $B$ ,  $d \times d$  matrices  $Q$  and  $\beta$ , a symmetric constant drift  $b$ , and a positive linear drift  $\Gamma : S_d^+ \rightarrow S_d^+$ , *weak global solutions* exist to the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &= \sqrt{X_t} dB_t Q + Q^\top dB_t^\top \sqrt{X_t} + (X_t \beta + \beta^\top X_t + \Gamma(X_t) + b) dt, \\ X_0 &= x \in S_d^+, \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $b - (d-1)Q^\top Q \in S_d^+$ . Above  $\sqrt{X}$  denotes the unique positive semi-definite square root of a matrix  $X \in S_d^+$ . This recent result considerably extends the findings of the fundamental paper by Marie-France Bru [6, Theorem 2] concerning Wishart processes (see also [16, 17, 22], for instance, and references therein), which are a special case of affine processes on  $S_d^+$ .

In this paper, we focus on the existence of global *strong solutions* of (1.1) and generalizations of it including jumps. Because of the non-Lipschitz diffusion at the boundary of the cone, this problem is a quite delicate one – a-priori it is only clear that a unique local solution of (1.1) exists until  $X_t$  hits the boundary of  $S_d^+$ , since the SDE is locally Lipschitz in the interior of  $S_d^+$ . Furthermore, known results for pathwise uniqueness, for instance that of the seminal paper of Yamada and Watanabe [39, Corollary 3], are essentially one-dimensional, and therefore do not apply. Hence, the present setting seems to be more complicated than the canonical affine one (concerning diffusions on  $\mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , [19, Lemma 8.2]).

Bru's paper [6] also contains results on strong solutions for Wishart processes (see our upcoming Theorem 2.1 and Remark 4.5), however, they are derived under strong parametric restrictions, because her method requires an application of Girsanov's theorem. The latter is based on a martingale criterion, which in the matrix valued setting seems hard to verify. Also, the general result (with a non-vanishing linear drift) only holds until the first time two of the eigenvalues of the process collide.

However, in this paper we do not limit ourselves to the analysis of (1.1). Instead we consider a similar SDE allowing for a general (not necessarily linear) drift  $\Gamma$  and an additional jump part of finite variation. This implies that many Lévy-driven SDEs on  $S_d^+$  like the positive semi-definite Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) type processes (see [3, 34]) or the volatility process of a multivariate COGARCH process (see [37]), where the existence of global strong solutions has previously been

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G51, 60H10, 60J60, 60J75.

*Key words and phrases.* affine diffusions, jump-diffusion processes on positive definite matrices, local martingales on stochastic intervals, matrix subordinators, stochastic differential equations on open sets, strong solutions, Wishart processes.

E.M. gratefully acknowledges financial support from WWTF (Vienna Science and Technology Fund) and O.P. and R.S. the one from Technische Universität München - Institute of Advanced Study funded by the German Excellence Initiative.

shown by path-wise arguments, are special cases of our setting. Thus our results allow to consider mixtures of such jump processes and Wishart diffusions in applications.

**Program of the paper.** In the subsequent section we state the main result, Theorem 2.2, and relate it to Bru's work which is recalled in Theorem 2.1.

Our method relies on a generalization of the so-called *McKean's argument*, but avoids the use of Girsanov's theorem. In Section 3 we provide a self-contained proof of a generalisation of *McKean's argument*, which relies on subtle results concerning local martingales on stochastic intervals. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is delivered in Section 4. We conclude the paper with some final remarks in Section 5.

**Applications.** Positive semi-definite matrix valued processes are increasingly used in finance, particularly for stochastic modeling of multivariate stochastic volatility phenomena in equity and fixed income models, see [7, 8, 12, 11, 13, 14, 21, 20, 23, 33]. See also [10] and the references therein. The papers mentioned mostly use Bru's class of Wishart diffusions, as this results in multivariate analogues of the popular Heston stochastic volatility model and its extensions, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type processes ([33]) giving a multivariate generalization of the popular model of [2] or a combination of both ([26]). This motivated a recent revival of the research on affine processes (see [10, 18]). Appropriate multivariate models are especially important for issues like portfolio optimization, portfolio risk management and the pricing of options depending on several underlyings, which are heavily influenced by the dependence structure.

Clearly  $S_d^+$ -valued processes model the covariances, not the correlations, which are, however, preferable when interpreting the dependence structure. The results of this paper are particularly relevant, when one wants to derive correlation dynamics (see e.g., [7, 8]), because one needs to assume boundary non-attainment conditions for a rigorous derivation.

## 2. NOTATION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  denote the dimension. In this paper we use the following notation, definitions and setting:

- $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$ ,  $M_d$  is the set of real valued  $d \times d$  matrices and  $I_d$  is the unit matrix.
- $S_d \subset M_d$  is the space of symmetric matrices, and  $S_d^+ \subset S_d$  is the cone of symmetric positive semi-definite matrices in  $S_d$  and  $S_d^{++}$  its interior, i.e. the positive definite matrices. The partial order on  $S_d$  induced by the cone is denoted by  $\preceq$ , and  $x \succ 0$ , if and only if  $x \in S_d^{++}$ . We endow  $S_d$  with the scalar product  $\langle x, y \rangle := \text{Tr}(xy)$ , where  $\text{Tr}(A)$  denotes the trace of  $A \in M_d$ .  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the associated norm, and  $d(x, \partial S_d^+)$  is the distance of  $x \in S_d^+$  to the boundary  $\partial S_d^+$ .
- We are given an appropriate filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+})$ , in short  $\Omega$ , satisfying the usual hypotheses (complete and right-continuous filtration).  $B$  is a  $d \times d$  standard Brownian motion on  $\Omega$ .
- A function  $f : S_d^{++} \rightarrow U$  with  $U$  being (a subset of) a normed space is called *locally Lipschitz* if  $\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq K(C)\|x - y\| \forall x, y \in C$  for all compacts  $C \subset U$ .  $f$  is said to have *linear growth* if  $\|f(x)\|^2 \leq K(1 + \|x\|^2) \forall x \in S_d^{++}$ .
- An  $S_d$ -valued càdlàg adapted stochastic process  $X$  is called  $S_d^+$ -increasing, if  $X_t \succeq X_s$  a.s. for all  $t > s \geq 0$ . Such a process is necessarily of finite variation on compacts by [3, Lemma 5.21] and hence a semimartingale. We call it of *pure jump type* provided  $X_t = X_0 + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta X_s$ , where  $\Delta X_s = X_s - X_{s-}$ .

For the necessary background on stochastic analysis we refer to one of the standard references like [25, 35, 36].

In order to illustrate the context of our result, we discuss first Bru's statement concerning strong solutions of affine matrix valued diffusions. For  $Q \in M_d$ ,  $\delta \geq d - 1$ , and  $\beta \in M_d$  a Wishart process is the strong solution of the equation

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &= \sqrt{X_t} dB_t Q + Q^\top dB_t^\top \sqrt{X_t} + (X_t \beta + \beta^\top X_t + \delta Q^\top Q) dt, \\ X_0 &= x \in S_d^{++}, \end{aligned}$$

on the maximal stochastic interval  $[0, T_x)$ , where  $T_x$  is naturally defined as

$$T_x = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \in \partial S_d^+\}.$$

That such a unique local strong solution, which does not explode before or at time  $T_x$ , exists, follows from standard SDE theory, since all the coefficients in (2.1) are locally Lipschitz and of linear growth on  $S_d^{++}$ . To be more precise, this follows by appropriately localizing the usual results as e.g. in [35, Chapter V] or by variations of the proofs in [30, Chapter 3]. A localization procedure adapted particularly to certain convex sets like  $S_d^+$  is presented in detail in [38, Section 6.7].

The following is a summary of the results [6, Theorem 2, 2' and 2'']:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\delta \geq d + 1$  and suppose the  $d$  eigenvalues of  $x$  are distinct.*

- (i) *If  $Q = I_d$  and  $\beta = 0$ , then  $T_x = \infty$ .*
- (ii) *If  $Q \in S_d^{++}$ ,  $-\beta \in S_d^+$  such that  $\beta$  and  $Q$  commute, then there exists a solution  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  of (2.1) until the first time  $\tau_x$  when two of the eigenvalues of  $X_t$  collide.*
- (iii) *If  $\beta = \beta_0 I_d$  and  $Q = \gamma I_d$ , where  $\beta_0, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $T_x = \infty$  for the solution of  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  of (2.1).*

Consequently, for the respective choice of parameters, there exist unique global strong  $S_d^+$ -valued solutions of the SDE (2.1) on  $[0, \tau_x)$  resp. on all of  $[0, \infty)$ .

The aim of this paper is the proof of the following very general result concerning non-attainment of the boundary of  $S_d^+$  and the existence of a unique global strong solution for a generalization of the SDE (1.1).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $b \in S_d$ ,  $Q \in M_d$ ,  $\beta \in M_d$ , and let*

- *$J$  be an  $S_d$ -valued càdlàg adapted process which is  $S_d^+$ -increasing and of pure jump type,*
- *$\Gamma : S_d^{++} \rightarrow S_d^+$  be a locally Lipschitz function of linear growth and*
- *$g : S_d^{++} \rightarrow L(S_d^+, S_d^+)$  (the linear operators on  $S_d$  mapping  $S_d^+$  into  $S_d^+$ ) be a locally Lipschitz function of linear growth.*

*If  $b \succeq (d + 1)Q^T Q$ , then the SDE*

$$(2.2) \quad dX_t = \sqrt{X_{t-}} dB_t Q + Q^T dB_t^T \sqrt{X_{t-}} + (X_{t-} \beta + \beta^T X_{t-} + \Gamma(X_{t-}) + b) dt + g(X_{t-}) dJ_t,$$

$$X_0 = x \in S_d^{++},$$

*has a unique adapted càdlàg global strong solution  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  on  $S_d^{++}$ . In particular we have  $T_x := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_{t-} \in \partial S_d^+ \text{ or } X_t \notin S_d^{++}\} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_{t-} \in \partial S_d^+\} = \infty$  almost surely.*

**Remark 2.3.** (i) *By choosing  $\Gamma$  linear and  $J = 0$ , we obtain a result for (1.1) which considerably generalizes Theorem 2.1.*

- (ii) *A possible choice for  $J$  is a matrix subordinator without drift (see [1]), i.e. an  $S_d^+$ -increasing Lévy process. By choosing  $\Gamma \neq 0$  in (2.2) appropriately our results also apply to SDEs involving matrix subordinators with a non-vanishing drift.*
- (iii) *Setting  $Q = 0$ ,  $\Gamma = 0$ ,  $g$  to the identity and  $b$  equal to the drift of the matrix subordinator, equation (2.2) becomes the SDE of a positive definite OU type process, [3, 34]. Likewise, it is straightforward to see that the SDE of the volatility process  $Y$  of the multivariate COGARCH process of [37] is a special case of (2.2).*
- (iv) *An OU-type process on the positive semi-definite matrices is necessarily driven by a Lévy process of finite variation having positive semi-definite jumps only (follows by slightly adapting the arguments in the proof of [34, Theorem 4.9]). This entails that a generalization of the above result to a more general jump behavior requires additional technical restrictions.*

### 3. MCKEAN'S ARGUMENT

The main result of this section is Proposition 3.4 which generalizes an argument of [29, p. 47, Problem 7] concerning continuous local martingales on stochastic intervals used, for instance, in [5, 6, 31]. We keep the tradition of referring to it as *McKean's argument*. It shall be used in

Section 4 for a proof of Theorem 2.2. Also, Corollary 3.5 constitutes the original statement for continuous local martingales.

*McKean's argument* relies on subtle arguments from stochastic analysis, and to the best of our knowledge a rigorous proof of the original argument is not found in the literature. Therefore, we find it advisable to provide a self-contained proof of our generalization in this section. To start with, we collect some basic definitions regarding stochastic processes defined on stochastic intervals by following mainly [28].

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and let  $T$  be a stopping time.*

- A random variable  $X$  on  $A$  is a mapping  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $A \cap \mathcal{F}$ .
- A family  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  of random variables on  $\{t < T\}$  is called a stochastic process on  $[0, T)$ . If  $X_t$  is  $\{t < T\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , then  $X$  is said to be adapted.
- An adapted process  $M$  on  $[0, T)$  is called a continuous local martingale on the interval  $[0, T)$  if there exists an increasing sequence of stopping times  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a sequence of continuous martingales  $(M^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  (in the usual sense on  $[0, \infty)$ ) such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n = T$  a.s. and  $M_t = M_t^{(n)}$  on  $\{t < T_n\}$ . Other local properties for adapted processes on  $[0, T)$  are defined likewise.
- A semimartingale on  $[0, T)$  is the sum of a càdlàg local martingale on  $[0, T)$  and an adapted càdlàg process of locally finite variation on  $[0, T)$ .
- For a continuous local martingale on  $[0, T)$  the quadratic variation is the  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ -valued stochastic process  $[M, M]$  defined by

$$[M, M]_t = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [M^{(n)}, M^{(n)}]_{t \wedge T_n} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

The following is a basic fact concerning local martingales [28, Th. 3.5]:

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a continuous local martingale on the interval  $[0, T)$ . Then the following equivalence holds on  $\{T > 0\}$  a.s.:*

$$M_{T-}(\omega) := \lim_{t \nearrow T(\omega)} M_t(\omega) \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R} \iff [M, M]_T(\omega) < \infty.$$

Recall that for a (not necessarily finite) stopping time  $T$  the stopped  $\sigma$ -algebra is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_T := \{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty : A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t, \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty)\},$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_\infty := \sigma\left(\bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \mathcal{F}_t\right)$  (cf. [36, p. 43]).

An enlargement of a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+})$  is a filtered probability space  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}, (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+})$  together with a surjective map  $\pi : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega$  satisfying  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_t) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $P = \tilde{P} \circ \pi^{-1}$ . A random variable  $X$  on the initial probability space is extended to the enlargement by setting  $X(\tilde{\omega}) = X(\pi(\tilde{\omega}))$  (see [36, p. 182]) and again denoted by  $X$ .

The following statement [28, Th. 3.5] is a version of the *Dambis-Dubins-Schwarz Theorem* [36, Chapter V, Theorem 1.7] on stochastic intervals:

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a continuous local martingale on the interval  $[0, T)$  with  $M_0 = 0$  and define for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  the stopping time  $T_t = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R}_+ : [M, M]_s > t\}$ , where we use the convention that the infimum of an empty set is infinity.  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}, (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+})$  be an enlargement of the filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+})$  which satisfies the usual hypotheses and supports a Brownian motion  $B$  independent of  $T$  and  $M$ .*

*Then  $W = (W_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  defined by*

$$W_t = \begin{cases} M_{T_t} & \text{if } t < [M, M]_T \\ M_{T-} I_{\{T > 0\}} + B_t - B_{[M, M]_T} & \text{if } t \geq [M, M]_T \end{cases}$$

*is a Brownian motion on  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}, (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+})$ .*

This result motivates also to call a continuous local martingale  $\beta$  on  $[0, T)$  a Brownian motion on  $[0, T)$ , if  $[\beta, \beta]_t = t$  on  $[0, T)$ .

Now we are prepared to state and prove our generalized *McKean's argument*:

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $Z = (Z_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  be an adapted càdlàg  $\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ -valued stochastic process on a stochastic interval  $[0, \tau_0)$  such that  $Z_0 > 0$  a.s. and  $\tau_0 = \inf\{0 < s \leq \tau_0 : Z_{s-} = 0\}$ . Suppose  $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and satisfies the following:*

- (i) *For all  $t \in [0, \tau_0)$ , we have  $h(Z_t) = h(Z_0) + M_t + P_t$ , where*
  - (a)  *$P$  is an adapted càdlàg process on  $[0, \tau_0)$ , such that  $P$  is a.s. bounded from below on  $[0, \tau_0 \wedge T)$ , for each  $T \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ .*
  - (b)  *$M$  is a continuous local martingale on  $[0, \tau_0)$  with  $M_0 = 0$ ,*
- (ii) *and  $\lim_{z \downarrow 0} h(z) = -\infty$ .*

Then  $\tau_0 = \infty$  a.s.

*Proof.* Since  $h(Z_t)_- = h(Z_{t-}) = P_{t-} + M_{t-}$  and  $P_{t-}$  is a.s. bounded from below on compacts, we have  $\tau_0 = \inf\{s > 0 : M_{s-} = -\infty\}$  and further  $\tau_0 > 0$  due to the right continuity of  $Z$ . Assume, by contradiction, that  $\tau_0 < \infty$  on a set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $P(A) > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{t \nearrow \tau_0} M_t = -\infty$  on  $A$ , Lemma 3.2 implies that  $[M, M]_{\tau_0} = \infty$  on some set  $\tilde{A} \subseteq A$  with  $P(\tilde{A}) = P(A) > 0$ . Consider  $T_t$  and  $W_t$  as defined in Proposition 3.3. Then on  $\tilde{A} := \pi^{-1}(\tilde{A})$  we have  $W_t = M_{T_t}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and by construction  $\tilde{P}(\tilde{A}) = P(\tilde{A}) > 0$ . Hence, on  $\tilde{A}$  we have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} W_t = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_{T_t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \tau_0} M_t = -\infty.$$

But this is impossible, since  $W$  is a Brownian motion and the paths of Brownian motion a.s. have infinitely many oscillations and therefore do not diverge to  $-\infty$  on a set of positive measure (see e.g. [36, Corollary 1.12, Chapter II]).  $\square$

If  $P$  is an increasing process, then Proposition 3.4 provides a result concerning submartingales  $Z$ . Therefore, the usual McKean's argument for local martingales takes the following form:

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $Z = (Z_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  be a strictly positive continuous stochastic process on a stochastic interval  $[0, \tau_0)$  such that  $Z_0 > 0$  a.s. and  $\lim_{s \uparrow \tau_0} Z_s = 0$  on  $\tau_0 < \infty$ . Suppose  $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the following:*

- (i)  *$h(Z)$  is a continuous local martingale on  $[0, \tau_0)$ ,*
- (ii) *and  $\lim_{z \downarrow 0} h(z) = -\infty$  (resp.  $+\infty$ ).*

Then  $\tau_0 = \infty$  a.s.

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

Before we provide a proof of Theorem 2.2, we recall some elementary identities from matrix calculus and provide some further technical lemmata. For a differentiable function  $f : M_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $\nabla f$  the usual Frechet (or total) derivative written in coordinates as  $(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{ij}})_{ij}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *On  $S_d^{++}$ , we have*

- (i)  $\nabla \det(x) = \det(x)(x^{-1})$
- (ii)  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{ij} \partial x_{kl}} \det(x) = \det(x)[(x^{-1})_{kl}(x^{-1})_{ij} - (x^{-1})_{ik}(x^{-1})_{lj}]$ .

*Proof.* Part (i) can be found in [27, Section 9.10] and then (ii) follows, since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{kl}} \nabla \det(x) = \det(x) \left( (x^{-1})_{kl} x^{-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{kl}} x^{-1} \right)$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{kl}} x^{-1} = -x^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{kl}} x \right) x^{-1}$ .  $\square$

For a semimartingale  $X$  we denote by  $X^c$  as usual its continuous part. All semimartingales in the following will have a discontinuous part of finite variation, i.e.  $\sum_{0 < s \leq t} \|\Delta X_s\|$  is finite for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Thus we define  $X_t^c = X_t - \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta X_s$  and note that the quadratic variation of a semimartingale is the one of its local continuous martingale part plus the sum of its squared jumps.

The continuous quadratic variation of  $X$  solving (2.2) is only influenced by the Brownian terms and, hence, we have as in [6, Equation (2.4)]:

**Lemma 4.2.** *Consider the solution  $X_t$  of (2.2) on  $[0, T_x)$ . Then*

$$d[X_{ij}, X_{kl}]_t^c = (X_{t-, ik}(Q^\top Q)_{jl} + X_{t-, il}(Q^\top Q)_{jk} + X_{t-, jk}(Q^\top Q)_{il} + X_{t-, jl}(Q^\top Q)_{ik}) dt.$$

Moreover, we shall need the following result:

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $X_t$  be a continuous  $S_d^+$ -valued adapted càdlàg stochastic process on a stochastic interval  $[0, T)$  with  $T$  being a predictable stopping time and let  $h : M_d \rightarrow M_d$ . Then there exists a one-dimensional Brownian motion  $\beta^h$  on  $[0, T)$  such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Tr} \left( \int_0^t h(X_{u-}) dB_u \right) = \int_0^t \sqrt{\text{Tr}(h(X_{u-})^\top h(X_{u-}))} d\beta_u^h$$

holds on  $[0, T)$ .

*Proof.* We define for  $t \in [0, T)$ ,

$$\beta_t^h := \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{h(X_{u-})_{ij}}{\sqrt{\text{Tr}(h(X_{u-})^\top h(X_{u-}))}} dB_{t,ji},$$

and since the numerator equals zero, whenever the denominator vanishes, we use the convention  $\frac{0}{0} = 1$ . Clearly for each  $i, j$  and for all  $u \in [0, T)$  we have

$$\left| \frac{h(X_{u-})_{ij}}{\sqrt{\text{Tr}(h(X_{u-})^\top h(X_{u-}))}} \right| \leq 1$$

which ensures that  $\beta^h$  is well-defined, square-integrable and a continuous local martingale on  $[0, T)$  by stopping at a sequence of stopping times announcing  $T$ . Furthermore, by construction

$$[\beta^h, \beta^h]_t = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{h(X_{u-})_{ij}^2}{\text{Tr}(h(X_{u-})^\top h(X_{u-}))} du = t$$

and therefore  $\beta^h$  is a Brownian motion on  $[0, T)$ .

Finally by the very definition of  $\beta^h$ , we have

$$\text{Tr}(h(X_{t-}) dB_t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d h(X_{t-})_{ij} dB_{t,ji} = \sqrt{\text{Tr}(h(X_{t-})^\top h(X_{t-}))} d\beta_t^h.$$

which proves identity (4.1).  $\square$

Finally, we state the variant of Itô's formula which we later employ. It follows easily from the usual versions like [4, Theorem 3.9.1] by arguments similar to [28, Theorem 5.4] and [3, Proposition 3.4].

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be an  $S_d^{++}$ -valued semimartingale on a stochastic interval  $[0, T)$  and  $f : S_d^{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a twice continuously differentiable function. If  $X_{t-} \in S_d^{++}$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$  and  $\sum_{0 < s \leq t} \|\Delta X_s\| < \infty$  for  $t \in [0, T)$ , then  $f(X)$  is a semimartingale on  $[0, T)$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} f(X_t) = & f(X_0) + \text{Tr} \left( \int_0^t \nabla f(X_{s-})^T dX_s^c \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{ij} \partial x_{kl}} f(X_{s-}) d[X_{ij}, X_{kl}]_s^c \\ & + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} (f(X_s) - f(X_{s-})). \end{aligned}$$

We are now prepared to provide a proof of Theorem 2.2. Note that to shorten our formulae we use in the following differential notation and not integral notation as above.

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* Since all coefficients of (2.2) are locally Lipschitz and of linear growth, standard SDE theory implies again the existence of a unique càdlàg adapted non-explosive local strong solution until the first time  $T_x = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_{t-} \in \partial S_d^+ \text{ or } X_t \notin S_d^{++}\}$  when  $X$  hits the boundary or jumps out of  $S_d^{++}$ . Hence, we only have to show  $T_x = \infty$ .

By the choice of  $g$  and  $J$ , all jumps have to be positive semi-definite and hence the solution  $X$  cannot jump out of  $S_d^{++}$ . This implies that  $T_x = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_{t-} \in \partial S_d^+\}$ .

In the following, all statements are meant to hold on the stochastic interval  $[0, T_x)$ . Note that by the right continuity of  $X_t$ , a.s.  $T_x > 0$ . Moreover, we set  $T_n = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : d(X_t, \partial S_d^+) \leq 1/n \text{ or } \|X_t\| \geq n\}$ . Then  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence of stopping times with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n = T_x$ , hence  $T_x$  is predictable.

We define the following processes and functions according to the notation of Proposition 3.4:

$$(4.2) \quad Z_t := \det(X_t), \quad h(z) := \ln(z), \quad r_t := h(Z_t).$$

Then  $T_x = \inf\{t > 0 : r_{t-} = -\infty\}$ .

By Lemma 4.1 (i) we obtain

$$\text{Tr}(\nabla(\det(X_{t-}))dX_t^c) = \det(X_{t-}) \left[ 2\sqrt{\text{Tr}(Q^\top Q X_{t-}^{-1})}dW_t + \text{Tr}((b + \Gamma(X_{t-}))X_{t-}^{-1}) + 2\text{Tr}(\beta) \right] dt,$$

with some one-dimensional Brownian  $W$  on  $[0, T_x)$ , whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 4.3. Furthermore, by Lemma 4.1 (ii), Lemma 4.2 and elementary calculations we have that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{ij} \partial x_{kl}} \det(X_{t-}) d[X_{ij}, X_{kl}]_t^c = \det(X_{t-}) [(1-d)\text{Tr}(Q^\top Q X_{t-}^{-1})] dt.$$

According to Itô's formula, Lemma 4.4, we therefore obtain by summing up the two equations,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Z_t) &= 2\det(X_{t-})\sqrt{\text{Tr}(Q^\top Q X_{t-}^{-1})}dW_t + \det(X_t) - \det(X_{t-}) \\ &\quad + \det(X_{t-})[\text{Tr}((b + \Gamma(X_{t-}) + (1-d)Q^\top Q)X_{t-}^{-1}) + 2\text{Tr}(\beta)]dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using again Itô's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(r_t) &= 2\sqrt{\text{Tr}(Q^\top Q X_{t-}^{-1})}dW_t + \ln(\det(X_t)) - \ln(\det(X_{t-})) \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\text{Tr}((b + \Gamma(X_{t-}) - (d+1)Q^\top Q)X_{t-}^{-1})}_{\substack{\in S_d^+ \\ \geq 0}} dt + 2\text{Tr}(\beta)dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $r_t = r_0 + M_t + P_t$ , where

$$M_t = 2 \int_0^t \sqrt{\text{Tr}(Q^\top Q X_{s-}^{-1})}dW_s \text{ and}$$

$$P_t = \int_0^t \text{Tr}((b + \Gamma(X_{s-}) - (d+1)Q^\top Q)X_{s-}^{-1}) ds + 2\text{Tr}(\beta)t + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \ln(\det(X_s)) - \ln(\det(X_{s-})).$$

We infer that  $(M_t^{(n)})_{t \geq 0}$  defined by  $M_t^{(n)} := \int_0^t \sqrt{\text{Tr}(Q^\top Q (X_{s-}^{T_n})^{-1})}dW_s$  is a continuous martingale. Obviously,  $M_t = M_t^{(n)}$  on  $\{t < T_n\}$  and thus  $M$  is a continuous local martingale on  $[0, T_x)$ . Also, we have  $P_t - 2\text{Tr}(\beta)t$  is a non-negative adapted càdlàg process on  $[0, T_x)$ , since  $X_s - X_{s-} \succeq 0$  for all  $s \in [0, T)$  and hence  $\det(X_s) \geq \det(X_{s-})$  using [24, Corollary 4.3.3].

Finally, by Proposition 3.4 we have that  $T_x = \infty$  a.s.  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** *Bru's method for proving her Theorem 2.1 (ii) for Wishart diffusions is markedly different, and consists of two steps:*

- *First assume  $\beta = 0$ . By applying Corollary 3.5 twice, one derives that  $h(\det(X))$  is a local martingale. This is proved separately for  $\delta = d+1$  and  $\delta > d+1$  by choosing  $h(z) = \ln(z)$  in the first case and  $h(z) = z^{d+1-\delta}$  in the second one. Therefore, the existence of a unique global strong solution on  $S_d^{++}$  is settled.*
- *One may therefore suppose that  $X_t$  is an  $S_d^{++}$ -valued solution on  $[0, \infty)$  of*

$$dX_t = \sqrt{X_t}dB_tQ + Q^\top dB_t^\top \sqrt{X_t} + \delta Q^\top Q dt, \quad X_0 = x \in S_d^{++}.$$

where  $Q \in GL(d)$  and  $\delta \geq d + 1$ . Now, Girsanov's Theorem is applied which allows to introduce a drift by changing to an equivalent probability measure. This step generalizes a one-dimensional method by Pitman and Yor, see [6, p. 748]. The involved arguments and calculations, which are not presented in detail in [6], appear rather complicated and work seemingly only in the special case given in Theorem 2.1 (ii), (iii).

The technical details of [6] concerning strong solutions are explained in more detail in [32].

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have extended Bru's sufficient boundary non-attainment conditions to considerably more general SDEs on  $S_d^{++}$ , which include affine diffusions with state-independent jumps of finite variation. This allowed to infer the existence of strong solutions of a large class of affine matrix valued processes. We also obtained results for jump-diffusions with non-affine semi-martingale characteristics.

For  $d = 1$  and in the diffusion case, the condition of Theorem 2.2 is a well known necessary condition for non-attainment of the boundary (see the discussion of the square Bessel processes in [36]). However, for  $d > 1$  it is not clear, whether the condition  $b \succeq (d + 1)Q^\top Q$  for the drift is a necessary non-attainability condition or not. Only in the case  $\beta = 0, \Gamma = 0, g = 0, Q = I_d$  and  $b = \delta I_d$  with  $\delta \in (d - 1, d + 1)$  it is known from [17, Theorem 1.4] that the boundary is hit. On the other hand, one knows that in the case  $d = 1$  pathwise uniqueness holds, hence there exists a strong solution for all  $b \succeq 0$  (even in the general setting of CBI processes, see [15, Theorem 5.1]). For  $d \geq 2$ , the situation seems in general to be rather complicated and therefore existence of global strong solutions remains an open problem when  $b \not\succeq (d + 1)Q^\top Q$ .

Our method is not restricted to affine processes on  $S_d^+$ . One may for instance consider SDEs of the form

$$dX_t = \sigma(X_t)dB_t + dB_t^\top \sigma(X_t) + B(X_t)dt, \quad X(0) \in S_d$$

where  $\sigma : S_d^+ \rightarrow M_d$  is locally Lipschitz on  $S_d^{++}$ . Sufficient non-attainment conditions can here be obtained by choosing a positive drift  $B : S_d^+ \rightarrow S_d$  in such a way, that the drift of  $\ln(\det(X))$  is bounded from below and therefore makes Proposition 3.4 applicable (cf. proof of Theorem 2.2). Finally, we remark that the method can be generalized to state-spaces  $D$  other than  $S_d^+$ , as long as the existence of an appropriate function  $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is guaranteed, such that  $g^{-1}(0) = \partial D$ . For instance, similar (but simpler) arguments as the ones of the proof of Theorem 2.2 yield a rigorous proof of the non-attainment condition formulated in [9, Section 6] for affine jump-diffusions on canonical state space  $\mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . Here one takes  $g = x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdots x_m$ . This topic might be interesting for further investigation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] O. Barndorff-Nielsen and V. Pérez-Abreu. Matrix Subordinators and related Upsilon Transformations. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 52:1–23, 2008.
- [2] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen and N. Shephard. Non-Gaussian Ornstein-Uhlenbeck-based models and some of their uses in Financial Economics (with discussion). *J. R. Stat. Soc. B Statist. Methodol.*, 63:167–241, 2001.
- [3] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen and R. Stelzer. Positive-Definite Matrix Processes of Finite Variation. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 27(1):3–43, 2007.
- [4] K. Bichteler. *Stochastic Integration with Jumps*, volume 89 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [5] M.-F. Bru. Diffusions of Perturbed Principal Component Analysis. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 29(1):127–136, 1989.
- [6] M.-F. Bru. Wishart Processes. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 4(4):725–751, 1991.
- [7] A. Buraschi, A. Cieslak, and F. Trojani. Correlation Risk and the Term Structure of Interest Rates. Working paper, Imperial College, UK, 2007.
- [8] A. Buraschi, P. Porchia, and F. Trojani. Correlation Risk and Optimal Portfolio Choice. To appear in *The Journal of Finance*, 2009.
- [9] P. Cheridito, D. Filipović, and M. Yor. Equivalent and Absolutely Continuous Measure Changes for Jump-Diffusion Processes. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 15(3):1713–1732, 2005.
- [10] C. Cuchiero, D. Filipović, E. Mayerhofer, and J. Teichmann. Affine Processes on Positive Semidefinite Matrices. Preprint, 2009. retrieve from <http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.0137>.
- [11] J. Da Fonseca, M. Grasselli, and F. Ielpo. Estimating the Wishart Affine Stochastic Correlation Model using the Empirical Characteristic Function. Working paper, 2008.

- [12] J. Da Fonseca, M. Grasseli, and F. Ielpo. Hedging (Co)Variance Risk with Variance Swaps. Working paper, University St.Gallen, 2008.
- [13] J. Da Fonseca, M. Grasseli, and C. Tebaldi. Option Pricing when Correlations are Stochastic: An Analytical Framework. *Review of Derivatives Research*, 10(2):151–180, 2007.
- [14] J. Da Fonseca, M. Grasseli, and C. Tebaldi. A Multifactor Volatility Heston model. *J. Quant. Finance*, 8(6):591–604, 2008.
- [15] D. A. Dawson and Z. Li. Skew Convolution Semigroups and Affine Markov processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 34(3):1103–1142, 2006.
- [16] C. Donati-Martin. Large Deviations for Wishart Processes. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 28:325–343, 2008.
- [17] C. Donati-Martin, Y. Doumerc, H. Matsumoto, and M. Yor. Some Properties of the Wishart Processes and a Matrix Extension of the Hartman-Watson Laws. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 40:1385–1412, 2004.
- [18] D. Duffie, D. Filipović, and W. Schachermayer. Affine Processes and Applications in Finance. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 13:984–1053, 2003.
- [19] D. Filipović and E. Mayerhofer. Affine Diffusion Processes: Theory and Applications. In *Advanced Financial Modelling*, volume 8 of *Radon Ser. Comput. Appl. Math.* Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2009.
- [20] C. Gouriéroux and R. Sufana. Derivative Pricing with Wishart Multivariate Stochastic Volatility: Application to Credit Risk. Working paper, CREST, CEPREMAP and University of Toronto, 2007.
- [21] C. Gouriéroux and R. Sufana. Wishart Quadratic Term Structure. Working paper, CREST, CEPREMAP and University of Toronto, 2007.
- [22] P. Graczyk and L. Vostrikova. The Moments of Wishart Processes via Itô calculus. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 51:609–625, 2007.
- [23] M. Grasselli and C. Tebaldi. Solvable Affine Term Structure Models. *Math. Finance*, 18(1):135–153, 2008.
- [24] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [25] J. Jacod and A. N. Shiryaev. *Limit theorems for stochastic processes*, volume 288 of *Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2003.
- [26] M. Leippold and F. Trojani. Asset Pricing with Matrix Affine Jump Diffusions. Working paper, 2008. available from: [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1274482](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1274482).
- [27] J. R. Magnus and H. Neudecker. *Matrix differential calculus with applications in statistics and econometrics*. Wiley, Chichester, 1988.
- [28] B. Maisonneuve. Une mise au point sur les martingales locales continues définies sur un intervalle stochastique. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XI (Univ. Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 1975/1976)*, volume 581 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 435–445, Berlin, 1977. Springer.
- [29] H. P. McKean. *Stochastic Integrals*. Academic Press, 1969.
- [30] M. Métivier and J. Pellaumail. *Stochastic Integration*. Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [31] J. R. Norris, L. C. G. Rogers, and D. Williams. Brownian Motions of Ellipsoids. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 294:757–765, 1986.
- [32] O. Pfaffel. Wishart Processes. Project thesis, Zentrum Mathematik, Technische Universität München, 2008. available from: <http://www-m4.ma.tum.de>.
- [33] C. Pigorsch and R. Stelzer. A Multivariate Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Type Stochastic Volatility Model. Working paper, Technische Universität München, 2009. available from: <http://www-m4.ma.tum.de>.
- [34] C. Pigorsch and R. Stelzer. On the Definition, Stationary Distribution and Second Order Structure of Positive Semi-definite Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type Processes. *Bernoulli*, 15:754–773, 2009.
- [35] P. E. Protter. *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*, volume 21 of *Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. Second edition. Version 2.1, Corrected third printing.
- [36] D. Revuz and M. Yor. *Continuous Martingales and Brownian motion*, volume 293 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [37] R. Stelzer. Multivariate COGARCH(1,1) Processes. *Bernoulli*, 2010. To appear, Preprint available from: <http://www-m4.ma.tum.de>.
- [38] R. J. Stelzer. *Multivariate Continuous Time Stochastic Volatility Models Driven by a Lévy Process*. PhD thesis, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Munich University of Technology, Garching, Germany, 2007. available from: <http://mediatum2.ub.tum.de/node?id=624065>.
- [39] S. Watanabe and T. Yamada. On the Uniqueness of Solutions of Stochastic Differential Equations. II. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 11:553–563, 1971.

VIENNA INSTITUTE OF FINANCE, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA AND VIENNA UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS  
 ADMINISTRATION, HEILIGENSTÄDTERSTRASSE 46-48, 1190 VIENNA, AUSTRIA  
 E-mail address: eberhard.mayerhofer@vif.ac.at

TUM INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY & ZENTRUM MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN, BOLTZ-  
 MANNSTRASSE 3, D-85747 GARCHING BEI MÜNCHEN, GERMANY  
 E-mail address: {pfaffel,rstelzer}@ma.tum.de